

London, Wed 30th May 2018

To whom it may concern,
(researchers, scientists, academia, press, human rights advocates)

I'm asking a question - **can cannabis be viewed as a therapeutic drug?**

There were 3 Court hearings already and this letter keeps things simple - sticking to basic facts only.

Here are the snippets from the legal paperwork, as a result my children (aged 6 and 3) are prevented any contact with their father:

[REDACTED]

To make things a little bit more difficult:

"Unauthorised communication of the information in it is a serious matter and may constitute contempt of court"

Here is my best effort to paraphrase the situation without breakin the law:

"Mr Freeman views cannabis as therapeutic drug and it cannot be guaranteed that he will prioritise the children's needs"

Note that my identity has to be kept private too:

"The names of the parties and the children involved in these proceeding must be kept confidential"

There is a number of issues that raise my objections:

1. Facts - I did hair test for cannabis and the result was "negative" (no cannabis detected)
2. Can be viewed - my views - people cannot have views -
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thoughtcrime> - illusion of free speech, illusion of free thought
3. *"Cannot be guaranteed"* - cannot be guaranteed that the Her Majesty The Queen will not shapeshift into mecha-Godzilla funky-Hitler dancing gangnam style on top of a firebreathing unicorn
(would be fun to see the official statement from Buckingham Palace with a guarantee of that)

4. “prioritise the children’s needs” - didn’t see children since September 2017 and recently my life revolves around the Court dates - I do prioritize children needs.

5. **Finally - is cannabis a therapeutic drug indeed?**

The ideal outcome is that the Court will accept such statement - cannabis can be viewed as therapeutic drug - that will set a precedent, foot in the door and open the floodgates for regulation / legalisation / decriminalization of cannabis and other controlled substances in the UK.

There are organisations supporting fathers who are prevented contact with the children:

- <https://www.fathers-4-justice.org/>
- <https://fnf.org.uk/> - Families Need Fathers

(secret courts are one of the main objections)

There are organisation advocating change in drug policy:

- <https://www.tdpf.org.uk/> - Transform Drug Policy Foundation
- <https://www.clear-uk.org/> - Cannabis Law Reform UK

[Here is](#) the most up-to-date analysis of the evidence on the safety and efficacy of cannabis as medicine from the Cannabis Law Reform website:

In fact, there is so much evidence available for so many conditions that this paper is restricted to conditions for which cannabis appears to have the most beneficial effects. Side effects and risks of medicinal cannabis are very well documented in the literature, much of which is focused on identifying harms of recreational use. The risks are extremely low in a therapeutic context compared with pharmaceutical medicines.

Blessing in disguise is that the tests cannot be more negative and they cannot prohibit children seeing their father more than once - that is why I’m willing to go all the way...

- Supreme Court
- European Tribunal of Human Rights
- United Nations
- Prison time if needed too, will make every second count - that would be yet another twist to the story - being incarcerated for telling the truth and protecting human rights.

Open to help, support, collaboration.

Let’s see how deep the rabbit hole goes!

Michael Freeman

michael007freeman@gmail.com