

UAV-based Post Disaster Survivor Detection Mechanism using a GAN-aided Ensemble Network

Gunasekaran Raja, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Abhishek Manoharan, Nishanthini S, Vamsi Raju M, Selvam Essaky

Abstract—Post-disaster scene understanding frameworks are becoming increasingly crucial in search and rescue operations and damage assessment initiatives. The use of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) provides an efficient method to complete the task of scene understanding. However, complex environments in post-disaster scenarios make it difficult for UAVs to accurately detect humans or objects. Moreover, inefficient object detection mechanisms lead to low accuracy and a long time for object detection tasks. Hence, to mitigate these issues, we propose a UAV-based scene understanding scheme involving a GAN-aided 3D reconstruction mechanism. This approach deploys a Generative Adversarial Network (GAN)-based model to denoise and remove occlusion in the images obtained from the UAVs. The framework classifies objects present in the visual scope of the UAV using a 3D reconstruction of the images obtained from the UAV, followed by semantic segmentation, resulting in pixel-level prediction and classification of entities present in the 3D model. Furthermore, an ensemble network consisting of a combination of single-stage and multi-stage detectors is to be used to improve the performance of the survivor detection model. This will help reduce the false negative rate and improve the system's overall accuracy.

Index Terms—Unmanned Aerial Vehicles, Generative Adversarial Networks, Semantic Segmentation, Convolutional Neural Network, Computer Vision

I. INTRODUCTION

Post-disaster scene understanding frameworks are becoming increasingly crucial in search and rescue operations and damage assessment initiatives. As the number of natural disasters continues to rise, the importance of efficient and accurate disaster response has become paramount. The use of unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) provides an efficient and cost-effective method to complete the task of scene understanding. However, the complex environments in post-disaster scenarios make it difficult for UAVs to accurately detect humans or objects. Additionally, inefficient object detection mechanisms lead to low accuracy and a long time for object detection tasks, which can be particularly problematic in urgent search and rescue situations. Survivors being small objects in post-disaster UAV images makes the task of survivor detection using traditional techniques daunting. Furthermore, survivors who are occluded in the image due to debris or damaged buildings covering them will make the survivor detection task challenging.

To mitigate these issues, we propose a UAV-based scene understanding scheme involving a GAN-aided semantic segmentation mechanism. This approach classifies objects present in the visual scope of the UAV using a 3D reconstruction from thermal images of the scene and pixel-level prediction. By leveraging the power of GANs, our method can better handle the challenges of post-disaster environments and improve the accuracy of object detection. A GAN-based denoiser results

in images having lower occlusion and optimal brightness, thereby highlighting the important features of the object. Furthermore, using GAN improves the detection of small and dense objects, which is the case of survivors in images obtained from a UAV. The proposed system implements a GAN-infused SFM-enabled 3D reconstruction mechanism to detect survivors present in a post-disaster scene. A 3D reconstruction of the scene using the enhanced images obtained from the GAN-based model will be used to map and extract useful information. To overcome the challenge of detecting occluded survivors, we propose a GAN-based generative SFM framework, wherein occluded survivors will be visually generated before the point cloud is triangulated. The Bundle Adjustment technique is to be deployed to estimate the UAV camera poses with minimal error or drift while generating the 3D model. Semantic segmentation on the 3D model leads to a pixel-level prediction of various entities or objects present in the image, thereby generating a corresponding color-coding to each entity. The ensemble model, a hybrid architecture consisting of single-stage and multi-stage detectors, is to be implemented to detect the presence of survivors. The network will overcome the disadvantages of both frameworks. Deploying an ensemble network comprising the YOLOv5 and Faster R-CNN frameworks improves the performance of survivor detection while decreasing the false negative rate. The overall framework will increase the accuracy and efficiency of the survivor detection task, thereby resulting in successful SAR operations. With these improvements, our approach has the potential to significantly enhance the effectiveness of post-disaster scene understanding frameworks and aid in the critical tasks of search and rescue and damage assessment initiatives.

The key contributions of this paper include:

- 1) A GAN-based denoiser and occlusion remover mechanism will be implemented to improve the detection of survivors using post-disaster UAV images.
- 2) A semantic segmentation mechanism will be deployed on the 3D model to classify various entities in the scene, thereby improving survivor detection.
- 3) A hybrid ensemble network comprising single-stage and multi-stage detectors will be developed for survivor detection using the color coding and classification of semantic entities. This will result in the decrease of the high false negative rate of the multi-stage mechanism and the improvement in the performance of the single-stage detector.

II. RELATED WORKS

The authors of [1] propose a new thermal image dataset consisting of 6447 thermal images designed for survivor detection using UAVs in post-disaster scenarios. The paper also describes optimal values to prune survivor detection models to reduce the models' complexity. The model applies knowledge distillation techniques to fine-tune them and improve accuracy. The performance of several survivor detection models based on YOLOv3 and YOLOv3-MobileNetV1 were compared with and without pruning and fine-tuning. However, Older and inferior detection models have been used for survivor detection, thereby resulting in models with high mean average precision (mAP) loss and low accuracy. Furthermore, [2] proposes a new dataset named FloodNet, which contains post-flood images taken from a UAV during the events of Hurricane Harvey. The images have been labeled pixel-wise to be suitable for semantic segmentation tasks. But, the detection of flooded roads and buildings, and distinguishing between natural water and flooded water are challenges faced by the models trained on the FloodNet dataset. Moreover, the authors of [3] propose a novel image recognition pipeline comprising several frameworks for the detection of damage. They also provide a synthetic dataset upon which testing was executed. However, synthetic data are prone to errors, which results in low accuracy of trained detection models. Furthermore, a flawlessly prepared synthetic dataset cannot be used to train a computer vision model.

Furthermore, With the goal of lowering the high false negative rate of multi-stage detectors and improving the quality of the single-stage detector proposals, the authors of [4] propose an ensemble network called SyNet that combines a multi-stage method with a single-stage one. But according to the investigation, detecting objects in drone images is more challenging than detecting them in images that were taken from the ground, even with the most advanced object detection algorithms. Hence, the accuracy of the model trained on UAV images is still low compared to models trained on ground images.

[5] provides a review of vehicle detection from UAV imagery using deep learning techniques. It begins by outlining the various deep learning architectures, including generative adversarial networks, autoencoders, recurrent neural networks, and convolutional neural networks and their contributions to the challenge of improving vehicle detection. The paper then focuses on examining various vehicle detection techniques and presents different benchmark datasets and problems that have been discovered, along with possible remedies. However, videos captured in the UAVs are sent to on-ground workstations or to the cloud for processing rather than being implemented on the UAV itself, thereby leading to the absence of a lightweight system for vehicle detection. Furthermore, [6] proposes a global-local feature-enhanced network (GLF-Net) to alleviate issues when detecting small and dense objects using UAVs. A feature-fusion module has been proposed to tackle the presence of numerous small objects. GLF-Net achieves 86.52% mean Average Precision (mAP) on the RO-UAV dataset. The scalability of the framework however is

poor, and the application of GLF-Net on post-disaster UAV images leads to lower mAP, thereby requiring better frameworks.

The authors of [7] execute and compare various UAV detection mechanisms using air-borne UAVs that deploy deep neural networks. 4 datasets have been used and performance has been compared namely MAV-VID, Drone-vs-Bird, Anti-UAV RGB, and Anti-UAV IR. The performance of 4 models was compared using the datasets mentioned, namely Faster RCNN, SSD512, YOLOv3, and DETR (Detection Transformer). Overall, Faster RCNN performed best. But long-distance detection of small UAVs was not taken into consideration. Deep neural networks for the re-identification of UAVs were not considered as well. Furthermore, [8] introduces a high-resolution post-disaster UAV dataset named RescueNet, which contains comprehensive pixel-level annotation of 11 classes for semantic segmentation to assess damage after a natural disaster. The dataset collection and annotation process are discussed, along with the challenges it poses. However, RescueNet contains a small number of classes. As a result, smaller objects like "vehicles" and "pools" make it difficult to get a good segmentation compared to larger objects like buildings and roads. Besides that, since UAV images include only the top view of a scene, it is difficult to assess the actual damage since the horizontal view also brings information regarding all sides of a building.

[9] proposes a UAV-Human dataset for understanding human action, pose, and behavior. The proposed UAV-Human contains 67,428 multi-modal video sequences, 119 subjects for action recognition, 22,476 frames for pose estimation, 41,290 frames, 1,144 identities for person re-identification, and 22,263 frames for attribute recognition which encourages the exploration and deployment of various data-intensive learning models for UAV-based human behavior understanding. However, The UAV-Human dataset poses a limitation for attribute recognition because the dataset is captured over a relatively long period of time. As a result, the subjects have been diversified with different dressing types and large variations of viewpoints caused by multiple UAV altitudes. Furthermore, the authors of [10] propose and evaluate a novel self-attention segmentation model named ReDNet on a new high-resolution UAV natural disaster dataset named HRUD. The challenges of semantic segmentation on the HRUD dataset are discussed, along with the excellent performance of the proposed model. On the contrary, HRUD is a very challenging dataset due to its variable-sized classes along with similar textures among different classes. Debris, textures of debris, sand, and building with destruction damage make a great impact on the segmentation performance of the evaluated network models.

Furthermore, [11] implements a 3D imaging mechanism for 2D images obtained from a swarm of UAVs. The proposed work involves the 3D imaging of a scene by the usage of 2D images obtained from several UAVs present in the UAV Swarm at different perspectives with a few points of overlap. The point cloud obtained is then triangulated, and Bundle Adjustment is used to create the 3D rendering of the image. But a considerable amount of data must be transmitted from the UAV swarm, as images obtained from each node in the swarm are used to produce the 3D rendering. Multiple UAVs

also need to exchange information in order to efficiently collect data on the scenario. In order to create greater fidelity terrain models, [12] describes a bundle adjustment technique for aerial texel images. The model enables relatively low-accuracy navigation systems to be employed with inexpensive LiDAR and camera data. On the contrary, outliers in the point cloud are not identified and mitigated, leading to lower accuracy.




Fig. 1: High-Level Architecture

Hence, to mitigate the aforementioned limitations of currently existing systems for survivor detection, we propose an efficient post-disaster scene understanding framework using UAVs for survivor detection and SAR operations that will encompass a GAN-based denoiser and occlusion remover mechanism to improve the detection of survivors using post-disaster UAV images, a 3D scene-reconstruction mechanism based on the SfM and Bundle Adjustment algorithms to map and extract the most useful information present in the scene, a semantic segmentation mechanism on the 3D model to classify various entities in the scene to improve survivor detection, and a hybrid ensemble network comprising single-stage and multi-stage detectors for survivor detection using the color coding and classification of semantic entities. This will result in the decrease of the high false negative rate of the multi-stage mechanism and the improvement in the performance of the single-stage detector, which in turn leads to an efficient survivor detection model for Search and Rescue operations.

III. SYSTEM MODEL

The proposed mechanism aims to serve as an efficient methodology to detect the presence of survivors in post-disaster scenes, thereby aiding Search-And-Rescue operations. The overall mechanism incorporates a GAN-infused 3D scene reconstruction mechanism that generated a 3D model of the post-disaster scene from GAN-enhanced images of the scene captured from a swarm of UAVs. Furthermore, the model implements semantic segmentation to produce and entity-wise color coding for efficient human classification and reduced ambiguity for survivor detection. On top of that, we propose a hybrid single-stage and multi-stage-based ensemble network for efficient survivor detection. Fig. 1 describes the high-level architecture of the proposed framework.

A. GAN-based Occlusion Removal Mechanism

Post-disaster images taken from a UAV comprise several issues, namely noise, distortion, and poor clarity. However,

Algorithm 1 Image Denoising and Entity Separation

Input: Images (λ) of post-disaster scene obtained from UAV

Output: Post-disaster scene entities (Φ)

```

1: procedure GAN_DENOISING( $\lambda$ )
2:   Pre-processing of UAV images  $\lambda$ 
3:    $\lambda_{\text{epochs}} \leftarrow$  Number of iterations to train GAN
4:    $\lambda_{\text{batchsize}} \leftarrow$  Number of images to train per epoch
5:   for  $\beta$  in  $\lambda$  do
6:      $\vartheta \leftarrow \text{TrainGAN}(\beta, \lambda_{\text{epochs}}, \lambda_{\text{batchsize}})$ 
7:   end for
8:    $\varpi \leftarrow \text{GAN\_denoiser}(\vartheta)$ 
9:   return  $\varpi$ 
10: end procedure
11: procedure SEMANTIC_SEGMENTATION( $\varpi$ )
12:    $\Phi[] \leftarrow$  entities present in  $\varpi$ 
13:    $\nu \leftarrow 0$ 
14:   for  $\alpha$  in  $\varpi$  do
15:      $\Phi[\nu] = \text{Classify}(\alpha)$ 
16:      $\nu = \nu + 1$ 
17:   end for
18:   return  $\Phi$ 
19: end procedure
```

the most crucial problem to be eliminated from UAV images of post-disaster scenes is object occlusion. Occlusion is the phenomenon in which objects of interest are covered or masked by other objects, noise, or other characteristics present in the image. Hence, occlusion removal is essential for efficient survivor detection in post-disaster scenarios to be able to accurately detect occluded survivors. To be able to remove occlusion present on human targets present in an image, we propose a GAN-infused 3D scene reconstruction mechanism. The GAN-based occlusion removal framework is made used of to be able to generate occluded human targets for better survivor detection. The GAN model can also be used to denoise images used to train the survivor detection model. Algorithm 1 discusses the usage of GAN for the particular use case. Furthermore, pre-processing images to be able to remove distortions is essential to prepare them for 3D reconstruction, which is the next phase of the proposed framework, which in turn is done using OpenCV.

$$L_G = \lambda_{L_1} L_1 + \lambda_{adv} L_{adv} \quad (1)$$

where L_1 is the L1 distance between the generated output image and the real output image, L_{adv} is the GAN loss function, λ_{L_1} and λ_{adv} are the hyperparameters that control the relative importance of the L1 loss and the GAN loss, respectively.

In order to facilitate the rendering of the image devoid of occlusions which are bound to occur in the case of panoramic disaster stills posing a hindrance to the detection of survivors, a Context-Encoder GAN is proposed. Context-Encoder GANs most commonly find their use in Inpainting problems wherein a portion of the image is either to be removed or generated which specifically finds its use here in the removal of obstacles that pose occlusions in the disaster images. The Context-Encoder GAN possesses an Auto Encoder-based structure for the generator with an Encoder and a Decoder both involving Convolutional Neural Networks consisting of Conv2D operations wherein there is a periodic increase of the number of




Fig. 2: GAN-based Occlusion Removal Mechanism: (a) Generator Loss curve, (b) Discriminator Loss curve, (c) Generator Adversarial Loss curve



Fig. 3: GAN Occlusion Remover Output

operations followed by a bottleneck layer bridging the Encoder and Decoder thus resulting in the inception of the term Context Encoder as the encoded context of the image is stored.

$$\Gamma_{rec} = \left\| P - CE(X') \right\|_2^2 \quad (2)$$

where Γ_{rec} is the reconstruction loss, P is the original region before damage, CE is the model and X' is the entire image that needs to be inpainted.

$$\Gamma = \lambda_{adv}\Gamma_{adv} + \lambda_{rec}\Gamma_{rec} \quad (3)$$

where Γ is the overall loss, λ_{adv} and λ_{rec} are the coefficients for tuning the influence of each of the losses. The Decoder similarly is made up of Conv2D operations termed as deconvolutions as the number of channels is continuously reducing. Reconstruction Loss or L2 loss is the metric most

commonly used to judge the performance of the generator and is also termed generator loss. Fig. 2 depicts the performance evaluation done on the trained GAN-based occlusion Removal model. Fig. 3 portrays a sample of the output obtained from the trained CCGAN model.

3D scene reconstruction is implemented to be able to map and extract the most useful information present in the scene depicted by images obtained from a swarm of UAVs. A swarm of UAVs working in tandem at varying angles obtain several perspectives of the same scene, thereby enabling the mechanism to output a 3D modeling of the post-disaster scene. The initial stage of 3D reconstruction involves feature extraction and feature matching among the images of varying perspectives of the same scene. The images are analyzed to extract key features that will be used to create the 3D model. Features such as edges, corners, and key points are detected and match the extracted features across multiple images.

Once feature matching is executed on a set of images, a Sparse 3D point cloud is generated from the matched features. The process entails the detection of matching characteristics in the images, which are then employed to determine the camera's position and orientation during each image capture. The camera parameters are then utilized to create a sparse 3D point cloud by triangulating the identified features across several images. Sparse reconstruction utilizes the incremental structure-from-motion (SfM) to determine the camera pose and continuously searches for matching points in two perspectives to calculate three-dimensional points in space.

$$s * [u \ v \ 1]^T = K * [R|t] * [X \ Y \ Z \ 1]^T \quad (4)$$

where s is a scaling factor, $[u \ v \ 1]^T$ are the homogeneous image coordinates, K is the camera intrinsic matrix, $[R|t]$ is the camera extrinsic matrix (rotation and translation), and $[X \ Y \ Z \ 1]^T$ is the homogeneous 3D point in the world coordinate system.

Once the initial sparse 3D point cloud has been generated, a refinement process is required to further improve its accuracy and produce a dense 3D point cloud. This refinement process aims to optimize the 2D feature points and minimize the re-projection error into 3D space, resulting in a more precise and




Fig. 4: YOLOv5 Performance Evaluation: (a) F1-Confidence curve, (b) Recall-Confidence curve, (c) Precision-Recall curve, (d) Precision-Confidence curve

dense reconstruction of the scene. The optimization process involves adjusting the camera parameters and the 3D point positions iteratively until a satisfactory level of accuracy is achieved.

$$\min_{R_i, T_i, P_j} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=i+1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{N_{i,j}} \rho \left(\|p_{i,j,k} - \pi(K_i[R_i \ T_i]P_j)\|^2 \right) \quad (5)$$

where $\rho(\cdot)$ is a robust cost function such as the Huber loss or the Tukey loss, which reduces the impact of outliers in the correspondences. Various techniques can be employed to accomplish this optimization, such as bundle adjustment and visual odometry. Bundle adjustment involves minimizing the sum of squared reprojection errors over all images simultaneously, while visual odometry estimates the camera pose by analyzing the motion of the camera between two consecutive images.

$$E = \sum_i \sum_j u_{ij} - P(R_j, t_j, X_i)^2 \quad (6)$$

where u_{ij} is the observed image point in image i corresponding to 3D point X_i projected by camera j with rotation R_j and translation t_j , and $P(R_j, t_j, X_i)$ is the projection of 3D point X_i by camera j . These techniques, along with others, can be combined to improve the overall accuracy of the reconstruction. As a result of this refinement process, a dense 3D point cloud is generated, which contains a significantly higher density of 3D points than the initial sparse cloud. This dense point cloud provides a more detailed representation of the scene and allows for a more accurate reconstruction of the objects and their spatial relationships.

$$\min_{R_i, T_i, P_j} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=i+1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{N_{i,j}} \|p_{i,j,k} - \pi(K_i[R_i \ T_i]P_j)\|^2 \quad (7)$$

subject to the constraint $P_j^T P_j = 1$ and $R_i^T R_i = I$, where $N_{i,j}$ is the number of correspondences between image i and j , $p_{i,j,k}$ is the k -th correspondence between images i and j , and $\pi(\cdot)$ is the projection function. The projection function maps 3D points in the world coordinate system to 2D points in the camera image plane, and is given by

$$\pi(\mathbf{X}) = [u \ v] = [f_x \ 0 \ c_x \ 0 \ f_y \ c_y] [R \ T] \mathbf{X} \quad (8)$$

where \mathbf{X} is a 3D point in the world coordinate system, R and T are the rotation and translation matrices that transform the

world coordinates to the camera coordinates, and f_x , f_y , c_x , and c_y are the camera intrinsic parameters.

Algorithm 2 Survivor Detection Ensemble Network

Input: Enhanced Images containing entities(ϕ) of post-disaster scene obtained from UAV

Output: Minimized Loss of Ensemble Network (L_N)

```

1: procedure ENSEMBLE_NETWORK( $\Phi$ )
2:    $L_C \leftarrow$  Loss of Cascade RCNN
3:    $L_N \leftarrow$  Loss of CenterNet
4:    $y_n \leftarrow$  actual label of entity  $x_n$ 
5:    $y \leftarrow$  predicted label of entity  $x_n$ 
6:    $p(\psi) \leftarrow$  probability of occurrence of  $\psi$ 
7:    $t_c \leftarrow$  true width of entity  $x_n$ 
8:   for  $x_n$  in  $\Phi$  do
9:      $L_C \leftarrow -\log(p(y = y_n | x_n))$ 
10:    Applying Ensemble Loss Minimization:
11:     $L_N(L_C) \leftarrow -\log(|y - y_n t_c|)$ 
12:   end for
13:   return  $L_N$ 
14: end procedure
```

The dense point cloud generated in the previous step is further processed to create a surface mesh, which involves analyzing the point cloud from multiple viewpoints to fill in the gaps and create a complete surface. This surface mesh provides a more accurate representation of the 3D scene, and it can be further refined using smoothing techniques to remove any unwanted noise. Once the surface mesh is generated, texture mapping techniques are applied to give the mesh a realistic appearance. This involves mapping a 2D image onto the surface mesh and aligning the image's features with the corresponding features on the mesh. The result is a realistic 3D model of the post-disaster scene. The final 3D model provides a detailed representation of the object or scene, allowing for a better understanding of the spatial relationships between different elements present in the post-disaster scene. The accuracy of the model depends on the quality of the input data and the processing techniques used, so it is important to ensure that each step of the pipeline is carefully executed to obtain the best results. The 3D model obtained is generated using the enhanced images given as output by the previous GAN-based occlusion remover, thereby making human survivors more visually recognizable in the 3D model.




Fig. 5: Faster RCNN Performance Evaluation: (a) Epoch-Class Accuracy curve, (b) Epoch-Loss curve

B. Semantic Segmentation

A 3D model will comprise several objects or entities present in the 3D scene. For the task of survivor detection, human survivors are the only entities of interest for the succeeding survivor detection model. Hence, all other entities present in the scene are unnecessary for survivor detection and may lead to inaccurate detection of survivors due to ambiguity caused by similar entities present in the 3D model. Hence, to mitigate the problem of ambiguity and improve survivor detection performance and accuracy, we propose a semantic segmentation mechanism atop the 3D scene reconstruction mechanism to be able to differentiate between various entities present in the 3D scene. Semantic segmentation deploys pixel-level prediction of images and categorizes and classifies the various entities present in the image.

$$Y = f(X; \Theta) = W^T * g(V; \theta) + b \quad (9)$$

where Y is the output segmentation map, X is the input image, Θ is the set of network parameters for the convolutional layers, V is the input to the decoder, θ is the set of network parameters for the decoder, W is the weight matrix, b is the bias term, and $g()$ represents the upsampling layers in the decoder. While implementing pixel-level entity classification, color coding is generated for each entity observed in the image. Unlike instance segmentation wherein each instance of the same entity is highlighted in a different color, all entities present in the image are given the same color coding in this scenario. This makes it easier to separate all entities present in the image, thereby highlighting human survivors alone in the survivor detection model. This will improve the accuracy of survivor detection over the 3D model.

C. Hybrid Ensemble Network

For survivor detection, we propose a hybrid single-stage and multi-stage detector combination as an ensemble model for object detection. Both single-stage and multi-stage detectors have advantages and disadvantages when used as standalone object detection models. The main disadvantages include the



Fig. 6: Hybrid Ensemble Model Output

high false-negative rate of multi-stage detectors and the high training and inference time of single-stage detectors. We propose that the deployment of an ensemble model for survivor detection will nullify the disadvantages of both systems, thereby decreasing the false-negative rate but maintaining the low training and inference time. Algorithm 2 describes the proposed hybrid ensemble network.

$$Y = 1/N \sum_i f_i(X; \Theta_i) \quad (10)$$

where Y is the set of predicted bounding boxes and class labels, N is the number of models in the ensemble, $f_i()$ represents the i-th model in the ensemble, X is the input image, and Θ_i is the set of network parameters for the i-th model.

In the proposed model, we use the YOLOv5 framework as the single-stage detector and the Faster RCNN mechanism




Fig. 7: Semantic Segmentation Performance Evaluation: (a) Step-Accuracy curve, (b) Step-Loss curve

for the multi-stage framework of choice. Both models are trained, implemented and tested as standalone mechanisms for survivor detection, and an ensemble network combining the two models were implemented as well, followed by the evaluation of the same. Extensive testing of the hybrid ensemble survivor detection mechanism revealed the accuracy of survivor detection to be 91.27% without the use of the GAN-aided 3D reconstruction mechanism. Fig. 3 showcases the output of the survivor detection model based on the hybrid ensemble mechanism.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Hybrid Ensemble Network

The ensemble model comprising a single-stage and multi-stage detector combination was evaluated extensively to obtain results on the performance metrics and accuracy of the standalone models and the ensemble. The single-stage YOLOv5 model was able to detect survivors with an accuracy of 55.62%, which is comparatively less than that of the multi-stage Faster RCNN model, which in turn detected the presence of survivors in post-disaster scenes with an accuracy of 91.27%. However, training and inference times were opposite in nature with respect to the standalone models. However, the ensemble model was able to retain the accuracy of the Faster RCNN mechanism, but at the same time, have much faster inference times, as fast as YOLOv5. Fig. 2 presents the evaluation of standalone YOLOv5 and Faster RCNN for the survivor detection task, thereby plotting the performance metrics of the two models.

V. CONCLUSION

Natural calamities lead to immense building damage and cause havoc among people who get trapped in the disaster. Since survivors may be present in a disaster-struck area, post-disaster survivor detection is essential to carry out effective Search and Rescue operations. Though UAVs are being widely used to scan the post-disaster area for survivors, inaccurate detection mechanisms lead to many survivors not

being detected. Hence to mitigate the issues faced by current survivor detection frameworks, we propose a UAV-based post-disaster survivor detection mechanism that employs a GAN-aided ensemble network. The proposed mechanism aims to improve the accuracy of survivor detection in disaster-stricken areas. The GAN-aided ensemble network is trained using UAV imagery, enabling it to detect survivors in real-time. Furthermore, a novel GAN-aided semantic segmentation pre-processing module has been implemented to enhance the images fed as input to the detection model. The hybrid ensemble model comprising a single-stage YOLOv5 model and a multi-stage Faster RCNN model improves the accuracy of survivor detection, and reduces the inference time, thereby making the framework more suitable for real-time use. The proposed mechanism has shown promising results in terms of accuracy and speed compared to existing methods. In the future, the integration of data obtained from other sensors such as thermal imaging cameras, ground-based sensors, and acoustic sensors can be implemented to improve detection accuracy.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Dong, K. Ota and M. Dong, "UAV-Based Real-Time Survivor Detection System in Post-Disaster Search and Rescue Operations" in IEEE Journal on Miniaturization for Air and Space Systems, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 209-219, 2021
- [2] M. Rahnemoonfar, T. Chowdhury, A. Sarkar, D. Varshney, M. Yari and R. R. Murphy, "FloodNet: A High Resolution Aerial Imagery Dataset for Post Flood Scene Understanding," in IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 89644-89654, 2021
- [3] M. Źarski, B. Wójcik, J. A. Miszczak, B. Blachowski and M. Ostrowski, "Computer Vision Based Inspection on Post-Earthquake With UAV Synthetic Dataset," in IEEE Access, vol. 10, pp. 108134-108144, 2022
- [4] Alba, Berat Mert, and Sedat Ozer, "SyNet: An ensemble network for object detection in UAV images" in 25th IEEE International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR), pp. 10227-10234, 2021
- [5] A. Bouguettaya, H. Zarzour, A. Kechida and A. M. Taberkit, "Vehicle Detection From UAV Imagery With Deep Learning: A Review" in IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems, vol. 33, no. 11, pp. 6047-6067, Nov. 2022
- [6] T. Ye, W. Qin, Y. Li, S. Wang, J. Zhang and Z. Zhao, "Dense and Small Object Detection in UAV-Vision Based on a Global-Local Feature Enhanced Network," in IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement, vol. 71, pp. 1-13, 2022

- [7] Isaac-Medina, Brian KS, Matt Poyer, Daniel Organisciak, Chris G. Willcocks, Toby P. Breckon, and Hubert PH Shum. "Unmanned aerial vehicle visual detection and tracking using deep neural networks: A performance benchmark" In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), pp. 1223-1232, 2021.
- [8] Rahnemoonfar, Maryam, Tashnim Chowdhury, and Robin Murphy. "RescueNet: A High-Resolution Post Disaster UAV Dataset for Semantic Segmentation." UMBC Student Collection, 2021
- [9] Li, Tianjiao, Jun Liu, Wei Zhang, Yun Ni, Wenqian Wang, and Zhiheng Li. "Uav-human: A large benchmark for human behavior understanding with unmanned aerial vehicles" In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pp. 16266-16275, 2021
- [10] T. Chowdhury and M. Rahnemoonfar, "Attention Based Semantic Segmentation on UAV Dataset for Natural Disaster Damage Assessment" 2021 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium IGARSS, pp. 2325-2328, 2021
- [11] H. Ren et al., "Swarm UAV SAR for 3-D Imaging: System Analysis and Sensing Matrix Design" in IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, vol. 60, pp. 1-16, 2022
- [12] T. C. Bybee and S. E. Budge, "Method for 3-D Scene Reconstruction Using Fused LiDAR and Imagery From a Texel Camera" in IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, vol. 57, no. 11, pp. 8879-8889, Nov. 2019