

Network Working Group
Request for Comments: 1452

J. Case
SNMP Research, Inc.
K. McCloghrie
Hughes LAN Systems
M. Rose
Dover Beach Consulting, Inc.
S. Waldbusser
Carnegie Mellon University
April 1993

Coexistence between version 1 and version 2 of the
Internet-standard Network Management Framework

Status of this Memo

This RFC specifies an IAB standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "IAB Official Protocol Standards" for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Table of Contents

1 Introduction	2
2 Management Information	3
2.1 Object Definitions	3
2.2 Trap Definitions	6
2.3 Compliance Statements	7
2.4 Capabilities Statements	7
3 Protocol Operations	8
3.1 Proxy Agent Behavior	8
3.1.1 SNMPv2 -> SNMPv1	8
3.1.2 SNMPv1 -> SNMPv2	8
3.2 Bi-lingual Manager Behavior	10
4 Acknowledgements	11
5 References	15
6 Security Considerations	17
7 Authors' Addresses	17

1. Introduction

The purpose of this document is to describe coexistence between version 2 of the Internet-standard Network Management Framework, termed the SNMP version 2 framework (SNMPv2) [1], and the original Internet-standard Network Management Framework (SNMPv1), which consists of these three documents:

RFC 1155 [2] which defines the Structure of Management Information (SMI), the mechanisms used for describing and naming objects for the purpose of management.

RFC 1212 [3] which defines a more concise description mechanism, which is wholly consistent with the SMI.

RFC 1157 [4] which defines the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP), the protocol used for network access to managed objects.

2. Management Information

The SNMPv2 approach towards describing collections of managed objects is nearly a proper superset of the approach defined in the Internet-standard Network Management Framework. For example, both approaches use ASN.1 [5] as the basis for a formal descriptive notation. Indeed, one might note that the SNMPv2 approach largely codifies the existing practice for defining MIB modules, based on extensive experience with the current framework.

The SNMPv2 documents which deal with information modules are:

Structure of Management Information for SNMPv2 [6], which defines concise notations for describing information modules, managed objects and notifications;

Textual Conventions for SNMPv2 [7], which defines a concise notation for describing textual conventions, and also defines some initial conventions; and,

Conformance Statements for SNMPv2 [8], which defines concise notation for describing compliance and capabilities statements.

The following sections consider the three areas: MIB modules, compliance statements, and capabilities statements.

MIB modules defined using the current framework may continue to be used with the SNMPv2 protocol. However, for the MIB modules to conform to the SNMPv2 framework, the following changes are required:

2.1. Object Definitions

In general, conversion of a MIB module does not require the deprecation of the objects contained therein. Only if the semantics of an object truly changes should deprecation be performed.

- (1) The IMPORTS statement must reference SNMPv2-SMI, instead of RFC1155-SMI and RFC-1212.

- (2) The MODULE-IDENTITY macro must be invoked immediately after any IMPORTs or EXPORTs statement.
- (3) For any descriptor which contains the hyphen character, the hyphen character is removed.
- (4) For any object with an integer-valued SYNTAX clause, in which the corresponding INTEGER does not have a range restriction (i.e., the INTEGER has neither a defined set of named-number enumerations nor an assignment of lower- and upper-bounds on its value), the object must have the value of its SYNTAX clause changed to Integer32.
- (5) For any object with a SYNTAX clause value of an enumerated INTEGER, the hyphen character is removed from any named-number labels which contain the hyphen character.
- (6) For any object with a SYNTAX clause value of Counter, the object must have the value of its SYNTAX clause changed to Counter32.
- (7) For any object with a SYNTAX clause value of Gauge, the object must have the value of its SYNTAX clause changed to Gauge32.
- (8) For all objects, the ACCESS clause must be replaced by a MAX-ACCESS clause. The value of the MAX-ACCESS clause is the same as that of the ACCESS clause unless some other value makes "protocol sense" as the maximal level of access for the object. In particular, object types for which instances can be explicitly created by a protocol set operation, will have a MAX-ACCESS clause of "read-create". If the value of the ACCESS clause is "write-only", then the value of the MAX-ACCESS clause is "read-write", and the DESCRIPTION clause notes that reading this object will result implementation-specific results.
- (9) For any columnar object which is used solely for instance identification in a conceptual row, the object must have the value of its MAX-ACCESS clause set to "not-accessible", unless all columnar objects of the conceptual row are used for instance identification, in which case, the MAX-ACCESS clause for one of them must be something other than "not-accessible".

- (10) For all objects, if the value of the STATUS clause is "mandatory", the value must be replaced with "current".
- (11) For all objects, if the value of the STATUS clause is "optional", the value must be replaced with "obsolete".
- (12) For any object not containing a DESCRIPTION clause, the object must have a DESCRIPTION clause defined.
- (13) For any object corresponding to a conceptual row which does not have an INDEX clause, the object must have either an INDEX clause or an AUGMENTS clause defined.
- (14) For any object with an INDEX clause that references an object with a syntax of NetworkAddress, the value of the STATUS clause of the both objects is changed to "obsolete".
- (15) For any object containing a DEFVAL clause with an OBJECT IDENTIFIER value which is expressed as a collection of sub-identifiers, change the value to reference a single ASN.1 identifier.

Other changes are desirable, but not necessary:

- (1) Creation and deletion of conceptual rows is inconsistent using the current framework. The SNMPv2 framework corrects this. As such, if the MIB module undergoes review early in its lifetime, and it contains conceptual tables which allow creation and deletion of conceptual rows, then it may be worthwhile to deprecate the objects relating to those tables and replacing them with objects defined using the new approach.
- (2) For any object with a string-valued SYNTAX clause, in which the corresponding OCTET STRING does not have a size restriction (i.e., the OCTET STRING has no assignment of lower- and upper-bounds on its length), one might consider defining the bounds for the size of the object.
- (3) For all textual conventions informally defined in the MIB module, one might consider redefining those conventions using the TEXTUAL-CONVENTION macro. Such a change would not necessitate deprecating objects previously defined using an informal textual convention.

- (4) For any object which represents a measurement in some kind of units, one might consider adding a UNITS clause to the definition of that object.
- (5) For any conceptual row which is an extension of another conceptual row, i.e., for which subordinate columnar objects both exist and are identified via the same semantics as the other conceptual row, one might consider using an AUGMENTS clause in place of the INDEX clause for the object corresponding to the conceptual row which is an extension.

Finally, when encountering common errors in SNMPv1 MIB modules:

- (1) For any object with a SYNTAX clause value of an enumerated INTEGER, if a named-number enumeration is present with a value of zero, the value of the STATUS clause of that object is changed to "obsolete".
- (2) For any non-columnar object that is instanced as if it were immediately subordinate to a conceptual row, the value of the STATUS clause of that object is changed to "obsolete".
- (3) For any conceptual row object that is not contained immediately subordinate to a conceptual table, the value of the STATUS clause of that object (and all subordinate objects) is changed to "obsolete".

2.2. Trap Definitions

If a MIB module is changed to conform to the SNMPv2 framework, then each occurrence of the TRAP-TYPE macro must be changed to a corresponding invocation of the NOTIFICATION-TYPE macro:

- (1) The IMPORTS statement must not reference [RFC-1215](#).
- (2) The ENTERPRISES clause must be removed.
- (3) The VARIABLES clause must be renamed to the OBJECTS clause.

- (4) The STATUS clause must be added.
- (5) The value of an invocation of the NOTIFICATION-TYPE macro is an OBJECT IDENTIFIER, not an INTEGER, and must be changed accordingly.

2.3. Compliance Statements

For those information modules which are "standard", a corresponding invocation of the MODULE-COMPLIANCE macro must be included within the information module (or in a companion information module), and any commentary text in the information module which relates to compliance must be removed. Typically this editing can occur when the information module undergoes review.

2.4. Capabilities Statements

In the current framework, the informational document [9] uses the MODULE-CONFORMANCE macro to describe an agent's capabilities with respect to one or more MIB modules. Converting such a description for use with the SNMPv2 framework requires these changes:

- (1) Use the macro name AGENT-CAPABILITIES instead of MODULE-CONFORMANCE.
- (2) The STATUS clause must be added.
- (3) For all occurrences of the CREATION-REQUIRES clause, note the slight change in semantics, and omit this clause if appropriate.

3. Protocol Operations

The SNMPv2 documents which deal with protocol operations are:

Protocol Operations for SNMPv2 [10], which defines the syntax and semantics of the operations conveyed by the protocol; and,

Transport Mappings for SNMPv2 [11], which defines how the protocol operations are carried over different transport services.

The following section considers two areas: the proxy behavior between a SNMPv2 entity and a SNMPv1 agent; and, the behavior of "bi-lingual" protocol entities acting in a manager role.

3.1. Proxy Agent Behavior

To achieve coexistence at the protocol-level, a proxy mechanism may be used. A SNMPv2 entity acting in an agent role may be implemented and configured to act in the role of a proxy agent.

3.1.1. SNMPv2 -> SNMPv1

When converting requests from a SNMPv2 entity acting in a manager role into requests sent to a SNMPv1 entity acting in an agent role:

- (1) If a GetRequest-PDU, GetNextRequest-PDU, or SetRequest-PDU is received, then it is passed unaltered by the proxy agent.
- (2) If a GetBulkRequest-PDU is received, the proxy agent sets the non-repeaters and max-repetitions fields to zero, and sets the tag of the PDU to GetNextRequest-PDU.

3.1.2. SNMPv1 -> SNMPv2

When converting responses received from a SNMPv1 entity acting in an agent role into responses sent to a SNMPv2 entity acting in a manager role:

- (1) If a GetResponse-PDU is received, then it is passed unaltered by the proxy agent. Note that even though a SNMPv2 entity will never generate a Response-PDU with a error-status field having a value of 'noSuchName', 'badValue', or 'readOnly', the proxy agent must not change this field. This allows the SNMPv2 entity acting in a manager role to interpret the response correctly.

If a GetResponse-PDU is received with an error-status field having a value of 'tooBig', the proxy agent will remove the contents of the variable-bindings field before propagating the response. Note that even though a SNMPv2 entity will never generate a 'tooBig' in response to a GetBulkRequestPDU, the proxy agent must propagate such a response.

- (2) If a Trap-PDU is received, then it is mapped into a SNMPv2-Trap-PDU. This is done by prepending onto the variable-bindings field two new bindings: sysUpTime.0 [12], which takes its value from the timestamp field of the Trap-PDU; and, snmpTrapOID.0 [13], which is calculated thusly: if the value of generic-trap field is 'enterpriseSpecific', then the value used is the concatenation of the enterprise field from the Trap-PDU with two additional sub-identifiers, '0', and the value of the specific-trap field; otherwise, the value of the corresponding trap defined in [13] is used. (For example, if the value of the generic-trap field is 'coldStart', then the coldStart trap [13] is used.) Then, one new binding is appended onto the variable-bindings field: snmpTrapEnterpriseOID.0 [13], which takes its value from the enterprise field of the Trap-PDU. To determine the destinations for the SNMPv2-Trap-PDU, the proxy agent applies the procedures defined in Section 4.2.6 of [10], with the exception that no check is made to see if the instances associated with this trap are present in the proxy agent's view.

3.2. Bi-lingual Manager Behavior

To achieve coexistence at the protocol-level, a protocol entity acting in a manager role might support both SNMPv1 and SNMPv2. When a management application needs to contact a protocol entity acting in an agent role, the entity acting in a manager role consults a local database to select the correct management protocol to use.

In order to provide transparency to management applications, the entity acting in a manager role must map operations as if it were acting as a proxy agent.

4. Acknowledgements

The comments of the SNMP version 2 working group are gratefully acknowledged:

Beth Adams, Network Management Forum
Steve Alexander, INTERACTIVE Systems Corporation
David Arneson, Cabletron Systems
Toshiya Asaba
Fred Baker, ACC
Jim Barnes, Xylogics, Inc.
Brian Bataille
Andy Bierman, SynOptics Communications, Inc.
Uri Blumenthal, IBM Corporation
Fred Bohle, Interlink
Jack Brown
Theodore Brunner, Bellcore
Stephen F. Bush, GE Information Services
Jeffrey D. Case, University of Tennessee, Knoxville
John Chang, IBM Corporation
Szusin Chen, Sun Microsystems
Robert Ching
Chris Chiotasso, Ungermann-Bass
Bobby A. Clay, NASA/Boeing
John Cooke, Chipcom
Tracy Cox, Bellcore
Juan Cruz, Datability, Inc.
David Cullerot, Cabletron Systems
Cathy Cunningham, Microcom
James R. (Chuck) Davin, Bellcore
Michael Davis, Clearpoint
Mike Davison, FiberCom
Cynthia DellaTorre, MITRE
Taso N. Devetzis, Bellcore
Manual Diaz, DAVID Systems, Inc.
Jon Dreyer, Sun Microsystems
David Engel, Optical Data Systems
Mike Erlinger, Lexcel
Roger Fajman, NIH
Daniel Fauvarque, Sun Microsystems
Karen Frisa, CMU
Shari Galitzer, MITRE
Shawn Gallagher, Digital Equipment Corporation
Richard Graveman, Bellcore
Maria Greene, Xyplex, Inc.

Michel Guittet, Apple
Robert Gutierrez, NASA
Bill Hagerty, Cabletron Systems
Gary W. Haney, Martin Marietta Energy Systems
Patrick Hanil, Nokia Telecommunications
Matt Hecht, SNMP Research, Inc.
Edward A. Heiner, Jr., Synernetics Inc.
Susan E. Hicks, Martin Marietta Energy Systems
Gerald Holzhauser, Apple
John Hopprich, DAVID Systems, Inc.
Jeff Hughes, Hewlett-Packard
Robin Iddon, Axon Networks, Inc.
David Itusak
Kevin M. Jackson, Concord Communications, Inc.
Ole J. Jacobsen, Interop Company
Ronald Jacoby, Silicon Graphics, Inc.
Satish Joshi, SynOptics Communications, Inc.
Frank Kastenholz, FTP Software
Mark Kepke, Hewlett-Packard
Ken Key, SNMP Research, Inc.
Zbiginew Kielczewski, Eicon
Jongyeoi Kim
Andrew Knutsen, The Santa Cruz Operation
Michael L. Kornegay, VisiSoft
Deirdre C. Kostik, Bellcore
Cheryl Krupczak, Georgia Tech
Mark S. Lewis, Telebit
David Lin
David Lindemulder, AT&T/NCR
Ben Lisowski, Sprint
David Liu, Bell-Northern Research
John Lunny, The Wollongong Group
Robert C. Lushbaugh Martin, Marietta Energy Systems
Michael Luufer, BBN
Carl Madison, Star-Tek, Inc.
Keith McCloghrie, Hughes LAN Systems
Evan McGinnis, 3Com Corporation
Bill McKenzie, IBM Corporation
Donna McMaster, SynOptics Communications, Inc.
John Medicke, IBM Corporation
Doug Miller, Telebit
Dave Minnich, FiberCom
Mohammad Mirhakkak, MITRE
Rohit Mital, Protocols
George Mouradian, AT&T Bell Labs

Patrick Mullaney, Cabletron Systems
Dan Myers, 3Com Corporation
Rina Nathaniel, Rad Network Devices Ltd.
Hien V. Nguyen, Sprint
Mo Nikain
Tom Nisbet
William B. Norton, MERIT
Steve Onishi, Wellfleet Communications, Inc.
David T. Perkins, SynOptics Communications, Inc.
Carl Powell, BBN
Ilan Raab, SynOptics Communications, Inc.
Richard Ramons, AT&T
Venkat D. Rangan, Metric Network Systems, Inc.
Louise Reingold, Sprint
Sam Roberts, Farallon Computing, Inc.
Kary Robertson, Concord Communications, Inc.
Dan Romascanu, Lannet Data Communications Ltd.
Marshall T. Rose, Dover Beach Consulting, Inc.
Shawn A. Routhier, Epilogue Technology Corporation
Chris Rozman
Asaf Rubissa, Fibronics
Jon Saperia, Digital Equipment Corporation
Michael Sapich
Mike Scanlon, Interlan
Sam Schaen, MITRE
John Seligson, Ultra Network Technologies
Paul A. Serice, Corporation for Open Systems
Chris Shaw, Banyan Systems
Timon Sloane
Robert Snyder, Cisco Systems
Joo Young Song
Roy Spitier, Sprint
Einar Stefferud, Network Management Associates
John Stephens, Cayman Systems, Inc.
Robert L. Stewart, Xyplex, Inc. (chair)
Kaj Tesink, Bellcore
Dean Throop, Data General
Ahmet Tuncay, France Telecom-CNET
Maurice Turcotte, Racal Datacom
Warren Vik, INTERACTIVE Systems Corporation
Yannis Viniotis
Steven L. Waldbusser, Carnegie Mellon University
Timothy M. Walden, ACC
Alice Wang, Sun Microsystems
James Watt, Newbridge

Luanne Waul, Timeplex
Donald E. Westlake III, Digital Equipment Corporation
Gerry White
Bert Wijnen, IBM Corporation
Peter Wilson, 3Com Corporation
Steven Wong, Digital Equipment Corporation
Randy Worzella, IBM Corporation
Daniel Woycke, MITRE
Honda Wu
Jeff Yarnell, Protools
Chris Young, Cabletron
Kiho Yum, 3Com Corporation

5. References

- [1] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M., and Waldbusser, S., "Introduction to version 2 of the Internet-standard Network Management Framework", [RFC 1441](#), SNMP Research, Inc., Hughes LAN Systems, Dover Beach Consulting, Inc., Carnegie Mellon University, April 1993.
- [2] Rose, M., and McCloghrie, K., "Structure and Identification of Management Information for TCP/IP-based internets", STD 16, [RFC 1155](#), May 1990.
- [3] Rose, M., and McCloghrie, K., "Concise MIB Definitions", STD 16, [RFC 1212](#), March 1991.
- [4] Case, J., Fedor, M., Schoffstall, M., Davin, J., "Simple Network Management Protocol", STD 15, [RFC 1157](#), SNMP Research, Performance Systems International, MIT Laboratory for Computer Science, May 1990.
- [5] Information processing systems - Open Systems Interconnection - Specification of Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1), International Organization for Standardization. International Standard 8824, (December, 1987).
- [6] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M., and Waldbusser, S., "Structure of Management Information for version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)", [RFC 1442](#), SNMP Research, Inc., Hughes LAN Systems, Dover Beach Consulting, Inc., Carnegie Mellon University, April 1993.
- [7] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M., and Waldbusser, S., "Textual Conventions for version 2 of the the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)", [RFC 1443](#), SNMP Research, Inc., Hughes LAN Systems, Dover Beach Consulting, Inc., Carnegie Mellon University, April 1993.
- [8] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M., and Waldbusser, S., "Conformance Statements for version 2 of the the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)", [RFC 1444](#), SNMP Research, Inc., Hughes LAN Systems, Dover Beach Consulting, Inc., Carnegie Mellon University, April 1993.

- [9] McCloghrie, K., and Rose, M., "A Convention for Describing SNMP-based Agents", [RFC 1303](#), Hughes LAN Systems, Dover Beach Consulting, Inc., February 1992.
- [10] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M., and Waldbusser, S., "Protocol Operations for version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)", [RFC 1448](#), SNMP Research, Inc., Hughes LAN Systems, Dover Beach Consulting, Inc., Carnegie Mellon University, April 1993.
- [11] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M., and Waldbusser, S., "Transport Mappings for version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)", [RFC 1449](#), SNMP Research, Inc., Hughes LAN Systems, Dover Beach Consulting, Inc., Carnegie Mellon University, April 1993.
- [12] McCloghrie, K., and Rose, M., "Management Information Base for Network Management of TCP/IP-based internets: MIB-II", STD 17, [RFC 1213](#), March 1991.
- [13] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M., and Waldbusser, S., "Management Information Base for version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)", [RFC 1450](#), SNMP Research, Inc., Hughes LAN Systems, Dover Beach Consulting, Inc., Carnegie Mellon University, April 1993.

6. Security Considerations

Security issues are not discussed in this memo.

7. Authors' Addresses

Jeffrey D. Case
SNMP Research, Inc.
3001 Kimberlin Heights Rd.
Knoxville, TN 37920-9716
US

Phone: +1 615 573 1434
Email: case@snmp.com

Keith McCloghrie
Hughes LAN Systems
1225 Charleston Road
Mountain View, CA 94043
US

Phone: +1 415 966 7934
Email: kzm@hls.com

Marshall T. Rose
Dover Beach Consulting, Inc.
420 Whisman Court
Mountain View, CA 94043-2186
US

Phone: +1 415 968 1052
Email: mrose@dbc.mtview.ca.us

Steven Waldbusser
Carnegie Mellon University
4910 Forbes Ave
Pittsburgh, PA 15213
US

Phone: +1 412 268 6628
Email: waldbusser@cmu.edu