

Feedback - Deliverable 4

You lack a table of contents

The general style of the document could be improved. The many blank pages and lack of figure descriptions hurts the quality of the report.

"Event broker for bla bla" is not a component description. Was this something you missed during correcting?

As far as we understand, the report should be made where you consider your system as complete. You should therefore not judge your components based on whether or not they have been made. Instead, you should assume that they have been made according to your architectural decisions and then evaluate. As Konstantinos said: "fake it". You have chosen some good attributes to focus on, and the tables look neat. The combo (deliverable 3) could perhaps be a bit more precise, a combo of what? Further context gives the answer, but from a quick glance it is not clear.

You are consistently missing figure descriptions throughout the report, which can make it hard to know which figures you are describing or referring to, particularly in the latter part, and it makes it hard to refer to when giving feedback;) It is hard to figure out the function of some of your pictures and figures, they are sort just plastered in there.

It is very nice that you in your backlog mark text that has been added or removed. A consideration could be to structure your backlog differently to compress it, unless you insist that having it chronologically adds value.

Fine conclusion! However, you should decide how your database functions, instead of postponing it. Furthermore, it could be written more fluently instead of point form.

In summary it is a nice report, but there are key aspects that could be improved:

- If you add descriptions and reference numbers, i.e captions, to your figures, then it is already a much stronger report.
- A table of contents would make getting an overview of the report MUCH easier.
- Decide how your database should be implemented.

- Consider your system as hypothetical and “done”. Do not take into account what actually has been implemented and what has not.
- The conclusion could be improved in coherency.