

Fernando Granha Jeronimo

Research Statement

I am broadly interested in the mathematical foundations of computer science [Wig19]. More specifically, my research focuses on the still largely mysterious boundary between efficient and intractable computation. I am particularly attracted to mathematical structures and properties enabling efficient algorithms, and conversely, also to those underpinning hardness or obstructions for such algorithms. So far, my work has involved convex optimization, coding theory and expansion including their intersections. In this statement, I will first briefly describe the objects and tools most relevant to my research until now indicating where my research fits in. Then, I will provide more details in a summary of my completed projects all done in various collaborations. Finally, I will point some future directions.

Sum-of-Squares: Convex optimization has played an important role in the design of efficient algorithms for combinatorial optimization problems [Vaz01, WS11, GW95]. Linear programming and semi-definite programming reshaped our understanding of efficient computation. A natural generalization of these powerful tools is embodied in the Sum-of-Square (SOS) semi-definite hierarchy [Las15, FKP19, Dar20c], which captures the state-of-the-art polynomial time guarantees for many combinatorial optimization problems [Rag08, ARV04, BRS11, GS11]. Given this success, SOS has a double role in the study of computation. On the positive side, it can serve as a powerful tool to help advance the frontiers of efficient algorithms, and alternatively, a hardness result against this hierarchy can serve as a proxy for hardness, particularly useful for average case problems [BHK⁺16, KMOW17, GJJ⁺20] for which the sophisticated PCP machinery, e.g., [ALM⁺98, Din06, Aro98], for NP-hardness is not readily available. As I will discuss in more detail shortly, my research and interests span both algorithms [AJT19, AJQ⁺20, JQST20] and lower bounds [GJJ⁺20] involving this hierarchy. I believe my most important contribution so far is a decoding algorithm based on the SOS hierarchy [JQST20].

Codes: Roughly speaking, coding theory is the study of properties and algorithms related to sets of strings (*codes*) over finite alphabets [GRS19, vL99, Dar20a]. Typically, to really “unlock” interesting properties of codes, one brings to bear way more structure such as algebraic properties of low degree polynomials or structural properties of expanders graphs (the latter playing an important role in my research [AJQ⁺20, JQST20, JST20]). The quest towards optimal parameter trade-offs for codes is particularly important. For a concrete example, consider all the information communicated and stored in binary form. To protect it against corruptions, we might want to encode it using binary codes which are sufficiently robust to allow recovery from possible corruptions. Being able to encode with the least amount of redundancy while providing the desired robustness guarantees may have several benefits (e.g., reduced energy consumption, faster communication time, reduced storage requirement and less waste). Since coding theory is a reasonably mature

field dating back to seminal work of Shannon [Sha49] and Hamming [Ham50], one might guess that, by now, binary codes are thoroughly understood. Surprisingly, this is not at all the case and in several aspects binary codes are the elusive case compared to their larger alphabet counterparts [Gur09, Gur10]. For instance, in the case of general adversarial corruptions, we know since the 1950's [Gil52, Var57] that random binary codes achieve near optimal redundancy (rate) versus robustness (distance) trade-off, the so-called Gilbert–Varshamov (GV) bound. However, it was only in a recent breakthrough work that the first **explicit** construction of very robust (i.e., large distance) **binary** codes with parameters near the GV bound were discovered by Ta-Shma [TS17]. Ta-Shma's construction relies on the pseudorandom properties of expander graphs and it was **not** known to be efficiently decodable. In [JQST20], we used the SOS hierarchy to design polynomial time unique decoding algorithms for Ta-Shma's codes.

Expanders: Expansion is a phenomenon at the core of a myriad of results in theoretical computer science. To give a few examples, there are several expander based code constructions [ABN⁺92, SS96, GKO⁺17, HRW17, TS17, DHK⁺19], the combinatorial proof of the PCP Theorem [Din06] and agreement testers [DK17, DD19]. Roughly speaking, an expander graph is an explicit sparse well-connected graph admitting a variety of pseudorandom properties [HLW06, Chu97]. Expander graphs and coding theory have a synergetic two way multi-faceted relationship. As a concrete example (and the connection appearing in my research [AJQ⁺20, JQST20, JST20]), expander graphs can be used to resource efficiently amplify the distance of a base code. Now, we give a gist of how the expander properties may be useful in this process: (i) an expander being pseudorandom can imply large distance, (ii) an expander being sparse can imply lower redundancy, and (iii) an expander being explicit can imply explicit code. More recently, a systematic study of **hypergraph** expanders, the so-called high-dimensional expanders (see [Lub18, Dar20b]), has emerged. This brought a new set of questions ranging from coding theory to design of very efficient PCPs.

Completed Research Projects

In the following, I give brief descriptions of my completed projects in reverse chronological order, all done in various collaborations (please see my [website](#) for further details).

- **Unique decoding near optimal binary codes:** In [JQST20], we give polynomial time *unique decoding* algorithms for nearly optimal, in terms of redundancy (rate) versus robustness (distance) trade-off (i.e., near the so-called Gilbert–Varshamov bound [Gil52, Var57]), explicit **binary** codes of large distance. These codes are (essentially) those explicit binary codes of distance $1/2 - \epsilon$ and rate $\Omega(\epsilon^{2+o(1)})$ arising from the breakthrough construction of Ta-Shma [TS17]. Our algorithms are based on Sum-of-Squares hierarchy and use as a starting point a decoding framework from our earlier work [AJQ⁺20]. Our main contribution consists in overcoming the far from optimal rates from [AJQ⁺20] to operate in this near optimal regime for unique decoding. This result can be seen as a step towards a better understanding of the elusive case of binary codes in the general adversarial error model of Hamming [Ham50]. These algorithms are just a proof of concept showing that polynomial time algorithms exist in this previously unattained regime. This result opened avenues for our recent near-linear time unique algorithms [JST20] using a novel algorithmic weak regularity decomposition in the style of Frieze and Kannan [FK96] but for sparse tensors supported on expending hypergraphs. We hope that these techniques can also open avenues to list decoding algorithms with near optimal parameters, this being a major open problem in the field [Gur09, Gur10].
- **SOS lower bounds for the Sherrington–Kirkpatrick model:** In [GJJ⁺20], we show that

even as many as n^δ levels¹ of the SOS hierarchy (subexponential running time) still fail to provide a tighter energy upper bounds on the Sherrington–Kirkpatrick (SK) Hamiltonian [SK75] than the trivial, and non-tight, spectral bound. The SK Hamiltonian is a widely studied fundamental model of spin-glass in statistical physics admitting surprising connections [Tal06, Pan14, MS16, KB19, Mon19, MRX20] (e.g., the max-cut value of a random d -regular graphs is “determined” by this model [DMS17]). Despite this mouthful description, the SK model simply consists in maximizing a familiar² quadratic form $x^t M x$, with x ranging in $\{\pm 1\}^n$ and the twist that M is an $n \times n$ random symmetric matrix with independent Gaussian entries³. With high probability this quadratic form has value $\approx 1.5264 \cdot n^{3/2}$ whereas SOS thinks its value is $(2 + o(1)) \cdot n^{3/2}$ (the spectral bound). We actually obtain lower bounds for another natural problem by doing *Fourier analysis of random matrices* [AMP20], and then via known connections [MRX20] derive the SK lower bound.

- **Tighter bounds for the Birkhoff graph:** In [CJ20], we provide tighter bounds for the independence number of the Birkhoff graph family. This is a family of Cayley graphs on the symmetric group S_n encoding the skeleton of the so-called Birkhoff polytope of doubly stochastic matrices [Bir46, Bar02]. Our results are obtained by making the beautiful representation theoretic techniques of Kane, Lovett and Rao [KLR17] “higher-order” (by analyzing more irreducible representations) and using linear programming. In particular, this allows us to improve their upper bound from $O(n!/\sqrt{2}^n)$ to $O(n!/1.97^n)$. By known connections this readily implies stronger alphabet lower bounds for a family of codes for distributed storage [GHJY14, GHK⁺17].
- **List decoding framework for binary codes:** In [AJQ⁺20], we provide a *list decoding* framework for distance amplified codes based on expanding structures: high-dimensional expanders (as in Dinur and Kaufman definition [DK17]) and walks on expander graphs. Roughly, list decoding is a relaxed decoding model in which we double the unique decoding radius at the expense of possibly having a small list of codewords rather than at most one. We view the problem of *unique decoding* as solving a suitable Max k -CSP (Constraint Satisfaction Problem) instance, which can be solved using our earlier work [AJT19] based on the SOS hierarchy. To obtain the list decoding framework, we maximize an entropic proxy⁴ while solving a k -CSP. This makes the SOS solution rich enough so that we can “recover” a list of all the desired codewords from it. A noteworthy novelty of this work is that this framework can decode distance amplified **binary** codes obtained from **binary** codes of smaller distance. Although this gives a new “genuinely binary” algorithmic technique, our rates were very far from the state-of-the-art results which typically rely on large alphabet techniques. Despite this parameter shortcoming, this framework served as our starting point for *unique decoding* results of nearly optimal codes [JQST20] mentioned above.
- **Approximating k -CSPs on expanding structures:** In [AJT19], we give polynomial time approximation algorithms for k -CSPs (Constraint Satisfaction Problems) on suitably⁵ expanding hypergraphs, which is a class of structures containing high-dimensional expanders (as in Dinur and Kaufman definition [DK17]) as an important special case. Naturally, the quality of approximation crucially depends on quality of expansion of these hypergraphs.

¹Here, $\delta > 0$ is an universal constant.

²Note that when M is the Laplacian of graph this maximization problem becomes the familiar MaxCut problem.

³More precisely, M is from the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble $\text{GOE}(n)$ (see [Tao12]).

⁴This entropic idea was independently used for list decoding in the context of machine learning by Karmalkar, Klivans and Kothari [KKK19] and Raghavendra and Yau [RY19]. Our results deal with finite fields/alphabets whereas theirs deal with \mathbb{R} . Among others, this leads to a variety of technical differences.

⁵More precisely, we generalize to hypergraphs the notion of threshold rank of a graph [BRS11] which is a robust version of expansion tolerating a few, i.e., $O(1)$, large eigenvalues (the rank) in the adjacency matrix of a graph.

Our algorithmic results are based on the SOS hierarchy and generalize the 2-CSPs results of [BRS11, GS11]. Our results can be seen as a step towards better understanding structural properties of hypergraphs making k -CSPs easy to approximate. On the flip side, this result can also better inform what particular conditions⁶ to avoid when trying to design hard k -CSP instances using hypergraphs, say, when designing a PCP. Via known connections, our algorithms translate into approximation algorithms for quantum k -CSPs, the so-called k -local Quantum Hamiltonians. However, contrary to the classical case where PCP theorems are known, the Quantum PCP Hamiltonian Conjecture [AAV13] is widely open.

Future Directions

In this section, I will point some reasonably concrete near future directions, but I stress that I am not claiming any progress just expressing some of my interests⁷. Most of these projects are being pursued in various collaborations. Some of these problems might be quite challenging in which case any improved understanding might already constitute a nice outcome. I hope that during the postdoc serendipitous new research directions and techniques will emerge by being in a new research environment.

The following are some directions being actively pursued.

- **Explicit binary codes near list decoding capacity:** List decoding provides a candidate bridge to achieve the best parameters of the simpler error model of Shannon [Sha49] in the general adversarial error regime of Hamming [Ham50]. Finding explicit optimal binary codes admitting efficient list decoding algorithms is a major open problem in coding theory [Gur09, Gur10]. Prior to the work of Ta-Shma [TS17], we had no idea what **explicit** near optimal binary codes for unique decoding looked like. Now, we do and more recently obtained unique decoding algorithms for them [JQT20, JST20]. Before trying to extend our algorithms to list decoding achieving near optimal parameters⁸, we can ask a weaker yet quite challenging information theoretic question of whether Ta-Shma's codes are *combinatorially list decodable*, i.e., all list sizes are small regardless of the computational cost to find them. Resolving this question seems to require a reasonably more sophisticated use of the pseudorandom properties of expander graphs than done in [TS17], and hopefully might deepen our understanding of the connection between expanders and codes. Currently, only random ensembles of binary codes are known to achieve (near) optimal list decoding radius versus rate trade-offs⁹ [MRRZ⁺19, GR08, GRS19], so only randomized analysis are available.
- **What exactly are optimal binary codes?:** Another longstanding mystery about binary codes is that we still do not have a fine-grained understanding of the rate versus distance trade-offs they achieve. We know that random binary codes achieve great parameters, but we do not know whether this is best possible. For codes of distance $1/2 - \epsilon$, a random code achieves rate $\Omega(\epsilon^2)$ whereas the best upper bound (impossibility result) is $O(\epsilon^2 \log(1/\epsilon))$ (see [Del75, MRRW77, Lau07, NS09, Alo09, Val19] for some upper bound related results). Improving any of these bounds (if possible) would be wonderful. For now, we are trying to tighten the upper bound. The state-of-the-art upper bound can be obtained via a theoretical analysis of linear programs [MRRW77]. We are trying to understand a natural hierarchy of

⁶Of course the connection of, say, high-dimensional expanders and CSPs can take many forms, see [DFHT20] for a variation that already yields SOS hard instances.

⁷Moreover, I will omit any partial approaches and results that we may have.

⁸With respect to list decoding radius and rate.

⁹These random ensembles actually achieve optimal list decoding radius versus rate trade-offs.

linear programs (for linear codes) and semi-definite programs (for non-linear codes) based on Fourier analysis and representation theory, respectively.

- **Efficient list decoding for random LDPC codes:** Recently, a random ensemble of low-density parity-check (LDPC), i.e., when the parity check matrix has a constant number of non-zero entries in each row, codes was shown to achieve list decoding capacity. However, the problem of efficient list decoding was left open while the problem of unique decoding was previously known to take only linear time [MRRZ⁺19]. In this project, we are trying to understand this algorithmic list problem for LDPC codes.
- **Are there good quantum LDPC codes?:** Classical codes having constant rate and constant relative distance (i.e., good codes) are known even if we require them to be low-density parity-check (LDPC) codes. However, no such quantum code is known [EKZ20, KT20, HHO20]. We are studying the best rate distance trade-offs of quantum LDPCs together with companion decoding algorithms (this project is in its initial stages). Expansion seems to play an important role in the construction of this kind of quantum code and convex optimization techniques may be helpful for decoding.

The following directions are being cautiously and less actively pursued for now.

- **SOS lower bounds for independence number of sparse random graphs:** It seems that we have a long way to go in terms of acquiring a systematic understanding of the limitations of the Sum-of-Squares hierarchy. Towards this direction, an elegant open problem is to show limitations on the SOS power of certifying good upper bounds on the independence number of sparse $\mathcal{G}_{n,d/n}$ Erdős–Rényi random graphs, for $d = O(1)$ or at most some slowly growing function of n . This problem has some structural similarities to the celebrated planted clique problem on the dense $\mathcal{G}_{n,1/2}$ Erdős–Rényi random graphs [BHK⁺16]. However, it challenges the current SOS lower bound machinery in several aspects.
- **Subexponential time guarantees for MaxCut:** What are the best approximation guarantees for the MaxCut problem in the $1 - \varepsilon$ regime that can be obtained with subexponential time Sum-of-Squares (i.e., using $o(n)$ levels)?
- **PCP for MA:** Can we design a suitable PCP theorem for MA? Interestingly, this question seems to lie between the widely open Quantum PCP Hamiltonian Conjecture [AAV13] and the now well established PCP theorems for NP. Using a recent connection [AB19], a suitable PCP for MA could imply MA=NP.

With the emerging theory of high-dimensional expanders, the hope for a *good* (constant rate and constant relative distance) Locally Testable Codes (LTCs) was reinvigorated [DDHRZ20]. I keep an open eye for any opportunities in this direction.

Combinatorial ideas gave us a good control on the construction of expanders graphs, as in the zig-zag product of [RVW00], and the PCP Theorem by gap amplification of [Din06] (providing alternatives to the previous more algebraic approaches), I wonder whether the Unique Games Conjecture [Kho10] can be tackled using combinatorial ideas.

Long Term Views

As theoretical computer science experiences a revolution in terms of its increased diversity, depth and impact to other sciences, I hope to contribute to this multidisciplinary effort by establishing bridges among its various (and constantly emerging) ramifications as well as by deepening its connection to mathematics. I am certain that the Sum-of-Squares hierarchy, coding theory and expansion will be part of my future explorations, but I want to keep an evergrowing horizon.

References

- [AAV13] Dorit Aharonov, Itai Arad, and Thomas Vidick. Guest column: The quantum PCP conjecture. *SIGACT News*, 44(2), June 2013. [4](#), [5](#)
- [AB19] D. Aharonov and A. Bredariol Grilo. Stoquastic PCP vs. randomness. In *Proceedings of the 60th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 1000–1023, 2019. [5](#)
- [ABN⁺92] N. Alon, J. Bruck, J. Naor, M. Naor, and R. Roth. Construction of asymptotically good, low-rate error-correcting codes through pseudo-random graphs. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 28:509–516, 1992. [2](#)
- [AJQ⁺20] Vedat Levi Alev, Fernando Granha Jeronimo, Dylan Quintana, Shashank Srivastava, and Madhur Tulsiani. List decoding of direct sum codes. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, pages 1412–1425. SIAM, 2020. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- [AJT19] Vedat Levi Alev, Fernando Granha Jeronimo, and Madhur Tulsiani. Approximating constraint satisfaction problems on high-dimensional expanders. In *Proceedings of the 60th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 180–201, 2019. [1](#), [3](#)
- [ALM⁺98] S. Arora, C. Lund, R. Motwani, M. Sudan, and M. Szegedy. Proof verification and hardness of approximation problems. *Journal of the ACM*, 45(3):501–555, 1998. Preliminary version in *Proc. of FOCS'92*. [1](#)
- [Alo09] Noga Alon. Perturbed identity matrices have high rank: Proof and applications. *Comb. Probab. Comput.*, 18(1-2):3–15, 2009. [4](#)
- [AMP20] Kwangjun Ahn, Dhruv Medarametla, and Aaron Potechin. Graph matrices: Norm bounds and applications. [abs/1604.03423](#), 2020. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.03423>, [arXiv:1604.03423](#). [3](#)
- [Aro98] S. Arora. The approximability of NP-hard problems. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 337–348, 1998. [1](#)
- [ARV04] Sanjeev Arora, Satish Rao, and Umesh Vazirani. Expander flows and a $\sqrt{\log n}$ -approximation to sparsest cut. In *Proceedings of the 36th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, 2004. [1](#)
- [Bar02] A. Barvinok. *A Course in Convexity*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2002. [3](#)
- [BHK⁺16] B. Barak, S. B. Hopkins, J. Kelner, P. Kothari, A. Moitra, and A. Potechin. A nearly tight sum-of-squares lower bound for the planted clique problem, 2016. [1](#), [5](#)
- [Bir46] G. Birkhoff. Tres observaciones sobre el algebra lineal. *Universidad Nacional de Tucuman, Revista. Serie A*, 5, 1946. [3](#)
- [BRS11] Boaz Barak, Prasad Raghavendra, and David Steurer. Rounding semidefinite programming hierarchies via global correlation. In *Proceedings of the 52nd IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 472–481, 2011. [1](#), [3](#), [4](#)
- [Chu97] F. R. K. Chung. *Spectral Graph Theory*. American Mathematical Society, 1997. [2](#)
- [CJ20] Leonardo Nagami Coregliano and Fernando Granha Jeronimo. Tighter Bounds on the Independence Number of the Birkhoff Graph, 2020. [arXiv:2007.05841](#). [3](#)

- [Dar20a] Darintuga. Reading list on coding theory, 2020. URL: https://darintuga.github.io/doc/codes_reading.pdf. 1
- [Dar20b] Darintuga. Reading list on high-dimensional expanders, 2020. URL: https://darintuga.github.io/doc/hdx_group.pdf. 2
- [Dar20c] Darintuga. Reading list on the sum-of-squares hierarchy, 2020. URL: https://darintuga.github.io/doc/sos_reading.pdf. 1
- [DD19] Yotam Dikstein and Irit Dinur. Agreement testing theorems on layered set systems. In *Proceedings of the 60th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, 2019. 2
- [DDHRZ20] Yotam Dikstein, Irit Dinur, Prahladh Harsha, and Noga Ron-Zewi. Locally testable codes via high-dimensional expanders, 2020. [arXiv:2005.01045](https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.01045). 5
- [Del75] P. Delsarte. The association schemes of coding theory. In *Combinatorics*, pages 143–161. Springer Netherlands, 1975. 4
- [DFHT20] Irit Dinur, Yuval Filmus, Prahladh Harsha, and Madhur Tulsiani. Explicit sos lower bounds from high-dimensional expanders, 2020. [arXiv:2009.05218](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.05218). 4
- [DHK⁺19] Irit Dinur, Prahladh Harsha, Tali Kaufman, Inbal Livni Navon, and Amnon Ta-Shma. List decoding with double samplers. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, pages 2134–2153, 2019. 2
- [Din06] Irit Dinur. The PCP theorem by gap amplification. In *Proc. 38th ACM Symp. on Theory of Computing*, pages 241–250, 2006. 1, 2, 5
- [DK17] Irit Dinur and Tali Kaufman. High dimensional expanders imply agreement expanders. In *Proceedings of the 58th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 974–985, 2017. 2, 3
- [DMS17] Amir Dembo, Andrea Montanari, and Subhabrata Sen. Extremal cuts of sparse random graphs. *Ann. Probab.*, 45(2):1190–1217, 03 2017. 3
- [EKZ20] Shai Evra, Tali Kaufman, and Gilles ZÃmor. Decodable quantum ldpc codes beyond the \sqrt{n} distance barrier using high dimensional expanders, 2020. [arXiv:2004.07935](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.07935). 5
- [FK96] A. Frieze and R. Kannan. The regularity lemma and approximation schemes for dense problems. In *Proceedings of the 37th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, 1996. 2
- [FKP19] N. Fleming, P. Kothari, and T. Pitassi. *Semialgebraic Proofs and Efficient Algorithm Design*. 2019. 1
- [GHJY14] P. Gopalan, C. Huang, B. Jenkins, and S. Yekhanin. Explicit maximally recoverable codes with locality. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 60(9):5245–5256, Sep. 2014. 3
- [GHK⁺17] P. Gopalan, G. Hu, S. Kopparty, S. Saraf, C. Wang, and S. Yekhanin. Maximally recoverable codes for grid-like topologies. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, 2017. 3
- [Gil52] E.N. Gilbert. A comparison of signalling alphabets. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 31:504–522, 1952. 2

- [GJJ⁺20] Mrinalkanti Ghosh, Fernando Granha Jeronimo, Chris Jones, Aaron Potechin, and Goutham Rajendran. Sum-of-Squares Lower Bounds for Sherrington-Kirkpatrick via Planted Affine Planes. In *Proceedings of the 61st IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, 2020. 1, 2
- [GKO⁺17] Sivakanth Gopi, Swastik Kopparty, Rafael Oliveira, Noga Ron-Zewi, and Shubhangi Saraf. Locally testable and locally correctable codes approaching the Gilbert-Varshamov bound. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, SODA '17, pages 2073–2091, 2017. 2
- [GR08] Venkatesan Guruswami and Atri Rudra. Concatenated codes can achieve list-decoding capacity. In *Proceedings of the 19th ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, SODA '08, pages 258–267, 2008. 4
- [GRS19] Venkatesan Guruswami, Atri Rudra, and Madhu Sudan. Essential coding theory. Available at <https://cse.buffalo.edu/faculty/atri/courses/coding-theory/book/index.html>, 2019. 1, 4
- [GS11] Venkatesan Guruswami and Ali Kemal Sinop. Lasserre hierarchy, higher eigenvalues, and approximation schemes for graph partitioning and quadratic integer programming with psd objectives. In *Proceedings of the 52nd IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 482–491, 2011. 1, 4
- [Gur09] Venkatesan Guruswami. List decoding of binary codes—a brief survey of some recent results. In *Coding and Cryptology*, pages 97–106. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009. 2, 4
- [Gur10] Venkatesan Guruswami. Bridging Shannon and Hamming: List error-correction with optimal rate. In *ICM*, 2010. 2, 4
- [GW95] M.X. Goemans and D.P. Williamson. Improved approximation algorithms for maximum cut and satisfiability problems using semidefinite programming. *Journal of the ACM*, 42(6):1115–1145, 1995. Preliminary version in *Proc. of STOC'94*. 1
- [Ham50] Richard Hamming. Error detecting and error correcting codes. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 29:147–160, 1950. 2, 4
- [HHO20] Matthew B. Hastings, Jeongwan Haah, and Ryan O'Donnell. Fiber Bundle Codes: Breaking the $n^{1/2} \text{polylog}(n)$ Barrier for Quantum LDPC Codes, 2020. [arXiv:2009.03921](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.03921). 5
- [HLW06] Shlomo Hoory, Nathan Linial, and Avi Wigderson. Expander graphs and their applications. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 43(04):439–562, August 2006. 2
- [HRW17] B. Hemenway, N. Ron-Zewi, and M. Wootters. Local list recovery of high-rate tensor codes applications. In *Proceedings of the 58th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 204–215, Oct 2017. 2
- [JQST20] Fernando Granha Jeronimo, Dylan Quintana, Shashank Srivastava, and Madhur Tulsiani. Unique Decoding of Explicit ϵ -balanced Codes Near the Gilbert-Varshamov Bound. In *Proceedings of the 61st IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, 2020. 1, 2, 3, 4

- [JST20] Fernando Granha Jeronimo, Shashank Srivastava, and Madhur Tulsiani. Near-linear Time Decoding of Ta-Shma’s Codes via Splittable Regularity. Manuscript, 2020. [1](#), [2](#), [4](#)
- [KB19] Dmitriy Kunisky and Afonso S. Bandeira. A tight degree 4 sum-of-squares lower bound for the sherrington-kirkpatrick hamiltonian. [abs/1907.11686](#), 2019. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.11686>, [arXiv:1907.11686](#). [3](#)
- [Kho10] S. Khot. On the unique games conjecture (invited survey). In *2010 IEEE 25th Annual Conference on Computational Complexity*, pages 99–121, 2010. [5](#)
- [KKK19] Sushrut Karmalkar, Adam R. Klivans, and Pravesh K. Kothari. List-decodable linear regression. *CoRR*, [abs/1905.05679](#), 2019. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1905.05679>, [arXiv:1905.05679](#). [3](#)
- [KLR17] D. Kane, S. Lovett, and S. Rao. The independence number of the birkhoff polytope graph, and applications to maximally recoverable codes. In *Proceedings of the 58th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 252–259, 2017. [3](#)
- [KMOW17] Pravesh Kothari, Ryuhei Mori, Ryan O’Donnell, and David Witmer. Sum of squares lower bounds for refuting any CSP. In *Proceedings of the 49th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, 2017. [1](#)
- [KT20] Tali Kaufman and Ran J. Tessler. New cosystolic expanders from tensors imply explicit quantum ldpc codes with $\omega(\sqrt{n} \log^k n)$ distance, 2020. [arXiv:2008.09495](#). [5](#)
- [Las15] Jean Bernard Lasserre. *An Introduction to Polynomial and Semi-Algebraic Optimization*. Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2015. [doi: 10.1017/CB09781107447226](#). [1](#)
- [Lau07] Monique Laurent. Strengthened semidefinite programming bounds for codes. *Math. Program.*, 109(2-3):239–261, March 2007. [4](#)
- [Lub18] Alexander Lubotzky. High dimensional expanders. In *ICM*, 2018. [2](#)
- [Mon19] A. Montanari. Optimization of the sherrington-kirkpatrick hamiltonian. In *Proceedings of the 60th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 1417–1433, 2019. [3](#)
- [MRRW77] R. McEliece, E. Rodemich, H. Rumsey, and L. Welch. New upper bounds on the rate of a code via the Delsarte-MacWilliams inequalities. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 23(2):157–166, 1977. [4](#)
- [MRRZ⁺19] Jonathan Mosheiff, Nicolas Resch, Noga Ron-Zewi, Shashwat Silas, and Mary Wootters. LDPC codes achieve list decoding capacity. In *Proceedings of the 61st IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, 2019. [4](#), [5](#)
- [MRX20] Sidhanth Mohanty, Prasad Raghavendra, and Jeff Xu. Lifting sum-of-squares lower bounds: Degree-2 to degree-4. In *Proceedings of the 52nd ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, 2020. [3](#)
- [MS16] Andrea Montanari and Subhabrata Sen. Semidefinite programs on sparse random graphs and their application to community detection. In *Proceedings of the 48th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 814–827, 2016. [3](#)

- [NS09] Michael Navon and Alex Samorodnitsky. Linear programming bounds for codes via a covering argument. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 41(2):199–207, March 2009. 4
- [Pan14] Dmitry Panchenko. The parisi formula for mixed p -spin models. *Ann. Probab.*, 42(3):946–958, 05 2014. 3
- [Rag08] Prasad Raghavendra. Optimal algorithms and inapproximability results for every CSP? In *Proceedings of the 40th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 245–254, 2008. 1
- [RVW00] O. Reingold, S. Vadhan, and A. Wigderson. Entropy waves, the zig-zag graph product, and new constant-degree expanders and extractors. In *Proceedings of the 41st IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, 2000. 5
- [RY19] Prasad Raghavendra and Morris Yau. List decodable learning via sum of squares. CoRR, abs/1905.04660, 2019. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1905.04660>, arXiv:1905.04660. 3
- [Sha49] Claude Shannon. The synthesis of two-terminal switching circuits. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 28:59–98, 1949. 2, 4
- [SK75] David Sherrington and Scott Kirkpatrick. Solvable model of a spin-glass. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 35:1792–1796, Dec 1975. 3
- [SS96] M. Sipser and D. Spielman. Expander codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 42(6):1710–1722, 1996. Preliminary version in *Proc. of FOCS'94*. 2
- [Tal06] Michel Talagrand. The parisi formula. *Annals of mathematics*, pages 221–263, 2006. 3
- [Tao12] Terence Tao. *Topics in Random Matrix Theory*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2012. 3
- [TS17] Amnon Ta-Shma. Explicit, almost optimal, epsilon-balanced codes. In *Proceedings of the 49th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, STOC 2017, pages 238–251, New York, NY, USA, 2017. ACM. 2, 4
- [Val19] Frank Vallentin. Semidefinite programming bounds for error-correcting codes. *ArXiv*, abs/1902.01253, 2019. 4
- [Var57] R.R. Varshamov. Estimate of the number of signals in error correcting codes. *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, 117:739–741, 1957. 2
- [Vaz01] Vijay V. Vazirani. *Approximation Algorithms*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2001. 1
- [vL99] Jacobus H. van Lint. *Introduction to Coding Theory*. Springer-Verlag, 1999. 1
- [Wig19] Avi Wigderson. *Mathematics and Computation: A Theory Revolutionizing Technology and Science*. Princeton University Press, 2019. 1
- [WS11] David P. Williamson and David B. Shmoys. *The Design of Approximation Algorithms*. Cambridge University Press, USA, 1st edition, 2011. 1