PROBABILITY OF ERROR OF THE MATCHED FILTER 187 find SNR Dand find Pe O le vol modehed filter, may be found by evaluating max SNR [Potr)] max onth D SARman = Dinan = [Po2(1)] - [1P(f)] = [Gn(f) df Sub Gn(4) = No. 2 In (1) a H(t) = K P+(t) e-jorft 3 @ Pmax = 2 No 1 P(f) 12 df 53 H(f) = k. 2, p*f, e-j20fT = 4 6 From Parseval's theorem, $\int_{a}^{\infty} |p(t)|^{2} df = \int_{a}^{\infty} p^{2}(t) dt = \int_{a}^{\infty} p^{2}(t) dt \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ blas p(t) peresists for only a time T. Unith p(t) = S1(t)-S2(t) & wary eque), reconte @25 Dmax = 2 st ptt dt = 2 No SESILO - SELO Jedt >6 = = = (T[8,24+1224) -28,(4) &(+)] dt

= 2 / 5,240dt + 53240dt - 25 5,40 52 40de -> Drac = = = (Es, + Es2 - 2 Es12) - 3 Here Es, & Esw are everyves resply in Silth 1 silts while Esize is the energy due to correlation by SIH & 82 W. Let SIH have an energy Es1 if 52th is to have some energy, then Optim charce of Salt is Satt = - 11(4 -> (P) charce is optimum in that it fields a max. Olp of pot(T) for a given of energy. Letting 82 U = - s, (U) we find Es = Es = Es = 9 sub Din & -> Drag = 2 (4 Es) $\frac{100}{10} = \frac{10}{10} = \frac{10}{10} = \frac{10}{10}$ R -> Pe for off fitte is Pe = = ferfe [Soi(T) -Soz(T)] > @inOf > reunitie using po(T) = SOI(T) - SO2(T)

When S(U=V), $0 \le t \le T$ $S_2(U=-V)$, $0 \le t \le T$ Fingular response of the markehold filler in $MU = \frac{2R}{N_0} \int S_1(T-E) - S_2(T-E) \int \frac{1}{N_0} ds$ PROBABILITY ERROR Of ASK, PSK & R

- 1) It depends on signal nature is ASI
- 1 Max SNR, Drax = 2 T-ptt/2dt

consider 1 -> 8,(t)

0 -> 82(t)

p(t) = 8,(t) - 82(t)

within the bot direction T.

Pe of ASK

- 8 signal Nature

 1 -> A cos wt

 0 -> 0

 ie. like Unipolar format
- $= \frac{2}{No} \int \int \left[A \cos wt 0 \right]^2 dt$ $= \frac{2}{No} \int \left[A \cos wt 0 \right]^2 dt$ $= \frac{2}{No} \int A^2 \cos^2 wt dt$ $= \frac{2}{No} \int \int \left[\cos^2 wt \right] dt$ $= \frac{2}{No} \int \int \left[\frac{1 + \cos^2 wt}{2} \right] dt$ $= \frac{2A^2}{No} \int \int \int \frac{1}{2} dt + \int \int \cos^2 wt dt dt$

2 Prox =
$$\frac{2}{N_0}\int_{0}^{\infty} |P(t)|^2 dt$$

= $\frac{2}{N_0}\int_{0}^{\infty} |A \cos wt|^2 dt$

As $w \gg \frac{1}{1}\int_{0}^{\infty} |A \cos wt|^2 dt$

- $\frac{2}{N_0}\int_{0}^{\infty} |$

Pe of FSK

() Signal Notitue

(a)
$$\omega_{1}$$

(b) ω_{2}

(c) ω_{1}

(c) ω_{2}

(d) ω_{2}

(e) ω_{2}

(f) ω_{1}

(f) ω_{2}

(g) ω_{1}

(g) ω_{2}

(g) ω_{1}

(g) ω_{2}

(g) ω_{1}

(g) ω_{2}

(g) ω_{2}

(g) ω_{1}

(g) ω_{2}

 $\frac{1}{2.277}$ min. value @ccus of 221. - $\frac{1}{2.277}$ min. $\frac{1}{1-1}$ $\frac{1$

1) max = 2.42 A2T No

(3) Pe =
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 erfc $\left[\frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{12}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$
= $\frac{1}{2}$ erfc $\left[\frac{1}{8}, 2.42.427\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$
= $\frac{1}{2}$ erfc $\left[\frac{1}{8}, 2.42.427\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$

It is better than Ask Don't desser than po

COHERENT RECEPTION: CORRELATION -> It is an attendance type of Ixing ofm identical in performance with madeled files Local signal S,(t) - S2(t) Volti - 00(T) = So1(T)+no(T) (00) (502(T)+no(T) Sample 19:(t) = 181(t) +n (t) Multiplia at t=T Losa (to +n(t) -> Input is a binary data wfm site or salt corrupted by noise not. -> Bit dought in T. - Rived kgl + noise is Xed by locally gened Wfm syll-salt. -> ofp of Ker is possed thin' on Sor whose of is sampled at t=T. -> Invictiately after each sompting, at

beginning of each new bit interval, all energy- etoning elements in integrator are discharged. Jun type of new is called Correlator o: we are correlating the lived sel & noise with wfm S, (t) -Sa(t) 130(T) = = = 5 ts; (t) [s,(t) - 82(t)]dt >0 no(T) = 1 IT NO [SEN-SOCH] dt 13 Duke south & either south con south & where it is constant of Endegraler ie for olp & I times fal of its ilp: - Now compare there ofps with madelled filler ofps. - if hit is impulsive lengue of watched file, then of p of madeled file volt can be found lesing convolution integral To(t) = Sovi(d) h(t-1) d1 = Stv;(d) h(t-1)d1 +3 - bit interval is from 0 to T. > Alto for madelled filter in MH= 2k [8,(T-t) - 82(T-t)] -> (F) 9: h(t-1) = 2k [s1(T-t+1) - s2 (T-t+1)] -> 5

Into (F) in (3) +> Vo(t) = 2k (T-L+1) -82(T-L+1)]d1 1. 0.6(y) = vi(y) + w(y) vole = Solt + not at t=T yrdds 180(T) = 2R / s;(1) [s;(1) -82 (1) Jd1 + Q where si(A) is = 8, (A) (or 82(A) mo(T) = 2k ST (A) [S,(A) - 12 ch) Jdl | > Thus so(T) & no(T) as called from egs (D & 2) for Correlation 1xx & -> as Caled from egs & & P for makehed filler ex are identical Hence the performance of the 2 Mous are identical. Simply MF & correlator are not 2 distinct, independent techniques which happens to yould Same Levelt - But they are 2 techniques of synthesizing the optimum files h(t).

Ser an AWGN cht, when tred sels are quely likely, optimerm 9x which minimizes average the in a Correlation Six.

-> Apply: It is elsed in PSK