

Martingales

Exercises

Exercise 4.1. Show that a sum of martingales is a martingale.

Exercise 4.2.

a) Is any Markovian process is a martingale? If yes, prove it. Otherwise, construct a counter-example.

b) Is any martingale is Markovian? If yes, prove it. Otherwise, construct a counter-example.

Exercise 4.3. Let $M = \{M_t : t \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}\}$ be a square-integrable martingale¹ existing on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$, where \mathbb{F} is the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t : t \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}\}$. The predictable process $\eta = \{\eta_t : t \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}\}$ is constructed on the same space, that is, for all $t \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, η_t is \mathcal{F}_{t-1} -measurable, η_0 being \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable. Moreover, we assume that for all $t \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, the random variable η_t is square-integrable, that is, $E[|\eta_t^2|] < \infty$. Show that the process $N = \{N_t : t \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}\}$ defined as

$$N_t = N_0 + \sum_{k=1}^t \eta_k (M_k - M_{k-1})$$

is a martingale provided that N_0 is \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable.

Exercise 4.4. Let X be a square-integrable random variable ($E^{\mathbb{P}}[|X|] < \infty$) constructed on the filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Prove that the stochastic process $\{M_t : t \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}\}$ defined as

$$M_t = E^{\mathbb{P}}[X | \mathcal{F}_t], \quad t \geq 0$$

is a martingale.

Exercise 4.5. Consider the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ on which two filtrations are constructed, $\{\mathcal{F}_t : t \geq 0\}$ and $\{\mathcal{G}_t : t \geq 0\}$, satisfying

$$\mathcal{F}_t \subseteq \mathcal{G}_t$$

a) Let $M = \{M_t : t \geq 0\}$ be a $\{\mathcal{F}_t : t \geq 0\}$ -martingale and let $N = \{N_t : t \geq 0\}$ be a $\{\mathcal{G}_t : t \geq 0\}$ -martingale. Is M a $\{\mathcal{G}_t : t \geq 0\}$ -martingale? Is N a $\{\mathcal{F}_t : t \geq 0\}$ -martingale? Justify.

b) Let τ be a $\{\mathcal{F}_t : t \geq 0\}$ -stopping time and θ be a $\{\mathcal{G}_t : t \geq 0\}$ -stopping time. Is θ a $\{\mathcal{F}_t : t \geq 0\}$ -stopping time? Is τ a $\{\mathcal{G}_t : t \geq 0\}$ -stopping time? Justify.

¹ $\forall t \geq 0, E[M_t^2] < \infty$

Exercise 4.6. Let $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots$ be a sequence of independent random variables with zero mean and variance $\text{Var}[\varepsilon_i] = \sigma_i^2$. Let

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \text{ and } T_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2.$$

Prove that $\{S_n^2 - T_n^2\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a martingale.

Exercise 4.7. Let $\{X_t : t \geq 0\}$ be a $\{\mathcal{G}_t : t \geq 0\}$ -martingale and $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(X_s, s \leq t)$. Prove that $\{X_t : t \geq 0\}$ is also a $\{\mathcal{F}_t : t \geq 0\}$ -martingale.

Solutions

1 Exercise 4.1

Let $X = \{X_t : t \in \{0, 1, \dots\}\}$ and $Y = \{Y_t : t \in \{0, 1, \dots\}\}$, two martingales on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

Since $\forall t \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [|X_t + Y_t|] \leq \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [|X_t|]}_{< \infty} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [|Y_t|]}_{< \infty} < \infty,$$

since X is a martingale since Y is a martingale

the (M1) condition is verified.

Since two \mathcal{F}_t -measurable random variables is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable, then $\forall t \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$, $X_t + Y_t$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable. Therefore, the stochastic process $X + Y$ is adapted to the filtration \mathbb{F} .

Condition (M3) : $\forall s, t \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$ such that $s < t$

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [X_t + Y_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] + \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [Y_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = X_s + Y_s.$$

The proof can be generalized to the sum of more than 2 martingales using induction.

2 Exercise 4.2

a) It is not all Markovian processes that are martingales.

Counter-example. On the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, consider the random walk X define as

$$X_0 = 0 \text{ and } \forall t \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}, X_t = \sum_{n=1}^t \xi_n$$

where the sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables $\{\xi_t : t \in \{1, 2, \dots\}\}$ has a positive expectation $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [\xi_t] = \mu > 0$. Since X is a random walk, it is Markovian. But X cannot be a martingale since its expectation varies over time. Indeed,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [X_t] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[\sum_{n=1}^t \xi_n \right] = \sum_{n=1}^t \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [\xi_n] = \sum_{n=1}^t \mu = t\mu. \blacksquare$$

b) It is not all martingales that are Markovian. **Counter-example :**

ω	$X_1(\omega)$	$X_2(\omega)$	$X_3(\omega)$	\mathbb{P}
ω_1	-1	-2	-4	$\frac{1}{8}$
ω_2	-1	-2	0	$\frac{1}{8}$
ω_3	-1	0	0	$\frac{1}{8}$
ω_4	-1	0	0	$\frac{1}{8}$
ω_5	1	0	2	$\frac{1}{8}$
ω_6	1	0	-2	$\frac{1}{8}$
ω_7	1	2	2	$\frac{1}{8}$
ω_8	1	2	2	$\frac{1}{8}$

Indeed,

$$\sigma\{X_1\} = \sigma\{\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4\}, \{\omega_5, \omega_6, \omega_7, \omega_8\}\}$$

$$\sigma\{X_1, X_2\} = \sigma\{\{\omega_1, \omega_2\}, \{\omega_3, \omega_4\}, \{\omega_5, \omega_6\}, \{\omega_7, \omega_8\}\}$$

$$\sigma\{X_1, X_2, X_3\} = \sigma\{\{\omega_1\}, \{\omega_2\}, \{\omega_3, \omega_4\}, \{\omega_5\}, \{\omega_6\}, \{\omega_7, \omega_8\}\}$$

The process $X = \{X_t : t \in \{1, 2, 3\}\}$ is not Markovian on the space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ where \mathcal{F} is the σ -algebra generated by all elements of Ω . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X_3 = 2 | X_2 = 0) &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_3 = 2 \text{ and } X_2 = 0)}{\mathbb{P}(X_2 = 0)} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}\{\omega_5\}}{\mathbb{P}\{\omega_3, \omega_4, \omega_5, \omega_6\}} = \frac{\frac{1}{8}}{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X_3 = 2 | X_2 = 0 \text{ and } X_1 = -1) &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_3 = 2, X_2 = 0 \text{ and } X_1 = -1)}{\mathbb{P}(X_2 = 0 \text{ and } X_1 = -1)} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(\emptyset)}{\mathbb{P}\{\omega_3, \omega_4\}} = \frac{0}{\frac{1}{4}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

However, the process $X = \{X_t : t \in \{1, 2, 3\}\}$ is a martingale on the space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ if the filtration is generated by the process itself. Indeed, the condition (M1) is trivially satisfied,

(M2) is also satisfied from the construction of the filtration. Need to verify the last condition (M3*). But

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [X_3 | \sigma \{X_1, X_2\}] = X_2$$

since

$$\forall \omega \in \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}, \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [X_3 | \sigma \{X_1, X_2\}] (\omega) = \frac{-4 \times \frac{1}{8} + 0 \times \frac{1}{8}}{\frac{1}{4}} = -2 = X_2 (\omega)$$

$$\forall \omega \in \{\omega_3, \omega_4\}, \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [X_3 | \sigma \{X_1, X_2\}] (\omega) = \frac{0 \times \frac{1}{8} + 0 \times \frac{1}{8}}{\frac{1}{4}} = -0 = X_2 (\omega)$$

$$\forall \omega \in \{\omega_5, \omega_6\}, \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [X_3 | \sigma \{X_1, X_2\}] (\omega) = \frac{2 \times \frac{1}{8} - 2 \times \frac{1}{8}}{\frac{1}{4}} = 0 = X_2 (\omega)$$

$$\forall \omega \in \{\omega_7, \omega_8\}, \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [X_3 | \sigma \{X_1, X_2\}] (\omega) = \frac{2 \times \frac{1}{8} + 2 \times \frac{1}{8}}{\frac{1}{4}} = 2 = X_2 (\omega)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [X_2 | \sigma \{X_1\}] = X_1$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \omega &\in \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4\} \\ \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [X_2 | \sigma \{X_1\}] (\omega) &= \frac{-2 \times \frac{1}{8} - 2 \times \frac{1}{8} + 0 \times \frac{1}{8} + 0 \times \frac{1}{8}}{\frac{1}{2}} = -1 = X_1 (\omega) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \omega &\in \{\omega_5, \omega_6, \omega_7, \omega_8\} \\ \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [X_2 | \sigma \{X_1\}] (\omega) &= \frac{0 \times \frac{1}{8} + 0 \times \frac{1}{8} + 2 \times \frac{1}{8} + 2 \times \frac{1}{8}}{\frac{1}{2}} = 1 = X_1 (\omega). \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

3 Exercise 4.3

Verification of (M1) :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} [|N_t|] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left| N_0 + \sum_{k=1}^t \eta_k (M_k - M_{k-1}) \right| \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} [|N_0|] + \sum_{k=1}^t \mathbb{E} [|\eta_k| |M_k - M_{k-1}|] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} [|N_0|] + \sum_{k=1}^t (\mathbb{E} [|\eta_k|^2])^{1/2} (\mathbb{E} [|M_k - M_{k-1}|^2])^{1/2} \\
&\quad \text{from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality} \\
&= \mathbb{E} [|N_0|] + \sum_{k=1}^t (\mathbb{E} [|\eta_k|^2])^{1/2} (\mathbb{E} [M_k^2 - 2M_k M_{k-1} + M_{k-1}^2])^{1/2} \\
&= \mathbb{E} [|N_0|] + \sum_{k=1}^t (\mathbb{E} [|\eta_k|^2])^{1/2} (\mathbb{E} [M_k^2] - 2\mathbb{E} [M_k M_{k-1}] + \mathbb{E} [M_{k-1}^2]) \\
&= \mathbb{E} [|N_0|] + \sum_{k=1}^t (\mathbb{E} [|\eta_k|^2])^{1/2} (\mathbb{E} [M_k^2] - 2\mathbb{E} [M_k M_{k-1}] + \mathbb{E} [M_{k-1}^2]) \\
&\quad \text{since } \mathbb{E} [M_k M_{k-1}] = \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{E} [M_k M_{k-1} | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]] \\
&\quad \quad \quad = \mathbb{E} [M_{k-1} \mathbb{E} [M_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]] \\
&\quad \quad \quad = \mathbb{E} [M_{k-1}^2] \\
&= \underbrace{\mathbb{E} [|N_0|]}_{< \infty} + \sum_{k=1}^t \underbrace{(\mathbb{E} [|\eta_k|^2])^{1/2}}_{< \infty} \underbrace{(\mathbb{E} [M_k^2] - \mathbb{E} [M_{k-1}^2])^{1/2}}_{< \infty} \\
&< \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Verification de (M2) :

$$N_t = N_0 + \sum_{k=1}^t \underbrace{\eta_k}_{\mathcal{F}_{k-1}\text{-mesurable}} \underbrace{(M_k - M_{k-1})}_{\mathcal{F}_k\text{-mesurable}} \text{ est } \mathcal{F}_t\text{-mesurable.}$$

$\mathcal{F}_k\text{-mesurable donc } \mathcal{F}_t\text{-mesurable}$

Verification de (M3) : firstly, note that for all $0 \leq s < t < \infty$,

$$N_t = N_s + \sum_{k=s+1}^t \eta_k (M_k - M_{k-1}).$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} N_t &= N_0 + \sum_{k=1}^t \eta_k (M_k - M_{k-1}) \\ &= N_0 + \sum_{k=1}^s \eta_k (M_k - M_{k-1}) + \sum_{k=s+1}^t \eta_k (M_k - M_{k-1}) \\ &= N_s + \sum_{k=s+1}^t \eta_k (M_k - M_{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[N_t | \mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E} \left[N_s + \sum_{k=s+1}^t \eta_k (M_k - M_{k-1}) \middle| \mathcal{F}_s \right] \\ &= N_s + \sum_{k=s+1}^t \mathbb{E}[\eta_k (M_k - M_{k-1}) | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &= N_s + \sum_{k=s+1}^t \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\eta_k (M_k - M_{k-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] | \mathcal{F}_s] \text{ from (EC3)} \\ &= N_s + \sum_{k=s+1}^t \mathbb{E} \left[\underbrace{\eta_k \mathbb{E}[(M_k - M_{k-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}_{=0} \middle| \mathcal{F}_s \right] \\ &= N_s. \end{aligned}$$

4 Exercise 4.4

Verification of (M1) :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [|M_t|] &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [|\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [X | \mathcal{F}_t]|] \\
 &\leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [|\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [|X| | \mathcal{F}_t]|] \text{ since } X \leq |X| \\
 &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [|X| | \mathcal{F}_t]] \text{ since } \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [|X| | \mathcal{F}_t] \geq 0 \\
 &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [|X|] \text{ since (EC3)} \\
 &< \infty \text{ by hypothesis}
 \end{aligned}$$

Verification of (M2) : $M_t = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [X | \mathcal{F}_t]$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable because constant on the atoms \mathcal{F}_t .

Verification of (M3) : Let $0 \leq s \leq t$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [M_t | \mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [X | \mathcal{F}_t] | \mathcal{F}_s] \text{ from the defn. of } M_t \\
 &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [X | \mathcal{F}_s] \text{ from (EC3) since } \mathcal{F}_s \subset \mathcal{F}_t \\
 &= M_s \text{ from the definition of } M_s. \blacksquare
 \end{aligned}$$