Cookbook for Benders Decomposition

Edward J. Xu (Jie Xu), edxu96@outlook.com DTU Management, Denmark April 5th, 2019

1 Introduction

Standard Mixed Integer Linear Programming (MILP):

min
$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{f}^T \mathbf{y}$$
 (1)
s.t. $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{b}$ (2)
 $\mathbf{y} \in Y$ (3)
 $\mathbf{x} \ge 0$

where the y is vector of integer variables.

According to wikipedia:

Benders decomposition (or Benders' decomposition) is a technique in mathematical programming that allows the solution of very large linear programming problems that have a special block structure. This block structure often occurs in applications such as stochastic programming as the uncertainty is usually represented with scenarios. The technique is named after Jacques F. Benders.

2 Benders Decomposition for Standard MILP

For standard MILP, get rid of x, we get:

$$\min \quad \mathbf{f}^T \mathbf{y} + g(y) \tag{5}$$

s.t.
$$\mathbf{y} \in Y$$
 (6)

and

$$g(y) = \min \quad \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \tag{7}$$

s.t.
$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{B}\overline{\mathbf{y}}$$
 (8)

$$\mathbf{x} > 0 \tag{9}$$

The dual function of g(y):

$$g_d(y) = \max \quad (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{B}\overline{\mathbf{y}})^T \mathbf{u} \tag{10}$$

s.t.
$$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{u} \le \mathbf{c}$$
 (11)

$$\mathbf{u} \ge 0 \tag{12}$$

where the constraints of the dual g(y) does not contain any y values. Hence we can now analyze the feasibility of the model without considering the value of y.

Given the Minkovsky Fication theorem, we can hence reformulate the dual g(y) LP program as extreme points u^p and extreme rays u^r . Assume that we enumerate all the extreme points and extreme rays, we can reformulate:

$$u = \sum_{i} \lambda_i^p \cdot u_i^p + \sum_{j} \lambda_j^r \cdot u_j^r \tag{13}$$

$$\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{p} = 1 \tag{14}$$

$$\lambda_i^p, \lambda_j^r \ge 0 \tag{15}$$

 $g_d(y)$ can be expressed to:

$$g_{\text{dual}}(y) = \max \quad (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{B}\overline{\mathbf{y}})^T \mathbf{u}$$
 (16)

s.t.
$$u = \sum_{i} \lambda_i^p \cdot u_i^p + \sum_{j} \lambda_j^r \cdot u_j^r$$
 (17)

$$\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{p} = 1 \tag{18}$$

$$\lambda_i^p, \lambda_j^r \ge 0 \tag{19}$$

$$\mathbf{u} \ge 0 \tag{20}$$

Substitute the u variables with the extreme points and extreme rays (and multiply (bBy) into the sum's):

$$g_{\text{dual}}(y) = \max \quad (b - B\overline{y})^T \times \sum_{i} \lambda_i^p \cdot u_i^p + (b - B\overline{y})^T \times \sum_{j} \lambda_j^r \cdot u_j^r$$
(21)

s.t.
$$\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{p} = 1$$
 (22)

$$\lambda_i^p, \lambda_i^r \ge 0 \tag{23}$$

If we select a y such that just one term $(b - B\overline{y})^T u_j^r$ becomes positive, i.e. $(b - B\overline{y})^T u_j^r > 0$ then the LP is unbounded because there are no limits on the λ_j^r . Hence in order not to get an unbounded dual g(y) function corresponding to not getting an infeasible g(y) we have to ensure that this does not happen for any extreme ray.

If an optimal solution exists (i.e. the polyhedron is not un-bounded or infeasible), at least one optimal solution to the dual g(y) function is a corner point u_i^p . Hence the dual g(y) function has the value of the maximal point. We need to find the corner point

Let's ensure feasibility and add the value of the maximal point:

$$\min \quad q \tag{24}$$

s.t.
$$\overline{u_j^r} \cdot (b - By) \le 0 \quad \forall j$$
 (25)

$$\overline{u_i^p} \cdot (b - By) \le q \quad \forall i \tag{26}$$

$$y \in Y, q \in R \tag{27}$$

The problem (64, 65, 66, 67) becomes the so-called **Benders Master Problem (BMP)**:

$$\min \quad \mathbf{f}^T \mathbf{y} + q \tag{28}$$

s.t.
$$\overline{\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{r}}}(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}) \le 0 \quad \forall j$$
 (29)

$$\overline{\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{p}}}(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}) \le q \quad \forall i \tag{30}$$

$$y \in Y \tag{31}$$

$$q \in R$$
 (32)

2.1 Cutting Planes

We can consider the Bender's algorithm as a cutting plane algorithm: We know there is an exponential amount of cuts, but we only generate them when needed ... by solving the Benders Sub Problem (BSP):

$$\max \quad (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{B}\overline{\mathbf{y}})^T \mathbf{u} \tag{33}$$

s.t.
$$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{u} \le \mathbf{c}$$
 (34)

$$\mathbf{u} \ge \mathbf{0} \tag{35}$$

We use the BSP problem to find the extreme points $\overline{u_i^p}$. These we use to generate the so-called optimality cuts.

To generate the so-called feasibility cuts, we need to be able to find extreme rays, i.e. if the BSP is un-bounded when we try to solve it, we need to find one extreme ray of that problem. This we will deal with next week. For now (in the exercises) we will assume that the BSP is NOT un-bounded.

2.2 Benders Algorithm

Write up the MASTER-PROBLEM, without any constraints:

$$\min \quad z_{MAS} = q + f^T y \tag{36}$$

s.t.
$$y \in Y$$
 (37)

$$q, z_{MAS} \in R \tag{38}$$

Actually, if there are constraints in the original problem with only y variables, then these constraints can and should stay.

Assume the *y* variables are fixed. Move the (now) *y* constants to the right hand side and dualize the problem. This is the SUB-PROBLEM:

$$\max \quad z_{SUB} = (b - B\overline{y})^T u \tag{39}$$

s.t.
$$A^T u \le c$$
 (40)

$$u \ge 0 \tag{41}$$

$$z_{SUB} \in R$$
 (42)

The BSP is the dual of the following model:

$$\min \quad c^T x \tag{43}$$

s.t.
$$Ax \ge b - B\overline{y}$$
 (44)

$$x \ge 0 \tag{45}$$

But this model is the original problem and if we add $f^T \overline{y}$ to the value, then it is a feasible solution to the original problem, hence a legal upper bound.

The z_{LOW} bound is the optimal value of the BMP:

$$\min \quad z_{LOW} = z_{MAS} = q + f^T y \tag{46}$$

$$\overline{u_j^r} \cdot (b - By) \le 0 \quad \forall j \tag{47}$$

$$\overline{u_i^p} \cdot (b - By) \le q \quad \forall i \tag{48}$$

$$y \in Y, q \in R \tag{49}$$

Notice that during the Benders algorithm the relaxed problem is solved (not all constraints are there). Hence a feasible lower bound.

If the Benders sub-problem is un-bounded, then the original problem, changed by the chosen y variables, is in-feasible. Hence we add a constraint to the Benders master problem, the feasibility constraint, which makes such a choice of y variable values impossible.

To find the extreme rays, we first insert 0 on the right-hand side.

Benders' Sub Problem

$$g_d(y) = \max \quad (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{B}\overline{\mathbf{y}})^T \mathbf{u} \tag{50}$$

s.t.
$$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{u} \le \mathbf{c}$$
 (51)

$$\mathbf{u} \ge 0 \tag{52}$$

Then, we set dummy:

$$g_d(y) = \max \quad dummy \tag{53}$$

s.t.
$$dummy = 1$$
 (54)

$$(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{B}\overline{\mathbf{y}})^T \mathbf{u} = 1 \tag{55}$$

$$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{u} \le 0 \tag{56}$$

$$\mathbf{u} \ge 0 \tag{57}$$

2.3 Simple Illustration

$$\min \quad z_0 = 5x - 3y \tag{58}$$

s.t.
$$x + 2y \ge 4$$
 (59)

$$2x - y \ge 0 \tag{60}$$

$$x - 3y \ge -13\tag{61}$$

$$x \ge 0 \tag{62}$$

$$y \in \{0, 1, \dots, 10\} \tag{63}$$

In standard form:

$$\min \quad \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{f}^T \mathbf{y} \tag{64}$$

s.t.
$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{b}$$
 (65)

$$\mathbf{y} \in Y \tag{66}$$

$$\mathbf{x} \ge 0 \tag{67}$$

where

$$\mathbf{c} = (5)^T \tag{68}$$

$$\mathbf{f} = (-3)^T \tag{69}$$

$$\mathbf{b} = (4, 0, -13)^T \tag{70}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = (x)^T \tag{71}$$

$$\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{y})^T \tag{72}$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{73}$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{74}$$

Move the *y* constants to the right hand side of the constraints.

$$\min \quad 5x - 3\overline{y} \tag{75}$$

$$s.t. \quad x \ge 4 - 2\bar{y} \tag{76}$$

$$2x \ge \bar{y} \tag{77}$$

$$x \ge 3\overline{y} - 13\tag{78}$$

$$x \ge 0 \tag{79}$$

Dualize the model (we need to find the u values, keeping the y constants in the in the objective. This is the Benders Sub Problem:

$$OBJ_{SUB} = \max \quad (4 - 2\bar{y})u_1 + \bar{y}u_2 + (3\bar{y} - 13)u_3 \tag{80}$$

s.t.
$$u_1 + 2u_2 + u_3 \le 5$$
 (81)

$$u_1, u_2, u_3 \ge 0$$
 (82)

$$UB = \min\left(OBJ_{SUB} - 3\overline{y}, UB\right) \tag{83}$$

$$OBJ_{MAS} = \min \quad -3y + q \tag{84}$$

s.t.
$$(4-2y)\overline{u_1} + y\overline{u_2} + (3y-13)\overline{u_3} \le q \quad \forall p$$
 (85)

$$y \in T$$
 (86)

$$q \in R$$
 (87)

$$LB = \max(OBJ_{MAS}, LB) \tag{88}$$

Now we are ready to execute the algorithm:

- 1. Assign initial bounds $UB = +\infty$, $LB = -\infty$ and $\varepsilon = a$ small number
- 2. Assign inital \overline{y} value (possibly randomly)
- 3. Set in the fixed \bar{y} into the Benders sub-problem and solve the Benders sub-problem to get the extreme point \bar{u} and OBJ_{SUB} .
- 4. Calculate the upper-bound: $UB = \min(OBJ_{SUB} 3\overline{y})$
- 5. Add new constraint $\sum_{i} (b_i \sum_{k} B_k^i \cdot y_k) \overline{u_i} \le q$ to the Benders master-problem.
- 6. Solve the Benders master-problem to get new y values.
- 7. Calculate the lower-bound: $LB = OBJ_{MAS}$.
- 8. Terminate if $UB LB \le \varepsilon$
- 9. Go to 3 (with the new value for *y*)

2.4 Simple Illustration 2

$$\min \quad 5x_1 + 3x_2 - 3y_1 + y_2 \tag{89}$$

s.t.
$$x_1 + 3x_2 + 2y_1 - 4y_2 \ge 4$$
 (90)

$$2x_1 + x_2 - y_1 + 2y_2 \ge 0 \tag{91}$$

$$x_1 - 5x_2 - 3y_1 + y_2 \ge -13 \tag{92}$$

$$x_1, x_2 \ge 0 \tag{93}$$

$$y_1, y_2 \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., 10\}$$
 (94)

which can be transformed into standard form:

$$\mathbf{c} = (5,3)^T \tag{95}$$

$$\mathbf{f} = (-3,1)^T \tag{96}$$

$$\mathbf{b} = (4, 0, -13)^T \tag{97}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)^T \tag{98}$$

$$\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)^T \tag{99}$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -4 \\ -1 \\ 2 \\ -3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(100)

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -4 \\ -1 \\ 2 \\ -3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{101}$$

2.5 Benders Algorithm with Extreme Rays

$$\min \quad 2x_1 + 6x_2 + 2y_1 + 3y_2 \tag{102}$$

s.t.
$$-x_1 + 2x_2 + 3y_1 - y_2 \ge 5$$
 (103)

$$x_1 - 3x_2 + 2y_1 + 2y_2 \ge 4 \tag{104}$$

$$x_1, x_2 \ge 0 \tag{105}$$

$$y_1, y_2 \in \{0, 1, 2\} \tag{106}$$

which can be transformed into standard form:

$$\mathbf{c} = (2,6)^T \tag{107}$$

$$\mathbf{f} = (2,3)^T \tag{108}$$

$$\mathbf{b} = (5,4)^T \tag{109}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)^T \tag{110}$$

$$\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)^T \tag{111}$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -1\\2\\1\\-3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{112}$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{113}$$

2.5.1 Test: If there is infeasibility in x variables

$$\min \quad 2x_1 + 6x_2 + 2y_1 + 3y_2 \tag{114}$$

s.t.
$$-x_1 + 2x_2 + 3y_1 - y_2 \ge 5$$
 (115)

$$x_1 - 3x_2 + 2y_1 + 2y_2 \ge 4 \tag{116}$$

$$x_1 + x_2 \le -1 \tag{117}$$

$$x_1, x_2 \ge 0 \tag{118}$$

$$y_1, y_2 \in \{0, 1, 2\} \tag{119}$$

which can be transformed into standard form:

$$\mathbf{c} = (2,6)^T \tag{120}$$

$$\mathbf{f} = (2,3)^T \tag{121}$$

$$\mathbf{b} = (5,4,1)^T \tag{122}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)^T \tag{123}$$

$$\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)^T \tag{124}$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -1\\2\\1\\-3\\-1\\-1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{125}$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{126}$$

2.5.2 Test: If there is infeasibility in y variables

$$\min \quad 2x_1 + 6x_2 + 2y_1 + 3y_2 \tag{127}$$

s.t.
$$-x_1 + 2x_2 + 3y_1 - y_2 \ge 5$$
 (128)

$$x_1 - 3x_2 + 2y_1 + 2y_2 \ge 4 \tag{129}$$

$$y_1 + y_2 \ge 5 \tag{130}$$

$$x_1, x_2 \ge 0 \tag{131}$$

$$y_1, y_2 \in \{0, 1, 2\} \tag{132}$$

which can be transformed into standard form:

$$\mathbf{c} = (2,6)^T \tag{133}$$

$$\mathbf{f} = (2,3)^T \tag{134}$$

$$\mathbf{b} = (5,4,5)^T \tag{135}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)^T \tag{136}$$

$$\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)^T \tag{137}$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -1\\2\\1\\-3\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{138}$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{139}$$

3 L-Shaped Benders Decomposition

The standard form for two stage stochastic programming with no integer variables in second stage:

$$\min \quad \mathbf{f}^T \mathbf{y} + \sum_{s \in \Omega} \pi_s \mathbf{c}_s^T \mathbf{x}_s \tag{140}$$

s.t.
$$\mathbf{T}_{s}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{w}_{s}\mathbf{x}_{s} \ge \mathbf{h}_{s} \quad \forall s$$
 (141)

$$\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Y} \tag{142}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_s \ge 0 \quad \forall s$$

where the y is vector of integer variables.

Master Problem:

$$\min \quad \mathbf{f}^T \mathbf{y} + q \tag{144}$$

s.t.
$$\overline{\mathbf{u}_{j}^{r}}^{T}(\mathbf{h}_{s} - \mathbf{T}_{s}\mathbf{y}) \leq 0 \quad \forall j$$
 (145) $\overline{\mathbf{u}_{i}^{p}}^{T}(\mathbf{h}_{s} - \mathbf{T}_{s}\mathbf{y}) \leq \quad \forall i$

$$\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{i}^{p^{T}}(\mathbf{h}_{s} - \mathbf{T}_{s}\mathbf{y}) \leq \forall i \tag{146}$$

$$\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Y} \tag{147}$$

Sub-problem for each scenario:

$$\min \quad \mathbf{c}_s^T \mathbf{x}_s \tag{148}$$

s.t.
$$\mathbf{w}_s \mathbf{x}_s \ge \mathbf{h}_s - \mathbf{T}_s \overline{\mathbf{y}}$$
 (149)

$$\mathbf{x}_s \ge 0 \tag{150}$$

Dual problem of sub-problem is:

$$\max \quad (\mathbf{h}_s - \mathbf{T}_s \overline{\mathbf{y}})^T \mathbf{u}_s \tag{151}$$

s.t.
$$\mathbf{w}_s^T \mathbf{u}_s \le \mathbf{c}^T$$
 (152)

$$\mathbf{u}_{s} \ge 0 \tag{153}$$

The aggregation of $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ and obj_{sub} from all scenarios are:

$$\overline{\mathbf{u}} = \sum_{s \in \Omega} \pi_s \mathbf{u}_s \tag{154}$$

$$obj_{sub} = \sum_{s \in \Omega} \pi_s obj_{sub,s}$$
 (155)

4 Simple Example: News Boy problem

$$\max \sum_{s} \pi_s(p-h)x_s + \sum_{s} \pi_s(h-c)y$$
 (157)

s.t.
$$x_s \le d_s \quad \forall s$$
 (158)

$$x_s \le y \quad \forall s \tag{159}$$

$$x \in R^+ \tag{160}$$

$$y \in Z^+ \tag{161}$$

(156)