QIT Homework Lecture 4

Jesús Mosteiro García

October 2023

1. Let $(X^n, Y^n) \sim p(x^n, y^n)$. Prove:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} I(X_{i+1}^{n}; Y_{i}|Y_{1}^{i-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(X_{i}; Y_{1}^{i-1}|X_{i+1}^{n})$$

where $X_i^j=(X_i,...,X_j), Y_i^j=(Y_i,...,Y_j)$ and $X_{n+1}^n=Y_1^0=\varnothing$. **Hint:** Note that by application of the chain rule of mutual information:

$$I(X_{i+1}^n; Y_1^i) = I(X_{i+1}^n; Y_1^{i-1}) + I(X_{i+1}^n; Y_i | Y_1^{i-1})$$

and also:

$$I(X_i^n; Y_1^{i-1}) = I(X_{i+1}^n; Y_1^{i-1}) + I(X_i; Y_1^{i-1} | X_{i+1}^n)$$

Solution:

We can rearrange the hints to obtain:

$$\begin{split} I(X_{i+1}^n;Y_1^{i-1}) &= I(X_{i+1}^n;Y_1^i) - I(X_{i+1}^n;Y_i|Y_1^{i-1}) \\ I(X_{i+1}^n;Y_1^{i-1}) &= I(X_i^n;Y_1^{i-1}) - I(X_i;Y_1^{i-1}|X_{i+1}^n) \\ I(X_{i+1}^n;Y_i|Y_1^{i-1}) - I(X_{i+1}^n;Y_1^i) &= I(X_i;Y_1^{i-1}|X_{i+1}^n) - I(X_i^n;Y_1^{i-1}) \end{split} \tag{1}$$

Inserting our summation, we know that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} [I(X_{i+1}^{n}; Y_{i}|Y_{1}^{i-1}) - I(X_{i+1}^{n}; Y_{1}^{i})] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [I(X_{i}; Y_{1}^{i-1}|X_{i+1}^{n}) - I(X_{i}^{n}; Y_{1}^{i-1})]$$
(2)

As such, our original equality holds if:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} I(X_{i+1}^{n}; Y_{1}^{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(X_{i}^{n}; Y_{1}^{i-1})$$
(3)

On the left side, when i = n, we have:

$$I(X_{n+1}^n; Y_1^n) = I(\emptyset; Y_1^n) = 0 \tag{4}$$

Similarly, the right side equals 0 when i = 1:

$$I(X_1^n; Y_1^0) = I(X_1^n; \varnothing) = 0$$
 (5)

Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} I(X_{i+1}^n; Y_1^i) = \sum_{i=2}^n I(X_i^n; Y_1^{i-1})$$
 (6)

Substituting j = i + 1 on the left side, we show that the equality holds.

$$\sum_{j=2}^{n} I(X_j^n; Y_1^{j-1}) = \sum_{i=2}^{n} I(X_i^n; Y_1^{i-1})$$
 (7)

- 2. **Inequalities.** Label each of the following statements with =, \leq , \geq . Justify your answers.
 - a. H(X|Z) versus H(X|Y) + H(Y|Z).

Hint: Consider H(X, Y|Z).

Solution:

We know that

$$H(X|Z) \le H(X,Y|Z) \tag{8}$$

because inserting Y may only increase the entropy, and that

$$H(X|Y,Z) \le H(X|Y),\tag{9}$$

since the extra information from Z cannot increase the entropy. We now make use of

$$H(X|Y,Z) = H(X,Y|Z) - H(Y|Z)$$
 (10)

and get

$$H(X,Y|Z) \le H(X|Y) + H(Y|Z). \tag{11}$$

From there follows that

$$H(X|Z) \le H(X|Y) + H(Y|Z). \tag{12}$$

b. H(X + Y) versus H(X) when X and Y are independent.

Hint: Consider H(X + Y|Y).

Solution:

When Y is given, the only entropy contributing to the total is that of X. Since the variables are independent, this doesn't give any more information on X, and so:

$$H(X) = H(X + Y|Y) \tag{13}$$

Of course, we know that

$$H(X+Y|Y) \le H(X+Y),\tag{14}$$

and thus:

$$H(X) \le H(X+Y) \tag{15}$$

c. $I(X_1, X_2; Y_1, Y_2)$ versus $I(X_1; Y_1) + I(X_2; Y_2)$, if $p(y_1, y_2 | x_1, x_2) = p(y_1 | x_1) p(y_2 | x_2)$.

Hint: Apply the chain rule sequentially and note that $Y_1 \leftrightarrow X_1 \leftrightarrow X_2 \leftrightarrow Y_2$ form a Markov chain.

Solution:

We can express the mutual information in terms of entropy:

$$I(X_1, X_2; Y_1, Y_2) = H(Y_1, Y_2) - H(Y_1, Y_2 | X_1, X_2)$$
(16)

And, since we are working with a Markov chain:

$$I(X_1, X_2; Y_1, Y_2) = H(Y_1, Y_2) - H(Y_1|X_1, X_2) - H(Y_2|X_1, X_2)$$

$$I(X_1, X_2; Y_1, Y_2) = H(Y_1, Y_2) - H(Y_1|X_1) - H(Y_2|X_2)$$
(17)

Finally, we get to the following inequality:

$$I(X_1, X_2; Y_1, Y_2) \le H(Y_1) - H(Y_1|X_1) + H(Y_2) - H(Y_2|X_2)$$

$$I(X_1, X_2; Y_1, Y_2) \le I(X_1; Y_1) + I(X_2; Y_2)$$
(18)

d. $I(X_1, X_2; Y_1, Y_2)$ versus $I(X_1; Y_1) + I(X_2; Y_2)$, if $p(x_1, x_2) = p(x_1)p(x_2)$.

Hint: Apply the chain rule sequentially again.

Solution:

This case is similar to c, with the difference that we now know that X_1 and X_2 are independent. This separates our Markov chain, implying that Y_1 and Y_2 are also independent. Thus,

$$H(Y_1, Y_2) = H(Y_1) + H(Y_2),$$
 (19)

and so Inequality 18 becomes an equality

$$I(X_1, X_2; Y_1, Y_2) = H(Y_1) - H(Y_1|X_1) + H(Y_2) - H(Y_2|X_2)$$

$$I(X_1, X_2; Y_1, Y_2) = I(X_1; Y_1) + I(X_2; Y_2)$$
(20)

3. **Z** channel. The Z channel has binary input and output alphabets, and conditional pmf p(0|0) = 1, p(1|1) = p(0|1) = 0.5. Find the capacity C.

Solution:

Our target function is:

$$C = \max_{p} I(i; o) = \max_{p} \frac{p}{2} (\log_2 \frac{1}{2 - p} + \log_2 \frac{1}{p}) + (1 - p) \log_2 \frac{2}{2 - p}$$
 (21)

where p is the probability of the input being 1.

Taking the derivative of the mutual information with respect to p:

$$\frac{dI}{dp} = \frac{1}{2}(\log_2 \frac{1}{4p} - \log_2 \frac{1}{2-p}) = \frac{1}{2}\log_2 \frac{2-p}{p} - 1 \tag{22}$$

The root of this function is the probability at which the mutual information is maximal:

$$\log_2 \frac{2-p}{p} = 2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad p = \frac{2}{5} \tag{23}$$

Thus, we find the capacity:

$$C = 0.2(\log_2 0.625 + \log_2 2.5) + 0.6\log_2 1.25 \approx 0.3219$$
 (24)

4. The Noisy typewriter channel. Compute the capacity of a noisy typewriter channel where $p(o_n|i_n) = p(o_{n+1}|i_n) = 0.5$, with $0 \le n < 26$. Provide two solutions for the maximizing pmf p(x), that yield capacity.

Solution:

It is clear by observation that maximizing the entropy of the input independently yields $\log_2 26$ (uniform distribution across the 26 inputs). Since the distribution of every output once an input is known boils down to a Bernoulli with p=0.5, the conditional entropy is 1:

$$C = \max_{p(x)} I(i; o) = \max_{p(x)} H(i) - H(i|o) = \log_2 26 - 1 = \log_2 13$$
 (25)

Another not so obvious solution is to distribute the input evenly making use of every other character in the alphabet. This causes the input entropy to drop to $\log_2 13$, but also means that an output is fully controlled by one and only one input, resulting in H(i|o) = 0:

$$C = \max_{p(x)} I(i; o) = \max_{p(x)} H(i) - H(i|o) = \log_2 13 - 0 = \log_2 13$$
 (26)