

Notes of EGMO

Chapter 1 - Angle Chasing

GRAPHIEL

25 November 2024

Table of contents

1 Cyclic Quadrilaterals	2
1.1 The Inscribed Angle Theorem	2
1.2 Back to the origins	2
2 The Orthic Triangle	3
3 The Incenter/Excenter Lemma	3
4 Tangent to Circles and Phantom Points	4
4.1 The Tangent-Chord Theorem	4
4.2 P-phantom Points?!	5

§1 Cyclic Quadrilaterals

Let $ABCD$ be a convex quadrilateral. Then the following are equivalent:

Proposition 1.1. $ABCD$ is cyclic.

Proposition 1.2. $\angle ABC + \angle CDA = 180^\circ$.

Proposition 1.3. $\angle ABD = \angle ACD$.

Let's prove that if [Proposition 1.1](#) is true, then [Proposition 1.2](#) and [Proposition 1.3](#) are also true, for that we will need the following [Lemma 1.1.1](#) (after proving it, too):

§1.1 The Inscribed Angle Theorem

Lemma 1.1.1. *The angle $\angle ABC$ inscribed in the circumference Φ measures exactly half of the central correspondent angle $\angle AOC$.*

Proof. Let $\angle ABC = \alpha$ be a angle inscribed in a circumference Φ with center O . Notice that $\triangle BOA$ and $\triangle BOC$ are both isosceles ($\overline{OA} = \overline{OB} = \overline{OC}$), therefore

$$\angle ABC = \angle OBA + \angle OBC$$

$$\angle AOC = 2(\angle OBA + \angle OBC)$$

$$\therefore \angle AOC = 2 \cdot \angle ABC$$

as we wished to proved. □

§1.2 Back to the origins

We can now use [Lemma 1.1.1](#) to prove that [Proposition 1.1](#) is equivalent to [Proposition 1.2](#) and [Proposition 1.3](#).

Theorem 1.2.1. ([Proposition 1.2](#)) *On a cyclic quadrilateral $ABCD$, $\angle ABC + \angle CDA = 180^\circ$.*

Proof. Let O be the center of $(ABCD)$, notice that $2 \cdot \angle ABC = \angle AOC$ and $2 \cdot \angle CDA = \angle COA$. The following is, indeed, obvious

$$\angle AOC = \angle COA = 180^\circ \therefore \angle AOC + \angle COA = 360^\circ$$

$$2(\angle ABC + \angle CDA) = \angle AOC + \angle COA = 360^\circ \therefore \angle ABC + \angle CDA = 180^\circ$$

just as we wished to prove. □

Theorem 1.2.2. ([Proposition 1.3](#)) *On a cyclic quadrilateral $ABCD$, $\angle ABD = \angle ACD$.*

Proof. Take a look at [Lemma 1.1.1](#),

$$\angle ABD = \angle ACD \therefore 2 \cdot \angle ABD = \angle AOD = 2 \cdot \angle ACD$$

just as we wished to prove. □

Remark 1.2.3. See my notes (or Evan's) on *directed angles*. They are very useful when speaking of cyclic quadrilaterals.

§2 The Orthic Triangle

This one comes in handy in relation to the previous section, for it stands as a nice exercise.

Lemma 2.1. On $\triangle ABC$, let D , E and F denote the foot of the perpendiculars from A , B and C , respectively. Let $H = AD \cap BE \cap CF$ (H is called the orthocenter¹ of $\triangle ABC$), then

Theorem 2.2. There are six cyclic quadrilaterals on this configuration.

Theorem 2.3. Point H is the incenter of $\triangle DEF$.

Let's take a look at [Theorem 2.2](#) first:

Proof. If you drew the diagram, you may have quickly noticed that $AEHF$, $BDHF$ and $CDHE$ are all cyclic quadrilaterals, so there are only three other remaining to find. Now let's take a look at quadrilaterals $AFDC$, $AEBD$ and $BFEC$ and choose, say, $AFDC$ first, then notice that

$$\angle ADF = \angle FBH = 90^\circ - \angle A$$

$$\angle FCA = 90^\circ - \angle A \therefore \angle ADF = \angle FCA \therefore AFDC \text{ is cyclic.}$$

We can use the same “algorithm” to prove the “cyclicness” of $AEBD$ and $BFEC$ too. \square

Now let's proceed to [Theorem 2.3](#):

Proof. Let's take any angle formed by one of the vertexes of $\triangle DEF$ and do a simple angle chasing,

$$\angle ADF = \angle ABH$$

quadrilateral $AEBD$ is cyclic, therefore

$$\angle ABE = \angle ABH = \angle ADE$$

since $\angle ADF = \angle ADE$, then DH is indeed the bisector of $\angle FDE$, just as we wished to prove. \square

§3 The Incenter/Excenter Lemma

Lemma 3.1. Let ABC be a triangle with incenter I . Ray AI meets (ABC) again at L . Let I_A be the reflection of I over L . Then,

Theorem 3.2. The points I , B , C , and I_A lie on a circle with diameter $\overline{II_A}$ and center L . In particular, $\overline{LI} = \overline{LB} = \overline{LC} = \overline{LI_A}$.

Theorem 3.3. Rays BI_A and CI_A bisect the exterior angles of $\triangle ABC$.

Let's prove [Theorem 3.2](#) first:

Proof. By definition, points A , B , C , L are concyclic, therefore

$$\angle LAC = \angle LBC = \angle BAL = \angle BCL$$

¹We will take for granted that this point exists (i.e., that lines AD , BE and CF all intersect at this common point H).

therefore $\triangle BLC$ is isosceles with base \overline{BC} (i.e., $\overline{LB} = \overline{LC}$). Next, notice that triangles BIL and CIL are also isosceles ($\because \angle ICL = \angle CIL = \frac{\angle A + \angle C}{2}$ and $\angle IBL = \angle BIL = \frac{\angle A + \angle B}{2}$). Finally, we have that

$$\overline{LI} = \overline{LB} = \overline{LC} = \overline{LI_A}$$

Since $(I - L - I_A)$, then $\overline{II_A}$ is indeed the diameter of $(IBCI_A)$. □

Now we must prove [Theorem 3.3](#), which can be easily done with simple angle chasing:

Proof. Extend rays AB and AC , take a look at $(IBCI_A)$, then it's obvious that

$$\angle CBP = \angle A + \angle C \text{ where } (P \in AB, AB \subset AP, P \neq B)$$

$$\angle CBI_A = \angle I_AIC = \frac{\angle A + \angle C}{2}$$

$$\therefore \angle I_ABP = \angle CBI_A \iff BI_A \text{ is the bisector of } \angle CBP$$

To prove that CI_A is the bisector of BCQ where $(Q \in AC, AC \subset AP, P \neq C)$ is the same thing. □

Remark 3.4. The point I_A is called the *excenter* of $\triangle ABC$. As you could see on this section (and will see again soon), this theorem is very useful and deserves its title of *lemma*.

§4 Tangent to Circles and Phantom Points

§4.1 The Tangent-Chord Theorem

On a circumference (ABC) , let P be a point that lies outside (ABC) , then the following are all equivalent:

Proposition 4.1.1. Line AP is tangent to (ABC) .

Proposition 4.1.2. $OA \perp AP$.

Proposition 4.1.3. $\angle PAB = \angle ACB$.

Let's prove that, if [Proposition 4.1.1](#) is true then [Proposition 4.1.2](#) and [Proposition 4.1.3](#) are also true. Shall we begin with [Proposition 4.1.2](#) first?

Proof. Assuming that line AP is tangent to (ABC) , notice that OA is the smallest distance from O to A , therefore $OA \perp AP$. □

This one was tough. Now shall we prove [Proposition 4.1.3](#)?

Proof. Take a look at triangle AOB , it is isosceles of base \overline{AB} . Let $\angle AOB = 2 \cdot \alpha$, then $\angle OAB = \angle OBA = 90^\circ - \alpha$. Since $\angle OAP = 90^\circ$ and $\angle OAP = \angle PAB + \angle OAB = \angle PAB + 90^\circ - \alpha$, then $\angle PAB = \alpha$ and also $\angle ACB = \frac{\angle AOB}{2} = \frac{2\alpha}{2} = \alpha$, therefore

$$\angle PAB = \angle ACB$$

just as we wished to prove. □

§4.2 P-phantom Points?!

Alright, ladies and gentleman, there's no other way to show why this is so useful than with a nice example problem.

Here's my loose definition of *phantom points*:

Definition 4.2.1. A *phantom point* P is a point not well defined, usually established when we want to prove collinearity, or perhaps when we want to prove that this *phantom point* is the same as other already established point on the diagram.

Now let's clear the way using a nice example problem:

Example 4.2.2. Let ABC be an acute triangle with circumcenter O , and let K be a point such that KA is tangent to (ABC) and $\angle KCB = 90^\circ$. Point D lies on \overline{BC} such that $KD \parallel AB$. Show that line \overline{DO} passes through A .

Solution. First, let's create a *phantom point* D' such that $D' = AO \cap BC$, if we somehow prove that $D \cong D'$ then, by definition of D' , we would also prove that $A - O - D$. So, forget about D and focus on D' , not assuming anything other than it's definition. Notice that

$$\angle B = \angle KAC$$

$$\text{Quadrilateral } AD'CK \text{ is cyclic} \therefore \angle KAC = \angle KD'C \therefore \angle B = \angle KD'C$$

$$\therefore KD' \parallel AB$$

since there's only one line passing through K which is parallel to AB , then D' and D are unique, i.e.,

$$D \cong D'$$

just as we wished to prove. □