

# **Simulation Interoperability Standards Organization (SISO)**

## **Guidelines for: Military Scenario Definition Language (MSDL)**

**7 June 2007**

**Prepared by:**

**Simulation Interoperability Standards Organization**

**Military Scenario Definition Language  
Drafting Group**

Copyright © 2007 by the Simulation Interoperability Standards Organization (SISO), Inc.

P.O. Box 781238

Orlando, FL 32878-1238, USA

All rights reserved.

Permission is hereby granted for SISO developing committee participants to reproduce this document for purposes of SISO product development activities only. Prior to submitting this document to another standards development organization for standardization activities, permission must first be obtained from the SISO Standards Activity Committee (SAC). Other entities seeking permission to reproduce this document, in whole or in part, must obtain permission from the SISO Executive Committee (EXCOM).

SISO EXCOM

P.O. Box 781238

Orlando, FL 32878-1238, USA

DRAFT

## Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>SCOPE.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	IDENTIFICATION .....	1
1.2	DOCUMENT OVERVIEW .....	1
<b>2</b>	<b>REFERENCE DOCUMENTS .....</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1	SISO DOCUMENTS .....	1
2.2	TECHNICAL DOCUMENTS .....	1
2.3	MSDL PDG DOCUMENTS .....	1
<b>3</b>	<b>STYLE AND BEST PRACTICES.....</b>	<b>1</b>
3.1	STYLE .....	1
3.1.1	<i>Case</i> .....	1
3.1.2	<i>Elements</i> .....	2
3.1.3	<i>Attributes</i> .....	2
3.1.4	<i>Types</i> .....	2
3.1.5	<i>Modality</i> .....	3
3.1.6	<i>Keys and Key References</i> .....	4
3.2	BEST PRACTICES .....	4
3.2.1	<i>Use of Subsets</i> .....	4
3.2.2	<i>References to External Standards</i> .....	4
3.2.3	<i>Object Representation</i> .....	5
3.2.4	<i>Object Referencing</i> .....	5
3.2.5	<i>Element Scope</i> .....	5
3.3	DOCUMENTATION .....	6
3.3.1	<i>XML Spy Generated Documentation</i> .....	6

## Table of Tables

Table 1:	Simple Type Naming Convesion.....	3
----------	-----------------------------------	---

## Guidelines for: Military Scenario Definition Language (MSDL) 2nd Draft

## Change History

[illegible]

# 1 Scope

## 1.1 Identification

The XML Coding Standards are followed in the development of MSDL schema. This applies to both candidate and selected extensions to the standard.

## 1.2 Document Overview

This document specifies the coding practices to be followed in specifying MSDL XML schema.

# 2 Reference Documents

## 2.1 SISO Documents

SISO-ADM-002-2006 SISO Policies & Procedures

SISO-ADM-003-2005 SISO Balloted Products Development Process

## 2.2 Technical Documents

- [Extensible Markup Language \(XML\)](#), Word Wide Web Consortium

## 2.3 MSDL PDG Documents

- [MSDL Product Development Plan \(PDP\)](#)

# 3 Style and Best Practices

The following sections outline best practices in XML style and standards to be followed.

## 3.1 Style

Style specifies general approach to specifying discrete elements of MSDL schema.

### 3.1.1 Case

The case of MSDL elements is specified in Proper Case. The specific format of case varies dependent on the context (enumerations, elements, attributes, types, etc.)

- Elements – The case of elements is specified in Proper Case, with no underscores in the element names. Acronyms don't reflect a preferred practice, but when used should be in all caps.
- Attributes – N/A. Attributes are not currently used in the formal MSDL standard.
- Enumerations – Enumerations are specified in upper case with underscores between words and acronyms.
- Types – Simple and complex types should follow the same guidelines as elements.

### 3.1.2 Elements

All elements should reference MSDL complex types, simple types, or coded enumerated types; direct references to W3C XML schema should not be made by MSDL elements. All associated restrictions shall be applied without falsely constraining the intended use that is specified by MSDL data type (simple or complex types). In all cases each XML element shall to include annotations of the elements.

In some cases, elements maybe defined directly if they correspond to a simple or complex type. This is done for the sake of preserving polymorphism across elements, but where the underlying structure varies by the parent element. For example the disposition detail of units, equipment, MOOTW, and METOC elements all vary. Rather than creating 4 global elements of different names, the elements are defined locally based on global types that are of different names. Ref section 3.1.2.3 Global Elements.

The intent is to allow a standard and manageable approach for users to extend the MSDL standard schema and to reintegrate the extensions when appropriate. Additionally this approach is intended to help guard against redefinition of the same base terms. This approach will also ensure alignment of MSDL terms early in the process to control cost and time savings down stream.

#### 3.1.2.1 Types & Restrictions

Each type used by an MSDL elements shall include the specification of a MSDL data type to include associated restrictions that apply to the element (patters, max/min values, enumerations, etc). Exceptions to this rule apply to reused XML types from other standard schemas (JC3IEDM, BOM, etc).

#### 3.1.2.2 Annotations

Annotations should identify the standard from which an element was derived. See the section Candidate Extensions for details. All elements and types, simple and complex, shall be annotated. Element references will be annotated when their documentation changes (is more specific) based on the use of the reference. For example an ObjectHandle reference shall be annotated in specific context to the object in which the handle is used.

#### 3.1.2.3 Global Elements

All elements shall be defined globally; unless the data type of a common element varies as it is used in the schema. In cases where restrictions vary by the location of an element, the element shall be defined locally to the higher element, with a reference to the MSDL restricted type.

### 3.1.3 Attributes

Attributes are not to be used in the MSDL standard. The use of attributes is being reserved for future extensions to MSDL to be determined at a future date.

### 3.1.4 Types

All simple types shall be named to reflect the data type as follows:

70

**Table 1: Simple Type Naming Convesion**

Type	Name	Description
xs:string	text[name][length]	All strings defined within the MSDL namespace, without enumeration or pattern restrictions (length restriction alone).
xs:string	enum[name]	Enumerated restrictions defined within the MSDL namespace.
xs:string	pattern[name][length]	Pattern restrictions defined within the MSDL namespace.
xs:int xs:integer xs:long	[u]integer[name][length]	All integer values where the Length is in digits. The “u” is included for unsigned integers.
xs:float	float[name][d_f]	All floating point values where d_f is the number of total digits (d) and the number of fractional digits (f).
xs:boolean	boolean[name]	All boolean values (true, false).

71

72 This approach to naming the simple types ensures the types reflect a functional  
73 representation, not their use. The use is specified by the elements, in how the simple  
74 types are *used*. The [name] reflects the name of the functional type.

### 75 **3.1.5 Modality**

#### 76 **3.1.5.1 All**

77 Modality of complex elements should be set to all for those elements which have more  
78 than a single child element. Exceptions shall be agreed to by the drafting group.

#### 79 **3.1.5.2 Choice**

80 Each choice element should include in its parent an enumerated element that declares the  
81 choice made. This provides for verification of the choice, and identifies the proper  
82 choice in cases where two choices are included.

#### 83 **3.1.5.3 Sequence**

84 Sequences are used for collections of like elements and topmost schema elements in  
85 order to provide for SAX functionality. Collections cannot share a parent element with  
86 any other element (the parent or collection can have only one child element).

## 3.1.6 Keys and Key References

## 3.2 Best Practices

Best practices identify how XML is used when specifying functional relationships internal to and external to in the MSDL standard.

### 3.2.1 Use of Subsets

#### 3.2.1.1 Standard Schema

MilitaryScenario.xsd – Defines the top most MSDL element (MilitaryScenario), and includes all subordinate schemas making up the standard. These top-most elements shall be sequenced to ensure SAX compatibility, where the sequence (order) is in the dependency order that minimizes the number of passes required to consume MSDL.

msdlElements.xsd – Includes all MSDL elements beneath the top level MilitaryScenario element for the approved/selected schema content.

msdlSimpleTypes.xsd - Includes all MSDL simple types. All elements will reference a simple type (no simple types will be defined locally to an MSDL schema element).

msdlComplexTypes.xsd – Includes all MSDL complex types. Complex types are defined when specific MSDL XML structures are used repeatedly in the schema.

#### 3.2.1.2 Candidate Extensions

Candidate extensions should be defined in a standalone schema subset that is then included in the MilitaryScenario schema subset. As an objective, candidate element extensions should not be included or otherwise injected directly with elements in the msdlElements subset. This policy helps ensure candidate extensions are cleanly decoupled from the current standard. Where problems exist in context to existing content (extensions are called for), attributes should be used to reflect those extensions.

### 3.2.2 References to External Standards

If a reference is to be included in the schema, annotations, not element names should be used to reflect the standards from which they were derived. The version of the standard should not be specified directly in the schema, but must be included in the annotations and the specification document. Specific guidelines regarding references to external specifications include:

- The identification of a standard includes its version.
- External XML specification shall be imported (not included) globally within the MSDL spec as an XML subset. The namespace shall be qualified and comments shall be required to include links to the external standard's web pages.
- Elements and types shall be properly annotated even if a reference to another standard is provided.
- A reference to an external standard shall only appear in the XML schema when the concepts and enumerations of that standard are used unchanged. If there are a



124 few interpretation issues, the reference should not appear in the XML schema, but  
125 shall appear in the specification documentation. Any interpretation details of these  
126 standards shall appear in the specification document, but not the schema.

### 127 **3.2.3 Object Representation**

128 All objects should be defined as a complex type and include the global element  
129 ObjectHandle as the unique identifier of the object.

### 130 **3.2.4 Object Referencing**

131 References between MSDL elements shall use ObjectHandles as the keys being  
132 referenced. Because there is not standard convention for specifying keys and key  
133 references across XML implementations, the schema restrictions on keys and keyRefs is  
134 not mandatory.

#### 135 **3.2.4.1 Uni-Directional References**

136 References to other MSDL objects are to occur based on each object's ObjectHandle.  
137 The convention for naming these references is to name the element by the referenced  
138 element name with the word "Handle" appended. For example a UnitHandle references a  
139 Unit.ObjectHandle. Modifiers (adjectives) can be included for clarity. For example,  
140 UnitOwnerHandle would indicate an object is owned by a unit object.

#### 141 **3.2.4.2 Bi-Directional References**

142 It is not recommended that truly circular references between child elements of two (or  
143 one) instanced complex elements be included in MSDL. In this context "truly" means  
144 two instanced objects reference each other circularly. Circular references should be  
145 instantiated by the application.

146 Note: The standard may include circular references in schema, as long as guidelines  
147 mitigate the risk of a truly circular relationship. For example a unit has to reference a  
148 superior unit. But no unit can be its own superior, so the risk is mitigated.

### 149 **3.2.5 Element Scope**

150 In general it is not necessary to define a global type/ element when the type/element is a  
151 default native XML type or is unique to the MSDL schema.

#### 152 **3.2.5.1 MSDL Types**

153 Define global data types uniquely based on how they vary from the base native XML  
154 type used.

#### 155 **3.2.5.2 MSDL Elements**

156 Define global types for elements. No elements should be assumed to be unique, this will  
157 minimize changes when an element needs to be reused in context to future extensions.

158 **3.3 Documentation**

159 **3.3.1 XML Spy Generated Documentation**

160 For common convention, all documentation on MSDL should use XML spy generated  
161 figures.