Introduction to Natural Language Processing Catch-up 1

Authors:

Tony George

Introduction

(Copied from subject)

In this small project, you will code a sentiment classifier using the naive Bayes methods seen in class and compare it with the FastText library. There are a few theoritical questions to answer as well.

Please, read the full assignment before starting.

For coding standards, please respect the following guidelines

- Use docstring format to describe your functions and their arguments.
- Use typing.
- Have clear and verbatim variable names (not x, x1, x2, xx, another_x, ...).
- Make your results reproducible (force random seeds values when necessary and possible).
- Don't hesitate commenting in details part of the code you consider complex or hard to read.

Do not hesitate contacting me if you have any question, but please don't wait until the last moment to do so.

Imports

Using conda with python version 3.8. A conda yml should be available if I didn't forget to generate it.

Forcing seed

This helps reproducibility, feel free to play with!

The dataset

t name='imdb')}

Using HuggingFace's version of the IMDB dataset as asked by subject.

Importing from HuggingFace

```
Desc: Large Movie Review Dataset.

This is a dataset for binary sentiment classification containing substantially more data than previous benchmark datasets. We provide a set of 25,000 highly polar movie reviews for training, and 25,000 for testing. There is additional unlabeled data for use as well.

Features: {'text': Value(dtype='string', id=None), 'label': ClassLabel(num_classes=2, names=['neg', 'pos'], names_file=None, id=None)}

Splits: {'train': SplitInfo(name='train', num_bytes=33432835, num_examples=25000, dataset_name='imdb'), 'test': SplitInfo(name='test', num_bytes=32650697, num_examples=25000, dataset_name='imdb'), 'unsupervised': SplitInfo(name='unsupervised', num_bytes=67106814, num_examples=50000, dataset_name='unsupervised'.
```

Reusing dataset imdb (C:\Users\Griffures\.cache\huggingface\datasets\imdb\plain_text\1.0.0\e3c66f1 788a67a89c7058d97ff62b6c30531e05b549de56d3ab91891f0561f9a)

Reusing dataset imdb (C:\Users\Griffures\.cache\huggingface\datasets\imdb\plain_text\1.0.0\e3c66f1 788a67a89c7058d97ff62b6c30531e05b549de56d3ab91891f0561f9a)

Dataset Exploration

How many splits does the dataset has?

```
dict keys(['train', 'test', 'unsupervised'])
```

HuggingFaces's version of the IMDB dataset has 3 different splits, though we will only interest ourselves in the first two ones.

The *train* and *test* split are your standard ML splits, while the *unsupervised* data contains unlabelled data for those willing to gain more volumetry at the cost of some work.

How big are these splits?

```
['Split train contains 25000 examples (33.4 MB).',
    'Split test contains 25000 examples (32.7 MB).',
    'Split unsupervised contains 50000 examples (67.1 MB).']
```

In term of size, we have a 50/50 split between labelled and unlabelled data, and another 50/50 between the *train* and *test* split for the former one.

What is the proportion of each class on the supervised splits?

```
Train : {'neg': 12500, 'pos': 12500}
Test : {'neg': 12500, 'pos': 12500}
```

Both our splits have no bias toward a class or the other, with again a perfect 50/50 split.

Naive Bayes classifier

Pretreatment

Using the standard NLP pretreatment workflow, up to stemming.

The reason I don't do lemmatization is because my pretreatment function is simple and tends to butcher words. (i.e. "you'll" becomes ["you", "'ll"], and wordnet lemmatizer does not recognize the second word as will).

Example with: I went and saw this movie last night after being coaxed to by a few friends of min e. I'll admit that I was reluctant to see it because from what I knew of Ashton Kutcher he was only able to do comedy. I was wrong. Kutcher played the character of Jake Fischer very well, and Kevin Costner played Ben Randall with such professionalism. The sign of a good movie is that it can to y with our emotions. This one did exactly that. The entire theater (which was sold out) was overco

me by laughter during the first half of the movie, and were moved to tears during the second half. While exiting the theater I not only saw many women in tears, but many full grown men as well, try ing desperately not to let anyone see them crying. This movie was great, and I suggest that you go see it before you judge.

Which gives: went saw movy last night coax friend min 'll admit reluct see knew ashton kutch abl comedy wrong kutch play charact jak fisch wel kevin costn play ben randal profess sign good movy t oy emot on exact entir the sold overcom laught first half movy mov tear second half exit the saw m any wom tear many ful grown men wel try desp let anyon see cry movy gre suggest go see judg

Implementing the model

As to not rely too much on sklearn's library, and only using it to gain time, we will override the preprocessing function of the CountVectorizer with our own, and disable its stopwords list.

The model will actually take a hit from this workflow (both in performance, as the tokenizing will actually happen twice, and in accuracy, as we could do everything with a well configured CountVectorizer). However, it proves a greater comprehension of the notions at play.

Training

Loading cached shuffled indices for dataset at C:\Users\Griffures\.cache\huggingface\datasets\imdb\plain_text\1.0.0\e3c66f1788a67a89c7058d97ff62b6c30531e05b549de56d3ab91891f0561f9a\cache-9d17e850e5680b7a.arrow

Reporting accuracy

Accuracy : 0.81168

Is accuracy it sufficient here?

Accuracy is a sufficient metric here for multiple reasons:

- Since we only have 2 classes, a confusion matrix looses its relevance (p versus 1 p).
- Due to the nature of our classification, we do not care specifically for type 1 nor type 2 errors. Therefore focusing Precision or Recall is meaningless.
- The above, plus the fact that our classes are perfectly balanced, makes f1-score a very similar metric as accuracy (harmonic mean between precision and recall).

Top 10 important feature from each class

I already removed the stop words, so we already have the best results!:)

```
Top 10 features (stems) in NEG:
 * br
      -3.41
          -4.04
 * movy
 * film
          -4.25
          -4.78
 * on
 * act
           -4.79
          -4.87
 * lik
          -4.89
 * ev
         -5.09
 * real
 * mak -5.3
           -5.27
Top 10 features (stems) in POS:
 * br -3.51
```

*	film	-4.18
*	movy	-4.32
*	on	-4.76
*	act	-5.05
*	lik	-5.06
*	real	-5.09
*	ev	-5.2
*	tim	-5.31
*	good	-5.35

Analysis:

Prevalent stems common in both classes (8/10):

- br
- movy
- film
- on
- act
- like
- real
- ev

Prevalent NEG stems:

- mak
- bad

Prevalent POS stems:

- time
- good

A great number of steams are common between our two classes. This makes me think that a greater notion of context should be given to better the model. Just giving the CountVectorizer 2-grams would help it makes the difference between 'bad' and 'not bad', 'good act' and 'bad act', etc.

However 81% for such a simple model is not bad at all already! (n-grams would explode the size of our vocabulary).

Digging up errors

Loading cached shuffled indices for dataset at C:\Users\Griffures\.cache\huggingface\datasets\imdb \plain_text\1.0.0\e3c66f1788a67a89c7058d97ff62b6c30531e05b549de56d3ab91891f0561f9a\cache-e3a051aef 336c446.arrow

1. (the description of the mood of the movie may be considered as a spoiler - because there is not much action in fact)

/>

/>cbr />Great one...

/>cbr />Is it for my peculiar interest for the dys topias and utopias? Is it for the atmosphere of the movie. Or is there some more magic? If yes, it is for sure the utmost human one...

/>cbr />This film is, no doubt, extremely artistic/artifici al (depends on taste). I can imagine most of the people who hate to watch slow movies (and those of Tsai Ming Liang (who I didn't enjoy other times) are one of the slowest that I know), suffering during the movie. Yes, people are unable to slow down and to let time pass - and to watch it witho ut feeling they waste it. One can take this piece as torture or as a therapy...

/>cbr />The top ic at the surface? The lack of communication - even if we live in rabbit cages - one next to each other - but not really together? People are tired, sick of something and unable to describe it - j ust don't want to meet, touch, talk, confront the others... like if they had disappeared. The big block of flats looks void and the rain falling constantly evokes the strange melancholy inside. An

d sometimes it must be something abnormal, unexpected, some unwanted decay as a hole in the floor of concrete - that allows us to reach each other.

br />obr />one of the possible ways to look at it is this: Don't survey the inner world of the characters - consider the whole movie-space to be it notice of yourself. And ask - why is it there? Where could these depressive states and moods come from? Is there a place for them, they don't have a right to be here? And search for the answers (if you need them) among the walls and halls of the block - instead of inside hardly transparent mind of a man.

br />tbr />The key to understand is not-to-understand - to let a movie borrow us - as a subject of study - inside itself - and at the end safely return us to our more colorful and "normal" looking reality.

br />Then, maybe, you will reach - like me - the feeling of real, possib le, non-pathetic hope, that in core we are still humans... and this state of mind can help one much to live in this world.

- 2. The trailer to this film focused so much on the chain (of course, because it's so sensational) that it missed most of the movie, which is about a developing, although rather simply drawn, relat ionship between Lazarus and Rae as they attempt to recover from their past pains with each other.< br />
Of course, with the premise of a nymphomaniac in chains, it's no surprise that there's plenty of implied sex involved. However, at it's core, Black Snake Moan is a basic tale of redempt ion and the healing power of helping another person along. Maybe it's just me though, but I think poor Lazarus should've had his story focused on more. He's a hurting man after his wife leaves hi m, but we never fully see how helping Rae resolve her past pains heals him too. It's just implied that it does -- in essence, he plays the wizard that helps the young Rae overcome her curse, through a big ol' chain and some blues.
L like the story, but I wish it were a bit more even an d didn't have to rely on the sensational. The side characters were fairly decent, if simple and I liked the music. The acting was good enough, although I can't be certain if the Rae character is f ully believable. But that might just be my naivety.

 All in all, I liked the film, but I wasn't compelled by it. Maybe it's that I'm too critical, but the story seems a little too conveni ent to be fully believable and so, while it all seemed very cool, I could never truly buy it. The chain thing was a little too far-fetched for me. Still, this can provide some entertainment for th ose looking for dramatic redemption stories with a shot of the blues. 7/10
- 3. I haven't written a review on here in ages but rewatching all of bottom TV show, live shows and this I felt I had to make my views on this movie known! It is, I feel, the perfect comedy movie. I t lacks the lovey dovey story lines(I wouldn't really call richies enfatuation with Gina Carbonara love would you? Or him and eddie going up there naked... not love) that make the rest of comedys g o from good to crap, it lacks the usual dilemmas that one must overcome in most other comedy movie s... unless you count the fact that they poisoned the guests and must escape from the guests green vomit as a dilemma thats similar to other comedy movies..... No, this movie just sets out and succ eeds in doing one thing AND ONE thing only: Making one laugh. What does one require from comedy mo vies? Laughter. This movie just piles on laugh after laugh without stuffing up the laughs with ser ious crap like other comedy movies! Thus I call it the, so far, only perfect comedy movie ever mad e and I will never ever stop watching this beautiful movie! I appluad rick and ade on such fantast ic genius!
- 4. 15 PARK AVENUE is the address "Mithi/Mithali" (Konkona) is in search for from the movies beginn ing. "Prof.Anu" (Shabhana Azmi)is Mithi's extremely caring and loving half sister from Mithi's mo m's earlier marriage. The movie revolves around these characters and looks into the life of a schi zophrenic patient (Mithi). The director tries to explain to the viewer the imaginary world of Mith i, through her continuous blabbering to Anu and others.

 '>

 '>

 Konkona deserves not one but t housands of awards (which I am sure, she will be getting) for this rendition of Mithi in this movi e. You can see the look of a patient written on her face, by the drooping lips and sleepy eyes, from the first scene itself. Rahul Bose has done a good job, but has been reduced to one half of the movie in spite of his importance in their life.

 '>

 Mithi & Anu, Anu & Anu's Mom and between Anu & Sanjiv (Kanwaljit Singh). Shabhana Azmi, as usual has done a riveting performance to be remembered as the sister, who sacrificed her life for Mithi.

 '>

 The movie might not be your usual Hindi potb oiler, but can certainly make people look at the schizophrenic patients in a different light altog ether.

 '>

 The movie to a different ending rather than any clic hed ones, we might think off. Hats off to her, for this great movie!!!
- 5. i was disappointed in this documentary.i thought it would be about the second chess match betwe en Grandmaster Garry Kasporov and Deep Blue the supercomputer designed by IBM computer experts to beat any human chess player.Kasparov was and still is, considered the greatest chess player ever.th e movie takes us back to 1997 where Kasporov had agreed to have a rematch with "deep Blue" after d efeating it 1 year earlier.but instead of focusing on the game, it focuses more on what happens bef ore and after.there are snippets of the game, but not very many.much of the film centers around Kasporov's paranoid obsession that the match was rigged as part of some conspiracy theory and that he lost the match unfairly.the movie also includes interviews with people who are not interesting in any way.they even chat with the manager of the building where the match took place.who cares?i also found it very dry and slow.ultimately this movie was unsatisfying.this is just my opinion, of course.if you like conspiracy theories, this movie might interest you.for people not into chess or con

spiracy theories, this movie would probably have no value.i am a chess fan, and i only stuck it out because of that.i give "Game Over: Kasparov and the Machine" 4/10

Analysis

We will interest in the 1st and 5th documents.

In the first one, while the author enjoyed the movie, it also understands why other people would dislike it, and explains so. The model got stuck on sentence like "most of the people who hate to watch slow movies", without understanding that the dark mood of the movie actually resonates with the reviewer. This is therefore a false negative

The fifth one is a contrario a false positive. The author is actually let down by the movie, which according to him does not do justice to the match between the *greatest* chess player Kasparov. The model misunderstanding all the superlatives to be about the film, while in reality the reviwever explains why he is let down.

In both case, we have respectively bad and good words used in a good and bad context, which tricks the models as it does not have a notion of context.

FastText

Dataset conversion

Loading cached shuffled indices for dataset at C:\Users\Griffures\.cache\huggingface\datasets\imdb\plain_text\1.0.0\e3c66f1788a67a89c7058d97ff62b6c30531e05b549de56d3ab91891f0561f9a\cache-faf8c2d959925f7e.arrow

Loading cached shuffled indices for dataset at C:\Users\Griffures\.cache\huggingface\datasets\imdb\plain_text\1.0.0\e3c66f1788a67a89c7058d97ff62b6c30531e05b549de56d3ab91891f0561f9a\cache-e3a051aef 336c446.arrow

Training

With default hypers

Accuracy : 0.87552

Hypers search functionality

We will first need to split the dataset. Since I already have a function converting the dataset to a text file compatible with FastText, I will not use sklearn's function, but simply use HuggingGace's Slicing API.

Reusing dataset imdb (C:\Users\Griffures\.cache\huggingface\datasets\imdb\plain_text\1.0.0\e3c66f1 788a67a89c7058d97ff62b6c30531e05b549de56d3ab91891f0561f9a)

Reusing dataset imdb (C:\Users\Griffures\.cache\huggingface\datasets\imdb\plain_text\1.0.0\e3c66f1 788a67a89c7058d97ff62b6c30531e05b549de56d3ab91891f0561f9a)

Loading cached shuffled indices for dataset at C:\Users\Griffures\.cache\huggingface\datasets\imdb\plain_text\1.0.0\e3c66f1788a67a89c7058d97ff62b6c30531e05b549de56d3ab91891f0561f9a\cache-2543f99785e8eedb.arrow

Loading cached shuffled indices for dataset at C:\Users\Griffures\.cache\huggingface\datasets\imdb \plain_text\1.0.0\e3c66f1788a67a89c7058d97ff62b6c30531e05b549de56d3ab91891f0561f9a\cache-672e9aaa5 d21540f.arrow

Accuracy : 0.88116

Model differences

```
Default model attributes :
  * bucket: 0
  * dim : 100
  * lr : 0.1
  * lrUpdateRate: 100
  * maxn : 0
  * minn : 0
  * wordNgrams : 1
  * ws : 5
  * loss : loss name.softmax
  * minCount : 1
  * minCountLabel : 0
Hypertuned model attributes :
  * bucket : 10000000
  * dim : 5
  * lr: 2.257449767122032
  * lrUpdateRate : 100
  * maxn : 0
  * minn : 0
  * wordNgrams : 3
  * ws : 5
  * loss : loss name.softmax
  * minCount : 1
  * minCountLabel : 0
```

Analysis:

- The hypertuned model is way more aggressive in its learning rate (quintuple the default one)
- Its dimension however, is reduced by an order of magnitude (100 vs 9)
- The hypertuned model work using trigrams, which was hypothesized when working with the NaiveBayes model above.
- minn and maxn are both nullified in both models.

Digging up errors

In order to compare the models, we will use the 5 same sentences as in the Naive Bayesian model.

1. NEG: the description of the mood of the movie may be considered as a spoiler because there is not much action in factbr br great onebr br is it for my peculiar interest for the dystopias and u topias is it for the atmosphere of the movie or is there some more magic if yes it is for sure the utmost human onebr br this film is no doubt extremely artisticartificial depends on taste i can im agine most of the people who hate to watch slow movies and those of tsai ming liang who i didnt en joy other times are one of the slowest that i know suffering during the movie yes people are unabl e to slow down and to let time pass and to watch it without feeling they waste it one can take th is piece as torture or as a therapybr br the topic at the surface the lack of communication even if we live in rabbit cages one next to each other but not really together people are tired sick of something and unable to describe it just dont want to meet touch talk confront the others like if they had disappeared the big block of flats looks void and the rain falling constantly evokes t he strange melancholy inside and sometimes it must be something abnormal unexpected some unwanted decay as a hole in the floor of concrete that allows us to reach each otherbr br one of the possi ble ways to look at it is this dont survey the inner world of the characters consider the whole m oviespace to be inside of yourself and ask why is it there where could these depressive states an d moods come form is there a place for them they dont have a right to be here and search for the a nswers if you need them among the walls and halls of the block instead of inside hardly transpare nt mind of a manbr br the key to understand is nottounderstand to let a movie borrow us as a sub ject of study inside itself and at the end safely return us to our more colorful and normal look ing realitybr br then maybe you will reach like me the feeling of real possible nonpathetic hope that in core we are still humans and this state of mind can help one much to live in this world

2. POS : the trailer to this film focused so much on the chain of course because its so sensationa 1 that it missed most of the movie which is about a developing although rather simply drawn relati onship between lazarus and rae as they attempt to recover from their past pains with each otherbr br of course with the premise of a nymphomaniac in chains its no surprise that theres plenty of im plied sex involved however at its core black snake moan is a basic tale of redemption and the heal ing power of helping another person along maybe its just me though but i think poor lazarus should ve had his story focused on more hes a hurting man after his wife leaves him but we never fully se e how helping rae resolve her past pains heals him too its just implied that it doesin essence he plays the wizard that helps the young rae overcome her curse through a big ol chain and some blues br br i like the story but i wish it were a bit more even and didnt have to rely on the sensationa 1 the side characters were fairly decent if simple and i liked the music the acting was good enoug h although i cant be certain if the rae character is fully believable but that might just be my na ivetybr br all in all i liked the film but i wasnt compelled by it maybe its that im too critical but the story seems a little too convenient to be fully believable and so while it all seemed very cool i could never truly buy it the chain thing was a little too farfetched for me still this can provide some entertainment for those looking for dramatic redemption stories with a shot of the bl ues 710

- 3. POS: i havent written a review on here in ages but rewatching all of bottom tv show live shows and this i felt i had to make my views on this movie known it is i feel the perfect comedy movie i t lacks the lovey dovey story linesi wouldnt really call richies enfatuation with gina carbonara l ove would you or him and eddie going up there naked not love that make the rest of comedys go from good to crap it lacks the usual dilemmas that one must overcome in most other comedy movies unless you count the fact that they poisoned the guests and must escape from the guests green vomit as a dilemma thats similar to other comedy movies no this movie just sets out and succeeds in doing one thing and one thing only making one laugh what does one require from comedy movies laughter this m ovie just piles on laugh after laugh without stuffing up the laughs with serious crap like other c omedy movies thus i call it the so far only perfect comedy movie ever made and i will never ever s top watching this beautiful movie i appluad rick and ade on such fantastic genius
- 4. POS: 15 park avenue is the address mithimithali konkona is in search for from the movies begin ning profanu shabhana azmiis mithis extremely caring and loving half sister from mithis moms earli er marriage the movie revolves around these characters and looks into the life of a schizophrenic patient mithi the director tries to explain to the viewer the imaginary world of mithi through her continuous blabbering to anu and others br br konkona deserves not one but thousands of awards whi ch i am sure she will be gettingfor this rendition of mithi in this movie you can see the look of a patient written on her face by the drooping lips and sleepy eyes from the first scene itself rah ul bose has done a good job but has been reduced to one half of the movie in spite of his importance in their lifebr br watch out for the intense relationships shown between the characters of the movie mithi anu anu anus mom and between anu sanjiv kanwaljit singh shabhana azmi as usual has done a riveting performance to be remembered as the sister who sacrificed her life for mithibr br the movie might not be your usual hindi potboiler but can certainly make people look at the schizo phrenic patients in a different light altogetherbr br as usual aparna sen brings the movie to a different ending rather than any clichéd ones we might think off hats off to her for this great movi
- 5. NEG: i was disappointed in this documentaryi thought it would be about the second chess match between grandmaster garry kasporov and deep blue the supercomputer designed by ibm computer expert s to beat any human chess playerkasparov was and still isconsidered the greatest chess player ever the movie takes us back to 1997 where kasporov had agreed to have a rematch with deep blue after d efeating it 1 year earlierbut instead of focusing on the gameit focuses more on what happens befor e and afterthere are snippets of the gamebut not very manymuch of the film centers around kasporov s paranoid obsession that the match was rigged as part of some conspiracy theory and that he lost the match unfairlythe movie also includes interviews with people who are not interesting in any waythey even chat with the manager of the building where the match took placewho caresi also found it very dry and slowultimately this movie was unsatisfyingthis is just my opinionof courseif you like conspiracy theoriesthis movie might interest youfor people not into chess or conspiracy theoriesthis movie would probably have no valuei am a chess fanand i only stuck it out because of thating ivegame overkasparov and the machine 410

Analysis:

We see that most of the tricky cases failed by the Naive Bayesian model were correctly guessed by FastText. However, it still got lead astray int he first one by the explanation of how the reviewer may understand why *others* may have disliked the movie (but how he personally enjoyed it nonetheless).

To be fair to the model this example can be considered tricky, as the reviewer employs many subjunctives, so tracking context is difficult. Even more so that author says how the movie touched him, but did not say outright that he *liked* it (as human, we have the inner knowledge that art has the goal of resonating, one way or another, with the observer. However again, FastText lacks such context).

MINN and MAXN nullified?

Minn and Maxn are filter applied on N-Grams to filter out words too small (minn) or too big (maxn).

Them both being nullified signify that absolutely no words are being filtered out, the models takes into account every feature.

This can be understood, as in english, words have very varied length (especially with 3-grams in this model's case). While prepositions tends to be shorter and carry less information, so does other important words.

For example, "not" is only three letters and changes completely the sense of the following proposition, "like" is one of the main features of our classifier and is only 4 letters long. On the other hand, with have 3 grams like "actors played perfectly", 24 letters long.

The model therefore takes all those words into account and do not discriminate by length.

Theoretical questions

Answer the following questions.

- 1. Explain with your own words, using a short paragraph for each, what are:
 - Phonetics and phonology
 - Morphology and syntax
 - Semantics and pragmatics

Phonetics and phonology: Phonetics are linked to human sounds, as of which could be found inside a phonetic alphabet (mostly used therefore in oral language processing). Those are divided between production, transmission, and perception category. Phonology, on the other end, comes after phonetics. It is link to those sounds inside a language context (or multiple ones), in order to form syllables and words (or language's base elements).

Morphology and Syntax: Morphology comes after phonology, and looks at words and their composition (stems, prefixes, suffices, etc) and more generally how words relate to each other. Syntax, still one step further, look into the relation between *words* to establish sentences (with context, meaning). Grammatical relations starts from here.

Semantics and pragmatics: We top off our layers with the former and the latter, in this order. Semantics is the study of meaning through the relation if words and sentences, in a larger sense. Pragmatics view this same meaning through the lens of social context (no you could 'eat an elephant', you are just very hungry).

- 1. What is the difference between stemming and lemmatization?
 - How do they both work?
 - What are the pros and cons of both methods?

Stemming is used to get back the *stem* of the words (its root). That way, 'movie' becomes 'movy' as we saw (*ie -> y*), or 'skipping' become 'skip' (advanced stemming will sometimes keep suffixes, instead transforming it to 'skip' and '#ing', in order to keep the information about continuity). It is purely algorithmical.

Lemmatization, as its name implies, include a collection of *lemme*. Those are hand crafted rules that helps the model link words together. For example, we indicate to the model that "were" == "was" == "'s" and should be handled as "be".

Lemmatization tends to have better results than Stemming, however the latter is way easier to implement, and also cheaper to run resource wise and time wise. On older models, such preprocessing tends to worsen accuracy instead.

- 1. On logistic regression:
 - How does stochastic gradient descent work?
 - What is the role of the learning rate?
 - Will it always find the global minimum?

Stochastic Gradient Descent works by deriving our modelled function and modifying our inputs in the direction of our obtained gradient, in order to gradually converge towards the modelled function minimum.

Learning rate correspond to how big of a step we take at each iteration. Proper learning rate is very important, as one too low would make the model take ages to converge, or even get stuck inside a local minimum. We could also totally step over the minima in case of a learning to high.

A mechanism of *heat* is sometimes used to circumvent those issues: The learning rate start high in order to quickly explore the domain of the modelled function, then the learning rate slows down (as would heat cool off) in order to get more precise results.

The global (absolute) minimum is absolutely not guaranteed, as getting stuck inside a local minimum is very common (plus the example above).

- 1. What problems does TF-iDF try to solve?
 - What the is the TF part for?
 - What is the iDF part for?

TF-iDF is used to weight features (N-grams) inside a document corpus (it is applied for each word for each document).

The **TF** (term frequency) part weights favorably a term that is used multiple time in the current document (*if it is repeated, then it is an important term in this specific document*).

It is most simply a simple fraction (occurrences of a specific feature in the doc divided by the total number of features inside said doc).

The **iDF** (inverse document frequency) part weights unfavorably a word that is used in many document of the corpus (*if this term is important for every document, then it does not give me information this specific one*).

It is the log of the number of documents (N) divided by the document frequency of the studied term (DF). As DF approaches N (the term appears in every document), the fraction becomes 1, and the log lean towards 0, devaluing the weight of the term.

TF-iDF is simply the product of those two parts.

1. Summarize how the skip-gram method of Word2Vec works using a couple of paragraphs.

• How does it uses the fact that two words appearing in similar contexts are likely to have similar meanings?

The **skip-gram method of Word2Vec** works in reverse of models like *CBOW*. Instead of providing *context* (surrounding words) and then having to guess the center words, it provides the center words and then tries to guess the surrounding ones. Essentially creating proposition.

To do so, it does not use *one-hot encoding* but *continuous vectors* (a.k.a. embeddings). That way, words that are closer in meaning are also closer in an euclidean space.

If two different words can be found with the same surrounding words, then the model deduce that they are closer in meaning, bringer also literally closer in the embedding space.