## CS207 Design and Analysis of Algorithms

#### Sajith Gopalan

Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati sajith@iitg.ac.in

January 26, 2022

## Dynamic Programming

## **Dynamic Programming**

▶ Particularly useful for optimization problems. Solution space. Constraints. Many feasible solutions, each of which satisfies the constraints, and has a value. We wish to find one feasible solution that minimises (maximizes) value.

#### ► Steps:

- ► Formulate the structure of an optimal solution
- Recursively define the value of an optimal solution
- Compute the value of an optimal solution, typically in a bottom-up fashion
- ► Construct an optimal solution from computed information
- Works particularly when the following properties hold:
  - ▶ Optimal Substructure: an optimal solution to the problem contains within it optimal solutions to subproblems
  - Overlapping subproblems: recursive algorithm for the problem solves the same subproblems repeatedly

#### The 0-1 Knapsack Problem

- ▶ Ali Baba's brother Kassim goes into the cave of the 40 thieves.
- ightharpoonup His donkey can carry a weight of at most W.
- ► He finds *n* metal ingots arranged in order.
- ▶ Ingot *i* is labelled by its (integer) weight  $w_i$  and its (integer) value  $v_i$ .
- Kassim's avarice knows no bounds.
- ► He will carry home the largest possible value.
- ► We need an algorithm for him to run.
- A trivial algorithm looks at each of the  $2^n$  possible subsets: check if the subset has a total weight of at most W; declare it the "best-so-far" if the sum of its members' values exceeds those of the subsets seen earlier; the "best-so-far" in the end is the best. This takes  $O(n.2^n)$  time, because a subset and its complement together cover all n items.

## 0-1 Knapsack Solution: Recursive formulation

- ► Look at item n.
- ► Two possibilities: take it, or leave it
- ▶ If we take it, our residual capacity decreases to  $W w_n$ .
- ▶ If we leave it, our residual capacity remains W.
- Suppose we have solved
  - 1. the subproblem on n-1 items with maximum weight  $W-w_n$ , and know  $A[n-1,W-w_n]$ , the maximum value that can be carried off in that case, and
  - 2. the subproblem on n-1 items with maximum weight W, and know A[n-1, W], the maximum value that can be carried off in that case.

#### 0-1 Knapsack Solution: Recursive formulation

- ▶ If  $A[n-1, W-w_n] + v_n \ge A[n-1, W]$ , then the solution of (1) plus item n is our solution; set  $A[n, W] = A[n-1, W-w_n] + v_n$
- ▶ Otherwise, the solution of (2) is our solution; set  $A[n, W] = A[n-1, W] + v_n$

## Recursive Binary Knapsack

w, v and B are global arrays; B is initialized to all 0

#### **Algorithm 1** RBK(n, W)

```
1: if (n = 0 \text{ or } W = 0) return 0;

2: a = RBK(n - 1, W - w_n) + v_n;

3: b = RBK(n - 1, W);

4: if a \ge b then

5: B[n, W] = 1; return a;

6: else

7: return b;

8: end if
```

## Binary Knapsack Print Solution

#### **Algorithm 2** BKPS(n, W)

```
1: if (n = 0 \text{ or } W = 0) return;
```

2: if B[n, W] then

3: BKPS $(n-1, W-w_n)$ ; **Print** n;

4: **else** 

5: BKPS(n-1, W);

6: end if

7: return

## **Analysis**

- ▶ BKPS runs in O(n) time; each call spawns only one recursive call, and that too with a decremented n
- ▶ RBK runs in  $\Omega(nW)$  time; usually much more, because there are overlapping subproblems that are repeatedly solved
- ► Not good!

## Iterative Binary Knapsack

#### **Algorithm 3** IBK(W, w, v, n)

```
1: Initialize 2-D array A[0 ... n, 0 ... W] to all zero

2: for i = 1 to n do

3: for w = 1 to W do

4: A[i, w] = A[i - 1, w]; \ B[i, w] = 0;

5: if w \ge w_i and A[i - 1, w] < A[i - 1, w - w_i] + v_i then

6: A[i, w] = A[i - 1, w - w_i] + v_i; \ B[i, w] = 1;

7: end if

8: end for

9: end for
```

## **Analysis**

- ▶ IBK runs in O(nW) time
- ► The total length of the input is  $\log W + \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i + \log n = \Omega(n + \log W)$
- ▶ IBK can be an exponential time algorithm in the worst case
- ► Not for nothing was Kassim still undecided when the thieves returned!
- ▶ 0-1 Knapsack is an NP-complete problem; a polynomial time algorithm is not known

## Memoized Binary Knapsack

A and B are global  $(n+1) \times (W+1)$  arrays; B is initialized to all 0; A to all -1

#### **Algorithm 4** MBK(n, W)

```
1: if (A[n, W] = -1) then
   if (n = 0 \text{ or } W = 0) then
3:
       A[n, W] = 0
4:
    else
       a = MBK(n-1, W-w_n)+v_n; b = MBK(n-1, W);
5:
6: if a > b then
          A[n, W] = a; B[n, W] = 1;
7:
8:
   else
         A[n, W] = b;
9:
       end if
10:
     end if
11:
12: end if
13: return A[n, W]
```

## **Analysis**

- ▶ MBK runs in O(nW) time
- ► Asymptotically as fast as IBK

# The most precious metal may not feature in the optimum solution

- Suppose W = 50,  $w_1 = 10$ ,  $w_2 = 20$ ,  $w_3 = 30$ ,  $v_1 = 60$ ,  $v_2 = 100$ ,  $v_3 = 120$
- ▶ Items 1, 2 and 3 cost 6, 5, 4 respectively per unit weight
- ▶ Item 1 is the most precious metal
- ▶ But  $\{2,3\}$  is the optimal solution