Green Man Tavern - Structural Validation & Consistency Strategy

Using Claude Code for Codebase Health Checks

Overview

This document outlines a comprehensive strategy to use **Claude Code** as your quality assurance tool, ensuring the Green Man Tavern project maintains:

- Architectural consistency across all modules
- **Database integrity** (schema, relationships, migrations)
- **Security posture** (authentication, data access, injection vulnerabilities)
- **Performance standards** (N+1 queries, indexing, caching)
- **Code quality** (naming, documentation, duplication)
- **Integration correctness** (all modules working together seamlessly)

Part 1: Initial Deep Audit (Run Once)

Objective

Establish a baseline understanding of the current codebase health and identify any existing issues before further development.

Phase 1a: Codebase Overview Audit

Analyze the entire Green Man Tavern Phoenix LiveView + MindsDB project codebase.

Generate a comprehensive overview including:

1. **File Structure Analysis**:

- Describe directory organization
- Identify any orphaned files or dead code
- List all LiveView modules and their relationships
- Map all Contexts (business logic layers)

2. **Module Dependencies**:

- Create a dependency graph showing which modules import which
- Identify circular dependencies
- Flag any modules that are too tightly coupled
- Suggest candidates for extraction/refactoring

3. **Database Schema Audit**:

- List all tables and relationships
- Check for orphaned tables (not used by any Context)
- Verify all foreign keys have ON DELETE strategies
- Identify missing indexes on frequently queried fields
- Check for normalization issues
- Verify timestamp columns are present on all tables

4. **LiveView Architecture**:

- How many LiveView modules exist?
- Do they follow consistent patterns (mount, handle_event, handle_info)?
- Are they properly organized (one concern per module)?
- Any LiveViews doing business logic (should be in Contexts)?

5. **Naming Convention Consistency**:

- Module names: Do they follow `GreenManTavern.Domain.Module` pattern?
- Context names: All ending in context function names?
- Database table names: All snake_case plural?
- LiveView names: All ending with `Live`?
- Test files: All following `*_test.exs` pattern?

Output format: JSON-like checklist with pass/fail/warning status

Phase 1b: Security & Data Access Audit

Security audit for Green Man Tavern Phoenix + MindsDB codebase.

Focus on:

- 1. **Authentication & Authorization**:
 - How is current_user determined?
 - Are all LiveViews checking user ownership before showing data?
 - Can user A access user B's systems/quests/achievements?
 - Are there any unprotected endpoints?
- 2. **Data Access Patterns**:
 - Do LiveViews query database directly, or through Contexts?
 - Any raw SQL queries that could be SQL injection vectors?
 - How is user-uploaded data (if any) sanitized?
 - Are all list/show/edit/delete operations checking user ownership?
- 3. **MindsDB Agent Context**:
 - How is user context passed to MindsDB agents?
 - Can an agent see other users' data?
 - Are agent responses sanitized before displaying to user?
 - What happens if an agent hallucinate or returns malicious content?
- 4. **Session Management**:
 - How long do sessions last?
 - Are there CSRF protections on all forms?
 - Is HTTPS enforced in production config?
- 5. **Specific Concerns**:
 - User profiles: Can users see/edit others' profiles?
 - Systems diagram: Is it truly user-specific in database queries?
 - Achievements: Are they isolated per user?
 - Character agents: Do they only access relevant user's data?

Output: Risk assessment with severity (Critical/High/Medium/Low) and remediation steps

Phase 1c: Architecture Consistency Audit

Architecture consistency audit for Green Man Tavern.

Verify these key architectural decisions are consistently applied:

- 1. **Seven Seekers Character System**:
 - How many character modules/tables exist?
 - Are all seven characters (Student, Grandmother, Farmer, Robot, Alchemist, Survivalist, Hobo) fully implemented?
 - Do all character interactions follow the same pattern?
 - Are personality prompts consistent and accessible to MindsDB agents?
- 2. **Systems Flow Diagram (Living Web)**:
 - Is the diagram data model consistent with database schema?
 - Are nodes properly typed (resource/process/storage)?
 - Are connections properly tracked (active/potential)?
 - Is the opportunity detection algorithm implemented or planned?
- 3. **Quest System**:
 - Are all quest types implemented (tutorial, implementation, maintenance, learning, community, challenge)?
 - Do quests properly reference systems and achievements?
 - Is quest difficulty properly calculated?
 - Are quest rewards (XP, achievements) working correctly?
- 4. **User Progression**:
 - Is XP calculation consistent?
 - Do level thresholds match design spec?
 - Are achievements properly gating features?
 - Is trust system for characters functional?
- 5. **HyperCard Aesthetic**:
 - Are all UI components using consistent greyscale palette?
 - Are windows styled consistently?
 - Is typography consistent (Monaco, pixel-perfect)?
 - Are there any color violations (should be greyscale only)?
- 6. **MindsDB Integration**:
 - Are all agents properly configured?
 - Do agents access the same knowledge base?
 - Are context injection patterns consistent?
 - Is response caching implemented?

Output: Gap analysis showing what's implemented vs. what's missing

Phase 1d: Performance & Database Efficiency Audit

Claude Code Prompt:

Performance and database efficiency audit for Green Man Tavern.

Analyze:

- 1. **Query Efficiency**:
 - Which LiveViews query the database?
 - Any obvious N+1 query problems? (e.g., loop over systems then query connections for each)
 - Are associations being preloaded with `preload` or `:include`?
 - Are there any queries that could be batched?
 - Which queries run on every page load (candidates for caching)?
- 2. **Database Indexing**:
 - Do all foreign key columns have indexes?
 - Are there indexes on frequently filtered/sorted columns?
 - Are there composite indexes where appropriate?
 - Are there unused indexes that could be removed?
- 3. **LiveView Socket Assigns**:
 - How much data is stored in socket.assigns?
 - Are there large collections being stored unnecessarily?
 - Should any of this be moved to temporary_assigns?
 - Are streams being used for paginated data?
- 4. **Caching Opportunities**:
 - MindsDB agent responses: Are they cached?
 - Character profiles: Cached?
 - Systems library: Cached?
 - Opportunity detection: Cached?
 - What TTL should each have?
- 5. **Real-time Features**:
 - Which features use PubSub?
 - Could any PubSub messages be batched?
 - Are subscription/unsubscribe calls balanced?

Output: Performance recommendations ranked by impact (high/medium/low)

Phase 1e: Testing Coverage Audit

Test coverage and quality audit for Green Man Tavern.

Analyze:

1. **Test Existence**:

- How many ExUnit tests exist?
- What's the coverage percentage?
- Are all Contexts tested?
- Are all LiveViews tested?
- Are helpers/utilities tested?

2. **Test Quality**:

- Do tests verify behavior, not implementation?
- Are fixtures used consistently?
- Are there test factories for complex objects?
- Do integration tests cover the happy path AND error cases?

3. **Missing Tests**:

- Which Contexts have no tests?
- Which LiveViews have no tests?
- What about authentication tests?
- What about authorization tests?
- Are MindsDB agent integrations tested?

4. **Test Patterns**:

- Are tests organized by module?
- Do they follow consistent naming conventions?
- Are there helper functions to reduce duplication?
- Do tests create appropriate test data?

5. **CI/CD Readiness**:

- Is there a CI pipeline (GitHub Actions, CircleCI)?
- Do all tests pass locally?
- Are there any flaky tests?
- What's the test execution time?

Output: Test coverage report with recommendations for priority areas

Phase 1f: Documentation Audit

Documentation and onboarding audit for Green Man Tavern.

Check:

- 1. **Module Documentation**:
 - Do all modules have @moduledoc comments?
 - Do all public functions have @doc comments with examples?
 - Are complex algorithms explained?
 - Are edge cases documented?
- 2. **Architecture Documentation**:
 - Is there a README explaining the project?
 - Is there an architecture guide explaining components?
 - Are integration points documented?
 - Is the database schema documented?
 - Are the Seven Seekers' personalities documented?
- 3. **Developer Onboarding**:
 - Could a new developer understand the codebase?
 - Are local setup instructions clear?
 - Are there examples of common tasks?
 - Is there a glossary of domain terms?
- 4. **API Documentation**:
 - Are Context functions documented?
 - Are LiveView events documented?
 - Are data structures documented?

Output: Documentation gaps ranked by importance

Part 2: Ongoing Periodic Checks

Weekly Structural Health Check

Run every Monday morning

Weekly structural health check for Green Man Tavern.

Compare current codebase to last week's baseline and report:

- 1. **New modules added**: Verify they follow naming conventions and patterns
- 2. **Modified migrations**: Ensure all changes have down/rollback steps
- 3. **Test coverage**: Has it improved or regressed?
- 4. **Code duplication**: Any new duplicated patterns?
- 5. **Dependencies**: Any new external dependencies added? Are they justified?
- 6. **Performance regressions**: Any obvious new N+1 queries?
- 7. **Security concerns**: Any new user input handling that needs sanitization?

Output: Checklist format with green/yellow/red indicators

Bi-Weekly Architecture Drift Check

Run every other Thursday

Architecture drift detection for Green Man Tavern.

Verify these specific architectural contracts are still being followed:

- 1. **LiveView → Context → Database pattern**:
 - Are any LiveViews doing database queries directly?
 - Are any Contexts doing business logic that should be in LiveViews?
- 2. **Consistent error handling**:
 - Are all database operations wrapped in {:ok, data} / {:error, reason}?
 - Are all LiveView events handling errors consistently?
 - Is user feedback consistent?
- 3. **HyperCard aesthetic**:
 - Scan all CSS files: Any non-greyscale colors?
 - Check all components: Are titles using Monaco font?
 - Verify window styling: All using consistent Mac chrome?
- 4. **Character system**:
 - Are all seven characters equally implemented?
 - Are personality prompts being used in agent calls?
 - Is trust system being incremented properly?
- 5. **Systems diagram consistency**:
 - Are all system types properly categorized?
 - Are connections properly validated before save?
 - Is opportunity detection algorithm producing sensible results?

Output: Drift report with before/after comparisons

Monthly Integration Test

Run on the 1st of each month

Full integration test for Green Man Tavern - all modules together.

Simulate a complete user journey and verify:

- 1. **User Registration & Onboarding**:
 - Create test user account
 - Navigate robot onboarding (profile questions)
 - Verify profile data stored correctly
 - Verify user can select primary character
- 2. **Systems Flow Diagram**:
 - Add a system to their diagram
 - Create a connection between systems
 - Verify opportunity detection suggests improvements
 - Modify existing connection
 - Delete a system
- 3. **Character Interaction**:
 - Chat with primary character (mock MindsDB)
 - Verify character personality in response
 - Verify user context passed to agent
 - Verify responses stored in conversation history
- 4. **Quest System**:
 - View available quests
 - Accept a quest
 - Mark quest complete
 - Verify XP awarded
 - Check achievement unlocked (if applicable)
- 5. **Progression**:
 - Verify character trust level increased
 - Verify new level/XP displayed
 - Verify unlocked features now available
- 6. **Data Persistence**:
 - Log out and log back in
 - Verify all data still there
 - Verify systems diagram unchanged
 - Verify progress not reset

Output: Pass/fail for each step with detailed logs

Quarterly Deep Audit

Run at end of each quarter

Claude Code Prompt:

Quarterly deep audit for Green Man Tavern.

Comprehensive re-evaluation of:

- 1. **Database Health**:
 - Any orphaned data?
 - Migration consistency?
 - Backup and recovery process working?
 - Data integrity constraints being enforced?
- 2. **Code Quality Trend**:
 - Is code getting better or worse?
 - Which modules have highest complexity?
 - Which modules change most frequently?
 - Which modules have zero tests?
- 3. **Technical Debt**:
 - What's accumulating?
 - What should be refactored before it becomes urgent?
 - Any deprecated patterns still being used?
 - Any abandoned features cluttering the codebase?
- 4. **Performance Trends**:
 - Average page load time?
 - Average MindsDB agent response time?
 - Database query performance?
 - Any memory leaks?
- 5. **Security Posture**:
 - Any new vulnerability types introduced?
 - Is authentication still solid?
 - Are permissions properly enforced?
 - Any new attack surfaces?

Output: Executive summary with priorities for next quarter



Part 3: Setup & Maintenance Documentation

aude/project_stan	dards.md (Referen	ce documentat	ion)	 	
narkdown					

Green Man Tavern - Code Standards & Conventions

Architecture Principles

- **LiveView → Context → Database**: LiveViews never query database directly
- **User Ownership**: All queries filter by current_user_id
- **Personality-Driven**: Characters have distinct voices reflected in agent prompts
- **Visual Consistency**: Greyscale only (#000, #333, #666, #999, #CCC, #EEE, #FFF)

Naming Conventions

- Modules: GreenManTavern.Domain.Module
- Contexts: GreenManTavern.Domain (e.g., GreenManTavern.Characters)
- LiveViews: Module ending with Live
- Tables: snake_case, plural (users, user_systems, user_connections)
- Functions: snake case, verb+noun pattern

Database Conventions

- All tables have timestamps: inserted_at, updated_at
- All foreign keys have ON DELETE strategy
- All foreign key columns are indexed
- User-scoped tables must filter by user_id

Seven Seekers Characters

- The Student (Knowledge Seeker)
- The Grandmother (Elder Wisdom)
- The Farmer (Food Producer)
- The Robot (Tech Integration)
- The Alchemist (Plant Processor)
- The Survivalist (Resilience Expert)
- The Hobo (Nomadic Wisdom)

Testing Requirements

- All Contexts: >80% coverage
- All LiveViews: happy path + error cases
- Integration tests: full user journeys
- MindsDB agent calls: mocked in tests

Security Requirements

- All user-scoped data filtered by current_user_id
- No user-uploaded files without sanitization
- All form inputs validated server-side
- HTTPS enforced in production
- Sessions timeout after 24 hours

AUDIT_BASELINE.md) (Save results from initial audits)

1	
	# Green Man Tavern - Audit Baseline
	Date: [TODAY]
	Auditor: Claude Code
	## Initial Metrics
	- Total modules: [X]
	- Total lines of code: [X]
	- Test coverage: [X]%
	- Database tables: [X]
	- LiveView modules: [X]
	- Context modules: [X]
	## Critical Issues Found
	- [List any critical security/architecture issues]
	## High-Priority Recommendations
	- [List top 5-10 items]
	## Reference Audits
	- See audit results in `.claude/audits/` folder
	## Next Review: [Date]
ſ	IMPLEMENTATION_LOG.md) (Track what you've built)
	markdown
	lidikuowii

markdown			
1			ı

Implementation Log

Completed Features

- [x] User authentication
- [x] Character system (7 Seekers defined)
- [x] Systems flow diagram database
- [x] HyperCard UI components
- [x] Database module for user profiles
- [] MindsDB agent integration
- -[] Quest system implementation
- [] Achievement system implementation
- -[] Opportunity detection algorithm

Known Issues

- [Issue 1]: [Status/workaround]
- [Issue 2]: [Status/workaround]

Next Milestones

- 1. [By date]: Complete MindsDB agents
- 2. [By date]: Implement quest system
- 3. [By date]: Launch soft beta

Part 4: Claude Code Workflow

Daily Workflow

Morning (5 min):

claude-code "Quick health check: Any new files that need review? Any obvious code quality issues in files changed yesterday?"

After coding session (10 min):

claude-code "Review my changes today. Do they follow the conventions in .claude/project_standards.md? Are there any new security concerns?"

Before committing:

claude-code "Final review: Do these changes maintain architectural consistency? Any edge cases I might have missed?"

Weekly Workflow

Monday morning:

- Run Phase 1b's "Weekly Structural Health Check"
- Update AUDIT_BASELINE.md with new metrics
- Review test coverage report

Friday afternoon:

- Run any failing tests through Claude Code for diagnosis
- Ask: "What should I focus on improving next week?"

Milestone Workflow

Before major feature launch:

- Run Phase 1c's "Architecture Consistency Audit"
- Run Phase 1d's "Performance & Efficiency Audit"
- Run Phase 1e's "Testing Coverage Audit"
- Fix critical issues
- Document changes in IMPLEMENTATION_LOG.md

Before user launch:

- Run Phase 1b's "Security & Data Access Audit"
- Run Phase 2's "Monthly Integration Test"
- Get final security clearance
- · Document any known limitations

Part 5: Documentation for Each Check

What Claude Code Outputs

Each check produces:

- 1. Executive Summary 1-2 paragraphs of key findings
- 2. **Detailed Report** Item-by-item breakdown
- 3. **Severity Ratings** Critical/High/Medium/Low

- 4. **Actionable Recommendations -** Ranked by impact
- 5. **Code Examples -** Shows patterns to follow/avoid
- 6. **Specific File References** Line numbers and modules

How to Process Results

- 1. Read executive summary Understand overall status
- 2. **Prioritize Critical items** Address before other work
- 3. Create tickets For High/Medium items
- 4. Update project_standards.md If new patterns discovered
- 5. **Commit changes** Document audit findings in commit message
- 6. **Archive report** Save to (.claude/audit_results/[date].md)

© Success Criteria

Your structure validation is **working well** when:

- **Weekly checks** consistently pass with no new Critical issues
- ✓ **Architecture consistency** maintained across all modules
- **Test coverage** stays above 75%
- **Security audits** find zero authentication/authorization breaches
- ✓ Integration tests all pass before each deployment
- **V Performance** stays within acceptable baselines (< 2s page load)
- Code quality improves or stays stable quarter-over-quarter
- New developers can onboard using documentation

Quick Reference: Audit Checklist

Print this and post it somewhere:

INITIAL AUDIT (Run Once - Do Today)		
Phase 1a: Codebase Overview		
☐ Phase 1b: Security & Data Access		
☐ Phase 1c: Architecture Consistency		
ase 1d: Performance & Efficiency		
☐ Phase 1e: Testing Coverage		
☐ Phase 1f: Documentation		
Setup:		
☐ Create .claude/project_standards.md		
☐ Create AUDIT_BASELINE.md with results		
☐ Create IMPLEMENTATION_LOG.md		
☐ Create .claude/audit_results/ folder		
ONGOING CHECKS		
☐ Weekly (Monday morning): Structural Health		
☐ Bi-weekly (Thursday): Architecture Drift		
☐ Monthly (1st): Integration Test		
☐ Quarterly: Deep Audit		
COMMITTED		
☐ Project standards documented		
☐ Baseline established		
☐ Review process defined		
☐ Team understands gates before launch		

🚀 Next Steps

- 1. This week: Run Phase 1a-f audits to establish baseline
- 2. **Create the three reference files** (.claude/project_standards.md, AUDIT_BASELINE.md, IMPLEMENTATION_LOG.md)
- 3. **Set calendar reminders** for weekly, bi-weekly, monthly, and quarterly checks
- 4. Review first results with Claude Code to understand what needs priority
- 5. **Begin addressing Critical issues** before any feature development

Version: 1.0

Last Updated: Today

Next Review: [After completing Phase 1 audits]