

# Chapter-by-Chapter Explanation of the Paper

**Title:** *Nonlinear Information Fusion Algorithms for Data-Efficient Multi-Fidelity Modelling*

**Authors:** P. Perdikaris, M. Raissi, A. Damianou, N. D. Lawrence, G. E. Karniadakis

## 1. Introduction

**Key Problem:** Traditional multi-fidelity models (e.g., Kennedy & O'Hagan's linear autoregressive scheme) struggle to capture **nonlinear** or **space-dependent cross-correlations** between low- and high-fidelity data. Low-fidelity models may provide erroneous trends outside their validity regime, limiting their utility.

**Solution:** Introduce a **nonlinear autoregressive Gaussian process (NARGP)** framework that generalizes linear autoregressive models by learning complex cross-correlations through deep GP-inspired structures.

**Core Idea:** Replace the linear scaling factor  $\rho$  in Kennedy & O'Hagan's model with a **nonlinear mapping**  $z_{t-1}(f_{t-1}(x))$ , modeled as a Gaussian process. This allows the algorithm to capture nonlinear dependencies while maintaining computational tractability.

**Supplementary Material:**

- **Gaussian Process Regression:** Basics in [Rasmussen & Williams \(2006\)](#).
- **Kennedy & O'Hagan (2000):** Original linear autoregressive multi-fidelity model [DOI:10.1093/biomet/87.1.1](https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/87.1.1).

## 2. Methods

**Model Structure:**

### 1. Recursive GP Framework:

- For fidelity levels  $t = 1, \dots, s$ , model outputs as:

$$f_t(x) = g_t(x, f_{t-1}(x)) + \delta_t(x),$$

where  $g_t$  is a GP mapping inputs  $x$  and lower-fidelity outputs  $f_{t-1}(x)$  to higher-fidelity outputs.

- **Kernel Design:** Use a composite kernel to decompose contributions from input space and lower-fidelity outputs:

$$k_{t_g} = k_{t_\rho}(x, x') \cdot k_{t_f}(f_{t-1}(x), f_{t-1}(x')) + k_{t_\delta}(x, x'),$$

where  $k_{t_\rho}$ ,  $k_{t_f}$ ,  $k_{t_\delta}$  are squared-exponential kernels with ARD weights.

## 2. Training Workflow:

- **Step 1:** Train a standard GP on the lowest-fidelity data.
- **Step 2:** Sequentially train GPs at higher fidelity levels using the posterior mean of the previous level as an input.
- **Step 3:** Propagate uncertainty through Monte Carlo integration for predictions.

**Key Innovation:** Avoids the intractability of deep GPs by using deterministic posterior means from previous levels, simplifying training to standard GP regression.

## Supplementary Material:

- **Automatic Relevance Determination (ARD):** Explained in [Bishop's \*Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning\*](#).
- **Monte Carlo Uncertainty Propagation:** Tutorial in [Girard et al. \(2003\)](#).

## 3. Results

### Benchmark Problems:

#### 1. Pedagogical 1D Example:

- **Low-fidelity:**  $f_l(x) = \sin(8\pi x)$ .
- **High-fidelity:**  $f_h(x) = (x - \sqrt{2})f_l^2(x)$ .
- **Result:** NARGP captures nonlinear cross-correlations (Figure 2a), outperforming AR1 (Figure 2b).

#### 2. Branin Function (3-Level):

- **Low/medium/high-fidelity:** Complex transformations of the Branin function.
- **Result:** NARGP achieves  $\mathbb{L}_2$  error = 0.023 vs. AR1's 0.112 (Figure 7).

#### 3. Mixed Convection Flow:

- Combines experimental correlations (low-fidelity) and Navier-Stokes simulations (high-fidelity).
- **Result:** NARGP reduces prediction error by leveraging nonlinear correlations, even in opposing flow regimes (Figure 10).

**Key Insight:** NARGP outperforms linear autoregressive models in accuracy, especially in regions where low-fidelity data are sparse or misleading.

**Supplementary Material:**

- **Branin Function:** Benchmark details in [Forrester et al. \(2008\)](#).
- **Latin Hypercube Sampling:** Explained in [McKay et al. \(1979\)](#).

## 4. Discussion & Conclusion

**Advantages of NARGP:**

1. **Flexibility:** Captures nonlinear/space-dependent correlations without deep GP complexity.
2. **Efficiency:** Maintains  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$  training cost (same as AR1).
3. **Robustness:** Safeguards against misleading low-fidelity trends.

**Limitations:**

- Assumes **noiseless data**; extensions to noisy data require semi-supervised GPs.
- Nested experimental designs ( $D_t \subseteq D_{t-1}$ ) may restrict flexibility.

**Future Work:**

- Integration with scalable GP approximations (e.g., sparse GPs).
- Applications to high-dimensional/real-time systems.

**Supplementary Material:**

- **Sparse GPs:** [Snelson & Ghahramani \(2005\)](#).
- **Deep GPs:** [Damianou & Lawrence \(2013\)](#).

## Study Recommendations

1. **Prerequisite Knowledge:**

- Gaussian processes, kernel methods, Bayesian inference.
- Basics of multi-fidelity modeling (Kennedy & O'Hagan's work).

2. **Hands-On Practice:**

- Implement NARGP using [GPy](#) (code available [here](#)).
- Experiment with the Branin function or pedagogical 1D example.

### 3. **Further Reading:**

- [Peherstorfer et al. \(2016\)](#) (survey of multi-fidelity methods).
- [Deep Gaussian Processes](#) (advanced topic).

Let me know if you need help dissecting specific equations or figures!