An improved multistart based method for global optimization problems

Ioannis G. Tsoulos[*], Alexandros Tzallas

Department of Informatics and Telecommunications, University of Ioannina, Greece

Abstract

The problem of locating the global minimum of a function finds application in many scientific and real world problems. One of the most used and simplest method to tackle this problem is the so called Multistart method. This article proposes novel method based on the Multistart, that utilizes a mechanism to prevent unnecessary local optimization calls as well as an asymptotic stopping rule. The proposed method is tested against other global optimization methods on a wide set of well - known benchmark optimization problems from the relevant literature and the results are reported.

Keywords: Global optimization, stochastic methods, termination rules.

1 Introduction

A new method for the task of locating the global minimum of a continuous and differentiable function $f : S \to R, S \subset R^n$ is introduced here. The task of locating the global optimum can be formulated as, determine

$$x^* = \arg\min_{x \in S} f(x) \tag{1}$$

with S:

$$S = [a_1, b_1] \otimes [a_2, b_2] \otimes \ldots [a_n, b_n]$$

Methods that discover the global minimum can be used in many areas such as: economics [1, 2], physics [3, 4], chemistry [5, 6], medicine [7, 8] etc. Global optimization methods usually are divided into two main categories: deterministic and stochastic methods. The most common methods of the first category are the so called Interval methods [9, 10], where the set S is divided iteratively in subregions and some subregions that not contain the global solution are discarded using some pre defined criteria. On the other hand, in the second

[*]Corresponding author. Email: itsoulos@uoi.gr

category there are Controlled Random Search methods [11, 12, 13], Simulated Annealing methods [14, 15], Differential Evolution methods [16, 17], Particle Swarm Optimization methods [18, 19], Ant Colony Optimization [20, 21], Genetic algorithms [22, 23, 24] etc.

This article introduces a novel method which is based on the multistart method to discover the global minimum of continuous functions. The method incorporates an efficient stopping rule as well as an asymptotic criterion to prevent the algorithm from unnecessary local optimization calls. The multistart method is one of the simplest global optimization technique which start a local search optimizer such as BFGS from different random points and yields the lowest discovered minimum as the global one. Due to its simplicity the method has been used in many problems such as the TSP problem [25], the vehicle routing problem [26], the facility location problem [27], the maximum clique problem [28] etc. The method multistart has been extended in the relevant literature with methods aim to discover all the local minima of a function [30, 31, 32], hybrid multistart techniques [33, 34], GRASP methods[35], new stopping rules [36, 37, 38], parallel techniques[39, 40] etc.

The rest of this article is organized as follows: in section 2 the proposed method is described in detail, in section 3 the experimental results are demonstrated and finally in section 4 some conclusions and guidelines for future work are provided.

2 Method description

The proposed method works for a predefined number of iterations. At every iteration a number of samples is taken in the feasible region of the objective problem. Some of them are considered as starting points for a local search procedure and the rest are discarded. The method continues until the maximum number of iterations is reached or an asymptotic termination rule is satisfied. The main steps of the proposed algorithm are outlined in Algorithm 1. In the following subsection the main parts of the proposed algorithm which are the discarding procedure and the proposed stopping rule are described in detail.

2.1 Discarding procedure

The discarding procedure has two major elements:

- The first is the typical distance that is calculated after every local search and it is given by

$$r_C = \frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M}\|x_i - x_{iL}\| \tag{2}$$

  where x_i are starting points for the local search procedure $L(x)$ and x_{iL} is the outcome of $L\left(x_i\right)$. If a point x is close enough to an already discovered local minima then it is highly possible that the point belongs to the so

Algorithm 1 The main steps of the proposed algorithm.

1. **Initialization Step**
   (a) **Set** K, the maximum number of allowed iterations.
   (b) **Set** N, the number points that will be samples at each iteration.
   (c) **Set** $r_C = 0$, the distance for the gradient check algorithm.
   (d) **Set** $X^* = \emptyset$, the set of local minima discovered by the local search procedure.
2. **Main Step**
   (a) **For** $i = 1..N$ **do**
      i. **Sample** randomly a point $x \in$S.
      ii. **Check** if x is a valid starting point for the local search procedure using the method gradientCheck(x) given in algorithm 2.
      iii. **If** gradientCheck(x)=false **then**
         A. **Start** a local search procedure $y = L(x)$
         B. **Update** the distance r_C using the equation 2.
         C. If $x \notin X^*$ then $X^* = X^* \cup x$
      iv. **End if**
   (b) **End For**
3. **Termination Check Step.** Check the termination rule as described in subsection 2.2.
   (a) **If** the termination rule holds **then** terminate
   (b) **else goto** 2
   (c) **End if**

Algorithm 2 The procedure gradientCheck(x).

boolean gradientCheck(x)

1. **Set** $d = \min_{y \in X^*} \|y - x\|$
2. **Set** $z = \arg\min_{y \in X^*} \|y - x\|$
3. **If** $d < r_C$ AND $(x - z)^T (\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(z)) > 0$ **then return** true
4. **else return** false

end gradientCheck

called region of attraction of the minima. The region of attraction of a local minimum z is defined as:

$$A(z) = \{x : x \in S,\ L(x) = z\} \tag{3}$$

- The second element is a gradient check performed between a candidate starting point and an already discovered local minimum. The value of the objective function $f(x)$ near to an already discovered local minimum can be calculated using:

$$f(x) \simeq f(z) + \frac{1}{2}(x-z)^T B(x-z) \tag{4}$$

where B is the Hessian matrix at the minimum z. By taking gradients in both sides of Equation 4 we obtain:

$$\nabla f(x) \simeq B(x-z) \tag{5}$$

Of course equation 5 holds for any other point y near to z

$$\nabla f(y) \simeq B(y-z) \tag{6}$$

By subtracting the equation 6 from 5 and by multiplying with $(x-y)^T$ we have the following equation:

$$(x-y)^T(\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)) \simeq (x-y)^T B(x-y)^T > 0 \tag{7}$$

From the above two points a criterion to reject a point x from being a starting point for the local search procedure could be the equation 7. Although, the point x should be close enough to some local minimum and for this purpose the equation 2 is used as a distance measure.

2.2 Stopping rule

A common way to terminate a global optimization procedure is to use the maximum number of allowed iterations, i.e. terminate when iter $\geq K$. Although, this may be a simple criterion but is not an efficient one since, small values of the parameter K mean that the algorithm probably should terminate prematurely. On the other hand higher values for this parameter require more function calls function calls and higher computation times. The termination rule used here is derived from [41]: At every iteration k the variance of $f(x^*)$ is measured, where $x^*$is the located global minimum so far. Denote this variance with $\sigma^{(k)}$. If there is no any new minimum found for a number of generations, then it is highly possible that the algorithm has found the global minimum and hence it should terminate. The algorithm terminates when

$$k \geq k_{\text{min}} \text{ AND } \sigma^{(k)} \leq \frac{\sigma^{\left(k_{\text{last}}\right)}}{2} \tag{8}$$

Figure 1: Plot of variance along with the stopping quantity for the problem of Potential with 20 atoms.



where k_{last} is the last iteration where a new minimum was found and k_{min} is a predefined minimum number of iterations, in order to prevent the algorithm from premature termination. In figure the values $\sigma^{(k)}$ denoted as VARIANCE and the value $\frac{\sigma^{\left(k_{\text{last}}\right)}}{2}$ denoted as STOPAT are plotted. The objective function used is the EXP8 function given by:

$$f(x) = -\exp\left(-0.5\sum_{i=1}^{8} x_i^2\right), \quad -1 \le x_i \le 1 \tag{9}$$

The value of k_{min} is set to 20 iterations and the maximum number of iterations is 200. The algorithm terminates successfully in 40 generation without spending unnecessary function calls for about 160 generations.

3 Experiments

In order to measure the effectiveness of the proposed approach we utilize several benchmark functions from the relevant literature [42, 43].

3.1 Benchmark functions

Bf1 Function

The function Bohachevsky 1 is given by the equation

$$f(x) = x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 - \frac{3}{10}\cos(3\pi x_1) - \frac{4}{10}\cos(4\pi x_2) + \frac{7}{10}$$

with $x \in [-100, 100]^2$. The value of global minimum is 0.0.

Bf2 Function

The function Bohachevsky 2 is given by the equation

$$f(x) = x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 - \frac{3}{10}\cos\left(3\pi x_1\right)\cos\left(4\pi x_2\right) + \frac{3}{10}$$

with $x \in [-50, 50]^2$. The value of the global minimum is 0.0.

Branin function

The function is defined by

$f(x) = \left(x_2 - \frac{5.1}{4\pi^2}x_1^2 + \frac{5}{\pi}x_1 - 6\right)^2 + 10\left(1 - \frac{1}{8\pi}\right)\cos(x_1) + 10$ with $-5 \le x_1 \le 10,\ 0 \le x_2 \le 15$. The value of global minimum is 0.397887.with $x \in [-10, 10]^2$. The value of global minimum is -0.352386.

Cosine Mixture function (CM)

The function is given by the equation

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 - \frac{1}{10}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\cos\left(5\pi x_i\right)$$

with $x \in [-1, 1]^n$. The value of the global minimum is -0.4 and in our experiments we have used $n = 4$.

Camel function

The function is given by

$$f(x) = 4x_1^2 - 2.1x_1^4 + \frac{1}{3}x_1^6 + x_1 x_2 - 4x_2^2 + 4x_2^4, \quad x \in [-5, 5]^2$$

The global minimum has the value of $f\left(x^*\right) = -1.0316$

DiffPower function

The Sum of Different Powers function is defined

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left|x_i\right|^{i+1}$$

and the global minimum is is $f\left(x^*\right) = 0$. The value $n = 10$ was used in the conducted experiments and the associated function is denoted as Diffpower10.

Easom function

The function is given by the equation

$$f(x) = -\cos\left(x_1\right)\cos\left(x_2\right)\exp\left(\left(x_2 - \pi\right)^2 - \left(x_1 - \pi\right)^2\right)$$

with $x \in [-100, 100]^2$. The value of the global minimum is -1.0

Exponential function.

The function is given by

$$f(x) = -\exp\left(-0.5\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2\right), \quad -1 \le x_i \le 1$$

The global minimum is located at $x^* = (0, 0, ..., 0)$ with value -1. In our experiments we used this function with $n = 8, 32$ and the corresponding functions are denoted by the labels EXP8,EXP32.

Griewank2 function.

The function is given by

$$f(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{200}\sum_{i=1}^{2} x_i^2 - \prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{\cos(x_i)}{\sqrt{(i)}}, \quad x \in [-100, 100]^2$$

The global minimum is located at the $x^* = (0, 0, ..., 0)$ with value 0.

Griewank10

The function is given by the equation

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{x_i^2}{4000} - \prod_{i=1}^{n}\cos\left(\frac{x_i}{\sqrt{i}}\right) + 1$$

In our experiments we have used $n = 10$ and the global minimum is 0.0 The function has several local minima in the specified range.

Gkls function.

$f(x) = \mathrm{Gkls}(x, n, w)$, is a function with w local minima, described in [44] with $x \in [-1, 1]^n$ and n a positive integer between 2 and 100. The value of the global minimum is -1 and in our experiments we have used $n = 2, 3$ and $w = 50$. The corresponding functions are denoted by the labels GKLS250 and GKLS350.

Hansen function

$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{5} i\cos\left[(i-1)x_1 + i\right]\sum_{j=1}^{5} j\cos\left[(j+1)x_2 + j\right]$, $x \in [-10, 10]^2$. The global minimum of the function is -176.541793.

Hartman 3 function

The function is given by

$$f(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^{4} c_i \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{3} a_{ij}\left(x_j - p_{ij}\right)^2\right)$$

with $x \in [0,1]^3$ and $a = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 10 & 30 \\ 0.1 & 10 & 35 \\ 3 & 10 & 30 \\ 0.1 & 10 & 35 \end{pmatrix}$, $c = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1.2 \\ 3 \\ 3.2 \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} 0.3689 & 0.117 & 0.2673 \\ 0.4699 & 0.4387 & 0.747 \\ 0.1091 & 0.8732 & 0.5547 \\ 0.03815 & 0.5743 & 0.8828 \end{pmatrix}$$

The value of global minimum is -3.862782.

Hartman6

$$f(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^{4} c_i \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{6} a_{ij} \left(x_j - p_{ij}\right)^2 \right)$$

with $x \in [0,1]^6$ and $a = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 3 & 17 & 3.5 & 1.7 & 8 \\ 0.05 & 10 & 17 & 0.1 & 8 & 14 \\ 3 & 3.5 & 1.7 & 10 & 17 & 8 \\ 17 & 8 & 0.05 & 10 & 0.1 & 14 \end{pmatrix}$, $c = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1.2 \\ 3 \\ 3.2 \end{pmatrix}$

and

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1312 & 0.1696 & 0.5569 & 0.0124 & 0.8283 & 0.5886 \\ 0.2329 & 0.4135 & 0.8307 & 0.3736 & 0.1004 & 0.9991 \\ 0.2348 & 0.1451 & 0.3522 & 0.2883 & 0.3047 & 0.6650 \\ 0.4047 & 0.8828 & 0.8732 & 0.5743 & 0.1091 & 0.0381 \end{pmatrix}$$

The value of global minimum is -3.322368.

Potential function.

The molecular conformation corresponding to the global minimum of the energy of N atoms interacting via the Lennard-Jones potential[45] is used as a test case here. The function to be minimized is given by:

$$V_{LJ}(r) = 4\epsilon \left[\left(\frac{\sigma}{r}\right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma}{r}\right)^{6} \right] \tag{10}$$

In the current experiments three different cases were studied: $N = 5,\ 10,\ 20$

Rastrigin function.

The function is given by

$$f(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - \cos(18x_1) - \cos(18x_2), \quad x \in [-1,1]^2$$

The global minimum is located at $x^* = (0,0)$ with value -2.0.

Shekel7

$$f(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^{7} \frac{1}{(x-a_i)(x-a_i)^T + c_i}$$

with $x \in [0,10]^4$ and $a = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 \\ 3 & 7 & 3 & 7 \\ 2 & 9 & 2 & 9 \\ 5 & 3 & 5 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$, $c = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.3 \end{pmatrix}$. The value of global minimum is -10.342378.

Shekel 5

$$f(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^{5} \frac{1}{(x-a_i)(x-a_i)^T + c_i}$$

with $x \in [0,10]^4$ and $a = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 \\ 3 & 7 & 3 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$, $c = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.4 \end{pmatrix}$. The value of global minimum is -10.107749.

Shekel 7

$$f(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^{7} \frac{1}{(x-a_i)(x-a_i)^T + c_i}$$

with $x \in [0,10]^4$ and $a = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 \\ 3 & 7 & 3 & 7 \\ 2 & 9 & 2 & 9 \\ 5 & 3 & 5 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$, $c = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.3 \end{pmatrix}$. The value of global minimum is -10.342378.

Shekel 10

$$f(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^{10} \frac{1}{(x-a_i)(x-a_i)^T + c_i}$$

with $x \in [0,10]^4$ and $a = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 \\ 3 & 7 & 3 & 7 \\ 2 & 9 & 2 & 9 \\ 5 & 5 & 3 & 3 \\ 8 & 1 & 8 & 1 \\ 6 & 2 & 6 & 2 \\ 7 & 3.6 & 7 & 3.6 \end{pmatrix}$, $c = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.6 \end{pmatrix}$. The value of global minimum is -10.536410.

Sinusoidal function.

The function is given by

$$f(x) = -\left(2.5\prod_{i=1}^{n}\sin\left(x_i - z\right) + \prod_{i=1}^{n}\sin\left(5\left(x_i - z\right)\right)\right), \quad 0 \le x_i \le \pi.$$

The global minimum is located at $x^* = (2.09435, 2.09435, ..., 2.09435)$ with $f\left(x^*\right) = -3.5$. In our experiments we used $n = 8, 32$ and $z = \frac{\pi}{6}$ and the corresponding functions are denoted by the labels SINU8 and SINU32 respectively.

Test2N function.

This function is given by the equation

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^4 - 16x_i^2 + 5x_i, \quad x_i \in [-5,5].$$

The function has 2^n in the specified range and in our experiments we used $n = 4,5,6,7$. The corresponding values of global minimum is -156.664663 for $n = 4$, -195.830829 for $n = 5$, -234.996994 for $n = 6$ and -274.163160 for $n = 7$.

Test30N function.

This function is given by

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{10}\sin^2\left(3\pi x_1\right)\sum_{i=2}^{n-1}\left(\left(x_i - 1\right)^2\left(1 + \sin^2\left(3\pi x_{i+1}\right)\right)\right) + \left(x_n - 1\right)^2\left(1 + \sin^2\left(2\pi x_n\right)\right)$$

with $x \in [-10,10]$. The function has 30^n local minima in the specified range and we used $n = 3,4$ in our experiments. The value of global minimum for this function is 0.0

3.2 Constrained optimization problems

The proposed method was also tested in constrained optimization problems, where the main objective problem is defined as:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \min_x & f(x) & \text{subject to} \\ & g_i(x) \leq 0 & i = 1, \ldots, m \\ & h_j(x) = 0 & j = 1, \ldots, p \end{array} \tag{11}$$

where $x_i \in [a_i, b_i]$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, which is a commonly arised in many practical fields such as physics [46], astronomy [47], chemistry [48], biology [49] etc. The constrained optimization problem if transformed to a single function for the proposed method using the following:

1. **Set** $v_1(x) = f(x)$
2. **Set** $v_2(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} h_i^2(x)$
3. **Calculate** for the inequality constraints $g_i(x),\ i = 1, ..., m$ the quantity

$$v_3(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} G_i^2\left(g_i(x)\right) \tag{12}$$

   where the function $G(x)$ is defined as follows:

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0 \\ x, & x > 0 \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

4. The transformed objective function for the proposed method is given by:

$$v(x) = v_1(x) + \lambda v_2(x) + \lambda v_3(x) \tag{14}$$

   where $\lambda > 0$.

The following problems were used from the relevant literature.

Levy problem

This problem is described in [50] and it is given by:

$$\min_x f(x) = -x_1 - x_2$$

with $x \in [0, 1]^2$, subject to

$$g_1(x) = \left\lfloor (x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1) \right\rfloor \left(\frac{1}{2a^2} - \frac{1}{2b^2} \right) + (x_1 - 1)(x_2 - 1)\left(\frac{1}{a^2} - \frac{1}{b^2} \right) - 1 \geq 0$$

with $a = 2,\ b = 0.25$. The value of global minimum is $f_{\min} = -1.8729$.

Salkin problem

This problem is described in [51] and it is given by:

$$\max_x f(x) = 3x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 + x_4 - x_5$$

with $1 \leq x_1 \leq 4,\ 80 \leq x_2 \leq 88,\ 30 \leq x_3 \leq 35,\ 145 \leq x_4 \leq 150,\ 0 \leq x_5 \leq 2$ subject to

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x) &= 25x_1 - 40x_2 + 16x_3 + 21x_4 + x5 \leq 300 \\ g_2(x) &= x_1 + 20x_2 - 50x_3 + x_4 - x_5 \leq 200 \\ g_3(x) &= 60x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + 2x_4 + x_5 \leq 600 \\ g_4(x) &= -7x_1 + 4x_2 + 15x_3 - x_4 + 65x_5 \leq 700 \end{aligned}$$

This global maximum is $f_{\max} = 320$.

Hess problem

This problem is described in [52] and it is given by:

$$\max_x f(x) = 25\,(x_1 - 2)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2 + (x_3 - 1)^2 + (x_4 - 4)^2 + (x_5 - 1)^2 + (x_6 - 4)^2$$

with $0 \leq x_1 \leq 5,\ 0 \leq x_2 \leq 1,\ 1 \leq x_3 \leq 5,\ 0 \leq x_4 \leq 6,\ 0 \leq x_5 \leq 5,\ 0 \leq x_6 \leq 10$ subject to:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x) &= x_1 + x_2 - 2 \geq 0 \\ g_2(x) &= -x_1 + x_2 + 6 \geq 0 \\ g_3(x) &= x_1 - x_2 + 2 \geq 0 \\ g_4(x) &= -x_1 + 3x_2 + 2 \geq 0 \\ g_5(x) &= (x_3 - 3)^2 + x_4 - 4 \geq 0 \\ g_6(x) &= (x_5 - 3)^2 + x_6 - 4 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

The value of global maximum is $f_{\max} = 310$.

Chootinan1 problem

This problem is described in [53] and it is given by:

$$\min_x f(x) = 5\sum_{i=1}^{4} x_i - 5\sum_{i=1}^{4} x_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{13} x_i$$

with $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$ for $i = 1,..,9,13$, $0 \leq x_i \leq 100$ for $i = 10,11,12$ with the following constraints:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1(x) &= 10 - (2x_1 + 2x_2 + x_{10} + x_{11}) \geq 0 \\
g_2(x) &= 10 - (2x_1 + 2x_3 + x_{10} + x_{12}) \geq 0 \\
g_3(x) &= 10 - (2x_2 + 2x_3 + x_{11} + x_{12}) \geq 0 \\
g_4(x) &= 8x_1 - x_{10} \geq 0 \\
g_5(x) &= 8x_2 - x_{11} \geq 0 \\
g_6(x) &= 8x_3 - x_{12} \geq 0 \\
g_7(x) &= 2x_4 + x_5 - x_{10} \geq 0 \\
g_8(x) &= 2x_6 + x_7 - x_{11} \geq 0 \\
g_9(x) &= 2x_8 + x_9 - x_{12} \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

The value of global minimum is $f_{\min} = -15.0$.

G15 problem

This problem is described in [54] and it is given by:

$$\min_x f(x) = 1000 - x_1^2 - 2x_2^2 - x_3^2 - x_1x_2 - x_1x_3$$

with $x \in [0, 10]^3$ subject to the following constraints:

$$\begin{aligned}
h_1(x) &= x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 25 = 0 \\
h_2(x) &= 8x_1 + 14x_2 + 7x_3 - 56 = 0
\end{aligned}$$

The value of the global minimum is $f_{\min} = 961.7150$

3.3 Experimental results

The proposed method is compared against the multistart method with the same number of samples at every generation and the same stopping rule and the results are reported in Table 1. The column PROBLEM denotes the objective function, the column MULTISTART denotes the average function calls for the multistart method, the column CRS denotes the results from the application of Controlled Random Search[13] to the objective functions, the column SA denotes the application of the Simulated Annealing[15] method to the test probelms and the PROPOSED column denotes the average function calls for the proposed method. The number in the cells denotes average function calls for 30 independent runs using different seed for the random generator each time. The numbers in parentheses denote the fraction of runs where the global minimum was located. If this number is missing then the global minimum was discovered in every independent run (100% success). The parameters used in the experiments are listed in Table 2. As it is evident from the conducted experiments there is a significant improvement in terms of function evaluations at about 80%

Figure 2: Average number of function calls for the function Exponential.



against Multistart and the other methods. CRS and SA are better than other methods only for small problems of limited number of dimensions, like BF1 or the Branin function.

In Table 3 the results from the comparison of Multistart and the proposed method for the constrained optimization test problems are listed. Again, the proposed method has managed to handel the test problems using less number of function evaluations than the Multistart method.

Also, in order to measure the efficiency of the proposed method for as the dimension of the objective functions increases an additional experiments was conducted: The function Exponential was used with different values of the dimension n from 2 to 20. The proposed method is tested against Multistart and the results are plotted in Figure 2. The average function calls required by the proposed method are in the range [4000,6000] when the Multistart requires 5 or 6 times more function calls.

Additionally another experiment was conducted using the Exponential function with $n = 10$ with different values for the number of samples N and the results are plotted in Figure 3. Again the Multistart requires much more function calls than the proposed method and also the Multistart function calls tends to increase very rapidly as compared to the calls of the proposed method.

4 Conclusions

A novel multistart based method is described and tested here for global optimization problems. The method utilizes an efficient discarding procedure to prevent the method from unnecessary function calls and an asymptotic stopping rule to stop the algorithm where there is a good probability that the global optimum has been discovered. The method was tested on a series of well known optimization problems from the relevant literature and proved to be efficient and fast.

Table 1: Average number of function calls for the proposed functions.

PROBLEM	MULTISTART	CRS	SA	PROPOSED
BF1	22533	2218	3845	2833
BF2	18809	2207	3340	2629
BRANIN	9735	1744	4816	1753
CM4	27037	4746	9652	2293
CAMEL	13688	1882	4820	1732
DIFFPOWER10	1194776	78634	25918	19572
EASOM	5372	588	4807	199
EXP8	12022	13239	19233	2830
EXP32	18294	93520	76842	3265
GKLS250	17333(0.77)	1633	4120	2415
GKLS350	10104	3329	7229	243
GRIEWANK2	13003	2111	3830	1786
GRIEWANK10	53372	32037	24118	7184
HANSEN	15294	3348	3323	1510
HARTMAN3	14815	2898	7227	11463
HARTMAN6	19459	9276	14440	3740
POTENTIAL5	111631	95027	36084	49601
POTENTIAL10	208405	193066	172166	91094
POTENTIAL20	280575	189591(0.53)	244314	170524(0.97)
RASTRIGIN	16968	1906	3343	675
SHEKEL5	19224	6345	9635	3465
SHEKEL7	20985	6528	9334	2976
SHEKEL10	20284	6477	9998	3566
SINU8	21860	16950	19241	549
SINU32	39905	100887	13858	1296
TEST2n4	15938	6754	9631	2890
TEST2n5	18085	12717	12036	3262
TEST2n6	19879	12822	14438	3451
TEST2n7	21432	18620	16840	4002
TEST30n3	24450	2768	9616	10818
TEST30n4	26514	3894	10617	13320

Table 2: Parameter values for the experiments.

PARAMETER	VALUE
K	200
N	25
$k_{\min}$	20

Figure 3: Average number of function calls as the number of samples increases for the function EXP10.



Table 3: Average function calls from comparisons of the proposed method and Multistart for the constrained optimization problems.

PROBLEM	MULTISTART	PROPOSED
Levy	17491	1301
Salkin	48816	1010
Hess	27775	9524
Chootinan1	293459	15035
G15	318162	63542

Compliance with Ethical Standards

All authors declare that they have no has no conict of interest.

Acknowledgments

The experiments of this research work was performed at the high performance computing system established at Knowledge and Intelligent Computing Laboratory, Dept of Informatics and Telecommunications, University of Ioannina, acquired with the project "Educational Laboratory equipment of TEI of Epirus" with MIS 5007094 funded by the Operational Programme "Epirus" 2014-2020, by ERDF and national finds.

References

[1] Zwe-Lee Gaing, Particle swarm optimization to solving the economic dispatch considering the generator constraints, IEEE Transactions on **18** Power Systems, pp. 1187-1195, 2003.

[2] C. D. Maranas, I. P. Androulakis, C. A. Floudas, A. J. Berger, J. M. Mulvey, Solving long-term financial planning problems via global optimization, Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control **21**, pp. 1405-1425, 1997.

[3] Q. Duan, S. Sorooshian, V. Gupta, Effective and efficient global optimization for conceptual rainfall-runoff models, Water Resources Research **28**, pp. 1015-1031 , 1992.

[4] P. Charbonneau, Genetic Algorithms in Astronomy and Astrophysics, Astrophysical Journal Supplement **101**, p. 309, 1995

[5] A. Liwo, J. Lee, D.R. Ripoll, J. Pillardy, H. A. Scheraga, Protein structure prediction by global optimization of a potential energy function, Biophysics **96**, pp. 5482-5485, 1999.

[6] P.M. Pardalos, D. Shalloway, G. Xue, Optimization methods for computing global minima of nonconvex potential energy functions, Journal of Global Optimization **4**, pp. 117-133, 1994.

[7] Eva K. Lee, Large-Scale Optimization-Based Classification Models in Medicine and Biology, Annals of Biomedical Engineering **35**, pp 1095-1109, 2007.

[8] Y. Cherruault, Global optimization in biology and medicine, Mathematical and Computer Modelling **20**, pp. 119-132, 1994.

[9] M.A. Wolfe, Interval methods for global optimization, Applied Mathematics and Computation **75**, pp. 179-206, 1996.

[10] T. Csendes and D. Ratz, Subdivision Direction Selection in Interval Methods for Global Optimization, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **34**, pp. 922–938, 1997.

[11] W. L. Price, Global optimization by controlled random search, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications **40**, pp. 333-348, 1983.

[12] Ivan Křivý, Josef Tvrdík, The controlled random search algorithm in optimizing regression models, Computational Statistics & Data Analysis **20**, pp. 229-234, 1995.

[13] M.M. Ali, A. Törn, and S. Viitanen, A Numerical Comparison of Some Modified Controlled Random Search Algorithms, Journal of Global Optimization **11**,pp. 377–385,1997.

[14] L. Ingber, Very fast simulated re-annealing, Mathematical and Computer Modelling **12**, pp. 967-973, 1989.

[15] R.W. Eglese, Simulated annealing: A tool for operational research, Simulated annealing: A tool for operational research **46**, pp. 271-281, 1990.

[16] R. Storn, K. Price, Differential Evolution - A Simple and Efficient Heuristic for Global Optimization over Continuous Spaces, Journal of Global Optimization **11**, pp. 341-359, 1997.

[17] J. Liu, J. Lampinen, A Fuzzy Adaptive Differential Evolution Algorithm. Soft Comput **9**, pp.448–462, 2005.

[18] Riccardo Poli, James Kennedy kennedy, Tim Blackwell, Particle swarm optimization An Overview, Swarm Intelligence **1**, pp 33-57, 2007.

[19] Ioan Cristian Trelea, The particle swarm optimization algorithm: convergence analysis and parameter selection, Information Processing Letters **85**, pp. 317-325, 2003.

[20] M. Dorigo, M. Birattari and T. Stutzle, Ant colony optimization, IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine **1**, pp. 28-39, 2006.

[21] K. Socha, M. Dorigo, Ant colony optimization for continuous domains, European Journal of Operational Research 185, pp. 1155-1173, 2008.

[22] D. Goldberg, Genetic Algorithms in Search, Optimization and Machine Learning, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, Massachussets, 1989.

[23] Z. Michaelewicz, Genetic Algorithms + Data Structures = Evolution Programs. Springer - Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[24] S.A. Grady, M.Y. Hussaini, M.M. Abdullah, Placement of wind turbines using genetic algorithms, Renewable Energy **30**, pp. 259-270, 2005.

[25] Li W., A Parallel Multi-start Search Algorithm for Dynamic Traveling Salesman Problem. In: Pardalos P.M., Rebennack S. (eds) Experimental Algorithms. SEA 2011. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 6630. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011.

[26] Olli Bräysy, Geir Hasle, Wout Dullaert, A multi-start local search algorithm for the vehicle routing problem with time windows, European Journal of Operational Research **159**, pp. 586-605, 2004.

[27] Mauricio G.C. Resende, Renato F. Werneck,A hybrid multistart heuristic for the uncapacitated facility location problem, European Journal of Operational Research **174**, pp. 54-68, 2006.

[28] E. Marchiori, Genetic, Iterated and Multistart Local Search for the Maximum Clique Problem. In: Cagnoni S., Gottlieb J., Hart E., Middendorf M., Raidl G.R. (eds) Applications of Evolutionary Computing. EvoWorkshops 2002. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 2279. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

[29] Ya-Xiang Yuan, A Modified BFGS Algorithm for Unconstrained Optimization, IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis **11**, pp. 325–332, 1991.

[30] M.M. Ali, C. Storey, Topographical multilevel single linkage, J. Global Optimization 5 (1994) 349–358

[31] S. Salhi, N.M. Queen, A hybrid algorithm for identifying global and local minima when optimizing functions with many minima, European J. Oper. Res. 155(2004) 51–67.

[32] I. G. Tsoulos and I. E. Lagaris, MinFinder: Locating all the local minima of a function, Computer Physics Communications **174**, pp. 166-179, 2006.

[33] M. Perez, F. Almeida and J. M. Moreno-Vega, "Genetic algorithm with multistart search for the p-Hub median problem," Proceedings. 24th EUROMICRO Conference (Cat. No.98EX204), Vasteras, Sweden, 1998, pp. 702-707 vol.2.

[34] H. C. B. d. Oliveira, G. C. Vasconcelos and G. B. Alvarenga, "A Multi-Start Simulated Annealing Algorithm for the Vehicle Routing Problem with Time Windows," 2006 Ninth Brazilian Symposium on Neural Networks (SBRN'06), Ribeirao Preto, Brazil, 2006, pp. 137-142.

[35] Festa P., Resende M.G.C. (2009) Hybrid GRASP Heuristics. In: Abraham A., Hassanien AE., Siarry P., Engelbrecht A. (eds) Foundations of Computational Intelligence Volume 3. Studies in Computational Intelligence, vol 203. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

[36] B. Betro‘, F. Schoen, Optimal and sub-optimal stopping rules for the multistart algorithm in global optimization, Math. Program. **57**, pp. 445–458, 1992.

[37] W.E. Hart, Sequential stopping rules for random optimization methods with applications to multistart local search, Siam J. Optim. **9**, pp. 270–290, 1998.

[38] I.E. Lagaris and I.G. Tsoulos, Stopping Rules for Box-Constrained Stochastic Global Optimization, Applied Mathematics and Computation **197**, pp. 622-632, 2008.

[39] J. Larson and S.M. Wild, Asynchronously parallel optimization solver for finding multiple minima, Mathematical Programming Computation **10**, pp. 303-332, 2018.

[40] H.P.J. Bolton, J.F. Schutte, A.A. Groenwold, Multiple Parallel Local Searches in Global Optimization. In: Dongarra J., Kacsuk P., Podhorszki N. (eds) Recent Advances in Parallel Virtual Machine and Message Passing Interface. EuroPVM/MPI 2000. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 1908. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2000.

[41] Ioannis G. Tsoulos, Modifications of real code genetic algorithm for global optimization, Applied Mathematics and Computation **203**, pp. 598-607, 2008.

[42] M. Montaz Ali, Charoenchai Khompatraporn, Zelda B. Zabinsky, A Numerical Evaluation of Several Stochastic Algorithms on Selected Continuous Global Optimization Test Problems, Journal of Global Optimization **31**, pp 635-672, 2005.

[43] C.A. Floudas, P.M. Pardalos, C. Adjiman, W. Esposoto, Z. Gümüs, S. Harding, J. Klepeis, C. Meyer, C. Schweiger, Handbook of Test Problems in Local and Global Optimization, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999.

[44] M. Gaviano, D.E. Ksasov, D. Lera, Y.D. Sergeyev, Software for generation of classes of test functions with known local and global minima for global optimization, ACM Trans. Math. Softw. **29**, pp. 469-480, 2003.

[45] J.E. Lennard-Jones, On the Determination of Molecular Fields, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **106**, pp. 463–477, 1924.

[46] O.A. Sauer, D.M. Shepard, T.R. Mackie, Application of constrained optimization to radiotherapy planning, Medical Physics **26**, pp. 2359-2366, 1999.

[47] F.P. Seelos, R.E. Arvidson, Bounded variable least squares - application of a constrained optimization algorithm to the analysis of TES Emissivity Spectra, in: 34th Annual Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, March 17-21, 2003, League City, Texas, abstract no.1817.

[48] M.J. Field, Constrained optimization of ab initio and semiempirical Hartree-Fock wave functions using direct minimization or simulated annealing , Journal of physical chemistry **95**, pp. 5104-5108, 1991.

[49] G.A. Williams, J.M. Dugan, R.B. Altman, Constrained global optimization for estimating molecular structure from atomic distances, Journal of Computational Biology **8**, pp. 523-547, 2001.

[50] A.V. Levy, A. Montalvo, The tunneling algorithm for global optimization of functions, SIAM Journal of Scientific and Statistical Computing **6**, pp. 15-29, 1985.

[51] H.M. Salkin, Integer programming, Edison Wesley Publishing Com., Amsterdam, 1975.

[52] R. Hess, A heuristic search for estimating a global solution of non convex programming problems, Operations Research **21**, pp. 1267-1280, 1973.

[53] P. Chootinan, A. Chen, Constraint handling in genetic algorithms using a gradient - based repair method, Computer and Operations Research **33**, pp. 2263-2281, 2006.

[54] J.J. Liang, T.P. Runarsson, E. Mezura-Montes, M. Clerc, P.N. Suganthan, C.A.C. Coello, K. Deb, Problem definitions and evaluation criteria for the CEC2006 special session on constrained real-parameter optimization, `http://www.ntu.edu.sg/home/EPNSugan/index_files/CEC-06/CEC06.htm`