FIT5046 Research Paper Presentation Assignment

Student ID:

Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Unsatisfactory
Introduction and References – 20 marks (15 + 5)				
16-20 Marks	14-16 Marks	12-14 Marks	10-12 Marks	0-10 Marks
• Excellent opening to capture audience attention • A brief description of the research area and its challenges/problems avoiding details, highlighting the key points • two other papers are selected judiciously and correctly cited in text (APA style) • Two papers are included in References with all the correct details (APA style)	• Very good opening to capture audience attention • A brief description of the research area and its challenges/problems avoiding details but highlighting some key points • two other papers are selected and cited in text (APA style) with a minor mistake • Two papers are included in References with most of the details (APA style) with a minor mistake	• Good opening to capture audience attention • A brief description of the research area and its challenges/problems avoiding details but not highlighting the key points • two other papers are selected and cited in text (APA style) with a few mistakes • Two papers are included in References with some details (APA style) with a few mistakes	• Average opening to capture audience attention • A brief description of the research area, not correctly mentioning challenges and problems and not highlighting the key points • two other papers are selected and cited in text (APA style) with many issues • Two papers are included in References (APA style) with many issues	• Poor opening to capture audience attention • The description is not summarizing the research area, challenges and problems and not highlighting the key points • two other papers are not selected or not cited in text (APA style not used) • Two papers are not included in References or APA style not followed
Proposed Approach/Solution and Evaluation – 30 marks (15 +15)				
24-30 Marks	21-24 Marks	18-21 Marks	15-17 Marks	0-15 Marks
A very well prepared brief description of the approach/framework/architecture/method/etc -A very brief but useful summary of evaluation method that demonstrates the strengths or weakness of their proposed approach -the audience can easily understand all the key components and the significance of the proposed approach.	A well prepared brief description of the approach/framework/architecture/method/etc -A brief but somewhat useful summary of evaluation method that does not clearly demonstrate the strengths or weakness of their proposed approach -The audience can understand almost all the key components and the significance of the proposed approach.	A reasonably prepared brief description of the approach/framework/architecture/method/etc -A summary of evaluation method that does not clearly demonstrate the strengths or weakness of their proposed approach –The audience can easily understand most of the key components and the significance of the proposed approach.	A reasonably prepared brief description of the approach/framework/architecture/method/etc -A poor summary of evaluation method that does not demonstrate the strengths or weakness of their proposed approach - The audience has difficulty understanding the key components and the significance of the proposed approach.	A very poorly prepared (confusing and misleading) brief description of the approach/framework/architecture/method/etc -the evaluation method and results are not correctly selected or presented - The audience is left confused about the key components and the significance of the proposed approach.
Analysis and Discussion (Conclusion) – 15 marks				
12-15 Marks	10.5-12 Marks	9-10.5 Marks	7.5-9 Marks	0-7.5 Marks
A very well prepared analysis of the paper covering the following points: What were the key contributions? Has the paper fully addressed the problem? What are the remaining open issues? What are the limitations and weaknesses? How can this work be improved further?	An almost well prepared analysis of the paper covering almost all the following points: What were the key contributions? Has the paper fully addressed the problem? What are the remaining open issues? What are the limitations and weaknesses? How can this work be improved further?	An average analysis of the paper covering some of the following points: What were the key contributions? Has the paper fully addressed the problem? What are the remaining open issues? What are the limitations and weaknesses? How can this work be improved further?	Poor analysis of the paper covering one or two points the following points: What were the key contributions? Has the paper fully addressed the problem? What are the remaining open issues? What are the limitations and weaknesses? How can this work be improved further?	A very poor analysis of the paper covering none of the following points: What were the key contributions? Has the paper fully addressed the problem? What are the remaining open issues? What are the limitations and weaknesses? How can this work be improved further? The audience is left confused as to the main points of the article.
Presentation Skills (for each group member) – 35 marks (30 + 5)				
24-30 Marks	21-24 Marks	18-21 Marks	15-17 Marks	0-15 Marks
• Well-prepared without any pauses and doubts, without looking at the notes • The talk is audible, using the right intonation • Engaging with audience very well • Providing very clear explanations of topics. • Showing deep understanding of the topic • The audience can easily understand all the main points of the article. • Timing is very good	• Prepared but with a few pauses, some reading from the notes • The talk is loud enough, using the right intonation • Engaging with audience • Providing clear explanations of topics. • Showing an understanding of the topic • The audience can understand all the main points of the article. • Timing is good	• Average preparation and there are some pauses and doubts, mostly reading from the notes • The talk is loud enough, sometimes using the right intonation • Trying to engage with audience • Providing some explanations of topics. • Showing some understanding of the topic • The audience can understand most of the main points of the article. • Timing could be improved	• Not prepared well, only reading from the notes, there are pauses and doubts • The talk is not loud enough, rarely using the right intonation • Very little engaging with audience • Not providing sufficient explanations of topics. • Showing superficial understanding of the topic • The audience can understand some of the main points of the article. • Timing is poor • Showing deep understanding of the topic • The audience can easily understand all the main points of the article. • Timing is very good	• Lack of preparation • The talk is not loud enough, not using the right intonation • Not engaging with audience • Not providing any explanations of topics. • Showing poor understanding of the topic • The audience cannot understand the main points of the article. • Timing is very poor
4-5 Marks	3.5-4 Marks	3-3.5 Marks	2.5-3 Marks	0-2.5 Marks
Excellent answer to the question and without hesitation	Good answer to the question and with some thinking	Average answer to the question and with hesitation and doubt	Poor answer to the question	Not able to answer or the answer not relevant

Comments:			Total mark	