# Lecture notes from Models and Numerical Methods

https://github.com/Grufoony/Physics\_Unibo

# Contents

1	Res	ume of measure theory	1	
<b>2</b>	Stochastic Processes			
	2.1	Markov's Models	9	
	2.2	Hidden Markov's Models	3	

## 1 Resume of measure theory

We need to define a mathematical model that generates sequences from an alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$ , which can be any finite set. We will denote both set of finite and infinite sequences as  $\mathcal{A}^* = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}^n$  and  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Now we can define a sequence, or a word,  $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^n$  and denote with  $|\omega| = n$  its length. In particular, we will use the notation  $\omega_i^j = (\omega_i, \dots, \omega_j)$ . We can also take  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  as two-sided alphabet.

**Definition 1.** A measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  is (usually) defined by a compact metric space  $\Omega$  and a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ .

We will denote the canonical cylinder on  $\Omega$  as  $[a_1^n] = \{y \in \Omega \mid y_1 = x_1, \dots, y_n = x_n\}$ . To figure out that this is actually a cylinder, let's pretend to take  $(r, \phi, h)$  cylindrical coordinates, fixing the radius  $r = r_0$ , letting the angle and the heigh free.

Our space has a topology, so we can take  $\mu \approx m$  (metric) absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ . So it exists  $\varphi \in \mathbb{L}^1(m)$  such that  $\mu(f) = \int dm f(m) \varphi(m)$ . Consider now the function

$$g_{\mathcal{A}}(z, z') = \begin{cases} 1 & z = z' \\ 0 & z \neq z' \end{cases} \quad \forall z, z' \in \mathcal{A}$$

Taking  $x, y \in \Omega$  infinite sequences it is possible to prove that

$$\widetilde{d}(x,y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} g_{\mathcal{A}}(x_n, y_n)$$

is a metric over  $\Omega$ . Taking  $x^{(n)} \in \Omega$  sequence of infinite sequences, given  $0 < \lambda = \frac{1}{|A|} < 1$ , we have that  $d(x,y) = \lambda^{n(x,y)} \quad \forall x,y \in \Omega$  is also a metric over  $\Omega$ , with  $n(x,y) = \min\{k|x_k \neq y_k\}$ . Moreover, d and  $\widetilde{d}$  define the same topology. The open balls are,  $\forall x \in \Omega, \quad r > 0$ 

$$\mathcal{B}(x,r) = \{ y \in \Omega \mid d(x,y) \le r \} = \left\{ y \in \Omega \mid x_k = y_k \ \forall \ 1 \le k \le \frac{\ln r}{\ln \lambda} \right\}$$

**Definition 2.**  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra if is a set of subsets of  $\Omega$  such that  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$ 

So a  $\sigma$ -algebra is actually a collection of all measurable sets.

### 2 Stochastic Processes

**Definition 3.** A stochastic process is an infinite sequence of random variables  $X_n$  with values in A defined by the  $k^{th}$  order joint distribution:

$$\mu_k\left(a_1^k\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(X_1^k = a_1^k\right) \quad a_1^k \in \mathcal{A}$$

We need also a consistency condition:

$$\mu_t (a_1^t) = \sum_{a_0 \in \mathcal{A}} \mu_{t+1} (a_0^t) = \sum_{a_{t+1} \in \mathcal{A}} \mu_{t+1} (a_1^{t+1})$$

Equivalently, we can define a stochastic process through the conditional probability

$$\mu\left(a_{t}|a_{1}^{t-1}\right) = \frac{\mu_{t}\left(a_{1}^{t}\right)}{\mu_{t-1}\left(a_{1}^{t-1}\right)}$$

The  $\mu_k$  are called **marginals** and, in order to be a probability, they must satisfy the normalization condition

$$\sum_{a_1^k \in \mathcal{A}} \mu_k \left( a_1^k \right) = 1$$

We notice that this sum is exponentially growing in k, so it's impossible to approximate the measure.

**Definition 4.** A stochastic process is **stationary** if

$$\mu\left(a_{1}^{k}\right) = \mu\left(a_{t+1}^{t+k}\right) \quad \forall a_{1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$$

**Definition 5.** An information source is a stationary, ergodic, stochastic process.

**Definition 6.** A process or a source is a **shift-invariant Borel probability measure**  $\mu$  on the topological space  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  of doubly-infinite sequences  $x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , drawn from a finite (i.e. countable) alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$ 

Furthermore, it is trivial that we can write any standard cylinder as

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1^t \end{bmatrix} = \sqcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} [x_1, \dots, x_t, a]$$

It's easy to check that

$$\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{I}(\Omega) \mid \mu \circ \sigma^{-1} = \mu \Leftrightarrow \sum_{t \in A} \mu_{t+1}(a, x_{1}, \dots, x_{t}) = \mu_{t}(x_{1}^{t})$$

Neural networks are heuristically approximating  $\mu$ .

**Theorem 1** (Kolmogorov representation theorem). If  $\{\mu_n\}$  is a sequence of measure defining a process then there is a unique Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{A}^{\infty}$  such that,  $\forall k \geq 1$  and  $\forall [a_1^k]$  cylinder

$$\mu\left(\left[a_1^k\right]\right) = \mu_k\left(a_1^k\right)$$

### 2.1 Markov's Models

Markov's model is a stochastic model used to model pseudo-randomly changing systems. In a Markov's process the n element probability depends only on previous k-elements

$$\mu(x_n \mid x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) := \mu(x_n \mid x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{n-1})$$
(1)

A Markov's chain is a Markov's process where the n element depends only on the current state (n-1 element). For this reason a Markov's chain is a no memory process.

$$\mu(x_n \mid x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) := \mu(x_n \mid x_{n-1})$$
 (2)

We can define a *Markov's measure*. Let's call  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, ..., p_l)$  the probability vector that a character of the alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$  is extracted and  $P = [p_{ij}]$  the  $l \times l$  matrix that describe the probability than the j character is extracted when the privious one is the i. We know that  $\mathbf{p}$  is normalized and P is a stochastic matrix

$$p_j \ge 0$$
  $\sum_{j=1}^{l} p_j = 1$   $\sum_{h=1}^{j} p_{ij} = 1 \ \forall i$ 

Since P is stochastic it has the uniti vector as eighenvector with 1 as eighenvalue. So for the Person-Frobenius theorem all the eighenvalues are contained inside the complex circle with radius 1. We say that  $\mathbf{p}$  is invariant if it's a P's eighenvector. We can define for all n the Markov's measure

$$\mu_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = p_{x_1} P_{x_1 x_2} P_{x_2 x_3} P_{x_{n-1} x_n}$$
 (3)

#### 2.2 Hidden Markov's Models