

CMPT 435L ALGORITHMS

Assignment 2

November 1st 2024

Jonathan "Grant" Bever

[Link to github repo](#)

PART 1: Linear and Binary Search

The Linear Search algorithm is about as simple as a search algorithm gets, it works by looping through an array looking for an item, and comparing one by one until the search item is found.

As the name implies the asymptotic time is $O(n)$ (linear). We can see this very clearly since the algorithm only consists of a single loop, furthermore, we can also observe that its execution time increases linearly with the size of the input array. My implementation is as follows.

```
1 export function linearSearch(arr: any[], searchItems: any[]): void{
2   let totalComparisons = 0;
3
4   for (const item of searchItems) {
5     let comparisons = 0;
6
7     for (let i = 0; i < arr.length; i++) {
8       comparisons++;
9       if (arr[i] === item) {
10        break;
11      }
12    }
13    totalComparisons += comparisons;
14  }
15  const avgComparisons = parseFloat((totalComparisons / searchItems.length).toFixed(2));
16  console.log('Total Comparisons: ${totalComparisons}');
17  console.log('Average Comparisons: ${avgComparisons}');
18
19 }
```

When searching for all 42 random items through 666 magic items, it took on average 13755.1 comparisons for the whole search, with each individual search taking an average of 328.63 comparisons. The lowest total comparisons I recorded was 12556 while the highest was 15484.

As Linear Search is a simple search algorithm it is best suited for simple arrays with a small length, because of its linear nature it becomes much less viable when the array size increases.

Binary Search

The Binary Search algorithm is a much more efficient search algorithm, it works by starting with the middle value of the array, comparing the target value, then goes in the middle of whichever side the target was on (lower or higher) until the target value is reached.

Due to the divide and conquer methodology used in this algorithm its asymptotic running time is $O(\log n)$

```
1 export function binarySearch(arr: string[], searchItems: string[]): void {
2   let totalComparisons = 0;
3
4   for (const item of searchItems) {
5     let comparisons = 0;
6     let left = 0;
7     let right = arr.length - 1;
8
9     while (left <= right) {
10      comparisons++;
11      const mid = Math.floor((left + right) / 2);
12
13      if (arr[mid] === item) {
14        break;
15      } else if (arr[mid] < item) {
16        left = mid + 1;
17      } else {
18        right = mid - 1;
19      }
20    }
21    totalComparisons += comparisons;
22  }
23  const avgComparisons = parseFloat((totalComparisons / searchItems.length).toFixed(2));
24  console.log('Total Comparisons: ${totalComparisons}');
25  console.log('Average Comparisons: ${avgComparisons}');
26 }
```

The Binary Search algorithm boasts an average of 356.6 comparisons to search for all 42 items out of the 666 magic items. The average for each individual search was 8.49. Which is much better than what we had for linear search.

While Binary Search is much more efficient than Linear Search it is important to note that in order for Binary Search to function the input array needs to be sorted.

Results

Search	AVG Individual Comparisons	AVG Total Comparisons
Linear	328.63	13755.1
Binary	8.49	356.6

As we can see from the table binary search is much more efficient than linear. So much so that there will be cases with our data set that once the linear search has found one item binary might already be done with all of them. With our data set of finding 42 items out of 666 items binary search is 38.6 times faster on average than linear.

While Binary is better in this situation it is important to note that binary is not always the best searching algorithm to use since it requires the array to be sorted and it's a bit harder to work with.

While these results are impressive they can be greatly improved by implementing the leetcode connoisseurs' best friend, hashing.

Part 2: Hashing

Like Stacks and Queues, a hash table is a data structure with built-in commands to retrieve inputted data. Hash tables are incredibly efficient and if implemented well it can produce an average time complexity for lookups of $O(1)$. It does this by assigning a key - value pair to every element in the array and placing them in buckets (an array containing all values that can be assigned to a key) from there, once we lookup an object with the `get()` command it takes that object, finds the key for it, then searches the bucket.

The way I implemented assigning a hash code is through taking a string and getting its ASCII value then retrieving its modulo value against the size of the table (250), this method of hashing is simple but effective, with our table being length 250 it is unavoidable that our `insert()` and `get()` functions will be $O(n)$ where n is the size of the bucket instead of $O(1)$ since there will be multiple items in each bucket. The average load of each bucket is 2.66 with a standard deviation of 1.70, The highest bucket count I saw in my testing was 10 but the most common was 1.

```
1 private makeHashCode(key: string): number {
2     key = key.toUpperCase();
3     let letterTotal = 0;
4     for (let i = 0; i < key.length; i++) {
5         letterTotal += key.charCodeAt(i);
6     }
7     return letterTotal % this.size;
8 }
```

Now that we have our method of getting `hashCode` we can move onto our other functions, `insert()` and `get()`.

Listing 1: `insert()`

```
1 insert(key: string, value: any): void {
2     const index = this.makeHashCode(key);
3     const bucket = this.table[index];
4     // Check if key already exists and
4     // update value if found
5     for (let pair of bucket) {
6         if (pair.key === key) {
7             pair.value = value;
8             return;
9         }
10    }
11    bucket.push({ key, value });
12 }
```

Listing 2: `get()`

```
1 get(key: string): { value: any |
2   undefined, comparisons: number } {
3     const index = this.makeHashCode(key);
4     const bucket = this.table[index];
5     let comparisons = 0;
6     for (let pair of bucket) {
7         comparisons++;
8         if (pair.key === key) {
9             return { value: pair.value,
10                comparisons };
11         }
12     }
13     return { value: undefined,
14        comparisons };
15 }
```

Final Results

So how does this compare to our values from earlier?

If we add our results from hashing to our table from earlier we get...

Search	AVG Individual	AVG Total
Linear	328.63	13755.1
Binary	8.49	356.6
Hash Lookup	2.32	97.7

As we can see using a hash lookup is much more efficient than the last two algorithms. Searching for the same 42 items out of the same 666 items with a hash lookup is on average 140.78 times faster than using linear search and 3.6 times faster than binary search.