

001	Best Throughput		ratio has improved		the value of parameter lmbcs, though.
	Ops x Size				Singleblock carriers allocated through mseg_alloc are sized to whole
	Interval	010	fragmentation		pages.
	IIILEI Vai		acceptor_pool noticed in fragmented		let nc <= mbcgs:
002	High Frequency		procs		next mbc size = smbcs + nc × (lmbcs - smbcs)
	Short delays combined with high				
	(10-30sec) delta gossip intervals overload memory	011	bin_leak		= Imbcs otherwise
			Indicates leaking binaries are close to none		
003	Parameters				12 cores -> 13 eheap allocs 1 core -> 2 allocs
	pressure:	012	recon_alloc		> SMP enabled devices
	(Size × Count) / Interval of updates		Points out high unused allocated memory		
				015	erts_alloc_config
004	SD card		:memory(unused,max)		a custom erts_alloc config file can be
	Could a local storage of aggregates on SD card i.e. persistent allow for less memory	013	C implementations		generated based on learning from scenario runs. It can possibly optimize alloc configuration for more specific
	and network load?		available on OTP repo, show detailed specs and implementation of allocators		applications.
005	Not recommended by Adam		setup	016	Major on all PIDs
005	Not recommended by Adam			010	
		044			up to 2MB collected : increases lifetime
	Not durable	014	Computers vs GRiSPs		ap to zivib conected. Increases incline
006	ETS	014	mseg_alloc process :	017	Temperatures
006	ETS	014	mseg_alloc process : growth stages = 10	017	Temperatures
006	ETS Hypothesis:	014	mseg_alloc process :	017	
006	ETS	014	mseg_alloc process:  growth stages = 10 Imbcs = 1024*1024	017	Temperatures
006	ETS  Hypothesis:  Could a local ets storage of aggregates instead of process heap storage improve memory usage while preserving	014	mseg_alloc process:  growth stages = 10 lmbcs = 1024*1024 smbcs = 1024  Sizes of multiblock carriers allocated through mseg_allocare decided based on	017	Temperatures  pmod_nav
006	ETS  Hypothesis:  Could a local ets storage of aggregates instead of process heap storage improve memory usage while preserving sufficient resilience?	014	mseg_alloc process:  growth stages = 10  lmbcs = 1024*1024  smbcs = 1024  Sizes of multiblock carriers allocated through mseg_allocare decided based on the following parameters:		Temperatures  pmod_nav
006	ETS  Hypothesis:  Could a local ets storage of aggregates instead of process heap storage improve memory usage while preserving	<b>014</b>	mseg_alloc process:  growth stages = 10 lmbcs = 1024*1024 smbcs = 1024  Sizes of multiblock carriers allocated through mseg_allocare decided based on		Temperatures  pmod_nav  GC
006	ETS  Hypothesis:  Could a local ets storage of aggregates instead of process heap storage improve memory usage while preserving sufficient resilience?  Less Lasp updates but also les traffic and	014	mseg_alloc process:  growth stages = 10 Imbcs = 1024*1024 smbcs = 1024  Sizes of multiblock carriers allocated through mseg_allocare decided based on the following parameters:  The values of the largest multiblock carrier size (Imbcs)		Temperatures  pmod_nav  GC
006	ETS  Hypothesis:  Could a local ets storage of aggregates instead of process heap storage improve memory usage while preserving sufficient resilience?  Less Lasp updates but also les traffic and	<b>014</b>	mseg_alloc process:  growth stages = 10 Imbcs = 1024*1024 smbcs = 1024  Sizes of multiblock carriers allocated through mseg_allocare decided based on the following parameters:  The values of the largest multiblock carrier size (Imbcs)	018	Temperatures  pmod_nav  GC  Timed GC's included  Sliding window  Easily maintained with ETS and
	ETS  Hypothesis:  Could a local ets storage of aggregates instead of process heap storage improve memory usage while preserving sufficient resilience?  Less Lasp updates but also les traffic and process memory usage.  Pmod_nav  aggregate temperatures in ETS table	<b>014</b>	mseg_alloc process:  growth stages = 10 lmbcs = 1024*1024 smbcs = 1024  Sizes of multiblock carriers allocated through mseg_allocare decided based on the following parameters:  The values of the largest multiblock carrier size (lmbcs)  The smallest multiblock carrier size (smbcs)  The multiblock carrier growth stages	018	Temperatures pmod_nav  GC Timed GC's included  Sliding window
	ETS  Hypothesis:  Could a local ets storage of aggregates instead of process heap storage improve memory usage while preserving sufficient resilience?  Less Lasp updates but also les traffic and process memory usage.  Pmod_nav	<b>014</b>	mseg_alloc process:  growth stages = 10 Imbcs = 1024*1024 smbcs = 1024  Sizes of multiblock carriers allocated through mseg_allocare decided based on the following parameters:  The values of the largest multiblock carrier size (Imbcs)  The smallest multiblock carrier size (smbcs)  The multiblock carrier growth stages (mbcgs)	018	Temperatures  pmod_nav  GC  Timed GC's included  Sliding window  Easily maintained with ETS and
	ETS  Hypothesis:  Could a local ets storage of aggregates instead of process heap storage improve memory usage while preserving sufficient resilience?  Less Lasp updates but also les traffic and process memory usage.  Pmod_nav  aggregate temperatures in ETS table with erlang:monotonic_time(),temp k/v	014	mseg_alloc process:  growth stages = 10 lmbcs = 1024*1024 smbcs = 1024  Sizes of multiblock carriers allocated through mseg_allocare decided based on the following parameters:  The values of the largest multiblock carrier size (lmbcs)  The smallest multiblock carrier size (smbcs)  The multiblock carrier growth stages (mbcgs)  If nc is the current number of multiblock	018	Temperatures  pmod_nav  GC  Timed GC's included  Sliding window  Easily maintained with ETS and monotonic_time() for each table  Lasp
007	ETS  Hypothesis:  Could a local ets storage of aggregates instead of process heap storage improve memory usage while preserving sufficient resilience?  Less Lasp updates but also les traffic and process memory usage.  Pmod_nav  aggregate temperatures in ETS table with erlang:monotonic_time(),temp k/v pairs	014	mseg_alloc process:  growth stages = 10 Imbcs = 1024*1024 smbcs = 1024  Sizes of multiblock carriers allocated through mseg_allocare decided based on the following parameters:  The values of the largest multiblock carrier size (Imbcs)  The smallest multiblock carrier size (smbcs)  The multiblock carrier growth stages (mbcgs)  If nc is the current number of multiblock carriers (the main multiblock carrier excluded) managed by an allocator, the	018	Temperatures  pmod_nav  GC  Timed GC's included  Sliding window  Easily maintained with ETS and monotonic_time() for each table  .
	ETS  Hypothesis:  Could a local ets storage of aggregates instead of process heap storage improve memory usage while preserving sufficient resilience?  Less Lasp updates but also les traffic and process memory usage.  Pmod_nav  aggregate temperatures in ETS table with erlang:monotonic_time(),temp k/v	014	mseg_alloc process:  growth stages = 10 Imbcs = 1024*1024 smbcs = 1024  Sizes of multiblock carriers allocated through mseg_allocare decided based on the following parameters:  The values of the largest multiblock carrier size (Imbcs)  The smallest multiblock carrier size (smbcs)  The multiblock carrier growth stages (mbcgs)  If nc is the current number of multiblock carriers (the main multiblock carrier excluded) managed by an allocator, the size of the next mseg_alloc multiblock carrier allocated by this allocator is	018	Temperatures  pmod_nav  GC  Timed GC's included  Sliding window  Easily maintained with ETS and monotonic_time() for each table  Lasp  update crdt periodically or on-demand
007	ETS  Hypothesis:  Could a local ets storage of aggregates instead of process heap storage improve memory usage while preserving sufficient resilience?  Less Lasp updates but also les traffic and process memory usage.  Pmod_nav  aggregate temperatures in ETS table with erlang:monotonic_time(),temp k/v pairs	014	mseg_alloc process:  growth stages = 10 Imbcs = 1024*1024 smbcs = 1024  Sizes of multiblock carriers allocated through mseg_allocare decided based on the following parameters:  The values of the largest multiblock carrier size (Imbcs)  The smallest multiblock carrier size (smbcs)  The multiblock carrier growth stages (mbcgs)  If nc is the current number of multiblock carriers (the main multiblock carrier excluded) managed by an allocator, the size of the next mseg_alloc multiblock carrier allocated by this allocator is	018	Temperatures  pmod_nav  GC  Timed GC's included  Sliding window  Easily maintained with ETS and monotonic_time() for each table  Lasp  update crdt periodically or on-demand
007	ETS  Hypothesis:  Could a local ets storage of aggregates instead of process heap storage improve memory usage while preserving sufficient resilience?  Less Lasp updates but also les traffic and process memory usage.  Pmod_nav  aggregate temperatures in ETS table with erlang:monotonic_time(),temp k/v pairs  Recon  @ferd's application for inspection, mostly	014	mseg_alloc process:  growth stages = 10 lmbcs = 1024*1024 smbcs = 1024  Sizes of multiblock carriers allocated through mseg_allocare decided based on the following parameters:  The values of the largest multiblock carrier size (lmbcs)  The smallest multiblock carrier size (smbcs)  The multiblock carrier growth stages (mbcgs)  If nc is the current number of multiblock carriers (the main multiblock carrier excluded) managed by an allocator, the size of the next mseg_alloc multiblock carrier allocated by this allocator is roughly smbcs+nc*(lmbcs-smbcs)/mbcgs	018	Temperatures  pmod_nav  GC  Timed GC's included  Sliding window  Easily maintained with ETS and monotonic_time() for each table  Lasp  update crdt periodically or on-demand only?

multiblock carriers that are larger than

tuples

#### 022 Intermediate data

tasks could trigger propagation based on table content

## 023 3-nodes delta intervals

1---2---3

Node 2 crashes first even if more traffic generated at node 3 => relays could require additional backpressure

delta interval for Lasp set to 10,20 and 30 seconds

Similar traffic on all nodes

cycle: 1000 iterations

add 100bits
wait 500ms
rmv 100bits
wait 500ms

No memory improvement

## 024 3 nodes Lasp cluster

1---2---3

Node 2 crashes first even if more traffic generated at node 3 => relays could require additional backpressure

Max throughput: node3

cycle: 1000 iterations

add 200bits
wait 500ms
rmv 200bits
wait 500ms

#### **025** Find stable configuration

Determine maximum traffic enabling long lived nodes

# 026 Increased local aggregation

Same operations except only 1 in N iterations propagate data in Lasp

### **027** Fine-tune Lasp updates params

Packet config:

LD = Low Delay

LS = Low Size LC = Low Count

1. LD and !LS and !LC

2. LD and LS and !LC

3. all

4. !LD and LS and !LC

5. LD and !LS and !LC