

Robust Multiview feature selection via View Weighted
(RMvFS)

Tanishq Shrivastava (CS171088)

December 13, 2020

Contents

1. Introduction	3
1.1 Multi-view Learning	3
1.2 Feature Selection	3
1.3 View Weighted Approach	4
2. Mathematical Formulation	5
2.1 Formulation	5
3. Algorithm	9
3.1 Feature Selection Algorithm	9
4. Documentation of API	10
4.1 Package organization	10
4.2 Methods	11
5. Example	13
5.1 Example 1	13
6. Learning Outcome	14
6.1 Norm Regularization	14
6.2 Feature selection	14
6.3 Fit and Transform methods	14
6.4 Augmented Lagrangian multiplier	14
6.5 Regularization	14
A References	15

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Multi-view Learning

Multi-view learning means taking features from different perspectives for data collection. For example, for the task of identifying whether a web page is about any advertisement or not. For this task the features can be taken from two views i.e. the height and width of the advertisement window and different parameters used in the URL. Thus in this way the information becomes rich and it helps in predicting whether the web page is about any advertisement or not with more accuracy. Thus in this way Multi-view learning finds various applications like data integration, feature extraction, feature selection etc.

There are three main domains through which multi-view learning is carried out, they are:

- 1) Co-training: In this approach we train a model each for a view alternately and information between them is exchanged for perpetual learning.
- 2) Multi-kernel learning: Here data is mapped to different feature spaces with different kernel and then all are combined from all space.
- 3) Subspace learning: Here all views are represented in same latent space and shared information is used for learning.

In the referred research paper Subspace method is used for feature selection.

1.2 Feature Selection

‘Curse of Dimensionality’ is often used term in Machine Learning. This simply means that the high dimensional data is difficult to process and also requires high computational resources for training. Although high dimensional data is very common in many practical machine learning tasks. High Dimensional data also increases the probability of over-fitting. Thus it’s important to eliminate the redundant and irrelevant features. There are basically two methods for it viz. i)

Feature Extraction and ii) Feature Selection. Feature Extraction reconstructs new features which are less in numbers but are best in predicting the labels. This method thus doesn't keep the original values of the features. Whereas in Feature Selection the subset of relevant features is selected thus keeping the original data values intact.

1.3 View Weighted Approach

The referred research paper [1] discusses a view weighted approach for enhanced feature selection from multiple views. This can be summarized as:

- 1) Each view will be imposed with $l_{2,1}$ -norm penalty to enhance robustness of the model. Also two loss terms are introduced which are for ensuring complementary nature and specificity of views.
- 2) In order to eliminate redundant features, $G_{2,1}$ -norm sparse regularizer is used to preserve the important views and $l_{2,1}$ -norm sparse regularizer to select the discriminative features from the important views.
- 3) The high dimensional problem is splitted into several low-dimensional problems in the process of optimizing the objective function, which reduces the computational complexity and the proposed iterative algorithm achieves a fast convergence rate.

Chapter 2

Mathematical Formulation

2.1 Formulation

The matrix $X = [\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N] \in R^{l \times N}$ represents the set of samples belonging to c classes, where l is the dimension of each sample, N is the total number of samples, and $\mathbf{x}_i \in R^{l \times 1}$ represents the i th sample. X can be expressed in the form of m views and denoted as $X = [X_1^\top, X_2^\top, \dots, X_m^\top]^\top \in R^{l \times N}$, where $X_v = [\mathbf{x}_{1v}, \mathbf{x}_{2v}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{Nv}] \in R^{l_v \times N}$ represents the v th view of the samples. Denote $Y = [\mathbf{y}^1^\top, \mathbf{y}^2^\top, \dots, \mathbf{y}^N^\top]^\top \in \{0, 1\}^{N \times c}$ the label matrix of N samples. If the i th sample belongs to the j th category, then the j th entry of \mathbf{y}_i equals to 1 and the rest ones equal to 0. W is the projection matrix made up of sub-blocks $W_v \in R^{l_v \times c}$, $v = 1, \dots, m$, that is, $W = [W_1^\top, W_2^\top, \dots, W_m^\top]^\top \in R^{l \times c}$.

The referred research presents the following Objective function:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{W, \theta_v} \quad & \sum_{v=1}^m (\theta_v)^p \|X_v^\top W_v - Y\|_{2,1} + \lambda_1 \sum_{v=1}^m \|W_v\|_{2,1} + \lambda_2 \sum_{v=1}^m \|W_v\|_F \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{v=1}^m \theta_v = 1, 0 \leq \theta_v \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \theta_v \Rightarrow$ parameters for adjusting the above three terms.

θ_v for adjusting the importance of a view.

Formula for $l_{2,1}$ norm is :

$$\|A\|_{2,1} = \sum_{i=1}^r \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^p A_{ij}^2}. \quad (1)$$

Here, r and p are row and column count of matrix A.

Formula for $G_{2,1}$ norm is:

$$\|A\|_F \equiv \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2} \quad (2)$$

Here A is a matrix having m and n as number of rows and columns.

Now the above objective function can be optimized using Augmented Lagrangian Multiplier method as below:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{W_v, \theta_v, E_v} \quad & \sum_{v=1}^m (\theta_v)^p \|E_v\|_{2,1} + \lambda_1 \sum_{v=1}^m \|W_v\|_{2,1} + \lambda_2 \sum_{v=1}^m \|W_v\|_F \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & X_v^\top W_v - Y - E_v = 0, v = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ & \sum_{v=1}^m \theta_v = 1, 0 \leq \theta_v \leq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here E_v is a slack variable,

The corresponding augmented Lagrangian function is:

$$\begin{aligned} L(W_v, E_v, \theta_v) = & \sum_{v=1}^m (\theta_v)^p \|E_v\|_{2,1} + \lambda_1 \sum_{v=1}^m \|W_v\|_{2,1} + \lambda_2 \sum_{v=1}^m \|W_v\|_F \\ & + \sum_{v=1}^m \iota r |\Lambda_v^\top (X_v^\top W_v - Y - E_v)| + \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{\mu}{2} \|X_v^\top W_v - Y - E_v\|_F^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where Λ_v is the Lagrange multiplier, and μ is a penalty parameter. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{v=1}^m \iota r |\Lambda_v^\top (X_v^\top W_v - Y - E_v)| + \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{\mu}{2} \|X_v^\top W_v - Y - E_v\|_F^2 \\ & = \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{\mu}{2} \|X_v^\top W_v - Y - E_v\|_F^2 + \frac{1}{\mu} \|\Lambda_v\|_F^2 - \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{\mu}{2} \left\| \frac{1}{\mu} \Lambda_v \right\|_F^2 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

the augmented Lagrangian function is equivalent to the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
L(W_v, E_v, \theta_v) = & \sum_{v=1}^m (\theta_v)^p \|E_v\|_{2,1} + \lambda_1 \sum_{v=1}^m \|W_v\|_{2,1} + \lambda_2 \sum_{v=1}^m \|W_v\|_F \\
& + \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{\mu}{2} \|X_v^\top W_v - Y - E_v + \frac{1}{\mu} \Lambda_v\|_F^2 - \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{\mu}{2} \left\| \frac{1}{\mu} \Lambda_v \right\|_F^2 \quad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

Next, the specific iterative process of solving (3) is given.

First step: Fix E_v and θ_v , update W_v

When E_v and θ_v are fixed, W_v is the only variable, and its solution can be obtained by solving the following optimization problem:

Setting the derivative with respect to W_v to zero, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\min_W \lambda_1 \sum_{v=1}^m \|W_v\|_{2,1} + \lambda_2 \sum_{v=1}^m \|W_v\|_F + \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{\mu}{2} \|X_v^\top W_v - Y - E_v + \frac{1}{\mu} \Lambda_v\|_F^2 \quad (7) \\
\lambda_1 D_{1v} W_v + \lambda_2 D_{2v} W_v + \mu X_v (X_v^\top W_v - Y - E_v + \frac{1}{\mu} \Lambda_v) = 0 \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

where $D_{1v} \in R^{l_v \times l_v}$ is a diagonal matrix, \mathbf{w}_v^i is the i th row of W_v , and $D_{2v} \in R^{l_v \times l_v}$ diagonal matrix.

$$D_{1v} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2\|\mathbf{w}_v^1\|_2} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \frac{1}{2\|\mathbf{w}_v^{l_v}\|_2} \end{bmatrix} \quad D_{2v} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2\|W_v\|_F} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \frac{1}{2\|W_v\|_F} \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

According to (8), we obtain

$$W_v = \mu (\lambda_1 D_{1v} + \lambda_2 D_{2v} + \mu X_v X_v^\top)^{-1} X_v (Y + E_v - \frac{1}{\mu} \Lambda_v) \quad (10)$$

Second step: Fix W_v and θ_v , update E_v

When W_v and θ_v are fixed, E_v is the only variable, and its solution can be obtained by solving the following optimization problem:

$$\min_{E_v} \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{(\theta_v)^p}{\mu} \|E_v\|_{2,1} + \frac{1}{2} \|X_v^\top W_v - Y - E_v + \frac{1}{\mu} \Lambda_v\|_F^2 \right) \quad (11)$$

Define $J_v = \frac{(\theta_v)^p}{\mu} \|E_v\|_{2,1} + \frac{1}{2} \|X_v^\top W_v - Y - E_v + \frac{1}{\mu} \Lambda_v\|_F^2$. Since J_v is only related to the v th view, the problem (11) can be decomposed into m sub-optimization problems:

$$\min_{E_v} J_v, v = 1, 2, \dots, m \quad (12)$$

Denote $G_v = X_v^\top W_v - Y + \frac{1}{\mu} \Lambda_v$, then (12) can be rewritten as

$$\min_{E_v} \frac{(\theta_v)^p}{\mu} \|E_v\|_{2,1} + \frac{1}{2} \|E_v - G_v\|_F^2 \quad (13)$$

Furthermore, (13) can be converted as

$$\min_{\mathbf{e}_v^i} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{(\theta_v)^p}{\mu} \|\mathbf{e}_v^i\|_2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{e}_v^i - \mathbf{g}_v^i\|_2^2 \right) \quad (14)$$

where \mathbf{e}_v^i and \mathbf{g}_v^i are the i th row of matrix E_v and G_v , respectively. And according to [2], the solution to (14) is

$$\mathbf{e}_v^i = \begin{cases} \left(1 - \frac{(\theta_v)^p}{\mu \|\mathbf{g}_v^i\|_2}\right) \mathbf{g}_v^i, & \|\mathbf{g}_v^i\|_2 > \frac{(\theta_v)^p}{\mu} \\ \mathbf{0}, & \|\mathbf{g}_v^i\|_2 \leq \frac{(\theta_v)^p}{\mu} \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

Third step: Fix E_v and W_v , update θ_v

When E_v and W_v are fixed, θ_v is the only variable, and its solution can be obtain solving the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\theta_v} \quad & \sum_{v=1}^m (\theta_v)^p \|E_v\|_{2,1} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{v=1}^m \theta_v = 1, \quad 0 \leq \theta_v \leq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The Lagrangian function of (16) is

$$L(\theta_v, \eta) = \sum_{v=1}^m (\theta_v)^p \|E_v\|_{2,1} - \eta \left(\sum_{v=1}^m \theta_v - 1 \right) \quad (17)$$

where η is the Lagrange multiplier. By setting the derivatives of (17) with respect and η to 0, respectively, we have

$$\begin{cases} p(\theta_v)^{p-1} \|E_v\|_{2,1} - \eta = 0 \\ \sum_{v=1}^m \theta_v = 1 \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

Thus θ_v can be obtained by the following equation:

$$\theta_v = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\|E_v\|_{2,1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{\sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{\|E_v\|_{2,1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} \quad (19)$$

Thus using this we find parameters W and θ_v which will give us the threshold values for each feature in each view according to W matrix. There are tunable parameters like p, λ_1, λ_2 etc to improve performance but this can be given by the user and accordingly results will be there.

Chapter 3

Algorithm

3.1 Feature selection Algorithm:

Algorithm 1 The algorithm of solving the objective function (2).

Input: The data matrix X , the label matrix Y , the tolerance $\varepsilon = 10^{-3}$, the maximum number of iterations $T = 20$, $p > 1$, the positive parameters λ_1, λ_2

Output: Projection matrix W , view weight vector θ

Initialization

Set $t = 0$, $\theta_v^0 = \frac{1}{m}$, $v = 1, \dots, m$. Set $W^0 \in 1^{l \times c}$. Set $E^0 \in 1^{N \times c}$. Set $\Lambda^0 \in 1^{N \times c}$. Set $\mu^0=0.1, \zeta=1.2$

while not converged do

1. Compute the diagonal matrices D_{1v}^t and D_{2v}^t by (9), $v = 1, \dots, m$
2. Update the projection matrix $W_v^{t+1} = \mu^t (\lambda_1 D_{1v}^t + \lambda_2 D_{2v}^t + \mu^t X_v X_v^\top)^{-1} X_v (Y + E_v^t - \frac{1}{\mu^t} \Lambda_v^t)$, $v = 1, \dots, m$
3. Calculate $G_v^{t+1} = X_v^\top W_v^{t+1} - Y + \frac{1}{\mu^t} \Lambda_v^t$, $v = 1, \dots, m$
4. Update the slack variable matrix E_v^{t+1} by (15), $v = 1, \dots, m$
5. Update the view weight θ_v^{t+1} by (19), $v = 1, \dots, m$
6. Update the Lagrangian multiplier matrix $\Lambda_v^{t+1} = \Lambda_v^t + \mu^t (X_v^\top W_v^{t+1} - Y - E_v^{t+1})$, $v = 1, \dots, m$
7. Update $\mu^{t+1} = \zeta \mu^t$
8. Calculate $er = |Obj(t+1) - Obj(t)|$, where $Obj(t) = \sum_{v=1}^m (\theta_v^t)^p \|X_v^\top W_v^t - Y\|_{2,1} + \lambda_1 \sum_{v=1}^m \|W_v^t\|_{2,1} + \lambda_2 \sum_{v=1}^m \|W_v^t\|_F$
9. $t = t + 1$

Until $er < \varepsilon$ or $t \geq T$

Chapter 4

Documentation of API

4.1 Package organization

```
class RMvFS(tolerance=0.001, max_iter=20, p=10, lam1=0.01, lam2=0.1,
threshold=0.01)
```

Parameters

tolerance : float, (default: 0.001)

controls the conversion point of algorithm. Tolerable error amount.

max_iter: int, (default: 20)

no of iterations algorithm can take.

p: int, (default: 10)

exponent power of θ_v

lam1: float, (default: 0.01)

controls the robustness by tuning on each view

lam2: float, (default: 0.1)

controls the robustness by tuning on each features from each view

threshold: float, (default: 0.01)

threshold for features selection

Attributes

v: int

total number of views passed

X : array, [v, lv, N]

3d matrix contains each views' samples

Y: array, [N, c]

Sparse 2d matrix, has c columns and N rows, where c is no of classes and N is sample size. Contains 1 where there is label for particular sample else 0.

N: *int*

Total number of samples

lv: *array, [v]*

array of no of features of each view

theta: *array, [v]*

array of θ_v for each view, which controls the weight of each view

c: *int*

number of categories or classes which are unique in labels.

W: *array, [v, lv[i], c]*

3d matrix which keeps projection matrix of each view.

E: *array, [v, N, c]*

3d matrix, Lagrangian Slack variable for each view.

LAMBADA : *[v, N, c]*

3d matrix, Lagrangian Multiplier for each view

mu: *float*

a penalty parameter

zeta: *float*

ratio of increasing 'mu' with each iteration

feature_importance : *array, [lv[i] for each i in v]*

array of numerical values which tells the importance of any feature represented by the index of array.

4.2 Methods

fit(X1[,*Xn],Y)

fit model to data.

Parameters

X: *array, [N,lv[i]]*

2d matrix, samples of all features of first view

***Xn: tuple, [v-1, N, lv[i]]**

Array of 2d matrices which are representation of different views. Can be empty.

Y: array, [N,c]

2d matrix, array of labels for the samples.

transform(X,*Xn)

using threshold value filters the features across different views that are to be selected.

Parameters

X: array, [N,lv[i]]

2d matrix, samples of all features of first view

***Xn: tuple, [v-1, N, lv[i]]**

Array of 2d matrices which are representation of different views. Can be empty.

Returns

X_selected: array, [v,N,lv[i]]

3d array of selected features out of given feature matrices i.e. X and Xn.

Chapter 5

Example

5.1 Example 1

```
from RMvFS import RMvFS
```

```
r = RMvFS(threshold=0.3,max_iter=14)
```

```
X1 = [[60, 468], [40, 400], [46, 109], [50, 150], [12, 57]]
```

```
X2 = [[1, 1, 0, 0, 0], [1, 1, 1, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 1, 1], [1, 1, 0, 0, 0], [1, 0, 0, 1, 1 ]]
```

```
r.fit(X1,X2,Y=['ad', 'ad', 'notad', 'ad', 'notad'])
```

```
print(r.transform(X1,X2))
```

```
print(r.feature_importance)
```

Chapter 6

Learning Outcome

6.1 Norms Regularization:

There various norms like $G_{2,1}$, $l_{2,1}$, L1 and L2 regularization. These help in calculating the penalty terms. The standard norms are implemented in python's numpy.linalg library. G-norm is calculated over full matrix, L-norm is calculated over rows and L1 and L2 is calculated over rows also.

6.2 Feature Selection:

Feature selection works using a threshold value over some matrix(like projection matrix here) which filters the most contributing features.

6.3 Fit and Transform methods:

How different models' fit transform works and how fit methods calculate some parameters.

6.4 Augmented Lagrangian Multiplier:

Its use in optimizing any function and use of slack variables.

6.5 Regularization:

Regularization is helpful in preventing the model from over-fitting. Here we used this concept as finding the best features among many.

References

[1] Jing Zhong, Ping Zhong, Yimin Xu, Lirang Yang. Robust multiview feature selection via view weighted. Multimedia Tools and Applications (2020).

<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs11042-020-09617-8>