



GRANITE PYTH ORACLE UPGRADE SECURITY REVIEW

Conducted by:
KRISTIAN APOSTOLOV, ALIN BARBATEI (ABA)

FEBRUARY 6TH, 2025



CONTENTS

1. About Clarity Alliance	2
2. Disclaimer	3
3. Introduction	4
4. About Granite	4
5. Risk Classification	5
5.1. Impact	5
5.2. Likelihood	5
5.3. Action required for severity levels	5
6. Security Assessment Summary	6
7. Executive Summary	7
8. Summary of Findings	8
8.1. High Findings	9
[H-01] Liquidity Providers Don't Receive Up-to- date Interest	9
8.2. Medium Findings	10
[M-01] Pyth Price Confidence Interval Is Not Validated	10
[M-02] Stale Price May Be Considered Valid	11
[M-03] Accruing Interest Does Not Account for Current Block	13
8.3. Low Findings	15
[L-01] Pyth Adapter Staleness Threshold Limit Is Not Validated	15
8.4. QA Findings	16
[QA-01] Outdated or Ambiguous Pyth Adapter Documentation	16
[QA-02] Simplification of Accrue Interest Operation	17
[QA-03] Improve Contract Comments	18
[QA-04] Implement a Bulk Read Prices Function	19
[QA-05] Typographical Error	20
[QA-06] Overlapping Error Codes With Dependencies	21

1. About Clarity Alliance

Clarity Alliance is a team of expert whitehat hackers specialising in securing protocols on Stacks.

They have disclosed vulnerabilities that have saved millions in live TVL and conducted thorough reviews for some of the largest projects across the Stacks ecosystem.

Learn more about Clarity Alliance at clarityalliance.org.



CONTENTS

1. About Clarity Alliance	2
2. Disclaimer	3
3. Introduction	4
4. About Granite	4
5. Risk Classification	5
5.1. Impact	5
5.2. Likelihood	5
5.3. Action required for severity levels	5
6. Security Assessment Summary	6
7. Executive Summary	7
8. Summary of Findings	8
8.1. High Findings	9
[H-01] Liquidity Providers Don't Receive Up-to-date Interest	9
8.2. Medium Findings	10
[M-01] Pyth Price Confidence Interval Is Not Validated	10
[M-02] Stale Price May Be Considered Valid	11
[M-03] Accruing Interest Does Not Account for Current Block	13
8.3. Low Findings	15
[L-01] Pyth Adapter Staleness Threshold Limit Is Not Validated	15
8.4. QA Findings	16
[QA-01] Outdated or Ambiguous Pyth Adapter Documentation	16
[QA-02] Simplification of Accrue Interest Operation	17
[QA-03] Improve Contract Comments	18
[QA-04] Implement a Bulk Read Prices Function	19
[QA-05] Typographical Error	20
[QA-06] Overlapping Error Codes With Dependencies	21

2. Disclaimer

This report is not, nor should be considered, an “endorsement” or “disapproval” of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any “product” or “asset” created by any team or project that contracts Clarity Alliance to perform a security assessment.

This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model or legal compliance.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

Blockchain technology and cryptographic assets present a high level of ongoing risk. Clarity Alliance’s position is that each company and individual are responsible for their own due diligence and continuous security. Clarity Alliance’s goal is to help reduce the attack vectors and the high level of variance associated with utilizing new and consistently changing technologies, and in no way claims any guarantee of security or functionality of the technology we agree to analyze.

The assessment services provided by Clarity Alliance are subject to dependencies and under continuing development. You agree that your access and/or use, including but not limited to any services, reports, and materials, will be at your sole risk on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis.

Cryptographic tokens are emergent technologies and carry with them high levels of technical risk and uncertainty. The assessment reports could include false positives, false negatives, and other unpredictable results. The services may access, and depend upon, multiple layers of third parties. Notice that smart contracts deployed on the blockchain are not resistant from internal/external exploit. Notice that active smart contract owner privileges constitute an elevated impact to any smart contract’s safety and security. Therefore, Clarity Alliance does not guarantee the explicit security of the audited smart contract, regardless of the verdict.



CONTENTS

1. About Clarity Alliance	2
2. Disclaimer	3
3. Introduction	4
4. About Granite	4
5. Risk Classification	5
5.1. Impact	5
5.2. Likelihood	5
5.3. Action required for severity levels	5
6. Security Assessment Summary	6
7. Executive Summary	7
8. Summary of Findings	8
8.1. High Findings	9
[H-01] Liquidity Providers Don't Receive Up-to- date Interest	9
8.2. Medium Findings	10
[M-01] Pyth Price Confidence Interval Is Not Validated	10
[M-02] Stale Price May Be Considered Valid	11
[M-03] Accruing Interest Does Not Account for Current Block	13
8.3. Low Findings	15
[L-01] Pyth Adapter Staleness Threshold Limit Is Not Validated	15
8.4. QA Findings	16
[QA-01] Outdated or Ambiguous Pyth Adapter Documentation	16
[QA-02] Simplification of Accrue Interest Operation	17
[QA-03] Improve Contract Comments	18
[QA-04] Implement a Bulk Read Prices Function	19
[QA-05] Typographical Error	20
[QA-06] Overlapping Error Codes With Dependencies	21

3. Introduction

A time-boxed security review of Granite Pyth Oracle Upgrade, where Clarity Alliance reviewed the scope and provided insights on improving the protocol.

4. About Granite

The Granite Protocol is an autonomous Bitcoin liquidity protocol where users can participate as liquidity providers, borrowers, or liquidators.

The protocol allows borrowers to take stablecoin loans using Bitcoin as collateral, without exposure to counterparty or rehypothecation risk. Liquidity providers can earn yield on stablecoins by providing liquidity to the pool, which is then lent to borrowers. Loans in Granite are best thought of as lines of credit, without set terms or repayment schedules. As long as the borrower maintains an adequate loan-to-value ratio (LTV), keeping their account in good health, they are not subject to liquidation. If a borrower's LTV falls too low, a portion of their capital will be liquidated to bring their account back to solvency.

Granite enables BTC users to access DeFi without centralized custodians by leveraging Stacks' Nakamoto upgrade and sBTC Bitcoin bridge.



CONTENTS

1. About Clarity Alliance	2
2. Disclaimer	3
3. Introduction	4
4. About Granite	4
5. Risk Classification	5
5.1. Impact	5
5.2. Likelihood	5
5.3. Action required for severity levels	5
6. Security Assessment Summary	6
7. Executive Summary	7
8. Summary of Findings	8
8.1. High Findings	9
[H-01] Liquidity Providers Don't Receive Up-to- date Interest	9
8.2. Medium Findings	10
[M-01] Pyth Price Confidence Interval Is Not Validated	10
[M-02] Stale Price May Be Considered Valid	11
[M-03] Accruing Interest Does Not Account for Current Block	13
8.3. Low Findings	15
[L-01] Pyth Adapter Staleness Threshold Limit Is Not Validated	15
8.4. QA Findings	16
[QA-01] Outdated or Ambiguous Pyth Adapter Documentation	16
[QA-02] Simplification of Accrue Interest Operation	17
[QA-03] Improve Contract Comments	18
[QA-04] Implement a Bulk Read Prices Function	19
[QA-05] Typographical Error	20
[QA-06] Overlapping Error Codes With Dependencies	21

5. Risk Classification

Severity	Impact: High	Impact: Medium	Impact: Low
Likelihood: High	Critical	High	Medium
Likelihood: Medium	High	Medium	Low
Likelihood: Low	Medium	Low	Low

5.1 Impact

- High - leads to a significant material loss of assets in the protocol or significantly harms a group of users.
- Medium - only a small amount of funds can be lost (such as leakage of value) or a core functionality of the protocol is affected.
- Low - can lead to any kind of unexpected behavior with some of the protocol's functionalities that's not so critical.

5.2 Likelihood

- High - attack path is possible with reasonable assumptions that mimic on-chain conditions, and the cost of the attack is relatively low compared to the amount of funds that can be stolen or lost.
- Medium - only a conditionally incentivized attack vector, but still relatively likely.
- Low - has too many or too unlikely assumptions or requires a significant stake by the attacker with little or no incentive.

5.3 Action required for severity levels

- Critical - Must fix as soon as possible (if already deployed)
- High - Must fix (before deployment if not already deployed)
- Medium - Should fix
- Low - Could fix

CONTENTS

1. About Clarity Alliance	2
2. Disclaimer	3
3. Introduction	4
4. About Granite	4
5. Risk Classification	5
5.1. Impact	5
5.2. Likelihood	5
5.3. Action required for severity levels	5
6. Security Assessment Summary	6
7. Executive Summary	7
8. Summary of Findings	8
8.1. High Findings	9
[H-01] Liquidity Providers Don't Receive Up-to- date Interest	9
8.2. Medium Findings	10
[M-01] Pyth Price Confidence Interval Is Not Validated	10
[M-02] Stale Price May Be Considered Valid	11
[M-03] Accruing Interest Does Not Account for Current Block	13
8.3. Low Findings	15
[L-01] Pyth Adapter Staleness Threshold Limit Is Not Validated	15
8.4. QA Findings	16
[QA-01] Outdated or Ambiguous Pyth Adapter Documentation	16
[QA-02] Simplification of Accrue Interest Operation	17
[QA-03] Improve Contract Comments	18
[QA-04] Implement a Bulk Read Prices Function	19
[QA-05] Typographical Error	20
[QA-06] Overlapping Error Codes With Dependencies	21

6. Security Assessment Summary

Scope

The following contracts were reviewed across multiple pull requests:

- [PR #236](#)
 - `contracts/borrower.clar`
 - `contracts/governance.clar`
 - `contracts/liquidator.clar`
 - `contracts/modules/pyth-adapter.clar`
- [PR #239](#)
 - `contracts/modules/pyth-adapter.clar`
- [PR #249](#)
 - `contracts/modules/pyth-adapter-v1.clar`
- [PR #237](#)
 - `contracts/modules/linear-kinked-ir.clar`
 - `contracts/state.clar`
- [PR #244](#)
 - `contracts/borrower-v1.clar`
 - `contracts/liquidator-v1.clar`
- [PR #245](#)
 - `contracts/liquidator-v1.clar`

Initial Commit Reviewed:

[a881f0cdfb0852ac6817cb467c12723730470794](#)

Final Commit After Audit Remediations:

[790eb304b03c630a7fd0cd5ece6eb90afd5c83cc](#)

This commit is equivalent to [82737a812c05b4931b28974909bc3ad575e20e56](#) on the public [GraniteProtocol/core-v1](#) repository from a smart contract perspective, with the following minor production-related changes (also reviewed by us):

- Added SPDX-License-Identifier: BUSL-1.1 copyright.
- Replaced mock-usdc token with 'SP3Y2ZSH8P7D50B0VBTSX11S7XSG24M1VB9YFQA4K.token-aeusdc'.
- Replaced the local trait reference .trait-sip-010.sip-010-trait with the on-chain version 'SP3FBR2AGK5H9QBDH3EEN6DF8EK8JY7RX8QJ5SVTE.sip-010-trait-ft-standard.sip-010-trait'.
- Governance proposal acceptance threshold reduced from 66% to 60%.

CONTENTS

1. About Clarity Alliance	2
2. Disclaimer	3
3. Introduction	4
4. About Granite	4
5. Risk Classification	5
5.1. Impact	5
5.2. Likelihood	5
5.3. Action required for severity levels	5
6. Security Assessment Summary	6
7. Executive Summary	7
8. Summary of Findings	8
8.1. High Findings	9
[H-01] Liquidity Providers Don't Receive Up-to- date Interest	9
8.2. Medium Findings	10
[M-01] Pyth Price Confidence Interval Is Not Validated	10
[M-02] Stale Price May Be Considered Valid	11
[M-03] Accruing Interest Does Not Account for Current Block	13
8.3. Low Findings	15
[L-01] Pyth Adapter Staleness Threshold Limit Is Not Validated	15
8.4. QA Findings	16
[QA-01] Outdated or Ambiguous Pyth Adapter Documentation	16
[QA-02] Simplification of Accrue Interest Operation	17
[QA-03] Improve Contract Comments	18
[QA-04] Implement a Bulk Read Prices Function	19
[QA-05] Typographical Error	20
[QA-06] Overlapping Error Codes With Dependencies	21

7. Executive Summary

Over the course of the security review, Kristian Apostolov, Alin Barbatei (ABA) engaged with - to review Granite. In this period of time a total of **11** issues were uncovered.

Protocol Summary

Protocol Name	Granite
Date	February 6th, 2025

Findings Count

Severity	Amount
High	1
Medium	3
Low	1
QA	6
Total Findings	11

CONTENTS

1. About Clarity Alliance	2
2. Disclaimer	3
3. Introduction	4
4. About Granite	4
5. Risk Classification	5
5.1. Impact	5
5.2. Likelihood	5
5.3. Action required for severity levels	5
6. Security Assessment Summary	6
7. Executive Summary	7
8. Summary of Findings	8
8.1. High Findings	9
[H-01] Liquidity Providers Don't Receive Up-to- date Interest	9
8.2. Medium Findings	10
[M-01] Pyth Price Confidence Interval Is Not Validated	10
[M-02] Stale Price May Be Considered Valid	11
[M-03] Accruing Interest Does Not Account for Current Block	13
8.3. Low Findings	15
[L-01] Pyth Adapter Staleness Threshold Limit Is Not Validated	15
8.4. QA Findings	16
[QA-01] Outdated or Ambiguous Pyth Adapter Documentation	16
[QA-02] Simplification of Accrue Interest Operation	17
[QA-03] Improve Contract Comments	18
[QA-04] Implement a Bulk Read Prices Function	19
[QA-05] Typographical Error	20
[QA-06] Overlapping Error Codes With Dependencies	21

Summary of Findings

ID	Title	Severity	Status
[H-01]	Liquidity Providers Don't Receive Up-to- date Interest	High	Resolved
[M-01]	Pyth Price Confidence Interval Is Not Validated	Medium	Resolved
[M-02]	Stale Price May Be Considered Valid	Medium	Resolved
[M-03]	Accruing Interest Does Not Account for Current Block	Medium	Resolved
[L-01]	Pyth Adapter Staleness Threshold Limit Is Not Validated	Low	Resolved
[QA-01]	Outdated or Ambiguous Pyth Adapter Documentation	QA	Resolved
[QA-02]	Simplification of Accrue Interest Operation	QA	Resolved
[QA-03]	Improve Contract Comments	QA	Resolved
[QA-04]	Implement a Bulk Read Prices Function	QA	Resolved
[QA-05]	Typographical Error	QA	Resolved
[QA-06]	Overlapping Error Codes With Dependencies	QA	Resolved

CONTENTS

1. About Clarity Alliance	2
2. Disclaimer	3
3. Introduction	4
4. About Granite	4
5. Risk Classification	5
5.1. Impact	5
5.2. Likelihood	5
5.3. Action required for severity levels	5
6. Security Assessment Summary	6
7. Executive Summary	7
8. Summary of Findings	8
8.1. High Findings	9
[H-01] Liquidity Providers Don't Receive Up-to-date Interest	9
8.2. Medium Findings	10
[M-01] Pyth Price Confidence Interval Is Not Validated	10
[M-02] Stale Price May Be Considered Valid	11
[M-03] Accruing Interest Does Not Account for Current Block	13
8.3. Low Findings	15
[L-01] Pyth Adapter Staleness Threshold Limit Is Not Validated	15
8.4. QA Findings	16
[QA-01] Outdated or Ambiguous Pyth Adapter Documentation	16
[QA-02] Simplification of Accrue Interest Operation	17
[QA-03] Improve Contract Comments	18
[QA-04] Implement a Bulk Read Prices Function	19
[QA-05] Typographical Error	20
[QA-06] Overlapping Error Codes With Dependencies	21

8. Findings

8.1. High Findings

[H-01] Liquidity Providers Don't Receive Up-to-date Interest

Description

When lenders deposit or redeem through the `liquidity-provider-v1` contract, the interest generated from all existing positions is not correctly compounded before retrieving the data needed to calculate the lenders' share of assets. This results in inaccurate calculations of the lenders' portion of shares or required assets.

```
(define-public (deposit (assets uint) (recipient principal))
  (let ((asset-params (contract-call? .state-v1 get-lp-params)))
    (try! (accrue-interest))
    (try!
      (contract-call? .state-v1 add-assets contract-caller recipient assets (contract-SUCCESS
        ))
    ))
  (define-public (withdraw (assets uint) (recipient principal))
    (let ((asset-params (contract-call? .state-v1 get-lp-params)))
      (try! (accrue-interest))
      (try!
        (contract-call? .state-v1 remove-assets contract-caller recipient assets (contract-SUCCESS
          ))
      ))
  ))
```

The `state-v1::get-lp-params` function call, which requires up-to-date interest data, is incorrectly executed before the interest is updated via `accrue-interest`. This can lead to situations where depositors receive more shares than their deposits are worth.

This issue was identified by the Trust Machines development team during the last testing phase.

Recommendation

Invoke `accrue-interest` before calling `get-lp-params` in the `deposit` and `withdraw` functions of the `liquidity-provider-v1` contract.

CONTENTS

1. About Clarity Alliance	2
2. Disclaimer	3
3. Introduction	4
4. About Granite	4
5. Risk Classification	5
5.1. Impact	5
5.2. Likelihood	5
5.3. Action required for severity levels	5
6. Security Assessment Summary	6
7. Executive Summary	7
8. Summary of Findings	8
8.1. High Findings	9
[H-01] Liquidity Providers Don't Receive Up-to- date Interest	9
8.2. Medium Findings	10
[M-01] Pyth Price Confidence Interval Is Not Validated	10
[M-02] Stale Price May Be Considered Valid	11
[M-03] Accruing Interest Does Not Account for Current Block	13
8.3. Low Findings	15
[L-01] Pyth Adapter Staleness Threshold Limit Is Not Validated	15
8.4. QA Findings	16
[QA-01] Outdated or Ambiguous Pyth Adapter Documentation	16
[QA-02] Simplification of Accrue Interest Operation	17
[QA-03] Improve Contract Comments	18
[QA-04] Implement a Bulk Read Prices Function	19
[QA-05] Typographical Error	20
[QA-06] Overlapping Error Codes With Dependencies	21

8.2. Medium Findings

[M-01] Pyth Price Confidence Interval Is Not Validated

Description

Prices provided by the Pyth Network include a level of uncertainty, represented by a [confidence interval](#).

Currently, the `pyth-adapter-v1` contract implementation only checks for price freshness and does not validate the confidence level. It is essential to validate the confidence level to ensure that the price returned by the network falls within an acceptable range for Granite.

For example, a price for `STX` might be `$3` with a confidence of `± $2`. In this scenario, the network is uncertain about the exact price, placing it within a `[$5, $1]` range.

Although such a case would be highly unusual, it is still possible and could lead to financial losses for users if this price is used in collateral evaluation.

Recommendation

In the `pyth-adapter-v1` contract, implement a minimum confidence threshold (price/confidence) that can be updated by governance through a new action. This threshold should be checked when retrieving prices. Note that a confidence interval of 0 indicates no spread in price and should be considered a valid price.

CONTENTS

1. About Clarity Alliance	2
2. Disclaimer	3
3. Introduction	4
4. About Granite	4
5. Risk Classification	5
5.1. Impact	5
5.2. Likelihood	5
5.3. Action required for severity levels	5
6. Security Assessment Summary	6
7. Executive Summary	7
8. Summary of Findings	8
8.1. High Findings	9
[H-01] Liquidity Providers Don't Receive Up-to- date Interest	9
8.2. Medium Findings	10
[M-01] Pyth Price Confidence Interval Is Not Validated	10
[M-02] Stale Price May Be Considered Valid	11
[M-03] Accruing Interest Does Not Account for Current Block	13
8.3. Low Findings	15
[L-01] Pyth Adapter Staleness Threshold Limit Is Not Validated	15
8.4. QA Findings	16
[QA-01] Outdated or Ambiguous Pyth Adapter Documentation	16
[QA-02] Simplification of Accrue Interest Operation	17
[QA-03] Improve Contract Comments	18
[QA-04] Implement a Bulk Read Prices Function	19
[QA-05] Typographical Error	20
[QA-06] Overlapping Error Codes With Dependencies	21

[M-02] Stale Price May Be Considered Valid

Description

The `pyth-adapter-v1` contract retrieves raw price data from the Pyth Network and subsequently validates its freshness.

```
(let ((block-timestamp (unwrap-panic (get-stacks-block-info? time
  (- stacks-block-height u1))))))
  (if (>= timestamp block-timestamp)
    true
    (> timestamp (- block-timestamp (var-get time-delta))))
)
```

It is evident that the timestamp for the last Stacks block is used, rather than the current one. This is because it is not possible to obtain the `stacks-block-height` information of the block in which a transaction is currently being processed. The timestamp is only generated after the block is committed to the chain.

This design flaw allows stale price updates to be used when updating prices in a block, which, if committed to the blockchain, would have a timestamp exceeding the stale limit.

In theory, Stacks blocks are minted every 5 seconds. However, [real-time data](#) shows variations of up to tens of seconds between blocks. Additionally, there are instances where the Stacks blockchain stops producing blocks and resumes after a significant delay. Prices would still be considered valid, as they are compared against the previous block's timestamp.

For example, consider Stacks blocks [#242879](#) and [#242880](#), which are 25 minutes apart. Any price validation done in block `#242880` would use block `#242879`'s timestamp, resulting in a 25-minute difference. Assuming a price staleness threshold of 15 minutes, a price updated 10 minutes before the end of block `#242879` would be considered valid in block `#242880`, despite being 35 minutes apart.

The impact is that prices updated between the staleness check limit and the current block commit would be considered valid, even if they are logically stale. This may lead to stale prices being used for collateral evaluation.

Recommendation

Currently, there is no mechanism to determine time-related information from code running in a transaction that is being executed in the latest block.

A workaround involves using a variable to denote the Stacks block time and incorporating it into the block timestamp when checking for staleness:

CONTENTS

1. About Clarity Alliance	2
2. Disclaimer	3
3. Introduction	4
4. About Granite	4
5. Risk Classification	5
5.1. Impact	5
5.2. Likelihood	5
5.3. Action required for severity levels	5
6. Security Assessment Summary	6
7. Executive Summary	7
8. Summary of Findings	8
8.1. High Findings	9
[H-01] Liquidity Providers Don't Receive Up-to- date Interest	9
8.2. Medium Findings	10
[M-01] Pyth Price Confidence Interval Is Not Validated	10
[M-02] Stale Price May Be Considered Valid	11
[M-03] Accruing Interest Does Not Account for Current Block	13
8.3. Low Findings	15
[L-01] Pyth Adapter Staleness Threshold Limit Is Not Validated	15
8.4. QA Findings	16
[QA-01] Outdated or Ambiguous Pyth Adapter Documentation	16
[QA-02] Simplification of Accrue Interest Operation	17
[QA-03] Improve Contract Comments	18
[QA-04] Implement a Bulk Read Prices Function	19
[QA-05] Typographical Error	20
[QA-06] Overlapping Error Codes With Dependencies	21

```
(define-constant STACKS_BLOCK_TIME u5)

(let ((block-timestamp (+ (unwrap-panic (get-stacks-block-info? time
  (- stacks-block-height u1)) STACKS_BLOCK_TIME))))
```

While the example snippet uses a constant 5 seconds to represent Stacks block time, a more robust implementation would involve making this value a variable that can be adjusted by governance. This would allow for adaptation to different scenarios and blockchain states.

CONTENTS

1. About Clarity Alliance	2
2. Disclaimer	3
3. Introduction	4
4. About Granite	4
5. Risk Classification	5
5.1. Impact	5
5.2. Likelihood	5
5.3. Action required for severity levels	5
6. Security Assessment Summary	6
7. Executive Summary	7
8. Summary of Findings	8
8.1. High Findings	9
[H-01] Liquidity Providers Don't Receive Up-to- date Interest	9
8.2. Medium Findings	10
[M-01] Pyth Price Confidence Interval Is Not Validated	10
[M-02] Stale Price May Be Considered Valid	11
[M-03] Accruing Interest Does Not Account for Current Block	13
8.3. Low Findings	15
[L-01] Pyth Adapter Staleness Threshold Limit Is Not Validated	15
8.4. QA Findings	16
[QA-01] Outdated or Ambiguous Pyth Adapter Documentation	16
[QA-02] Simplification of Accrue Interest Operation	17
[QA-03] Improve Contract Comments	18
[QA-04] Implement a Bulk Read Prices Function	19
[QA-05] Typographical Error	20
[QA-06] Overlapping Error Codes With Dependencies	21

[M-03] Accruing Interest Does Not Account for Current Block

Description

In the `linear-kinked-ir-v1::accrue-interest` function, when calculating elapsed time, the current time is derived using the timestamp of the most recently added Stacks block:

```
(- (unwrap-panic (get-stacks-block-info? time (- stacks-block-height ul)))
```

This approach uses the timestamp of the last Stacks block rather than the current one. This is because it is not possible to obtain `stacks-block-height` information for the block in which a transaction is currently being processed. The timestamp is only generated after the block is committed to the chain.

This design allows users to avoid paying interest for the time between the end of the last block and the execution of the current block.

For example, between Stacks blocks [#242879](#) and [#242880](#), which are 25 minutes apart, a user may choose to fully repay their debt in block [#242880](#). Since the accrual only considers the time up to the last block, 25 minutes of interest are not accounted for, benefiting this user as they exit the market.

At block [#242881](#), the interest calculation would include the missing 25 minutes, only excluding the currently executing block (theoretically 5 seconds of interest).

Due to the way time is captured, users can strategically time their market exit after a longer gap between blocks to minimize paid interest.

This issue naturally occurs with each operation that calculates interest, effectively creating an interest lag that benefits users.

Recommendation

As noted in a similar issue, there is currently no mechanism to determine time-related information from code running in a transaction within the latest block. A workaround can be implemented by using a variable to represent the Stacks block time and incorporating it into the block timestamp when determining elapsed time:

```
(define-constant STACKS_BLOCK_TIME u5)  
  
(+ (- (unwrap-panic (get-stacks-block-info? time  
(- stacks-block-height ul))) STACKS_BLOCK_TIME)
```



CONTENTS

1. About Clarity Alliance	2
2. Disclaimer	3
3. Introduction	4
4. About Granite	4
5. Risk Classification	5
5.1. Impact	5
5.2. Likelihood	5
5.3. Action required for severity levels	5
6. Security Assessment Summary	6
7. Executive Summary	7
8. Summary of Findings	8
8.1. High Findings	9
[H-01] Liquidity Providers Don't Receive Up-to- date Interest	9
8.2. Medium Findings	10
[M-01] Pyth Price Confidence Interval Is Not Validated	10
[M-02] Stale Price May Be Considered Valid	11
[M-03] Accruing Interest Does Not Account for Current Block	13
8.3. Low Findings	15
[L-01] Pyth Adapter Staleness Threshold Limit Is Not Validated	15
8.4. QA Findings	16
[QA-01] Outdated or Ambiguous Pyth Adapter Documentation	16
[QA-02] Simplification of Accrue Interest Operation	17
[QA-03] Improve Contract Comments	18
[QA-04] Implement a Bulk Read Prices Function	19
[QA-05] Typographical Error	20
[QA-06] Overlapping Error Codes With Dependencies	21

Notes:

- While the example snippet uses a constant 5 seconds to represent Stacks block time, a more robust implementation would involve using a variable adjustable by governance to account for different scenarios and blockchain states.
- In `linear-kinked-ir-v1::accrue-interest` , there are three instances where the current time is captured. If the function is not simplified, all instances will require this change.
- In `state-v1` , there are also two instances where the time is set, and the same logic must be applied in both cases.

CONTENTS

1. About Clarity Alliance	2
2. Disclaimer	3
3. Introduction	4
4. About Granite	4
5. Risk Classification	5
5.1. Impact	5
5.2. Likelihood	5
5.3. Action required for severity levels	5
6. Security Assessment Summary	6
7. Executive Summary	7
8. Summary of Findings	8
8.1. High Findings	9
[H-01] Liquidity Providers Don't Receive Up-to- date Interest	9
8.2. Medium Findings	10
[M-01] Pyth Price Confidence Interval Is Not Validated	10
[M-02] Stale Price May Be Considered Valid	11
[M-03] Accruing Interest Does Not Account for Current Block	13
8.3. Low Findings	15
[L-01] Pyth Adapter Staleness Threshold Limit Is Not Validated	15
8.4. QA Findings	16
[QA-01] Outdated or Ambiguous Pyth Adapter Documentation	16
[QA-02] Simplification of Accrue Interest Operation	17
[QA-03] Improve Contract Comments	18
[QA-04] Implement a Bulk Read Prices Function	19
[QA-05] Typographical Error	20
[QA-06] Overlapping Error Codes With Dependencies	21

8.3. Low Findings

[L-01] Pyth Adapter Staleness Threshold Limit Is Not Validated

Description

The `pyth-adapter-v1` allows governance to set a staleness threshold, known as `time-delta`. Currently, there is no validation to ensure that the provided time is neither too large nor too small.

For instance, setting it too low may lead to continuous protocol reverts.

Recommendation

When updating the `time-delta` value via `governance-v1::initiate-proposal-to-update-pyth-time-delta`, ensure that the `time-delta` value is validated to be above a minimum, reasonable value.

CONTENTS

1. About Clarity Alliance	2
2. Disclaimer	3
3. Introduction	4
4. About Granite	4
5. Risk Classification	5
5.1. Impact	5
5.2. Likelihood	5
5.3. Action required for severity levels	5
6. Security Assessment Summary	6
7. Executive Summary	7
8. Summary of Findings	8
8.1. High Findings	9
[H-01] Liquidity Providers Don't Receive Up-to- date Interest	9
8.2. Medium Findings	10
[M-01] Pyth Price Confidence Interval Is Not Validated	10
[M-02] Stale Price May Be Considered Valid	11
[M-03] Accruing Interest Does Not Account for Current Block	13
8.3. Low Findings	15
[L-01] Pyth Adapter Staleness Threshold Limit Is Not Validated	15
8.4. QA Findings	16
[QA-01] Outdated or Ambiguous Pyth Adapter Documentation	16
[QA-02] Simplification of Accrue Interest Operation	17
[QA-03] Improve Contract Comments	18
[QA-04] Implement a Bulk Read Prices Function	19
[QA-05] Typographical Error	20
[QA-06] Overlapping Error Codes With Dependencies	21

8.4. QA Findings

[QA-01] Outdated or Ambiguous Pyth Adapter Documentation

Description

The current Granite [Pyth Adapter documentation](#) contains sections that are outdated or occasionally ambiguous.

1. Under the guidance of Trust Machines, the Stacks Pyth implementation has been updated, rendering the [Staleness Check](#) section outdated. There is now an API that [checks for price freshness](#), and the Pyth developers have indicated that the 2-hour staleness check will be modified before launch.
2. The most current version of the Pyth implementation [is no longer maintained by Hiro](#) but by Trust Machines themselves.
3. The price feed map associates token principals with feed IDs, [not tickers](#).
4. The `read-price` function has a parameter named `token`, [not ticker](#).
5. The `update-price-feed-id` function has its first parameter as `(token principal)`, not `(ticker (string-ascii 10))`; additionally, the description incorrectly refers to it as a ticker.
6. The `update-time-delta` function does not specify the units for the time difference `delta`. [It is in seconds, but this is not noted.](#)
7. The description of the `is-valid` private function does not clearly define whether being “**within the governance-defined validity threshold**” includes accepting prices that are exactly at the delta limit. The implementation itself [does not accept a price exactly at a delta limit value](#), only those surpassing it.

Recommendation

Address the ambiguities and update the outdated documentation entries mentioned.

CONTENTS

1. About Clarity Alliance	2
2. Disclaimer	3
3. Introduction	4
4. About Granite	4
5. Risk Classification	5
5.1. Impact	5
5.2. Likelihood	5
5.3. Action required for severity levels	5
6. Security Assessment Summary	6
7. Executive Summary	7
8. Summary of Findings	8
8.1. High Findings	9
[H-01] Liquidity Providers Don't Receive Up-to- date Interest	9
8.2. Medium Findings	10
[M-01] Pyth Price Confidence Interval Is Not Validated	10
[M-02] Stale Price May Be Considered Valid	11
[M-03] Accruing Interest Does Not Account for Current Block	13
8.3. Low Findings	15
[L-01] Pyth Adapter Staleness Threshold Limit Is Not Validated	15
8.4. QA Findings	16
[QA-01] Outdated or Ambiguous Pyth Adapter Documentation	16
[QA-02] Simplification of Accrue Interest Operation	17
[QA-03] Improve Contract Comments	18
[QA-04] Implement a Bulk Read Prices Function	19
[QA-05] Typographical Error	20
[QA-06] Overlapping Error Codes With Dependencies	21

[QA-02] Simplification of Accrue Interest Operation

Description

The current implementation of `linear-kinked-ir-v1::accrue-interest`, which is invoked at all critical points, can be streamlined in several ways:

- The `if - else` logic, which includes a premature return in the true branch and a `let` declaration in the false branch, can be condensed into a single, direct `let` declaration with an `assets!` for the premature return.
- The elapsed block time is redundantly retrieved twice during a full `accrue-interest` call. By applying the first optimization, the `elapsed-block-time` variable can be initialized earlier and reused.
- The current time (`(unwrap-panic (get-stacks-block-info? time (- stacks-block-height u1)))`) is redundantly retrieved three times. Similar to the previous optimization, it should be retrieved once and stored in a variable.

By implementing these optimizations, the smart contract execution costs will be reduced, and code readability will be enhanced.

Recommendation

Implement the suggested changes.

Example of fee reduction if the proposed changes are applied:

```
(let (
  (time-now (unwrap-panic (get-stacks-block-info? time
    (- stacks-block-height u1))))
  (elapsed-block-time (- time-now last-accrued-block-time))
  (premature-return (asserts!
    (not (or (is-eq u0 elapsed-block-time) (not
      (contract-call? .state-v1 is-interest-accrual-enabled))))))
  (ok {
    last-accrued-block-time: last-accrued-block-time,
    lp-open-interest: lp-open-interest,
    protocol-open-interest: protocol-open-interest,
    staked-open-interest: staked-open-interest,
    total-assets: total-assets
  })))
```

As observed through a `borrow` call:

Cost	Before	After	Improvement		---	---	---	---		write	
_length	498	498	0		write_count	10	10	0		read_length	455427
455324	103		read_count	147	143	4		runtime	848993	834678	
14315											

Improvements in runtime, read counts, and read length have been achieved.



CONTENTS

1. About Clarity Alliance	2
2. Disclaimer	3
3. Introduction	4
4. About Granite	4
5. Risk Classification	5
5.1. Impact	5
5.2. Likelihood	5
5.3. Action required for severity levels	5
6. Security Assessment Summary	6
7. Executive Summary	7
8. Summary of Findings	8
8.1. High Findings	9
[H-01] Liquidity Providers Don't Receive Up-to- date Interest	9
8.2. Medium Findings	10
[M-01] Pyth Price Confidence Interval Is Not Validated	10
[M-02] Stale Price May Be Considered Valid	11
[M-03] Accruing Interest Does Not Account for Current Block	13
8.3. Low Findings	15
[L-01] Pyth Adapter Staleness Threshold Limit Is Not Validated	15
8.4. QA Findings	16
[QA-01] Outdated or Ambiguous Pyth Adapter Documentation	16
[QA-02] Simplification of Accrue Interest Operation	17
[QA-03] Improve Contract Comments	18
[QA-04] Implement a Bulk Read Prices Function	19
[QA-05] Typographical Error	20
[QA-06] Overlapping Error Codes With Dependencies	21

[QA-03] Improve Contract Comments

Description

In the `governance-v1` contract, all maps used by the proposal system are documented with comments, except for the newly added `update-time-delta-params` map. To maintain code uniformity, documentation should also be added to this map.

Recommendation

Add the suggested comment.

CONTENTS

1. About Clarity Alliance	2
2. Disclaimer	3
3. Introduction	4
4. About Granite	4
5. Risk Classification	5
5.1. Impact	5
5.2. Likelihood	5
5.3. Action required for severity levels	5
6. Security Assessment Summary	6
7. Executive Summary	7
8. Summary of Findings	8
8.1. High Findings	9
[H-01] Liquidity Providers Don't Receive Up-to- date Interest	9
8.2. Medium Findings	10
[M-01] Pyth Price Confidence Interval Is Not Validated	10
[M-02] Stale Price May Be Considered Valid	11
[M-03] Accruing Interest Does Not Account for Current Block	13
8.3. Low Findings	15
[L-01] Pyth Adapter Staleness Threshold Limit Is Not Validated	15
8.4. QA Findings	16
[QA-01] Outdated or Ambiguous Pyth Adapter Documentation	16
[QA-02] Simplification of Accrue Interest Operation	17
[QA-03] Improve Contract Comments	18
[QA-04] Implement a Bulk Read Prices Function	19
[QA-05] Typographical Error	20
[QA-06] Overlapping Error Codes With Dependencies	21

[QA-04] Implement a Bulk Read Prices Function

Description

The current implementation includes a validation for critical operations that require collateral evaluation, ensuring that all prices are available. This creates a pre-return scenario if there are issues with any collateral price.

The current approach is highly inefficient as it sequentially calls the `get-price` function for each collateral.

```
(position (unwrap! (get user-position borrow-params) ERR-NO-POSITION))  
;; ...  
(position-collaterals (get collaterals position))  
;; ...  
(collateral-price-check (try!  
  (fold check-collateral-price-exists position-collaterals (ok true))))  
  
;; ...  
  
(define-private (check-collateral-price-exists (collateral principal) (res  
  (response bool uint)))  
  (let (  
    (result (try! res))  
    (next-result (try! (contract-call? .pyth-adapter-v1 read-price collateral)))  
  )  
    (ok true)  
  )  
)
```

Each call to `pyth-adapter-v1::read-price` increases runtime costs in an already complex protocol.

Recommendation

Introduce a `bulk-read-price` function in the `pyth-adapter-v1` and utilize it to verify the existence of prices throughout the codebase.



CONTENTS

1. About Clarity Alliance	2
2. Disclaimer	3
3. Introduction	4
4. About Granite	4
5. Risk Classification	5
5.1. Impact	5
5.2. Likelihood	5
5.3. Action required for severity levels	5
6. Security Assessment Summary	6
7. Executive Summary	7
8. Summary of Findings	8
8.1. High Findings	9
[H-01] Liquidity Providers Don't Receive Up-to- date Interest	9
8.2. Medium Findings	10
[M-01] Pyth Price Confidence Interval Is Not Validated	10
[M-02] Stale Price May Be Considered Valid	11
[M-03] Accruing Interest Does Not Account for Current Block	13
8.3. Low Findings	15
[L-01] Pyth Adapter Staleness Threshold Limit Is Not Validated	15
8.4. QA Findings	16
[QA-01] Outdated or Ambiguous Pyth Adapter Documentation	16
[QA-02] Simplification of Accrue Interest Operation	17
[QA-03] Improve Contract Comments	18
[QA-04] Implement a Bulk Read Prices Function	19
[QA-05] Typographical Error	20
[QA-06] Overlapping Error Codes With Dependencies	21

[QA-05] Typographical Error

Description

The `check-collteral-price-exists` function, used throughout the codebase, contains a typographical error. The word `collteral` should be corrected to `collateral`.

Recommendation

Correct the identified typo.

CONTENTS

1. About Clarity Alliance	2
2. Disclaimer	3
3. Introduction	4
4. About Granite	4
5. Risk Classification	5
5.1. Impact	5
5.2. Likelihood	5
5.3. Action required for severity levels	5
6. Security Assessment Summary	6
7. Executive Summary	7
8. Summary of Findings	8
8.1. High Findings	9
[H-01] Liquidity Providers Don't Receive Up-to- date Interest	9
8.2. Medium Findings	10
[M-01] Pyth Price Confidence Interval Is Not Validated	10
[M-02] Stale Price May Be Considered Valid	11
[M-03] Accruing Interest Does Not Account for Current Block	13
8.3. Low Findings	15
[L-01] Pyth Adapter Staleness Threshold Limit Is Not Validated	15
8.4. QA Findings	16
[QA-01] Outdated or Ambiguous Pyth Adapter Documentation	16
[QA-02] Simplification of Accrue Interest Operation	17
[QA-03] Improve Contract Comments	18
[QA-04] Implement a Bulk Read Prices Function	19
[QA-05] Typographical Error	20
[QA-06] Overlapping Error Codes With Dependencies	21

[QA-06] Overlapping Error Codes With Dependencies

Description

Granite contracts and Pyth contracts have overlapping error code ranges:

Granite

```
state-v1: 100
liquidity-provider-v1: 1000
borrower-v1: 2000
liquidator-v1: 3000
governance-v1: 4000
meta-governance-v1: 5000
staking-v1: 6000
linear-kinked-ir-v1: 7000
pyth-adapter-v1: 8000
staking-reward-v1: 9000
```

Pyth

```
wormhole-core-v3: 1000
pyth-pnau-decoder-v2: 2000
pyth-oracle-v3: 3000
pyth-governance-v2: 4000
pyth-storage-v3: 5000
```

For example, invoking `borrower-v1::borrow` with a Pyth price feed payload that contains an invalid magic will trigger the error `pyth-pnau-decoder-v2:ERR_MAGIC_BYTES (err u2001)`. This error code is identical to `borrower-v1::ERR-INSUFFICIENT-FREE-LIQUIDITY (err u2001)`, which can cause confusion for any third-party integrator.

Recommendation

Increase all Granite error code ranges to the tens of thousands, for instance, change `liquidity-provider-v1: 1000` to `10000`.