SKETCH OF THE "ALTERNATING SQP" METHOD FOR FITTING POISSON TOPIC MODELS

PETER CARBONETTO*

1. Some derivations. Given an $n \times p$ matrix of counts X with entries $x_{ij} \geq 0$, the aim is to fit a Poisson model of the counts,

(1.1)
$$p(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{p} p(x_{ij})$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{p} \operatorname{Poisson}(x_{ij}; \lambda_{ij}),$$

in which the Poisson rates are given by $\lambda_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^K l_{ik} f_{jk}$. The model is determined by a $p \times K$ matrix F with entries $f_{ik} \geq 0$ (the "factors"), and an $n \times K$ matrix L with entries $l_{ik} \geq 0$ (the "loadings"). Fitting F and L is equivalent to non-negative matrix factorization with the "beta-divergence" cost function [2]. It can also be used to recover a maximum-likelihood estimate for the latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA) model [1]. So fitting this model is useful for a wide range of applications.

The log-likelihood for the Poisson model is

(1.2)
$$\log p(x \mid F, L) \propto \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{p} x_{ij} \log(\sum_{k=1}^{K} l_{ik} f_{jk}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{F} \sum_{k=1}^{K} l_{ik} f_{jk},$$

where the constant of proportionality is obtained from factorial terms in the Poisson densities. Our specific aim is to find a F and L that maximizes the log-likelihood (1.2); that is, we would like to solve

(1.3)
$$\begin{array}{c} \text{minimize} & -\log p(x \mid F, L) \\ \text{subject to} & F \geq 0, L \geq 0. \end{array}$$

In the remainder, we derive an efficient approach to doing this.

Our strategy for solving (1.3) is to alternate between solving for F with L fixed, and solving for L with F fixed. When solving for F with L fixed (and vice versa), the problem naturally decomposes into a collection of much smaller subproblems that are more tractable to solve. All the subproblems are of the following form:

(1.4) minimize
$$\phi(y; B, w)$$

subject to $y_k \ge 0$ for all $k = 1, ..., K$,

in which the objective function is defined as

(1.5)
$$\phi(y; B, w) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \log \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} b_{ik} y_k \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{K} b_{ik} y_k.$$

^{*}Dept. of Human Genetics and the Research Computing Center, University of Chicago, Chicago,

To see the connection between subproblem (1.4) and the original optimization problem, observe that the negative log-likelihood can be written as

(1.6)
$$-\log p(x \mid F, L) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi(l_i; F, x_i),$$

where x_i is the *i*th row of X and l_i is the *i*th row of L, and it can additionally be written as

(1.7)
$$-\log p(x \mid F, L) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi(f_j; L, x_i),$$

in which x_j is the jth column of X, and f_j is the jth row of F. Therefore, when F is unchanging, each row of L can be optimized separately by solving a problem of the form (1.4), and when L is fixed, each row of F can be optimized separately by solving a problem of the form (1.4).

Instead, we will solve the following optimization problem,

(1.8) minimize
$$f(t; P, u)$$

subject to $t_k \ge 0$ for all $k = 1, ..., K$,

in which the objective function is defined as

(1.9)
$$f(t; P, u) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \log \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} p_{ik} t_k \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} t_k.$$

This is equivalent to the above when

$$u_k = w_k / (\sum_{k'} w_{k'})$$
$$p_{ik} = b_{ik} \times \sum_{i} w_i / \sum_{i} b_{ik}$$

REFERENCES

- D. M. Blei, A. Y. Ng, and M. I. Jordan, Latent Dirichlet allocation, Journal of Machine Learning Research, 3 (2003), pp. 993-1022.
- [2] D. D. LEE AND H. S. SEUNG, Algorithms for non-negative matrix factorization, in Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 13, 2001, pp. 556–562.