

Analysis on the popular vote of the 2020 American federal election

Yena Joo, Woolim Kim, Guemin Kim

Nov 2, 2020

Title of your Report

Name(s) of Author(s)

Date

Model

Here we are interested in predicting the popular vote outcome of the 2020 American federal election (include citation). To do this we are employing a post-stratification technique. In the following sub-sections I will describe the model specifics and the post-stratification calculation.

Model Specifics

I will (incorrectly) be using a linear regression model to model the proportion of voters who will vote for Donald Trump. This is a naive model. I will only be using age, which is recorded as a numeric variable, to model the probability of voting for Donald Trump. The simple linear regression model I am using is:

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{age} + \epsilon$$

Where y represents the proportion of voters who will vote for Donald Trump. Similarly, β_0 represents the intercept of the model, and is the probability of voting for Donald Trump at age 0. Additionally, β_1 represents the slope of the model. So, for everyone one unit increase in age, we expect a β_1 increase in the probability of voting for Donald Trump.

Model specifics (woolim)

We will be using the logistic regression model and post-stratification to predict the proportion of voters who will vote for Donald Trump and Joe Biden. Using 6 different variables(age_group, gender, race, education, household_income, and state) to model the probability of voting for Trump and Biden. Since the vote intention variable is binary(either 'vote for' or 'not vote'), the logistic regression model is a suitable model to be used. The logistic regression model we are using is:

$$\log(p_i/1 - p_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{age_group} + \beta_2 x_{gender} + \beta_3 x_{race} + \beta_4 x_{education} + \beta_5 x_{household_income} + \beta_6 x_{state}$$

Explanation... where $(p_i/1 - p_i)$ represents the ratio of two odds, where p_i is the probability of voters who will vote for Donald trump or Joe Biden, $1 - p_i$ is the probability of not voting. Then we use the log

function to find the proportion of voters who will vote for Trump or Biden. Similarly, $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_6$ is our parameters of interest, the probability of voting for every one unit of age_group, gender, race, education, household income, and state.

```
#Yena
# Creating the Model
#model <- glmer(vote_trump ~ age_group + sex + race + educ + household_income)

#vote for Trump
#model_t <- glmer(vote_trump ~ age_group + gender + race + education + (1/household_income),
  #data=survey_data, family = binomial)
model2_t <- glm(vote_trump ~ as.factor(age_group) + as.factor(gender) + as.factor(race) + as.factor(educ

#voting for Biden
#model_b <- glmer(vote_Biden ~ age_group + gender + race + education + household_income + (1/state),
  #data=survey_data, family = binomial)
model2_b <- glm(vote_Biden ~ as.factor(age_group) + as.factor(gender) + as.factor(race) + as.factor(educ
  data=survey_data, family="binomial")
# Model Results (to Report in Results section)
#summary(model_t)
#summary(model_b)

# OR
broom::tidy(model2_t)
```

```
## # A tibble: 70 x 5
##   term                                estimate std.error statistic  p.value
##   <chr>                                <dbl>     <dbl>     <dbl>   <dbl>
## 1 (Intercept)                        -0.713     0.740     -0.964 3.35e- 1
## 2 as.factor(age_group)30-44 year olds    0.589     0.0926     6.37 1.94e-10
## 3 as.factor(age_group)45-64 year olds    0.732     0.0919     7.97 1.57e-15
## 4 as.factor(age_group)65 years and older  0.813     0.106     7.66 1.87e-14
## 5 as.factor(gender)Male                  0.400     0.0599     6.68 2.42e-11
## 6 as.factor(race)Black                  -1.39     0.202     -6.86 6.68e-12
## 7 as.factor(race)Native                  0.475     0.270     1.76 7.89e- 2
## 8 as.factor(race)Other                  -0.0760    0.191     -0.397 6.91e- 1
## 9 as.factor(race)White                   0.613     0.153     4.01 5.99e- 5
## 10 as.factor(education)Didn't graduate fr~ 0.338     0.115     2.95 3.21e- 3
## # ... with 60 more rows
```

```
broom::tidy(model2_b)
```

```
## # A tibble: 70 x 5
##   term                                estimate std.error statistic  p.value
##   <chr>                                <dbl>     <dbl>     <dbl>   <dbl>
## 1 (Intercept)                        -0.479     0.839     -0.571 5.68e- 1
## 2 as.factor(age_group)30-44 year olds    -0.213     0.0830     -2.56 1.03e- 2
## 3 as.factor(age_group)45-64 year olds    -0.274     0.0832     -3.29 1.01e- 3
## 4 as.factor(age_group)65 years and older  -0.107     0.0988     -1.08 2.78e- 1
## 5 as.factor(gender)Male                 -0.301     0.0578     -5.21 1.85e- 7
## 6 as.factor(race)Black                   1.00     0.157     6.39 1.68e-10
## 7 as.factor(race)Native                 -0.406     0.264     -1.54 1.24e- 1
## 8 as.factor(race)Other                   0.0558     0.165     0.338 7.35e- 1
```

```
## 9 as.factor(race)White -0.359 0.134 -2.67 7.63e- 3
## 10 as.factor(education)Didn't graduate fr~ -0.682 0.110 -6.20 5.60e-10
## # ... with 60 more rows
```

```
###yps for glm trump
census_data$logodds_estimate_t <-
  model2_t %>%
  predict(newdata = census_data)

census_data$estimate2_t <-
  exp(census_data$logodds_estimate_t)/(1+exp(census_data$logodds_estimate_t))
#using group_by(income)
predict_t <-
census_data %>%
  filter(!is.na(estimate2_t))%>%
  mutate(vote_prop2 = estimate2_t*count) %>%
  group_by(household_income)%>%
  summarise(alp_predict = sum(vote_prop2)/sum(count))
```

```
## 'summarise()' ungrouping output (override with '.groups' argument)
```

```
predict_t
```

```
## # A tibble: 9 x 2
##   household_income    alp_predict
##   <chr>              <dbl>
## 1 $100,000 to $149,999 0.476
## 2 $15,000 to $24,999 0.380
## 3 $150,000 and over 0.495
## 4 $25,000 to $34,999 0.383
## 5 $35,000 to $44,999 0.390
## 6 $45,000 to $54,999 0.430
## 7 $55,000 to $74,999 0.418
## 8 $75,000 to $99,999 0.412
## 9 Less than $14,999 0.318
```

```
#individual
predict_t_nogroup <-
census_data %>%
  filter(!is.na(estimate2_t))%>%
  mutate(vote_prop2 = estimate2_t*count) %>%
  summarise(alp_predict_2t = sum(vote_prop2)/sum(count))
predict_t_nogroup
```

```
## # A tibble: 1 x 1
##   alp_predict_2t
##   <dbl>
## 1 0.428
```

```
###yps for glm Biden
census_data$logodds_b <-
  model2_b %>%
```

```

predict(newdata = census_data)

census_data$estimate2_b <-
  exp(census_data$logodds_b)/(1+exp(census_data$logodds_b))

#using group_by()
predict_b<-
census_data %>%
  filter(!is.na(estimate2_b))%>%
  mutate(vote_prop_b = estimate2_b*count) %>%
  group_by(household_income)%>%
  summarise(alp_predict_b = sum(vote_prop_b)/sum(count))

## 'summarise()' ungrouping output (override with '.groups' argument)

```

```

predict_b

## # A tibble: 9 x 2
##   household_income      alp_predict_b
##   <chr>                <dbl>
## 1 $100,000 to $149,999      0.362
## 2 $15,000 to $24,999       0.395
## 3 $150,000 and over        0.370
## 4 $25,000 to $34,999       0.385
## 5 $35,000 to $44,999       0.409
## 6 $45,000 to $54,999       0.382
## 7 $55,000 to $74,999       0.413
## 8 $75,000 to $99,999       0.420
## 9 Less than $14,999        0.385

```

```

#without grouping
predict_b_nogroup <-
census_data %>%
  filter(!is.na(estimate2_b))%>%
  mutate(vote_prop_b = estimate2_b*count) %>%
  summarise(alp_predict_2b = sum(vote_prop_b)/sum(count))
predict_b_nogroup

```

```

## # A tibble: 1 x 1
##   alp_predict_2b
##   <dbl>
## 1      0.388

```

```

summary(census_data)

##   age_group      gender      race      state
## Length:55325   Length:55325   Length:55325   Length:55325
## Class :character Class :character Class :character Class :character
## Mode  :character Mode  :character Mode  :character Mode  :character
##
##

```

```
##
##
##   education      household_income      count      cell_prop
## Length:55325      Length:55325      Min.   :   1.00      Min.   :3.849e-07
## Class :character      Class :character      1st Qu.:   2.00      1st Qu.:7.698e-07
## Mode  :character      Mode  :character      Median :   8.00      Median :3.079e-06
##                                     Mean  :  46.96      Mean  :1.807e-05
##                                     3rd Qu.:  33.00      3rd Qu.:1.270e-05
##                                     Max.   :6305.00      Max.   :2.427e-03
##
## logodds_estimate_t estimate2_t      logodds_b      estimate2_b
## Min.   :-5.2391      Min.   :0.0053      Min.   : -2.7893      Min.   :0.0579
## 1st Qu.: -1.5250      1st Qu.:0.1787      1st Qu.: -0.7782      1st Qu.:0.3147
## Median : -0.7611      Median :0.3184      Median : -0.2836      Median :0.4296
## Mean   : -0.8891      Mean   :0.3248      Mean   : -0.2315      Mean   :0.4475
## 3rd Qu.: -0.1863      3rd Qu.:0.4536      3rd Qu.: 0.2732      3rd Qu.:0.5679
## Max.   :  1.7106      Max.   :0.8469      Max.   :  3.1671      Max.   :0.9596
## NA's   :613          NA's   :613          NA's   :613          NA's   :613
```

Post-Stratification

In order to estimate the proportion of voters who will vote for Donald Trump I need to perform a post-stratification analysis. Here I create cells based off different ages. Using the model described in the previous sub-section I will estimate the proportion of voters in each age bin. I will then weight each proportion estimate (within each bin) by the respective population size of that bin and sum those values and divide that by the entire population size.

```
# exclude na observations for vote_trump and vote_Biden from survey_data
survey_data <-
  survey_data %>%
  filter(!is.na(vote_trump), !is.na(vote_Biden))

# Trump model
model_t <- glm(vote_trump ~ as.factor(age_group) + as.factor(gender) + as.factor(race) + as.factor(education),
               data=survey_data, family="binomial")

# Biden model
model_b <- glm(vote_Biden ~ as.factor(age_group) + as.factor(gender) + as.factor(race) + as.factor(education),
               data=survey_data, family="binomial")

#broom::tidy(model_t)
#broom::tidy(model_b)

#yps for glm trump
census_data$estimate_T <-
  model_t %>%
  predict(newdata = census_data)

ypsTrump<-
census_data %>%
  filter(!is.na(estimate_T))%>%
  mutate(vote_prop_T = estimate_T*cell_prop) %>%
  mutate(vote_prop_T = estimate_T*count) %>%
```

```

    summarise(estimate_vote_t = sum(vote_prop_t), predict_vote_T = sum(vote_prop_T)/sum(count))

prob_Trump <- exp(ypsTrump$predict_vote_T)/(1+exp(ypsTrump$predict_vote_T))

#yps for glm Biden

census_data$estimate_B <-
  model_b %>%
  predict(newdata = census_data)

ypsBiden<-
census_data %>%
  filter(!is.na(estimate_B))%>%
  mutate(vote_prop_b = estimate_B*cell_prop) %>%
  mutate(vote_prop_B = estimate_B*count) %>%
  summarise(estimate_vote_b = sum(vote_prop_b), predict_vote_B = sum(vote_prop_B)/sum(count))

prob_Biden <- exp(ypsBiden$predict_vote_B)/(1+exp(ypsBiden$predict_vote_B))

prob_Trump

```

```
## [1] 0.4104034
```

```
prob_Biden
```

```
## [1] 0.3805689
```

Results

Here you will include all results. This includes descriptive statistics, graphs, figures, tables, and model results. Please ensure that everything is well formatted and in a report style. You must also provide an explanation of the results in this section.

Please ensure that everything is well labelled. So if you have multiple histograms and plots, calling them Figure 1, 2, 3, etc. and referencing them as Figure 1, Figure 2, etc. in your report will be expected. The reader should not get lost in a sea of information. Make sure to have the results be clean, well formatted and digestible.

```
predict_t_nogroup
```

```
## # A tibble: 1 x 1
##   alp_predict_2t
##         <dbl>
## 1         0.428

```

```
predict_b_nogroup
```

```
## # A tibble: 1 x 1
##   alp_predict_2b
##         <dbl>
## 1         0.388

```

```
prob_Trump
```

```
## [1] 0.4104034
```

```
prob_Biden
```

```
## [1] 0.3805689
```

Discussion

Here you will summarize the previous sections and discuss conclusions drawn from the results. Make sure to elaborate and connect your analysis to the goal of the study.

Weaknesses

Here we discuss weaknesses of the study, data, analysis, etc. You can also discuss areas for improvement.

Next Steps

Here you discuss subsequent work to be done after this report. This can include next steps in terms of statistical analysis (perhaps there is a more efficient algorithm available, or perhaps there is a caveat in the data that would allow for some new technique). Future steps should also be specified in terms of the study setting (eg. including a follow-up survey on something, or a subsequent study that would complement the conclusions of your report).

References