
Problem 0.0.7 Show that $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are linearly independent iff Au_1, \dots, Au_k are linearly independent for any invertible A of size n .

Problem 0.0.7 Show that $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are linearly independent iff Au_1, \dots, Au_k are linearly independent for any invertible A of size n .

Answer: Assume that Au_1, \dots, Au_k are LI.

Problem 0.0.7 Show that $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are linearly independent iff Au_1, \dots, Au_k are linearly independent for any invertible A of size n .

Answer: Assume that Au_1, \dots, Au_k are LI.

To show: u_1, \dots, u_k are LI. It is clear that $u_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, otherwise $Au_i = 0$. Suppose that u_1, \dots, u_k are linearly dependent.

Problem 0.0.7 Show that $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are linearly independent iff Au_1, \dots, Au_k are linearly independent for any invertible A of size n .

Answer: Assume that Au_1, \dots, Au_k are LI.

To show: u_1, \dots, u_k are LI. It is clear that $u_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, otherwise $Au_i = 0$. Suppose that u_1, \dots, u_k are linearly dependent.

Then there exists $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ not all zero such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i u_i = 0$.

Multiplying both side by A .

Problem 0.0.7 Show that $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are linearly independent iff Au_1, \dots, Au_k are linearly independent for any invertible A of size n .

Answer: Assume that Au_1, \dots, Au_k are LI.

To show: u_1, \dots, u_k are LI. It is clear that $u_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, otherwise $Au_i = 0$. Suppose that u_1, \dots, u_k are linearly dependent.

Then there exists $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ not all zero such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i u_i = 0$.

Multiplying both side by A .

$$A \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i u_i = 0$$

Problem 0.0.7 Show that $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are linearly independent iff Au_1, \dots, Au_k are linearly independent for any invertible A of size n .

Answer: Assume that Au_1, \dots, Au_k are LI.

To show: u_1, \dots, u_k are LI. It is clear that $u_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, otherwise $Au_i = 0$. Suppose that u_1, \dots, u_k are linearly dependent.

Then there exists $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ not all zero such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i u_i = 0$.

Multiplying both side by A .

$$A \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i u_i = 0$$

$\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i Au_i = 0$. This implies that Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_k are LD. A contradiction.

Hence u_1, \dots, u_k are LI.

Problem 0.0.7 Show that $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are linearly independent iff Au_1, \dots, Au_k are linearly independent for any invertible A of size n .

Answer: Assume that Au_1, \dots, Au_k are LI.

To show: u_1, \dots, u_k are LI. It is clear that $u_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, otherwise $Au_i = 0$. Suppose that u_1, \dots, u_k are linearly dependent.

Then there exists $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ not all zero such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i u_i = 0$.

Multiplying both side by A .

$$A \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i u_i = 0$$

$\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i Au_i = 0$. This implies that Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_k are LD. A contradiction.

Hence u_1, \dots, u_k are LI.

Note: We have not used invertibility of A to show this part. That means if Au_1, \dots, Au_k are LI where A is any matrix of size n , then u_1, \dots, u_k are LI.

Assume that u_1, \dots, u_k are LI.

Assume that u_1, \dots, u_k are LI.

To show: Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_k are LI.

Assume that u_1, \dots, u_k are LI.

To show: Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_k are LI.

Why $Au_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$? $u_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ as they are LI.
If $Au_i = 0$ for some i , then $u_i = 0$ as A is invertible. So $Au_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Assume that u_1, \dots, u_k are LI.

To show: Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_k are LI.

Why $Au_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$? $u_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ as they are LI. If $Au_i = 0$ for some i , then $u_i = 0$ as A is invertible. So $Au_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Suppose that Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_k are LD. Then there exists $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ not all zero such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i Au_i = 0$.

Assume that u_1, \dots, u_k are LI.

To show: Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_k are LI.

Why $Au_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$? $u_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ as they are LI. If $Au_i = 0$ for some i , then $u_i = 0$ as A is invertible. So $Au_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Suppose that Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_k are LD. Then there exists $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ not all zero such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i Au_i = 0$.

$$A^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i Au_i = 0$$

Assume that u_1, \dots, u_k are LI.

To show: Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_k are LI.

Why $Au_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$? $u_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ as they are LI. If $Au_i = 0$ for some i , then $u_i = 0$ as A is invertible. So $Au_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Suppose that Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_k are LD. Then there exists $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ not all zero such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i Au_i = 0$.

$$A^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i Au_i = 0$$

$\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i u_i = 0$. This implies u_1, \dots, u_k are LD. A contradiction. Hence Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_k are LI.

Problem 0.0.9: Let \mathbb{V} be a vector space over \mathbb{F} . Let A and B be two non-empty subsets of \mathbb{V} . Prove or disprove: $\text{ls}(A) \cap \text{ls}(B) \neq \{0\} \implies A \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

Problem 0.0.9: Let \mathbb{V} be a vector space over \mathbb{F} . Let A and B be two non-empty subsets of \mathbb{V} . Prove or disprove: $\text{ls}(A) \cap \text{ls}(B) \neq \{0\} \implies A \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

Answer: Disprove. Consider \mathbb{R}^2 and $A = \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$ and $B = \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$.

Problem 0.0.9: Let \mathbb{V} be a vector space over \mathbb{F} . Let A and B be two non-empty subsets of \mathbb{V} . Prove or disprove: $\text{ls}(A) \cap \text{ls}(B) \neq \{0\} \implies A \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

Answer: Disprove. Consider \mathbb{R}^2 and $A = \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$ and $B = \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$.

Notice that $\text{ls}(A) = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\text{ls}(B) = \mathbb{R}^2$. Hence $\text{ls}(A) \cap \text{ls}(B) \neq \{0\}$.

Problem 0.0.9: Let \mathbb{V} be a vector space over \mathbb{F} . Let A and B be two non-empty subsets of \mathbb{V} . Prove or disprove: $\text{ls}(A) \cap \text{ls}(B) \neq \{0\} \implies A \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

Answer: Disprove. Consider \mathbb{R}^2 and $A = \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$ and $B = \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$.

Notice that $\text{ls}(A) = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\text{ls}(B) = \mathbb{R}^2$. Hence $\text{ls}(A) \cap \text{ls}(B) \neq \{0\}$.

But $A \cap B = \emptyset$

Problem 0.0.10: Show that a vector space \mathbb{V} over \mathbb{F} has a unique basis if and only if either $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = 0$ or $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = 1$ and $|\mathbb{F}| = 2$.

Problem 0.0.10: Show that a vector space \mathbb{V} over \mathbb{F} has a unique basis if and only if either $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = 0$ or $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = 1$ and $|\mathbb{F}| = 2$.

Answer: First assume that $\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{F})$ has a unique basis.

Problem 0.0.10: Show that a vector space \mathbb{V} over \mathbb{F} has a unique basis if and only if either $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = 0$ or $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = 1$ and $|\mathbb{F}| = 2$.

Answer: First assume that $\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{F})$ has a unique basis.

There are two cases. Either \mathbb{V} is trivial or non-trivial.

Problem 0.0.10: Show that a vector space \mathbb{V} over \mathbb{F} has a unique basis if and only if either $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = 0$ or $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = 1$ and $|\mathbb{F}| = 2$.

Answer: First assume that $\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{F})$ has a unique basis.

There are two cases. Either \mathbb{V} is trivial or non-trivial.

Case I. If \mathbb{V} is trivial, then we are done.

Problem 0.0.10: Show that a vector space \mathbb{V} over \mathbb{F} has a unique basis if and only if either $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = 0$ or $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = 1$ and $|\mathbb{F}| = 2$.

Answer: First assume that $\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{F})$ has a unique basis.

There are two cases. Either \mathbb{V} is trivial or non-trivial.

Case I. If \mathbb{V} is trivial, then we are done.

Case II. \mathbb{V} is non-trivial. First we show that $\dim \mathbb{V} = 1$.

Problem 0.0.10: Show that a vector space \mathbb{V} over \mathbb{F} has a unique basis if and only if either $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = 0$ or $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = 1$ and $|\mathbb{F}| = 2$.

Answer: First assume that $\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{F})$ has a unique basis.

There are two cases. Either \mathbb{V} is trivial or non-trivial.

Case I. If \mathbb{V} is trivial, then we are done.

Case II. \mathbb{V} is non-trivial. First we show that $\dim \mathbb{V} = 1$.

Suppose that $\dim \mathbb{V} \geq 2$. There are two cases.

Problem 0.0.10: Show that a vector space \mathbb{V} over \mathbb{F} has a unique basis if and only if either $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = 0$ or $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = 1$ and $|\mathbb{F}| = 2$.

Answer: First assume that $\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{F})$ has a unique basis.

There are two cases. Either \mathbb{V} is trivial or non-trivial.

Case I. If \mathbb{V} is trivial, then we are done.

Case II. \mathbb{V} is non-trivial. First we show that $\dim \mathbb{V} = 1$.

Suppose that $\dim \mathbb{V} \geq 2$. There are two cases.

Case II(a). \mathbb{V} is finite dimensional. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{V} where $k \geq 2$.

Problem 0.0.10: Show that a vector space \mathbb{V} over \mathbb{F} has a unique basis if and only if either $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = 0$ or $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = 1$ and $|\mathbb{F}| = 2$.

Answer: First assume that $\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{F})$ has a unique basis.

There are two cases. Either \mathbb{V} is trivial or non-trivial.

Case I. If \mathbb{V} is trivial, then we are done.

Case II. \mathbb{V} is non-trivial. First we show that $\dim \mathbb{V} = 1$.

Suppose that $\dim \mathbb{V} \geq 2$. There are two cases.

Case II(a). \mathbb{V} is finite dimensional. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{V} where $k \geq 2$.

Consider $B = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + x_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_k\}$. To show that B is also a basis of \mathbb{V} .

Problem 0.0.10: Show that a vector space \mathbb{V} over \mathbb{F} has a unique basis if and only if either $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = 0$ or $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = 1$ and $|\mathbb{F}| = 2$.

Answer: First assume that $\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{F})$ has a unique basis.

There are two cases. Either \mathbb{V} is trivial or non-trivial.

Case I. If \mathbb{V} is trivial, then we are done.

Case II. \mathbb{V} is non-trivial. First we show that $\dim \mathbb{V} = 1$.

Suppose that $\dim \mathbb{V} \geq 2$. There are two cases.

Case II(a). \mathbb{V} is finite dimensional. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{V} where $k \geq 2$.

Consider $B = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + x_i, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_k\}$. To show that B is also a basis of \mathbb{V} .

Using Problem 0.0.8 in tutorial sheet, you can easily show that B is LI. Since B is LI and $|B| = \dim(\mathbb{V})$. Hence B is basis. A contradiction that \mathbb{V} has unique basis. Hence $\dim \mathbb{V} = 1$.

Case II(b). \mathbb{V} is infinite dimensional.

Case II(b). \mathbb{V} is infinite dimensional.

Let $S = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{V} where I is an index set.

Case II(b). \mathbb{V} is infinite dimensional.

Let $S = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{V} where I is an index set.

Take $x_\gamma, x_\beta \in S$. Then $x_\gamma + x_\beta \in \mathbb{V}$ as \mathbb{V} is a vector space.

Case II(b). \mathbb{V} is infinite dimensional.

Let $S = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{V} where I is an index set.

Take $x_\gamma, x_\beta \in S$. Then $x_\gamma + x_\beta \in \mathbb{V}$ as \mathbb{V} is a vector space.

But $x_\gamma + x_\beta \notin S$ as S is LI, otherwise there is a vector $x_\gamma + x_\beta$ which is a linear combination of x_γ and x_β .

Case II(b). \mathbb{V} is infinite dimensional.

Let $S = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{V} where I is an index set.

Take $x_\gamma, x_\beta \in S$. Then $x_\gamma + x_\beta \in \mathbb{V}$ as \mathbb{V} is a vector space.

But $x_\gamma + x_\beta \notin S$ as S is LI, otherwise there is a vector $x_\gamma + x_\beta$ which is a linear combination of x_γ and x_β .

Consider $B = \left(\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} - \{x_\gamma\} \right) \cup \{x_\gamma + x_\beta\}$.

Case II(b). \mathbb{V} is infinite dimensional.

Let $S = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{V} where I is an index set.

Take $x_\gamma, x_\beta \in S$. Then $x_\gamma + x_\beta \in \mathbb{V}$ as \mathbb{V} is a vector space.

But $x_\gamma + x_\beta \notin S$ as S is LI, otherwise there is a vector $x_\gamma + x_\beta$ which is a linear combination of x_γ and x_β .

Consider $B = \left(\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} - \{x_\gamma\} \right) \cup \{x_\gamma + x_\beta\}$.

To show that B is a basis of \mathbb{V} . Let A be a finite subset of B .

Case II(b). \mathbb{V} is infinite dimensional.

Let $S = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{V} where I is an index set.

Take $x_\gamma, x_\beta \in S$. Then $x_\gamma + x_\beta \in \mathbb{V}$ as \mathbb{V} is a vector space.

But $x_\gamma + x_\beta \notin S$ as S is LI, otherwise there is a vector $x_\gamma + x_\beta$ which is a linear combination of x_γ and x_β .

Consider $B = \left(\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} - \{x_\gamma\} \right) \cup \{x_\gamma + x_\beta\}$.

To show that B is a basis of \mathbb{V} . Let A be a finite subset of B .

There are two cases.

Case II(b). \mathbb{V} is infinite dimensional.

Let $S = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{V} where I is an index set.

Take $x_\gamma, x_\beta \in S$. Then $x_\gamma + x_\beta \in \mathbb{V}$ as \mathbb{V} is a vector space.

But $x_\gamma + x_\beta \notin S$ as S is LI, otherwise there is a vector $x_\gamma + x_\beta$ which is a linear combination of x_γ and x_β .

Consider $B = \left(\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} - \{x_\gamma\} \right) \cup \{x_\gamma + x_\beta\}$.

To show that B is a basis of \mathbb{V} . Let A be a finite subset of B .

There are two cases.

Case I. $A = \{x_{\alpha_1}, x_{\alpha_2}, \dots, x_{\alpha_k}\}$ that means $x_\gamma + x_\beta$ is not in A . Therefore $A \subseteq S$. Since S is LI, then A is LI.

Case II(b). \mathbb{V} is infinite dimensional.

Let $S = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{V} where I is an index set.

Take $x_\gamma, x_\beta \in S$. Then $x_\gamma + x_\beta \in \mathbb{V}$ as \mathbb{V} is a vector space.

But $x_\gamma + x_\beta \notin S$ as S is LI, otherwise there is a vector $x_\gamma + x_\beta$ which is a linear combination of x_γ and x_β .

Consider $B = \left(\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} - \{x_\gamma\} \right) \cup \{x_\gamma + x_\beta\}$.

To show that B is a basis of \mathbb{V} . Let A be a finite subset of B .

There are two cases.

Case I. $A = \{x_{\alpha_1}, x_{\alpha_2}, \dots, x_{\alpha_k}\}$ that means $x_\gamma + x_\beta$ is not in A . Therefore $A \subseteq S$. Since S is LI, then A is LI.

Case II. $A = \{x_{\alpha_1}, x_{\alpha_2}, \dots, x_\gamma + x_\beta, \dots, x_{\alpha_k}\}$. Then applying the same techniques as of finite case, we have A is LI.

Therefore each finite subset of B is LI. Hence B is LI.

Therefore each finite subset of B is LI. Hence B is LI.

To show $\text{ls}(B) = \mathbb{V}$. It is enough to show $\text{ls}(B) = \text{ls}(S)$. $B - \{x_\gamma + x_\beta\} = S - \{x_\gamma\}$ and you know that $x_\gamma + x_\beta$ is in B . Using this you can easily show that $\text{ls}(B) = \text{ls}(S)$.

Therefore each finite subset of B is LI. Hence B is LI.

To show $\text{ls}(B) = \mathbb{V}$. It is enough to show $\text{ls}(B) = \text{ls}(S)$. $B - \{x_\gamma + x_\beta\} = S - \{x_\gamma\}$ and you know that $x_\gamma + x_\beta$ is in B . Using this you can easily show that $\text{ls}(B) = \text{ls}(S)$.

Hence B is a basis of \mathbb{V} . A contradiction that \mathbb{V} has two basis.

We now show that $|\mathbb{F}| = 2$. Suppose that $|\mathbb{F}| \geq 2$. Then $|F|$ has at least one element which is other than additive identity and multiplicative identity.

We now show that $|\mathbb{F}| = 2$. Suppose that $|\mathbb{F}| \geq 2$. Then $|F|$ has at least one element which is other than additive identity and multiplicative identity.

Let $\{x\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{V} and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ such that α is neither 0 nor 1.

We now show that $|\mathbb{F}| = 2$. Suppose that $|\mathbb{F}| \geq 2$. Then F has at least one element which is other than additive identity and multiplicative identity.

Let $\{x\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{V} and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ such that α is neither 0 nor 1.

Then $\{x\}$ and $\{\alpha x\}$ both are basis of \mathbb{V} . A contradiction that \mathbb{V} has unique basis. Hence $|\mathbb{F}| = 2$.

Let $\mathbb{V} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \right\}.$

$$\text{Let } \mathbb{V} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \right\}.$$

This is a vector space over \mathbb{Z}_2 .

$$\text{Let } \mathbb{V} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \right\}.$$

This is a vector space over \mathbb{Z}_2 .

$\mathbb{V}_1 = \text{Is}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ is a VS over \mathbb{Z}_2 with unique basis.

$$\text{Let } \mathbb{V} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \right\}.$$

This is a vector space over \mathbb{Z}_2 .

$\mathbb{V}_1 = \text{Is}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ is a VS over \mathbb{Z}_2 with unique basis.

$\mathbb{V}_2 = \text{Is}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ is a VS over \mathbb{Z}_2 with unique basis.

$$\text{Let } \mathbb{V} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \right\}.$$

This is a vector space over \mathbb{Z}_2 .

$\mathbb{V}_1 = \text{Is}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ is a VS over \mathbb{Z}_2 with unique basis.

$\mathbb{V}_2 = \text{Is}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ is a VS over \mathbb{Z}_2 with unique basis.

$\mathbb{V}_2 = \text{Is}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ is a VS over \mathbb{Z}_2 with unique basis.

$$\text{Let } \mathbb{V} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \right\}.$$

This is a vector space over \mathbb{Z}_2 .

$\mathbb{V}_1 = \text{Is}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ is a VS over \mathbb{Z}_2 with unique basis.

$\mathbb{V}_2 = \text{Is}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ is a VS over \mathbb{Z}_2 with unique basis.

$\mathbb{V}_2 = \text{Is}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ is a VS over \mathbb{Z}_2 with unique basis.

$\mathbb{V}_3 = \text{Is}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ is a VS over \mathbb{Z}_2 with unique basis.

$$\text{Let } \mathbb{V} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \right\}.$$

This is a vector space over \mathbb{Z}_2 .

$$\mathbb{V}_1 = \text{Is}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \text{ is a VS over } \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{ with unique basis.}$$

$$\mathbb{V}_2 = \text{Is}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) \text{ is a VS over } \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{ with unique basis.}$$

$$\mathbb{V}_2 = \text{Is}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \text{ is a VS over } \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{ with unique basis.}$$

$$\mathbb{V}_3 = \text{Is}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) \text{ is a VS over } \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{ with unique basis.}$$

$$\mathbb{V}_4 = \text{Is}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) \text{ is a VS over } \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{ with unique basis.}$$

Problem 0.0.11: Let \mathbb{V} be an n dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F} and let \mathbb{F} has exactly p elements. Then show that $|\mathbb{V}| = p^n$.

Problem 0.0.11: Let \mathbb{V} be an n dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F} and let \mathbb{F} has exactly p elements. Then show that $|\mathbb{V}| = p^n$.

Answer: Let $B = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{V} . Any element in \mathbb{V} can be written as a **unique** linear combination of u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n .

Problem 0.0.11: Let \mathbb{V} be an n dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F} and let \mathbb{F} has exactly p elements. Then show that $|\mathbb{V}| = p^n$.

Answer: Let $B = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{V} . Any element in \mathbb{V} can be written as a **unique** linear combination of u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n .

That is $c_1 u_1 + c_2 u_2 + c_3 u_3 + \dots + c_n u_n$ where $c_i \in \mathbb{F}$.

Problem 0.0.11: Let \mathbb{V} be an n dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F} and let \mathbb{F} has exactly p elements. Then show that $|\mathbb{V}| = p^n$.

Answer: Let $B = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{V} . Any element in \mathbb{V} can be written as a **unique** linear combination of u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n .

That is $c_1 u_1 + c_2 u_2 + c_3 u_3 + \dots + c_n u_n$ where $c_i \in \mathbb{F}$.

For each c_i we have p choices and the choice of c_i does not depend on the choice of c_j for $i \neq j$.

Problem 0.0.11: Let \mathbb{V} be an n dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F} and let \mathbb{F} has exactly p elements. Then show that $|\mathbb{V}| = p^n$.

Answer: Let $B = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{V} . Any element in \mathbb{V} can be written as a **unique** linear combination of u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n .

That is $c_1 u_1 + c_2 u_2 + c_3 u_3 + \dots + c_n u_n$ where $c_i \in \mathbb{F}$.

For each c_i we have p choices and the choice of c_i does not depend on the choice of c_j for $i \neq j$.

Hence $|\mathbb{V}| = p^n$.

Problem 0.0.12: Check whether vector space \mathbb{R} (set of real numbers) over the field \mathbb{Q} (set of rational numbers) is infinite dimensional or finite dimensional.

Problem 0.0.12: Check whether vector space \mathbb{R} (set of real numbers) over the field \mathbb{Q} (set of rational numbers) is infinite dimensional or finite dimensional.

Transcendental Number: A real number α is called transcendental number if α is not a root of any non-zero polynomial with rational coefficients.

Problem 0.0.12: Check whether vector space \mathbb{R} (set of real numbers) over the field \mathbb{Q} (set of rational numbers) is infinite dimensional or finite dimensional.

Transcendental Number: A real number α is called transcendental number if α is not a root of any non-zero polynomial with rational coefficients.

Example: π, e are examples of transcendental number. There are infinite many transcendental number.

Problem 0.0.12: Check whether vector space \mathbb{R} (set of real numbers) over the field \mathbb{Q} (set of rational numbers) is infinite dimensional or finite dimensional.

Transcendental Number: A real number α is called transcendental number if α is not a root of any non-zero polynomial with rational coefficients.

Example: π, e are examples of transcendental number. There are infinite many transcendental number.

Answer: To show $\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{Q})$ is infinite dimensional.

Problem 0.0.12: Check whether vector space \mathbb{R} (set of real numbers) over the field \mathbb{Q} (set of rational numbers) is infinite dimensional or finite dimensional.

Transcendental Number: A real number α is called transcendental number if α is not a root of any non-zero polynomial with rational coefficients.

Example: π, e are examples of transcendental number. There are infinite many transcendental number.

Answer: To show $\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{Q})$ is infinite dimensional.

If we are able to show that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a LI subset of $\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{Q})$ containing $n + 1$ elements. Then we are done.

Problem 0.0.12: Check whether vector space \mathbb{R} (set of real numbers) over the field \mathbb{Q} (set of rational numbers) is infinite dimensional or finite dimensional.

Transcendental Number: A real number α is called transcendental number if α is not a root of any non-zero polynomial with rational coefficients.

Example: π, e are examples of transcendental number. There are infinite many transcendental number.

Answer: To show $\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{Q})$ is infinite dimensional.

If we are able to show that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a LI subset of $\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{Q})$ containing $n + 1$ elements. Then we are done.

Let α be a transcendental number. To show that $\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3, \dots, \alpha^n\}$ is LI.

Take $a_0 + a_1\alpha + a_2\alpha^2 + \cdots + a_n\alpha^n = 0$.

Take $a_0 + a_1\alpha + a_2\alpha^2 + \cdots + a_n\alpha^n = 0$.

We never have non-trivial solution of this equation as α is transcendental.

Take $a_0 + a_1\alpha + a_2\alpha^2 + \cdots + a_n\alpha^n = 0$.

We never have non-trivial solution of this equation as α is transcendental.

Hence $\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3, \dots, \alpha^n\}$ is LI. For each n we have a LI set of $n + 1$ vectors.

Take $a_0 + a_1\alpha + a_2\alpha^2 + \cdots + a_n\alpha^n = 0$.

We never have non-trivial solution of this equation as α is transcendental.

Hence $\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3, \dots, \alpha^n\}$ is LI. For each n we have a LI set of $n + 1$ vectors.

Therefore $\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{Q})$ is infinite dimensional.

Problem 0.0.17: If S and T are two subspaces of a vector space having a common complement set W , does it follow that $S = T$?

Problem 0.0.17: If S and T are two subspaces of a vector space having a common complement set W , does it follow that $S = T$?

Answer: No.

Problem 0.0.17: If S and T are two subspaces of a vector space having a common complement set W , does it follow that $S = T$?

Answer: No.

Consider $\mathbb{R}^2(\mathbb{R})$. Take $S = \{(x, 0) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $T = \{(0, y) : y \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Problem 0.0.17: If S and T are two subspaces of a vector spaces having a common complement set W , does it follow that $S = T$?

Answer: No.

Consider $\mathbb{R}^2(\mathbb{R})$. Take $S = \{(x, 0) : x \in R\}$ and $T = \{(0, y) : y \in R\}$.

Consider $W = \text{ls}(\{(1, 1)\})$. It is easy to check W is complement of S and T . But $S \neq T$.

Problem 0.0.19: Show that a non-trivial subspace S of a finite dimensional vector space \mathbb{V} has two virtually disjoint complements iff $\dim(S) \geq \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$.

Problem 0.0.19: Show that a non-trivial subspace S of a finite dimensional vector space \mathbb{V} has two virtually disjoint complements iff $\dim(S) \geq \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$.

Answer: We first assume that $\dim(S) \geq \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$.

Problem 0.0.19: Show that a non-trivial subspace S of a finite dimensional vector space \mathbb{V} has two virtually disjoint complements iff $\dim(S) \geq \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$.

Answer: We first assume that $\dim(S) \geq \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$.

To show S has two virtually disjoint complements.

Problem 0.0.19: Show that a non-trivial subspace S of a finite dimensional vector space \mathbb{V} has two virtually disjoint complements iff $\dim(S) \geq \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$.

Answer: We first assume that $\dim(S) \geq \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$.

To show S has two virtually disjoint complements.

Let $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = n$ and $\dim(S) = k$. Then $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$.

Problem 0.0.19: Show that a non-trivial subspace S of a finite dimensional vector space \mathbb{V} has two virtually disjoint complements iff $\dim(S) \geq \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$.

Answer: We first assume that $\dim(S) \geq \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$.

To show S has two virtually disjoint complements.

Let $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = n$ and $\dim(S) = k$. Then $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$.

Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be a basis of S . We extend it to basis of \mathbb{V} that is $\{x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

Problem 0.0.19: Show that a non-trivial subspace S of a finite dimensional vector space \mathbb{V} has two virtually disjoint complements iff $\dim(S) \geq \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$.

Answer: We first assume that $\dim(S) \geq \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$.

To show S has two virtually disjoint complements.

Let $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = n$ and $\dim(S) = k$. Then $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$.

Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be a basis of S . We extend it to basis of \mathbb{V} that is $\{x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

Take $S_1 = \text{ls}(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)$. Then S_1 is a complement of S .

Problem 0.0.19: Show that a non-trivial subspace S of a finite dimensional vector space \mathbb{V} has two virtually disjoint complements iff $\dim(S) \geq \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$.

Answer: We first assume that $\dim(S) \geq \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$.

To show S has two virtually disjoint complements.

Let $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = n$ and $\dim(S) = k$. Then $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$.

Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be a basis of S . We extend it to basis of \mathbb{V} that is $\{x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

Take $S_1 = \text{ls}(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)$. Then S_1 is a complement of S .

Consider $\{x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1} + x_1, x_{k+2} + x_2, \dots, x_n + x_{n-k}\}$,. This is possible as $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$.

Problem 0.0.19: Show that a non-trivial subspace S of a finite dimensional vector space \mathbb{V} has two virtually disjoint complements iff $\dim(S) \geq \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$.

Answer: We first assume that $\dim(S) \geq \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$.

To show S has two virtually disjoint complements.

Let $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = n$ and $\dim(S) = k$. Then $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$.

Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be a basis of S . We extend it to basis of \mathbb{V} that is $\{x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

Take $S_1 = \text{ls}(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)$. Then S_1 is a complement of S .

Consider $\{x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1} + x_1, x_{k+2} + x_2, \dots, x_n + x_{n-k}\}$,. This is possible as $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$.

To show this set is basis of \mathbb{V} .

Take $S_2 = \text{ls}(\{x_{k+1} + x_1, x_{k+2} + x_2, \dots, x_n + x_{n-k}\})$. This is also a complement of S .

Take $S_2 = \text{ls}(\{x_{k+1} + x_1, x_{k+2} + x_2, \dots, x_n + x_{n-k}\})$. This is also a complement of S .

To show $S_1 \cap S_2 = \{0\}$.

Take $S_2 = \text{ls}(\{x_{k+1} + x_1, x_{k+2} + x_2, \dots, x_n + x_{n-k}\})$. This is also a complement of S .

To show $S_1 \cap S_2 = \{0\}$.

Let $x \in S_1 \cap S_2 = \{0\}$. Then $x \in S_1$ and $x \in S_2$.

Take $S_2 = \text{ls}(\{x_{k+1} + x_1, x_{k+2} + x_2, \dots, x_n + x_{n-k}\})$. This is also a complement of S .

To show $S_1 \cap S_2 = \{0\}$.

Let $x \in S_1 \cap S_2 = \{0\}$. Then $x \in S_1$ and $x \in S_2$.

$x = c_1 x_{k+1} + c_2 x_{k+2} + \dots + c_{n-k} x_n$ and

Take $S_2 = \text{ls}(\{x_{k+1} + x_1, x_{k+2} + x_2, \dots, x_n + x_{n-k}\})$. This is also a complement of S .

To show $S_1 \cap S_2 = \{0\}$.

Let $x \in S_1 \cap S_2 = \{0\}$. Then $x \in S_1$ and $x \in S_2$.

$$x = c_1 x_{k+1} + c_2 x_{k+2} + \dots + c_{n-k} x_n \text{ and}$$

$$x = b_1(x_{k+1} + x_1) + b_2(x_{k+2} + x_2) + \dots + b_{n-k}(x_n + x_{n-k})$$

Take $S_2 = \text{ls}(\{x_{k+1} + x_1, x_{k+2} + x_2, \dots, x_n + x_{n-k}\})$. This is also a complement of S .

To show $S_1 \cap S_2 = \{0\}$.

Let $x \in S_1 \cap S_2 = \{0\}$. Then $x \in S_1$ and $x \in S_2$.

$$x = c_1 x_{k+1} + c_2 x_{k+2} + \dots + c_{n-k} x_n \text{ and}$$

$$x = b_1(x_{k+1} + x_1) + b_2(x_{k+2} + x_2) + \dots + b_{n-k}(x_n + x_{n-k})$$

$$b_1 x_1 + \dots + b_{n-k} x_{n-k} + (b_1 - c_1)x_{k+1} + \dots + (b_{n-k} - c_{n-k})x_n = 0$$

Take $S_2 = \text{ls}(\{x_{k+1} + x_1, x_{k+2} + x_2, \dots, x_n + x_{n-k}\})$. This is also a complement of S .

To show $S_1 \cap S_2 = \{0\}$.

Let $x \in S_1 \cap S_2 = \{0\}$. Then $x \in S_1$ and $x \in S_2$.

$$x = c_1 x_{k+1} + c_2 x_{k+2} + \dots + c_{n-k} x_n \text{ and}$$

$$x = b_1(x_{k+1} + x_1) + b_2(x_{k+2} + x_2) + \dots + b_{n-k}(x_n + x_{n-k})$$

$$b_1 x_1 + \dots + b_{n-k} x_{n-k} + (b_1 - c_1)x_{k+1} + \dots + (b_{n-k} - c_{n-k})x_n = 0$$

$$\{x_1, \dots, x_{n-k}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n\} \text{ is LI}$$

Take $S_2 = \text{ls}(\{x_{k+1} + x_1, x_{k+2} + x_2, \dots, x_n + x_{n-k}\})$. This is also a complement of S .

To show $S_1 \cap S_2 = \{0\}$.

Let $x \in S_1 \cap S_2 = \{0\}$. Then $x \in S_1$ and $x \in S_2$.

$$x = c_1 x_{k+1} + c_2 x_{k+2} + \dots + c_{n-k} x_n \text{ and}$$

$$x = b_1(x_{k+1} + x_1) + b_2(x_{k+2} + x_2) + \dots + b_{n-k}(x_n + x_{n-k})$$

$$b_1 x_1 + \dots + b_{n-k} x_{n-k} + (b_1 - c_1)x_{k+1} + \dots + (b_{n-k} - c_{n-k})x_n = 0$$

$\{x_1, \dots, x_{n-k}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ is LI

Then $b_i = c_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - k$. Hence $x = 0$.

We now assume that S has two virtually disjoint complements.

We now assume that S has two virtually disjoint complements.

To show $\dim(S) \geq \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$.

We now assume that S has two virtually disjoint complements.

To show $\dim(S) \geq \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$.

Suppose that $\dim(S) < \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$. Let $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = n$ and $\dim(S) = k$.

We now assume that S has two virtually disjoint complements.

To show $\dim(S) \geq \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$.

Suppose that $\dim(S) < \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$. Let $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = n$ and $\dim(S) = k$.

Let S_1 and S_2 be two virtually disjoint complements. Then $\dim(S_1) = n - k = \dim(S_2)$.

We now assume that S has two virtually disjoint complements.

To show $\dim(S) \geq \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$.

Suppose that $\dim(S) < \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$. Let $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = n$ and $\dim(S) = k$.

Let S_1 and S_2 be two virtually disjoint complements. Then $\dim(S_1) = n - k = \dim(S_2)$.

$\dim(S_1 + S_2) = \dim(S_1) + \dim(S_2) = 2(n - k) > 2(n - \frac{n}{2}) = n$, a contradiction.

We now assume that S has two virtually disjoint complements.

To show $\dim(S) \geq \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$.

Suppose that $\dim(S) < \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$. Let $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = n$ and $\dim(S) = k$.

Let S_1 and S_2 be two virtually disjoint complements. Then $\dim(S_1) = n - k = \dim(S_2)$.

$\dim(S_1 + S_2) = \dim(S_1) + \dim(S_2) = 2(n - k) > 2(n - \frac{n}{2}) = n$, a contradiction.

Hence $\dim(S) \geq \frac{\dim(\mathbb{V})}{2}$.