

Thorchain TSS Security Audit

Prepared by: Halborn

Date of Engagement: September 1st, 2021 - September 30th, 2021

Visit: Halborn.com

DOCU	MENT REVISION HISTORY	4
CONT	ACTS	4
1	EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW	5
1.1	INTRODUCTION	6
1.2	AUDIT SUMMARY	6
1.3	TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY	7
	TSS	7
	RISK METHODOLOGY	8
1.4	SCOPE	10
2	ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW	11
3	FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS	12
3.1	(HAL-01) INSECURE FILE PERMISSIONS - MEDIUM	14
	Description	14
	Code Location	14
	Risk Level	14
	Recommendation	15
3.2	(HAL-02) SENSITIVE INFORMATION IN THE ENVIRONMENT VARIABLES	; -
	LOW	16
	Description	16
	Remediation	18
	Reference	18
3.3	(HAL-03) LACK OF MEMORY PROTECTION MECHANISM - LOW	19
	Description	19
	Code Location	19

	Risk Level	19
	Recommendation	20
3.4	(HAL-04) EXAMINATION OF KEY DERIVATION - INFORMATIONAL	21
	Description	21
	Code Location	21
	Comparison Bcrypt & PKDBF2 & Scrypt	21
	Risk Level	22
	Recommendation	22
	Remediation	22
3.5	(HAL-05) USE WEAK RANDOM NUMBER GENERATOR - INFORMATIONAL	23
	Description	23
	Code Location	23
	Risk Level	25
	Recommendation	25
3.6	(HAL-06) LACK OF KEY SIZE CHECK - INFORMATIONAL	26
	Description	26
	Code Location	26
	Risk Level	27
	Recommendation	27
3.7	(HAL-07) TYPO ON THE ENCRYPTION COMMENT - INFORMATIONAL	28
	Description	28
	Code Location	28
	Risk Level	28
	Recommendation	28

3.8	(HAL-08) MISSING GO COMPILER BUILD DIRECTIVES - INFORMATION, 29	ΑL
	Description	29
	Risk Level	29
	Repository Makefile Flags	29
	Example Flags	30
	Recommendation	30
3.9	(HAL-09) DOCKER CONTAINER WITH ROOT PRIVILEGES - INFORMATION	
		31
	Description	31
	Risk Level	31
	Code Location	31
	Recommendation	32
4	STATIC ANALYSIS REPORT	33
4.1	STATIC ANALYSIS	34
	Semgrep - Security Analysis Output Sample	34
	Gosec - Security Analysis Output Sample	36
	Staticcheck - Security Analysis Output Sample	36
	Ineffassign - Security Analysis Output Sample	36

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

VERSION	MODIFICATION	DATE	AUTHOR
0.1	Document Creation	09/15/2021	Gokberk Gulgun
0.5	Document Updates	09/25/2021	Gokberk Gulgun
1.0	Final Review	09/30/2021	Gabi Urrutia

CONTACTS

CONTACT	COMPANY	EMAIL
Rob Behnke	Halborn	Rob.Behnke@halborn.com
Steven Walbroehl	Halborn	Steven.Walbroehl@halborn.com
Gabi Urrutia	Halborn	Gabi.Urrutia@halborn.com
Gokberk Gulgun	Halborn	Gokberk.Gulgun@halborn.com

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

1.1 INTRODUCTION

ThorChain engaged Halborn to conduct a security assessment on their **TSS** repository beginning on September 1st, 2021, and ending September 30th, 2021. Halborn was provided access to the source code of the application and the testing environment in order to conduct security testing using tools to scan, detect, validate possible vulnerabilities found in the application and report the findings at the end of the engagement.

1.2 AUDIT SUMMARY

The team at Halborn was provided five weeks for the engagement and assigned three full time security engineers to audit the security of the smart contract. The security engineers are blockchain and smart-contract security experts with advanced penetration testing, smart-contract hacking, and deep knowledge of multiple blockchain protocols.

In summary, Halborn identified several security risks that need to be addressed.

1.3 TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY

Halborn performed a combination of manual and automated security testing to balance efficiency, timeliness, practicality, and accuracy regarding the scope of the structures. While manual testing is recommended to uncover flaws in logic, process, and implementation; automated testing techniques help enhance coverage of structures and can quickly identify items that do not follow security best practices. The following phases and associated tools were used throughout the term of the audit:

TSS:

- Research into architecture and purpose.
- Static Analysis of security for scoped structures and imported functions. (gosec, shadow, staticcheck, errcheck, semgrep)
- Manual Assessment for discovering security vulnerabilities on the codebase.
- Review of TSS functionalities.
- Dynamic Analysis.

RISK METHODOLOGY:

Vulnerabilities or issues observed by Halborn are ranked based on the risk assessment methodology by measuring the LIKELIHOOD of a security incident, and the IMPACT should an incident occur. This framework works for communicating the characteristics and impacts of technology vulnerabilities. It's quantitative model ensures repeatable and accurate measurement while enabling users to see the underlying vulnerability characteristics that was used to generate the Risk scores. For every vulnerability, a risk level will be calculated on a scale of 5 to 1 with 5 being the highest likelihood or impact.

RISK SCALE - LIKELIHOOD

- 5 Almost certain an incident will occur.
- 4 High probability of an incident occurring.
- 3 Potential of a security incident in the long term.
- 2 Low probability of an incident occurring.
- 1 Very unlikely issue will cause an incident.

RISK SCALE - IMPACT

- 5 May cause devastating and unrecoverable impact or loss.
- 4 May cause a significant level of impact or loss.
- 3 May cause a partial impact or loss to many.
- 2 May cause temporary impact or loss.
- 1 May cause minimal or un-noticeable impact.

The risk level is then calculated using a sum of these two values, creating a value of 10 to 1 with 10 being the highest level of security risk.

CRITICAL	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	INFORMATIONAL
----------	------	--------	-----	---------------

10 - CRITICAL

9 - 8 - HIGH

7 - 6 - MEDIUM

5 - 4 - LOW



1.4 SCOPE

IN-SCOPE : The repository concerned is: https://gitlab.com/thorchain/tss/gotss

Commit ID: 29f841a0d1d38b264eaf71fbdced8afe005c3544.

2. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW

CRITICAL	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	INFORMATIONAL
0	0	1	2	6

LIKELIHOOD

	(HAL-01)		
	(HAL-02) (HAL-03)		
(HAL-04) (HAL-05) (HAL-06) (HAL-07) (HAL-08) (HAL-09)			

SECURITY ANALYSIS	RISK LEVEL	REMEDIATION DATE
INSECURE FILE PERMISSIONS	Medium	-
SENSITIVE INFORMATION IN THE ENVIRONMENT VARIABLES	Low	-
LACK OF MEMORY PROTECTION MECHANISM	Low	-
EXAMINATION OF KEY DERIVATION	Informational	_
USE WEAK RANDOM NUMBER GENERATOR	Informational	-
LACK OF KEY SIZE CHECK	Informational	-
TYPO ON THE ENCRYPTION COMMENT	Informational	-
MISSING GO COMPILER BUILD DIRECTIVES	Informational	-
DOCKER CONTAINER WITH ROOT PRIVILEGES	Informational	-
STATIC ANALYSIS REPORT	-	-

FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS

3.1 (HAL-01) INSECURE FILE PERMISSIONS - MEDIUM

Description:

When a resource is given a permission setting that provides access to a wider range of actors than required, it could lead to the exposure of sensitive information, or the modification of that resource by unintended parties. This is especially dangerous when the resource is related to program configuration, execution, or sensitive user data. On the TSS, os.ModePerm permission is applied on the file system.

Code Location:

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 2 Impact - 4

Recommendation:

Set the permissions of the files and directories properly so that unauthorized users cannot access critical resources unnecessarily.



3.2 (HAL-02) SENSITIVE INFORMATION IN THE ENVIRONMENT VARIABLES - LOW

Description:

When the secret keys stored in an environment variable, it is prone to accidentally exposing them. Given that the environment is implicitly available to the process, it's hard, if not impossible, to track access and how the contents get exposed (ps -eww) It's common to have applications grab the whole environment and print it out for debugging or error reporting. Environment variables are passed down to child processes, which allows for unintended access. This breaks the principle of least privilege. Imagine that as part of your application, you call to a third-party tool to perform some action---suddenly that third-party tool has access to your environment. When applications crash, it's common for them to store the environment variables in log-files for later debugging.

Docker Compose Go Tss

```
Listing 2
 1 version: '3'
 3 services:
     tss0:
       hostname: tss0
       ports:
          - 8080:8080
         - 6668:6668
       build:
         context: ../
         dockerfile: Dockerfile
       #image: registry.gitlab.com/thorchain/tss/go-tss
       restart: unless-stopped
       environment:
         - PRIVKEY=${TSS_0}
          - NET=testnet
       command: /go/bin/start.bash
       networks:
         localnet:
```

```
ipv4_address: 192.168.10.1
tss1:
  hostname: tss1
 ports:
    - 8081:8080
    - 6669:6668
 build:
    context: ../
    dockerfile: Dockerfile
  #image: registry.gitlab.com/thorchain/tss/go-tss
  restart: unless-stopped
  environment:
    - PRIVKEY=${TSS_1}
    - NET=testnet
  depends_on:
    - tss0
  command: /go/bin/start-tss.bash
  networks:
    localnet:
      ipv4_address: 192.168.10.2
tss2:
  hostname: tss2
  ports:
    - 8082:8080
    - 6667:6668
 build:
    context: ../
    dockerfile: Dockerfile
  #image: registry.gitlab.com/thorchain/tss/go-tss
  restart: unless-stopped
  environment:
    - PRIVKEY=${TSS_2}
    - NET=testnet
  depends_on:
    - tss0
  command: /go/bin/start-tss.bash
  networks:
    localnet:
      ipv4_address: 192.168.10.3
tss3:
  hostname: tss3
 ports:
```

```
- 8083:8080
      - 6666:6668
    build:
      context: ../
      dockerfile: Dockerfile
    #image: registry.gitlab.com/thorchain/tss/go-tss
    restart: unless-stopped
    environment:
      - PRIVKEY=${TSS_3}
      - NET=testnet
    depends_on:
      - tss0
    command: /go/bin/start-tss.bash
    networks:
      localnet:
        ipv4_address: 192.168.10.4
networks:
  localnet:
    driver: bridge
    ipam:
      driver: default
      config:
        - subnet: 192.168.10.0/16
```

Remediation:

It is recommended to store the **PRIVKEY** in the secure docker storage.

Reference:

https://docs.docker.com/engine/swarm/secrets/

3.3 (HAL-03) LACK OF MEMORY PROTECTION MECHANISM - LOW

Description:

During the manual code review, It has been observed that there is no mechanism implemented for handling sensitive values in memory. Memguard package is designed to allow you to easily handle sensitive values in memory.

Code Location:

cmd/tss/main.go

Reference Memguard

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 2 Impact - 2

Recommendation:

Consider using memory protection mechanism on the **TSS** component. Sample **Stdin** protection via memory protection can be seen below.

3.4 (HAL-04) EXAMINATION OF KEY DERIVATION - INFORMATIONAL

Description:

A key derivation function is useful when encrypting data based on a password or any other not-fully-random data. It uses a pseudorandom function to derive a secure encryption key based on the password. In the TSS, key.go file is implemented for the key derivation and **PKDBF2** has been used. Key derives a key from the password, salt and iteration count, returning a []byte of length keylen that can be used as cryptographic key. The key is derived based on the method described as PBKDF2 with the HMAC variant using the supplied hash function. On the implementation, **SHA256** has been used.

Code Location:

cmd/tss-recovery/key.go

```
Listing 5: key.go

1    cipherParamsJSON := cipherParams{IV: hex.EncodeToString(iv)}
2    derivedKey := pbkdf2.Key([]byte(password), salt, 262144, 32,
        sha256.New)
3    if err != nil {
4        return nil, err
5    }
6    encryptKey := derivedKey[:32]
7    cipherText, err := aesCTRXOR(encryptKey, privKey, iv)
8    if err != nil {
```

Comparison Bcrypt & PKDBF2 & Scrypt:

Reference

14 STRONGER KEY DERIVATION VIA SEQUENTIAL MEMORY-HARD FUNCTIONS

Table 1. Estimated cost of hardware to crack a password in 1 year.

KDF	6 letters	8 letters	8 chars	10 chars	40-char text	80-char text
DES CRYPT	< \$1	< \$1	< \$1	< \$1	< \$1	< \$1
MD5	< \$1	< \$1	< \$1	\$1.1k	\$1	$\$1.5\mathrm{T}$
MD5 CRYPT	< \$1	< \$1	\$130	\$1.1M	1.4k	$$1.5 \times 10^{15}$
PBKDF2 (100 ms)	< \$1	< \$1	\$18k	\$160M	\$200k	$$2.2 \times 10^{17}$
bcrypt (95 ms)	< \$1	\$4	\$130k	\$1.2B	\$1.5M	\$48B
scrypt (64 ms)	< \$1	\$150	\$4.8M	\$43B	\$52M	$$6 \times 10^{19}$
PBKDF2 (5.0 s)	< \$1	\$29	\$920k	\$8.3B	\$10M	$$11 \times 10^{18}$
bcrypt (3.0 s)	< \$1	\$130	\$4.3M	\$39B	\$47M	\$1.5T
scrypt (3.8 s)	\$900	\$610k	\$19B	\$175T	\$210B	$$2.3 \times 10^{23}$

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1

Impact - 1

Recommendation:

On the recent commits, ThorChain Team is decided to continue with scrypt. Scrypt has the added benefit of having extra **RAM/Memory** requirements, making it more GPU-resistant than SHA, BCrypt or PBKDF2.

Remediation:

Fixed on the recent commits.

3.5 (HAL-05) USE WEAK RANDOM NUMBER GENERATOR - INFORMATIONAL

Description:

The random numbers generated could be predicted. The use of a predictable random value can lead to vulnerabilities when used in certain security critical contexts. Using a cryptographically weak pseudo-random number generator may allow an attacker to predict what security-sensitive value will be generated. In the "tss-helper" go file, math/rand function is used instead of crypto/rand. Although, the function is used for the test case, for the critical components like TSS, secure random generator should be used on the repository.

Code Location:

```
Listing 6: tss-helper.go
 1 import (
       "errors"
       "math/rand"
       "github.com/blang/semver"
       sdk "github.com/cosmos/cosmos-sdk/types"
       atypes "github.com/cosmos/cosmos-sdk/x/auth/vesting/types"
       "github.com/libp2p/go-libp2p-core/peer"
       "github.com/tendermint/tendermint/crypto/secp256k1"
10 )
12 // GetRandomPubKey for test
13 func GetRandomPubKey() string {
       _, pubKey, _ := atypes.KeyTestPubAddr()
       bech32PubKey, _ := sdk.Bech32ifyPubKey(sdk.
          Bech32PubKeyTypeAccPub, pubKey)
       return bech32PubKey
20 func GetRandomPeerID() peer.ID {
       _, pubKey, _ := atypes.KeyTestPubAddr()
```

```
copy(pk[:], pubKey.Bytes())
       peerID, _ := GetPeerIDFromSecp256PubKey(pk)
       return peerID
26 }
28 const letterBytes = "
      abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyzABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ"
29 const (
      letterIdxBits = 6
                                             // 6 bits to represent a
       letterIdxMask = 1<<letterIdxBits - 1 // All 1-bits, as many as</pre>
32 )
34 func RandStringBytesMask(n int) string {
      b := make([]byte, n)
       for i := 0; i < n; {
           if idx := int(rand.Int63() & letterIdxMask); idx < len(</pre>
              letterBytes) {
               b[i] = letterBytes[idx]
       return string(b)
43 }
45 func VersionLTCheck(currentVer, expectedVer string) (bool, error)
      c, err := semver.Make(expectedVer)
      if err != nil {
           return false, errors.New("fail to parse the expected
              version")
       v, err := semver.Make(currentVer)
       if err != nil {
           return false, errors.New("fail to parse the current
              version")
       }
       return v.LT(c), nil
55 }
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1

Impact - 1

Recommendation:

Consider to use crypto/rand instead of math/rand.

3.6 (HAL-06) LACK OF KEY SIZE CHECK - INFORMATIONAL

Description:

On the localstate_mgr, the AES-GCM mode has been used for the encryption process. However, on the /common/encryption.go, key size is not validated before a cryptographic operations. The data should be validated according to size.

Code Location:

```
Listing 7: common/encryption.go
 1 func AESEncrypt(data, derivedKey []byte) ([]byte, error) {
       if len(data) == 0 || len(derivedKey) == 0 {
           return nil, errors.New("invalid data or derivedkey")
       }
       block, _ := aes.NewCipher(derivedKey)
       gcm, err := cipher.NewGCM(block)
       if err != nil {
           return nil, err
       nonce := make([]byte, gcm.NonceSize())
       if _, err = io.ReadFull(rand.Reader, nonce); err != nil {
           return nil, err
       ciphertext := gcm.Seal(nonce, nonce, data, nil)
       return ciphertext, nil
19 }
22 func AESDecrypt(data, derivedKey []byte) ([]byte, error) {
       if len(data) == 0 || len(derivedKey) == 0 {
           return nil, errors.New("invalid data or derivedkey")
       }
```

```
block, err := aes.NewCipher(derivedKey)

if err != nil {

return nil, err

gcm, err := cipher.NewGCM(block)

if err != nil {

return nil, err

}

nonceSize := gcm.NonceSize()

nonce, ciphertext := data[:nonceSize], data[nonceSize:]

plaintext, err := gcm.Open(nil, nonce, ciphertext, nil)

if err != nil {

return nil, err

}

return plaintext, nil

return plaintext, nil
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1

Impact - 1

Recommendation:

Input validation must be done to ensure only properly formed data is entering the encryption/decryption routine. Consider checking the size and type of the input.

3.7 (HAL-07) TYPO ON THE ENCRYPTION COMMENT - INFORMATIONAL

Description:

On the TSS component, Key is encrypted via **AES** Counter method. The Counter (CTR) mode is a typical block cipher mode of operation using block cipher algorithm. The progress have been completed on the key.go file. On the encryption implementation, The following comment is marked as using **AES-128**. However, on the key generation the variable is defined as 32 bytes(256 bit - encryptKey := derivedKey[:32]).

Code Location:

```
Listing 8: aesCTRXOR.go

1 func aesCTRXOR(key, inText, iv []byte) ([]byte, error) {
2    // AES-128 is selected due to size of encryptKey.
3    aesBlock, err := aes.NewCipher(key)
4    if err != nil {
5        return nil, err
6    }
7    stream := cipher.NewCTR(aesBlock, iv)
8    outText := make([]byte, len(inText))
9    stream.XORKeyStream(outText, inText)
10    return outText, err
11 }
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1 Impact - 1

Recommendation:

Consider to fix the typo on the code comments.

3.8 (HAL-08) MISSING GO COMPILER BUILD DIRECTIVES - INFORMATIONAL

Description:

During the tests, it has been observed that Go compiler build flags are not configured. The use of compiler flags and compiler sequences can optimize and improve the performance of specific types of applications.

Risk Level:

```
Likelihood - 1
Impact - 1
```

Repository Makefile Flags:

```
Listing 9: DockerFile (Lines )

1 FROM golang:1.15.6-alpine AS builder

2

3 RUN apk update && apk add --no-cache git

4 WORKDIR /go/src/app

5 COPY .

6 RUN GO111MODULE=on go mod download

7 WORKDIR /go/src/app/cmd/tss

8 RUN GOOS=linux GOARCH=amd64 go build -ldflags="-w -s" -o tss

9

10 #

11 # Main

12 #

13 FROM alpine:latest

14 ARG privkey

15 ARG net

16 ENV PRIVKEY=$privkey

17 ENV NET=$net

18 RUN apk add --update ca-certificates curl

19 RUN mkdir -p /go/bin

20 COPY --from=builder /go/src/app/cmd/tss /go/bin

21 COPY build/start-tss.bash /go/bin/start-tss.bash
```

```
22 COPY build/start.bash /go/bin/start.bash
23 EXPOSE 6668
24 EXPOSE 8080
25 RUN chmod +x /go/bin/start-tss.bash
26 RUN chmod +x /go/bin/start.bash
```

Example Flags:

```
Listing 10: Example Compiler Build Flags (Lines )

1 -a

2 force rebuilding of packages that are already up to date.

3 -ldflags "-s -w"

4 The -w turns off DWARF debugging information

5 The -s turns off generation of the Go symbol table

6 -trimpath

7 The -trimpath Remove all file system paths from the resulting executable.

8 -gcflags

9 arguments to pass on each go tool compile invocation.
```

Recommendation:

Enabling compiler build flags could make binary build faster and outputs a smaller and probably more efficient binary. Therefore, the flags should be reviewed and enabled according to the structure.

3.9 (HAL-09) DOCKER CONTAINER WITH ROOT PRIVILEGES - INFORMATIONAL

Description:

Docker containers typically run with root privileges by default. This allows for unrestricted container management, which means you can do things like install system packages, edit config files, bind privileged ports. During the static analysis, it has been observed that docker image is maintained via root user.

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1 Impact - 1

Code Location:

Docker File

```
Listing 11: DockerFile (Lines )

1 FROM golang:1.15.6-alpine AS builder
2
3 RUN apk update && apk add --no-cache git
4 WORKDIR /go/src/app
5 COPY . .
6 RUN GO111MODULE=on go mod download
7 WORKDIR /go/src/app/cmd/tss
8 RUN GOOS=linux GOARCH=amd64 go build -ldflags="-w -s" -o tss
9
10 #
11 # Main
12 #
13 FROM alpine:latest
14 ARG privkey
15 ARG net
16 ENV PRIVKEY=$privkey
17 ENV NET=$net
```

```
18 RUN apk add --update ca-certificates curl
19 RUN mkdir -p /go/bin
20 COPY --from=builder /go/src/app/cmd/tss /go/bin
21 COPY build/start-tss.bash /go/bin/start-tss.bash
22 COPY build/start.bash /go/bin/start.bash
23 EXPOSE 6668
24 EXPOSE 8080
25 RUN chmod +x /go/bin/start-tss.bash
26 RUN chmod +x /go/bin/start.bash
```

Recommendation:

It is recommended that to build dockerfile and run container as non-root user.

Listing 12: Reference

1 USER 1001: this is a non-root user UID, and here it is assigned to the image to run the current container as an unprivileged user . By doing so, the added security and other restrictions mentioned above are applied to the container.

STATIC ANALYSIS REPORT

4.1 STATIC ANALYSIS

Halborn used automated testing techniques to enhance coverage of certain areas of the scoped component. Among the tools used were staticcheck, gosec ineffassign and others. After Halborn verified all the contracts and scoped structures in the repository and was able to compile them correctly, these tools were leveraged on scoped structures. With these tools, Halborn can statically verify security related issues across the entire codebase.

Semgrep - Security Analysis Output Sample:

```
Listing 13: Rule Set (Lines )

1 semgrep --config "p/halborn-go" go-tss-master --exclude='*_test.go
' -o halborn.semgrep
```

```
meoutSeconds
fail to sign message with %s", tssConf.KeySignTimeout.String())
                                        = nil {
tKeySign.logger.Error().Err(err).Msg("fail to get the node of missing share ")
 135:
                P := signing.NewLocalParty(msg, params, keys[i], outCh, endCh).(*signing.LocalParty)
 269:
                                                                     HALBORN
 516:
 527
 533:
 go-tss-master/keysign/tss_keysign.go
severity:error rule:trailofbits.go.und
 go-tss-master/messages/join_party.pb.go
severity:error rule:trailofbits.go.unchecked-type-assertion.unchecked-type-assertion: Unchecked type a
 go-tss-master/messages/signature\_notifier.pb.go\\severity:error\ rule:trailofbits.go.unchecked-type-assertion.unchecked-type-assertion:
                        switch v := v.(*KeysignSignature); i {
```

Gosec - Security Analysis Output Sample:

Staticcheck - Security Analysis Output Sample:

cmd/tss-recovery/tss-recovery_go:11:2: should not use dot imports (STB06)
common/months (StB02)
common/months

// HALBORN

Ineffassign - Security Analysis Output Sample:

Common/encryption_test.go:25:2: Ineffectual assignment to encrypted
Common/encryption_test.go:27:2: Ineffectual assignment to decrypted
Common/ess_test_go:187:15: Ineffectual assignment to err
Common/ess_test_go:137:7: Ineffectual assignment to err
Storage/Coalstate_mgr.ess_go:46:2: Ineffectual assignment to fileName



According to the test results, some of the findings found by these tools

were considered as false positives while some of these findings were real security concerns. All relevant findings were reviewed by the auditors and relevant findings addressed on the report as security concerns.

THANK YOU FOR CHOOSING

HALBORN