Proposition Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and U be the now echelon form of A. Then we have:

(i) r(A) = r(U), Hence $\dim r(A) = \dim r(U)$;

(ii) dim $C(A) = \dim C(U)$.

Proof (i) We first note that if u, u are vectors in a vector space X then

where it is a span { au, pu} = span { u, u+au}

where &, B are nonzero real numbers. Indeed,

let w = au + b (v+ xu) where a, b & IR. Then

w= (a+bd) u+bv ∈ span {u,v}.

on the other hand, if C, d & IR, Then

cu+do = cu+d(v+du) - dau

If is easy to see that the first two linear spans are the same. Thus the elementary now operations do not after the now space of A.

(ii) Suppose dim c (A) = n.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that

the first re columns C,,..., C, 7 A are L.I.

let B be an invertible mxm matrix such that

U = BA.

By the previous lemma, the columns

BC,,..., BC, of BA are L.J.

Hence dim c (U) > x = dim c (A).

On the other hand, since $A = B^{-1}U$, dim $c(A) \ge dim c(U)$.

Thus, $\dim C(U) = \dim C(A)$.

Theorem let $A \in \mathbb{R}$ Then dim $H(A) = \dim C(A)$

Proof. We give an argument in a special case. The general argument is similar.

Suppose that the now echelon form U of A looks like;

where d, d2, d3 are the pivots.

By back substitution, we obtain $x_3 = x_1 = x_1 = 0$. Thus the columns containing the pivots are L.I.

. In a like manner, the nonzero nows of U (rows containing the pivots) are L.I.

Hence dim r(U) = dim c(U).

In conjunction with the previous proposition, we obtain dim $\kappa(A) = \dim \kappa(U) = \dim c(U) = \dim c(A)$.

Definition The rank of an mxn matrix denoted by rank (A) is dim n (A) = dim c (A). The nullity of A denoted by nullity (A) is the dimension of the null space N (A) of A Topic 19: Page 6

The rank-nullity Theorem

Theorem:

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix. Then

rank A + nullity A = n.

Proof:

Suppose that rank A = r. Hence there are r linearly independent columns in A. For simplicity of argument we assume that the first r columns of A are linearly independent.

The columns of A are Ae_1, Ae_2, \ldots, Ae_n .

Since the column space $\mathcal{C}(A)$ of A is the linear span of $Ae_1, Ae_2, \ldots, Ae_r,$

we can express $Ae_{r+1}, Ae_{r+2}, \ldots, Ae_n$, as linear combinations of Ae_1, Ae_2, \ldots, Ae_r . We write

$$Ae_{r+1} = \alpha_{r+11}Ae_1 + \alpha_{r+12}Ae_2 + \cdots + \alpha_{r+1r}Ae_r$$
 $Ae_{r+2} = \alpha_{r+21}Ae_1 + \alpha_{r+22}Ae_2 + \cdots + \alpha_{r+2r}Ae_r$
 $Ae_n = \alpha_{n1}Ae_1 + \alpha_{n2}Ae_2 + \cdots + \alpha_{nr}Ae_r.$

Mank (A) = maximum no. of l.I. now bector A

= maximum no. of L.I. column bector of A

If A is an mx n. matrix, mank (A) < min {m, n}.

Topic 19: Page 7

Hence for j = r + 1, r + 2, ..., n,

$$A\left[e_j - \sum\limits_{k=1}^r lpha_{jk} e_k
ight] = 0$$

Hence for $j = r + 1, \ldots, n$,

$$v_j =: e_j - \sum\limits_{k=1}^r lpha_{jk} e_k \in \mathcal{N}(A)$$

We now show that $\{v_{r+1}, v_{r+2}, \ldots, v_n\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{N}(A)$.

First we show that

any vector v satisfying Av=0 is a linear combination of $v_{r+1},v_{r+2},\ldots,v_n$.

Let $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_n$ be scalars such that

$$v = \beta_1 e_1 + \beta_2 e_2 + \cdots + \beta_n e_n.$$

Then

$$egin{array}{lll} Av &=& eta_1 Ae_1 + eta_2 Ae_2 + \cdots + eta_n Ae_n \ &=& eta_1 Ae_1 + \cdots + eta_r Ae_r + \sum\limits_{j=r+1}^n eta_j Ae_j \ &=& \sum\limits_{k=1}^r eta_k Ae_k + \sum\limits_{j=r+1}^n eta_j \left[\sum\limits_{k=1}^r lpha_{jk} Ae_k
ight] \ &=& \sum\limits_{k=1}^r \left[eta_k + \sum\limits_{j=r+1}^n eta_j lpha_{jk}
ight] Ae_k \end{array}$$

Topic 19: Page 8

Since Ae_1, Ae_2, \ldots, Ae_r are linearly independent,

$$eta_k = -\sum\limits_{j=r+1}^n eta_j lpha_{jk} \quad ext{for } k=1,2,\ldots,r.$$

Substitute this into the expression for v

$$egin{array}{ll} v &=& \sum\limits_{k=1}^r eta_k e_k + \sum\limits_{k=r+1}^n eta_k e_k \ &=& \sum\limits_{k=1}^r \left(-\sum\limits_{j=r+1}^n eta_j lpha_{jk}
ight) e_k + \sum\limits_{j=r+1}^n eta_j e_j \ &=& \sum\limits_{j=r+1}^n eta_j \left[e_j - \sum\limits_{k=1}^r lpha_{jk} e_k
ight] \ &=& \sum\limits_{j=r+1}^n eta_j v_j \end{array}$$

It remains to show $v_{r+1}, v_{r+2}, \ldots, v_n$ are linearly independent. Suppose that $a_{r+1}, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$a_{r+1}\left[e_{r+1}-\sum\limits_{k=1}^{r}lpha_{r+1k}e_{k}
ight]+\cdots+a_{n}\left[e_{n}-\sum\limits_{k=1}^{r}lpha_{nk}e_{k}
ight]=0.$$

Hence

$$a_{r+1}e_{r+1} + a_{r+2}e_{r+2} + \cdots + a_ne_n + ()e_1 + \cdots + ()e_r = 0.$$

Therefore $a_{r+1}=a_{r+2}=\cdots=a_n=0$ by linear independence of e_1,e_2,\ldots,e_n . Hence v_{r+1},\ldots,v_n are linearly independent.

Fundamental Theorem for Systems of Linear Equations
Theorem Consider the following system of m linear
equations in n unknowns x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n :

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix} \text{ on } A x = b$$
(Existence)

(1) (Existence) The system admits a solution iff mank (A) = mank(A)

where $\tilde{A} = (A, b)$ denotes the augmented matrix of the system (1).

(ii) (Uniqueness) The system admits a unique solution if and only if

 $\operatorname{Mank}(A) = \operatorname{Mank}(A) = n$

(iii) 9 | κ rank $(\tilde{A}) = \kappa \langle n \rangle$ Then the system admits infinitely many solutions

Proof. Let Ci,...., Cn be the columns of A. Then

Hence if A x = b has a solution $x_1 = a_1, \dots, x_n = a_n$,

 $a_1 C_1 + a_2 C_2 + \cdots + a_n C_n = b$.

This implies that $b \in C(A)$ so A and \tilde{A} have same column space: c(A) = c(A),

Thus they have equal mank. Conversely, if

 $rank(A) = rank(\tilde{A})$, Then $b \in c(A)$.

Hence b = d, C, +.... + d, Cn for some scalars

di, dz, ..., dn. Then

 $d_1 \stackrel{C}{\sim}_1 + \cdots + d_n \stackrel{C}{\sim}_n = A \begin{vmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ \vdots \end{vmatrix} = b$

Hence $x_1 = d_1, \dots, x_n = d_n$ is a solution

(ii) Let rank $(A) = \operatorname{rank}(A) = n$.

Then by the rank-nullity theorem,

nullity (A) =0.

Hence A = 0 has unique solution, namely, $x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0$.

If Ax = b = Ay then A(x-y) = 0. Hence x-y=0 \Rightarrow z=y. This establishes uniqueness. (iii) Suppose rank (A) = rank (A) = r<n. Then $n-x = \dim N(A) > 0$. Thus Ax = 0 has infinitely many solutions. Let c & IR and A c = b. 9) x ER satisfies A x = b Then $\frac{A}{2} = \frac{A}{2} = \frac{A}$ (A)K+2 3 x ← Thus any solution of a Az=b is in the set

Thus any solution 2c + 2 = b is in the set $c + N(\Delta) = \{c + y : \Delta y = 0\}$.

Conversely, if we take an element C + Y + C + M(A) where Ay = 0, then A(C + Y) = AC + AY = b.

Hence C + Y is a solution of Ax = b. We conclude that the solution set of Ax = b is the set C + M(A) which contains infinitely many element.

Topic 19: Page 11

Let $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and Ac = b.

Then we have seen before that all the solutions of Ax = b are in the set $c + \mathcal{N}(A) = \{c + x \mid Ax = 0\}.$

Hence Ax = b has infinitely many solutions.

Conollory A homogeneous system of linear equations with fawer equations than unknowns always admits nontrivial solutions. Example: Consider the system of linear equations

$$egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 \ 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 3 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \ 3 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \ x_4 \ x_5 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By GEM we transform the coefficient matrix A to its row echelon form:

$$U = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & -1/4 & -1/4 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Topic 19: Page 12

The equations for Ux = 0 are

$$x_1 + 2x_3 + x_4 = 0$$
 $x_2 - \frac{1}{4}x_3 - \frac{1}{4}x_4 = 0$
 $x_5 = 0$

The first, second and the fifth column of A contain the pivots.

So they are linearly independent.

Hence the corresponding columns of A are linearly independent.

Thus r(A) = 3, and nullity (A) = 2. We find a basis for the nullspace.

Express the basic variables (corresponding to the column containing the pivots) x_1, x_2, x_5 in terms of the free variables (non basic variable) x_3 and x_4 .

$$egin{array}{ll} x_1 &=& -2x_3 - x_4 \ x_2 &=& rac{1}{4}x_3 + rac{1}{4}x_4 \ x_5 &=& 0 \end{array}$$

Hence solutions to Ax = 0 have the form

Topic 19: Page 13

$$egin{bmatrix} x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \ x_4 \ x_5 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} -2x_3 - x_4 \ rac{1}{4}x_3 + rac{1}{4}x_4 \ x_3 \ x_4 \ 0 \end{bmatrix} = x_3 egin{bmatrix} -2 \ rac{1}{4} \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_4 egin{bmatrix} -1 \ rac{1}{4} \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence a basis for $\mathcal{N}(A)$ is

$$\{(-2,\frac{1}{4},1,0,0)^t,\ (-1,\frac{1}{4},0,1,0)^t\}.$$

Rank in terms of determinants

Lemma:

Let C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_n be the columns of an $n \times n$ matrix A. Then they are linearly independent iff det A is nonzero.

Proof:

Suppose that all the columns of A are linearly dependent.

Then all the rows of A are also linearly dependent.

Hence by the multilinearity property, $\det A$ is zero. Conversely suppose that $\det A = 0$.

$$C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n$$
 are L.D. \rightleftharpoons one of them can be expressed as a nontrivial linear combination of the others, say
$$C_1 = d_1 C_2 + \dots + d_n C_n. \quad \text{Then}$$

$$\det (C_1, \dots, C_n) = \det (d_2 C_1 + \dots + d_n C_n \cdot C_2 \cdot \dots \cdot C_n)$$

$$= d_2 \det (C_2, C_2, \dots C_n) + d_3 \det (C_3, C_3, \dots C_n) + \dots$$

Topic 19: Page 14

Let U be the row echelon form of A. Then det U = 0.

Hence U has a zero diagonal entry.

Hence there are at n-1 pivots in U.

Hence rank $A = \operatorname{rank} U \leq n - 1$.

Hence the columns of A are linearly dependent.

Theorem:

An $m \times n$ matrix A has rank $r \geq 1$ iff $\det M \neq 0$ for some order r minor M of A and $\det N = 0$ for all order r + 1 minors N of A.

Proof:

Let U be the row echelon form of A.

Then the first r rows of U are linearly independent if rank A = r.

Let M_1 denote the order r minor consisting of the first r rows and the r columns of U containing the pivots.

Then M_1 is an upper triangular matrix whose diagonal entries are the pivots of U.

Hence det M_1 = product of the pivots is nonzero.

Therefore the columns of M_1 are linearly independent.

Let M be the corresponding minor of A.

Then all the columns of M are also linearly independent.

Hence det $M \neq 0$. Any minor N_1 of U of order r+1 must contain a zero row,

hence $\det N_1 = 0$.

Therefore $\det N = 0$ for all order r + 1 minors N of A.

Conversely suppose that A has an order r minor M with nonzero determinant and all minors of order r+1 of A have zero determinant.

Let M_1 , be the corresponding minor of U.

Then M_1 has r nonzero rows.

Hence rank $U \geq r$.

On the other hand if U has r+1 nonzero rows then

A will have an order r+1 minor with nonzero determinant.

Theorem b vectors $R_1 = (R_{11}, \dots, R_{1n}), \dots, R_p = (R_{p1}, \dots, R_{pn})$ are L.I. if and only if The matrix A with now vectors R_1, \dots, R_p : $A = \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ \vdots \\ R_p \end{bmatrix}$ has mank b; they are L.D. (linearly dependent) if and only if $R_1 = R_2 = R_1 = R_2 = R$

If n<b, then rank(A) = min{n,b} < b.

Hence the b vectors R1,..., Rp are 1.D.

Corollary b vectors with n<b components (q IRn)

are always L.D.

Determinants

Second - Order Determinants

of A = [aij] E M (IK), Then the determinant of A Written det $A = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix}$ is the number $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}$ Third - Order Determinant

of A = [aij]

M (IK), Then its determinant is defined

 $\frac{\text{aquivalently}}{\text{det } A = a_{11} \begin{vmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} \\ a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} - a_{21} \begin{vmatrix} a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{23} \end{vmatrix} + a_{31} \begin{vmatrix} a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{22} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix}$

It is a fundamental fact, that this defines a unique number.

Hace M (1K) is the same as what we denoted by IK := collection of 2x2 matrices with entries in IK = either IR or C.

```
Determinant of Any Order 12
Let A = [aij] E M (IK). The determinant of A
written det A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}
is defined for n=1 by det A = a11, and for n >, 2
 or det A = a_{1j} C_{1j} + a_{2j} C_{2j} + \cdots + a_{nj} C_{nj} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{kj} C_{kj}
                                                              Expansion by The
                                                             jth column
```

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an nxn matrix, we define det A inductively. For n = 1, det $(a_{11}) = a_{11}$. Let n > 1.

Suppose we know det A where A is $(n-1) \times (n-1)$.

Let $M_{ij} =$ the determinant of the submatrix $(m-1) \times (m-1) \times (m-1$

Definition

$$\det A = a_{i1} C_{i1} + a_{i2} C_{i2} + \dots + a_{in} C_{in}$$

$$(Expansion by The ith now)$$

$$= a_{ij} C_{ij} + a_{2j} C_{2j} \dots + a_{nj} C_{nj}$$

$$(Expansion by The jThe columns of the items of$$

Here C = (-1) Mij -> called the Cojacter Laij, also written and Mij called the minor of aij is the determinant of order m-1 obtained by evasing the ith row and the jth column of A an an an air This definition is unambiguous. It yields the name balue for det A no matter which now or column we use in expanding the determinant. General proporties of determinants are the same as the familiar proporties of daturminante of order 3. Consider

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{kl} \operatorname{cof}(a_{kj})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{kl} \operatorname{cof}(a_$$

In fact, if $l \neq j$, then it is the expansion of the determinant in which two columns: like and jike columns are identical. Hence its balue = 0.

If l = j, then this is precisely the expansion of det A. on the same lines

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} C_{ik} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} cof(a_{ik}) = \begin{cases} o, & i \neq l \neq i \\ dot A, & i \neq l = i \end{cases}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{kl} C_{kj} = \delta_{lj} \det A = \begin{cases} 0, l \neq j \\ \det A, l = j \end{cases}$$

Determinant of transpose of a matrix Theorem For any nxn matrix A, dat A = dat At Proof. Induct on n. The theorem holds for m=1 and n=2 trivially. Assume That det A = det A t for all (n-1) x (n-1) matrices. Let B = At = [bij] = [aji]. Then expanding by the minors of the first column det A = a11 det A11 - a21 det A21 + (-1) andet An1 Likewise, expanding det B by the minors of The first now , det B = b,, det B - b, det B + ... + (-1) b B. Since $B_{1i} = (A_{ij})^{t}$ for all i, by induction hypothesis det $B_{1i} = \det A_{ij}$. Hence $\det A = \det B$.

Cramoe's Rule

Theorem 91 the system of equations Ax = b, where $A \in M$ (IK), $x = [x_1, \dots, x_n]^t$ and $b = [b_1, \dots b_n]^t$

has a nonzero coefficient determinant D = det A, then the system has precisely one solution. This sol! is given by

 $x_j = \frac{D_j}{D}$, j=1,2,...,n,

Here D; is the determinant obtained from D by replacing in D the jth column by the column $[b_1, ..., b_n]$ $\frac{Proof.}{}$ Multiply the first eq." by C_{ij} , the second by C_{2j}, the nth by C_{nj} and add: $C_{ij}(a_{11}x_1+...+a_{nn}x_n)+....+C_{nj}(a_{n1}x_1+...+a_{nn}x_n)$ $= b_1C_{ij}+....+b_nC_{nj}$

M (IK) = IK matrices of size nxn with

entries in 14.

Hence

$$(a_{11} C_{1j} \cdots + a_{n1} C_{nj}) x_1 + \cdots + (a_{1n} C_{1j} + \cdots + a_{nn} C_{nj}) x_n$$

$$= b_1 C_{1j} + \cdots + b_n C_{nj}$$
Since

Since

\[\sum_{\text{R}} a_{\text{R}} C_{\text{R}} = S_{\text{R}} \text{det A},
\]

We obtain

Hence, if det $A \neq 0$, $x_j = D_j$ $\frac{D_j}{\det(A)} = D_j$

This also prove that if det A + 0, Then the system has a unique solution.

Lemma of $A \in M$ (IK) is an upper diagonal or a lower diagonal matrix, Then

det A = the product of the diagonal elements.

Proof. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ be an upper diagonal matrix. Expand det A by the minors of the first column, to obtain

= a 11 a 22 a ny .

det A = a , dat

Į,

Propuetie,

(ii)
$$\det(\underline{A}\underline{B}) = \det(\underline{A}) \cdot \det(\underline{B})$$

(iii) If A is invertible, then det A to and

Rank and Determinants

det A-1 = 1 det A

Theorem. Let A = (aij) be an nxn matrix. Then

Mank A = max { m: det B +0, where B is some nxx square submatrix q A}

In facticular, for a square matrix $A_{n\times n}$, det $A \neq 0 \iff \text{ nank } A = n \iff A \text{ is invariable}$

Examples

(1) The matrix

 $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \end{bmatrix}$ $| (n+1) \times n$ $| (n+1) \times n$ | (n+1)(2) The matrix