Typage Master 2: Languages et Programmation

Lecture 8

Delia Kesner, Giovanni Bernardi

IRIF

PARIS

DIDEROT

Questions questions ...

- ▶ What is a partial order over a set *S*?
- ▶ What is an equivalence relation over a set *S*?
- ▶ What is the greatest lower bound of a set *S*?
- When a system of equations is in solved form?

État Projet





Consider the famous fixed-point combinator

$$\mathcal{Y} \equiv \lambda x.(\lambda y.x(yy))(\lambda y.x(yy))$$

[...] It is not typable [...] But \mathcal{Y} has considerable practical importance [...], and a theory that excludes it seems rather over restrictive. Can we find a more generous type-theory, one that assigns a type to \mathcal{Y} ?

Types with Intersection: An Introduction J.R. Hindley, 1992



Intuition

Is term $\lambda x.xx$ typeable in the simply typed λ -calculus ?

Intuition

Is term $\lambda x.xx$ typeable in the simply typed λ -calculus ?

simple types not enough

$$\frac{\overline{x : A \vdash x : A \rightarrow B} \quad \overline{x : A \vdash x : A}}{\underset{\vdash}{x : A \vdash xx : A \rightarrow B}}$$

Possible approaches,

▶ Have a type A such that $A \approx A \rightarrow B$

involved equivalence

▶ Have a type C that is A and $A \rightarrow B$

$$C = A \wedge (A \rightarrow B)$$

Formally

 \blacktriangleright $M, N ::= x \mid MN \mid \lambda x.M$

 λ -calculus

 \blacktriangleright $A, B ::= a \mid \omega \mid A \rightarrow A \mid A \land A$

types

Typing rules,

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A} \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : M : A \rightarrow B} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \rightarrow B}{\Gamma \vdash M : B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A}{\Gamma \vdash M : A \land B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \land B}{\Gamma \vdash M : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \land B}{\Gamma \vdash M : B}$$

 $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. Mx : A}{\Gamma \vdash M \cdot \Delta} \times \not \in FV(M)$

Examples

Let
$$C = (A \rightarrow B) \land A$$
 for some A, B , and $\Gamma = \{f : B \rightarrow D\}$

$$\frac{\overline{x : C \vdash x : (A \to B) \land A}}{x : \underline{C \vdash x : A \to B}} \qquad \frac{\overline{x : C \vdash x : (A \to B) \land A}}{x : \underline{C \vdash x : A}} \\
\underline{x : C \vdash x : A \to B} \\
\underline{x : C \vdash x : B} \\
\vdash \lambda x.xx : C \to B}$$

$$\frac{\overline{\Gamma, x : C \vdash f : B \to D} \quad \overline{\Gamma, x : C \vdash xx : B}}{\underline{\Gamma, x : C \vdash f(xx) : D}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : C \vdash f(xx) : D}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x . f(xx) : C \to D}$$

What about \mathcal{Y} ??

$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{Typing} \ \mathcal{Y} \\ \mathsf{Intuitively} \end{array}$

Recall $\mathcal{Y} = \lambda f.ZZ$ where $Z = \lambda x.f(xx)$

$$for every term F$$

$$F(\mathcal{Y}F) =_{\beta} \mathcal{Y}F$$

- (1) Suppose F function with codomain A
- (2) FM has type A whenever M "outputs" at all

$$F: \omega \to A$$

- (3) As $\mathcal{Y}F$ in range of F we have $\mathcal{Y}F$: A
- (4) Because of (2) and (3)

$$\mathcal{Y}: (\boldsymbol{\omega} \to A) \to A$$

Typing ${\mathcal Y}$

Formally

Let
$$Z = \lambda x. f(xx)$$
 and $B = \omega \rightarrow A$ for some A

$$\frac{\overline{f:B,x:\omega \vdash f:\omega \to A} \quad \overline{f:B,x:\omega \vdash xx:\omega}}{\underbrace{f:B,x:\omega \vdash f(xx):A}}$$

$$\frac{f:B,x:\omega \vdash f(xx):A}{f:B\vdash Z:B}$$

$$\frac{\overline{f:B,x:B\vdash f:\omega \to A} \quad \overline{f:\omega \to A,x:\omega \to A\vdash xx:\omega}}{\underbrace{f:B,x:B\vdash f(xx):A}}$$

$$\frac{f:B,x:B\vdash f(xx):A}{f:B\vdash Z:B\to A}$$

$$\frac{1}{f:\omega \to A\vdash Z:(\omega \to A)\to A} \quad \frac{2}{f:\omega \to A\vdash Z:\omega \to A}$$

$$\frac{f:\omega \to A\vdash ZZ:A}{\vdash \lambda f.ZZ:(\omega \to A)\to A}$$

Properties

Uniqueness false:

$$\vdash \mathcal{Y} : \omega \qquad \vdash \mathcal{Y} : (\omega \to A) \to A$$

Theorem (Characterisation terms with NF)

A λ -term M has a NF

if and only if

 $\Gamma \vdash M : A \text{ for some context } \Gamma \text{ and type } A,$ neither of which contains ω .

Towards practice

Suppose we have a function

plus : int
$$\rightarrow$$
 int \rightarrow int \wedge string \rightarrow string

What is the type of the following function?

$$mult \; x \; y =$$
 if $y == 0$ then 0 else $plus \; x \; (mult \; x \; (y-1))$

Towards practice

Suppose we have a function

$$\textit{plus}: \textit{int} \rightarrow \textit{int} \rightarrow \textit{int} \land \textit{string} \rightarrow \textit{string} \rightarrow \textit{string}$$

What is the type of the following function?

$$mult \ x \ y = if \ y == 0 \ then \ 0 \ else \ plus \ x \ (mult \ x \ (y - 1))$$

A compiler for a language with intersection types might even provide two different object-code sequences for the different versions of *plus* [...]

- B.C. Pierce, Intersection Types and Bounded Polymorphism

CDuce http://www.cduce.org/

A working programming language

So why recursive types?

Recursive types are not necessary to type $\mathcal{Y}...$

So why recursive types?

Recursive types are not necessary to type \mathcal{Y}_{\cdots}

It is convenient to have a

- finitary object A
- that satisfies equations as (1).

So why recursive types?

Recursive types are not necessary to type \mathcal{Y} ...

It is convenient to have a

finitary object A

 $\mu X.F(X), \nu X.F(X)$

▶ that satisfies equations as (1).

Pour le TP

Projet projet!!

implement type inference for recursive types