

# **CS329 Machine Learning**

## **Homework #3**

**Fan Site**

**`fanst2021@mail.sustech.edu.cn`**

## Question 1

Consider a data set in which each data point  $t_n$  is associated with a weighting factor  $r_n > 0$ , so that the sum-of-squares error function becomes

$$E_D(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N r_n \{t_n - \mathbf{w}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}_n)\}^2.$$

Find an expression for the solution  $\mathbf{w}^*$  that minimizes this error function.

Give two alternative interpretations of the weighted sum-of-squares error function in terms of (i) data dependent noise variance and (ii) replicated data points.

### Solution

Let the derivation of  $\mathbf{w}$  to be 0:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}} E_D(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^N r_n \{t_n - \mathbf{w}^T \phi(x_n)\} \Phi(x_n)^T = 0$$

Solving this equation we obtain:

$$\mathbf{w}^* = (\Phi^T \mathbf{R} \Phi)^{-1} \Phi^T \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}$$

where

- $\Phi$  is the design matrix with elements  $\Phi_{ij} = \phi_j(x_i)$ ,
- $\mathbf{t} = [t_1, \dots, t_n]^T$  is the target vector,
- $\mathbf{R}$  is a diagonal matrix with  $r_i$  as the  $i$ -th diagonal element.

#### 1. Data Dependent Noise Variance:

The weighting factors  $r_n$  in the error function can be interpreted as representing the inverse of the variance of the noise associated with each data point.

The larger the  $r_n$ , the smaller the associated variance, meaning that the data point has less noise. So, by assigning different weights to different data points, we are effectively modeling data-dependent noise variances.

#### 2. Replicated Data Points:

If a data point is replicated  $r_n$  times in the dataset, it can be viewed as if we have  $r_n$  identical copies of that data point. The error term for each replicated point is then scaled by  $r_n$ .

This implies that the model is more influenced by the replicated data points with higher weights, effectively giving them more importance in the fitting process. Replicating data points can be a way to emphasize certain observations in the dataset.

## Question 2

We saw in Section 2.3.6 that the conjugate prior for a Gaussian distribution with unknown mean and unknown precision (inverse variance) is a normal-"Gamma" distribution. This property also holds for the case of the conditional Gaussian distribution  $p(t|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}, \beta)$  of the linear regression model. If we consider the likelihood function,

$$p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w}, \beta) = \prod_{n=1}^N \mathcal{N}(t_n | \mathbf{w}^T \phi(x_n), \beta^{-1})$$

then the conjugate prior for  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\beta$  is given by

$$p(\mathbf{w}, \beta) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{m}_0, \beta^{-1} \mathbf{S}_0) \text{Gam}(\beta | a_0, b_0).$$

Show that the corresponding posterior distribution takes the same functional form, so that

$$p(\mathbf{w}, \beta | \mathbf{t}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{m}_N, \beta^{-1} \mathbf{S}_N) \text{Gam}(\beta | a_N, b_N).$$

and find expressions for the posterior parameters  $\mathbf{m}_N$ ,  $\mathbf{S}_N$ ,  $a_N$ , and  $b_N$ .

### Solution

$$\text{Gam}(\beta | a_0, b_0) = \frac{b_0^{a_0}}{\Gamma(a_0)} \beta^{a_0-1} \exp\{-b_0 \beta\}$$

By Bayesian Inference,

$$p(\mathbf{w}, \beta | \mathbf{t}) \propto p(\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w}, \beta) \times p(\mathbf{w}, \beta)$$

where the likelihood:

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w}, \beta) &= \prod_{n=1}^N \mathcal{N}(t_n | \mathbf{w}^T \Phi(x_n), \beta^{-1}) \\ &\propto \prod_{n=1}^N \beta^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\beta}{2} (t_n - \mathbf{w}^T \Phi(x_n))^2\right\} \end{aligned}$$

And the prior:

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{w}, \beta) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{m}_0, \beta^{-1} \mathbf{S}_0) \text{Gam}(\beta | a_0, b_0) \\ &\propto \left(\frac{\beta}{|\mathbf{S}_0|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\beta}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_0)^T \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_0)\right\} b_0^{a_0} \beta^{a_0-1} \exp\{-b_0 \beta\} \end{aligned}$$

Quadratic part of the exponent:

$$\sum_{n=1}^N -\frac{\beta}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \Phi(x_n) \Phi(x_n)^T \mathbf{w} - \frac{\beta}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} \mathbf{w} = -\frac{\beta}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \Phi(x_n) \Phi(x_n)^T + \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} \right) \mathbf{w}$$

Linear part of the exponent:

$$\beta \mathbf{m}_0^T \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} \mathbf{w} + \sum_{n=1}^N \beta t_n \Phi(x_n)^T \mathbf{w} = \beta \left( \mathbf{m}_0^T \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} + \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \Phi(x_n)^T \right) \mathbf{w}$$

So we have the posterior parameters for Gaussian part:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_N &= \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \Phi(x_n) \Phi(x_n)^T + \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} \right)^{-1} \\ \mathbf{m}_N &= \mathbf{S}_N \left( \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} \mathbf{m}_0 + \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \Phi(x_n) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Constant part of the exponent:

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\mathbf{w}, \beta | \mathbf{t}) &\propto -\frac{\beta}{2} \mathbf{m}_0^T \mathbf{S}_0 \mathbf{m}_0 - b_0 \beta - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N t_n^2 \\
&= -\beta \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}_0^T \mathbf{S}_0 \mathbf{m}_0 + b_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N t_n^2 \right) \\
&= -\beta \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}_N^T \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} \mathbf{m}_N + b_N \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Exponent of  $\beta$ :

$$p(\mathbf{w}, \beta | \mathbf{t}) \propto \beta^{\frac{N}{2}} \beta^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta^{a_0-1} = \beta^{\frac{N}{2} + a_0 - \frac{1}{2}}$$

So we obtain the posterior parameters of Gamma part:

$$a_N = a_0 + \frac{N}{2}$$

$$b_N = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}_0^T \mathbf{S}_0^{-1} \mathbf{m}_0 + b_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N t_n^2 - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}_N^T \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} \mathbf{m}_N$$

### Question 3

Show that the integration over  $\mathbf{w}$  in the Bayesian linear regression model gives the result

$$\int \exp\{-E(\mathbf{w})\} d\mathbf{w} = \exp\{-E(\mathbf{m}_N)\} (2\pi)^{\frac{M}{2}} |\mathbf{A}|^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence show that the log marginal likelihood is given by

$$\ln p(\mathbf{t} | \alpha, \beta) = \frac{M}{2} \ln \alpha + \frac{N}{2} \ln \beta - E(\mathbf{m}_N) - \frac{1}{2} \ln |\mathbf{A}| - \frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi)$$

### Solution

According to (3.80),

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = E(\mathbf{m}_N) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)^T \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)$$

where  $\mathbf{A} = \alpha \mathbf{I} + \beta \Phi^T \Phi = \mathbf{S}_N^{-1}$ .

Perform total integral over multivariate Gaussian distribution,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{M}{2}} |\mathbf{S}_N|^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)^T \mathbf{S}_N^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)\right\} d\mathbf{w} = 1 \\
&\int \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{M}{2}} |\mathbf{A}|^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)^T \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)\right\} d\mathbf{w} = 1
\end{aligned}$$

As  $E(\mathbf{m}_N)$  is independent of  $\mathbf{w}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \exp\{-E(\mathbf{w})\} d\mathbf{w} &= \int \exp\left\{-E(\mathbf{m}_N) - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)^T \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{m}_N)\right\} d\mathbf{w} \\
&= \exp\{-E(\mathbf{m}_N)\} (2\pi)^{\frac{M}{2}} |\mathbf{A}|^{-\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

Substitute this back,

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln p(\mathbf{t}|\alpha, \beta) &= \ln \left\{ \left( \frac{\beta}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{M}{2}} \int \exp\{-E(\mathbf{w})\} \, d\mathbf{w} \right\} \\
&= \ln \left\{ \left( \frac{\beta}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{M}{2}} \exp\{-E(\mathbf{m}_N)\} (2\pi)^{\frac{M}{2}} |\mathbf{A}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\
&= \frac{M}{2} \ln \alpha + \frac{N}{2} \ln \beta - E(\mathbf{m}_N) - \frac{1}{2} \ln |\mathbf{A}| - \frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi)
\end{aligned}$$

## Question 4

Consider real-valued variables  $X$  and  $Y$ . The  $Y$  variable is generated, conditional on  $X$ , from the following process:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon &\sim N(0, \sigma^2) \\
Y &= aX + \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

where every  $\varepsilon$  is an independent variable, called a noise term, which is drawn from a Gaussian distribution with mean 0, and standard deviation  $\sigma$ . This is a one-feature linear regression model, where  $a$  is the only weight parameter. The conditional probability of  $Y$  has distribution  $p(Y|X, a) \sim N(aX, \sigma^2)$ , so it can be written as

$$p(Y|X, a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(Y - aX)^2\right)$$

Assume we have a training dataset of  $n$  pairs  $(X_i, Y_i)$  for  $i = 1 \dots n$ , and  $\sigma$  is known.

Derive the maximum likelihood estimate of the parameter  $a$  in terms of the training example  $X_i$ 's and  $Y_i$ 's. We recommend you start with the simplest form of the problem:

$$F(a) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (Y_i - aX_i)^2$$

## Solution

The log-likelihood function:

$$L(a) = \ln \prod_{i=1}^n p(Y_i|X_i, a) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - n \ln \sigma - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (Y_i - aX_i)^2$$

Maximize  $L(a)$  with respect to  $a$ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a} L(a) = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} F(a) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (2aX_i^2 - 2X_iY_i) = 0$$

So we have

$$a_{\text{ML}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}$$

## Question 5

If a data point  $y$  follows the Poisson distribution with rate parameter  $\theta$ , then the probability of a single observation  $y$  is

$$p(y|\theta) = \frac{\theta^y e^{-\theta}}{y!}, \text{ for } y = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

You are given data points  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  independently drawn from a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\theta$ . Write down the log-likelihood of the data as a function of  $\theta$ .

### Solution

The log-likelihood function

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta) &= \ln \prod_{i=1}^n p(y_i|\theta) = \ln \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta^{y_i} e^{-\theta}}{y_i!} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( y_i \ln \theta - \theta - \sum_{j=1}^{y_i} \ln j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \ln \theta - n\theta - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{y_i} \ln j \end{aligned}$$

### Question 6

Suppose you are given  $n$  observations,  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , independent and identically distributed with a  $\text{Gamma}(\alpha, \lambda)$  distribution. The following information might be useful for the problem.

- If  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \lambda)$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{\lambda^2}$
- The probability density function of  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \lambda)$  is  $f_{X(x)} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^\alpha x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda x}$ , where the function  $\Gamma$  is only dependent on  $\alpha$  and not  $\lambda$ .

Suppose, we are given a known, fixed value for  $\alpha$ . Compute the maximum likelihood estimator for  $\lambda$ .

### Solution

The log-likelihood function:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\alpha, \lambda) &= \ln \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^\alpha X_i^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda X_i} \\ &= -n \ln \Gamma(\alpha) + n\alpha \ln \lambda + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln X_i - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \end{aligned}$$

Maximize  $L(\alpha, \lambda)$  with respect to  $\lambda$ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} L(\alpha, \lambda) = \frac{n\alpha}{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = 0$$

We obtain

$$\lambda_{\text{ML}} = \frac{n\alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}$$