

# Gravity

A blockchain-agnostic cross-chain communication and data oracles protocol

Aleksei Pupyshev, Dmitry Gubanov, Elshan Dzhafarov,  
Ilya Sapranidi, Inal Kardanov,  
Vladimir Zhuravlev, Shamil Khalilov, Marc Jansen,  
Igor Pavlov, Sasha Ivanov

oracle@gravity.tech  
www.**gravity**.tech

## Abstract

This paper presents the design of a blockchain-agnostic protocol for communication of blockchains with each other (interchain) and with the outside world (oracles). As a unique feature, the proposed system called Gravity ensures parity between all public blockchains integrated in the network and circumvents the need for a dedicated blockchain with a custom token. The result of this feature is that the economic incentives for the public Gravity network participants depend on the respective economies of the interconnected blockchains. The Gravity protocol is focused around interchain communication, establishing a solid foundation for the creation of gateways and cross-chain applications, and providing a solution to scalability challenges through the mechanism of sidechains. This document introduces and describes the concept of oracle consensus and its implementation in the Gravity protocol called Pulse Consensus algorithm. The proposed design of consensus allows Gravity to be considered a singular decentralized blockchain-agnostic oracle.

Version: 1.1.0

Created on: April 17, 2020  
Last updated: May 22, 2020

# Contents

<b>Contents</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Open Problems</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Common Oracle Manifesto</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Key Concepts</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Data Provision Workflow: Pulsation</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Architecture</b>	<b>10</b>
Node Structure	10
Smart Contracts and Accounts Structure	11
<b>Reputation Management</b>	<b>12</b>
EigenTrust Algorithm Pseudocode	15
<b>Network Setup</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Pulse Consensus Algorithm</b>	<b>17</b>
Commit-Reveal & Data Aggregation Flow	17
Threshold Signature & Data Verification Flow	18
Pulse Consensus Pseudocode	20
<b>Monetisation</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Applications</b>	<b>21</b>
Data provision	21
Triggers	22
Cross-chain Transfers Gateways	22
Cross-chain Delegation Gateways	23
Sidechains	23
<b>Summary</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>24</b>

# Introduction

Cryptocurrencies, public and private blockchain networks, and smart contracts have become a real sensation of their time, revolutionizing all aspects of how we build financial services and monetize open source and peer-to-peer systems. A number of new financial frameworks and instruments have emerged in recent years that lie at the intersection of economics, law, sociology, mathematics and computer science, which are generally referred to as Decentralized Finances (DeFi) and Web 3.0.

The need for the quick exchange of information, reliability, flexibility and scalability of communication networks was the main incentive for the invention and expansion of the World Wide Web. The growth of the Internet has been accompanied by the increase in the level of network decentralization, autonomy, and automation, made possible by experimentation with and development of various protocols of data transfer between network hubs.

Nowadays, we can observe a similar pattern in the proliferation of a multitude of blockchain protocols, platforms and experimental projects, decades after the advent of the Internet. Even though a massive and diverse technological ecosystem accelerates progress, this fragmentation can also lead to a slower mass adoption of said technology. Developers and organizations find themselves having to select one specific technology or protocol to use as the foundation for building new products. As a consequence of this choice, the user base of all other protocols are barred from accessing their applications. All these factors make the ecosystem as a whole very fragmented and economically inefficient.

All decentralized systems to date that use internal token economies are isolated in terms of information exchange both from other systems and from the outside world. To transfer data from real world information sources to a blockchain network and to establish communication between different blockchain networks, one has to rely on so-called oracles. Thus, it follows that, paradoxically, in a trustless system there remains a need for trust in individual elements. Therefore, a crucial task in the industry at the moment is to research and develop solutions that increase the reliability and security of using blockchain networks with oracles and facilitate trustless communication between networks.

Finally, an effective solution to the problem of data oracles and cross-chain communication would open up opportunities for a more effective horizontal scaling of products that are built on top of so-called sidechains.

Today, there are a number of projects that intend to solve the issues described above. However, most of the existing solutions have three common drawbacks:

- Their focus is on the development of **their own** separate blockchain, instead of on creating a genuinely blockchain-agnostic solution.
- They introduce an additional level of complexity into the system in the form of a **utility token**, with special economic properties and a highly volatile price.
- In reality, none of these projects represent an all-encompassing, blockchain-agnostic oracle system that would support the communication of blockchain networks with the outside world, cross-chain communication, and transfers, as well as sidechains, within the framework of a **single integrated structure**.

The Gravity protocol is an innovative blockchain-agnostic solution requiring no dedicated utility token. This system is open for new members to join, with its internal governance mechanics serving as protection from attacks and other malicious actions, as well as allowing it to remain flexible and regularly updated to adapt to the variability of technology and the market.

In this paper we describe a set of essential principles for such a protocol of blockchain communication. Our focus is on the detailed description of data provision, as the foundational algorithm for higher-level protocols that can be implemented via smart contracts on top of the oracle system of the Gravity network.

## Open Problems

In this section we describe a tentative list of issues specific to cross-chain communication and data oracle systems, with an intention to review a broad set of problems not necessarily solved in its entirety by the Gravity protocol. This section provides a useful contextual background to facilitate explanation of the concepts proposed in this paper.

**Problem I:** Cross-chain communication is unachievable in the absence of trusted oracles or validators.

Due to the importance of Problem I, the focus of this paper lies mainly on a detailed description of the protocol for the transfer of data from external sources into target

blockchains. In that context, one blockchain serves as an external data source for another blockchain.

**Problem II:** Using several redundant primary data sources leads to an improved reliability and accuracy of the aggregated data.

One isolated external data source can – accidentally or maliciously – behave in a way that would be dangerous to the security of the system, either by reporting incorrect information or due to being subjected to censorship, blocking, filtering or other outside interference. It is the diversification of data sources that can help to increase resilience of a system to the described threats.

**Problem III:** A single oracle is less trustworthy than a consortium of several independent oracles, where each oracle has the same level of trust in the system.

This problem can be demonstrated by calculations of probabilities but it is also intuitively evident that several votes from independent organizations are more reliable than from one with the same level of trust. This issue can be addressed by integrating a threshold signature (or a multisignature), where data is verified simultaneously by multiple participants, which decreases the probability of a successful attack.

**Problem IV:** Any oracle system requires trust.

Trust is a fundamental concept that represents the level of risk to individual users of a system, where the higher the trust, the lower the risk assessment of the extent of potential damage in the event of force majeure or attack is. The total trust in a system is essentially the sum total of trust in individual oracles, which is dependent on the history of actions inside and outside the system and on internal mechanisms that conduct monitoring and evaluation of oracles' reputation.

To maintain trustworthiness of oracle networks, it is necessary to incorporate built-in security systems and self-governance strategies, capable of working automatically and of manual interventions. For instance, an oracle that has become malicious and can affect the entire system, should automatically be excluded from the consensus of active oracles that sign the data and deliver it to a target blockchain.

**Problem VI:** In a trust-based system, the role of an oracle is critical and significant, and assumes a certain level of commitment on the part of data providers.

Depositing tokens for a relatively long period of time can serve as confirmation of “good will” and is the first indicator of how trustworthy a new oracle is.

**Problem VII:** Oracle's improper performance or malicious activity should have financial consequences for its owners.

There are at least two ways of imposing sanctions on an oracle that suddenly becomes malicious: "slashing" (a penalty that occurs under certain conditions), or freezing their deposit funds for an extended period of time. In this paper, we consider only the second option.

**Problem VIII:** In a distributed system, an attempt of attack should be more expensive than expected gains and be exceedingly time-consuming.

Delaying the deposit lock for an extended period of time after the oracle voluntarily leaves the system is a security measure aimed at introducing complications for attacks such as the Sybil attack, which consists of registration and re-registration of a large number of nodes.

**Problem IX:** If the data verified by oracles is to be securely delivered to a target blockchain, it requires a collectively verifiable on-chain signature (threshold signature).

Otherwise, independent of what result has been obtained within the internal consensus of the oracles, the entity that signs the data in the target blockchain would remain subject to an attack.

**Problem X:** Oracles can borrow the transmitted data values from each other, rather than requesting the information from external sources independently.

To protect against Problem X, a proof is needed that the data was obtained in an original way by each oracle independently of the others. Such problems are solved by the scheme of commit-reveal, where at the onset only the hash generated from the data is revealed, with the values disclosed afterwards. If the disclosed hash does not correspond to the disclosed data, it is recognised that there has been an attempt of fraudulent data provision.

**Problem XI:** In order to monetise the work of oracles, verification of obtained data and its delivery to user smart contracts must be separated, and the data itself must be disclosed (decrypted) only at the time of delivery to the client.

The process of verifying the data without disclosing it in a target blockchain allows the data to be kept secret and disclosed only when delivered to the recipient, who has the right to determine its availability to other smart contracts in the blockchain. This solution increases the incentive for oracles to deliver data by safeguarding ways to receive rewards for data provision.

# Common Oracle Manifesto

1. To advance the industry of decentralization and open finance, we need blockchain-agnostic solutions for oracles and interchain communication.
2. A blockchain-agnostic solution cannot be fully blockchain-agnostic if it uses a dedicated blockchain with its native token.
3. A dedicated token complicates the interaction between oracles and should not be necessary to pay for oracle services.
4. The oracles consensus is a truly decentralized innovation, whereas any alternative solutions are essentially groups of centralized oracles.
5. The blockchain industry needs a single, trusted, decentralized blockchain-agnostic oracle, which is an open-entry network rather than a marketplace of individual independent oracles.
6. The economy of the single blockchain agnostic oracle should be actively managed to produce a positive impact on the economies of corresponding native tokens.
7. Creating a unified decentralized oracle is a common global challenge for all of the public blockchain ecosystems to ensure their symbiosis and prosperity.

## Key Concepts

**Oracle consensus** is an agreement in the oracle network about which data feed value to consider valid under certain conditions. Within Gravity, oracle consensus is achieved by on-chain verification of the decision made by the oracle system in the target blockchain. Unlike block generation consensus mechanisms, oracle consensus deals with a more complex system composed of different data streams and applications that utilise them.

**Pulse consensus** is an implementation of oracle consensus in the Gravity protocol. It consists of two stages: Commit-Reveal & Data Aggregation and Multi-Signature On-Chain Verification. The mechanism of selecting a subset of oracles to participate in data verification is contingent upon each node's reputation.

**Node** is a “building-block” element of the Gravity network. It is a middleware that owns and operates accounts in all supported target blockchains and in the internal distributed ledger. Each node is composed of infrastructure components such as data extractors, task scheduler, and connectors to target blockchains and the internal ledger.

**Data feed** is a specification-defined data source. An example of a data feed would be the number of newly registered COVID-19 cases in the world per day.

**Raw data** is unprocessed data from primary sources (external data APIs).

**Data** is output data processed by a node's extractor. Extractors allow for the use of certain operations on data points collected from different sources and numerical transformations into a format suited for the specific purpose and the specific target blockchain. For example, extractors can convert decimal data values into integers.

**Agg. data** is aggregated data from all nodes involved in data delivery with a certain numerical transformation applied to it (for example, an average, median, or the most frequent value).

**Target blockchain** is a supported blockchain network where data is written into by nodes. It contains smart contracts to verify signatures and smart contracts of users who pay for data delivery.

**Oracle** is an account in a target blockchain that signs and supplies data.

**Proof** is a cryptographic signature that takes messages and seeds for generation. It is verified within the smart contract and is matched and compared with the corresponding public key of the oracle.

**USER-SC** is a user application (smart contract) in a target blockchain, which receives data from the Gravity system via a subscription model.

**NEBULA-SC** is a smart contract in the target blockchain used by a number of oracles that supply a data feed under certain conditions (price for delivery, reputation threshold, minimum required number of oracles). This contract verifies the threshold signature parameters, accumulates payments from users and controls the distribution of rewards among the oracle providers of the nebula.

**SYSTEM-SC** is the main register of information on active Gravity nodes and their reputation scores. Each target chain has its own instance of the system smart contract. It manages registration of new nodes and deposit transactions for those nodes that have chosen the respective target chain for conducting their operations. It also serves as the register for all supported data feeds and nebulae in the corresponding target chain.



**Internal Distributed Ledger (IDL)** is a “software message bus” that supports communication of Gravity nodes with each other and provides a storage with a quick finalization consensus (e.g.: BFT).

**Consuls** are nodes with the highest reputation score in the network that acquire special functions within the system. They are authorized to update/migrate the system smart contracts, nebula smart contracts and serve as consensus validators in the internal ledger.

**Pulsation** is the continuous data delivery process which generates pulses. Each pulse starts with a task to deliver data feeds to a target blockchain and results in successful verification and delivery of data to the subscribers (USER-SC).

**Leader** is a node selected to initiate data transfer transactions in the target chain within the current pulse. It invokes two types of transactions in the target chain: *pulseTx*, which verifies the hash generated via multisignature from data aggregated within the Gravity system, and *sendDataTx*, which delivers the verified data to USER-SC. In addition, the leader collects hashes and proofs of aggregated data from all nodes that provide data feeds. The leader selection is based on the rules described in NEBULA-SC (for instance, nodes can alternate as leaders depending on the height of the target chain).


**Subscription** is a user's indication of which contract and which public method should be used in order to receive verified aggregated data.

**Reputation** is a numerical representation of confidence level put into a Gravity node from its peer nodes, calculated on the basis of regular mutual evaluations of all nodes.

## Data Provision Workflow: Pulsation

Typically, in oracle systems, a common workflow pattern is used, which contains three global entities: 1. the external world with data sources, 2. the oracle system, and 3. the target blockchain where data should be safely recorded. [Figure 1](#) shows the scheme of data provision workflow in the Gravity system, which is called **pulsation**. Data delivery started at a certain point in time (block or a block interval) should consistently end with the verification of the pulse transaction. If the verification failed for some reason, data delivery for the current time point is not performed. A detailed description of the stages of data delivery from the outside world to the target-chain

can be found in chapters [Commit-Reveal & Data Aggregation Flow](#) and [Threshold Signature & Data Verification Flow](#).



**Figure 1: Data provision workflow (pulsation):**

- 1) The user chooses the data feed and the contract of the nebula that they want to subscribe to;
- 2) The core of the node monitors the status of data delivery and requests data from the data extractor;
- 3) The extractor accesses external data sources in asynchronous manner, independent of the target blockchains;
- 4) The requested raw data are fed into the extractor;
- 5) The extractor processes the data, performing all necessary aggregation and filtering;
- 6) Each Gravity Node core initiates the commit-reveal scheme, including data aggregation between peers and collecting multi-signatures from peers;
- 7) The calculated hash from the data aggregated by the oracle (leader) is delivered to the nebula contract in the target chain, where the signatures and other necessary conditions are verified (pulse tx);
- 8) The verified data is delivered to the USER-SC as a subscription service.

Becoming a part of the data provision workflow, the user is not obligated to choose a specific oracle or their subset to trust. Instead, the entire data provision service of the Gravity network provides all necessary checks within itself to be ultimately trustful. In addition, the user is not required to apply their own methods of data aggregation, as it can be executed automatically within the system, which can provide finalized and ready-to-use numbers or string values from a data feed to user applications (USER-SC).


The security verification of the transferred data is carried out within NEBULA-SC deployed in target blockchains. NEBULA-SC contains parameters and instructions for verification of signatures of the participating data providers, such as: public keys of active providers, threshold signature settings, the reputation threshold for node admission and the leader selection rule. The Pulse Leader is a node that collects all signatures and aggregated data from peers and sends them for verification to the NEBULA-SC contract by calling the pulseTx method.

The number of potential NEBULA-SC contracts in the Gravity network is unlimited. Each user or node operator can create a contract with custom properties by paying a fee in the native token of the hostchain.

# Architecture

## Node Structure

The key element of the Gravity network is the Gravity node. For the most part, nodes are not isomorphic, meaning that providers can freely choose to work in one or several target chains, or to implement extractors not for all possible data feeds, but only for their relevant subset. The main components of a Gravity node are **core**, responsible for all business logic implementing the protocol, and so-called **data feed extractors**. In [Figure 2](#), the overall structure of a node is described.



**Figure 2: Node structure**

- 1) Each node has an account and public key in each of the supported target blockchains and in the internal ledger;
- 2) The communicator module is used to establish communication with supported target blockchains and the internal ledger;
- 3) The key logic of the Gravity node for reputation calculations, commit-reveal operations, aggregation and coordination of the rest of the components is implemented in the core module;
- 4) The Scheduler manages processes that depend on the time and status of the tasks. For example, it can start scheduled data delivery to target chains and extraction from external sources based on a certain time condition;
- 5) Extractors are services that request and process data feeds from external sources. One extractor corresponds to one data feed supported by the node but can use and combine multiple data sources.

Datafeeds supported by a Gravity node can be described as a boilerplate source code or its implementations as a data extractor. Each extractor collects data in accordance with the specification for the required data. The specification defines:

- where to get data from (recommended sources or mandatory sources)
- how to process data points received from different sources (e.g. aggregate them as median, average or mode over a certain period of time)
- the format in which data will be delivered to customers in target blockchains

Each operator of a Gravity node can develop and use custom implementations according to the described specification. Development of the specification,


documentation, or implementation of extractors are managed as part of open source development flow by Gravity developers.

Extractor services are managed and run independently on the servers of Gravity node operators. For operators, the possibilities of integrating extractors in the form of plugins, implementing custom versions of extractors, or supporting certain types of extractors, are optional and opt-in.

Gravity nodes communicate with each other through the account communicator module by sending transactions in the internal distributed ledger. The internal ledger is also used to read current logs of messages from other nodes.

## Smart Contracts and Accounts Structure

In addition to the network of nodes, the protocol incorporates infrastructure deployed within target blockchains, where information from data feeds is transmitted to. [Figure 3](#) shows the components of the blockchain architecture implementing the Gravity protocol.



**Figure 3: Smart contract and structure:**

- 1) The Gravity system represents a community of nodes, where each node is an oracle for a specific target chain;
- 2) Each node has accounts in each supported target chain and in the internal ledger;
- 3) The Internal Distributed Ledger with a fast consensus finalization (e.g.: pBFT) enables communication between Gravity nodes, commit-reveals, aggregation, accounting and calculation of p2p scores;
- 4) The System smart contract in a given target chain serves as a register of all Gravity nodes and their scores, and a register of supported data feeds. It also stores and manages node deposits;


- 5) The Nebula smart contract, which is used to verify and access data from oracles, is the register of user subscriptions and the account that collects payments and distributes them among oracles;
- 6) The User smart contract contains subscriptions for data delivery from Gravity; A single user contract can receive data from different data feeds;
- 7) Consuls are a selection of several oracles with maximum scores in the network. They are authorized to update/migrate the SYSTEM-SC and serve as consensus validators in the internal ledger;

The described system is sufficiently flexible to manage multiple connected data feeds and subscriptions. This multi-contract approach allows for simplicity for implementation, increased security, and easy code audit, including formal verification of individual components.

## Reputation Management

The key feature of Gravity which binds it together and gives it integrity as a system or as a decentralized service that can be trusted, is the protocol of participants' reputation management. It is thanks to this decentralized reputation system that such properties as self-management in Gravity networks are achieved, along with secure mechanisms of malicious nodes disconnection and protection against attacks on the network through the production of malicious nodes, which may suddenly constitute the majority. In addition, the flexibility of Gravity reputation management is also an important advantage that allows attracting and retaining new participants with a high reputation in the industry that can expand the user base of the operating Gravity network.

The internal ledger, a software bus that nodes use to communicate with each other, processes nodes' trust ratings of their peers and aggregate reputation values. The Gravity nodes evaluate each others' performance and send their own confidence score for all other nodes of Gravity, which is then translated into the Gravity score for a particular node via the EigenTrust algorithm [6]. [Figure 4](#) shows an example of pairwise estimates of four nodes.



**Figure 4: Reputation management based on p2p scores:**

- 1) All operational nodes assess their trust level to other nodes of Gravity in an eventful and asynchronous manner;
- 2) The matrix of paired trust estimates is formed;
- 3) The matrix of estimates is transformed into a vector of Gravity scores, using EigenTrust algorithm;
- 4) The obtained score values allow for sorted ranking of all Gravity nodes by their scores normalized from 0 to 100.

All peer estimates are formed independently, based on the activity history of the evaluated node in the Gravity network, as well as on any relevant information from the outside world.

Peer scoring is based on:

- 1) automatic scoring:
  - a) automatic shutdown signal:
    - i) if the data from the oracle diverges greatly from the aggregate values,
    - ii) if the node stops receiving and processing data feeds from its peers,
    - iii) if the node stops responding to any requests from the Gravity service.

In this case, the peers can set their rating to zero, which guarantees a quick shutdown of the node to protect the Gravity network from malicious nodes.

- b) A periodic gradual Gravity score build-up for new nodes, based on stable node operation for the duration of predefined time periods.

After reaching a certain value of the Gravity score, the node becomes able to participate in NEBULA-SC data provision for the target blockchains. Initially, a new node gets assigned a zero score, which is a defense measure against potential

network capture attacks by a collusion of new malicious nodes, and also leaves time for manual intervention described below.

- 2) A manual scoring by node operators. The manual scoring procedures are the key element that underlies the governance mechanism of the Gravity network. For example, via manual scoring, the process of score modification takes place, either for the nodes disconnected as a result of automatic shutdown, as described in 1.1 after an incident, or when it is necessary to manually “score-boost” nodes of the operators with an established reputation in the industry (for example, a large exchange or a popular data aggregator). As soon as the manual estimation occurs, the node that gets graded ceases to receive automatic scores from the peer who initiated the manual rating procedure. For example, when the owners of Node A, which suspect Node B in some malicious behavior, manually rank Node B down to decrease its score, Node A ceases to send evaluations about Node B, whereas a separate Node C will nevertheless continue the evaluation of Node B unless it also engages in a manual evaluation.

Ratings are recalculated on an event-driven basis, except for 1.1, where the recalculation is periodic.

Together, the collected assessments of peers make up a data structure, which is a  $N \times N$  table, where  $N$  is the total number of Gravity nodes. Certain transformations of such matrices, conducted according to the EigenTrust algorithm, allow for the calculation of the final score for each node. The nodes write updates of their estimates of the adjacent nodes into the internal distributed ledger, which is used as the source of Gravity score estimates to be written by the consuls into a target blockchain. Thus, the consistency of estimations between all of the used target chains is achieved.

## EigenTrust Algorithm Pseudocode

```

 $\vec{t}^{(0)} = \vec{p};$ 
repeat
     $\vec{t}^{(k+1)} = C^T \vec{t}^{(k)};$ 
     $\vec{t}^{(k+1)} = (1 - a)\vec{t}^{(k+1)} + a\vec{p};$ 
     $\delta = ||\vec{t}^{(k+1)} - \vec{t}^{(k)}||;$ 
until  $\delta < \epsilon;$ 

```

where  $C$  is a matrix of  $[c_{ij}]$  – normalized local trust value,  $c_{ij} = \frac{\max(s_{ij}, 0)}{\sum_j \max(s_{ij}, 0)}$ ;  $\vec{t}$  – global trust vector

Further details are described in a paper by Sepandar Kamvar and Mario Schlosser [6].

## Network Setup

Several nodes with the highest score become consuls. The consuls form the consensus core of the internal ledger, such as the pBFT consensus to finalize blocks and transactions. The composition of the consul committee is not fixed and depends on the score of participants.

A SYSTEM-SC is deployed in each of the supported blockchain networks, which takes care of system interaction and deposit locking, registration of nodes and collection of Gravity node scores about each other. A data provider can enter the network by locking a deposit for one year in any of the supported public host-chains in the native token of the selected blockchain.

When entering the network, each new member is automatically assigned a default peer score value (evaluation from other Gravity nodes) of 0. Despite the fact that a Gravity node immediately becomes active after registration, it will be capable of participating in data delivery from extractors to target blockchains only when its reputation score reaches a certain level.

The exit from Gravity is free of charge. To leave the system, the node operator needs to call the deactivate method in SYSTEM-SC of the same blockchain where the registration took place. If at the moment of exit the reputation level is zero, the



deposit is locked and can be released one year after exit, otherwise one year after the registration.

Locking a deposit above the threshold value produces no direct impact on the node's initial characteristics. However, a large deposit can serve as proof of the operator's intentions to work for the benefit of the entire network, which the node community may consider as a signal to manually increase the reputation ratings for their nodes.

# Pulse Consensus Algorithm

The Pulse algorithm is a two-step procedure that encompasses the core internal mechanics of Gravity as a data service, as well as the external parts of on-chain data verification and delivery within one of the supported target blockchains. Pulse is a process that starts with a request to deliver data to a target blockchain and ends with a successful verification and delivery of data to subscribers (USER-SC).

## Commit-Reveal & Data Aggregation Flow

Figure 5 shows, in order, the main stages of data exchange, validation and aggregation for a particular data feed.





Figure 5: Pulse: Commit-reveal & data aggregation flow

- 1) Gravity nodes collect information from external sources. There can be several reliable sources used for one data feed;
- 2) The Node Extractor Module can collect data from a single source (Node 1) or accumulate raw data from multiple sources (Node 2, 3);
- 3) At the commit stage, each node generates a hash from data and writes it to the internal ledger;
- 4) At the reveal stage, the nodes disclose data and salt, for the purpose of checking it against the hash, and validate the fact that the data is taken from correct external sources. The reveal stage starts when at least K commits are collected, where K is defined by the rule of a sufficient number of signatures (e.g. the BFT rule, with 8 out of 11 commits available);
- 5) All validated data from oracles are available in the internal ledger for on-request reading by peers;
- 6) Each node aggregates its neighbors' data (e.g.: calculates the median).
- 7) Each node hashes the aggregated value and forms a cryptographic signature for it. All hashes and signatures are collected by an oracle which is the Leader of the pulse;
- 8) The Leader performs several transactions in the target chain: pulse tx for threshold signature verification and sendData tx for data transfer to user smart contracts.

## Threshold Signature & Data Verification Flow

Figure 6 presents, in order, the main stages of generating hash, a cryptographic proof for transmitted data from each node, and verifying the threshold signature conditions.



**Figure 6: Pulse: Threshold signature & data verification flow**

- 1) Each node aggregates other nodes' data (e.g.: calculates the median), hashes the aggregation value and generates a cryptographic signature for the hash using the timestamp and the data feed id;
- 2) The Pulse Leader collects all hashes and their signatures from the peers, reading them from the internal ledger. The Pulse Leader performs a pulse transaction in the target chain by passing the hashes and signatures to the corresponding nebula contract;
- 3) Inside the contract, within the execution of pulse tx, the correspondence of signatures to signatories is verified, with the actual timestamp, the nebula, and the leader. At least K hashes should be valid, where K is defined by the rule of a sufficient number of signatures (this parameter is set in the NEBULA-SC, e.g. 8 out of 11);
- 4) The Pulse status (for the data hash, timestamp and other verifiable parameters) is written into the storage of the nebula contract;
- 5) The Pulse Leader forms a transaction on behalf of NEBULA-SC, which supplies data to USER-SC;
- 6) As part of the **outgoing** transaction verification, the pulse verification status, validity of hashes from the aggregated data and the data transmitted to the user contract, and the recipient itself, are verified;
- 7) Within one verified data pulse, the leader calls user methods which accept the verified data.

\* nebula id is a specifier for the contract, the receiving port of the data feed. It is needed for verification of the signature intended for a particular nebula contract. For the sake of simplicity, some stages are omitted in the illustration.

Users can subscribe their USER-SCs to Gravity events, which will result in specified functions being called with aggregated data sent as parameters into the functions.

Depending on the subscription configuration, users can change security settings, adjust pricing, or set up white lists of oracles.

## Pulse Consensus Pseudocode

### Begin

**Each node:** Check if  $0_i$  is a provider selected from  $\{0_i\}_N$

**Each node:** Send request to scheduler for  $\text{params}(t)$

**Each node:** Send request to extractor with  $\text{params}(t)$

**Each node:** Process raw data

**Each node:** Broadcast commits to peers  $\{0_i\}_N$ .

$\text{commit} = \text{hash}(\text{reveal}); \text{reveal} = \text{message} + \text{proof}$

**IDL:** Wait until at least  $K$  (threshold signature rule) commit messages from distinct  $0_i$  are received, where  $K \leq N$

**Each node:** Broadcast reveal messages to the peers  $\{0_i\}_K$

**IDL:** Verify all received commits and reveals, check the threshold signature rule (i.e. 8 out of 11)

**Each node:** Compute agg. data from reveals of the peers  $\{0_i\}_K$

**Each node:** Execute  $\text{hash}(\text{agg\_data})$  and generate proof from hash, timestamp & seed

**Leader:** Call `pulse-tx` invocation with peer's hashes & proofs in nebula contract

**Nebula:** Verify hashes, timestamp, proofs, threshold signature rule, and leader

**Leader:** For all subscribers  $s_j$  from  $\{s_j\}_S$ ,  
call `sendDataTx` with agg. data verified by nebula contract

### End

## Monetisation

Gravity users can choose to use one of two options to pay for Gravity services: putting and maintaining a deposit above a certain threshold on a designated blockchain account, or paying a recurring subscription fee.

In the first case, a user can deposit tokens by calling the deposit method in NEBULA-SC. As long as the balance is sufficient, USER-SC will receive messages with data from Gravity oracles.

In the second case, the payment takes part alongside each execution of a user contract method (USER-SC) and is sent to the NEBULA-SC account. If payment is not

received during this operation, the subscription is considered to be suspended and requires reactivation by the user.

All undistributed profits are accumulated on Gravity smart contracts and distributed every week (on Mondays). Gravity smart contracts (SYSTEM-SC and NEBULA-SC), collect activity logs for each oracle in all host blockchains. At the moment of profit distribution, the percentage of funds that can be claimed by each of the Gravity nodes is calculated, where the share of rewards received by a single oracle is the product of its activity and reputation score normalized on the total amount of funds.

In each of the contracts that the oracle participated in, it has the ability to withdraw funds proportional to its impact share.

The SYSTEM-SC is replenished from a pool filled by NEBULA-SC created on the respective host blockchains. NEBULA-SC contracts receive payments with each operation of data delivery to a USER-SC.

### **Example**

The NEBULA-SC that handles the data feed of "COVID-19 cases" has collected 100 TOKENS from subscribers during the week. Node V has been supplying 10% of all verified data hashes. At the moment of calculation, the Gravity Score for the node is 85gr. Thus, the unnormalized value of impact on the nebula's performance is  $0.1 \cdot 0.85 = 0.085$ . Let us assume that the remaining six nodes have impact values [  $0.2 \cdot 0.7$ ,  $0.2 \cdot 0.7$ ,  $0.2 \cdot 0.7$ ,  $0.1 \cdot 0.6$ ,  $0.0 \cdot 0.9$  ]. The sum of all impacts is equal to 0.705. It means that during the last week of operation, Node V has produced a normalized impact equal to around 12%. As a result, Node V can take 12 TOKENS from the weekly undistributed profit of NEBULA-SC.

## Applications

### Data provision

Data provision capabilities of the protocol are well covered in this document's main part. It is important to note that the data provision scheme is the foundation for higher level and specialized protocol applications described below.

## Triggers

While the protocol described in this paper is focused on delivering network-agreed oracle data to target blockchains, another worthwhile functionality from a practical standpoint is to regularly call specified methods of user smart contracts without transmitting any data to them. For instance, invocation of a smart contract function can be tied to the true-false outcome of an event in a blockchain or on a public web portal. In this case, the Gravity system would be capable of reporting when the event occurred. These applications are called triggers and can be implemented on the Gravity protocol with minimal modifications and customizations of NEBULA-SC and USER-SC.

A similar flow can be implemented for so-called pacemaker oracles, which are used as external event triggers for those smart contract systems where contracts are simply verifier scripts without loops and recursions and may not be Turing-complete [7].

## Cross-chain Transfers Gateways

Another useful application for the Gravity protocol is the cross-chain transfer of digital assets (tokens). Data provision between two blockchain networks is the key link for such applications, with the primary principle being to lock tokens in one blockchain (origin) and to report this event to another blockchain (destination), which will issue the exact same number of tokens. In the case of an emergency situation where the issuance of the token has not occurred, a dispute resolution is started, which sends a signal to unlock the token on the origin blockchain. This approach to gateways requires a coordinated operation between oracles of the Gravity network in both target blockchains. There exist multiple approaches to implement such applications, for example, based on Merkle trees, if supported by the available cryptography.

A different approach to implementing gateways is creating a signal provider from specialized data feeds with the prices of a particular asset. Building gateway protocols comes down to designing an application layer protocol over the Gravity protocol. Notably, these protocols themselves can be fully decentralized and be based on smart, actorless contracts to avoid introducing centralized points of failure into the system.

## Cross-chain Delegation Gateways

Similarly to cross-chain transfers, the same approach is applicable to other practical data solutions. With cross-chain delegation gateways, an account holder in one blockchain (origin) is able to create events in another blockchain (destination), meaning that a user of an application deployed in blockchain A can manage it directly from blockchain B without having an account in blockchain A. Implementing such applications requires a fairly complex logic of cross-chain signaling and stable infrastructure components including triggers and cross-chain transfers. We are confident that Gravity protocol can provide a solid foundation for the creation and promotion of cutting-edge cross-chain decentralized applications of this type.

## Sidechains

A special case of cross-chain communication, which allows for scalability of an already deployed public network, is called sidechain technology. Typically, in order to confer economic value to the token of a sidechain, cross-chain providers should ensure that a certain amount of native tokens is locked on the origin blockchain and its corresponding total supply is locked on the sidechain. In order to manage these processes and to verify system changes in the sidechain or to react correctly to changes in the origin blockchain, a decentralized oracle is needed that provides signals through structurally related data feeds from one blockchain to another. The Gravity network is an example of such an oracle, which in combination with additional modules in the form of smart contracts in origin and sidechain blockchains allows for increased computation and transaction performance due to being spanned across two different blockchains but utilizing only one utility token from the origin blockchain.

## Summary

The concept of Gravity described in this paper allows for the implementation of a complex interconnected blockchain-agnostic network of oracles, supporting communication of blockchain networks with the outside world, cross-chain communication and transfers, as well as integrating sidechains, within one holistic and self-governing system. Due to the proposed consensus design, Gravity can be regarded as a singular decentralized blockchain-agnostic oracle.



## References

- [1] Waves Platform. Gravity Hub to unite blockchains and connect with other systems. 2019.  
<https://medium.com/wavesprotocol/gravity-hub-to-unite-blockchains-and-connect-with-other-systems-9e2f2a2818f>
- [2] Neutrino Protocol. *Neutrino Protocol 2020: Vision & Challenges*. 2020.  
<https://medium.com/@neutrino-team/neutrino-protocol-2020-vision-challenges-1fec59e1577b>
- [3] Jae Kwon, Ethan Buchman. *Cosmos Whitepaper: A Network of Distributed Ledgers*. 2019. <https://cosmos.network/resources/whitepaper>
- [4] Steve Ellis, Ari Juels, and Sergey Nazarov. *ChainLink: A Decentralized Oracle Network*. 2017.  
<https://www.allcryptowhitepapers.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/ChainLink.pdf>
- [5] NEM: Technical Reference. 2018.  
[https://nem.io/wp-content/themes/nem/files/NEM\\_techRef.pdf](https://nem.io/wp-content/themes/nem/files/NEM_techRef.pdf)
- [6] Sepandar Kamvar & Mario Schlosser & Hector Garcia-molina. *The EigenTrust Algorithm for Reputation Management in P2P Networks*. 2003.  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2904367\\_The\\_EigenTrust\\_Algorithm\\_for\\_Reputation\\_Management\\_in\\_P2P\\_Networks](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2904367_The_EigenTrust_Algorithm_for_Reputation_Management_in_P2P_Networks)
- [7] Marc Jansen & Farouk Hdhili & Ramy Gouiaa & Ziyaad Qasem. *Do Smart Contract Languages Need to be Turing Complete?* 2019.  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/332072371\\_Do\\_Smart\\_Contract\\_Languages\\_Need\\_to\\_be\\_Turing\\_Complete](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/332072371_Do_Smart_Contract_Languages_Need_to_be_Turing_Complete)
- [8] Waves Platform. *Ecosystem development strategy: interchain bridges*. 2019.  
<https://medium.com/wavesprotocol/ecosystem-development-strategy-interchain-bridges-8b09e9f48539>
- [9] Tellor Core. *The current oracle landscape*. 2020.  
<https://medium.com/@tellor/the-current-oracle-landscape-f772356694e2>
- [10] Provable Things. *Authenticity proofs verification: off-chain vs on-chain*. 2017.

<https://medium.com/provable/authenticity-proofs-verification-off-chain-vs-on-chain-64a95fee097b>

[11] Bitmex Research. Ethereum 2.0 tech overview. 2020.

<https://blog.bitmex.com/ethereum-2-0/>

[12] Amritraj Singh & Kelly Click & Reza M. Parizi. *Sidechain technologies in blockchain networks: An examination and state-of-the-art review*. 2019.

[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336772695\\_Sidechain\\_technologies\\_in\\_blockchain\\_networks\\_An\\_examination\\_and\\_state-of-the-art\\_review](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336772695_Sidechain_technologies_in_blockchain_networks_An_examination_and_state-of-the-art_review)