

Generalised Linear Models

Guanxu Gleason WANG

University of Glasgow

版本: Past Paper of STAT5019 Generalised Linear Models, UofG

更新: May 6, 2025

目录

1	指数族分布与泊松回归	1
1.1	Exponential Family of Distributions (指数族分布)	1
1.2	Generalised Linear Models	4
1.3	Maximum Likelihood Estimation of GLM Coefficients	5
1.4	Deviance	6
2	Models for binary/binomial response – Logistic 回归	8
2.1	交互项	11
2.2	模型选择	11
2.3	回归方程	12
2.4	估算分位数	12
2.5	胜算比 (Odds Ratio, OR)	13
2.6	模型的拟合优度 (Goodness of Fit)	14

2.6.1	残差偏差 (Residual Deviance)	15
2.6.2	AIC	16
2.6.3	Observed Values vs. Fitted Values	16
2.6.4	Hosmer-Lemeshow 拟合优度检验 (Optional)	16
2.6.5	ROC (Receiver Operating Characteristic) 曲线 (Optional)	16
3	Models for count responses – Poisson 回归	18
3.1	泊松回归中的偏置项 (Offset)	18
3.2	R output	19
3.3	最优模型选择	21
3.4	过度离散 (Overdispersion)	21

1 指数族分布与泊松回归

1.1 Exponential Family of Distributions (指数族分布)

考虑一个随机变量 Y , 其概率密度函数 (p.d.f.) 或概率质量函数 (p.m.f.) 依赖于参数 θ . 该分布属于指数族 (**exponential family**), 如果该分布可以写成

$$f(y; \theta) = \exp \{a(y)b(\theta) + c(\theta) + d(y)\},$$

其中 $b(\theta)$ 项被称为**自然参数 (natural parameter)**. 如果 $a(y) = y$, 那么这个分布也被称为**规范形式 (canonical form)**.

例 1.1 证明 $\text{Poisson}(\theta)$ 分布是指数族的成员之一, 且为规范形式.

证明. 由于 $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta)$, 则它的 p.m.f. 为

$$f(y; \theta) = \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^y}{y!}, \quad \theta > 0, \quad y = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

那么

$$\log f(y; \theta) = -\theta + y \log \theta - \log(y!).$$

识别参数:

$$a(y) = y, \quad b(\theta) = \log \theta, \quad c(\theta) = -\theta, \quad d(y) = -\log(y!).$$

因此 $\text{Poisson}(\theta)$ 分布是指数族的成员之一, 用 **Thm.1.1** 中的结果计算数学期望得

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = -\frac{c'(\theta)}{b'(\theta)} = -\frac{-1}{\frac{1}{\theta}} = \theta.$$

定理 1.1 (Mean and variance) The random variable Y follows an exponential family distribution of the form $f(y; \theta) = \exp(a(y)b(\theta) + c(\theta) + d(y))$, can be expressed as

$$\mathbb{E}[a(Y)] = -\frac{c'(\theta)}{b'(\theta)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}[a(Y)] = \frac{b''(\theta)c'(\theta) - c''(\theta)b'(\theta)}{[b'(\theta)]^3}.$$

证明. First note that since $f(y; \theta)$ is a p.d.f.

$$\int f(y; \theta) \, dy = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{d}{d\theta} \int f(y; \theta) \, dy = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \int \frac{d}{d\theta} f(y; \theta) \, dy = 0.$$

For the derivative,

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} f(y; \theta) = [a(y)b'(\theta) + c'(\theta)]f(y; \theta).$$

Integrating with respect to y then gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{d}{d\theta} f(y; \theta) \, dy &= \int [a(y)b'(\theta) + c'(\theta)]f(y; \theta) \, dy \\ &= b'(\theta) \int a(y)f(y; \theta) \, dy + c'(\theta) \int f(y; \theta) \, dy \\ &= b'(\theta)\mathbb{E}[a(Y)] + c'(\theta) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{E}[a(Y)] = -\frac{c'(\theta)}{b'(\theta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, taking the second derivative gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} f(y; \theta) &= [a(y)b''(\theta) + c''(\theta)]f(y; \theta) + [a(y)b'(\theta) + c'(\theta)]^2 f(y; \theta) \\
&= [a(y)b''(\theta) + c''(\theta)]f(y; \theta) + [b'(\theta)]^2 \left[a(y) + \frac{c'(\theta)}{b'(\theta)} \right]^2 f(y; \theta) \\
&= [a(y)b''(\theta) + c''(\theta)]f(y; \theta) + [b'(\theta)]^2 [a(y) - \mathbb{E}[a(Y)]]^2 f(y; \theta).
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating with respect to y then gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} f(y; \theta) dy &= b''(\theta)\mathbb{E}[a(Y)] + c''(\theta) + [b'(\theta)]^2 \text{Var}[a(Y)] = 0 \\
\Rightarrow \text{Var}[a(Y)] &= \frac{b''(\theta)\mathbb{E}[a(Y)] + c''(\theta)}{[b'(\theta)]^2} = \frac{b''(\theta)c'(\theta) - c''(\theta)b'(\theta)}{[b'(\theta)]^3}.
\end{aligned}$$

定义 1.1 (Score statistic) The derivative of the log-likelihood $\ell(\theta; y)$ with respect to the parameter θ , i.e.

$$U = \frac{d}{d\theta} \ell(\theta; y) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \log \mathcal{L}(\theta; y).$$

对于指数族分布来说, 其得分为

$$U(\theta; y) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \ell(\theta; y) = a(y)b'(\theta) + c'(\theta).$$

因此

$$\mathbb{E}[U] = b'(\theta)\mathbb{E}[a(y)] + c'(\theta) = b'(\theta) \left(-\frac{c'(\theta)}{b'(\theta)} \right) + c'(\theta) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}[U] = [b'(\theta)]^2 \text{Var}[a(Y)].$$

注意在求导的时候使用链式法则, 即若 $p = p(\theta)$, 则 $U(\theta; y) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \ell(p(\theta); y) = \frac{d\ell}{dp} \times \frac{dp}{d\theta}$.

定义 1.2 (Fisher's information) The **Fisher's information**, denoted as \mathcal{I} , is given by

$$\mathcal{I} = \text{Var}[U] = \mathbb{E}[U^2] = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \ell(\theta; y) \right)^2 \right] = -\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \ell(\theta; y) \right] = -\mathbb{E}[U'].$$

For members of the exponential family of distributions, since

$$\text{Var}[a(Y)] = \frac{b''(\theta)c'(\theta) - c''(\theta)b'(\theta)}{[b'(\theta)]^3},$$

we have that

$$\mathcal{I} = \text{Var}[U] = [b'(\theta)]^2 \text{Var}[a(Y)] = \frac{b''(\theta)c'(\theta) - c''(\theta)b'(\theta)}{b'(\theta)} = \frac{b''(\theta)c'(\theta)}{b'(\theta)} - c''(\theta).$$

1.2 Generalised Linear Models

广义线性模型 (Generalized Linear Model, GLM) 是线性回归的推广, 用于建模非正态分布的响应变量. 相比于传统的线性回归, GLM 允许响应变量服从指数族分布, 并通过链接函数 (link function) 建立预测变量和响应变量之间的关系.

定义 1.3 (Generalised linear models) Let Y_i be independent responses from an exponential family distribution in *canonical form* ($a(y) = y$) and $\mu_i = \mathbb{E}[Y_i]$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

A **generalised linear model (GLM)** is a model of the form $g(\mu_i) = x_i^\top \beta$, where β is a p -dimensional parameter vector, x_i^\top is the i th row of the design matrix \mathbf{X} , and $g(\cdot)$ is a monotonic (单调), differentiable function called the **link function**, a.k.a. **canonical link**.

表 1: 常见数据类型与分布选择及链接函数

变量类型	适用分布	误差结构	自然参数	常用链接函数
连续变量	$\mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$	方差恒定	$b(\theta) = \frac{\theta}{\sigma^2}$	$g(\mu) = \mu$
计数数据	$\text{Poisson}(\theta)$	均值等于方差	$b(\theta) = \log \theta$	$g(\mu) = \log(\mu)$
二分类	$\text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$	方差为 $\theta(1 - \theta)$	$b(\theta) = \log \left(\frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} \right)$	$g(\mu) = \log \left(\frac{\mu}{1 - \mu} \right)$
比例数据	$\text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$	依赖于参数		Logit 或 Probit

1.3 Maximum Likelihood Estimation of GLM Coefficients

我们此前提到 **score**, $U(\theta) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \ell(\theta; y)$, 很显然, $U(\theta) = 0$ 的根就是 $\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}$, 即参数的最大似然估计.

当方程 $U(\theta) = 0$ 不易求解时, 那么有两种拟合参数的迭代办法:

1. Newton-Raphson 方法:

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} - \frac{U^{(t)}}{U'^{(t)}}.$$

2. Fisher scoring: 用 U' 的数学期望 $\mathbb{E}[U'] = -\mathcal{I}$, 即 Fisher's information, 代替 U' , 从而提高稳定性:

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \frac{U^{(t)}}{\mathcal{I}^{(t)}}.$$

1.4 Deviance

定义 1.4 (Deviance) The deviance, D , is defined as

$$D = 2 \log \lambda = 2 \left[\ell \left(\hat{\beta}_{\max}; \mathbf{y} \right) - \ell \left(\hat{\beta}; \mathbf{y} \right) \right] \sim \chi^2(m - p),$$

where $\ell \left(\hat{\beta}_{\max}; \mathbf{y} \right)$ is the maximised log-likelihood for the saturated model (饱和模型) and $\ell \left(\hat{\beta}; \mathbf{y} \right)$ is the maximised log-likelihood for the model of interest.

The likelihood ratio $\lambda = \frac{\mathcal{L} \left(\hat{\beta}_{\max}; \mathbf{y} \right)}{\mathcal{L} \left(\hat{\beta}; \mathbf{y} \right)}$ provides a measure of how well the model of interest fits compared with the full model. 也就是说, $\mathcal{L} \left(\hat{\beta}; \mathbf{y} \right)$ 就是本身的似然函数, 而 full model 是把参数替换为 y_i .

In practice we often use the logarithm of the likelihood ratio:

$$\log \lambda = \log \mathcal{L} \left(\hat{\beta}_{\max}; \mathbf{y} \right) - \log \mathcal{L} \left(\hat{\beta}; \mathbf{y} \right) = \ell \left(\hat{\beta}_{\max}; \mathbf{y} \right) - \ell \left(\hat{\beta}; \mathbf{y} \right).$$

Hypothesis testing Compare nested models M_0 and M_1 using the difference of their deviances.

Consider

- $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\beta}_0 = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_q)^\top$ corresponding to M_0 ; v.s.
- $H_1 : \boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\beta}_1 = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_p)^\top$ corresponding to M_1 , with $q < p < n$.

Test H_0 against H_1 by considering

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 - D_1 &= 2 \left[\ell \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\max}; \mathbf{y} \right) - \ell \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_0; \mathbf{y} \right) \right] - 2 \left[\ell \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\max}; \mathbf{y} \right) - \ell \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1; \mathbf{y} \right) \right] \\ &= 2 \left[\ell \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1; \mathbf{y} \right) - \ell \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_0; \mathbf{y} \right) \right] \sim \chi^2(p - q). \end{aligned}$$

If both models describe the data well then $D_0 \sim \chi^2(n - q)$, $D_1 \sim \chi^2(n - p)$, and $D_0 - D_1 \sim \chi^2(p - q)$.

2 Models for binary/binomial response – Logistic 回归

The table below shows results of a bioassay to compare the biological potencies of two preparations (batches) of insulin, by measuring the proportions of rodents that exhibit a particular response to different doses of each preparation.

研究人员进行了一项生物测定实验 (*bioassay*), 以比较两批胰岛素 (标准批次 vs 试验批次) 的生物效能. 实验测量了不同剂量下动物产生反应的比例.

A different group of rodents is used for each dose of each preparation. It is desired to measure the potency of the test preparation relative to the standard where, for example, the potency is 2 if the proportion of responses produced by the standard preparation can be obtained using only half the dose of the test preparation.

Potency may be estimated by using logistic regression analysis, assuming that the rodents exhibit a logistic tolerance distribution in relationship to the $\log(\text{dose})$. In this analysis, $\log_{10}(\text{dose})$ is used as one explanatory variable and preparation (test or standard) as another. The following is abbreviated output from fitting two models, `m1` and `m0`, in R.

在该实验中, 每个剂量组对应一组新的动物, 避免了交叉干扰. 研究者希望估算试验批次相对于标准批次的效力 (*potency*). 假设动物对剂量的对数 ($\log_{10}(\text{dose})$) 呈现 *Logistic* 反应分布, 进行 *Logistic* 回归分析, 并通过广义线性模型 (*GLM*) 估算效力.

表 2: Data

Obs	Prep	Dose	Resp	Total
1	Standard	3.40	0	33
2	Standard	5.20	5	32
3	Standard	7.00	11	38
4	Standard	8.50	14	37
5	Standard	10.50	18	40
6	Standard	13.00	21	37
7	Standard	18.00	23	31
8	Standard	21.00	30	37
9	Standard	28.00	27	30
10	Test	6.50	2	40
11	Test	10.00	10	30
12	Test	14.00	18	40
13	Test	21.50	21	35
14	Test	29.00	27	37

```

1 > m1 <- glm(cbind(Resp,NonResp)~ log10(Dose)*
  Prep, family=binomial)
2 > summary(m1)
3
4 Coefficients:
5 Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
6 (Intercept) -5.7907  0.6839 -8.467 <2e-16 ***
7 log10(Dose)  5.5180  0.6446  8.561 <2e-16 ***
8 PrepTest     -0.2170  1.2077 -0.180 0.857
9 log10(Dose):PrepTest -0.6269 1.0464 -0.599 0.549
10
11 Null deviance: 166.8335 on 13 degrees of freedom
12 Residual deviance: 8.4351 on 10 degrees of
  freedom
13 AIC: 64.287

```

```

1 > m0 <- glm(cbind(Resp,NonResp)~ log10(Dose)+
  Prep, family=binomial)
2 > summary(m0)
3
4 Coefficients:
5 Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
6 (Intercept) -5.5531  0.5427 -10.23 < 2e-16 ***
7 log10(Dose)  5.2894  0.5057  10.46 < 2e-16 ***
8 PrepTest     -0.9290  0.2334  -3.98 6.89e-05 ***
9
10 Null deviance: 166.8335 on 13 degrees of freedom
11 Residual deviance: 8.7912 on 11 degrees of
  freedom
12 AIC: 62.644

```

Logistic 回归模型 用于建模二分类数据, 即 $Y_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Binomial}(n_i, p_i)$, 如成功/失败、存活/死亡等.

$$\text{logit } p(x) = \log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \cdots = \mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{\beta} \implies p(x) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{\beta})}.$$

2.1 交互项

例 2.1 Based on the above output, does the effect of preparation on the odds of the response depend on the dose? Explain.

效应是否依赖于剂量?

解. 观察回归模型 m1 中交互项 $\log_{10}(\text{Dose}) : \text{PrepTest}$ 的 p 值 = 0.549 (> 0.05).

这说明交互项不显著, 意味着剂量效应在不同批次间没有显著差异.

2.2 模型选择

1. 观察模型在建模过程中的不同, 选择更简单且更有效的模型 (如: 交互项会提升模型复杂度, 其 p 值并不占优, 那么放弃这种建模方法);
2. 观察 AIC (Akaike information criterion), 越小越好.

解. 在两个模型中显然选择 m0, 理由是

1. 交互项 $\log_{10}(\text{Dose}) : \text{PrepTest}$ 的 p 值 = 0.549 (> 0.05), 这说明交互项不显著, 意味着剂量效应在不同批次间没有显著差异.
2. m0 的 AIC = 62.644 比 m1 的 AIC = 64.287 更低 (说明 m0 更好).

2.3 回归方程

根据上述结论选择 m_0 后, 得到回归方程为:

$$\log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = -5.5531 + 5.2894 \cdot \log_{10}(\text{Dose}) - 0.9290 \cdot \text{PrepTest}$$

2.4 估算分位数

以中位数为例, 即令 $p = 0.5$, 那么

$$\log\left(\frac{0.5}{1-0.5}\right) = \log(1) = 0 = -5.5531 + 5.2894 \cdot \log_{10}(\text{Dose}) - 0.9290 \cdot \text{PrepTest}$$

$$5.2894 \cdot \log_{10}(\text{Dose}) = 5.5531 + 0.9290 \cdot \text{PrepTest}$$

$$\log_{10}(\text{Dose}) = \frac{5.5531 + 0.9290 \cdot \text{PrepTest}}{5.2894}$$

标准批次: 此时 $\text{PrepTest} = 0$, 使得

$$\log_{10}(\text{Dose}_{\text{Standard}}) = \frac{5.5531}{5.2894} = 1.04985$$

$$\text{Dose}_{\text{Standard}} = 10^{1.04985} = 11.21642$$

试验批次: 此时 $\text{PrepTest} = 1$, 使得

$$\log_{10}(\text{Dose}_{\text{Test}}) = \frac{5.5531 + 0.9290}{5.2894} = 1.22549$$
$$\text{Dose}_{\text{Test}} = 10^{1.22549} = 16.80694$$

⇨ 超纲内容: 效力 (Potency)

例 2.2 The ratio of the median effective doses of standard to test preparations is an estimate of the potency. Calculate the potency.

解. 由题易得, 效力公式为二者中位数之比, 即

$$\text{Potency} = \frac{\text{Median}(\text{Dose}_{\text{Standard}})}{\text{Median}(\text{Dose}_{\text{Test}})} = \frac{11.21642}{16.80694} = 0.66737$$

意味着标准批次的效力只占试验批次的 66.737%.

2.5 胜算比 (Odds Ratio, OR)

定义 2.1 (Odd) 事件发生的概率与不发生的概率之比被称为**胜算 (odd)**, 即

$$\text{Odds} = \frac{p}{1-p} \quad \Rightarrow \quad p = \frac{\text{Odds}}{1 + \text{Odds}}.$$

In logistic regression we model the **log odds**:

$$\log(\text{Odds}) = \log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}.$$

The β coefficients are **log odds ratios**:

$$\beta = \log(\text{Odds}_1) - \log(\text{Odds}_2) = \log\left(\frac{\text{Odds}_1}{\text{Odds}_2}\right) = \log\left(\frac{\frac{p_1}{1-p_1}}{\frac{p_2}{1-p_2}}\right).$$

若剂量翻倍, 则翻倍前后的胜算分别为

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Odds}_{\text{before}} &= \exp\left(-5.5531 + 5.2894 \cdot \log_{10}(\text{Dose}) - 0.9290 \cdot \text{PrepTest}\right) \\ \text{Odds}_{\text{after}} &= \exp\left(-5.5531 + 5.2894 \cdot \log_{10}(\text{Dose} \times 2) - 0.9290 \cdot \text{PrepTest}\right)\end{aligned}$$

因此, 胜算比为

$$\begin{aligned}\text{OR} &= \frac{\text{Odds}_{\text{after}}}{\text{Odds}_{\text{before}}} = \frac{\exp\left(-5.5531 + 5.2894 \cdot \log_{10}(\text{Dose} \times 2) - 0.9290 \cdot \text{PrepTest}\right)}{\exp\left(-5.5531 + 5.2894 \cdot \log_{10}(\text{Dose}) - 0.9290 \cdot \text{PrepTest}\right)} \\ &= \exp\left(5.2894 \cdot \left(\log_{10}(\text{Dose} \times 2) - \log_{10}(\text{Dose})\right)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(5.2894 \cdot \log_{10} 2\right) \\ &= 4.91488\end{aligned}$$

剂量翻倍, 成功概率约为原来的 4.91 倍.

2.6 模型的拟合优度 (Goodness of Fit)

2.6.1 残差偏差 (Residual Deviance)

- 残差偏差接近自由度 (Degrees of Freedom, df) 时, 说明模型拟合较好.
- 若残差偏差远大于 df, 说明模型拟合不好 (欠拟合).
- 若残差偏差远小于 df, 说明模型可能过拟合 (过度拟合).

根据 R output 中

```
1 > m1 <- glm(cbind(Resp,NonResp)~ log10(Dose)*
  Prep, family=binomial)
2 > summary(m1)
3
4 Residual deviance: 8.4351 on 10 degrees of
  freedom
5 AIC: 64.287
```

```
1 > m0 <- glm(cbind(Resp,NonResp)~ log10(Dose)+
  Prep, family=binomial)
2 > summary(m0)
3
4 Residual deviance: 8.7912 on 11 degrees of
  freedom
5 AIC: 62.644
```

即使看起来m1的 residual deviance 同m0比更接近 df, 但是代价是牺牲了 1 个自由度, 即模型更加复杂, 但是 $8.7912 - 8.4351 = 0.3561$, 这在统计上是微不足道的改进.

因此m0更优.

2.6.2 AIC

正如2.2中提及到的 m_0 的 $AIC = 62.644$ 比 m_1 的 $AIC = 64.287$ 更低 (说明 m_0 更好).

2.6.3 Observed Values vs. Fitted Values

如表3所示, 显然 m_0 的拟合值更接近真实值.

2.6.4 Hosmer-Lemeshow 拟合优度检验 (Optional)

若 Hosmer-Lemeshow p 值 > 0.05 , 说明模型拟合良好

2.6.5 ROC (Receiver Operating Characteristic) 曲线 (Optional)

ROC 曲线下方的面积 (Area under the Curve (AUC) of ROC),

- $AUC = 1$, 是完美分类器, 采用这个预测模型时, 存在至少一个阈值能得出完美预测.
- $0.5 < AUC < 1$, 优于随机猜测. 这个分类器 (模型) 妥善设置阈值的话, 能有预测价值.
- $AUC = 0.5$, 跟随机猜测一样 (例: 丢铜板), 模型没有预测价值.
- $AUC < 0.5$, 比随机猜测还差; 但只要总是反预测而行, 就优于随机猜测.

表 3: The fitted values

	Observed values	Fitted values	
		m1	m0
1	0	1.79	2.00
2	5	4.39	4.67
3	11	9.30	9.61
4	14	12.59	12.80
5	18	18.44	18.49
6	21	21.76	21.61
7	23	23.46	23.18
8	30	30.28	29.92
9	27	26.99	26.73
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮

3 Models for count responses – Poisson 回归

For the number of occurrences Y , we assume that Y follows the Poisson distribution $\text{Poisson}(\mu)$ with probability mass function given by

$$f(y) = \frac{\mu^y e^{-\mu}}{y!}.$$

The mean and variance of $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu)$ are both equal to μ .

3.1 泊松回归中的偏置项 (Offset)

Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n be independent random variables with Y_i denoting the number of events occurred from exposure n_i for the i th covariate pattern. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_i] = \mu_i = n_i \theta_i.$$

The dependence on explanatory variables is usually modelled by $\theta_i = e^{\mathbf{x}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}}$. The corresponding GLM is

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_i] = \mu_i = n_i e^{\mathbf{x}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}}; \quad Y_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu_i).$$

This corresponds to the log link:

$$\log \mu_i = \log n_i + \mathbf{x}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta},$$

where the term $\log n_i$ is called the **offset**.

- 泊松回归用于建模计数数据,但在一些情况下,数据的观测时间或规模不同,需要进行标准化.
- 偏置项 (Offset) 允许我们调整不同观察单位的规模,使得模型估计的是标准化的投诉率,而非原始投诉数量.

比如,不加偏置项的泊松回归:

$$\log(\mathbb{E}[Y_i]) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \dots$$

引入偏置项:

$$\log(\mathbb{E}[Y_i]) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \dots + \log(\text{visits}_i)$$

其中:

- Y_i : 第 i 个医生收到的投诉数.
- visits_i : 医生的就诊次数 (offset).

在不同医生就诊次数不均等的情况下,使得比较投诉数时更公平.

3.2 R output

- `residency`, (R), a binary variable taking values N or Y corresponding to whether the doctor had completed residency training;
- `pay`, (P), giving the dollars per hour earned by the doctor;
- `hours`, (H), giving the total number of hours worked by the doctor that year.

```

1 glm(formula = complaints ~ residency + offset(log(visits)), family = poisson)
2
3 Coefficients:
4      Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
5 (Intercept) -6.4525   0.1026 -62.891 <2e-16 ***
6 residencyY  -0.3041   0.1725 -1.763  0.0779 .
7
8      Null deviance: 63.435 on 43 degrees of freedom
9 Residual deviance: 60.245 on 42 degrees of freedom
10 AIC: 187.03
11
12 Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5

```

回归方程

$$\log(\mathbb{E}[Y]) = -6.4525 - 0.3041 \times \text{residencyY}$$

-0.3041 表示完成住院培训的医生的投诉数更少.

指数变换:

$$e^{-0.3041} \approx 0.74.$$

投诉数减少 26% (即 $1 - 0.74 = 0.26$). 完成住院培训的医生的投诉率是未培训医生的 74%.

然而 $p = 0.0779$ (接近 0.05, 但不够显著). 说明住院培训可能影响投诉率, 但统计显著性不强.

3.3 最优模型选择

如表4所示.

表 4: A series of models was fitted to the number of complaints

Model	Deviance	差值	解释
Null	63.435		
H	57.347		
H + P	57.131	-0.216	几乎无改进
H + P + R	55.341	-1.79	R 变量有效
H + P + R + H*P	53.789	-1.552	H 和 P 存在交互作用
H + P + R + H*R	50.182	-3.607	H 和 R 存在交互作用
H + P + R + H*P + H*R	44.747	-5.435	** 最优 **
H + P + R + H*P + H*R + P*R	44.405	-0.342	P 和 R 的交互作用并不显著

3.4 过度离散 (Overdispersion)

泊松回归的一个重要假设是：

$$\text{Var}[Y] = \mathbb{E}[Y]$$

但如果数据中方差远大于均值, 则称为过度离散 (Overdispersion). 出现这种情况的原因如下:

- 未包含关键解释变量: 可能有遗漏的影响因素.
- 数据有群组效应: 例如, 某些医生整体投诉率较高或较低.

过度离散的表现:

- 泊松模型的残差偏差 (Residual Deviance) 远大于自由度。
- AIC 值很高, 表明模型拟合不佳。

如何处理办法:

1. 使用负二项回归 (Negative Binomial Regression):

$$\Pr(Y = y; \theta) = \binom{y + r - 1}{r - 1} \theta^r (1 - \theta)^y.$$

负二项回归增加一个额外的离散参数, 允许**方差大于均值**:

$$\text{Var}[Y] = \mathbb{E}[Y] + \alpha(\mathbb{E}[Y])^2$$

2. 调整标准误:

过度离散可能来源于未考虑某些医生特征