

A Disperser Property of Lifting for Equality Gadget

Guangxu Yang

July 10, 2021

1 Preliminaries

Definition 1.1 (FORK game). Define a relation $\mathbf{FORK}_{w,l} \subseteq [w]^l \times [w]^l \times [l] \cup \{0\}$, we implicitly pad $x \in [w]^l$ and $y \in [w]^l$ with additional 0 and $l+1$ positions such that $x_0 = y_0 = 1$, $x_{l+1} \neq y_{l+1}$. This ensures that $\forall x, y \in [w]^l, \exists i \in \{0, \dots, l\}$ such that $(x, y, i) \in \mathbf{FORK}_{w,l}$ where $(x, y, i) \in \mathbf{FORK}_{w,l} \iff (x_i = y_i \text{ and } x_{i+1} \neq y_{i+1})$.

Definition 1.2 (Switch search problem). Define the “Switch” relation:

$$\mathbf{Switch}_l = \{(z, i) \in \{0, 1\}^l \times \{0, 1, \dots, l\} \mid z_i = 1, z_{i+1} = 0\}$$

where we use $z_0 = 1$ and $z_{l+1} = 0$, i.e., we are given l bits and wish to find a “switching point”, a position i where a 1-bit flips into a 0-bit. If $z = 0^l$ we must output $i = 0$ and if $z = 1^l$ we must output $i = l$.

We note that the FORK game $\mathbf{FORK}_{w,l} = \mathbf{Switch}_l \circ \mathbf{Eq}_w$ where $z_i = \mathbf{Eq}_w(x_i, y_i)$.

2 Disperser property

Let $z \in \{0, 1, *\}^n$ be string. we call i unfixed coordinate if $z_i = *$. Let I be the set of unfixed coordinates. We get z^1 by set $z_i^1 = z_i$ if $z_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $z_i^1 = 1$ if $z_i = *$.

Definition 2.1 (Potential function). Let $X \times Y \subseteq [w]^l \times [w]^l$ be a rectangle and z be a string. The potential function is defined by

$$\alpha(X, Y, z) = \frac{|\{(x_I, y_I) : (x, y) \in X \times Y, \mathbf{Eq}_w(x, y) = z^1\}|}{w^I}$$

Theorem 2.2 (Main theorem). Let $X \times Y$ be a rectangle and I be the set of unfixed coordinates, If $\alpha(X, Y, z) \geq \frac{16}{w}$, then

$$\{\mathbf{Eq}_w^l(x, y) : x \in X, y \in Y\} = \{0, 1\}^I$$

Proof. We do an induction on $|I| = l$. The base case of $l = 1$ follows from equality function. For the induction step, assume the lemma holds for all integers at most $l - 1$. We need prove that for any string $z \in \{0, 1\}^l$, $z \in \{\mathbf{Eq}_w^l(x, y) : x \in X, y \in Y\}$ when $\alpha(X, Y, z) \geq \frac{16}{w}$.

let $I_0 \subseteq I$ be the set of coordinates that $z_i = 0$ and $I_1 \subseteq I$ be the set of coordinates that $z_i = 1$. If $|I_0| \leq l - 1$, by average argument, there is a sub-rectangle $X' \times Y'$ and $q^{I_1} \in [w]^{|I_1|}$ with $X_{I_1} = Y_{I_1} = q^{I_1}$ such that I_0 be the set of unfixed coordinates and $\alpha(X', Y', z) \geq \frac{16}{w}$. Since the lemma holds for all integers at most $l - 1$, $z \in \{\mathbf{Eq}_w^l(x, y) : x \in X, y \in Y\}$.

If $|I_0| = l$, we prove $0^l \in \{\mathbf{Eq}_w^l(x, y) : x \in X, y \in Y\}$ by probabilistic method. Let $A = A_1 \times \dots \times A_l$ where each A_i is subset of $[w]$ with size $w/2$. if we ensure that exist a set A such that there is a $x \in X \cap A$ and $y \in Y \cap A^c$ for $\mathbf{Eq}_w^l(x, y) = 0^l$ then the lemma immediately holds.

So it remains to show that there exist such set A . We choose A_i s as follows: first choose at random $w/2$ strings $v^1, \dots, v^{w/2}$ each of length l . Then we define A_i to include the i -th letter in each of these $w/2$ strings and extend it into a set of size $w/2$ randomly (Note that the resulting sets A_1, \dots, A_l are indeed random and independent.). For any $x \in A$, $\Pr[x \in X] \geq \alpha$ and any $y \in A^c$, $\Pr[y \in Y] \geq \alpha$. We call A X -good if $X \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and Y -good if $Y \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$. We note that if there is a A both X -good and Y -good the lemma hold. We could prove that:

$$\Pr[A \text{ is not } X\text{-good}] \leq (1 - \alpha)^{w/2} \leq e^{-\alpha w/2}$$

and

$$\Pr[A \text{ is not } Y\text{-good}] \leq (1 - \alpha)^{w/2} \leq e^{-\alpha w/2}$$

Therefore, the probability that either A is not X -good or A is not Y -good is at most $2e^{-\alpha w/2} < 1$. There is a A both X -good and Y -good, the lemma holds. \square

3 Communication complexity of FORK game

3.1 Query complexity of switch

Let $z \in \{0, 1, *\}^l$ be string. we call i active coordinate if $z_i = *$. Let $I \in [l]$ be the set of active coordinates. and I_0 be the first half coordinates of I and I_1 be the last half coordinates of I .

Claim 3.1. $P^{\text{dt}}(\text{Switch}) = \log l$.

Proof. Let T be a decision tree for **Switch**, we show there is a path of length $\Omega(\log l)$ in T . Starting from the root of T , we find a path step by step. In each step t , we reach a node v_t in T of depth t . At the beginning, we set $z = *^l$ and $|I| = l$

Find long path in decision tree:

1. If the decision query a coordinate $i \in I_0$, we fix $z_i = 1$ for all coordinates in I_0 and $I = I_1$.
2. If the decision query a coordinate $i \in I_1$, we fix $z_i = 0$ for all coordinates in I_1 and $I = I_0$.
3. Let $v_{t+1} = v_{t, z_i}$.

In each query round, we fix at most $|I|/2$ coordinates. At the end, $|I| \leq 1$, the query rounds at least $\log l$. \square

Let S be the set of long query paths we could find in above proof. In next section, we will show that we could find a long communication path which is consistent with a long query path in S .

3.2 Communication complexity of FORK game

We recall our definition of potential function:

Let $z \in \{0, 1, *\}^n$ be string. we call i unfixed coordinate if $z_i = *$. Let I be the set of unfixed coordinates. and I_0 be the first half coordinates of I and I_1 be the last half coordinates of I .

We get z^1 by set $z_i^1 = z_i$ if $z_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $z_i^1 = 1$ if $z_i = *$.

We get z^0 by set $z_i^0 = z_i$ if $z_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $z_i^0 = 1$ if $i \in I_0$ and $z_i = *$ and $z_i^0 = 0$ if $i \in I_1$ and $z_i = *$.

Definition 3.2 (Potential function). Let $X \times Y \subseteq [w]^l \times [w]^l$ be a rectangle and z be a string. The potential function is defined by

$$\alpha(X, Y, z) = \frac{|\{(x_I, y_I) : (x, y) \in X \times Y, \mathbf{Eq}_w(x, y) = z^1\}|}{w^I}$$

Claim 3.3. Let $X \times Y$ be a rectangle and $I \subseteq [n]$ be the unfixed coordinates, then for any partition of $Y = Y_0 \cup Y_1$, there is a Y_b such that $\alpha(X, Y_b) \geq \alpha(X, Y)/2$.

Claim 3.4. Let $X \times Y$ be a rectangle and $I \subseteq [n]$ be the unfixed coordinates, then for any partition of $X = X_0 \cup X_1$, there is a X_b such that $\alpha(X_b, Y) \geq \alpha(X, Y)/2$.

Lemma 3.5 (Projection lemma). [GS95] Let $X \times Y$ be a rectangle and I be the set of unfixed coordinates, If $\alpha(X, Y, z) \geq 4w^{-2/3}$ then there is a $b \in \{0, 1\}$, we could fix $z_i = b$ for all coordinates in I_{1-b} to get a sub-rectangle $X' \times Y'$ and $I = I_b$ such that $\alpha(X', Y', z) \geq w^{-1/3}$.

Theorem 3.6. $\mathbf{P}^{\text{cc}}(\text{FORK}_{w,l}) = \Omega(\log l \log w)$.

Proof. Let T be a protocol tree for $(S \circ \text{Eq}_w^l)$, we show there is a path of length $\Omega(\log l \log w)$ in T . Starting from the root of T , we find a path step by step. In each step t , we reach a node v_t in T of depth t . At the beginning, We set $z = *^n$. Let (X_t, Y_t) be a rectangle which consistent with current node v_t and z . At the beginning, $\alpha(X, Y, z) = 1$.

Find long path in protocol tree:

1. In each communication round, If it is Bob's turn in the protocol, then Bob sends a bit $b \in \{0, 1\}$ that maximizes the potential function $\alpha(X_t, Y_t)$. Set $\mathcal{X}_t = \mathcal{X}_{t-1}, \mathcal{Y}_t = \mathcal{Y}_{t-1,b}$. If it is Alice's turn in the protocol, then Bob sends a bit $b \in \{0, 1\}$ that maximizes the potential function $\alpha(X_t, Y_t)$. Set $\mathcal{X}_t = \mathcal{X}_{t-1,b}, \mathcal{Y}_t = \mathcal{Y}_{t-1}$.
2. If $8w^{-2/3} \geq \alpha(X, Y, z) \geq 4w^{-2/3}$, we do projection. By projection lemma, there is a b such that we could fix $z_i = b$ for all coordinates in I_{1-b} to get a sub-rectangle (X_{t+1}, Y_{t+1}) and $\alpha(X_{t+1}, Y_{t+1}, z) \geq w^{-1/3}$. Set $I = I_b$.

By disperser property, we know that we would do projection at least $\log l$ times and by Claim 2.3 and Claim 2.4, the communication rounds between two projection is at least $\log(\frac{1}{4}w^{1/3})$. As a result, the communication complexity of FORK game is $\Omega(\log l \log w)$. \square

4 Open problems

Problem 4.1 (Direct sum of FORK game by Or Meir). The m -fold direct sum of FORK relation on l bits, denoted $U_l^{\otimes m}$ is the communication problem in which Alice and Bob get matrices $X, Y \in [w]^{m \times l}$ that each row is a instance of FORK relation. They are required to output a tuple $(j_1, \dots, j_m) \in [l]^m$ such that for every row $i \in [m]$ holds that $\mathbf{Eq}(x_{i,j_i}, y_{i,j_i}) \neq \mathbf{Eq}_w(x_{i,j_i+1}, y_{i,j_i+1})$.

Remark: We note that when $w = 2$, $\mathbf{P}^{\text{cc}}(U_l^{\otimes m}) = \Omega(m \log l)$. [Mei18] prove the Direct Sum of Universal Relations by Raz-McKenzie simulation and Rank argument.

Definition 4.2. Given a deterministic decision-tree T over $\{0, 1\}^l$, the 0-depth of T is the maximum number of queries which are answered 0, in any root-to-leaf path of T . The 0-query complexity of f , denoted $\mathbf{P}_0^{\text{dt}}(f)$, to be the smallest 0-depth of T , taken over deterministic decision-trees T which solve the search problem associated with f .

Theorem 4.3 (by [LM19]). For any Boolean relation $f : \{0, 1\}^l \times \mathcal{C}$, whenever $n \geq \cdot \log p$

$$\mathbf{P}^{\text{cc}}(f \circ \text{Eq}_n^q) = \Omega(n \cdot \mathbf{P}_0^{\text{dt}}(f))$$

Problem 4.4. Improving the size of the gadget for the simulation theorems of [LM19]

Lemma 4.5 (Disperser property of index gadget). [LMZ20] Let $X \times Y$ be a rectangle and $q \geq \log n$. Assume that X is $\log n$ -spread and $Y \geq \frac{2^{qn}}{2^q}$ then

$$\{\mathbf{Ind}(x, y) : x \in X, y \in Y\} = \{0, 1\}^n$$

Problem 4.6. *If we could change the condition of projection in [LMZ20] to prove a P lifting theorem with $\log n$ gadget size ?*

Problem 4.7. *In [dRMN⁺20], They prove communication lower bound of $\mathbf{Search}(Peb_G) \circ \text{Eq}^n$. Could we prove the same lower bound by disperser property of equality gadget and path simulation ?*

References

- [dRMN⁺20] Susanna F. de Rezende, Or Meir, Jakob Nordström, Toniann Pitassi, Robert Robere, and Marc Vinyals. Lifting with simple gadgets and applications to circuit and proof complexity, 2020.
- [GS95] Michelangelo Grigni and Michael Sipser. Monotone separation of logarithmic space from logarithmic depth. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 50(3):433–437, 1995.
- [LM19] Bruno Loff and Sagnik Mukhopadhyay. Lifting theorems for equality. In *36th International Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science (STACS 2019)*. Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik, 2019.
- [LMZ20] Shachar Lovett, Raghu Meka, and Jiapeng Zhang. Improved lifting theorems via robust sunflowers. In *Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity (ECCC)*, volume 27, page 48, 2020.
- [Mei18] Or Meir. The direct sum of universal relations. *Information Processing Letters*, 136:105–111, 2018.