

23-03-22

EA-Lab Test-2

classmate

①

Date _____

Page _____

Faisal Rafiq

19HS20054

Q → Cropping Intensity = $f(\overset{x_1}{\text{Irrigation Intensity}}, \underset{x_2}{\text{Fertilizer use Intensity}})$
 Y_t

- Estimate the model, (with the residuals).

$$Y_t = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + u_t$$

① Runs Test:

- Calculate the number of Runs.
- Calculate a confidence interval.
- The number of runs lie in the confidence interval therefore there is no problem of autocorrelation.

- Plot ~~at~~ u_t / \hat{u}_{t-1}

The scatter plot also shows no clear ~~to~~ positive or negative trend indicating there is not problem of autocorrelation.

② Durbin Watson Test (For \hat{u}_t vs \hat{u}_{t-1})

- The value of the d statistic is almost 2 \Rightarrow No autocorrelation.
- The upper limit du for (55) observations is (1.428), this models d stat is 1.918.
- No Autocorrelation, as $du < d_{stat} < 4 - du$ at 5% sign level.

②

classmate

Date _____

Page _____

The formula for d-stat

$$d = \frac{\sum_{t=2}^n (\hat{u}_t - \hat{u}_{t-1})}{\sum_{t=1}^n \hat{u}_t^2}$$

1b) Structural Breaks: Chow Test.

To check if there are structural breaks in the dataset; divide the dataset into two parts: Take the break at 1991-92 economic reforms:

- 1) Estimate Regression results for 1st subperiod
- 2) " " " " 2nd " "
- 3) " " " " the total period.

$$\begin{aligned} 1^{\text{st}} \text{ Subperiod: } Y_t &= \alpha_1 + \beta_1 X_t + u_{1t} \\ 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ Subperiod: } Y_t &= \alpha_2 + \beta_2 X_t + u_{2t} \end{aligned} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Here } X_t \text{ is} \\ \text{time} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$H_0 : \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 ; \beta_1 = \beta_2$$

We imposed two restrictions $\Rightarrow k=2$.

Calculate the F statistic by

$$F = \frac{(RRSS - URSS) / k}{URSS / (m_1 + m_2 - 2k)}$$

RRSS \rightarrow Restricted Residual sum of squares

URSS \rightarrow Unrestricted " " "

The F-stat turns out to be 5.702 with prob 0.0059.

\Rightarrow F-stat is significant at 1%.

This implies that there is a significant change in Cropping intensity after 91-92.

This conclusion will not hold until the variances are not significantly different.

Comparing Variances :-

Calculate F stat for variances as

$$F = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} \rightarrow \frac{\text{Mean RSS}_1}{\text{Mean RSS}_2} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Keep the} \\ \text{higher} \\ \text{value in} \\ \text{numerator} \end{array} \right.$$

- The F-stat turns out to be ~~2.7~~ 2.859054 with prob 0.0049.
 $\Rightarrow H_0$ is rejected at 1% significance and \therefore the variances are significantly different from each other.

Chow Test can't be applied here

We can't say that there has been a significant impact on cropping intensity after 91-92.

We can test for more periods by applying the same method.
 \rightarrow (Running out of time - is)

[Faint, illegible handwriting]

[Faint, illegible handwriting]

23-03-22