A mapping  $T: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$  is said to be a linear transformation if

$$T(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha T(x) + \beta T(y)$$
 for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{V}$  and for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ .

A mapping  $T: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$  is said to be a linear transformation if  $T(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha T(x) + \beta T(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{V}$  and for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ .

$$I(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha I(x) + \beta I(y)$$
 for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$ 

- [Example:]
  - 1. Let  $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by T(x,y) = x. Then T is a linear transformation.

A mapping  $T : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$  is said to be a linear transformation if  $T(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha T(x) + \beta T(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{V}$  and for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ .

## • [Example:]

- 1. Let  $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by T(x,y) = x. Then T is a linear transformation.
- 2. Let  $A \in \mathbb{M}_{m \times n}(R)$ , let  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  be defined by T(x) = Ax,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then T is a linear transformation.

A mapping  $T: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$  is said to be a linear transformation if  $T(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha T(x) + \beta T(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{V}$  and for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ .

## • [Example:]

- 1. Let  $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by T(x,y) = x. Then T is a linear transformation.
- 2. Let  $A \in \mathbb{M}_{m \times n}(R)$ , let  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  be defined by T(x) = Ax,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then T is a linear transformation.
- 3. Let  $T: C[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $T(f) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$ . Then T is a linear transformation.

1. Let  $\mathbb V$  and  $\mathbb W$  be vector spaces over the same field  $\overline{\mathbb F}$ . Let  $\mathcal T:\mathbb V\to\mathbb W$ 

1. Let  $\mathbb V$  and  $\mathbb W$  be vector spaces over the same field  $\mathbb F$ . Let  $\mathcal T:\mathbb V\to\mathbb W$ 

be defined by T(x) = 0,  $x \in \mathbb{V}$ . This transformation is called **Zero** transformation.

- 1. Let  $\mathbb V$  and  $\mathbb W$  be vector spaces over the same field  $\mathbb F$ . Let  $T:\mathbb V\to\mathbb W$ be defined by T(x) = 0,  $x \in \mathbb{V}$ . This transformation is called **Zero**

2. Let  $\mathbb{V}$  be vector space over the field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $T: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$  be defined by

- 1. Let  $\mathbb V$  and  $\mathbb W$  be vector spaces over the same field  $\mathbb F$ . Let  $T:\mathbb V\to\mathbb W$  be defined by  $T(x)=0,\ x\in\mathbb V$ . This transformation is called **Zero** 
  - 2. Let  $\mathbb V$  be vector space over the field  $\mathbb F.$  Let  $\mathcal T:\mathbb V\to\mathbb V$  be defined by

 $T(x) = x, x \in \mathbb{V}$ . This transformation is called **identity** transformation.

- 1. Let  $\mathbb V$  and  $\mathbb W$  be vector spaces over the same field  $\mathbb F$ . Let  $T:\mathbb V\to\mathbb W$  be defined by  $T(x)=0,\ x\in\mathbb V$ . This transformation is called **Zero** 
  - 2. Let  $\mathbb V$  be vector space over the field  $\mathbb F.$  Let  $\mathcal T:\mathbb V\to\mathbb V$  be defined by
  - $T(x) = x, x \in \mathbb{V}$ . This transformation is called **identity** transformation.

3. Let  $\mathbb V$  be a vector space over the field  $\mathbb F$  and let  $\lambda \in \mathbb F$ . Let

- 1. Let  $\mathbb V$  and  $\mathbb W$  be vector spaces over the same field  $\overline{\mathbb F}$ . Let  $T: \mathbb V \to \mathbb W$  be defined by  $T(x)=0, x\in \mathbb V$ . This transformation is called **Zero**
- 2. Let  $\mathbb V$  be vector space over the field  $\mathbb F$ . Let  $\mathcal T:\mathbb V\to\mathbb V$  be defined by  $\mathcal T(x)=x,\ x\in\mathbb V$ . This transformation is called **identity**

transformation.

- 3. Let  $\mathbb V$  be a vector space over the field  $\mathbb F$  and let  $\lambda \in \mathbb F$ . Let  $T: \mathbb V \to \mathbb V$  be defined by  $T(x) = \lambda x, \ x \in \mathbb V$ . This transformation is
- $T: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$  be defined by  $T(x) = \lambda x$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{V}$ . This transformation is called scalar transformation.

• [Theorem:] Let  $T : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$  be a LT.

**Proof:** We know that  $0_{\mathbb{V}} + 0_{\mathbb{V}} = 0_{\mathbb{V}}$ .

 $\bullet$  [Theorem:] Let  $\mathcal{T}:\mathbb{V}\to\mathbb{W}$  be a LT. Then  $\mathcal{T}(0_\mathbb{V})=0_\mathbb{W}$ 

Proof: We know that  $0_{\mathbb{V}}+0_{\mathbb{V}}=0_{\mathbb{V}}.$ 

$$T(0_{\mathbb{V}}+0_{\mathbb{V}})=T(0_{\mathbb{V}})$$

Proof: We know that 
$$0_{\mathbb{V}}+0_{\mathbb{V}}=0_{\mathbb{V}}.$$

$$T(0_{\mathbb{V}}+0_{\mathbb{V}})=T(0_{\mathbb{V}})$$

$$\implies T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) = T(0_{\mathbb{V}})$$

**Proof:** We know that 
$$0_{\mathbb{V}} + 0_{\mathbb{V}} = 0_{\mathbb{V}}$$
.

$$T(0_{\mathbb{V}}+0_{\mathbb{V}})=T(0_{\mathbb{V}})$$

$$\implies T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) = T(0_{\mathbb{V}})$$

$$\implies T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + (-T(0_{\mathbb{V}})) = T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + (-T(0_{\mathbb{V}}))$$

**Proof:** We know that  $0_{\mathbb{V}} + 0_{\mathbb{V}} = 0_{\mathbb{V}}$ .

$$T(0_{\mathbb{V}}+0_{\mathbb{V}})=T(0_{\mathbb{V}})$$

$$\implies T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) = T(0_{\mathbb{V}})$$

$$\implies T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + (-T(0_{\mathbb{V}})) = T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + (-T(0_{\mathbb{V}}))$$

$$\implies T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + 0_{\mathbb{W}} = 0_{\mathbb{W}}$$

**Proof:** We know that 
$$0_{\mathbb{V}} + 0_{\mathbb{V}} = 0_{\mathbb{V}}$$
.

$$T(0_{\mathbb{V}}+0_{\mathbb{V}})=T(0_{\mathbb{V}})$$

$$\implies T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) = T(0_{\mathbb{V}})$$

$$\implies T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + (-T(0_{\mathbb{V}})) = T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + (-T(0_{\mathbb{V}}))$$

$$\implies T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + 0_{\mathbb{W}} = 0_{\mathbb{W}}$$

$$\implies T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) = 0_{\mathbb{W}}$$

**Proof:** We know that  $0_{\mathbb{V}} + 0_{\mathbb{V}} = 0_{\mathbb{V}}$ .

$$T(0_{\mathbb{V}}+0_{\mathbb{V}})=T(0_{\mathbb{V}})$$

$$\implies T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) = T(0_{\mathbb{V}})$$

$$\implies T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + (-T(0_{\mathbb{V}})) = T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + (-T(0_{\mathbb{V}}))$$

$$\implies T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + 0_{\mathbb{W}} = 0_{\mathbb{W}}$$

$$\implies T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) = 0_{\mathbb{W}}$$

 $T: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a map defined by T(x) = x + 1.

**Proof:** We know that  $0_{\mathbb{V}} + 0_{\mathbb{V}} = 0_{\mathbb{V}}$ .

$$T(0_{\mathbb{V}}+0_{\mathbb{V}})=T(0_{\mathbb{V}})$$

$$\implies T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) = T(0_{\mathbb{V}})$$

$$\implies T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + (-T(0_{\mathbb{V}})) = T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + (-T(0_{\mathbb{V}}))$$

$$\implies T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) + 0_{\mathbb{W}} = 0_{\mathbb{W}}$$

$$\implies T(0_{\mathbb{V}}) = 0_{\mathbb{W}}$$

 $T: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a map defined by T(x) = x + 1. Using above theorem you can say that T is not linear.

$$T(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i$$
 for some  $\alpha_i\in\mathbb{R}$  for  $i=1,\ldots,n$  and for all  $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n$ .

$$T(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i$$
 for some  $\alpha_i\in\mathbb{R}$  for  $i=1,\ldots,n$  and for all

 $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n.$ 

**Proof:** Let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

$$T(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i$$
 for some  $\alpha_i\in\mathbb{R}$  for  $i=1,\ldots,n$  and for all  $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $T(e_i) = \alpha_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ .

$$T(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i$$
 for some  $\alpha_i\in\mathbb{R}$  for  $i=1,\ldots,n$  and for all  $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let 
$$T(e_i) = \alpha_i$$
 for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ .

Let 
$$x = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_n] \in V$$
. Then  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i$ .

$$T(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i$$
 for some  $\alpha_i\in\mathbb{R}$  for  $i=1,\ldots,n$  and for all  $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let 
$$T(e_i) = \alpha_i$$
 for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ .

Let 
$$x = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_n] \in V$$
. Then  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i$ .

$$T(x) = T(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i T(e_i)$$

$$T(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i$$
 for some  $\alpha_i\in\mathbb{R}$  for  $i=1,\ldots,n$  and for all  $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $T(e_i) = \alpha_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ .

Let 
$$x = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_n] \in V$$
. Then  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i$ .

$$T(x) = T(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i T(e_i)$$

$$T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i$$

Then there exist linear transformations  $T_i: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  for i = 1, ..., m such that  $T(x) = (T_1(x), ..., T_m(x))$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Then there exist linear transformations  $T_i: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  for i = 1, ..., m such that  $T(x) = (T_1(x), ..., T_m(x))$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Then there exist linear transformations  $T_i: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  for i = 1, ..., m such that  $T(x) = (T_1(x), ..., T_m(x))$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $T(e_i) = (\alpha_1^i, \dots, \alpha_m^i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Then there exist linear transformations  $T_i: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  for i = 1, ..., m such that  $T(x) = (T_1(x), ..., T_m(x))$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let 
$$T(e_i) = (\alpha_1^i, \dots, \alpha_m^i)$$
 for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Let 
$$x = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_n] \in V$$
. Then  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i$ .

Then there exist linear transformations  $T_i: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  for i = 1, ..., m such that  $T(x) = (T_1(x), ..., T_m(x))$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let 
$$T(e_i) = (\alpha_1^i, \dots, \alpha_m^i)$$
 for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Let 
$$x = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_n] \in V$$
. Then  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i$ .

$$T(x) = T(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i T(e_i)$$

Then there exist linear transformations  $T_i: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  for i = 1, ..., m such that  $T(x) = (T_1(x), ..., T_m(x))$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $T(e_i) = (\alpha_1^i, \dots, \alpha_m^i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Let  $x = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] \in \mathbb{V}$ . Then  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i$ .

$$T(x) = T(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i T(e_i)$$

$$T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_1^i, \dots, \alpha_m^i) x_i$$

$$T(x) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \alpha_i^1, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \alpha_i^m\right)$$

$$T(x) = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \alpha_i^1, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \alpha_i^m)$$

$$T(x) = (T_1(x), \dots, T_n(x))$$
, where  $T_i(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \alpha_i^i$ 

$$T(x) = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \alpha_i^1, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \alpha_i^m)$$

$$T(x) = (T_1(x), \dots, T_n(x)), \text{ where } T_i(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \alpha_i^i$$

• Let  $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be a map defined by  $T(x_1, x_2) = (x_2 - x_1, x_1^2, x_2)$ .

 $T(x) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \alpha_i^1, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \alpha_i^m\right)$ 

$$T(x) = (T_1(x), \dots, T_n(x)), \text{ where } T_i(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \alpha_i^i$$

• Let  $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be a map defined by  $T(x_1, x_2) = (x_2 - x_1, x_1^2, x_2)$ .

T is linear or not?

$$T(x) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \alpha_i^1, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \alpha_i^m\right)$$

$$T(x) = (T_1(x), \dots, T_n(x)), \text{ where } T_i(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \alpha_i^i$$

• Let  $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be a map defined by  $T(x_1, x_2) = (x_2 - x_1, x_1^2, x_2)$ .

T is linear or not?

$$ullet$$
 Let  $T:\mathbb{R}^2 o \mathbb{R}^2$  be a linear map such that  $T(e_1)=(1,1)$  and  $T(e_2)=(-1,1).$ 

$$T(x) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \alpha_i^1, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \alpha_i^m\right)$$

$$T(x) = (T_1(x), \dots, T_n(x))$$
, where  $T_i(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \alpha_i^i$ 

• Let  $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be a map defined by  $T(x_1, x_2) = (x_2 - x_1, x_1^2, x_2)$ .

T is linear or not?

ullet Let  $\mathcal{T}:\mathbb{R}^2 o \mathbb{R}^2$  be a linear map such that  $\mathcal{T}(e_1)=(1,1)$  and  $\mathcal{T}(e_2)=(-1,1).$ 

Could it be possible to get the linear map explicitly?

$$T(x) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \alpha_i^1, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \alpha_i^m\right)$$

$$T(x) = (T_1(x), \dots, T_n(x)), \text{ where } T_i(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \alpha_i^i$$

ullet Let  $\mathcal{T}:\mathbb{R}^2 o\mathbb{R}^3$  be a map defined by  $\mathcal{T}(x_1,x_2)=(x_2-x_1,x_1^2,x_2).$ 

T is linear or not?

ullet Let  $T:\mathbb{R}^2 o \mathbb{R}^2$  be a linear map such that  $T(e_1)=(1,1)$  and  $T(e_2)=(-1,1)$ .

Could it be possible to get the linear map explicitly?

**Answer:** Yes. Let  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $(x_1, x_2) = x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2$ .

Then 
$$T(x_1, x_2) = x_1 T(e_1) + x_2 T(e_2)$$

$$= x_1(1,1) + x_2(-1,1)$$

$$=(x_1-x_2,x_1+x_2)$$

Then  $T(x_1, x_2) = x_1 T(e_1) + x_2 T(e_2)$ 

$$= x_1(1,1) + x_2(-1,1)$$
$$= (x_1 - x_2, x_1 + x_2)$$

ullet Let  $\mathcal{T}:\mathbb{R}^2 o \mathbb{R}^2$  be a linear map such that  $\mathcal{T}(1,0)=(1,1).$ 

Then 
$$T(x_1, x_2) = x_1 T(e_1) + x_2 T(e_2)$$
  
=  $x_1(1, 1) + x_2(-1, 1)$   
=  $(x_1 - x_2, x_1 + x_2)$ 

ullet Let  $\mathcal{T}:\mathbb{R}^2 o\mathbb{R}^2$  be a linear map such that  $\mathcal{T}(1,0)=(1,1).$ 

Could it be possible to get the linear map explicitly?

Then 
$$T(x_1, x_2) = x_1 T(e_1) + x_2 T(e_2)$$
  
=  $x_1(1, 1) + x_2(-1, 1)$   
=  $(x_1 - x_2, x_1 + x_2)$ 

ullet Let  $\mathcal{T}:\mathbb{R}^2 o \mathbb{R}^2$  be a linear map such that  $\mathcal{T}(1,0)=(1,1).$ 

Could it be possible to get the linear map explicitly?

**Answer:** No it is not possible.

• [Theorem:] Let  $\mathbb{V}$  be a finite-dimensional vector space over the field  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$  be an **ordered basis** for  $\mathbb{V}$ .

• [Theorem:] Let  $\mathbb V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space over the field  $\mathbb F$  and let  $\{u_1,\ldots,u_n\}$  be an **ordered basis** for  $\mathbb V$ . Let  $\mathbb W$  be a vector space over the same field  $\mathbb F$  and let  $w_1,\ldots,w_n$  be any vectors in  $\mathbb W$ .

Proof:

## Proof:

Let 
$$x \in \mathbb{V}$$
. Then  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i u_i$ .

## Proof:

Let 
$$x \in \mathbb{V}$$
. Then  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i u_i$ .

Define 
$$T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i w_i$$
. It is clear that  $T$  is well defined because  $x = \frac{n}{2}$ 

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i u_i$ , this expression unique.

We first show that T is a linear transformation. Take  $x, y \in \mathbb{V}$ . Then

 $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i u_i$  and  $y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i u_i$ .

We first show that T is a linear transformation. Take  $x, y \in \mathbb{V}$ . Then  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i u_i$  and  $y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i u_i$ .

Let 
$$\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$$
.  $T(\alpha x + \beta y) = T(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha c_i + \beta d_i)u_i)$ .

$$T(\alpha x + \beta y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha c_i + \beta d_i) w_i.$$

$$= \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i w_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i w_i.$$

$$= \alpha T(x) + \beta T(y).$$

Hence 
$$T$$
 is linear.

**Uniqueness:** Suppose that there is another linear transformation U such that  $U(u_i) = w_i$ .

**Uniqueness:** Suppose that there is another linear transformation U such that  $U(u_i) = w_i$ .

To show that U = T. Let  $x \in \mathbb{V}$ . Then  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i u_i$ . Using definition of T

we have 
$$T(x) = T(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i u_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i w_i$$
.

$$U(x) = U(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i u_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} U(u_{i}) \text{ (applying the definition of linear transformation)}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} w_{i}.$$

$$\widetilde{i=1}$$

Then U(x) = T(x) for all  $x \in \mathbb{V}$ . Hence U = T.

## • [Example]

Take the basis  $\{e_1,e_2,e_3\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Take  $1,2,3\in\mathbb{R}$ . Then using previous theorem we have a unique linear transformation  $\mathcal{T}$  from  $\mathbb{R}^3$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mathcal{T}(e_1)=1,\,\mathcal{T}(e_2)=2,\,\mathcal{T}(e_3)=3$  and  $\mathcal{T}(x_1,x_2,x_3)=x_1+2x_2+3x_3$ .

Take the basis  $\{e_1,e_2,e_3\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Take  $1,2,3\in\mathbb{R}$ . Then using previous theorem we have a unique linear transformation  $\mathcal{T}$  from  $\mathbb{R}^3$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mathcal{T}(e_1)=2, \mathcal{T}(e_2)=1, \mathcal{T}(e_3)=3$  and  $\mathcal{T}(x_1,x_2,x_3)=2x_1+x_2+3x_3$ . This transformation is different between the previous transformation.

The previous theorem gives a technique to construct a linear transformation from a finite dimensional vector space to another dimensional vector space over the same filed  $\mathbb{F}$ .