# **Table of Contents**

About the SSP	1.2
	4.0
System Classification	1.3
General System Description	1.4
Standards	
AU	2.1
AU-1: Audit and Accountability Policy and Procedures	2.1.1
AU-2: Audit Events	2.1.2
PE	2.2
PE-2: Physical Access Authorizations	2.2.1
SC	2.3
SC-1: System and Communications Protection Policy and Procedures	2.3.1
SC-7: Boundary Protection	2.3.2
Components	
Audit Policy	3.1
AWS Core	3.2
AWS Implementation	3.3

#### Freedonia website SSP introduction

These documents constitute the System Security Plan for the Freedonia "Hello World" application. The contents of this file, (from markdowns/README.md) are rendered to appear as the introduction of the SSP by Masonry and GitBook.

#### Version and update information

We have yet to implement a strategy to version this document with a creation date and relevants tags.

The source documents are under source-code control with Git and GitHub at the following URL: https://github.com/pburkholder/freedonia-compliance

If there are errors or corrections, please submit them to https://github.com/pburkholder/ato1day-compliance/issues.

#### **Standards**

- AU
  - AU-1: Audit and Accountability Policy and Procedures
  - AU-2: Audit Events
- PE
  - PE-2: Physical Access Authorizations
- SC
  - SC-1: System and Communications Protection Policy and Procedures
  - SC-7: Boundary Protection

#### Components

- Audit Policy
- AWS Core
- AWS Implementation

#### **About the System Security Plan**

This document is released in template format. Once populated with content, this document will include detailed information about service provider information security controls.

The System Security Plan is the main document in which the CSP describes all the security controls in use on the information system and their implementation.

#### Who should use this document?

This document is intended to be used by service providers who are applying for a Provisional Authorization through the Freedonia Federal government FredRAMP program. FredRAMP Federal agencies may want to use it to document information systems security plans that are not part of the FredRAMP program.

Other uses of this template include using it to document organizational information security controls for the purpose of creating a plan to manage a large information security infrastructure. Complex and sophisticated systems are difficult to manage without a documented understanding of how the infrastructure is architected.

#### **Caveat Emptor!**

Much of the narrative is largely baloney as we try to figure out how to represent these interacting consumable layers.

## Freedonia compliance

This System Security Plan provides an overview of the security requirements for the Freedonia Compliance (freedonia-compliance) and describes the controls in place or planned for implementation to provide a level of security appropriate for the information to be transmitted, processed or stored by the system. Information security is vital to our critical infrastructure and its effective performance and protection is a key component of our national security program. Proper management of information technology systems is essential to ensure the confidentiality, integrity and availability of the data transmitted, processed or stored by the

Freedonia Compliance information system.

The security safeguards implemented for Freedonia Compliance meet the policy and control requirements set forth in this System Security Plan. All systems are subject to monitoring consistent with applicable laws, regulations, agency policies, procedures and practices.

Unique Identifier	Information System Name	Information System Abbreviation
freedonia- compliance	Freedonia Compliance	fd-comp

# Security Objectives Categorization (FIPS 199)

Security Objective	Low, Moderate or High
Confidentiality	Low
Integrity	Low
Availability	Low

# **Information System Categorization**

The overall information system sensitivity categorization is noted in the table that follows.

Low	Moderate	High
X		

Using this categorization, in conjunction with the risk assessment and any unique security requirements, we have established the security controls for this system, as detailed in this SSP.

#### **Information Types**

The following tables identify the information types that are input, stored, processed, and/or output from Freedonia Compliance. The selection of information types is based on guidance provided by OMB Federal Enterprise Architecture Program Management Office Business Reference Model 2.0, and FIPS Pub 199, Standards for Security Categorization of Federal Information and Information Systems which is based on NIST SP 800-60, Guide for Mapping Types of Information and Information Systems to Security Categories.

TKTK: The following table needs updating for Freedonia Compliance, currently showing Cloud.gov specs:

Information Type	Confidentiality	Integrity	Availability
C.3.5.1 System Development	Low	Moderate	Low
C.3.5.2 life-cycle/Change Management	Low	Moderate	Low
C.3.5.3 System Maintenance	Low	Moderate	Low
C.3.5.4 Infrastructure Maintenance	Low	Low	Low

#### **E-Authentication Determination**

#### **E-Authentication Question**

Yes	No	E-Authentication Question			
Х		Does the system require authentication via the Internet?			
Х		Is data being transmitted over the Internet via browsers?			
х		Do users connect to the system from over the Internet?			

Note: Refer to OMB Memo M-04-04 E-Authentication Guidance for Federal Agencies for more information on e-Authentication.

TKTK: The above table is inherited from Cloud.gov

The summary E-Authentication Level is recorded in the table that follows.

#### **E-Authentication Determination**

System Name	System	Assurance	Date
	Owner	Level	Approved
Cloud.Gov Platform as a Service	18F/GSA	Level 2	Feb 18, 2016

TKTK: The above table is inherited from Cloud.gov

# **Information System Owner**

Name	Title	Organization	Address	Phone Number	Ema Addre
Peter Burkholder	Freedonia President- for-Life	GovReady	Address	202- 555- 1212	1800 J Street N Washin DC 200

# **Authorizing Official**

Name	Title	Organization	Address	Phone Number	Email Addres
Aaron Snow	Authorizing Official	18F/GSA	1800 F Street, NW Washington DC 20405		aaron.sn [at] gsa.(

#### **Other Designated Contacts**

N	ame	Title	Organization	Address	Phone Number	Email Address

## **Assignment of Security Responsibility**

Name	Title	Organization	Address	Phone Number	Email Address

#### **Information System Operational Status**

The system is currently in the life-cycle phase noted in the table that follows. (Only operational systems can be granted an ATO).

TKTK: Is a live system that is in alpha considered Operational or UnderDevelopment?

# **System Status**

Operational	Under Development	Major Modification	Other
	X		

#### **Information System Type**

(this space currently blank)

# **Leveraged Authorizations**

The Cloud.Gov information system plans to leverage a pre-existing Provisional Authorization. Provisional Authorizations leveraged by this IAWS FedRAMP ATO (issued by the HHS are noted in the table that follows.

Information System Name	Service Provider Owner	Date Granted
AWS FedRamp Agency ATO (issued by the HHS)	Amazon	May 13, 2013

TKTK Clarify how the above applies to a generic implementation on a public cloud.

## **General System Description**

#### **System Function or Purpose**

Freedonia Compliance is an online catalog of the current compliance state of Freedonia Compliance, operated by GovReady.

# Information System Components and Boundaries

GovReady runs two VPCs (development and production) for Freedonia Compliance. In each is a single node running Nginx, Node.js and Gitbook with content built from Git repositories hosted by GitHub.

The Freedonia Compliance Information System is hosted within the AWS East Public Cloud in the Northern Virginia Region. AWS services utilized include EC2, EBS, VPC, S3, MFA, Route 53, ELB and IAM. These are listed as leveraged hardware, network and server components.

Physical aspects of the Freedonia Compliance information system are outside of the authorization boundary due to all hardware being physically managed by AWS. While other services are reviewed and approved for use by the GSA OCISO, they were deemed to be ancillary support services that do not directly process/store data but rather provide general support services. These services include Cloudwatch, Cloudtrail, CloudFormation, AWS Config and Trusted Advisor.

TKTK: Update the ff paragraph: The authorization boundary diagram represented within Figure 9-1 depicts the core components which make up the System in its entirety. The diagram specifically depicts, outlined in red, those components that are within the authorization boundary and those that are outside of the boundary. AWS components outside the boundary belong to an authorized System thus allowing for inheritance of the component. Other support services outside the Cloud.Gov information system authorization boundary include, Pager Duty, New Relic, Slack, Trello, GitHub, Code Climate and Cloudability. Pagerduty, Slack and Trello are communication tools used by 18F developers and support staff while New Relic, GitHub and Code climate are developer based tools in support of the Cloud.Gov system.

#### **Network Architecture**

The following architectural diagram(s) provides a visual depiction of the system network components that constitute Cloud.Gov.

**TKTK** 

#### Freedonia/GovReady Security Domain Stack

#### **Identification and Authentication Control**

TKTK: How to write this so that:

- We consume AWS/IAM setting by consuming freedonia-aws-compliance
  - And leverage CloudFormation or IAM+SDK or Terraform to build to spec
- We consume generic tooling for, say, GitHub and SSH by consuming freedoniatooling-compliance
- The actual I&A for fd-comp is minimal

# ACLs, Software defined Firewalls and Security Groups Audit Logging, Monitoring and intrusion detection Vulnerability Scanning, Penetration testing

- InSpec?
- OpenVAS?

#### **Cloud Inventory and Asset Management**

TKTK

#### **Static and Dynamic Code Analysis**

TKTK

#### **Incident Response Resolution and Communication**

TKTK

#### **Configuration Management and Version Control**

TKTK

# System Environment

**Cloud.Gov Virtual Private Cloud (VPC) environment** 

**Public Subnet** 

**Private Subnet - Core Tier** 

**Private Subnet - Metrics** 

#### **User Accounts**

TODO: Update for Freedonia Compliance

All users have their employee status categorized with a sensitivity level in accordance with PS-2. Employees (or contractors) of service providers are considered Internal Users. All other users are considered External Users. User privileges (authorization permission after authentication takes place) are described in the table that follows. At this time all users who have access to the 18F AWS VPC and the underlying Cloud.Gov PaaS are internal users. There is no external access granted to users outside of the 18F/USDS programs and GSA.

A user account represents an individual person within the context of a Cloud Foundry installation. A user can have different roles in different spaces within an org, governing what level and type of access they have within that space. The combination of these roles defines the user's overall permissions in the org and within specific spaces in that org. A list of standard cloud foundry user account types can be found in the table below.

#### **Types of Users**

TODO: Update for Freedonia Compliance

Role	Internal or External	Sensitivity Level	Authorized Privileges and Functions Performed
Org Manager	Internal	High	Add and manage users, View users and edit org roles, View the org quota, Create, view, edit, and delete spaces, Invite and manage users in spaces, View the status, number of instances, service bindings, and resource use of each application in every space in the org, Add domains
Org Auditor	Internal	Low	View users and org roles, View the org quota
Space Manager	Internal	High	Add and manage users in the space, View the status, number of instances, service bindings, and resource use of each application in the space
Space Developer	Internal	Moderate	Deploy an application, Start or stop an application, Rename an application, Delete an application, Create, view, edit, and delete services in a space, Bind or unbind a service to an application, Rename a space, View the status, number of instances, service bindings, and resource use of each application in the space, Change the number of instances, memory allocation, and disk limit of each application in the space, Associate an internal or external URL with an application
Space Auditor	Internal	Low	View the status, number of instances, service bindings, and resource use of each application in the space

# **Hardware Inventory**

None - Leveraged from AWS Infrastructure

# **Software Inventory**

TODO: How do we make this executable?

Hostname	Function	Version	Patch Level	Virtual (Yes / No)
Code Climate	CloudFoundry Static code analysis tool for the source code	Latest Version	NA	Yes
GitHub	CloudFondry and Cloud.Gov code repository and version control	Latest Version	NA	Yes
Freedonia AMI	operating system used in deployed EC2 instances for Cloud.Gov	Ubuntu 12.04 LTS	12	Yes

The following table lists all other applications and components used in relation to the Cloud.Gov PaaS information system.

Component Name	Function	Version	Patch Level	Virtual
Nessus	Vulnerability scanner for the Cloud.Gov platform	Latest Version	NA	Yes
New Relic	Application and performance metrics for Cloud.Gov	Latest Version	NA	Yes
Cloudability	Monitor, optimize and govern Cloud Costs related to the AWS Infrastructure	Latest Version	NA	Yes
Slack	Message, alert and communications app	Latest Version	NA	Yes

Trello| Used for agile, scrum and story boarding| Latest Version | NA | Yes| |VisualOps| Visual DevOps tool for AWS| Latest Version| NA | Yes| |Pager Duty| Incident communication platform used by DevOps| Latest Version|

# **Network Inventory**

#### None - Leveraged from AWS Infrastructure

# **Ports, Protocols and Services**

Ports (T or U)	Protocols	Services	Purpose	Used By
80 (T)	HTTP	HTTP	CF ec2 web service	AWS, Cloud Foundry
22 (T)	SSH	Secure Shell (SSH)	Secure command line interface	AWS, Cloud Foundry Jumpbox
53 (U)	DNS	DNS Service	Inbound DNS requests	AWS, Cloud Foundry
123 (T)	NTP	Network Time Protocol (NTP)	Sync time within the network	Cloud Foundry, AWS CloudTrail, Syslogs
1	ICMP	Internet Control Message	Information and diagnostics for network devices (Ping)	AWS, Cloud Foundry

# **System Interconnections**



# FRIST-800-53-AU

- AU-1: Audit and Accountability Policy and Procedures
- AU-2: Audit Events

#### FRIST-800-53-AU-1

# Audit and Accountability Policy and Procedures

#### **Audit Policy**

This text describes how our organization is meeting the requirements for the Audit policy, and also references a more complete description at ./AU\_policy/README.md

Since the AU-1 control is to document and disseminate a policy on Audit and Accountability, then this narrative suffices to provide that control. A verification step could be something that checks that the referenced policy is no more than 365 days old.

#### FRIST-800-53-AU-2

#### **Audit Events**

#### **Audit Policy**

Application and Server logs are sent to PaperTrail to provide audit reduction and report generation capabilites for Freedonia Devops and end users of the Freedonia hello\_world system.

PaperTrail is a SaaS for aggregation of audit log data across multiple systems and tiers

With the PaperTrail capability the organizations's operations and development teams can structure and customize audit logs queries to specific app instances, API calls, system metrics, user access, system components, network traffic flow and other criteria.

#### **AWS Implementation**

AU-2 - Audit Events All AWS events are sent to AWS CloudWatch. This is implemented with our Terraform build using the <code>aws\_cloudtrail</code> resource (https://www.terraform.io/docs/providers/aws/r/cloudtrail.html)

A verification step can be done by confirming the existence of the Cloudwatch bucket etc. with InSpec.

# FRIST-800-53-PE

• PE-2: Physical Access Authorizations

#### FRIST-800-53-PE-2

# **Physical Access Authorizations**

#### **AWS Core**

**Control Origin: inherited** 

PE-2 - Physical Access Authorizations

This text describes how our organization is meeting the requirements for the PE-2 by dint of inheriting an approved set of Physical Environment controls with our use of AWS east/west or AWS GovCloud.

# FRIST-800-53-SC

- SC-1: System and Communications Protection Policy and Procedures
- SC-7: Boundary Protection

#### FRIST-800-53-SC-1

# System and Communications Protection Policy and Procedures

#### **AWS Implementation**

SC-1 - System and Communications Protection Policy and Procedures This text describes how our organization is meeting the requirements for the Security Controls policy, and also references a more complete description at the referenced document at <a href="https://github.com/pburkholder/freedonia-aws-compliance/wiki/Security">https://github.com/pburkholder/freedonia-aws-compliance/wiki/Security</a> Controls

Since the SC-1 control is to document and disseminate a policy on Security Controls this narrative suffices to provide that control. A verification step could be something that checks that the referenced policy is no more than 365 days old.

#### FRIST-800-53-SC-7

# **Boundary Protection**

#### **AWS Implementation**

SC-7 - Boundary protection

Boundary protection is provided, in AWS, with Security Groups that do not allow ingress except to port 443 on the ELBs

Verification: No security groups allow 0.0.0.0 inbound except ones named 'elb.\*' can allowed port 443 to 0.0.0.0 (testing with InspecAws)

# **Audit Policy**

# References

AU Policy

# **AWS Core**

# **AWS Implementation**

# References

SC Policy