CS207 Design and Analysis of Algorithms

Sajith Gopalan

Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati sajith@iitg.ac.in

January 21, 2022

Divide and Conquer

Divide and Conquer

- ► solve a problem recursively
 - ▶ Divide the problem into a number of smaller instances of the same problem
 - ► For each of these subproblems, if its size is sufficiently large, then Conquer it recursively, else Conquer it directly
 - ► Combine the solutions to the subproblems into the solution for the original problem

- ► Given is an array A of n elements drawn from a linearly ordered set
- ▶ Given is $k \in \{1, ..., n\}$
- Find the k-th smallest element in A
- ► A trivial solution: sort *A*; pick the *k*-th element in the sorted sequence
- ▶ This takes $O(n \log n)$ time.
- ► There is a D&C algorithm that solves this in O(n) time: Blum's algorithm

Blum's Algorithm

Algorithm 1 Blum(A,k) /* wlg, elements of A are distinct */

- 1: **if** $(|A| \le n_0)$ sort A and **return** the k-th smallest element
- 2: Visualize A as a 2-D array with 5 rows and $\lceil n/5 \rceil$ columns
- 3: Sort each column in ascending order
- 4: Create an array A' of size $\lceil n/5 \rceil$ by picking the median element of each column
- 5: Let $m = Blum(A', \lceil n/10 \rceil)$ /* Recursive call */
- 6: /* m is the median of A', because $\lceil \lceil n/5 \rceil/2 \rceil = \lceil n/10 \rceil$ */
- 7: Partition A into A_L , $\{m\}$, A_U so that A_L 's elements are < m and A_U 's elements are > m; $|A_L| + 1 + |A_U| = n$
- 8: **if** $(k < |A_L|)$ **return** Blum (A_L, k)
- 9: **else if** $(k > |A_L| + 1)$ **return** Blum $(A_U, k |A_L| 1)$
- 10: **else return** *m*;

Array A visualized as a 2-D array with 5 rows and $\lceil n/5 \rceil$ columns:

```
04
    32
         40
              24
                   56
                        41
                             61
                                 27
                                      12
                                           46
    28
         48
16
              17
                   21
                        80
                            37
                                 39
                                      58
                                           55
36
    49
         33
              23
                   06
                        25
                             11
                                 31
                                      14
                                           05
10
    47
         54
              29
                   18
                        52
                            44
                                 62
                                      30
                                           13
35
    70
         34
              65
                   45
                        67
                             57
                                 03
                                      02
```

04	28	33	17	06	80	11	03	02	05
10	28 32	34	23	18	25	37	27	12	13
16	47	40	24	21	41	44	31	14	46
35	49	48	29	45	52	57	39	30	55
36	49 70	54	65	56	67	61	62	58	

Sort each column in ascending order

Pick out the column medians: 14 16 21 24 (31) 40 41 44 46 47

Find m, the median of medians

Partition *A* using *m*; n = 49; $|A_L| = 22$; $|A_U| = 26$;

A_L: 04 10 16 28 17 23 24 29 06 18 21 08 25 11 03 27 02 12 14 30

05 13

A_U: 35 36 32 47 49 70 33 34 40 48 54 65 45 56 41 52 67 37 44 57

61 39 62 58 46 55

$$T(n) = T(\lceil n/5 \rceil) + T(?) + cn$$

What is an upper bound on the second recursive call?

As a thought exercise, imagine that the columns are permuted so that their medians are in increasing order.

Then m is in the median column

There are $\lceil \lceil n/5 \rceil/2 \rceil - 1$ columns to the left of the column of m There are $\lfloor \lceil n/5 \rceil/2 \rfloor \leq \lceil \lceil n/5 \rceil/2 \rceil - 1$ columns to the right of the column of m; of these, all but the last are full columns Every full column to the left of m gives at least 3 elements to A_L Every full column to the right of m gives at least 3 elements to A_U So, each of A_L and A_U has at least $3(\lceil \lceil n/5 \rceil/2 \rceil - 2)$ elements

$$T(n) \le T(\lceil n/5 \rceil) + T(7n/10+6) + cn$$

Let us prove by induction that $T(n) \leq dn$

Basis: $n \le n_0$; sort and pick out the k-th; takes, say, time t; $T(n_0) = t = (t/n_0) * n_0$; choose $d \ge t/n_0$.

Hypothesis: $\forall m < n, T(m) \leq dm$

Induction Step:

$$T(n) \le T(\lceil n/5 \rceil) + T(7n/10+6) + cn$$

 $\le d\lceil n/5 \rceil + 7dn/10+6d+cn$
 $\le d(n/5+1) + 7dn/10+6d+cn$
 $\le 9dn/10+7d+cn$

If $9dn/10 + 7d + cn \le dn$, then the induction holds That is, $cn \le dn/10 - 7d$

Or,
$$d \ge 10cn/(n-70)$$

Choose $n_0 = 80$.

Then, for any $n \ge 80$, $10cn/(n-70) \le 10c * 80/(80-70) = 80c$ Choosing d = 80c ensures that the induction goes through.

```
Choose n_0=140.
Then, for any n\geq 140, 10cn/(n-70)\leq 10c*140/(140-70)=20c
Choosing d=20c ensures that the induction goes through.
```

The basis dictates one choice of d; this choice increases with increasing n_0

The step dictates another; this choice decreases with increasing n_0 The final d is the larger of the two

There is an optimal choice of n_0 that minimises the final d

The column length is hardcoded as 5 into this algorithm. Why? It's chosen odd so that the column median is central

Then why not 3?

The induction does not go through when the column size is 3. Redo the analysis with 3, and show that it does not work

Why not 7, 9, 11 etc.?

Induction does go through for them. The choice of 5 minimizes the final value of d. Try 7, redo the analysis, and verify.