

Problem - 1

$$\nabla g = \begin{bmatrix} 2(x_1+1) & -\mu_3 + \mu_1 \\ 2(x_2-2) & +\mu_2\mu_4 - \mu_4 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 - \mu_3 \\ -2 + \mu_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\therefore \mu_2 = 3, \mu_3 = 0$$

$$\therefore \partial h = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\therefore$  positive definite as  $\|H\| \neq 0$   
Hence it is global minimum.

Problem - 2

Taking random points,

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \mu_1 \begin{bmatrix} -3(1-x_1^2) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \mu_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 + \mu_1 - 3(1-x_1^2) \\ \mu_1 - \mu_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= -1 + \mu_1 - 3 + 3x_1^2 = 0$$

$$\mu_1 = \mu_2$$

### Problem - 3

$$\text{max } f = x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_3 + x_1 x_3$$
$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \text{ st } h = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 3 = 0$$

$$\text{min } g = -x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_3 - x_1 x_3$$
$$h = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 3 = 0$$

$$L = f + \lambda h = -x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_3 - x_1 x_3 - \lambda (x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 3)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = -x_2 - x_3 + \lambda = 0$$
$$-x_1 - x_3 + \lambda = 0$$
$$-x_2 - x_1 + \lambda = 0$$

$$x_3 = 3 - x_1 - x_2$$

$$\therefore \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 1$$
$$\lambda = 2$$



Reduced gradient:-

$$d = x_1, x_2 \quad h = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 3$$

$$s = x_3 = 3 - x_1 - x_2$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial s} \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 - x_3 \\ -x_1 - x_3 \end{bmatrix} - [-x_2 - x_1] (1) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -x_2 - x_3 \\ -x_1 - x_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ x_1 + x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - x_3 \\ x_2 - x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 1$$

Problem-4

$$\max_{x_1, x_2} f = 2x_1 + bx_2$$

$$\min_{x_1, x_2} g = -2x_1 - bx_2$$

$$g_1 = -x_1^2 - x_2^2 + 5 \geq 0$$

$$g_2 = x_2 - x_1 + 2 \geq 0$$

$x_1 = 1$ $x_2 = 8$ $n = 2$ $m = 2$
--

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \right) \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial s} \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = -2$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} = -b$$

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial s} = 4 - 2x_2$$

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} = 4 - 2x_1$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = -2 - (-b)x_1 \cdot x(4 - 2x_2) = 0$$

$$= -2 + b \left( \frac{x_1}{x_2} \right) = 0$$

$$-2 + b \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) = 0$$

$$\therefore b = 4$$



The above condition is contradictory as LHS  $\neq$  RHS at  $\lambda_0$  point  $(0,0)$ . Hence cannot solve using KKT condition.

Problem-5

$$\min_{x_1, x_2, x_3} f = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$$

$$h_1 = \frac{x_1^2}{4} + \frac{x_2^2}{8} + \frac{x_3^2}{25} - 1 = 0$$

$$h_2 = x_1 + x_2 - x_3 = 0 \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned} d &= x_1 \\ s &= x_2, x_3 \\ m &= 3 \\ n &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial d} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial d} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial s} \right) \frac{\partial h}{\partial d}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial d} = 2x_1, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_3} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} (2x_2) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3 \partial x_2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} (2x_3) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial s} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x_2 \partial x_3} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} (1) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x_3 \partial x_2} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} (-1) = 0$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial h}{\partial s} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = 1$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 2x - \left(0 \times \frac{1}{2}\right)(1) = 0$$

$$\therefore 2x_1 = 1$$

$$x_1 = 1/2$$

If  $h_2$  is considered

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 2x_1 - \left(0 \times \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}x_1\right) = 0$$

$$= 2x_1 - 1/2x_1 = 0$$

$$\therefore 3/2x_1 = 0$$

$$\therefore x_1 = 2/3$$

One search:-

$$f(x) = f(x_k, s_k) + \lambda \left( \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)^T \right)$$

$$f(2) = f(x_k, s_k) - 2 \left( \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)^T \right)$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \therefore$$

$$0 = (1 - ) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$$



The above condition is contradictory as  $LHS \neq RHS$  at  $P_0$  point  $(0,0)$ . Hence cannot solve using KKT condition.