Quantitative Portfolio Management

Assignment #5

Raman Uppal EDHEC Business School

November 2023

Instructions for each assignment . . . I

- ► Assignment #1 should be done individually.
- ▶ The other assignments are to be done in groups of 4 or 5 students.
 - ▶ This means that groups of 1, 2, 3, 6, etc. are not allowed.
 - Diversity in groups is strongly encouraged (people from different countries, different genders, different finance knowledge, and different coding ability, etc.)

Instructions for each assignment . . . II

- ► Each assignment should be emailed as a Jupyter file
 - ► To Raman.Uppal@edhec.edu
 - The subject line of the email should be: "QPM: Assignment n," where n = {1,2,...,8}.
 - Assignment *n* is due before Lecture *n*, where $n = \{1, 2, ..., 8\}$.
 - Assignments submitted late will not be accepted (grade = 0), so please do not email me assignments after the deadline.

Instructions for each assignment . . . III

- ► The Jupyter file should include the following (use Markdown):
 - Section "0" with information about your submission:
 - ▶ Line 1: QPM: Assignment *n*
 - Line 2: Group members: listed alphabetically by last name, where the last name is written in CAPITAL letters
 - ▶ Line 3: Any comments/challenges about the assignment
 - Section "k" where $k = \{1, 2, ...\}$.
 - First type Question k of Assignment n.
 - Then, below the question, provide your answer.
 - Your code should include any packages that need to be imported.

Initial step to prepare the data for this assignment

- ► The data we will be using is the same that we used for the previous assignment. For convenience, I have typed again the instructions.
 - Make sure you have already imported "pandas" and "yfinance."
 - Download from Wikipedia (or any other source) a table that lists the companies that comprise the S&P 500. (See "Helpful links" provided at the end of the assignment.)
 - From this table, extract the list of ticker symbols.
 - Set the start date and end date to be
 - start_date = "2000-01-01"
 - end_date = "2022-12-31"
 - Build a dataframe that contains the stock prices for the S&P 500 companies. (If there are errors for some company names, it is fine to ignore the company names with errors.)
 - Drop the columns that have only "NaN" entries.
 - ▶ Drop also the companies with more than 100 missing observations.

Questions for Assignment 5 . . . I

- ▶ Select the following 10 companies (these are the first 10 companies with no missing data):
 - "MMM","AOS","ABT","ADM","ADBE","ADP","AES","AFL","A","AKAM"
- So, just like for the last assignment, our dataset for this assignment will consist of monthly returns for these 10 companies.
- ▶ To reduce the work required for this assignment, please continue to assume that the risk-free rate of return is zero.

Questions for Assignment 5 . . . II

- Q5.1 Choose the estimation window to be $T^{\rm est}=60$ months of monthly returns. Call this the estimation sample. Use the estimation sample to compute the following two portfolio strategies:
 - a. mean-variance portfolio with nonnegativity constraints on the weights (when a risk-free rate is available, and set this rate to 0); we will refer to this portfolio as "MVP-C."
 - b. global minimum variance (GMV) portfolio with nonnegativity constraints; we will refer to this portfolio as "GMV-C".
 - ► For each of the two portfolios, rescale the weights in the risky assets so that they sum to 1; that is, you are "fully invested" in just the risky assets.

Questions for Assignment 5 . . . III

- ▶ So, compared to the previous assignment, the only change is that
 - we have replaced the unconstrained strategies
 - by strategies that have nonnegativity constraints on the weights, which rule out short selling.
- ▶ The remaining instructions are the same as for last week.
- Q5.2 Now use a rolling window of $T^{\rm est}=60$ months to estimate the portfolio weights for the two strategies listed above for each of the $T-T^{\rm est}$ months. That is, repeat the calculations of the previous question for all the dates *after* the first 60 months.
- Q5.3 Use the time-series of portfolios weights for each of the two portfolio strategies, to compute the out-of-sample portfolio returns. That is, for each of the two portfolio strategies that you estimate at each date t, compute its out-of-sample return in month t+1.
- Q5.4 Now, compute the Sharpe ratio of the out-of-sample returns for the two portfolio strategies. Which strategy has the higher Sharpe ratio?

Helpful hints

- ▶ Helpful links for information on downloading S&P 500 ticker symbols.
 - ► from Danny Groves
 - ▶ from GitHub
- Finally, please save the data you have downloaded and created for these ten companies because we will be using it again.

Discussion of Assignment 5: Initial setup

▶ We start by loading the libraries we will need.

Code to load required libraries

```
import pandas as pd
import yfinance as yf
import numpy as np
import pandas_datareader as pdr

# only additional package, relative to Assignment 4
from scipy.optimize import minimize
```

Code to download the data

Code to download the data

```
# List of tickets for which we will download the data
tickers=["MMM","AOS","ABT","ADM","ADBE","ADP","AES","AFL","A","AKAM"]
# Set the start and end dates
start_date = "2000-01-01"
end date = "2022-12-31"
# Create an empty dataframe
stock_prices = pd.DataFrame()
# Download the data
for ticker in tickers:
   price = yf.download(ticker,start=start_date,end=end_date)
    stock_prices[ticker] = price["Adj Close"]
# Change the index column to be the date
stock_prices.index = pd.to_datetime(stock_prices.index)
```

Code to construct monthly returns

Code to construct monthly returns

```
# Extract the stock price at the end of each month
month_stock_prices = stock_prices.resample("1M").last()
month_stock_prices.index = month_stock_prices.index.date

# Compute returns
month_return=np.log(month_stock_prices/month_stock_prices.shift(1))
month_return=month_return.dropna() # delete the first row - without data
```

Discussion of Assignment: Q5.1

- Q5.1 Choose the estimation window to be $T^{\rm est}=60$ months of monthly returns. Call this the estimation sample. Use the estimation sample to compute the following two portfolio strategies:
 - a. mean-variance portfolio with nonnegativity constraints on the weights (when a risk-free rate is available, and set this rate to 0); we will refer to this portfolio as "MVP-C."
 - global minimum variance (GMV) portfolio with nonnegativity constraints; we will refer to this portfolio as "GMV-C".
 - ▶ For each of the two portfolios, rescale the weights in the risky assets so that they sum to 1; that is, you are "fully invested" in just the risky assets.

Code for Q5.1: MVP-C

Code to construct constrained MVP portfolio

```
# Step 1 of 2: Define objective to be minimized (portfolio variance)
def objective_MVP(weights, mu, V):
    portfolio_return = weights.T @ mu
    portfolio_variance = weights.T @ V @ weights
    sharpe = portfolio_return/np.sqrt(portfolio_variance)
    return -sharpe
```

Code for Q5.1: MVP-C (continued)

Code to construct constrained MVP portfolio

```
# Step 2 of 2: Calculate the optimal weight of MVP-C portfolio:
def MVP_C_w(returns):
   mu = returns.mean()
   V = returns.cov()
   # Define the constraint that the weights sum to 1
    constraints = ({'type': 'eq', 'fun': lambda weights: np.sum(weights)
     -1)
   # Define the bounds for each weight (0 <= weight <= 999)</pre>
    bounds = tuple((0, 999) for _ in range(len(V)))
    # Define the initial guess for weights
    initial_weights = np.ones(len(V)) / len(V)
    # Use scipy.optimize.minimize to find the optimal weights
   result = minimize(objective_MVP, initial_weights, args = (mu,V,),
    method = 'SLSQP', bounds = bounds, constraints = constraints)
    # Extract the optimal weights
    optimal_weights = result.x
   return optimal_weights
```

Code for Q5.1: GMV-C

- Having defined the mean-variance portfolio with short-sale constraints (MVP-C);
- ▶ We now define the global minimum variance portfolio with short-sale constraints (GMV-C).

Code to construct constrained GMV portfolio

```
# Step 1 of 2: Define the objective to be minimized (portfolio variance)
def objective_GMV(weights, V):
    portfolio_variance = weights.T @ V @ weights
    return portfolio_variance
```

Code for Q5.1: GMV-C (continued)

Code to construct constrained GMV portfolio

```
# Step 2 of 2: Calculate the optimal weight of GMV-C portfolio:
def GMV C w(returns):
   V = returns.cov()
   # Define the constraint that the weights sum to 1
    constraints = ({'type': 'eq', 'fun': lambda weights: np.sum(weights)
     - 1})
    # Define the bounds for each weight (0 <= weight <= 999)
    bounds = tuple((0, 999) for _ in range(len(V)))
    # Define the initial guess for the weights
    initial_weights = np.ones(len(V)) / len(V)
   # Use scipy.optimize.minimize to find the optimal weights
   result = minimize(objective_GMV, initial_weights, args=(V,), method=
     'SLSOP', bounds=bounds, constraints=constraints)
    # Extract the optimal weights
    optimal_weights = result.x
   return optimal_weights
```

Discussion of Assignment: Q5.2

Q5.2 Now use a rolling window of $T^{\rm est}=60$ months to estimate the portfolio weights for the two strategies listed above for each of the $T-T^{\rm est}$ months. That is, repeat the calculations of the previous question for all the dates *after* the first 60 months.

Code for Q5.2: MVP-C

Code for rolling-window analysis of MVP-C

```
MVP_C_weights = pd.DataFrame(index=month_return.index, columns=
    month return.columns)
for i in month_return.index[60:]:
                                            #start from the 61th month
    start_date = i - pd.DateOffset(months=60)
    end_date = i-pd.DateOffset(months=1)
    start_date = pd.to_datetime(start_date).date()
    end_date=pd.to_datetime(end_date).date()
   df = month return.loc[start date:end date]
    # calculate MVP-C portfolio for each rolling window
   MVP_C_weights.loc[i] = MVP_C_w(df)
MVP_C_weights = MVP_C_weights.dropna()
```

Code for Q5.2: GMV-C

Code for rolling-window analysis of GMV-C

```
GMV_C_weights = pd.DataFrame(index = month_return.index, columns =
    month return.columns)
for i in month_return.index[60:]:#start from the 61th month
    start_date = i - pd.DateOffset(months = 60)
    end_date = i-pd.DateOffset(months = 1)
    start_date = pd.to_datetime(start_date).date()
    end_date = pd.to_datetime(end_date).date()
   df = month return.loc[start date:end date]
    # calculate GMV-C portfolio for each rolling window
   GMV_C_weights.loc[i] = GMV_C_w(df)
GMV_C_weights=GMV_C_weights.dropna()
```

Discussion of Assignment: Q5.3

Q5.3 Use the time-series of portfolios weights for each of the two portfolio strategies, to compute the out-of-sample portfolio returns. That is, for each of the two portfolio strategies that you estimate at each date t, compute its out-of-sample return in month t+1.

Code for computing portfolio return at date t+1

```
# Because Rf is assumed to be 0,
# the portfolio return equals the return on the risky-asset portfolio
# Return on MVP-C portfolio with non-negative constraints:
MVP_C_return = (MVP_C_weights * month_return.iloc[60:]).sum(axis = 1)
# Return on GMV-C portfolio with non-negative constraints:
GMV_C_return = (GMV_C_weights * month_return.iloc[60:]).sum(axis = 1)
```

Discussion of Assignment: Q5.4

Q5.4 Now, compute the Sharpe ratio of the out-of-sample returns for the two portfolio strategies. Which strategy has the higher Sharpe ratio?

Code to compute the Sharpe ratio

```
def sharpe_ratio(m_return):  # input is monthly return
  Rf=0
   m_mean_return = m_return.mean()  # monthly return mean
   m_vol = m_return.std()  # monthly return volatility

y_mean_return = m_mean_return * 12  # transform to yearly mean
  y_vol = m_vol * np.sqrt(12)  # transform to yearly volatility

SR = (y_mean_return-Rf)/y_vol
  return SR
```

Discussion of Assignment: Q5.4 (continued)

Code for printing the output

```
Sharpe Ratio of MVP-C portfolio is 0.6314017700840544.
Sharpe Ratio of GMV-C portfolio is 0.6790106272989513.
```

▶ From the above result, we conclude that, with short-sale constraints, the mean-variance portfolio performs almost as well as the global minimum-variance portfolio — at least for the companies and sample period considered.

 ${\sf End\ of\ assignment}$