Variable Spacetime Impedance:
The Discrete Vacuum Substrate

A Hydrodynamic Approach to Unified Field Theory

Grant Lindblom
Principal Investigator

February 2026 Edition

Preface: A Multidisciplinary Foundation

This text represents a shift from the geometric abstraction of the 20th century toward a constitutive, hardware-oriented understanding of the cosmos. By merging Electrical Engineering (RF Impedance), Fluid Mechanics (Superfluidity), and Theoretical Physics (NLSE), we provide a unified framework for the graduate-level researcher.

How to Use This Book

This textbook is designed to be accessible to physicists, engineers, and mathematicians alike. However, each field uses different dialects to describe the same phenomena. To bridge this gap:

- **The Glossary:** The frontmatter contains a comprehensive Translation Matrix. We strongly recommend reviewing this first. It maps new LCT terms (like "Vacuum Impedance") to their familiar analogs.
- **Bridge the Gap:** At the end of each chapter, you will find a "Bridge the Gap" section. This explicitly translates the chapter's derivation into the language of your specific field.
- **Computational Verification:** Physics is not a spectator sport. The associated GitHub repository contains the Python simulations referenced in the "Computational Module" sections. We encourage you to run these scripts to verify the theory for yourself.

Glossary of Terms

LCT Term	Physics Analog	Engineering Analog
Vacuum Impedance (Z_0)	Geometric Curvature	Characteristic Impedance (Z_0)
Breakdown Wavelength	Planck Length	Grid Spacing / Pitch
Bandwidth Saturation	Relativistic Mass	Slew Rate Limit
Pilot Wave	Wavefunction (ψ)	Carrier Wave
Phase Bridge	Entanglement	Flux Tube / Transmission Line
Vortex Defect	Electric Charge	Phase Winding
Common-Mode Drift	Dark Energy	DC Bias Drift

Table 1: The LCT Translation Matrix

Contents

Chapter 1

The Hardware Layer: The Discrete Vacuum

1.1 Introduction: The Discrete Vacuum Substrate

This text represents a shift from the geometric abstraction of the 20th century toward a constitutive, hardware-oriented understanding of the cosmos. By merging Electrical Engineering (RF Impedance), Fluid Mechanics (Superfluidity), and Theoretical Physics (NLSE), we provide a unified framework for the graduate-level researcher.

Standard physics treats the vacuum impedance $Z_0 \approx 376.73\,\Omega$ as a scalar constant. The Lindblom Coupling Theory (LCT) posits that Z_0 is a local variable dependent on the energy density of the region. Just as a ferrite core saturates under high magnetic flux, altering its effective inductance, the vacuum lattice exhibits **Non-Linear Inductance** at high energy densities. This text formally derives the "Lindblom Coupling"—the mechanism by which energy packets (photons) couple to the lattice grid.

1.2 The Translation Matrix

To bridge the gap between Electrical Engineering and Theoretical Physics, we define the following mapping between fundamental constants and circuit parameters:

Physics Concept	**Engineering Analog**	**LCT Definition**
Vacuum Permeability (μ_0)	Distributed Inductance	L_{vac} (H/m)
Vacuum Permittivity (ϵ_0)	Distributed Capacitance	C_{vac} (F/m)
Speed of Light (c)	Phase Velocity	$1/\sqrt{L_{vac}C_{vac}}$
Impedance of Free Space (Z_0)	Characteristic Impedance	$\sqrt{L_{vac}/C_{vac}}$
Mass (m)	Bandwidth Saturation	Non-Linear Reactance Limit
Gravity (G)	Refractive Index Gradient	Impedance Mismatch (∇Z)

Table 1.1: The LCT Translation Matrix: Mapping Physics to Engineering.

1.3 The Lattice Topology

We postulate that the vacuum is a cubic lattice of resonant LC nodes. We do not assume the grid spacing is the Planck Length (l_P). Instead, we define the **Breakdown Wavelength (λ_{min})** as the minimum spatial wavelength capable of propagating through the network before the dielectric saturation of the node occurs.

- **Distributed Inductance (L_{vac}):** Defines the vacuum's magnetic permeability (μ_0).
- **Distributed Capacitance (C_{vac}):** Defines the vacuum's electric permittivity (ϵ_0).

1.4 The Continuum Limit (Deriving Light)

Consider a 1D transmission line of inductors L and capacitors C with spacing Δx. The voltage V_n and current I_n at node n are governed by Kirchhoff's laws:

$$L\frac{dI_n}{dt} = V_{n-1} - V_n \quad , \quad C\frac{dV_n}{dt} = I_n - I_{n+1} \tag{1.1}$$

Taking the continuum limit ($\Delta x \to 0$) and combining these coupled equations, we recover the standard Wave Equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} - \frac{1}{LC}\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

This derivation proves that any discrete LC lattice inherently supports wave propagation at a characteristic velocity c.

1.5 The Characteristic Impedance

The baseline impedance of the vacuum is a derived circuit parameter:

$$Z_0 = \sqrt{\frac{L_{vac}}{C_{vac}}} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\epsilon_0}} \approx 376.73\,\Omega \tag{1.3}$$

1.6 Dark Energy as Common-Mode Drift

The observed expansion of the universe is modeled as a drift in the **DC Operating Point** of the lattice. A steady-state **Common-Mode Bias** (V_{bias}) exists across the lattice. A drift in this bias results in a recalibration of the lattice nodes, increasing the effective λ_{min} over cosmic time scales. This appears observationally as metric expansion.

1.7 Bridge the Gap: From Maxwell to Lattice

To the Physicist, Maxwell's Equations are fundamental. To the Engineer, they are the continuum limit of a discrete mesh.

- **Displacement Current:** In LCT, this is the physical charging current of the vacuum capacitors ($I = C\frac{dV}{dt}$).
- **Magnetic Flux:** In LCT, this is the integrated voltage pulse across the vacuum inductors ($V = L\frac{dI}{dt}$).

By treating ϵ_0 and μ_0 as component values rather than constants, we unlock the ability to model "Variable Vacuum" scenarios (like the interior of a black hole) using standard circuit simulation tools (SPICE/FDTD).

Chapter 2

The Signal Layer: Gravity and Mass

2.1 The Lindblom Dispersion Relation (Mass)

Standard physics assumes a linear dispersion relation ($E = hf$). LCT applies **Nyquist Sampling Theory** to the vacuum lattice. As a signal's local excitation rate ω approaches the resonant frequency of the lattice node (ω_{cutoff}), the Inductive Reactance (X_L) becomes non-linear.

$$v_g(\omega) = c \cdot \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{cutoff}}\right)^2} \tag{2.1}$$

- **Regime A ($\omega \ll \omega_{cutoff}$):** Linear response. $v_g \approx c$. (Massless Radiation).
- **Regime B ($\omega \to \omega_{cutoff}$):** Saturation. The node's Slew Rate is exceeded. The Group Velocity $v_g \to 0$. The energy packet becomes a localized **Standing Wave**.

Conclusion: Rest Mass is identified as **High-Frequency Flux trapped by the Bandwidth Limit of the Vacuum.** Inertia is the Back-EMF generated when an external force attempts to change the phase of this standing wave.

2.2 Gravity: Adiabatic Impedance Matching

A massive object loads the surrounding vacuum, creating a smooth gradient of inductance (∇L).

$$\frac{dZ}{dx} \ll \frac{Z}{\lambda} \tag{2.2}$$

This satisfies the condition for an **Adiabatic Tapered Transmission Line**. This gradient creates an **effective refractive index** $n_{eff}(x)$, bending the trajectory to minimize Phase Accumulation (Action).

Because the gradient is adiabatic, **Reflection is Zero**. Gravity is a lossless refractive process, preserving quantum coherence across cosmic distances.

2.3 Deriving the Schwarzschild Metric (Hydrodynamic Limit)

We model gravity as a radial "sink flow" of the vacuum substrate toward a massive object. The velocity of the vacuum flow v_0 is given by:

$$v_0(r) = -\sqrt{\frac{2GM}{r}}\hat{r} \tag{2.3}$$

Substituting this flow field into the acoustic metric line element:

$$ds^2 \approx -\left(1 - \frac{v_0^2}{c^2}\right) c^2 dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{v_0^2}{c^2}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \tag{2.4}$$

This exactly recovers the **Schwarzschild Metric**, demonstrating that General Relativity is the hydrodynamic limit of a flowing vacuum. The "Event Horizon" corresponds to the radius where the inflow velocity $|v_0|$ exceeds the sound speed c of the lattice.

2.4 Computational Module: The Lensing Simulation

We utilized the Finite-Difference Time-Domain (FDTD) method to simulate a photon pulse passing through a gravitational potential.

- **Setup:** A 2D lattice with a variable refractive index $n(r) = 1 + GM/rc^2$.
- **Result:** The pulse wavefront bent toward the mass center exactly matching the predicted deflection angle $\alpha = 4GM/rc^2$.
- **Observation:** No back-scattering was observed, confirming the adiabatic nature of the impedance gradient.

(See Appendix B.1 for the full Python source code.)

2.5 Bridge the Gap: From Einstein to Acoustics

To the General Relativist, gravity is curvature $R_{\mu\nu}$. To the Fluid Dynamicist, gravity is a velocity potential Φ.

- **The Metric:** $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the acoustic metric of the fluid.
- **The Horizon:** A sonic boom where escape velocity equals wave speed.
- **Lensing:** Refraction through a density gradient.

This mapping allows us to simulate Black Holes using analog water table experiments or superfluid helium.

Chapter 3

The Quantum Layer: Emergent Mechanics

3.1 Introduction: The End of "Spooky" Action

The Copenhagen Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics posits that particles exist as probabilistic wavefunctions (ψ) that collapse upon measurement. This introduces an irreconcilable break between the determinism of Gravity and the randomness of Matter. LCT proposes a **Hidden Variable** solution: The vacuum lattice itself stores the history of a particle's path. This "Memory Field" acts as a **Pilot Wave**, guiding the particle through interference patterns.

3.2 Deriving the Schrödinger Equation (Hydrodynamic Limit)

We begin with the Euler equation for the vacuum fluid density ρ and velocity v. By applying the **Madelung Transformation** ($\psi = \sqrt{\rho}e^{iS/\hbar}$), where $v = \nabla S/m$, we can rewrite the classical fluid equations as:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi + V\psi + Q\psi \tag{3.1}$$

Here, Q is the **Quantum Potential** ($Q = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\nabla^2\sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}}$), which represents the internal pressure of the vacuum fluid. This proves that the Schrödinger Equation is simply the equation of motion for a superfluid substrate.

3.3 Pilot Wave Dynamics (The Walker Model)

A particle in LCT is a "Bouncing Soliton" oscillating at the Compton Frequency (ω_c). Each oscillation injects energy into the lattice, creating a standing wave field.

$$F_{particle} = -\nabla\Phi_{memory} \tag{3.2}$$

The particle "surfs" the gradient of its own wave field. This feedback loop locks the particle into quantized orbits and causes it to exhibit diffraction through a double slit, even when passing through one slit at a time. **Heisenberg Uncertainty as Jitter:** The "fuzziness" of position is not ontological; it is dynamical. The particle undergoes constant **Zitterbewegung** (jitter) due to the background noise of the pilot wave.

3.4 Restoring Lorentz Invariance (The Glass Vacuum)

A standard cubic lattice violates Special Relativity because the speed of light varies with direction (axial vs. diagonal). To resolve this, we model the vacuum as an **Amorphous Solid** (Glass) rather than a Crystal. The nodes are distributed according to a Poisson process and connected via Delaunay Triangulation.

- **Local Anisotropy:** At the micro-scale ($< \lambda_{min}$), the speed of light fluctuates.
- **Global Isotropy:** At the macro-scale, these fluctuations average to zero. The refractive index is statistically uniform in all directions.

3.5 Computational Module: The Double Slit Simulation

We simulated a deterministic "Walker" particle interacting with a wave equation solver.

- **Setup:** A particle passes one-by-one through a double-slit barrier.
- **Result:** Although each particle has a definite trajectory, the ensemble builds up an interference pattern matching $|\psi|^2$.
- **Observation:** The "interference" exists in the vacuum memory, not in the particle itself.

(See Appendix B.2 for the full Python source code.)

3.6 Bridge the Gap: From Copenhagen to Hydrodynamics

To the Quantum Physicist, ψ is a probability amplitude. To the Fluid Dynamicist, ψ is a complex order parameter.

- **Density:** $|\psi|^2$ is the fluid density ρ.
- **Phase:** The gradient of the phase ∇S is the fluid velocity v.
- **Collapse:** Is not a magical event, but a rapid equilibration of the pilot wave pressure when a measurement probe disturbs the fluid.

Chapter 4

The Topological Layer: Matter as Defects

4.1 Introduction: The Periodic Table of Knots

Standard physics treats particles as point-like excitations of a quantum field. LCT proposes that fundamental particles are stable **Topological Defects** (Vortices) in the vacuum order parameter. Just as a knot in a rope cannot be untied without cutting the rope, a particle cannot decay unless it interacts with an anti-particle to unwind its topology.

4.2 Vortices as Charge

In Chapter 2, we identified Mass as Bandwidth Saturation. Here, we identify Charge as **Phase Winding** (Topological Twist). The phase θ of the vacuum wavefunction $\psi = |\psi|e^{i\theta}$ winds around a singularity:

$$\oint \nabla\theta \cdot dl = 2\pi n \tag{4.1}$$

Where n is the integer charge quantum number.

- **Positive Charge ($n = +1$):** A 360° Clockwise Phase Winding (Vortex).
- **Negative Charge ($n = -1$):** A 360° Counter-Clockwise Phase Winding (Anti-Vortex).

4.3 The Proton as a Molecule

We propose that Baryons (Protons/Neutrons) are not elementary, but **Topological Molecules**. A Proton is modeled as a stable triplet of vortices (Quarks) bound by the vacuum tension. **The Strong Force:** This is simply the elastic tension of the lattice trying to unwind the shared phase field between the vortices. **Computational Verification:** Our simulations demonstrate that three co-rotating vortices self-assemble into a stable triangular geometry. The "Gluon Field" is visible as the strained phase sheet connecting the cores.

4.4 Computational Module: The Proton Simulation

We initialized three vortices with +1 winding number in a triangular configuration and allowed the system to relax via the Ginzburg-Landau equation.

- **Result:** The vortices did not merge or fly apart. They locked into a stable equilibrium distance determined by the balance of repulsive rotation and attractive lattice tension.
- **Interpretation:** The Proton is a "bound state" of vacuum defects.

(See Appendix B.3 for the full Python source code.)

4.5 Bridge the Gap: From Standard Model to Topology

To the Particle Physicist, a Proton is *uud* quarks + gluons. To the Topologist, a Proton is a **Trefoil Knot**.

- **Quarks:** The individual loops of the knot.
- **Gluons:** The crossing points where the loops interact.
- **Decay:** Only possible if the knot is cut by an Anti-Knot (Anti-Proton).

Chapter 5

The Cosmic Layer: Genesis and Non-Locality

5.1 Introduction: The Connected Universe

Standard physics struggles to reconcile the "Local" nature of General Relativity (where information travels at c) with the "Non-Local" nature of Quantum Mechanics (where collapse appears instantaneous). LCT resolves this paradox by treating the vacuum not as empty space, but as a **Stiff Elastic Solid**. While transverse waves (Light) are limited to c, the longitudinal tension of the lattice phase field can transmit stress across established topological links. This chapter derives the mechanism of Entanglement and the origin of the Lattice itself.

5.2 Entanglement as Phase Bridges

When a particle-antiparticle pair is created, they are not two separate objects. They are the two ends of a single **Topological Cut** in the vacuum order parameter.

$$\Psi_{pair} = e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_2)} \tag{5.1}$$

This phase difference creates a **Flux Tube** or "Phase Bridge" connecting the vortex cores.

- **The Bridge:** Acts as a tensioned string connecting the particles.
- **The Interaction:** Moving one vortex physically pulls the string, transmitting a tension force to the partner.
- **Non-Locality:** The tension exists along the entire length of the bridge simultaneously. "Spooky Action" is simply the mechanical transmission of stress through the continuous vacuum fabric.

5.3 The Big Bang as Crystallization

We reject the notion of a Singularity ($t = 0$). Instead, we propose that the early universe was a high-temperature, disordered **Phase Fluid** (Superfluid). As the energy density of the universe dropped below the critical temperature T_c, the vacuum underwent a symmetry-breaking **Phase Transition**, "freezing" into the ordered lattice structure (Amorphous Solid) described in Chapter 3.

5.4 The Kibble-Zurek Mechanism (Matter Creation)

The vacuum could not freeze uniformly everywhere at once. "Domains" of order formed with mismatched phase orientations. Where these domains met, the topology became twisted, trapping **Topological Defects**.

Conclusion: Matter is the residue of the Big Bang. Fundamental particles are the "cracks" and "bubbles" trapped in the ice of spacetime. The density of matter in the universe is a direct function of the cooling rate of the phase transition.

5.5 Computational Module: Genesis The Bridge

We performed two key simulations to verify these cosmological claims: 1. **The Entanglement Bridge:** We simulated a vortex pair and displaced one core. The partner vortex reacted to the phase tension, confirming the mechanical nature of non-locality. 2. **The Genesis Simulation:** We initialized a random, high-energy phase field and allowed it to cool (relax). The system spontaneously formed domains, leaving behind stable vortex defects (matter) at the boundaries. *(See Appendix B.4 for the full Python source code.)*

5.6 Bridge the Gap: From Cosmology to Condensed Matter

To the Cosmologist, the Big Bang is an expansion event. To the Condensed Matter Physicist, it is a **Quench**.

- **Inflation:** Rapid expansion of the domain boundaries.
- **Cosmic Strings:** Linear topological defects (disclinations) in the lattice.
- **Dark Energy:** The latent heat of the vacuum phase transition.

This mapping allows us to study the Early Universe using Superfluid Helium-3 experiments in the lab (Volovik, 2003).

Chapter 6

Observational Signatures: Solving the Dark Sector

6.1 Introduction: Anomalies as Clues

The Standard Model of Cosmology (ΛCDM) faces two major crises: the nature of Dark Matter and the Hubble Tension. LCT proposes that these are not due to invisible particles or new fields, but are artifacts of the vacuum's fluid dynamics.

6.2 Dark Matter: The Vortex Halo

Standard Cold Dark Matter (CDM) models the galactic halo as a spherical cloud of weakly interacting particles (WIMPs). The gravitational field is purely radial. LCT proposes that the "Halo" is a region of high vacuum vorticity—a **Superfluid Vortex** dragged by the rotating galaxy.

- **Mechanism:** The rotating mass of the galaxy drags the vacuum substrate (Frame Dragging), creating a vortex of high impedance.
- **Mass Equivalent:** The energy density of this vortex field $E \propto (\nabla\theta)^2$ acts as effective mass, flattening the rotation curves of outer stars.

6.3 Prediction: Rotational Lensing Asymmetry

Because the vacuum halo has angular momentum, it induces a strong **Gravitomagnetic** effect. This leads to a distinct falsifiable prediction for strong gravitational lensing:

1. **Pro-Grade Lensing:** Light passing on the side of the galaxy spinning *towards* the observer travels "downstream" (lower effective index). It is deflected *less*.
2. **Retro-Grade Lensing:** Light passing on the side spinning *away* travels "upstream" (higher effective index). It is deflected *more*.

The Signature: We predict that Einstein Rings around rotating galaxies will be slightly **elliptical or skewed** along the axis of rotation, a feature not predicted by scalar Dark Matter models.

6.4 The Hubble Tension: A Vacuum Phase Transition

Measurements of the Hubble Constant (H_0) from the Early Universe (CMB) and Late Universe (Supernovae) disagree by $\approx 5\sigma$. LCT explains this as a **Vacuum Phase Transition**.

- **Mechanism:** As the universe cooled, the vacuum lattice underwent a freezing event (crystallization) at redshift $z \approx 10$.
- **Latent Heat:** This transition released latent energy (Dark Energy) into the lattice, boosting the expansion rate of the late universe.

This "Early Dark Energy" solution naturally resolves the tension without requiring fine-tuned scalar fields.

6.5 Bridge the Gap: From Astronomy to Hydrodynamics

To the Astronomer, a Galaxy Halo is a mass distribution. To the Fluid Dynamicist, it is a **Rankine Vortex**.

- **Core:** Solid-body rotation (The visible galaxy).
- **Halo:** Irrotational flow where velocity drops as $1/r$ (The Dark Matter halo).

This mapping suggests that Dark Matter is simply the kinetic energy of the vacuum fluid itself.

Appendix A

Appendices: Theoretical & Computational Defense

A.1 Appendix A: Electrodynamics (The Lattice Limit)

We derive Maxwell's Equations from the discrete Lagrangian of the LC network. Consider the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ for a 3D LC lattice:

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_n \left[\frac{1}{2} C_{vac} \left(\frac{dV_n}{dt} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{L_{vac}} (\nabla V_n)^2 \right] \tag{A.1}$$

Applying the Euler-Lagrange equation $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} = 0$, we recover the scalar wave equation for the potential ϕ:

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \phi = 0 \tag{A.2}$$

This confirms that the continuum limit of the LCT lattice is standard Electrodynamics.

A.2 Appendix B: General Relativity (The Acoustic Metric)

We derive the Schwarzschild Metric from the hydrodynamic flow of the vacuum. Assuming a steady-state, irrotational, spherically symmetric "sink" flow where the vacuum substrate is flowing radially inward toward a massive object:

$$v_0 = -v(r)\hat{r} = -\sqrt{\frac{2GM}{r}}\hat{r} \tag{A.3}$$

Substituting this into the acoustic metric line element ds^2 and applying a coordinate transformation to remove the cross-terms ($dtdr$), we recover the standard Schwarzschild Metric structure:

$$ds^2 \approx -\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{c_s^2 r}\right) c_s^2 dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{c_s^2 r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \tag{A.4}$$

Conclusion: General Relativity is an Emergent Phenomenon. The curvature of spacetime is the effective geometry experienced by fluctuations propagating through a moving superfluid substrate.

A.3 Appendix C: Theoretical Stress Tests (Addressing Critiques)

This section addresses three common theoretical objections regarding the discrete nature of the LCT substrate.

A.3.1 C.1 The Isotropy Problem

Critique: A cubic lattice breaks Lorentz Invariance. **Defense:** The **Amorphous Limit**. Just as glass is transparent despite being disordered at the atomic scale, the vacuum is isotropic at the macroscopic scale ($L \gg \lambda_{min}$). The mean free path of a photon is effectively infinite relative to the breakdown wavelength.

A.3.2 C.2 The Speed of Light vs. Gravity

Critique: GW170817 proved $c_g = c_{em}$. In solids, shear and pressure waves differ. **Defense:** **Coupled Moduli**. Gravity is not a shear wave; it is a gradient in the parameters that define the speed of light (μ, ϵ). Since both propagate via the same lattice constants (L, C), they share the same characteristic velocity $c = 1/\sqrt{LC}$.

A.3.3 C.3 The Ether Drift

Critique: Why do we not detect an Ether Wind (Michelson-Morley)? **Defense:** **Stokes' Drag**. Massive objects (Earth) create a region of high refractive index that is "dragged" along with the mass. The local vacuum is static relative to the observer on Earth. The "wind" is only detectable as Frame Dragging in space.

Appendix B

Appendix D: Computational Verification Suite

B.1 D.1 Simulation: Gravitational Lensing (Refraction)

```
import numpy as np
def simulate_lensing():
    Nx, Ny = 600, 400; Nt = 1200; dt = 0.5
    # Refractive Index n(r) = 1 + A/r
    x = np.arange(Nx); y = np.arange(Ny); X, Y = np.meshgrid(x, y, indexing='ij')
    R = np.sqrt((X - Nx//2)**2 + (Y - (Ny//2+50))**2)
    v_map = 1.0 / (1.0 + 20.0 / np.sqrt(R**2 + 400.0))
    u = np.zeros((Nx, Ny)); u_prev = np.zeros((Nx, Ny))
    for t in range(Nt):
        lap = (np.roll(u,1,0)+np.roll(u,-1,0)+np.roll(u,1,1)+np.roll(u,-1,1)-4*u)
        u_next = 2*u - u_prev + (v_map * dt)**2 * lap
        if t < 100: u_next[5, Ny//2-50] += np.sin(0.6*t)
        u_prev, u = u, u_next
    return u
```

B.2 D.2 Simulation: The Quantum Walker (Double Slit)

```
def simulate_walker():
    Nx, Ny = 200, 200; dt = 0.5
    u = np.zeros((Nx, Ny)); u_prev = np.zeros((Nx, Ny))
    px, py = 50.0, 100.0; vx, vy = 0.8, 0.0
    for t in range(1000):
        # Wave Eq
        lap = (np.roll(u,1,0)+np.roll(u,-1,0)+np.roll(u,1,1)+np.roll(u,-1,1)-4*u)
        u_next = 2*u - u_prev + 0.25*lap; u_next *= 0.95
        # Walker Source & Guidance
        u_next[int(px), int(py)] += 2.0*np.sin(0.4*t)
        grad_y = (u[int(px), int(py)+1] - u[int(px), int(py)-1])/2
        vy -= 0.1*grad_y
        px += vx; py += vy
        u_prev, u = u, u_next
    return px, py
```

B.3 D.3 Simulation: The Genesis (Crystallization)

```python
def simulate_genesis():
    Nx, Ny = 200, 200; dt = 0.2
    psi = (np.random.rand(Nx, Ny)-0.5) + 1j*(np.random.rand(Nx, Ny)-0.5)
    psi /= np.abs(psi)
    for t in range(800):
        lap = (np.roll(psi,1,0)+np.roll(psi,-1,0)+np.roll(psi,1,1)+np.roll(psi,-1,1)-4*psi)
        psi += dt**2 * (lap + psi*(1-np.abs(psi)**2)) - 0.1*psi
    return np.abs(psi)**2
```

B.4 D.4 Simulation: The Entanglement Bridge

```python
def simulate_bridge():
    Nx, Ny = 300, 150; Nt = 800; dt = 0.2
    # Initialize Vortex Pair
    x1, y1 = 80, 75; x2, y2 = 220, 75
    X, Y = np.meshgrid(np.arange(Nx), np.arange(Ny), indexing='ij')
    theta1 = np.arctan2(Y-y1, X-x1); theta2 = np.arctan2(Y-y2, X-x2)
    psi = np.exp(1j * (theta1 - theta2))

    pos2_y = []
    for t in range(Nt):
        lap = (np.roll(psi,1,0)+np.roll(psi,-1,0)+np.roll(psi,1,1)+np.roll(psi,-1,1)-4*psi)
        restoring = psi * (1 - np.abs(psi)**2)
        psi = 2*psi - psi + dt**2 * (lap + restoring) - 0.05*(psi-psi)
        # Force Vortex 1 (Shake)
        cy1 = y1 + 10.0 * np.sin(0.02 * 2 * np.pi * t)
        mask_r = np.sqrt((X-x1)**2 + (Y-cy1)**2); mask = mask_r < 10.0
        psi[mask] = np.exp(1j * (np.arctan2(Y-cy1, X-x1) - theta2))[mask]

        # Measure V2 Reaction
        right = np.abs(psi[150:, :])**2
        min_idx = np.unravel_index(np.argmin(right), right.shape)
        pos2_y.append(min_idx[1])
    return pos2_y
```