

Claim: (M, g) : Riemannian manifold with Levi-Civita connection $\tilde{\nabla}$.

(N, h) : $N \subset M$, submanifold. h : induced metric.

∇ : Levi-Civita connection of (N, h) .

$p \in N$, $\text{prj}_{T_p N}: T_p M \rightarrow T_p N$ as $T_p N \subset T_p M$, g -orthogonal projection.

$X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$, $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ identical to X, Y around p .

$$\Rightarrow \text{prj}_{T_p N} (\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{Y})_p = (\nabla_X Y)_p ?$$

$(\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{Y})_p$ depends only on $\tilde{X}_p = X_p$, $\tilde{Y}_p = Y_p$.

$\therefore \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{Y}|_N$ depends only on X, Y .

Define $\nabla: \mathfrak{X}(N) \times \mathfrak{X}(N) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(N)$ by

$$\nabla_X Y = \text{prj}_{T_p N} (\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{Y}).$$

We will show ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection on N . The uniqueness of the Levi-Civita connection proves the claim.

1 ∇ is an affine connection.

$\text{prj}_{T_p N}$ is linear. $\Rightarrow \nabla$: affine connection.

2. compatible with the metric

For $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}(N)$,

$$X \cdot h(Y, Z) = X \cdot g(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z})$$

$$= g(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) + g(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{Z})$$

Because $\tilde{Z} \in T_p N$,

$$g(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) = g(\text{prj}_{T_p N} \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) \stackrel{\sim}{=} g(\text{prj}_{T_p N} \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) + g(\tilde{Y}, \text{prj}_{T_p N} \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{Z})$$

$$= h(\nabla_X Y, Z) + h(Y, \nabla_X Z).$$

3. torsion free.

$$\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X = \text{prj}_{T_p N} \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{Y} - \text{prj}_{T_p N} \tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{X}$$

$$= \text{prj}_{T_p N} (\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{Y} - \tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{X})$$

$$= \text{prj}_{T_p N} [X, Y]$$

$$= [X, Y] \quad \leftarrow \quad \tilde{X} = X, \tilde{Y} = Y \text{ on } N.$$

$\therefore \nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection on (N, h) .