@AndrzeiWasowski@scholar.social

Andrzej Wasowski Florian Biermann

Advanced **Programming**

Laziness and Lazy Lists







- The idea of delaying computations
- **■** Evaluation strategies:
 - Call-by-value (strict), call-by-name (non-strict), lazy (also non-strict)
- **Applications** of Call-By-Name and Laziness
- An implementation of lazy lists



Functional Programming is Declarative!

Separate the What from the How.

Aside: Making Types Work For You!

```
enum EmptyType:
   case E(e : EmptyType)
```

- The EmptyType can never be instantiated.
- It is like Nothing, except that Nothing <: T for all T.
- Scala already has Nothing but you can use this in any statically typed language!

```
enum AST[+A]:
    case ConstInt(c : Int) extends AST[Nothing]
    case BinOp[A](a : A, op : Op, lhs : AST[A], rhs : AST[A]) extends AST[A]

type ConstInt = AST[Nothing]
type ConstInt = AST[EmptyType]
type Expr = AST[Unit]
```

Strict vs Non-strict Evaluation

- A function is **strict** if it always evaluates all its arguments (typically before evaluating its body)
- A non-strict function may choose not to evaluate all of its arguments.

Definition (strictness)

A function f(x) is **strict** iff for every expression x that diverges (does not terminate or fails) the execution of f(x) diverges, too.

- Strictness is a common default in most languages
- Haskell is non-strict, Scala is strict by default
- Every language has a non-strict construct
- Typical non-strict constructs: control flow statements, say if-then-else, and some operators disjunction, and conjunction
- Every language needs a strict construct (otherwise nothing will be computed)
- For example, pattern matching is strict in Haskell (as in Scala).

Any functional language can simulate non-strictness

- In any functional language non-strictness can be simulated guite easily
- Use () => A , a type of a nullary function returning A

```
def if2[A](cond: Boolean, onTrue: () => A, onFalse: () => A): A =
    if cond then onTrue() else onFalse()
val res = if2(a < 22, () => println ("a"), () => println ("b"))
```

- Mentimeter 5147 6006: What is the value of res if a == 42 ? (think first, a trick)
- A delayed computation is called a thunk, executing a thunk is called forcing it
- Scala has special syntax to make it slightly nicer (call-by-name):

```
def if3[A] (cond:Boolean, onTrue: => A, onFalse: => A): A =
 if cond then onTrue else onFalse
if3(a < 22, println ("a"), println ("b"))
```

■ The semantics of both programs are the same, but forcing is automatic, **no caching**

Lazy Evaluation

- A by-name argument of a function is re-evaluated every single time it is accessed
- Store it in a lazy val if you want to evaluate only once and cache the result
- A lazy val is forced at first access, the value cached, retrieved on later accesses

```
def convoluted (a: => Unit, b: => Unit): Unit =
  lazy val cacheB = b
  lazy val cacheA = a
  cacheA;
  cacheB;
  cacheB;
  cacheB;
convoluted (print ("A"), print ("B"))
```

- Prints "AB"
- Laziness interacts badly with **side effects**. Use in pure computations

Evaluation Strategies (Defs)

Definition (Call-by-value Evaluation)

The arguments of a function are evaluated before the function call. Then their **value** is substituted for the formal arguments

Definition (Call-by-name Evaluation)

The arguments of a function are not evaluated but **syntactically substituted** for the formal arguments in the body

Definition (Lazy Evaluation)

Lazy evaluation = call-by-name + caching (memoization)

The arguments of the function are (substituted) for the formal arguments of a function at first access, and replaced by cached values for subsequent executions.

- Scala supports all three strategies
- In pure programs: no difference between these strategies (besides performance and memory usage)
- Impure programs: perplexing differences
- This difference allows the compiler and us to simplify and optimize pure programs
- For instance, constructing only needed parts of data structures

Call-by-name & Laziness: Usage



- Implementing non-strict-functions
 - If function accesses parameters at most once (simple control-flow like if, or, and, etc.) it can be built with call-by-name only, without memoization; for instance error handling code can be passed as one of parameters
 - We have seen: get0rElse, we can implement our own loops, etc.
- Implementing internal DSLs
- Handling large amounts of data, only accessing necessary parts; especially when it is hard to see which parts need to be accessed/loaded/precomputed
- Implementing generators of object/value sequences elegantly (lazy list of naturals. lazy list of prime numbers, lazy list of messages, lazy list of random numbers, etc.)

Example of Call-by-name [1/4]



In Apache Spark's implementation

```
/**
 * Return a new RDD by applying a function to all elements of this RDD.
 */
def map[U: ClassTag](f: T => U): RDD[U] = withScope {
  val cleanF = sc.clean(f)
  new MapPartitionsRDD[U, T](this, (context, pid, iter) => iter.map(cleanF))
}
```

How do we implement a function like with Scope?

With call-by-value the body of the block would always be executed.

But we can use call-by-name.

Example of Call-by-name [2/4]



In Apache Spark's implementation

```
/**
 * Execute a block of code in a scope such that all new RDDs created in this body will
 * be part of the same scope. For more detail, see {{org.apache.spark.rdd.RDDOperationScope}}.
 *
 * Note: Return statements are NOT allowed in the given body.
 */
private[spark] def withScope[U](body: => U): U = RDDOperationScope.withScope[U](sc)(body)
```

The body (U) is passed by-name and then Forwarded to a similar method in another class, also by-name No forcing happens

Example of Call-by-name [3/4]

In RDDOperationScope ...

```
private[spark] def withScope[T](
   sc: SparkContext,
   name: String,
   allowNesting: Boolean,
   ignoreParent: Boolean)(body: => T): T = {
 // Save the old scope to restore it later
 val scopeKev = SparkContext.RDD SCOPE KEY
 val noOverrideKev = SparkContext.RDD SCOPE NO OVERRIDE KEY
 val oldScopeJson = sc.getLocalProperty(scopeKey)
 val oldScope = Option(oldScopeJson).map(RDDOperationScope.fromJson)
 val oldNoOverride = sc.getLocalProperty(noOverrideKey)
 trv {
   if (ignoreParent) {
     // Ignore all parent settings and scopes and start afresh with our own root scope
     sc.setLocalProperty(scopeKey, new RDDOperationScope(name).toJson)
   } else if (sc.getLocalProperty(noOverrideKey) == null) {
```

Example of Call-by-name [4/4]



```
} else if (sc.getLocalProperty(noOverrideKey) == null) {
    // Otherwise, set the scope only if the higher level caller allows us to do so
    sc.setLocalProperty(scopeKey, new RDDOperationScope(name, oldScope).toJson)
}
// Optionally disallow the child body to override our scope
if (!allowNesting) {
    sc.setLocalProperty(noOverrideKey, "true")
}
body
```

The body is executed if the control flow reaches the last line above (in here: no exceptions thrown)

Otherwise the body will never be executed

Lazy Lists (Pull Streams)

■ Lazy lists (pull-streams, ask for data when needed)

```
enum LazyList[+A]:
  case Empty
  case Cons(h: () \Rightarrow A, t: () \Rightarrow LazyList[A])
  def headOption[A] = this match
    case Empty => None
    case Cons(h, t) => Some(h())
```

- Lazy lists capture the same structures as lists. We call this isomorphic!
- Difference: evaluate the head and tail arguments **lazily**
- Enum cases cannot have by-name args in Scala, so we use the trick from if3
- Add a convenience constructor to work around this limitation:

```
def cons[A](hd: => A, tl: => LazyList[A]): LazyList[A] =
  lazv val head = hd
  lazy val tail = tl
  Cons(() \Rightarrow head, () \Rightarrow tail)
```

Examples with Lazy Lists

An infinite lazy list of ones:

```
val ones: LazyList[Int] = cons(1, ones)
```

- We can implement similar methods as on lists.
- Chop n elements from a prefix of a lazy list:

```
def drop (n: Int): LazyList[A] = ???
```

■ Take a finite prefix from a lazy list (exercises):

```
def take (n: Int): LazyList[A] = ???
```

Convert a finite lazy list to a list (exercises)

```
def toList: List[A] = ???
```

■ Two lazy lists of random numbers:

- random1 always gives a new list of values, random2 always the same list. Why?
- We see a difference because random1 is **not referentially transparent**

Why Lazy Lists?

- Separate the description of computation from running it
- Run only what you need
 - Describe a larger expression, evaluate only a portion of it
 - Easier to program an infinite lazy list of random numbers than precisely 1000
 - The generator (lazy list) can be separated from the context of use: sometimes need 42 sometimes 1000 numbers, generate them the same way
 - Work with data **incrementally** as if everything loaded into memory
- Fusion: cache/memory locality
 - "list map f map q" runs two iterations over a list
 - "lazyList map f map g" runs zero iterations over a lazy list (why?)
- **Lazy lists are functional iterators**. In OO an "iterator" is an example of laziness. Forcing is explicit, usually called next
- Conceptual basis for **reactive programming** (+real time, push, etc.)