

## Solution of Probs. 6.2 and 6.3 of Blundell

### Uniaxial ferromagnet

Consider a spin system where each site contains a spin  $S$  particle. The corresponding Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H} = - \sum_{i,j} \left[ J_{i,j} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_j + K_{i,j} \hat{S}_i^z \hat{S}_j^z \right]. \quad (0.1)$$

(a) Rewriting the Hamiltonian as

$$\hat{H} = - \sum_{i,j} \left[ (J_{i,j} + K_{i,j}) \hat{S}_i^z \hat{S}_j^z + \frac{J_{i,j}}{2} (\hat{S}_i^+ \hat{S}_j^- + \hat{S}_i^- \hat{S}_j^+) \right], \quad (0.2)$$

it is clear that the state where spins are aligned fully-up is an eigenstate (because the off-diagonal elements contain  $\hat{S}^+$  operators, which give zero on such a state).

(b) At low enough temperature (or equivalently for large enough spin), the so-called *Holstein-Primakoff relations* give  $S_i^+ \approx \sqrt{2S} a_i$ ,  $S_i^- \approx \sqrt{2S} a_i^\dagger$  and  $S_i^z = S - a_i^\dagger a_i$ , where  $a_i^\dagger$  is the operator creating a (bosonic) spin excitation at position  $\mathbf{r}_i$  (for a detailed derivation of these relations, see Chap. 17.4 in the book by Grosso and Pastori Parravicini). With the aid of such transformation, one finds

$$\hat{H} = - \sum_{i,j} \left[ (J_{i,j} + K_{i,j}) (S - \hat{a}_i^\dagger a_i) (S - \hat{a}_j^\dagger a_j) + 2S J_{i,j} (a_i^\dagger a_j + a_i a_j^\dagger) \right]. \quad (0.3)$$

Neglecting quartic terms (which is again allowed at low enough temperature) one finds

$$\hat{H} \approx - \sum_{i,j} \left[ (J_{i,j} + K_{i,j}) (S^2 - S \hat{a}_i^\dagger a_i - S \hat{a}_j^\dagger a_j) + 2S J_{i,j} (a_i^\dagger a_j + a_i a_j^\dagger) \right] \quad (0.4)$$

$$= E_0 + 2S \sum_i \left[ (\hat{a}_i^\dagger a_i) \sum_j (J_{i,j} + K_{i,j}) - \sum_j J_{i,j} (a_i^\dagger a_j + a_i a_j^\dagger) \right] \quad (0.5)$$

$$= E_0 + 2S \sum_{\mathbf{q}} [J(\mathbf{0}) + K(\mathbf{0}) - J(\mathbf{q})] \hat{a}_{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{q}}, \quad (0.6)$$

where we have introduced the Fourier transforms  $J(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} J_{i,j} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)}$ . This shows that the spin-wave dispersion is  $\hbar\omega(\mathbf{q}) = 2S[J(\mathbf{0}) + K(\mathbf{0}) - J(\mathbf{q})]$ .

(c) Let us now restrict the couplings  $J$  and  $K$  to nearest-neighbors  $J_0$  and  $K_0$ .

1. In a 1D chain  $J(\mathbf{q}) = J_0(e^{iqa} + e^{-iqa}) = 2J_0 \cos qa$ , so that  $\hbar\omega(\mathbf{q}) = 4S[J_0(1 - \cos qa) + K_0]$ .
2. In a 2D square lattice  $J(\mathbf{q}) = 2J_0(\cos q_x a + \cos q_y a)$ , so that  $\hbar\omega(\mathbf{q}) = 8S \left[ J_0 \left( 1 - \frac{\cos q_x a + \cos q_y a}{2} \right) + K_0 \right]$ .
3. In a 3D bcc crystal  $J(\mathbf{q}) = J_0 \sum_{\pm} e^{i(\pm q_x \pm q_y \pm q_z)a/2} = 8J_0 \cos \frac{q_x a}{2} \cos \frac{q_y a}{2} \cos \frac{q_z a}{2}$ , so that  $\hbar\omega(\mathbf{q}) = 16S \left[ J_0 \left( 1 - \cos \frac{q_x a}{2} \cos \frac{q_y a}{2} \cos \frac{q_z a}{2} \right) + K_0 \right]$ .

(d) At small momenta, these expressions become

1.  $\hbar\omega(\mathbf{q}) = 2S[J_0 q^2 a^2 + 2K_0]$ .
2.  $\hbar\omega(\mathbf{q}) = 2S[J_0 q^2 a^2 + 4K_0]$ .
3.  $\hbar\omega(\mathbf{q}) = 2S[J_0 q^2 a^2 + 8K_0]$ .

**(e)** In the Ising case ( $J_0 = 0$ ) the excitation energy is independent of  $\mathbf{q}$ :  $\hbar\omega = zSK_0$  (where  $z$  is the number of nearest neighbors:  $z = 2, 4, 8$  in the above cases). The number of spin waves is proportional to  $e^{-\hbar\omega/k_B T}$ , their energy to  $\hbar\omega e^{-\hbar\omega/k_B T}$ , and the heat capacity per spin is

$$C = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial E}{\partial T} = \frac{(\hbar\omega)^2}{k_B T^2} e^{-\hbar\omega/k_B T}, \quad (0.7)$$

in agreement with the high-temperature limit of the result found in Prob. 6.1 (with  $J$  there equal to  $\hbar\omega$  here).

**(f)** In the Heisenberg case ( $K_0 = 0$ ) the excitation energy is  $\hbar\omega_q = Dq^2$ , where  $D = 2SJ_0a^2$  is the *spin stiffness*. The number of spin waves is

$$N_s = \int \frac{d^d q}{e^{Dq^2/k_B T} - 1}. \quad (0.8)$$

This integral converges in 3 and higher spatial dimensions, but it diverges (in the infrared, i.e., for small  $q$ ) in 1d and 2d. This shows that the isotropic Heisenberg model doesn't feature long-range order in 1d and 2d, due to the proliferation of long wavelength excitations.