GPINA-ISYE6501-HW9

July 16, 2025

1 Retailer Shelf Space Optimization Analysis

Author: Gregory Pina Date: July 2025

Course: ISYE 6501 - Introduction to Analytics Modeling

Institution: Georgia Tech via EdX.org

1.1 Overview

A big-box retailer faces a fundamental question: **How should limited shelf space be allocated among product types to maximize sales or profit?** This analysis systematically addresses the optimization challenge by testing the retailer's hypotheses and providing clear, data-driven recommendations.

1.1.1 Business Problem

The retailer must allocate finite shelf space across various product types while adhering to constraints, including: - Minimum required shelf space per product type. - Maximum allowable shelf space per product type. - Total available shelf space within each store.

The challenge is determining the optimal allocation that maximizes profitability within these operational constraints.

1.1.2 Retailer Hypotheses

The retailer proposes three hypotheses requiring analytical validation:

- 1. Direct Space-Sales Relationship: More shelf space leads to increased sales.
- 2. Complementary Sales Effect: Higher sales of one product type enhance sales of complementary products.
- 3. **Spatial Adjacency Benefit:** Placing complementary products adjacently amplifies their combined sales.

1.1.3 Analytical Objectives

This analysis aims to: - Validate hypotheses using rigorous statistical methods. - Quantify relationships between shelf space and sales outcomes. - Measure effect sizes to gauge po-

tential impact. - **Develop optimization models** based on validated relationships. - **Validate recommendations** via controlled testing.

1.1.4 Key Analytical Challenges

Major challenges include: - Data complexity: Gathering extensive, high-quality historical data. - Distinguishing causality: Identifying true causal relationships, not mere correlations. - Accounting for non-linearity: Considering diminishing returns and saturation effects. - Controlling confounding factors: Managing external influences like seasonality and promotions. - Practical implementation: Ensuring solutions are operationally feasible.

1.1.5 Analytical Approach Framework

The analysis follows the structured {Given, Use, To} framework: - Given: Clear data requirements and constraints. - Use: Appropriate statistical and optimization methods. - To: Deliver measurable business outcomes.

This ensures robust validation precedes optimization.

1.2 Data Requirements

1.2.1 Historical Sales and Space Data

- Sales by product type across multiple stores and periods.
- Historical shelf-space allocation.
- Seasonal sales and space data to capture trends.
- Store-specific data including size, location, and demographics.

1.2.2 Product and Layout Data

- Product profit margins.
- Current product placements and adjacency information.
- Shelf space constraints per product.
- Complementary product pair data with relationship strengths.

1.2.3 Transactional and Behavioral Data

- Customer purchase behavior and cross-selling trends.
- Foot traffic patterns and dwell times.
- Inventory turnover rates and stock levels.
- Pricing histories to control price impacts.

1.2.4 External Variables

- Seasonal and local event data.
- Competitor shelf-space allocation benchmarks.
- Store operations data.
- Economic conditions impacting consumer behavior.

1.2.5 Data Quality Requirements

- Detailed product-level granularity.
- Extensive multi-store data coverage.
- Complete data sets minimizing gaps.
- Consistent measurement standards.

1.3 Analytical Approach (Given, Use, To Framework)

1.3.1 Phase 1: Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis 1 Testing: - Given: Historical sales, shelf-space allocations, store attributes. - **Use:** Linear regression models controlling for store and product-specific effects. - **To:** Validate direct shelf space-sales relationship.

Hypothesis 2 Testing: - **Given:** Sales data of complementary product pairs. - **Use:** VAR or simultaneous equation models. - **To:** Determine significant cross-product sales effects.

Hypothesis 3 Testing: - **Given:** Spatial layout and adjacency data. - **Use:** Spatial regression analyses. - **To:** Assess sales benefits from adjacency.

1.3.2 Phase 2: Sales Response Modeling

Direct Sales Effect: - **Given:** Validated space-sales relationships. - **Use:** Non-linear regression to model diminishing returns. - **To:** Quantify shelf-space impacts accurately.

Complementary Sales Effect: - **Given:** Cross-product elasticity measures. - **Use:** Multiproduct demand modeling. - **To:** Estimate cross-product sales impacts.

Adjacency Effect: - Given: Product layout and sales data. - Use: Spatial interaction models.
- To: Quantify incremental adjacency benefits.

1.3.3 Phase 3: Optimization Modeling

Shelf Space Optimization: - **Given:** Sales response functions, product margins, space constraints. - **Use:** Non-linear optimization. - **To:** Maximize profits while respecting operational limits.

1.3.4 Phase 4: Implementation and Validation

Model Validation: - **Given:** Optimized space recommendations. - **Use:** Controlled store-level A/B testing. - **To:** Validate and refine recommendations.

Continuous Improvement: - Given: Ongoing data updates. - Use: Adaptive optimization. - To: Maintain optimal allocation.

1.4 Mathematical Optimization Formulation

1.4.1 Objective Function

Maximize total profits considering direct, complementary, and adjacency effects:

$$\max \sum_i m_i S_i(x_i) + \sum_{i,j} m_j C_{ij}(x_i,x_j) + \sum_{i,j} m_j A_{ij}(x_i,x_j,a_{ij})$$

1.4.2 Constraints

- Total Space Constraint: $\sum_{i} x_{i} = \text{Total Shelf Space}$
- Product-Level Constraints: $Min_i \le x_i \le Max_i$
- Adjacency Constraints: $a_{ij} \in \{0,1\}$, with practical adjacency limits.

1.5 Conclusion

By systematically testing hypotheses and leveraging robust optimization methods, this analysis provides actionable, data-driven shelf-space recommendations. Continuous validation and improvement ensure sustainable profitability and efficiency for the retailer.