

Comparing Time Execution of Quick Sort and Merge Sort Algorithms

Both merge sort and quicksort operate with a time complexity of $O(n \log n)$, a notable improvement over $O(n^2)$. It's crucial to highlight that the efficiency of quicksort heavily depends on the pivot choice. In instances of suboptimal pivot selection, the worst-case time complexity may escalate to $O(n^2)$. Emphasizing the pivotal role of a well-chosen pivot is essential for optimizing the overall efficiency of the quicksort algorithm.

Array Sorting Algorithms

Algorithm	Time Complexity			Space Complexity
	Best	Average	Worst	Worst
<u>Quicksort</u>	$O(n \log(n))$	$O(n \log(n))$	$O(n^2)$	$O(\log(n))$
<u>Mergesort</u>	$O(n \log(n))$	$O(n \log(n))$	$O(n \log(n))$	$O(n)$
<u>Timsort</u>	$O(n)$	$O(n \log(n))$	$O(n \log(n))$	$O(n)$
<u>Heapsort</u>	$O(n \log(n))$	$O(n \log(n))$	$O(n \log(n))$	$O(1)$
<u>Bubble Sort</u>	$O(n)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(1)$
<u>Insertion Sort</u>	$O(n)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(1)$
<u>Selection Sort</u>	$O(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(1)$
<u>Tree Sort</u>	$O(n \log(n))$	$O(n \log(n))$	$O(n^2)$	$O(n)$
<u>Shell Sort</u>	$O(n \log(n))$	$O(n(\log(n))^2)$	$O(n(\log(n))^2)$	$O(1)$
<u>Bucket Sort</u>	$O(n+k)$	$O(n+k)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(n)$
<u>Radix Sort</u>	$O(nk)$	$O(nk)$	$O(nk)$	$O(n+k)$
<u>Counting Sort</u>	$O(n+k)$	$O(n+k)$	$O(n+k)$	$O(k)$
<u>Cubesort</u>	$O(n)$	$O(n \log(n))$	$O(n \log(n))$	$O(n)$

```
In [17]: import sys
import time
```

Timer Function

```
In [18]: def set_timer(func):
def wrapper(*args, **kwargs):
    start = time.time()
    result = func(*args, **kwargs)
    end = time.time()
    time_passed = end - start
    print(f'The function {func.__name__} took {time_passed} seconds.')
    return result
return wrapper
```

Merge Sort

```
In [19]: @set_timer
```

```

def merge_sort(A):
    merge_sort_2(A, 0, len(A) - 1)

def merge_sort_2(A, first, last):
    if first < last:
        middle = (first + last)//2
        merge_sort_2(A, first, middle)
        merge_sort_2(A, middle + 1, last)
        merge(A, first, middle, last)

def merge(A, first, middle, last):
    left = A[first:middle + 1]
    right = A[middle + 1:last + 1]
    left.append(sys.maxsize)
    right.append(sys.maxsize)
    top_right = top_left = 0

    for k in range(first, last + 1):
        if left[top_left] < right[top_right]:
            A[k] = left[top_left]
            top_left += 1
        else:
            A[k] = right[top_right]
            top_right += 1

```

Quick Sort

```

In [20]: @set_timer
def quicksort(A):
    sort(A, 0, len(A) - 1)

def partition(A, low, hi):
    pivot_index = get_pivot(A, low, hi)
    pivot_value = A[pivot_index]
    A[pivot_index], A[low] = A[low], A[pivot_index]
    border = low

    for i in range(low, hi + 1):
        if A[i] < pivot_value:
            border += 1
            A[i], A[border] = A[border], A[i]
    A[low], A[border] = A[border], A[low]

    return border

def get_pivot(A, low, hi):
    """Pivot is the median of three values"""
    mid = (low + hi) // 2
    pivot = hi
    if A[low] < A[mid]:
        if A[mid] < A[hi]:
            pivot = mid
    elif A[low] < A[hi]:
        pivot = low
    return pivot

def sort(A, low, hi):
    if low < hi:
        border = partition(A, low, hi)

```

```
sort(A, low, border - 1)
sort(A, border + 1, hi)
```

Comparison

```
In [34]: import random
A = random.sample(range(0, 5000), 1000)
B = random.sample(range(0, 5000), 1000)

merge_sort(A)
#print(A)

quicksort(B)
#print(B)
```

The function merge_sort took 0.002998828887939453 seconds.

The function quicksort took 0.002009153366088867 seconds.