5. WRITTEN COMMUNICATION - HORIZONTAL ASSESSMENT

The questions below are meant to help you assess the authors' execution of specific components of the paper. Note that all of these must be assessed in the context of the authors' goal – not all papers have to include, for example, governing equations or simulations; similarly, many papers might not cite previous work.

a. TITLE, ABSTRACT, AND INTRODUCTION	0 0 0 0
Post the abstract suscinctly a	Is the title informative and professional? ummarize the paper's approach and conclusions? ummarize the paper's approach and conclusions? umarize the paper's approach and conclusions?
	Does the introduction articulate a clear goal?
Does the introduction make an effective case for why this go	al matters, and place the goal in a larger context?
Does the introduction include a "road map" that briefly outlines Concrete suggestions (please reference specific sections of text where a	how the paper will deliver on its promised goals?
	,
	REF5
MODEL	
4	add Aud
	add - Axel
	(and height
	of votary > Si
	Carry how of volaing -> Si
b. FRAMING THE PROBLEM	0 2 3 4 3
Do the authors clearly describe their approach for obtaining the evidence used in	the paper (e.g., description of experimental setup, Tes No
Does the paper provide adequate background so that the reader can understand how a	overning equations, simulation approaches, etc.)? and why the authors have chosen this approach?
Is the level of detail of the des	scription appropriate given the goal of the paper?
Does the paper include a clear description of the authorized Concrete suggestions (please reference specific sections of text where a	interpretate):
(Figure 1)	pproprime).
77/0	nous in EQ.
A	
PESCESET WRORET	
1 PET SET) partial
\ WPGPIE	
	<u></u>
5	6
9	6
9	On the sase engrash this has that newly
Zinks H	Emakes some energy this has that rout,
c. PRESENTING AND ANALYZING RESULTS	
c. PRESENTING AND ANALYZING RESULTS Does the paper provide an appropriate amount of reliable, represent the paper provide a complete picture of evidence? Do the authors observed the paper provide a complete picture of evidence?	ntative, and relevant evidence to support its goal? serve and respond to evidentiary inconsistencies?
c. PRESENTING AND ANALYZING RESULTS Does the paper provide an appropriate amount of reliable, represent the paper provide a complete picture of evidence? Do the authors observed to be paper logically and plausibly analyze evidence, as opposed to letting	ntative, and relevant evidence to support its goal? serve and respond to evidentiary inconsistencies? If the mere inclusion of evidence speak for itself? If the mere inclusion of evidence speak for itself? If the mere inclusion of evidence speak for itself?
c. PRESENTING AND ANALYZING RESULTS Does the paper provide an appropriate amount of reliable, represent the paper provide a complete picture of evidence? Do the authors observed to be paper logically and plausibly analyze evidence, as opposed to letting	ntative, and relevant evidence to support its goal? serve and respond to evidentiary inconsistencies? ng the mere inclusion of evidence speak for itself? the reader learn something that was not obvious?
c. PRESENTING AND ANALYZING RESULTS Does the paper provide an appropriate amount of reliable, represent the paper provide a complete picture of evidence? Do the authors obtained to be appropriate and plausibly analyze evidence, as opposed to letting Does.	ntative, and relevant evidence to support its goal? serve and respond to evidentiary inconsistencies? ng the mere inclusion of evidence speak for itself? the reader learn something that was not obvious?
c. PRESENTING AND ANALYZING RESULTS Does the paper provide an appropriate amount of reliable, represent the paper provide a complete picture of evidence? Do the authors obtained to be appropriate and plausibly analyze evidence, as opposed to letting Does.	ntative, and relevant evidence to support its goal? serve and respond to evidentiary inconsistencies? ng the mere inclusion of evidence speak for itself? the reader learn something that was not obvious?
c. PRESENTING AND ANALYZING RESULTS Does the paper provide an appropriate amount of reliable, represent the paper provide a complete picture of evidence? Do the authors obtained to be appropriate and plausibly analyze evidence, as opposed to letting Does.	ntative, and relevant evidence to support its goal? serve and respond to evidentiary inconsistencies? ng the mere inclusion of evidence speak for itself? the reader learn something that was not obvious? uppropriate):
c. PRESENTING AND ANALYZING RESULTS Does the paper provide an appropriate amount of reliable, represent the paper provide a complete picture of evidence? Do the authors obtained to be appropriate and plausibly analyze evidence, as opposed to letting Does.	ntative, and relevant evidence to support its goal? serve and respond to evidentiary inconsistencies? ng the mere inclusion of evidence speak for itself? the reader learn something that was not obvious?
c. PRESENTING AND ANALYZING RESULTS Does the paper provide an appropriate amount of reliable, represent the paper provide a complete picture of evidence? Do the authors obtained to be appropriate and plausibly analyze evidence, as opposed to letting Does.	ntative, and relevant evidence to support its goal? serve and respond to evidentiary inconsistencies? ng the mere inclusion of evidence speak for itself? the reader learn something that was not obvious? uppropriate):
c. PRESENTING AND ANALYZING RESULTS Does the paper provide an appropriate amount of reliable, represent the paper provide a complete picture of evidence? Do the authors obtained to be appropriate and plausibly analyze evidence, as opposed to letting Does.	ntative, and relevant evidence to support its goal? serve and respond to evidentiary inconsistencies? ng the mere inclusion of evidence speak for itself? the reader learn something that was not obvious? uppropriate):
c. PRESENTING AND ANALYZING RESULTS Does the paper provide an appropriate amount of reliable, represent the paper provide a complete picture of evidence? Do the authors obtained to be appropriate and plausibly analyze evidence, as opposed to letting Does.	ntative, and relevant evidence to support its goal? serve and respond to evidentiary inconsistencies? ng the mere inclusion of evidence speak for itself? the reader learn something that was not obvious? uppropriate):
c. PRESENTING AND ANALYZING RESULTS Does the paper provide an appropriate amount of reliable, represent the paper provide a complete picture of evidence? Do the authors obtained to be appropriate and plausibly analyze evidence, as opposed to letting Does.	ntative, and relevant evidence to support its goal? serve and respond to evidentiary inconsistencies? ng the mere inclusion of evidence speak for itself? the reader learn something that was not obvious? uppropriate):
c. PRESENTING AND ANALYZING RESULTS Does the paper provide an appropriate amount of reliable, represent the paper provide a complete picture of evidence? Do the authors obtained to be appropriate and plausibly analyze evidence, as opposed to letting Does.	ntative, and relevant evidence to support its goal? serve and respond to evidentiary inconsistencies? ng the mere inclusion of evidence speak for itself? the reader learn something that was not obvious? uppropriate):
c. PRESENTING AND ANALYZING RESULTS Does the paper provide an appropriate amount of reliable, represent the paper provide a complete picture of evidence? Do the authors obtained to be appropriate and plausibly analyze evidence, as opposed to letting Does.	ntative, and relevant evidence to support its goal? serve and respond to evidentiary inconsistencies? ng the mere inclusion of evidence speak for itself? the reader learn something that was not obvious? uppropriate):
c. PRESENTING AND ANALYZING RESULTS Does the paper provide an appropriate amount of reliable, represent the paper provide a complete picture of evidence? Do the authors obtained to be appropriate and plausibly analyze evidence, as opposed to letting Does.	ntative, and relevant evidence to support its goal? serve and respond to evidentiary inconsistencies? ng the mere inclusion of evidence speak for itself? the reader learn something that was not obvious? uppropriate):
c. PRESENTING AND ANALYZING RESULTS Does the paper provide an appropriate amount of reliable, represent the paper provide a complete picture of evidence? Do the authors obtained to be appropriate and plausibly analyze evidence, as opposed to letting Does.	ntative, and relevant evidence to support its goal? serve and respond to evidentiary inconsistencies? ng the mere inclusion of evidence speak for itself? the reader learn something that was not obvious? uppropriate):
c. PRESENTING AND ANALYZING RESULTS Does the paper provide an appropriate amount of reliable, represent the paper provide a complete picture of evidence? Do the authors obtained to be appropriate and plausibly analyze evidence, as opposed to letting Does.	ntative, and relevant evidence to support its goal? serve and respond to evidentiary inconsistencies? ng the mere inclusion of evidence speak for itself? the reader learn something that was not obvious? uppropriate):
c. PRESENTING AND ANALYZING RESULTS Does the paper provide an appropriate amount of reliable, represent the paper provide a complete picture of evidence? Do the authors observed to letting Does the paper logically and plausibly analyze evidence, as opposed to letting Does. Concrete suggestions (please reference specific sections of text where a	ntative, and relevant evidence to support its goal? serve and respond to evidentiary inconsistencies? ng the mere inclusion of evidence speak for itself? the reader learn something that was not obvious? ppropriate):
c. PRESENTING AND ANALYZING RESULTS Does the paper provide an appropriate amount of reliable, represent the paper provide a complete picture of evidence? Do the authors observed to letting Does the paper logically and plausibly analyze evidence, as opposed to letting Does. Concrete suggestions (please reference specific sections of text where a	ntative, and relevant evidence to support its goal? serve and respond to evidentiary inconsistencies? ng the mere inclusion of evidence speak for itself? the reader learn something that was not obvious? ppropriate):
c. PRESENTING AND ANALYZING RESULTS Does the paper provide an appropriate amount of reliable, represent the paper provide a complete picture of evidence? Do the authors observed to letting Does the paper logically and plausibly analyze evidence, as opposed to letting Does. Concrete suggestions (please reference specific sections of text where a	ntative, and relevant evidence to support its goal? serve and respond to evidentiary inconsistencies? ng the mere inclusion of evidence speak for itself? the reader learn something that was not obvious? uppropriate):
c. PRESENTING AND ANALYZING RESULTS Does the paper provide an appropriate amount of reliable, represent the paper provide a complete picture of evidence? Do the authors observed to letting Does the paper logically and plausibly analyze evidence, as opposed to letting Does. Concrete suggestions (please reference specific sections of text where a	ntative, and relevant evidence to support its goal? serve and respond to evidentiary inconsistencies? ng the mere inclusion of evidence speak for itself? the reader learn something that was not obvious? ppropriate):