## THE "TERM-COUNT LOG-RATIO" STATISTIC FOR TOPIC MODELING ANALYSIS OF DIFFERENTIAL GENE EXPRESSION

PETER CARBONETTO\*

1. Differential gene expression. The "log-fold change" statistic is commonly used in microarray and RNA sequencing experiments to quantify expression differences between two conditions (e.g., [2, 3]). To motivate the ideas below, I write the log-fold change for gene j and condition k as a ratio of two conditional expectations,

(1) 
$$\mathsf{lfc}(j,k) \equiv \log_2 \frac{E[x_j \mid \text{condition} = k]}{E[x_j \mid \text{condition} \neq k]},$$

where  $x_j$  is the measured expression level (e.g., UMI count) of gene j. In experiments where the conditions are inferred—for example, by running a machine learning algorithm to cluster the expression profiles—this quantity could represent the difference in gene expression between cells inside and outside a cluster.

Supposing  $n_k$  out of a total of n gene expression profiles (cells) are from condition k, then lfc(j, k) can be computed as

(2) 
$$\operatorname{lfc}(j,k) = \log_2 \left\{ \frac{n_{jk}}{n_j - n_{jk}} \times \frac{n - n_k}{n_k} \right\},$$

where  $n_j$  is the total expression of gene j among all expression profiles, and  $n_{jk}$  is the total expression of j among all cells in condition (or cluster) k.

The aim of the next sections is to define a analogue to the log-fold change statistic for topic modeling.

2. The multinomial topic model and Poisson non-negative matrix factorization. Here we briefly describe the multinomial topic model, and its connection to Poisson non-negative matrix factorization (Poisson NMF).

We begin with the "bag of words" description, which was used to describe the LDA model [1]. In this view, each document (or gene expression profile) i is represented as a vector of terms/genes,  $w_i = (w_{i1}, \ldots, w_{is_i})$ , where  $s_i$  is the size of document i. (The order of the words or genes appearing in this vector doesn't matter, hence the "bag of words.") Each  $w_{it} \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$  is term/gene j with probability  $p(w_{it} = j \mid z_{it} = k) = f_{jk}$ , in which we have introduced  $z_{it}$ , a variable indicating which topic  $k \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$  the word/gene is drawn from. The topic indicator variables for document i are in turn generated according to  $p(z_{it} = k) = l_{ik}$ .

This process also defines a multinomial model for an  $n \times m$  matrix of counts  $x_{ij}$ :

(3) 
$$x_{i1}, \dots, x_{im} \sim \text{Multinom}(x_{i1}, \dots, x_{im}; s_i, \pi_i),$$

where  $x_{ij} = \sum_{t=1}^{s_i} \delta_j(w_{it})$  is the number of times term/gene j appears in document/cell i, and the probabilities  $\pi_{ij}$  are weighted sums of the "factors"  $f_{jk}$ ,

(4) 
$$\pi_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} l_{ik} f_{jk}.$$

<sup>\*</sup>Dept. of Human Genetics and the Research Computing Center, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL

The log-likelihood for the multinomial topic model, ignoring terms that do not depend on the model parameters, has a simple expression:

(5) 
$$\log p(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{ij} \log(\sum_{k=1}^{K} l_{ik} f_{jk}).$$

As we have shown elsewhere, the multinomial topic model is closely related to a Poisson non-negative matrix factorization of the count data,

(6) 
$$x_{ij} \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_{ij}),$$

where  $\lambda_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \hat{l}_{ik} \hat{f}_{jk}$ . Given a Poisson NMF fit, an equivalent multinomial topic model can be easily recovered, as we have shown elsewhere; by "equivalent", we mean the likelihoods of the two models are the same.

- **3.** The "term-count log-ratio" (*tclr*). Returning to the question of assessing differential gene expression, there are two new twists when done in the context of topic modeling:
  - 1. The cluster (topic) assignments are probabilistic.
  - 2. The cluster assignments are made at the level of genes, not cells.

I propose a statistic, the "term-count log-ratio," to address these two points. It is the (logarithm of the) expected expression level of gene j conditioned on assignment to topic k over the expected expression level of gene j conditioned on not being assigned to topic k:

(7) 
$$\operatorname{tclr}(j,k) \equiv \log_2 \frac{E[x_j \mid \operatorname{topic} = k]}{E[x_j \mid \operatorname{topic} \neq k]}.$$

For a given gene j and topic k, tclr(j, k) is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{tclr}(j,k) = \log_2 \left\{ \frac{E[\,x_j, \operatorname{topic} = k\,]}{E[\,x_j, \operatorname{topic} \neq k\,]} \times \frac{p(\operatorname{topic} \neq k)}{p(\operatorname{topic} = k)} \right\} \\ & (8) & = \log_2 \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^{s_i} \delta_j(w_{it}) \, \phi_{ijkt}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^{s_i} \delta_j(w_{it}) \, (1 - \phi_{ijkt})} \times \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^{s_i} \phi_{ijkt}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^{s_i} 1 - \phi_{ijkt}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi_{ijkt}$  is the posterior probability of  $z_{it} = k$  given  $w_{it} = j$ ,

$$\phi_{ijkt} \equiv p(z_{it} = k \mid w_{it} = j)$$

$$= \frac{p(w_{it} = j \mid z_{it} = k) p(z_{it} = k)}{\sum_{k'=1}^{K} p(w_{it} = j \mid z_{it} = k') p(z_{it} = k')}$$

$$= \frac{l_{ik} f_{jk}}{\sum_{k'=1}^{K} l_{ik'} f_{jk'}}.$$
(9)

Since the topic assignments  $z_{it}$  do not depend on t—that is, we can drop the "t" subscript from  $\phi_{ijkt}$ —the expression for the tclr simplifies somewhat:

$$(10) \qquad \mathsf{tclr}(j,k) = \log_2 \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{ij} \, \phi_{ijk}}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{ij} \, (1 - \phi_{ijk})} \times \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j'=1}^m x_{ij} \phi_{ij'k}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j'=1}^m x_{ij} (1 - \phi_{ij'k})} \right\}$$

At the maximum-likelihood solution (MLE) of the  $l_{ik}$ 's and  $f_{kl}$ 's, the tclr statistic simplifies slightly:

(11) 
$$\operatorname{tclr}(j,k) = \log_2 \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{ij} \, p(z_{ij} = k)}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{ij} \, p(z_{ij} \neq k)} \times \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n m_i l_{ik}}{\sum_{i=1}^n m_i (1 - l_{ik})} \right\}.$$

This is because, at the MLE, the loadings  $l_{ik}$ , k = 1, ..., K, for a given document/cell i should be proportional to the sums  $\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{ij} p(z_{ij} = k)$ .

Finally, it is convenient that the tclr(8) will be the same if we replace the multi-

Finally, it is convenient that the tclr(8) will be the same if we replace the multinomial topic model parameters  $l_{ik}$  and  $f_{jk}$  with the corresponding parameters of the Poisson NMF,  $\hat{l}_{ik}$  and  $\hat{f}_{jk}$  (proof not given).

## REFERENCES

- D. M. Blei, A. Y. Ng, and M. I. Jordan, Latent Dirichlet allocation, Journal of Machine Learning Research, 3 (2003), pp. 993–1022.
- [2] X. Cui and G. A. Churchill, Statistical tests for differential expression in cDNA microarray experiments, Genome Biology, 4 (2003).
- [3] J. Quackenbush, Microarray data normalization and transformation, Nature Genetics, 32 (2002), pp. 496–501.