



1 probability Model

According *Canonical polyadic(CP) Tensor Fatorization*, the approximation of the tensor $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M \times L}$ of mode 3 is given by

$$\mathcal{X} \approx \hat{\mathcal{X}} = \sum_{r=1}^R \mathbf{a}_{:,r} \circ \mathbf{b}_{:,r} \circ \mathbf{c}_{:,r}. \quad (1)$$

Assuming that each $\mathbf{a}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}$ has a Gaussian distribution with a mean $\mu_a \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}$ and a covariance matrix $\Sigma_a \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, the conditional distribution of \mathbf{a}_i is

$$p(\mathbf{a}_i | \mu_a, \Sigma_a) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{a}_i | \mu_a, \Sigma_a). \quad (2)$$

And the conjugate prior probability of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_a$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a$ is

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a) = p(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a)p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_a|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a) = NIW(\boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a|\boldsymbol{m}_0^a, \kappa_0^a, \nu_0^a, \boldsymbol{S}_0^a), \quad (3)$$

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_a|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_a|\boldsymbol{m}_0^a, \frac{1}{\kappa_0^a}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a), \quad (4)$$

$$p(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a) = IW(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a|\nu_0^a, \boldsymbol{S}_0^a). \quad (5)$$

Similarly, for $\boldsymbol{b}_j \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times 1}$, we have

$$p(\boldsymbol{b}_j|\boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{b}_j|\boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b) \quad (6)$$

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b) = NIW(\boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b|\boldsymbol{m}_0^\beta, \kappa_0^\beta, \nu_0^\beta, \boldsymbol{S}_0^\beta), \quad (7)$$

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_b|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_b|\boldsymbol{m}_0^\beta, \frac{1}{\kappa_0^\beta}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b), \quad (8)$$

$$p(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b) = IW(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b|\nu_0^\beta, \boldsymbol{S}_0^\beta), \quad (9)$$

and for $\boldsymbol{c}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times 1}$, we have

$$p(\boldsymbol{c}_k|\boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{c}_k|\boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c) \quad (10)$$

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c) = NIW(\boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c|\boldsymbol{m}_0^c, \kappa_0^c, \nu_0^c, \boldsymbol{S}_0^c), \quad (11)$$

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_c|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_c|\boldsymbol{m}_0^c, \frac{1}{\kappa_0^c}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c), \quad (12)$$

$$p(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c) = IW(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c|\nu_0^c, \boldsymbol{S}_0^c). \quad (13)$$

For each entry \hat{y}_{nml} of the tensor $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}$, we have the conditional distribution

$$p(\hat{y}_{nml}|\hat{x}_{nml}, \sigma^2) = \mathcal{N}(\hat{y}_{nml}|\hat{x}_{nml}, \sigma^2), \quad (14)$$

and \hat{x}_{nml} is the entry of $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$.

Further, for each entry y_{nml} of the tensor \mathcal{Y} , we have the conditional distribution

$$p(y_{nml}|\hat{y}_{nml} \text{ is observed}) = \theta; \quad (15)$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} p(\hat{x}_{nml} = h|\boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a, \boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b, \boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c) &= \frac{dF_{\hat{x}_{nml}}(h)}{dh} \\ &= \frac{\int_{(\boldsymbol{a}_n \odot \boldsymbol{b}_m \odot \boldsymbol{c}_l) \mathbf{1} \leq h} \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{a}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a) \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{b}_m|\boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b) \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{c}_l|\boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c) d\boldsymbol{a}_n d\boldsymbol{b}_m d\boldsymbol{c}_l}{dh} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& p(\hat{\mathcal{Y}}, \hat{\mathcal{X}}, \sigma^2, \boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a, \boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b, \boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c) \\
&= p(\hat{\mathcal{Y}}|\hat{\mathcal{X}})p(\hat{\mathcal{X}}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a, \boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b, \boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c)p(\sigma^2)p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a)p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b)p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c) \\
&= \prod_{n,m,l} \mathcal{N}(\hat{y}_{nml}|\hat{x}_{nml}, \sigma^2) \prod_{n,m,l} p(\hat{x}_{nml}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a, \boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b, \boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c) \text{Gam}(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}|a_0, \beta_0) \\
&\quad \times NIW(\boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a|\boldsymbol{m}_0^a, \kappa_0^a, \nu_0^a, \boldsymbol{S}_0^a) \\
&\quad \times NIW(\boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b|\boldsymbol{m}_0^\beta, \kappa_0^\beta, \nu_0^\beta, \boldsymbol{S}_0^\beta) \\
&\quad \times NIW(\boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c|\boldsymbol{m}_0^c, \kappa_0^c, \nu_0^c, \boldsymbol{S}_0^c)
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

May be wrong! (Analysis without the node \mathcal{Y})
Then, the joint distribution of the complete data is

$$\begin{aligned}
& p(\hat{\mathcal{Y}}, \hat{\mathcal{X}}, \sigma^2, \boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a, \boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b, \boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c) \\
&= p(\hat{\mathcal{Y}}, \{\mathbf{a}_i\}, \{\mathbf{b}_j\}, \{\mathbf{c}_k\}, \sigma^2, \boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a, \boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b, \boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c) \\
&= p(\hat{\mathcal{Y}}|\hat{\mathcal{X}}, \sigma^2)p(\sigma^2)p(\{\mathbf{a}_i\}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a)p(\{\mathbf{b}_j\}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b)p(\{\mathbf{c}_k\}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c)p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a)p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b)p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c) \\
&= \prod_{n,m,l} \mathcal{N}(\hat{y}_{nml}|\hat{x}_{nml}, \sigma^2) \text{Gam}(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}|a_0, \beta_0) \\
&\quad \times \prod_i \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{a}_i|\boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a) \prod_j \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{b}_j|\boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b) \prod_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c}_k|\boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c) \\
&\quad \times NIW(\boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a|\mathbf{m}_0^a, \kappa_0^a, \nu_0^a, \mathbf{S}_0^a) \\
&\quad \times NIW(\boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b|\mathbf{m}_0^b, \kappa_0^b, \nu_0^b, \mathbf{S}_0^b) \\
&\quad \times NIW(\boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c|\mathbf{m}_0^c, \kappa_0^c, \nu_0^c, \mathbf{S}_0^c)
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

For $\{\mathbf{a}_i\}$, the posterior probability is

$$\begin{aligned}
& p(\{\mathbf{a}_i\}|\hat{\mathcal{Y}}, \{\mathbf{b}_j\}, \{\mathbf{c}_k\}, \sigma^2, \boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a, \boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b, \boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c) \\
&= p(\{\mathbf{a}_i\}|\hat{\mathcal{Y}}, \{\mathbf{b}_j\}, \{\mathbf{c}_k\}, \sigma^2, \boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a) \\
&\propto \prod_{n,m,l} \mathcal{N}(\hat{y}_{nml}|\hat{x}_{nml}, \sigma^2) \prod_i \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{a}_i|\boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a)
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
& p(\{\mathbf{b}_j\}|\hat{\mathcal{Y}}, \{\mathbf{a}_i\}, \{\mathbf{c}_k\}, \sigma^2, \boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b) \\
&\propto \prod_{n,m,l} \mathcal{N}(\hat{y}_{nml}|\hat{x}_{nml}, \sigma^2) \prod_j \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{b}_j|\boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b)
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& p(\{\mathbf{c}_k\}|\hat{\mathcal{Y}}, \{\mathbf{a}_i\}, \{\mathbf{b}_j\}, \sigma^2, \boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c) \\
&\propto \prod_{n,m,l} \mathcal{N}(\hat{y}_{nml}|\hat{x}_{nml}, \sigma^2) \prod_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c}_k|\boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c)
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

The posterior probabilities of the other parameters are

$$p(\sigma^2|\hat{\mathcal{Y}}, \{\mathbf{a}_i\}, \{\mathbf{b}_j\}, \{\mathbf{c}_k\}) \propto \prod_{n,m,l} \mathcal{N}(\hat{y}_{nml}|\hat{x}_{nml}, \sigma^2) \text{Gam}(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}|a_0, \beta_0) \quad (22)$$

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a|\hat{\mathcal{Y}}, \{\mathbf{a}_i\}) \propto \prod_{n,m,l} \mathcal{N}(\hat{y}_{nml}|\hat{x}_{nml}, \sigma^2) \prod_i \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{a}_i|\boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a) \quad (23)$$

$$\times NIW(\boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a|\mathbf{m}_0^a, \kappa_0^a, \nu_0^a, \mathbf{S}_0^a) \quad (24)$$

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b|\hat{\mathcal{Y}}, \{\mathbf{b}_j\}) \propto \prod_{n,m,l} \mathcal{N}(\hat{y}_{nml}|\hat{x}_{nml}, \sigma^2) \prod_j \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{b}_j|\boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b) \quad (25)$$

$$\times NIW(\boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b|\mathbf{m}_0^\beta, \kappa_0^\beta, \nu_0^\beta, \mathbf{S}_0^\beta) \quad (26)$$

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c|\hat{\mathcal{Y}}, \{\mathbf{c}_k\}) \propto \prod_{n,m,l} \mathcal{N}(\hat{y}_{nml}|\hat{x}_{nml}, \sigma^2) \prod_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c}_k|\boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c) \quad (27)$$

$$\times NIW(\boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c|\mathbf{m}_0^c, \kappa_0^c, \nu_0^c, \mathbf{S}_0^c) \quad (28)$$

2 Inference using Ep method

$$\begin{aligned} q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \prod_n \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{a}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}_n^a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_n^a) \prod_m \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{b}_m|\boldsymbol{\mu}_m^b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_m^b) \prod_l \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c}_l|\boldsymbol{\mu}_l^c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_l^c) \\ &\times \text{Gam}(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}|a_0, \beta_0) \\ &\times NIW(\boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a|\mathbf{m}_0^a, \kappa_0^a, \nu_0^a, \mathbf{S}_0^a) \\ &\times NIW(\boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b|\mathbf{m}_0^\beta, \kappa_0^\beta, \nu_0^\beta, \mathbf{S}_0^\beta) \\ &\times NIW(\boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c|\mathbf{m}_0^c, \kappa_0^c, \nu_0^c, \mathbf{S}_0^c) \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Take \mathbf{a}_n for example,

$$\begin{aligned} q^{nml}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \prod_{n' \neq n} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{a}_{n'}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{n'}^a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n'}^a) \prod_{m' \neq m} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{b}_{m'}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{m'}^b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{m'}^b) \prod_{l' \neq l} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c}_{l'}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{l'}^c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{l'}^c) \\ &\times \text{Gam}(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}|a_0, \beta_0) \\ &\times NIW(\boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a|\mathbf{m}_0^a, \kappa_0^a, \nu_0^a, \mathbf{S}_0^a) \\ &\times NIW(\boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b|\mathbf{m}_0^\beta, \kappa_0^\beta, \nu_0^\beta, \mathbf{S}_0^\beta) \\ &\times NIW(\boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c|\mathbf{m}_0^c, \kappa_0^c, \nu_0^c, \mathbf{S}_0^c) \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{nml} &= \int f(\mathbf{a}_n, \mathbf{b}_m, \mathbf{c}_l) q^{\setminus nml}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) d\boldsymbol{\theta} \\
&= \int \mathcal{N}(y_{nml} | x_{nml}, \sigma^2) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{a}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{b}_m | \boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c}_l | \boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c) \\
&\quad \times \prod_{n' \neq n} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{a}_{n'} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_{n'}^a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n'}^a) \prod_{m' \neq m} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{b}_{m'} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_{m'}^b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{m'}^b) \prod_{l' \neq l} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c}_{l'} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_{l'}^c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{l'}^c) \\
&\quad \times \text{Gam}(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} | a_0, \beta_0) \\
&\quad \times NIW(\boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a | \mathbf{m}_0^a, \kappa_0^a, \nu_0^a, \mathbf{S}_0^a) \\
&\quad \times NIW(\boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b | \mathbf{m}_0^b, \kappa_0^b, \nu_0^b, \mathbf{S}_0^b) \\
&\quad \times NIW(\boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c | \mathbf{m}_0^c, \kappa_0^c, \nu_0^c, \mathbf{S}_0^c) \\
&\quad d\{\mathbf{a}_n\} d\{\mathbf{b}_m\} d\{\mathbf{c}_l\} d\frac{1}{\sigma^2} d\boldsymbol{\mu}_a d\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a d\boldsymbol{\mu}_b d\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b d\boldsymbol{\mu}_c d\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Split the integral into different terms.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{N}(y_{nml} | x_{nml}, \sigma^2) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{a}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_{nml} - \mathbf{a}_n(\mathbf{b}_m \odot \mathbf{c}_l)^T)^2\right) (2\pi)^{-\frac{R}{2}} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a|^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{a}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_n) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a^{-1} (\mathbf{a}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_n)^T\right) \\
&= (2\pi)^{-\frac{R+1}{2}} (\sigma)^{-1} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a|^{-1} \\
&\quad \times \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{a}_n(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(\mathbf{b}_m \odot \mathbf{c}_l)^T(\mathbf{b}_m \odot \mathbf{c}_l) + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a^{-1}) \mathbf{a}_n^T \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \mathbf{a}_n(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(\mathbf{b}_m \odot \mathbf{c}_l)^T y_{nml} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}^T) - (\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(\mathbf{b}_m \odot \mathbf{c}_l) y_{nml} + \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a^{-1}) \mathbf{a}_n^T \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} y_{nml}^2 + \boldsymbol{\mu}_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a \boldsymbol{\mu}_a^T\right] \\
&= (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a|^{-1} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}'|^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{a}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}', \boldsymbol{\Sigma}') \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} y_{nml}^2 + \boldsymbol{\mu}_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_a^T - \boldsymbol{\mu}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}'^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}'^T)\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where (assuming $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}'$ invertible)

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}' = (\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(\mathbf{b}_m \odot \mathbf{c}_l)^T(\mathbf{b}_m \odot \mathbf{c}_l) + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a^{-1})^{-1} \tag{33}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}' = (\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(\mathbf{b}_m \odot \mathbf{c}_l) y_{nml} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a^{-1}) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}' \tag{34}$$

For the exponential part, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\sigma^2} y_{nml}^2 + \boldsymbol{\mu}_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_a^T - \boldsymbol{\mu}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}'^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}'^T \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} y_{nml}^2 + \boldsymbol{\mu}_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_a^T \\
&- \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\mathbf{b}_m \odot \mathbf{c}_l) y_{nml} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a^{-1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\mathbf{b}_m \odot \mathbf{c}_l)^T (\mathbf{b}_m \odot \mathbf{c}_l) + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a^{-1} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\mathbf{b}_m \odot \mathbf{c}_l) y_{nml} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a^{-1} \right)^T \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} y_{nml}^2 + \boldsymbol{\mu}_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_a^T - \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{v} y_{nml} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a^{-1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a^{-1} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{v} y_{nml} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a^{-1} \right)^T
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{b}_m \odot \mathbf{c}_l \tag{36}$$

So far, the integral is intractable. Adopt some strategies to make approximations. Simplify the model, make \mathbf{a}_n , \mathbf{b}_m and \mathbf{c}_l have standard normal distributions, namely $\boldsymbol{\mu}_a = \boldsymbol{\mu}_b = \boldsymbol{\mu}_c = \mathbf{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c = \mathbf{I}$. More importantly, (improper description) when integrate out the concerned variable, assume the other related variables as observed, i.e. when integrate out the \mathbf{a}_n , assume \mathbf{b}_m , \mathbf{c}_l and σ are observed. Then when calculate the moments of \mathbf{a}_n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{nml} &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| I + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v} \right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\times \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} y_{nml}^2 - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{v} y_{nml} \left(I + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{v}^T y_{nml} \right) \right] \\
&\times \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{b}_m | \boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c}_l | \boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c) \text{Gam}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} | a_0, \beta_0\right) \\
&= (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} y_{nml}^2 (\sigma^2 + \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v})^{-1}\right) \\
&\times \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{b}_m | \boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c}_l | \boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c) \text{Gam}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} | a_0, \beta_0\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (\sigma^2 + \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} y_{nml}^2 (\sigma^2 + \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v})^{-1}\right) \\
&\times \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{b}_m | \boldsymbol{\mu}_b, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_b) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c}_l | \boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c) \text{Gam}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} | a_0, \beta_0\right) \\
&= \mathcal{N}(y_{nml} | 0, \sigma^2 + \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{b}_m | \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c}_l | \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}) \text{Gam}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} | \alpha_0, \beta_0\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

During the derivation, we use the *Woodbury Matrix Identity* (from right to left) which is

$$(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{UCV})^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{U} (\mathbf{C}^{-1} + \mathbf{V} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{U}) \mathbf{V} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \tag{38}$$

And the approximated variance and expectation of \mathbf{a}_n is

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}' = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{I} \right)^{-1} \tag{39}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}' = \frac{y_{nml}}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{v} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{I} \right)^{-1} \tag{40}$$

Similarly, when integrating out \mathbf{b}_m and \mathbf{c}_l ,

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{b}_m} = \mathbf{a}_n \odot \mathbf{c}_l \quad (41)$$

$$Z_{nml}^{\mathbf{b}_m} = \mathcal{N}(y_{nml}|0, \sigma^2 + \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{b}_m}^T \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{b}_m}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{a}_n|\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{c}_l|\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}) \text{Gam}(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}|\alpha_0, \beta_0) \quad (42)$$

$$\Sigma'_{\mathbf{b}_m} = (\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{b}_m}^T \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{b}_m} + \mathbf{I})^{-1} \quad (43)$$

$$\mu'_{\mathbf{b}_m} = \frac{y_{nml}}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{b}_m} (\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{b}_m}^T \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{b}_m} + \mathbf{I})^{-1} \quad (44)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{c}_l} = \mathbf{a}_n \odot \mathbf{b}_m \quad (45)$$

$$Z_{nml}^{\mathbf{c}_l} = \mathcal{N}(y_{nml}|0, \sigma^2 + \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{c}_l}^T \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{c}_l}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{a}_n|\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{b}_m|\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}) \text{Gam}(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}|\alpha_0, \beta_0) \quad (46)$$

$$\Sigma'_{\mathbf{c}_l} = (\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{c}_l}^T \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{c}_l} + \mathbf{I})^{-1} \quad (47)$$

$$\mu'_{\mathbf{c}_l} = \frac{y_{nml}}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{c}_l} (\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{c}_l}^T \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{c}_l} + \mathbf{I})^{-1} \quad (48)$$

When integrating out $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}$,

$$Z_{nml}^{\frac{1}{\sigma^2}} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}) \beta_0^{\alpha_0}}{\sqrt{2\pi} \Gamma(\alpha_0) [\beta_0 + \frac{1}{2} (y_{nml} - \mathbf{a}_n(\mathbf{b}_m \odot \mathbf{c}_l)^T)^2]^{\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}}} \quad (49)$$

$$\mu_{\frac{1}{\sigma^2}} = \frac{\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}}{\beta_0 + \frac{1}{2} (y_{nml} - \mathbf{a}_n(\mathbf{b}_m \odot \mathbf{c}_l)^T)^2} \quad (50)$$

$$\text{var}_{\frac{1}{\sigma^2}} = \frac{\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}}{[\beta_0 + \frac{1}{2} (y_{nml} - \mathbf{a}_n(\mathbf{b}_m \odot \mathbf{c}_l)^T)^2]^2} \quad (51)$$