

# Operator Precedence

# Operator Precedence

## □ *Operator grammar*

no right side of a production contains adjacent non-terminals

□  $S \Rightarrow E \circ E \mid id ,$   
 $\circ \Rightarrow + \mid - \mid * \mid / (x)$

□ If a grammar is an operator grammar and it has no productions with null of the RHS, then there is a operator-precedence parser for that grammar

□ Special case of a shift-reduce parser

$E \rightarrow x$

# Precedence Grammars

- Parse with shift/reduce
- No production right side is  $\varepsilon$ , and no right side has two adjacent nonterminals
- bad      multi-precedence operator (-) difficult  
can't be sure parser matches the grammar!  
only works for some grammars
- good      simple, simple, simple
- Build on non-reflexive *precedence relations* that we denote as  ~~$\cdot >$ ,  $\equiv$ ,  $< \cdot$~~  (typographical convenience for dotted forms of  $<, =, >$  as in text)

# Computing Precedence

- Precedence is disjoint. Can have

$a < \cdot b$

$a < \cdot b$  and  $a \cdot > b$

$c < \cdot b, c \cong b, c \cdot > b$

is read “yields precedence” or “equal precedence”

- Obtain precedence by manual assignment using traditional associative and precedence rules, or mechanically from nonambiguous grammar

- How to process

- “Ignore” nonterminals, and then delimit handle from right side  $\cdot >$  and then back up to the left side  $< \cdot$

# Operator Precedence Parser

- Remove (hide) nonterminals and place precedence relationship between pairs of terminals

(1) Represent  $\text{id} + \text{id} * \text{id}$  as

$\$ < \cdot \text{id} \cdot > + < \cdot \text{id} \cdot > * < \cdot \text{id} \cdot > \$$

(2) Apply scanning method

- a) scan from left toward right to find  $\cdot >$
- b) backwards scan to  $< \cdot$  or  $\$$
- c) handle is located between start and end of scan
- d) reduce the handle to the corresponding nonterminal

- Relies on the grammar's special form

(1) In grammar rule, no adjacent nonterminals on the right hand-side (by definition), so no right sentential form will have two non-terminals

(2) Form is  $\beta_0 a_1 \beta_1 \dots a_n \beta_n$

$\beta_i$  is nonterminal or  $\varepsilon$

$a_i$  is a ~~non~~terminal