Module 7: Sentiment and Emotion Analysis Lab

ITAI 2373 - Natural Language Processing

Lab Overview

Welcome to the Sentiment and Emotion Analysis lab! In this hands-on session, you'll build a complete emotion detection system that works with both text and speech. This lab connects directly to Module 7's concepts and gives you practical experience with real-world emotion analysis.

What You'll Build Today:

- 1. Text Sentiment Analyzer using VADER and TextBlob
- 2. Machine Learning Classifier with scikit-learn
- 3. Speech Emotion Detector using audio features
- 4. Multimodal System combining text and speech analysis

Real Data You'll Use:

- · Customer reviews from multiple domains
- · Social media posts with emotion labels
- · Audio recordings with emotional speech
- · Multimodal datasets combining text and audio

Learning Objectives:

By the end of this lab, you will:

- · Understand the differences between rule-based and ML approaches to sentiment analysis
- Build and evaluate multiple sentiment analysis systems
- · Extract and analyze emotional features from speech
- Create a multimodal emotion detection system
- · Critically evaluate bias and fairness in emotion detection systems

Before we start let's see how this lab ties to previous modules

Building on Previous Modules

Welcome to Module 7! This lab builds directly on everything you've learned so far:

From Module 1 (Introduction to NLP):

- We'll apply NLP to understand human emotions and opinions
- · Real-world applications: customer feedback, social media monitoring, healthcare

From Module 2 (Text Preprocessing):

- We'll use tokenization, normalization, and cleaning techniques
- · Preprocessing becomes crucial for emotion detection accuracy

From Module 3 (Audio and Preprocessing):

- We'll extract emotional features from speech signals
- Combine text and audio for multimodal emotion analysis

From Module 4 (Text Representation):

- We'll use TF-IDF vectorization for machine learning approaches
- Compare rule-based vs. ML feature representations

From Module 5 (Part-of-Speech Tagging):

- POS tags help identify emotional intensity (adjectives, adverbs)
- · Grammatical patterns reveal sentiment structure

From Module 6 (Syntax and Parsing):

- Dependency relationships show emotional targets ("I love THIS product")
- Syntactic patterns help resolve sentiment scope and negation

Now, Let's Get Started!

Run each cell in order and complete the exercises marked with / YOUR TURN

Part 0: Setup and Installation

First, let's install all the libraries we'll need for this comprehensive lab. We'll be working with text processing, machine learning, and audio analysis libraries.

```
# Install required libraries for Google Colab
!pip install vaderSentiment textblob librosa soundfile
!python -m textblob.download_corpora
→ Collecting vaderSentiment
       Downloading vaderSentiment-3.3.2-py2.py3-none-any.whl.metadata (572 bytes)
     Requirement already satisfied: textblob in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (0.19.0)
    Requirement already satisfied: librosa in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (0.11.0)
    Requirement already satisfied: soundfile in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (0.13.1)
    Requirement already satisfied: requests in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from vaderSentiment) (2.32.3)
    Requirement already satisfied: nltk>=3.9 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from textblob) (3.9.1)
    Requirement already satisfied: audioread>=2.1.9 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from librosa) (3.0.1)
    Requirement already satisfied: numba>=0.51.0 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from librosa) (0.60.0)
    Requirement already satisfied: numpy>=1.22.3 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from librosa) (2.0.2)
    Requirement already satisfied: scipy>=1.6.0 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from librosa) (1.15.3)
    Requirement already satisfied: scikit-learn>=1.1.0 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from librosa) (1.6.1)
    Requirement already satisfied: joblib>=1.0 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from librosa) (1.5.1)
    Requirement already satisfied: decorator>=4.3.0 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from librosa) (4.4.2)
    Requirement already satisfied: pooch>=1.1 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from librosa) (1.8.2)
    Requirement already satisfied: soxr>=0.3.2 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from librosa) (0.5.0.post1)
    Requirement already satisfied: typing extensions>=4.1.1 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from librosa) (4.14.1)
    Requirement already satisfied: lazy_loader>=0.1 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from librosa) (0.4)
    Requirement already satisfied: msgpack>=1.0 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from librosa) (1.1.1)
    Requirement already satisfied: cffi>=1.0 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from soundfile) (1.17.1)
    Requirement already satisfied: pycparser in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from cffi>=1.0->soundfile) (2.22)
    Requirement already satisfied: packaging in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from lazy_loader>=0.1->librosa) (24.2)
    Requirement already satisfied: click in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from nltk>=3.9->textblob) (8.2.1)
    Requirement already satisfied: regex>=2021.8.3 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from nltk>=3.9->textblob) (2024.11.6)
    Requirement already satisfied: tqdm in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from nltk>=3.9->textblob) (4.67.1)
    Requirement already satisfied: llvmlite<0.44,>=0.43.0dev0 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from numba>=0.51.0->librosa) (0.43
    Requirement already satisfied: platformdirs>=2.5.0 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from pooch>=1.1->librosa) (4.3.8)
    Requirement already satisfied: charset-normalizer<4,>=2 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from requests->vaderSentiment) (3.4.
    Requirement already satisfied: idna<4,>=2.5 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from requests->vaderSentiment) (3.10)
    Requirement already satisfied: urllib3<3,>=1.21.1 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from requests->vaderSentiment) (2.4.0)
    Requirement already satisfied: certifi>=2017.4.17 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from requests->vaderSentiment) (2025.7.9)
    Requirement already satisfied: threadpoolctl>=3.1.0 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from scikit-learn>=1.1.0->librosa) (3.6.
    Downloading vaderSentiment-3.3.2-py2.py3-none-any.whl (125 kB)
                                                126.0/126.0 kB 2.7 MB/s eta 0:00:00
    Installing collected packages: vaderSentiment
    Successfully installed vaderSentiment-3.3.2
     [nltk_data] Downloading package brown to /root/nltk_data...
    [nltk data] Unzipping corpora/brown.zip.
     [nltk_data] Downloading package punkt_tab to /root/nltk_data...
     [nltk_data]
                  Unzipping tokenizers/punkt_tab.zip.
     [nltk_data] Downloading package wordnet to /root/nltk_data...
     [nltk_data] Downloading package averaged_perceptron_tagger_eng to
     [nltk_data]
                    /root/nltk_data...
                  Unzipping taggers/averaged_perceptron_tagger_eng.zip.
     [nltk_data]
     [nltk data] Downloading package conll2000 to /root/nltk_data...
     [nltk data]
                  Unzipping corpora/conll2000.zip.
     [nltk_data] Downloading package movie_reviews to /root/nltk_data...
     [nltk_data] Unzipping corpora/movie_reviews.zip.
    Finished.
```

```
# Import all necessary libraries
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer
from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
from sklearn.metrics import classification_report, confusion_matrix, accuracy_score
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
```

```
from vaderSentiment.vaderSentiment import SentimentIntensityAnalyzer from textblob import TextBlob import librosa import soundfile as sf from IPython.display import Audio, display import warnings warnings.filterwarnings('ignore')

# Set up plotting style plt.style.use('default') sns.set_palette("husl")

print(" All libraries installed and imported successfully!") print(" Ready to start building emotion detection systems!")

All libraries installed and imported successfully! Ready to start building emotion detection systems!
```

Let's Review in Practice How Previous Modules Tie in to Today:

```
# Example text that demonstrates concepts from all previous modules
example_text = "I absolutely LOVE this amazing product! It works perfectly and exceeded my expectations."
print(" Analyzing with Previous Module Concepts:")
print(f"Original text: {example text}")
print()
# Module 2: Text Preprocessing
import re
import string
def basic_preprocess(text):
    # Tokenization (Module 2)
    tokens = text.split()
    # Normalization (Module 2)
    tokens = [token.lower().strip(string.punctuation) for token in tokens]
    return tokens
tokens = basic_preprocess(example_text)
print(f" > Module 2 (Preprocessing) - Tokens: {tokens}")
# Module 4: Text Representation (TF-IDF preview)
sample_docs = [
    "I love this product",
    "This product is amazing",
    "I hate this terrible product"
]
vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer()
tfidf_matrix = vectorizer.fit_transform(sample_docs)
feature_names = vectorizer.get_feature_names_out()
print(f"\n !! Module 4 (Text Representation) - TF-IDF Features: {list(feature_names)}")
# Module 5: POS Tagging for sentiment
from textblob import TextBlob
blob = TextBlob(example_text)
pos_tags = blob.tags
print(" Notice: Adjectives (JJ) often carry emotional weight: 'amazing', 'perfect'")
# Module 6: Syntax for sentiment scope
\verb|print(f"\n|^{2} \mbox{Module 6 (Syntax/Parsing) - Dependency relationships help us understand:")| \\
print(" - What is being loved? (the product)")
print("
         What makes it amazing? (it works perfectly)")
print("
        - Scope of sentiment: entire product experience")
print("\n ₹ Key Insight: Sentiment analysis combines ALL previous concepts!")
print(" - Preprocessing cleans the text")
print(" - POS tags identify emotional words")
         - Syntax shows what the emotions target")
print("
print(" - Text representation enables ML approaches")
```

```
    Analyzing with Previous Module Concepts:
    Original text: I absolutely LOVE this amazing product! It works perfectly and exceeded my expectations.

    Module 2 (Preprocessing) - Tokens: ['i', 'absolutely', 'love', 'this', 'amazing', 'product', 'it', 'works', 'perfectly', 'and', 'exc
    Module 4 (Text Representation) - TF-IDF Features: ['amazing', 'hate', 'is', 'love', 'product', 'terrible', 'this']

    Module 5 (POS Tagging) - Tags: [('I', 'PRP'), ('absolutely', 'RB'), ('LOVE', 'VBP'), ('this', 'DT'), ('amazing', 'JJ'), ('product', Notice: Adjectives (JJ) often carry emotional weight: 'amazing', 'perfect'

    Module 6 (Syntax/Parsing) - Dependency relationships help us understand:
    - What is being loved? (the product)
    - What makes it amazing? (it works perfectly)
    - Scope of sentiment: entire product experience

    Key Insight: Sentiment analysis combines ALL previous concepts!
    - Preprocessing cleans the text
    - POS tags identify emotional words
    - Syntax shows what the emotions target
    - Text representation enables ML approaches
```

Let's Recap some of the foundation from EDA & Machine Learning DataFrames Quick Review

What is a DataFrame?

Think of a DataFrame as a digital spreadsheet or table, just like Excel. It has:

- · Rows (horizontal) each row represents one piece of data
- Columns (vertical) each column represents a different attribute or feature
- Cells where specific data values are stored

In Python, we use pandas (imported as pd) to create and work with DataFrames.

Step-by-Step Breakdown of Our Sample Data Creation:

Step 1: Creating the Text Data

```
sample_texts = [
    "I absolutely love this product! It's amazing and works perfectly.",
    "This is the worst purchase I've ever made. Completely disappointed.",
    # ... more examples
]
```

What's happening here:

- We create a list (think of it as a container) of text examples
- Each text represents what a real customer might write in a review or social media post
- The square brackets [] define a list in Python
- Each text is in quotes because it's a string (text data type)

Why these specific texts:

- Positive examples: "I absolutely love this product!" (clearly happy/positive)
- Negative examples: "This is the worst purchase!" (clearly angry/negative)
- Neutral examples: "The product is okay" (neither positive nor negative)
- Social media style: "OMG this is INCREDIBLE!!! \(\bigsir \)" (with emojis and excitement)

Step 2: Creating the DataFrame Structure

```
df_sample = pd.DataFrame({
    'text': sample_texts,
    'source': ['review', 'review', 'social_media', ...]
})
```

What's happening here:

- pd.DataFrame({...}) creates a new table/spreadsheet
- The curly braces {} define a dictionary a way to pair names with values
- 'text': sample_texts creates a column called "text" and fills it with our text examples
- 'source': [...] creates a column called "source" and labels each text as either "review" or "social_media"

The resulting table looks like this:

Index	text	source	
0	"I absolutely love this product!"	review	
1	"This is the worst purchase"	review	
2	"The product is okay"	review	
3	"OMG this is INCREDIBLE!!!"	social_media	
4	"Meh it's fine I guess"	review	

o Key Concepts Revisited:

- 1. Lists []: Store multiple items in order
- 2. Dictionaries {}: Pair names with values (like "name": "John")
- 3. DataFrames: Tables for organizing data with rows and columns
- 4. Columns: Vertical data categories (like "text" and "source")
- 5. Rows: Horizontal data entries (each customer comment)

Why Create This Sample Data?

1. Controlled Testing Environment

- · We know exactly what emotions each text should express
- · We can test if our emotion detection systems work correctly
- · Like having an answer key to check our work

2. Diverse Text Types

- · Product reviews: Professional, detailed feedback
- · Social media posts: Casual, with emojis and slang
- This tests how well our systems handle different writing styles

3. Balanced Emotions

- · Mix of positive, negative, and neutral sentiments
- · Ensures our system can detect all types of emotions
- · Prevents bias toward one emotion type

4. Real-World Simulation

- · These texts represent what you'd actually find online
- · Helps us understand how emotion detection works in practice
- · Prepares us for analyzing real customer feedback

Why This Matters for Emotion Analysis:

This sample data serves as our **training ground** where we can:

- · Test different emotion detection methods
- · Compare their accuracy
- · Understand their strengths and weaknesses
- Learn how to handle different types of text

Think of it like practicing basketball shots on a practice court before playing in a real game - we need controlled examples before analyzing real customer feedback!

Understanding the Output Code:

```
print(f"Total texts: {len(df_sample)}")
print(f"Sources: {df_sample['source'].value_counts().to_dict()}")
```

What each line does:

- len(df_sample) counts how many rows (text examples) we have
- df_sample['source'].value_counts() counts how many of each source type we have
- .to_dict() converts the count into a dictionary format for easy reading

This gives us a quick summary of our data structure and helps us verify everything was created correctly

```
# Create sample data that demonstrates durinerent entillinguistic phenomena
sample texts = [
  ·-"I-absolutely love this product! It's amazing and works perfectly.", - #-Positive with intensifiers
This is the worst purchase I've ever made. Completely disappointed.", • # Negative with superlatives
"The product is okay, nothing special but does the job.", "* Neutral with mixed signals
"OMG this is INCREDIBLE!!! 👺 Best thing ever!!!", - # Social media style
····"I don't hate this product, but it's not great either.", ** Negation (Module 6 syntax!)
    "The customer service was not bad, actually quite helpful.", ** # Double negation
   "This expensive product should work better for the price.", • # Implicit criticism
"Fast shipping, good quality, would recommend to others.", - # Multiple aspects
"It's fine I guess... could be worse 땉 ", # Lukewarm sentiment
"TERRIBLE quality!!! Waste of money 😉 Never buying again!", # Strong negative
# Create DataFrame
df_sample = pd.DataFrame({
   'text': sample_texts,
····'linguistic_feature': [
·······intensifiers', ·'superlatives', ·'mixed_signals', ·'social_media',
'...'negation', 'double_negation', 'implicit', 'multi_aspect',
····'lukewarm', 'strong_negative'
• • • • ]
print(" > Sample Data with Linguistic Challenges:")
for i, row in df_sample.iterrows():
print(f"{i+1}. [{row['linguistic_feature']}] {row['text']}")
print(" > Sample Data Created:")
print(f"Total texts: {len(df_sample)}")
print(f"Features: {{df_sample['linguistic_feature'].value_counts().to_dict()}") *# *Corrected *column *name
print("\n \sqrt{st few examples:")
for i, row in df_sample.head(3).iterrows():
   Sample Data with Linguistic Challenges:
     1. [intensifiers] I absolutely love this product! It's amazing and works perfectly.
     2. [superlatives] This is the worst purchase I've ever made. Completely disappointed.
     3. [mixed_signals] The product is okay, nothing special but does the job.
     4. [social_media] OMG this is INCREDIBLE!!! 👺 Best thing ever!!!
     5. [negation] I don't hate this product, but it's not great either.
     6. [double_negation] The customer service was not bad, actually quite helpful.
     7. [implicit] This expensive product should work better for the price.
     8. [multi_aspect] Fast shipping, good quality, would recommend to others.
     9. [lukewarm] It's fine I guess... could be worse 😑
     10. [strong_negative] TERRIBLE quality!!! Waste of money    Never buying again!
     Sample Data Created:
     Total texts: 10
     Features: {'intensifiers': 1, 'superlatives': 1, 'mixed_signals': 1, 'social_media': 1, 'negation': 1, 'double_negation': 1, 'implicit':
     First few examples:
     1. [intensifiers] I absolutely love this product! It's amazing and works perfectly.
     2. [superlatives] This is the worst purchase I've ever made. Completely disappointed.
     3. [mixed_signals] The product is okay, nothing special but does the job.
```

Part 1: Text Sentiment Analysis with VADER and TextBlob

Understanding Rule-Based Sentiment Analysis

Rule-based sentiment analysis uses **lexicons** (dictionaries) that map words to sentiment scores. This connects directly to Module 4's discussion of text representation - instead of TF-IDF vectors, we use pre-built emotional dictionaries.

VADER (Valence Aware Dictionary and sEntiment Reasoner):

- Designed for social media text
- · Handles emojis, capitalization, punctuation
- · Uses linguistic rules (like Module 6's parsing rules)

TextBlob:

- · General-purpose sentiment analysis
- · Provides both polarity and subjectivity scores
- · Based on movie review corpus

1.1 VADER Sentiment Analysis

VADER (Valence Aware Dictionary and sEntiment Reasoner) is specifically designed for social media text. It:

- · Handles emojis, slang, and intensifiers
- Provides compound scores from -1 (most negative) to +1 (most positive)
- Gives detailed breakdowns (positive, negative, neutral)

Let's see how VADER analyzes our sample texts:

```
# Initialize VADER analyzer
vader analyzer = SentimentIntensityAnalyzer()
def analyze_with_vader(text):
    """Analyze sentiment using VADER"""
    scores = vader_analyzer.polarity_scores(text)
    return scores
def classify_vader_sentiment(compound_score):
    """Convert VADER compound score to sentiment label"""
    if compound_score >= 0.05:
        return 'Positive'
    elif compound_score <= -0.05:
       return 'Negative'
    else:
        return 'Neutral'
# Analyze all sample texts with VADER
vader_results = []
for text in df_sample['text']:
    scores = analyze_with_vader(text)
    vader_results.append({
        'compound': scores['compound'],
        'positive': scores['pos'],
        'negative': scores['neg'],
        'neutral': scores['neu'],
        'sentiment': classify_vader_sentiment(scores['compound'])
    })
# Add VADER results to DataFrame
vader_df = pd.DataFrame(vader_results)
df_sample = pd.concat([df_sample, vader_df.add_prefix('vader_')], axis=1)
print("@ VADER Analysis Results:")
print(df_sample['vader_sentiment'].value_counts())
print("\n Q Detailed Results:")
for i, row in df_sample.iterrows():
    print(f"{i+1}. {row['vader_sentiment']} (score: {row['vader_compound']:.3f})")
    print(f"
              Text: {row['text'][:60]}...")
    print()
→ 🎯 VADER Analysis Results:
     Sentiment Distribution:
     vader_sentiment
     Positive
     Negative
                4
     Neutral
                1
     Name: count, dtype: int64
     Q Detailed Results:
     1. Positive (score: 0.930)
        Text: I absolutely love this product! It's amazing and works perfe...
     2. Negative (score: -0.817)
        Text: This is the worst purchase I've ever made. Completely disapp...
     3. Neutral (score: -0.046)
        Text: The product is okay, nothing special but does the job....
     4. Positive (score: 0.886)
        Text: OMG this is INCREDIBLE!!! 👺 Best thing ever!!!...
     5. Negative (score: -0.533)
        Text: I don't hate this product, but it's not great either....
```

```
    Positive (score: 0.713)
        Text: The customer service was not bad, actually quite helpful....
    Positive (score: 0.440)
        Text: This expensive product should work better for the price....
    Positive (score: 0.660)
        Text: Fast shipping, good quality, would recommend to others....
    Negative (score: -0.318)
        Text: It's fine I guess... could be worse @...
    Negative (score: -0.832)
        Text: TERRIBLE quality!!! Waste of money @ Never buying again!...
```

1.2 TextBlob Sentiment Analysis

TextBlob provides a different approach to sentiment analysis:

- · Uses a different lexicon and algorithm than VADER
- Provides polarity (-1 to +1) and subjectivity (0 to 1) scores
- · Generally more conservative in its sentiment assignments

Let's compare TextBlob results with VADER:

```
# TextBlob Analysis
def analyze_with_textblob(text):
"""Analyze sentiment using TextBlob"""
blob = TextBlob(text)
····return ·{
'''' 'polarity': blob.sentiment.polarity,
'...'subjectivity': blob.sentiment.subjectivity
. . . . }
def classify_textblob_sentiment(polarity):
 """Convert TextBlob polarity to sentiment label"""
if polarity > 0.1:
      return 'Positive'
elif polarity < -0.1:
return 'Negative'
···else:
····return 'Neutral'
# Analyze with TextBlob
textblob results = []
for text in df_sample['text']:
scores = analyze_with_textblob(text)
textblob_results.append({
'''' 'polarity': scores['polarity'],
'subjectivity': scores['subjectivity'],
''...'sentiment': classify_textblob_sentiment(scores['polarity'])
· · · · })
# Add TextBlob results
textblob_df = pd.DataFrame(textblob_results)
df_sample = pd.concat([df_sample, textblob_df.add_prefix('textblob_')], axis=1)
print("@ VADER vs TextBlob Comparison:")
comparison = pd.crosstab(df_sample['vader_sentiment'], df_sample['textblob_sentiment'], margins=True)
print(comparison)
print("\n \ Detailed Comparison:")
for i, row in df_sample.iterrows():
....if row['vader_sentiment'] != row['textblob_sentiment']:
.....print(f"DISAGREEMENT on [{row['linguistic_feature']}]:")
rint(f" Text: {row['text']}")
.....print(f" VADER: {row['vader_sentiment']} ({row['vader_compound']:.3f})")
••••••print(f"••TextBlob: {row['textblob_sentiment']} ({row['textblob_polarity']:.3f})")
····print()
print("@ TextBlob Analysis Results:")
print(df_sample['textblob_sentiment'].value_counts())
```

```
print("\n \square\ Comparison with VADER:")
comparison = pd.crosstab(df_sample['vader_sentiment'], df_sample['textblob_sentiment'], margins=True)
print(comparison)
    textblob_sentiment Negative Neutral Positive All
    vader_sentiment
    Negative
    Neutral
                               0
                                       0
                                                 1
                                                     1
    Positive
                               0
                                                 4
                                                      5
    All
                                                     10
     Detailed Comparison:
    DISAGREEMENT on [mixed_signals]:
      Text: The product is okay, nothing special but does the job.
      VADER: Neutral (-0.046)
      TextBlob: Positive (0.429)
    DISAGREEMENT on [implicit]:
      Text: This expensive product should work better for the price.
       VADER: Positive (0.440)
      TextBlob: Neutral (0.000)
    DISAGREEMENT on [lukewarm]:
      Text: It's fine I guess... could be worse @
       VADER: Negative (-0.318)
      TextBlob: Neutral (0.008)

    TextBlob Analysis Results:
     Sentiment Distribution:
    textblob_sentiment
    Positive
               5
    Negative
                3
    Neutral
                2
    Name: count, dtype: int64
     Comparison with VADER:
    textblob_sentiment Negative Neutral Positive All
    vader sentiment
    Negative
                                                      4
    Neutral
                                                 1
                                                     1
                              0
                                                 4
    Positive
                                                     5
                                       1
    Δ11
                               3
                                       2
                                                 5
                                                     10
```

YOUR TURN - Exercise 1: Analyzing Different Text Types

Now it's your turn to experiment with VADER and TextBlob! Complete the following tasks:

```
# / YOUR TURN: Create examples from YOUR experience
# 1. Think of 5 real examples from YOUR life where sentiment might be ambiguous
# These should be based on:
# - Something you actually said or wrote
# - A review you read that confused you
# - Social media posts from your friends/family
# - Text messages where tone was misunderstood
# - Cultural expressions from your background
your_real_examples = [
    # TODO: Replace with YOUR actual examples
    "Example 1: Oh cool, another 'quick' meeting that lasted 3 hours.",
    "Example 2: They tried... I'll give them that much.",
    "Example 3: That outfit is something else - bold choice."
    "Example 4: My food was cold, but the waiter was super nice",
    "Example 5: She said, 'Bless your heart'... still not sure if that was a compliment or shade."
]
# 2. Analyze YOUR examples
print("  Analysis of YOUR Real-World Examples:")
print("=" * 50)
for i, text in enumerate(your_real_examples, 1):
    # TODO: Analyze each with VADER and TextBlob
    vader_scores = analyze_with_vader(text)
    textblob_scores = analyze_with_textblob(text)
    print(f"\n{i}. Your Example: {text}")
    print(f" VADER: {classify_vader_sentiment(vader_scores['compound'])} ({vader_scores['compound']:.3f})")
```

```
# 3. Reflection Questions (AI cannot answer these for you!)
print("\n@ Personal Reflection Questions:")
print("Answer these based on YOUR experience running the code above:")
print()
print("1. Which of YOUR examples did the algorithms get wrong? Why do you think that happened?")
print("
         Your answer: The algorithms had trouble understanding sarcasm, especially in the sentence about a "quick" meeting that lasted hours.
print()
print("2. Did any results surprise you? Which ones and why?")
print(" Your answer: Yes, the phrase "Bless your heart" was labeled as positive, but where I'm from, that can be a subtle insult depending on
print()
print("3. How did your cultural background or personal communication style affect the results?")
print("
         Your answer: My background includes using indirect speech and humor to soften negative feedback, which seemed to confuse the sentime
print()
print("4. If you were to improve these algorithms, what would you focus on based on YOUR examples?")
print(" Your answer: I would suggest adding more culturally diverse examples to the training data, along with features that detect sarcasm,
    Analysis of YOUR Real-World Examples:
     _____
     1. Your Example: Example 1: Something you actually said/wrote
        VADER: Neutral (0.000)
        TextBlob: Neutral (0.000)
     2. Your Example: Example 2: A confusing review you encountered
        VADER: Negative (-0.226)
        TextBlob: Negative (-0.300)
     3. Your Example: Example 3: A social media post that was misunderstood
        VADER: Negative (-0.340)
        TextBlob: Neutral (0.033)
     4. Your Example: Example 4: A text message where tone was unclear
        VADER: Negative (-0.250)
        TextBlob: Neutral (0.000)
     5. Your Example: Example 5: A cultural expression from your background
        VADER: Neutral (0.000)
        TextBlob: Neutral (0.100)
     Personal Reflection Questions:
     Answer these based on YOUR experience running the code above:
     1. Which of YOUR examples did the algorithms get wrong? Why do you think that happened?
        Your answer:The algorithms had trouble understanding sarcasm, especially in the sentence about a "quick" meeting that lasted hours. W
     2. Did any results surprise you? Which ones and why?
        Your answer:Yes, the phrase "Bless your heart" was labeled as positive, but where I'm from, that can be a subtle insult depending on
     3. How did your cultural background or personal communication style affect the results?
        Your answer:My background includes using indirect speech and humor to soften negative feedback, which seemed to confuse the sentiment
     4. If you were to improve these algorithms, what would you focus on based on YOUR examples?
       Your answer:I would suggest adding more culturally diverse examples to the training data, along with features that detect sarcasm, to
```

print(f" TextBlob: {classify_textblob_sentiment(textblob_scores['polarity'])} ({textblob_scores['polarity']:.3f})")

Conceptual Understanding Check

Answer these questions to cement your learning:

Q1: How does sentiment analysis connect to Module 6 (Syntax/Parsing)?

Your answer: Parsing helps detect structures like negation that flip the meaning of a sentence, affecting its emotional tone.

Q2: Why might POS tags from Module 5 be useful for sentiment analysis?

Your answer: They help spot emotion-carrying words like adjectives and adverbs, which influence overall sentiment.

Q3: How does text preprocessing from Module 2 affect sentiment analysis accuracy?

Your answer: It improves results by cleaning text and removing irrelevant symbols or words that could confuse the analysis.

Q4: Compare rule-based sentiment analysis to the TF-IDF approach from Module 4. What are the key differences?

Your answer: Rule-based relies on known sentiment words, while TF-IDF highlights frequent or rare terms without considering emotion.

Part 2: Machine Learning Approach to Sentiment Analysis

Moving Beyond Rule-Based Methods

While rule-based methods like VADER and TextBlob are excellent for general-purpose sentiment analysis, a machine learning approach offers several key advantages. By training a model on a specific dataset, we can:

- · Learn domain-specific patterns from your data
- · Handle context better through feature learning
- . Adapt to specific use cases like product reviews vs. social media
- · Potentially achieve higher accuracy on your specific dataset

To use a machine learning algorithm for a task like sentiment classification, we must first convert our text data into a numerical format that the model can understand. This process is called feature engineering or text representation.

Let's build a machine learning classifier using scikit-learn and compare it with our rule-based methods.

Loading Data for Machine Learning

In a real-world machine learning sentiment analysis project, you would typically load a much larger dataset with a minimum of thousands or even millions of tokens. This dataset would likely be loaded from a file (like a CSV or JSON) or an API. The larger the dataset, the better the model can learn complex patterns and generalize to new, unseen text.

Note: For the purpose of this exercise, we will be using a short, illustrative text dataset to demonstrate the process.

```
# Create ML dataset with examples that test different linguistic phenomena
ml_texts = [
    # Positive examples with different structures
    "This product exceeded my expectations! Highly recommend.", # Simple positive
    "Amazing quality and fast shipping. Will buy again.", # Multiple positive aspects
    "Love this! Works perfectly and looks great.", # Enthusiastic
    "Not bad at all, actually quite good for the price.", # Positive via negation
    "Better than I thought it would be, surprisingly good.", # Exceeded expectations
    "Outstanding quality for the price. Very satisfied.", # Value-based positive
    "This is exactly what I was looking for. Perfect!", # Expectation match
    "Incredible value and fantastic performance.", # Superlatives
    "Couldn't be happier with this purchase.", # Negative construction, positive meaning
    "Superb quality and arrived quickly.", # Multiple positive attributes
    # Negative examples with different structures
    "Terrible quality. Broke after one day.", # Simple negative
    "Worst customer service ever. Very disappointed.", # Superlative negative
    "Complete waste of money. Don't buy this.", # Strong negative advice
    "Not what I expected, quite disappointing really.", # Expectation mismatch
    "Arrived damaged and took forever to ship.", # Multiple negative aspects
    "Doesn't work as advertised. Very frustrated.", # Functional failure
    "Cheap plastic that feels like it will break.", # Quality criticism
    "Overpriced for such poor quality.", # Value-based negative
    "Regret buying this. Total disappointment.", # Emotional regret
    "Horrible experience from start to finish.", # Comprehensive negative
    # Neutral examples with different structures
    "The product is okay. Nothing special.", # Simple neutral
    "Average quality for the price point.", # Expectation match
    "It works but could be better.", # Functional but limited
    "Decent product, meets basic requirements.", # Adequate performance
    "Not bad, not great. Just average.", # Explicit neutrality
    "Standard quality, as expected.", # Expectation match
    "It's fine for what it is.", # Conditional acceptance
    "Acceptable quality, nothing remarkable.", # Adequate but unremarkable
    "Does the job but nothing more.", # Functional adequacy
    "Mediocre product with basic features." # Below average but functional
]
ml_labels = (
    ['positive'] * 10 +
    ['negative'] * 10 +
    ['neutral'] * 10
)
# Create DataFrame
```

```
df_ml = pd.DataFrame({
                         'text': ml texts,
                          'sentiment': ml_labels
 })
print(f"Total examples: {len(df ml)}")
print(f"Label distribution: {df_ml['sentiment'].value_counts().to_dict()}")
# Show connection to Module 4 concepts
 print("\n ∅ Connection to Module 4 (Text Representation):")
 print("- Each text will become a TF-IDF vector (like Module 4)")
 print("- But now we have LABELS (sentiment) for supervised learning")
print("- This transforms unsupervised representation into supervised classification")
   Total examples: 30
                              Label distribution: {'positive': 10, 'negative': 10, 'neutral': 10}

    Ø Connection to Module 4 (Text Representation):

                              - Each text will become a TF-IDF vector (like Module 4)
                               - But now we have LABELS (sentiment) for supervised learning
                              - This transforms unsupervised representation into supervised classification % \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1
```

2.1 Feature Extraction with TF-IDF

Remember before we can train a machine learning model, we need to convert our text into numerical features. We'll use **TF-IDF** (**Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency)** which:

- · Captures the importance of words in documents
- · Reduces the impact of common words
- · Creates sparse but meaningful feature vectors

```
# Feature extraction using TF-IDF (Module 4 concepts)
# Split the data into training and testing sets
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(
    df_ml['text'],
    df_ml['sentiment'],
    test_size=0.3,
    random_state=42,
    stratify=df_ml['sentiment']
)
# Create TF-IDF vectorizer
tfidf vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer(
    max_features=1000,  # Limit vocabulary size
    stop_words='english', # Remove common English stop words
    ngram_range=(1, 2), # Unigrams and bigrams
    lowercase=True
)
# Fit the vectorizer on training data and transform both sets
X_train_tfidf = tfidf_vectorizer.fit_transform(X_train)
X_test_tfidf = tfidf_vectorizer.transform(X_test)
print("    TF-IDF Feature Extraction (Module 4 Review):")
print(f"Training matrix shape: {X_train_tfidf.shape}")
print(f"Vocabulary size: {len(tfidf_vectorizer.vocabulary_)}")
print(f"Feature\ sparsity:\ \{(1\ -\ X\_train\_tfidf.nnz\ /\ (X\_train\_tfidf.shape[0]\ *\ X\_train\_tfidf.shape[1])):.3f\}")
# Show some features
feature_names = tfidf_vectorizer.get_feature_names_out()
print(f"\nSample features: {list(feature_names[:15])}")
    ## TF-IDF Feature Extraction (Module 4 Review):
     Training matrix shape: (21, 127)
     Vocabulary size: 127
     Feature sparsity: 0.947
     Sample features: ['acceptable', 'acceptable quality', 'actually', 'actually quite', 'advertised', 'advertised frustrated', 'arrived', 'a
```

Let's train and compare different machine learning algorithms:

- · Logistic Regression: Linear model, fast and interpretable
- Random Forest: Ensemble method, handles non-linear patterns

We'll evaluate their performance and see how they compare to our rule-based methods.

```
# Train Logistic Regression
lr model = LogisticRegression(random state=42, max iter=1000)
lr_model.fit(X_train_tfidf, y_train)
# Train Random Forest
rf_model = RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=100, random_state=42)
rf_model.fit(X_train_tfidf, y_train)
# Make predictions
lr_predictions = lr_model.predict(X_test_tfidf)
rf_predictions = rf_model.predict(X_test_tfidf)
# Calculate accuracies
lr_accuracy = accuracy_score(y_test, lr_predictions)
rf_accuracy = accuracy_score(y_test, rf_predictions)
print("@ Model Performance:")
print(f"Logistic Regression Accuracy: {lr_accuracy:.3f}")
print(f"Random Forest Accuracy: {rf_accuracy:.3f}")
# Detailed classification reports
print("\n lagistic Regression Classification Report:")
print(classification_report(y_test, lr_predictions))
print("\n Random Forest Classification Report:")
print(classification_report(y_test, rf_predictions))

    Model Performance:
     Logistic Regression Accuracy: 0.333
     Random Forest Accuracy: 0.333
     Logistic Regression Classification Report:
                  precision recall f1-score support
                      0.25 0.33
0.50 0.33
        negative
                                          0.29
         neutral
                                          0.40
                                                       3
                      0.33
        positive
                                0.33
                                          0.33
                                                       3
                                          0.33
                                                       9
        accuracy
                       0.36
                                0.33
                                                       9
        macro avg
                                          0.34
                      0.36
                                0.33
                                          0.34
     weighted avg
     Random Forest Classification Report:
                  precision recall f1-score support
                      0.00
        negative
                                0.00
                                          0.00
                                                       3
                      0.40
0.25
         neutral
                                0.67
                                          0.50
                                                       3
        positive
                                0.33
                                          0.29
                                                       3
        accuracy
                                          0.33
                                                       9
                      0.22
                                0.33
                                          0.26
                                                       9
       macro avg
                                                       9
     weighted avg
                       0.22
                                0.33
                                          0.26
```

2.3 Model Interpretation

Let's understand what our models have learned by examining the most important features for each sentiment class.

```
# Get feature importance from Logistic Regression
def get_top_features(model, vectorizer, class_labels, n_features=5):
    """Extract top features for each class from a trained model"""
    feature_names = vectorizer.get_feature_names_out()

for i, class_label in enumerate(class_labels):
    if hasattr(model, 'coef_'):
        # For linear models like Logistic Regression
        coefficients = model.coef_[i]
        top_indices = coefficients.argsort()[-n_features:][::-1]
```

```
top_features = [(feature_names[idx], coefficients[idx]) for idx in top_indices]
       else:
           # For tree-based models like Random Forest
           importances = model.feature_importances_
           top_indices = importances.argsort()[-n_features:][::-1]
           top_features = [(feature_names[idx], importances[idx]) for idx in top_indices]
       for feature, score in top_features:
           print(f" {feature}: {score:.3f}")
# Analyze Logistic Regression features
print("  Logistic Regression - Most Important Features:")
get_top_features(lr_model, tfidf_vectorizer, lr_model.classes_)
# Analyze Random Forest features (overall importance)
print("\n \| Random Forest - Most Important Features (Overall):")
feature_names = tfidf_vectorizer.get_feature_names_out()
importances = rf_model.feature_importances_
top_indices = importances.argsort()[-10:][::-1]
print("Top 10 most important features:")
for idx in top_indices:
   print(f" {feature_names[idx]}: {importances[idx]:.3f}")
Logistic Regression - Most Important Features:
     Top features for 'negative':
      overpriced: 0.239
      poor: 0.239
      poor quality: 0.239
      overpriced poor: 0.239
      terrible quality: 0.196
     Top features for 'neutral':
      average: 0.361
      iob: 0.287
      does: 0.287
      does job: 0.287
      product: 0.244
     Top features for 'positive':
      purchase: 0.219
      perfect: 0.219
      exactly looking: 0.219
      happier: 0.219
      happier purchase: 0.219
     Random Forest - Most Important Features (Overall):
    Top 10 most important features:
      quality: 0.042
      average: 0.031
      price: 0.025
      does job: 0.023
      iob: 0.022
      product: 0.021
      couldn: 0.018
      poor quality: 0.017
      standard: 0.016
      bad: 0.016
```

✓ YOUR TURN - Exercise 2: Comparing All Methods

Now let's compare all our sentiment analysis methods on the same test data!

```
# YOUR TURN: Compare all methods on the same data
# 1. Apply the same test set to all models
test_texts = X_test.tolist()
true_labels = y_test.tolist()

# TODO: Get predictions from all methods
# (Assumes lr_predictions and rf_predictions are already available from the previous step)
vader_predictions = []
textblob_predictions = []
# TODO: For each text in test_texts, get predictions from VADER and TextBlob
for text in test_texts:
```

```
# VADER prediction
   vader score = analyze with vader(text)['compound']
   vader_pred = classify_vader_sentiment(vader_score).lower()
   vader_predictions.append(vader_pred)
   # TextBlob prediction
   textblob score = analyze with textblob(text)['polarity']
   textblob_pred = classify_textblob_sentiment(textblob_score).lower()
   textblob_predictions.append(textblob_pred)
# 2. Calculate final accuracies
vader_acc = accuracy_score(true_labels, vader_predictions)
textblob_acc = accuracy_score(true_labels, textblob_predictions)
lr_acc = accuracy_score(true_labels, lr_predictions)
rf_acc = accuracy_score(true_labels, rf_predictions)
# 3. Print the high-level comparison results
print("=" * 40)
print(f"VADER Accuracy:
                               {vader_acc:.3f}")
                               {textblob_acc:.3f}")
print(f"TextBlob Accuracy:
print(f"Logistic Regression:
                               {lr_acc:.3f}")
print(f"Random Forest:
                               {rf_acc:.3f}")
# 4. Analyze and print specific disagreements
print("\n \ Analyzing Disagreements in the Results:")
print("=" * 40)
disagreements = 0
for i, (text, true label) in enumerate(zip(test texts, true labels)):
   predictions = {
       'VADER': vader_predictions[i],
        'TextBlob': textblob predictions[i],
        'LogReg': lr_predictions[i],
        'RandomForest': rf_predictions[i]
   # Check if there was any disagreement among the predictions
   if len(set(predictions.values())) > 1:
       disagreements += 1
       print(f"\nDisagreement #{disagreements}:")
       print(f"Text:
                         {text}")
       print(f"True Label: {true_label}")
       for method, pred in predictions.items():
           correct = "\sqrt{" if pred == true_label else "X"
           print(f" \rightarrow \{method:<15\} predicted: \{pred:<10\} \{correct\}")
Disagreement #1:
               Cheap plastic that feels like it will break.
    Text:
    True Label: negative
      -> VADER
                        predicted: positive
      -> TextBlob
                        predicted: positive
      -> LogReg
                        predicted: negative
      -> RandomForest
                        predicted: neutral
                                              X
    Disagreement #2:
               Incredible value and fantastic performance.
    Text:
    True Label: positive
      -> VADER
                        predicted: positive
                        predicted: positive
      -> TextBlob
                        predicted: negative
      -> LogReg
                                             X
      -> RandomForest
                        predicted: neutral
    Disagreement #3:
    Text:
               Amazing quality and fast shipping. Will buy again.
    True Label: positive
      -> VADER
                        predicted: positive
      -> TextBlob
                        predicted: positive
      -> LogReg
                         predicted: negative
```

_.

```
Disagreement #5:
           Not what I expected, quite disappointing really.
Text:
True Label: negative
                   predicted: negative
 -> VADER
 -> TextBlob
                   predicted: negative
 -> LogReg
                   predicted: neutral
                                         Χ
  -> RandomForest predicted: positive
Disagreement #6:
          Arrived damaged and took forever to ship.
True Label: negative
 -> VADER
                   predicted: negative
  -> TextBlob
                   predicted: neutral
                                         Χ
  -> LogReg
                   predicted: positive
 -> RandomForest predicted: positive
                                         Χ
Disagreement #7:
          Mediocre product with basic features.
Text:
True Label: neutral
  -> VADER
                   predicted: neutral
  -> TextBlob
                   predicted: negative
                                        Χ
  -> LogReg
                   predicted: neutral
  -> RandomForest
                   predicted: neutral
Total disagreements found among the models: 7
```

Mands-On Analysis Questions Output Description: Description: Output Description: Descripti

Answer these based on YOUR specific results above:

Q1: Looking at YOUR results above, which method performed best? Was this what you expected?

Your answer based on your results:

VADER performed best with 66.7% accuracy, which was somewhat expected because it's rule-based and handles informal or emotional text better than the ML models trained on limited data.

Q2: Examine the disagreements YOUR code found. Pick one disagreement and explain why you think the methods disagreed.

Your analysis of a specific disagreement:

In "Cheap plastic that feels like it will break," VADER and TextBlob labeled it positive, likely due to the word "feels," while the ML models recognized the full negative meaning based on training context.

Q3: How do the TF-IDF features (from Module 4) help the ML models in a way that the rule-based methods can't access?

Your answer explaining the role of TF-IDF:

TF-IDF lets ML models weigh important but rare words, learning patterns from context, while rule-based tools just match predefined word lists.

Q4: If you had to choose ONE method for a real business application, which would you choose based on YOUR results? Why?

Your final decision and reasoning. A complete answer should consider the trade-offs by describing a scenario where a rule-based model like VADER might be better, and another scenario where the ML model is the only choice.

I'd choose VADER for real-time feedback or social media monitoring since it's fast and works well on short text. But for analyzing formal reviews at scale, ML with TF-IDF would be better because it adapts to patterns VADER can't detect.

Part 3: Speech Emotion Detection

From Audio Preprocessing to Emotion Analysish

In Module 3, you learned how to process and clean audio signals. Now, we'll take that a step further. Instead of just cleaning audio, we will extract emotional features from speech signals. The goal is to identify measurable characteristics of a sound wave that correspond to how an emotion is expressed vocally.

- What is being said? (Text Analysis Parts 1 & 2)
- · How is it being said? (Speech Analysis Part 3)List item

We will use the librosa library to analyze audio and extract features like pitch, energy, and tempo, which are crucial for detecting emotion in speech.

Key Connection: Audio Preprocessing + Feature Extraction + Machine Learning = Speech Emotion Detection

Speech carries emotional information that text alone cannot capture:

- · Prosodic features: Pitch, rhythm, stress patterns
- Voice quality: Tone, breathiness, tension
- Temporal dynamics: Speaking rate, pauses

Let's build a speech emotion detector using audio signal processing techniques.

Note: In this lab, we'll simulate audio features since we're working in a text-based environment. In a real application, you would extract these features from actual audio files.

```
# In a real application, these would be extracted from audio files using librosa
# Create sample audio signals that demonstrate emotional speech patterns
def create_emotional_audio(emotion, duration=2, sample_rate=22050):
    """Create audio that simulates emotional speech patterns""
    t = np.linspace(0, duration, int(sample_rate * duration))
    if emotion == 'happy':
        # Happy: Higher pitch, more variation, upward intonation
       base_freq = 220
       pitch_variation = 50 * np.sin(2 * np.pi * 2 * t)
        amplitude = 0.7
    elif emotion == 'sad':
       # Sad: Lower pitch, less variation, downward intonation
       base freq = 150
       pitch_variation = 10 * np.sin(2 * np.pi * 0.5 * t)
       amplitude = 0.3
    elif emotion == 'angry':
       # Angry: Variable pitch, harsh quality, higher energy
       base freq = 200
       pitch_variation = 80 * np.sin(2 * np.pi * 3 * t) * np.random.normal(1, 0.2, len(t))
       amplitude = 0.8
    else: # neutral
       # Neutral: Steady pitch, moderate energy
       base freq = 180
       pitch_variation = 20 * np.sin(2 * np.pi * 1 * t)
       amplitude = 0.5
    # Create the audio signal
    frequency = base_freq + pitch_variation
    audio = amplitude * np.sin(2 * np.pi * frequency * t)
    # Add harmonics for more realistic sound
    audio += 0.3 * amplitude * np.sin(2 * np.pi * frequency * 2 * t)
    audio += 0.1 * amplitude * np.sin(2 * np.pi * frequency * 3 * t)
    # Add noise for realism
    noise = 0.05 * np.random.normal(0, 1, len(audio))
    audio += noise
    return audio, sample_rate
# Create and play sample audio for each emotion
emotions = ['happy', 'sad', 'angry', 'neutral']
audio_samples = {}
print("Listen to how different emotions sound in speech patterns...\n")
for emotion in emotions:
    audio, sr = create_emotional_audio(emotion)
    audio_samples[emotion] = (audio, sr)
    print(f" \{ \{\) \{emotion.capitalize()\} \{\} \} Audio:")
    display(Audio(audio, rate=sr))
    print(f"
              Characteristics: {emotion} speech patterns simulated")
    print()
print("   Connection to Module 3:")
print("- We're using the same audio processing concepts (sample rate, frequency).")
print("- But now we are extracting EMOTIONAL features instead of just cleaning the audio.")
```

```
Creating Emotional Speech Samples:
Listen to how different emotions sound in speech patterns...
🧺 Happy Audio:
     0:02 / 0:02
   Characteristics: happy speech patterns simulated

§ Sad Audio:
      0:02 / 0:02
  Characteristics: sad speech patterns simulated
🥞 Angry Audio:
      0:02 / 0:02
  Characteristics: angry speech patterns simulated
Neutral Audio:
      0:02 / 0:02
  Characteristics: neutral speech patterns simulated

    Connection to Module 3:
- We're using the same audio processing concepts (sample rate, frequency).
 But now we are extracting EMOTIONAL features instead of iust cleaning the audio.
```

3.1 Extracting Emotional Features from Audio

Now that we have audio signals, we'll use librosa to extract features that quantify the emotional characteristics we just heard. These features include:

Pitch: The fundamental frequency of the voice (how high or low it is).

- Energy (RMS): The loudness or intensity of the speech.
- Tempo: The speed or pace of speech (Beats Per Minute).
- Spectral Centroid: Relates to the "brightness" of a sound.

```
# Extract emotional features from audio (building on Module 3)
def extract_emotional_features(audio, sample_rate):
    """Extract features that indicate emotional state"""
   features = {}
   # Prosodic features (how we speak)
   pitches, magnitudes = librosa.piptrack(y=audio, sr=sample_rate)
   pitch_values = []
   for t in range(pitches.shape[1]):
        index = magnitudes[:, t].argmax()
        pitch = pitches[index, t]
       if pitch > 0:
            pitch_values.append(pitch)
   if pitch values:
        features['pitch_mean'] = np.mean(pitch_values)
        features['pitch_std'] = np.std(pitch_values)
        features['pitch_mean'] = 0
        features['pitch_std'] = 0
   # Energy features
   rms_energy = librosa.feature.rms(y=audio)[0]
   features['energy_mean'] = np.mean(rms_energy)
   features['energy_std'] = np.std(rms_energy)
   # Spectral features
   spectral_centroids = librosa.feature.spectral_centroid(y=audio, sr=sample_rate)[0]
   features['spectral_centroid_mean'] = np.mean(spectral_centroids)
   # Tempo
   tempo, _ = librosa.beat.beat_track(y=audio, sr=sample_rate)
```

```
features['tempo'] = tempo
    return features
# Extract features from our emotional audio samples
print("    Extracting Emotional Features from Audio:")
audio_feature_data = []
# Create multiple samples per emotion for better analysis
for emotion in emotions:
   print(f"\nProcessing {emotion.capitalize()} emotion samples...")
    for i in range(25): # 25 samples per emotion for a balanced dataset
       audio, sr = create_emotional_audio(emotion, duration=1.5)
        features = extract_emotional_features(audio, sr)
        features['emotion'] = emotion
        audio_feature_data.append(features)
# Create DataFrame for analysis
df_audio = pd.DataFrame(audio_feature_data)
print(f"\n Audio emotion dataset created: {len(df_audio)} samples, {len(df_audio.columns)-1} features")
print("\nFirst 5 rows of the feature dataset:")
print(df_audio.head())

→ Q Extracting Emotional Features from Audio:
     Processing Happy emotion samples...
     Processing Sad emotion samples...
     Processing Angry emotion samples...
     Processing Neutral emotion samples...
     📊 Audio emotion dataset created: 100 samples, 6 features
     First 5 rows of the feature dataset:
        pitch_mean pitch_std energy_mean energy_std spectral_centroid_mean \
     0 397.138511 239.819869 0.514930 0.025267
                                                                    2221.970887
     1 398.768136 238.577413
                                   0.514974
                                               0.025072
                                                                    2243.412740
     2 395.413198 237.983731 0.515223 0.025055
                                                                    2226.669114

    0.514872
    0.025097

    0.514701
    0.024862

                                                                  2226.706706
2227.901350
     3 398.298789 238.744997
     4 397.163676 237.869574
                       tempo emotion
    0
                [123.046875]
                [123.046875]
                               happy
     2 [117.45383522727273]
                               happy
     3
                [123.046875]
                               happy
                [123.046875]
                               happy
```

3.2 Visualizing Audio Features

Before building a classifier, let's visualize the features we just extracted. This helps us see if there are clear, measurable differences between the emotions

```
# Visualize key audio features by emotion
fig, axes = plt.subplots(2, 2, figsize=(14, 10))
fig.suptitle('Audio Features by Emotion', fontsize=16)
key_features = ['pitch_mean', 'energy_mean', 'tempo', 'spectral_centroid_mean']
for i, feature in enumerate(key_features):
   row, col = i // 2, i % 2
   sns.boxplot(x='emotion', y=feature, data=df_audio, ax=axes[row, col])
   axes[row, col].set_title(f'{feature.replace("_", " ").title()}')
   axes[row, col].set xlabel('Emotion')
   axes[row, col].set_ylabel('Value')
plt.tight_layout(rect=[0, 0.03, 1, 0.95])
plt.show()
# Statistical summary
summary_stats = df_audio.groupby('emotion')[key_features].mean()
print(summary_stats.round(2))
```


YOUR TURN - Exercise 3: Train an Audio Emotion Classifier

Now it's your turn to use these features to train a machine learning model. Your goal is to build a classifier that can predict the emotion based only on the audio features.

Tasks:

- 1. Prepare the data by separating features (X) and labels (y).
- 2. Split the data into training and testing sets. Remember to use stratify to keep the emotion distribution even.
- 3. Scale the features using StandardScaler. This is crucial because features like pitch_mean and energy_mean are on very different scales.
- 4. Train a RandomForestClassifier on the scaled training data.
- Evaluate the model by calculating its accuracy and printing a classification report.
- 6. Analyze Feature Importance to see which audio features your model found most useful.

```
# / YOUR TURN: Train and analyze an audio emotion classifier
# 1. Prepare the data
# TODO: Define your feature columns (all columns except 'emotion')
feature_columns = [col for col in df_audio.columns if col != 'emotion']
X_audio = df_audio[feature_columns]
y_audio = df_audio['emotion']
# 2. Split and scale
# TODO: Split data into training and testing sets (test_size=0.3, random_state=42)
X_train_audio, X_test_audio, y_train_audio, y_test_audio = train_test_split(
    X_audio, y_audio, test_size=0.3, random_state=42, stratify=y_audio
)
\mbox{\tt\#} TODO: Initialize a StandardScaler and scale your training and test data
scaler = StandardScaler()
X_train_audio_scaled = scaler.fit_transform(X_train_audio)
X_test_audio_scaled = scaler.transform(X_test_audio)
# 3. Train classifier
# TODO: Initialize and train a RandomForestClassifier
audio_classifier = RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=100, random_state=42)
\verb"audio_classifier.fit(X_train_audio_scaled, y_train_audio)"
# 4. Evaluate
# TODO: Make predictions on the scaled test data and calculate the accuracy
audio_predictions = audio_classifier.predict(X_test_audio_scaled)
audio_accuracy = accuracy_score(y_test_audio, audio_predictions)
print("  YOUR Audio Emotion Classification Results:")
print(f"Accuracy: {audio_accuracy:.3f}")
print("\nClassification Report:")
print(classification_report(y_test_audio, audio_predictions))
# 5. Feature importance analysis
# TODO: Get feature importances from the trained model
importances = audio_classifier.feature_importances_
feature_importance_df = pd.DataFrame({
    'feature': feature_columns,
    'importance': importances
}).sort_values('importance', ascending=False)
print("\n \ Most Important Audio Features in YOUR Model:")
print(feature_importance_df.head(5))
# 6. Visualize feature importance
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
sns.barplot(x='importance', y='feature', data=feature_importance_df.head(5), palette='viridis')
plt.title('Top 5 Most Important Audio Features')
plt.xlabel('Importance Score')
plt.ylabel('Audio Feature')
plt.show()
```




Classification Report:				
	precision	recall	f1-score	support
angry	1.00	1.00	1.00	8
happy	1.00	1.00	1.00	7
neutral	1.00	1.00	1.00	8
sad	1.00	1.00	1.00	7
accuracy			1.00	30
macro avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	30
weighted avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	30

_							
	Most	Important	Audio	Features	in	YOUR	Model:

	teature	importance
0	pitch_mean	0.222819
3	energy_std	0.222482
4	spectral_centroid_mean	0.199584
1	pitch_std	0.190074
2	energy_mean	0.165042

Top 5 Most Important Audio Features



Audio Analysis Questions

Answer based on YOUR specific results and visualizations above:

Q1: Looking at YOUR feature importance results, which audio features were most important for distinguishing emotions in your model?

Your answer based on your results: The most important feature was pitch_mean, likely because pitch helps reveal emotional tone shifts such as anger or excitement.

Q2: Examining YOUR boxplots above, which emotion shows the most distinctive pattern? For example, how does 'happy' speech differ from 'sad' speech in terms of pitch and energy?

Your observation of your visualizations: Yes, I expected energy_mean to be more important since volume often increases with strong emotions, but it ranked lowest among the top five.

Q3: How does YOUR audio classifier's accuracy compare to the text-based methods from Part 2? What does this suggest about the information contained in speech versus text for this dataset?

Your comparison and interpretation: A real-world use could be in mental health apps that track a user's voice for signs of stress, anxiety, or depression.

Q4: If you were analyzing real human speech, what additional challenges might you face that our simulated data doesn't capture? (Think about background noise, different speakers, accents, etc.)

Your answer: Unlike text models that analyze content, the audio model captures tone and delivery, making it better at detecting hidden emotions.

Part 4: Multimodal Emotion Analysis

Combining All Previous Modules: The Ultimate Integration

This is the final and most important part of our lab. We will now combine everything we have learned to build a multimodal system. A multimodal system is smarter because it uses more than one type of data—in our case, both text and audio.

- · Module 2 (Preprocessing): We'll need clean text.
- Module 3 (Audio): We'll use the emotional features we just extracted from audio signals.
- Module 4 (Text Representation): We will use TF-IDF to convert text into numbers.
- Modules 5 & 6 (Linguistics): The text portion of our model implicitly relies on the linguistic patterns that TF-IDF captures.
- · Machine Learning: We will fuse these different data sources together to make a single, more accurate prediction.
- The goal is to see if a model that can both read the words and hear the tone of voice performs better than a model that can only do
 one or the other.

```
# Create multimodal dataset (text + corresponding audio)
multimodal_texts = {
    'happy': [
       "I'm so excited about this opportunity!",
       "This is absolutely wonderful news!",
       "I love spending time with my family!",
        "What a beautiful day it is today!",
       "I'm thrilled to be here with you all!"
   ],
    'sad': [
       "I'm feeling really down today.",
       "This situation makes me very sad.",
       "I miss my old friends so much.",
       "Everything seems to be going wrong.",
       "I feel so lonely and isolated."
   ],
    angry': [
        "This is completely unacceptable!",
       "I'm furious about this decision!",
       "How dare you treat me this way!",
       "This makes me so angry and frustrated!",
       "I can't believe this is happening!"
    'neutral': [
       "The meeting is scheduled for tomorrow.",
        "Please submit your report by Friday.",
       "The weather forecast shows rain.",
       "The train arrives at 3:30 PM."
   1
}
# Create multimodal dataset
multimodal_data = []
for emotion, texts in multimodal_texts.items():
   print(f"\n [ {emotion.capitalize()} examples:")
    for i, text in enumerate(texts):
       \# Generate corresponding audio using the function from Part 3
       audio, sr = create_emotional_audio(emotion, duration=2.0)
       # Extract audio features using the function from Part 3
       audio_features = extract_emotional_features(audio, sr)
       # Store the text, the audio features, and the emotion label together
       multimodal_data.append({
           'text': text,
           'audio_features': audio_features,
            'emotion': emotion
```

```
})
       print(f" Text: {text}")
       if i == 0: \# Play the first audio sample for demonstration
           print(f" Listen to its corresponding audio:")
           display(Audio(audio, rate=sr))
print("Each sample now contains both text and its corresponding emotional audio features.")
→ Creating Multimodal Dataset (Text + Audio):

☐ Happy examples:
      Text: I'm so excited about this opportunity!
      Listen to its corresponding audio:
          0:02 / 0:02
      Text: This is absolutely wonderful news!
      Text: I love spending time with my family!
      Text: What a beautiful day it is today!
      Text: I'm thrilled to be here with you all!
     Sad examples:
      Text: I'm feeling really down today.
      Listen to its corresponding audio:
          0:00 / 0:02
      Text: This situation makes me very sad.
      Text: I miss my old friends so much.
      Text: Everything seems to be going wrong.
      Text: I feel so lonely and isolated.
     Angry examples:
      Text: This is completely unacceptable!
      Listen to its corresponding audio:
          0:00 / 0:02
      Text: I'm furious about this decision!
      Text: How dare you treat me this way!
      Text: This makes me so angry and frustrated!
      Text: I can't believe this is happening!

■ Neutral examples:
      Text: The meeting is scheduled for tomorrow.
      Listen to its corresponding audio:
          0:00 / 0:02
      Text: Please submit your report by Friday.
      Text: The weather forecast shows rain.
      Text: I need to buy groceries later.
      Text: The train arrives at 3:30 PM.
     Multimodal Dataset Created: 20 samples
    Each sample now contains both text and its corresponding emotional audio features.
```

✓ 4.1 Fusing Text and Audio Features

To build a multimodal model, we need to combine our numerical representations of text (TF-IDF vectors) and audio (feature sets) into a single input for our classifier. This process is called feature fusion. We will test a simple but effective method called Early Fusion, where we just concatenate (stack) the feature vectors together.

```
# / Main Analysis: Build and Compare Multimodal Emotion Detection Systems
# 1. Extract features from both modalities
multimodal_texts_list = [sample['text'] for sample in multimodal_data]
multimodal_emotions = [sample['emotion'] for sample in multimodal_data]
# Text features (Module 4 concepts)
multimodal_tfidf = TfidfVectorizer(max_features=50, stop_words='english')
text_features = multimodal_tfidf.fit_transform(multimodal_texts_list).toarray()
# Audio features (Module 3 concepts)
# We need to extract the audio features from our list of dictionaries
```

```
audio_features_df = pd.DataFrame([d['audio_features'] for d in multimodal_data])
audio features = audio features df.values
audio_scaler = StandardScaler()
audio_features_scaled = audio_scaler.fit_transform(audio_features)
# 2. Create the fused feature set by combining text and audio
# This is our "Early Fusion" approach
fused_features = np.concatenate([text_features, audio_features_scaled], axis=1)
print(f"Shape of Text Features: {text_features.shape}")
print(f"Shape of Audio Features: {audio_features_scaled.shape}")
print(f"Shape of Fused Features: {fused_features.shape}")
# 3. Train and evaluate different approaches
approaches = {
    'Text Only': text features,
    'Audio Only': audio_features_scaled,
    'Multimodal (Fused)': fused_features
}
results = {}
print("\n ♥ Comparing Model Performance:")
print("=" * 50)
for approach_name, features in approaches.items():
    # Split data
   X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(
       features, multimodal_emotions, test_size=0.3, random_state=42, stratify=multimodal_emotions
   # Train a Logistic Regression classifier
   classifier = LogisticRegression(random_state=42, max_iter=1000)
   classifier.fit(X_train, y_train)
   # Evaluate
   predictions = classifier.predict(X_test)
   accuracy = accuracy_score(y_test, predictions)
   results[approach_name] = accuracy
   print(f"{approach_name:20}: Accuracy = {accuracy:.3f}")
# 4. Analyze the improvement from fusion
best_single_modality_acc = max(results['Text Only'], results['Audio Only'])
multimodal_acc = results['Multimodal (Fused)']
improvement = multimodal_acc - best_single_modality_acc
print("\n Analysis of Multimodal Improvement:")
print(f"Best Single-Modality Accuracy: {best_single_modality_acc:.3f}")
print(f"Multimodal (Fused) Accuracy: {multimodal_acc:.3f}")
print(f"Improvement from Fusion:
                                    {improvement:+.3f}")
if improvement > 0.01: # Check for a meaningful improvement
   print("\n☑ Conclusion: Multimodal fusion provided a clear improvement over using just text or audio alone!")
else:
   print("\n▲ Conclusion: Multimodal fusion did not provide a significant improvement in this case.")
→ Shape of Text Features: (20, 50)
     Shape of Audio Features: (20, 6)
     Shape of Fused Features: (20, 56)
     ₹ Comparing Model Performance:
     Text Only
                      : Accuracy = 0.167
     Audio Only
                        : Accuracy = 1.000
     Multimodal (Fused) : Accuracy = 1.000
     Analysis of Multimodal Improvement:
     Best Single-Modality Accuracy: 1.000
     Multimodal (Fused) Accuracy: 1.000
     Improvement from Fusion:
                                  +0.000
     ▲ Conclusion: Multimodal fusion did not provide a significant improvement in this case.
     Analyze the results above to answer the final questions below.
```

6 YOUR TURN - Final Comprehensive Reflection

This is the final part of the lab. Based on everything you have built and the results you see above, answer the following questions.

Q1: Integration Question - How did concepts from each previous module contribute to the final multimodal system in Part 4?

- Module 2 (Preprocessing): Your answer...Cleaned and standardized the text data, making it usable for vectorization and modeling.
- · Module 3 (Audio): Your answer...Provided emotional speech features like pitch and energy, which were key for classifying vocal tone.
- Module 4 (Text Representation): Your answer...Converted text into numerical TF-IDF features, allowing models to understand text structure and importance.

Q2: Results Analysis Question - Based on YOUR specific results, did the multimodal (fused) model perform better than the single-modality (Text Only, Audio Only) models? Why do you think this happened?

Your analysis of your results:No, the audio-only model already achieved 100% accuracy, so adding text didn't improve performance. Audio features were strong enough to classify emotions alone in this small dataset.

Q3: Real-World Application Question - Imagine you were building an AI assistant for a call center to detect customer frustration. Which model would you choose (Text Only, Audio Only, or Multimodal)? Justify your decision by considering accuracy, complexity, and what kind of information is most valuable.

Your business decision and reasoning: I would choose the multimodal model because both tone and words matter in detecting frustration. It offers more coverage and accuracy across diverse speaking styles and scenarios, even if one input is weak.

Q4: Bias and Ethics Question - What is the biggest ethical risk of deploying an emotion detection system like this? Describe one potential type of bias (e.g., cultural, gender, age) and explain how it might cause problems.

Your answer on ethics and bias:Cultural bias is a major risk. Emotion is expressed differently across cultures, and the system might mislabel emotions for people who don't match the training data, leading to unfair treatment or incorrect support.

Q5: Personal Experience Question - What was the most challenging part of this lab for YOU personally, and what was the most surprising or interesting discovery you made?

Your personal experience and discoveries: The hardest part was understanding how to combine audio and text data. The most surprising part was that the audio-only model performed better than expected.

Lab Conclusion

What You've Accomplished

Congratulations! You've successfully:

- Connected all previous modules to build a comprehensive emotion analysis system
- 2. **Built rule-based sentiment analyzers** using VADER and TextBlob
- 3. Created machine learning classifiers using TF-IDF and scikit-learn
- 4. **☑ Developed speech emotion detection** using audio feature extraction
- 5. Implemented multimodal fusion combining text and audio analysis
- Analyzed bias and ethical considerations in emotion detection systems

Key Insights from Your Journey

- · Integration is powerful: Combining concepts from all modules creates more robust systems
- · Different approaches have different strengths: Rule-based, ML, and multimodal each excel in different scenarios
- · Context matters: The same words can convey different emotions depending on how they're spoken
- Bias is real: Emotion detection systems can perpetuate societal biases and must be carefully evaluated
- · Practical considerations: Real-world deployment requires balancing accuracy, interpretability, and computational cost

Looking Forward

This lab represents the culmination of your foundational NLP learning. In upcoming modules, you'll learn about:

- Neural networks for more sophisticated emotion analysis
- · Deep learning architectures that can learn complex patterns
- Transformer models that understand context even better
- · Large language models that can perform emotion analysis with minimal training

Remember: The goal isn't just to build accurate models, but to build **fair, ethical, and beneficial systems** that respect human dignity and promote positive outcomes for all users.

Great work completing this comprehensive emotion analysis lab! You now have hands-on experience with the full spectrum of emotion detection approaches, from rule-based methods to multimodal machine learning systems.

Next Steps and Further Learning

To continue developing your emotion analysis skills:

- 1. Explore real datasets: Try your techniques on actual emotion datasets like IEMOCAP or RAVDESS (You can download RADVES from Kaggle)
- 2. Advanced audio features: Learn about more sophisticated audio features like prosodic contours and voice quality measures
- 3. Deep learning approaches: Explore neural networks for emotion detection (coming in future modules!)
- 4. Multimodal architectures: Study attention mechanisms and more sophisticated fusion techniques
- 5. Bias mitigation: Research techniques for reducing bias in emotion detection systems

Additional Resources:

- VADER Documentation: https://github.com/cjhutto/vaderSentiment
- TextBlob Documentation: https://textblob.readthedocs.io/
- Librosa for Audio Analysis: https://librosa.org/
- Emotion Recognition Research: Search for "multimodal emotion recognition" papers
- Bias in AI: Research on fairness and bias in machine learning systems

Great work completing this comprehensive emotion analysis lab! You now have hands-on experience with multiple approaches to understanding emotions in text and speech. These skills will be valuable as we continue exploring advanced NLP techniques in upcoming modules.