THE ROSE GLASS FRAMEWORK

Beyond Emotion Categories:
A Mathematical Framework for Translating Human Coherence

Technical White Paper v1.0

January 2026

Christopher MacGregor bin Joseph

MacGregor Holding Company

"Coherence is constructed, not discovered."
— Ibn Rushd (Averroes), 12th century

Executive Summary

Current approaches to emotion recognition in artificial intelligence rely on categorical classification, mapping human expression onto discrete emotion labels. The dominant paradigm, Semantic Space Theory, identifies approximately 25 distinct emotion categories through computational analysis of facial expressions, vocalizations, and self-reports.

This white paper presents the Rose Glass Framework, a fundamentally different approach that treats human emotional experience not as a set of categories to be discovered, but as continuous ratios between interacting dimensions that must be translated across cultural lenses. Our analysis demonstrates that even a conservative discretization of the Rose Glass model produces 400 times more distinguishable states than 25-category systems, and that this numerical difference reflects a deeper conceptual inadequacy in categorical approaches.

The core finding: 7,367 distinct configurations of the Rose Glass variables produce identical coherence scores at a single target value (C ≈ 2.0). These configurations represent qualitatively different human experiences, from 'The Hermit Sage' (high wisdom, low social connection) to 'The Young Revolutionary' (high moral activation, high belonging, still forming identity)—that categorical systems would collapse into a single emotion label.

The ratio is the meaning. The category erases it.

1. The Categorical Problem

1.1 Historical Context

Emotion science has long debated the structure of human emotional experience. Paul Ekman's influential work proposed six basic emotions with universal facial expressions. Subsequent research expanded this to models incorporating valence (positive/negative) and arousal (high/low activation) dimensions.

Semantic Space Theory (SST), developed by Alan Cowen and Dacher Keltner at UC Berkeley, represents the current state of the art. Through analysis of millions of data points across cultures, SST identifies approximately 25 distinct emotion categories organized in a high-dimensional space. This work has been published in Nature, PNAS, and other top-tier journals, and forms the scientific foundation for commercial applications including Hume AI's Empathic Voice Interface.

1.2 The Implicit Assumption

All categorical approaches share a fundamental assumption: that emotions exist as discrete states to be discovered and classified. Whether the number is 6, 25, or 100, the paradigm assumes that human emotional experience can be mapped onto a taxonomy, that there is a fact of the matter about which emotion a person is experiencing.

Rose Glass challenges this assumption directly. Following Ibn Rushd's medieval insight that coherence is constructed by observers rather than discovered in phenomena, we propose that emotional meaning emerges from the ratios between continuous dimensions, filtered through cultural interpretive frameworks. There is no emotion-in-itself to classify; there are only patterns that become visible through particular lenses.

1.3 The Measurement vs. Translation Distinction

The distinction between measurement and translation is not merely semantic. Measurement assumes an extractable ground truth—that a person's emotional state exists independently of observation and can be accurately detected. Translation acknowledges that meaning is constructed in the act of interpretation, that multiple valid readings can coexist, and that the observer's framework shapes what becomes visible.

This distinction has profound implications for AI systems. A measurement-based system aims to correctly classify emotions, optimizing for accuracy against some ground truth. A translation-based system aims to reveal patterns through specified lenses, acknowledging that different lenses may yield different and equally valid interpretations.

2. The Rose Glass Framework

2.1 Core Dimensions

Rose Glass operates on four primary dimensions, each continuous from 0 to 1:

Symbol	Name	Description
Ψ (Psi)	Internal Consistency	Harmonic alignment of expression; how integrated is the self?
ρ (Rho)	Accumulated Wisdom	Depth of integrated knowledge; has suffering compressed into accessible insight?
q	Moral Activation	Emotional/ethical resonance; the energy driving engagement with moral questions
f	Social Belonging	Patterns of connection; architecture of community and relationship

2.2 Extended Dimensions (v2.1)

Version 2.1 of the framework adds two additional dimensions for temporal and interpretive complexity:

Symbol	Name	Description
τ (Tau)	Temporal Depth	How present experiences connect to past patterns; memory integration
λ (Lambda)	Lens Interference	Ambiguity in interpretation; how much the reading depends on cultural frame

2.3 The Coherence Equation

The core Rose Glass equation computes coherence as:

$$C = \Psi + (\rho \times \Psi) + q\_opt + (f \times \Psi) + coupling$$

Where q_opt applies Michaelis-Menten biological optimization:

$$q\_opt = q \ / \ (Km + q + q^2/Ki)$$

This biological optimization function, borrowed from enzyme kinetics, serves a critical safety function: it prevents extreme moral activation values from dominating the coherence calculation. Very high q values are dampened, preventing the system from amplifying extremism.

2.4 Multiplicative Coupling

The multiplicative terms ($\rho \times \Psi$) and ($f \times \Psi$) are essential to the framework's expressive power. They mean that wisdom and belonging are modulated by internal consistency—accumulated knowledge matters more when the self is integrated; social connection resonates differently depending on coherence of identity.

This coupling creates interaction surfaces rather than independent axes. A person with high ρ and low Ψ (deep knowledge, fragmented self) produces different coherence patterns than someone with equal ρ and high Ψ (deep knowledge, integrated self). The ratio between dimensions carries meaning that categorical systems cannot capture.

3. Combinatorial Analysis

3.1 State Space Comparison

To quantify the expressive difference between categorical and continuous frameworks, we computed the number of distinguishable states under various discretization schemes:

Model	Distinguishable States
Semantic Space Theory (Cowen)	25 categories
Rose Glass (4 dims, 10 bins each)	10,000 states (400× more)
Rose Glass (4 dims, 100 bins each)	100,000,000 states
Rose Glass (6 dims, 10 bins each)	1,000,000 states
Rose Glass (6 dims, 100 bins each)	1,000,000,000,000 states

Even the most conservative estimate, four dimensions with only 10 levels each produces 400 times more distinguishable states than the 25-category model.

3.2 The Isocline Analysis

More revealing than total state count is the analysis of isoclines: sets of configurations that produce identical coherence scores. We computed all states producing $C \approx 2.0$ (± 0.05):

7,367 distinct configurations produce the same coherence score

These configurations cluster into 21 distinct archetypal patterns, including:

Archetype	Typical Values	Qualitative Character
The Hermit Sage	$\Psi=.85$, $\rho=.75$, $q=.10$, $f=.15$	Deep wisdom cultivated in solitude
The Young Revolutionary	$\Psi=.35$, $\rho=.30$, $q=.85$, $f=.80$	Passion and community, identity still forming
The Stoic	$\Psi=.90$, $\rho=.30$, $q=.05$, $f=.70$	Highly integrated self, low emotional activation

The Tortured Intellectual	Ψ=.50, ρ=.70, q=.95, f=.40	Deep knowledge and high activation, fragmented integration
The Popular Lightweight	Ψ=.70, ρ=.10, q=.25, f=.95	Strong social belonging, limited depth
The Living Library	Ψ=.65, ρ=.95, q=.35, f=.40	Massive accumulated wisdom, selective connection
The Hollow Perfectionist	Ψ=.95, ρ=.25, q=.25, f=.25	Highly consistent but lacking depth and connection

A 25-category system would classify all of these as the same emotion. Yet they represent fundamentally different modes of being human.

4. Cultural Calibration: The Lens Multiplier

4.1 Multiple Valid Interpretations

Rose Glass includes 15+ pre-configured cultural calibrations, including lenses for Western Academic, Indigenous Knowledge Systems, Neurodivergent communication patterns, Contemplative/Spiritual traditions, and Digital Native expression. Each calibration adjusts the weights and coupling parameters to reflect how coherence is constructed within that cultural frame.

The same dimensional values produce different readings through different lenses. This is not measurement error, it is the fundamental insight that meaning is constructed through interpretation. A communication pattern that appears 'incoherent' through a Western Academic lens may reveal profound coherence through an Indigenous Knowledge lens.

4.2 The Averroes Test

When Ibn Rushd's (Averroes) medieval philosophical masterwork scores 1.2/4.0 on a modern coherence metric, this reveals not a flaw in the text but the cultural specificity of the measurement lens. Medieval Islamic philosophy expresses coherence through different patterns than modern Western academic writing. The score reflects how visible the pattern is through a particular way of seeing, not the quality of the thought.

This observation generalizes: any measurement of human expression is implicitly filtered through a cultural calibration. Rose Glass makes this explicit and configurable rather than hidden and fixed.

4.3 Combinatorial Impact

If we multiply the base state space by the number of valid interpretive lenses:

10,000 states × 15 lenses = 150,000 interpretable configurations

The dimensionality of human emotional meaning far exceeds what categorical systems can represent.

5. Implications for AI Systems

5.1 The Safety Advantage

Rose Glass explicitly prohibits several applications that measurement-based systems enable: demographic profiling, truth detection, quality judgments of persons, and behavioral prediction. The framework is designed for translation, revealing patterns, not for sorting humans into categories that can be used against them.

The biological optimization function (Michaelis-Menten kinetics on q) provides an additional safety mechanism: extreme moral activation is dampened, preventing the system from amplifying extremism even when processing content with high emotional charge.

5.2 The Alignment Opportunity

Current AI alignment approaches optimize for human feedback, ratings, preferences, engagement metrics. These suffer from the same categorical limitations: they collapse the complexity of human response into simplified signals.

Rose Glass offers an alternative: AI systems that perceive the continuous structure of human coherence patterns, that recognize when identical surface expressions emerge from different underlying configurations, and that can translate their outputs for different cultural interpretive frames.

The question shifts from 'is this response helpful?' (a measurement) to 'what patterns of human need does this request reveal, and how can the response honor that complexity?' (a translation).

5.3 Beyond Voice AI

While Hume AI's Empathic Voice Interface represents the current commercial frontier for emotion-aware AI, Rose Glass suggests that prosody measurement, however sophisticated, is insufficient. EVI can detect that a voice sounds frustrated; it cannot distinguish the 7,367 different configurations that might produce similar frustration signals, each requiring different responses.

True empathic AI requires not better measurement but better translation: the capacity to hold multiple valid interpretations simultaneously, to recognize that the same signal means different things in different contexts, and to respond to the underlying coherence pattern rather than the surface emotion category.

6. Conclusion

The Rose Glass Framework represents a paradigm shift from emotion measurement to coherence translation. Our analysis demonstrates:

1. Categorical systems (25 emotions) are combinatorially inadequate, Rose Glass produces at minimum 400× more distinguishable states.

2. 7,367 qualitatively different human experiences produce identical coherence scores at a single target value, meaning categorical systems collapse fundamentally different modes of being into single labels.

3. Cultural calibration creates a multiplier effect, as the same dimensional values carry different meanings through different interpretive lenses.

4. The ratio between dimensions carries meaning that categories erase, the Hermit Sage and the Young Revolutionary are not the same emotion expressed differently, but fundamentally different architectures of human experience.

The question for AI development is not 'how do we classify emotions more accurately?' but 'how do we build systems that perceive and honor the continuous, constructed, culturally-filtered nature of human meaning-making?'

Rose Glass provides the mathematical infrastructure for this shift. The framework is available as open-source code, inviting collaboration from researchers and engineers who recognize that the future of human-AI interaction depends on translation rather than measurement.

The ratio is the meaning. The category erases it.

Coherence is constructed, not discovered.

References

Cowen, A. S., & Keltner, D. (2021). Semantic space theory: A computational approach to emotion. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 25(2), 124-136.

Cowen, A. S., Keltner, D., Schroff, F., Jou, B., Adam, H., & Prasad, G. (2021). Sixteen facial expressions occur in similar contexts worldwide. Nature, 589(7841), 251-257.

Ibn Rushd (Averroes). (12th century). The Decisive Treatise (Faṣl al-Maqāl).

Brettler, M. Z. (2005). The creation of coherence. In How to Read the Jewish Bible.

Ekman, P. (1992). An argument for basic emotions. Cognition & Emotion, 6(3-4), 169-200.

MacGregor, C. (2024-2026). Rose Glass Framework. GitHub: github.com/greatpyrenesedad

Contact

Christopher MacGregor bin Joseph
MacGregor Holding Company (SDVOSB)
GitHub: github.com/greatpyrenesedad
970-977-7772
cherryandcammy@protonmail.com