

# Assignment 2

Gulnaz Nurseit

Se-2412

Github link: [https://github.com/GulnazNurseit/daa\\_2.git](https://github.com/GulnazNurseit/daa_2.git)

## Algorithm Overview

Insertion Sort is a comparison-based sorting algorithm that builds the sorted array one element at a time. It works by taking elements from the unsorted portion and inserting them into the correct position within the sorted portion. The given implementation uses a binary search to locate the insertion position, optimizing the number of comparisons in nearly-sorted data.

## Complexity Analysis

Best Case ( $\Omega$ ):  $O(n)$ , occurs when the input array is already sorted. Each insertion requires only one comparison. - Average Case ( $\Theta$ ):  $O(n^2)$ , since binary search reduces comparisons to  $O(\log n)$  per insertion, but shifting elements still dominates with  $O(n)$ . - Worst Case ( $O$ ):  $O(n^2)$ , occurs when the array is in reverse order, requiring maximum shifting for each element. - Space Complexity:  $O(1)$ , since sorting is done in-place with constant auxiliary memory. Compared to a basic insertion sort, this optimized version reduces comparisons but not data movement.

## Code Review

The use of binary search reduces unnecessary comparisons, but element shifting still causes  $O(n^2)$  moves. - Potential inefficiency: repeatedly shifting elements one by one. This can be improved by using `System.arraycopy` or block moves in Java. - The code is robust (handles null arrays) but could improve readability by extracting the binary search logic into a separate function. Optimization suggestion: For very small arrays ( $\leq 10$  elements), insertion sort is efficient, but for larger arrays, a hybrid approach (e.g., merge sort + insertion sort for small subarrays) would improve time complexity.

## Empirical Results

Empirical tests should measure: - Execution time vs input size ( $n = 100, 500, 1000, 5000, 10000$ ). Best, average, and worst-case scenarios (already sorted, random, reverse sorted). Expected results: - Best case grows linearly. - Average and worst cases grow quadratically. - Binary search reduces comparisons, but total runtime is still quadratic due to shifting.

## Conclusion

Insertion Sort with binary search optimization is efficient for small or nearly-sorted datasets, offering improved comparison counts but not asymptotic time improvements. For larger datasets,

performance degrades quadratically. The algorithm is simple, stable, and adaptive, but hybridization with divide-and-conquer algorithms is recommended for practical large-scale applications.

