

Lecture notes from  
Models and Numerical Methods

[https://github.com/Grufoony/Physics\\_Unibo](https://github.com/Grufoony/Physics_Unibo)

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Resume of measure theory</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Stochastic Processes</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Markov's Models . . . . .	2
2.2	Hidden Markov's Models . . . . .	2

# 1 Resume of measure theory

We need to define a mathematical model that generates sequences from an *alphabet*  $\mathcal{A}$ , which can be any finite set. We will denote both set of finite and infinite sequences as  $\mathcal{A}^* = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}^n$  and  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Now we can define a sequence, or a *word*,  $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^n$  and denote with  $|\omega| = n$  its length. In particular, we will use the notation  $\omega_i^j = (\omega_i, \dots, \omega_j)$ . We can also take  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  as two-sided alphabet.

**Definition 1.** A **measurable space**  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  is (usually) defined by a compact metric space  $\Omega$  and a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ .

We will denote the canonical cylinder on  $\Omega$  as  $[a_1^n] = \{y \in \Omega \mid y_1 = x_1, \dots, y_n = x_n\}$ . To figure out that this is actually a cylinder, let's pretend to take  $(r, \phi, h)$  cylindrical coordinates, fixing the radius  $r = r_0$ , letting the angle and the height free.

Our space has a topology, so we can take  $\mu \approx m$  (metric) absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ . So it exists  $\varphi \in \mathbb{L}^1(m)$  such that  $\mu(f) = \int dm f(m) \varphi(m)$ . Consider now the function

$$g_{\mathcal{A}}(z, z') = \begin{cases} 1 & z = z' \\ 0 & z \neq z' \end{cases} \quad \forall z, z' \in \mathcal{A}$$

Taking  $x, y \in \Omega$  infinite sequences it is possible to prove that

$$\tilde{d}(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} g_{\mathcal{A}}(x_n, y_n)$$

is a metric over  $\Omega$ . Taking  $x^{(n)} \in \Omega$  sequence of infinite sequences, given  $0 < \lambda = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}|} < 1$ , we have that  $d(x, y) = \lambda^{n(x, y)} \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega$  is also a metric over  $\Omega$ , with  $n(x, y) = \min \{k \mid x_k \neq y_k\}$ . Moreover,  $d$  and  $\tilde{d}$  define the same topology. The open balls are,  $\forall x \in \Omega, \quad r > 0$

$$\mathcal{B}(x, r) = \{y \in \Omega \mid d(x, y) \leq r\} = \left\{ y \in \Omega \mid x_k = y_k \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq \frac{\ln r}{\ln \lambda} \right\}$$

**Definition 2.**  $\mathcal{F}$  is a **Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra** if is a set of subsets of  $\Omega$  such that  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$

So a  $\sigma$ -algebra is actually a collection of all measurable sets.

## 2 Stochastic Processes

**Definition 3.** A **stochastic process** is an infinite sequence of random variables  $X_n$  with values in  $\mathcal{A}$  defined by the  $k^{\text{th}}$  order joint distribution:

$$\mu_k(a_1^k) = \mathbb{P}(X_1^k = a_1^k) \quad a_1^k \in \mathcal{A}$$

We need also a consistency condition:

$$\mu_t(a_1^t) = \sum_{a_0 \in \mathcal{A}} \mu_{t+1}(a_0^t) = \sum_{a_{t+1} \in \mathcal{A}} \mu_{t+1}(a_1^{t+1})$$

Equivalently, we can define a stochastic process through the conditional probability

$$\mu(a_t | a_1^{t-1}) = \frac{\mu_t(a_1^t)}{\mu_{t-1}(a_1^{t-1})}$$

The  $\mu_k$  are called **marginals** and, in order to be a probability, they must satisfy the normalization condition

$$\sum_{a_1^k \in \mathcal{A}} \mu_k(a_1^k) = 1$$

We notice that this sum is exponentially growing in  $k$ , so it's impossible to approximate the measure.

**Definition 4.** A stochastic process is **stationary** if

$$\mu(a_1^k) = \mu(a_{t+1}^{t+k}) \quad \forall a_1^\infty \in \mathcal{A}^\mathbb{N}$$

**Definition 5.** An **information source** is a stationary, ergodic, stochastic process.

**Definition 6.** A process or a source is a **shift-invariant Borel probability measure**  $\mu$  on the topological space  $\mathcal{A}^\mathbb{Z}$  of doubly-infinite sequences  $x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , drawn from a finite (i.e. countable) alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$

Furthermore, it is trivial that we can write any standard cylinder as

$$[x_1^t] = \sqcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} [x_1, \dots, x_t, a]$$

It's easy to check that

$$\mu \in \mathcal{P}_I \Omega \mid \mu \circ \sigma^{-1} = \mu \Leftrightarrow \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mu_{t+1}(a, x_1, \dots, x_t) = \mu_t(x_1^t)$$

Neural networks are heuristically approximating  $\mu$ .

**Theorem 1** (Kolmogorov representation theorem). If  $\{\mu_n\}$  is a sequence of measure defining a process then there is a unique Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{A}^\infty$  such that,  $\forall k \geq 1$  and  $\forall [a_1^k]$  cylinder

$$\mu([a_1^k]) = \mu_k(a_1^k)$$

### 2.1 Markov's Models

### 2.2 Hidden Markov's Models