

Editors

Journal of Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems

Special Issue: “When Foundation Models Meet Multi-Agent Systems”

Dear Editors,

I am pleased to submit “Emergent Coordination in Multi-Agent Systems via Pressure Fields and Temporal Decay” for your special issue. This paper demonstrates that foundation model capabilities and MAS coordination mechanisms are *mutually enabling*: FMs solve the action enumeration problem that limited stigmergic approaches to discrete spaces, while MAS pressure gradients provide principled criteria for combining FM outputs—replacing ad-hoc voting with quality-based selection. Section 7.7 explicitly articulates this FM-MAS reciprocity.

**Connections to Prior MAS Work.** The paper situates pressure-field coordination within foundational MAS research:

- Unlike Horling & Lesser’s organizational paradigms, pressure-field achieves role-free coordination through shared gradients.
- Unlike GPGP’s explicit task structures and commitment protocols, pressure-field requires no inter-agent messages— $O(1)$  coordination overhead.
- Unlike SharedPlans and Joint Intentions, pressure-field eliminates intention reasoning; the shared artifact *is* the mutual belief.
- We compare directly against AutoGen-style conversation baselines, demonstrating  $4\times$  higher solve rates.
- We extend stigmergic principles to FM-based artifact refinement, showing how FMs overcome the action enumeration limitation.

**Empirical Results.** Across 1350 trials on meeting room scheduling: pressure-field achieves  $30\times$  higher solve rates than hierarchical control (48.5% vs 1.5%),  $4\times$  higher than conversation-based approaches (48.5% vs 11.1%), all comparisons highly significant ( $p < 0.001$ , Cohen’s  $h > 1.0$ ). On medium and hard problems, only pressure-field achieves non-zero solve rates. These results challenge the assumption that explicit coordination outperforms implicit coordination.

**Theoretical Results.** The paper provides convergence guarantees under pressure alignment (Theorem 1),  $O(1)$  coordination overhead (Theorem 4), and proves temporal decay is necessary to escape local minima (Theorem 3).

This work has not been published in a peer-reviewed venue. An earlier arXiv preprint exists; this submission adds FM-MAS reciprocity discussion framed for the special issue theme.

Sincerely,

Roland R. Rodriguez, Jr.