

# Modula-3: Language definition (single page)

Designed and written by Luca Cardelli, James Donahue, Lucille Glassman, Mick Jordan, Bill Kalsow, and Greg Nelson.

*The language designer should be familiar with many alternative features designed by others, and should have excellent judgment in choosing the best and rejecting any that are mutually inconsistent... One thing he should not do is to include untried ideas of his own. His task is consolidation, not innovation. —C.A.R. Hoare*

The original definition of Modula-3 was given in SRC Research Report 31, August 1988. It was revised in report 52, November 1989. And finally published in Systems Programming with Modula-3, November 1989.

This edition of the language definition is derived from all of the above. In the few places where it differs from the version published in Systems Programming with Modula-3, this on-line version is correct. The errata to the published version are available. A multi-page, hierarchical version of this language definition is also available.

Copyright (C) 1988 Digital Equipment Corporation, Ing. C. Olivetti and C., SpA.

This work may not be copied or reproduced in whole or in part except in accordance with this provision. Permission to copy in whole or in part without payment of fee is granted only to licensees under (and is subject to the terms and conditions of) the Digital License Agreement for SRC Modula-3, as it appears, for example, on the Internet at the URL <http://www.research.digital.com/SRC/m3sources/html/COPYRIGHT.html>. All such whole or partial copies must include the following: a notice that such copying is by permission of the Systems Research Center of Digital Equipment Corporation in Palo Alto, California; an acknowledgment of the authors and individual contributors to the work; and this copyright notice. All rights reserved.

## Contents

- Acknowledgments

1. Introduction

- History, Perspective, Overview, Features, Interfaces, Objects, Generics, Threads, Safety, Garbage collection, Exceptions, Type System, Simplicity

- 2.1 Definitions

- 2.2 Types

- Ordinal types, Floating-point types, Arrays, Records, Packed types, Sets, References, Procedures, Objects, Subtyping rules, Predeclared opaque types

- 2.3 Statements

- Assignment, Procedure call, Eval, Block statement, Sequential composition, RAISE, TRY-EXCEPT, TRY-FINALLY, LOOP, EXIT, RETURN, IF, WHILE, REPEAT, WITH, FOR, CASE, TYPECASE, LOCK, INC, & DEC

- 2.4 Declarations

- Types, Constants, Variables, Procedures, Exceptions, Opaque types, Revelations, Recursive declarations,

- 2.5 Modules and interfaces

- Import statements, Interfaces, Modules, Example, Generics, Initialization, Safety

- 2.6 Expressions

- Conventions, Operation syntax, Designators, Numeric literals, Text and character literals, NIL, Function application, Set, array, and record constructors, NEW, Arithmetic operations, Relations, Boolean operations, Type operations, Text operations, Constant expressions

## 2.7 Unsafe operations

## 2.8 Syntax

- Keywords, Reserved identifiers, Operators, Comments, Pragmas, Conventions, Compilation units, Statements, Types, Expressions, Miscellaneous, Tokens
- About the authors

## Acknowledgments

Modula-3 was designed by Luca Cardelli, Jim Donahue, Mick Jordan, Bill Kalsow, and Greg Nelson, as a joint project by the Digital Equipment Corporation Systems Research Center and the Olivetti Research Center. Paul Rovner made many contributions as a founding member of the design committee. The language specification was written by Lucille Glassman and Greg Nelson, under the watchful supervision of the whole committee.

Maurice Wilkes had the inspiration that sparked the project.

Our technical starting point was Modula-2+, which was designed by Paul Rovner, Roy Levin, John Wick, Andrew Birrell, Butler Lampson, and Garret Swart. We made good use of the ruthlessly complete description of Modula-2+ in Mary-Claire van Leunen's *Modula-2+ User's Manual*. The ideas in the "+" part of Modula-2+ were mostly derived from the Mesa and Cedar languages developed at Xerox PARC.

Niklaus Wirth designed Modula-2, the starting point of our starting point. He also reviewed the evolving design and made many valuable suggestions—not one of which was a suggested addition. Indeed, he inspired us with the courage to pull out a number of deep-rooted weeds.

SRC Modula-3 was implemented by Bill Kalsow and Eric Muller. Olivetti Modula-3 was implemented by Mick Jordan, Trevor Morris, David Chase, Steve Glassman, and Marion Sturtevant.

The language and book were greatly improved by the helpful feedback from Bob Ayers, Andrew Black, Regis Crelieu, Dan Craft, Hans Eberle, John Ellis, Stu Feldman, Michel Gangnet, Lucille Glassman, David Goldberg, Stephen Harrison, Sam Harbison, Jim Horning, Solange Karsenty, Mike Kupfer, Butler Lampson, Mark Manasse, Tim Mann, Eliot Moss, Dick Orgass, Sharon Perl, Norman Ramsey, Lyle Ramshaw, Eric Roberts, Peter Robinson, Ed Satterthwaite, Jorge Stolfi, Garret Swart, Chuck Thacker, and Ken Zadeck.

We are grateful for the support of Digital Equipment Corporation in general, and Bob Taylor and Sam Fuller in particular.

## 1. Introduction

*He that will not apply new remedies must expect new evils: for time is the greatest innovator; and if time of course alter things to the worse, and wisdom and counsel shall not alter them to the better, what shall be the end? —Francis Bacon*

### 1.1 History

On November 6th, 1986, Maurice Wilkes wrote to Niklaus Wirth proposing that the Modula-2+ language be revised and standardized as a successor to Modula-2. Wirth gave this project his blessing, and the Modula-3 committee was born.

At the first meeting, the committee unanimously agreed to be true to the spirit of Modula-2 by selecting simple, safe, proven features rather than experimenting with our own untried ideas. We found that unanimity was harder to achieve when we got to the details.

Modula-3 supports interfaces, objects, generics, lightweight threads of control, the isolation of unsafe code, garbage collection, exceptions, and subtyping. Some of the more problematical features of Modula-2 have been removed, like variant records and the built-in unsigned numeric data type. Modula-3 is substantially simpler than other languages with comparable power.

Modula-3 is closely based on Modula-2+, which was designed at the Digital Equipment Corporation Systems Research Center and used to build the Topaz system [McJones89, Rovner86]. The Modula-3 design was a joint project by Digital and Olivetti. The language definition was published in August 1988, and immediately followed by implementation efforts at both companies. In January 1989, the committee revised the language to reflect the experiences of these implementation teams. A few final revisions were made for the publication of this book.

SRC Modula-3 is distributed by the DEC Systems Research Center under a liberal license. The distribution includes a compiler for Modula-3, the Modula-3 Abstract Syntax Tree toolkit developed at Olivetti, and a runtime system with configuration files for DEC, IBM, HP, and Sun workstations.

## 1.2 Perspective

Most systems programming today is done in the BCPL family of languages, which includes B, Bliss, and C. The beauty of these languages is the modest cost with which they were able to take a great leap forward from assembly language. To fully appreciate them, you must consider the engineering constraints of machines in the 1960s. What language designed in the 1980s has a compiler that fits into four thousand 18-bit words, like Ken Thompson's B compiler for the PDP-7? The most successful of these languages was C, which by the early 1970s had almost completely displaced assembly language in the Unix system.

The BCPL-like languages are easy to implement efficiently for the same reason they are attractive to skeptical assembly language programmers: they present a programming model that is close to the target machine. Pointers are identified with arrays, and address arithmetic is ubiquitous. Unfortunately, this low-level programming model is inherently dangerous. Many errors are as disastrous as they would be in machine language. The type system is scanty, and reveals enough quirks of the target machine that even experienced and disciplined programmers sometimes write unportable code simply by accident. The most modern language in this family, C++, has enriched C by adding objects; but it has also given up C's best virtue—simplicity—without relieving C's worst drawback—its low-level programming model.

At the other extreme are languages like Lisp, ML, Smalltalk, and CLU, whose programming models originate from mathematics. Lisp is the hybrid of the lambda calculus and the theory of a pairing function; ML stems from polymorphic type theory; Smalltalk from a theory of objects and inheritance; CLU from a theory of abstract data types. These languages have beautiful programming models, but they tend to be difficult to implement efficiently, because the uniform treatment of values in the programming model invites a runtime system in which values are uniformly represented by pointers. If the implementer doesn't take steps to avoid it, as simple a statement as  $n := n + 1$  could require an allocation, a method lookup, or both. Good implementations avoid most of the cost, and languages in this family have been used successfully for systems programming. But their general disposition towards heap allocation rather than stack allocation remains, and they have not become popular with systems programmers. The runtime systems required to make these languages efficient often isolate them in closed environments that cannot accommodate programs written in other languages. If you are a fan of these languages you may find Modula-3 overly pragmatic; but read on anyway, and give us a chance to show that pragmatic constraints do not exclude attractive solutions.

Between the extremes of BCPL and Lisp is the Algol family of languages, whose modern representatives include Pascal, Ada, Modula-2, and Modula-3. These languages have programming models that reflect the engineering constraints of random-access machines but conceal the details of any particular machine. They give up the beauty and mathematical symmetry of the Lisp family in order to make efficient implementations possible without special tricks; they also have strong type systems that avoid most of the dangerous and machine-dependent features of the BCPL family.

In the 1960s, the trend in the Algol family was toward features for control flow and data structuring. In the 1970s, the trend was toward information-hiding features like interfaces, opaque types, and generics. More recently, the trend in the Algol family has been to adopt a careful selection of techniques from the Lisp and BCPL families. This trend is demonstrated by Modula-3, Oberon, and Cedar, to name three languages that have floated portable implementations in the last few years.

Modula-3, Oberon, and Cedar all provide garbage collection, previously viewed as a luxury available only in the closed runtime systems of the Lisp family. But the world is starting to understand that garbage collection is the only way to achieve an adequate level of safety, and that modern garbage collectors can work in open runtime environments.

At the same time, these three languages allow a small set of unsafe, machine-dependent operations of the sort usually associated with the BCPL family. In Modula-3, unsafe operations are allowed only in modules explicitly labeled unsafe. The combination of garbage collection with the explicit isolation of unsafe features produces a language suitable for programming entire systems from the highest-level applications down to the lowest-level device drivers.

## 1.3 Overview

[ This section discusses the organization of the entire book, *Systems Programming with Modula-3*. It doesn't seem worth including this material in the on-line version. ]

## 1.4 Features

The remainder of the introduction is an overview of the most important features of Modula-3.

**1.4.1 Interfaces.** One of Modula-2's most successful features is the provision for explicit interfaces between modules. Interfaces are retained with essentially no changes in Modula-3. An interface to a module is a collection of declarations that reveal the public parts of a module; things in the module that are not declared in the interface are private. A module *imports* the interfaces it depends on and *exports* the interface (or, in Modula-3, the interfaces) that it implements.

Interfaces make separate compilation type-safe; but it does them an injustice to look at them in such a limited way. Interfaces make it possible to think about large systems without holding the whole system in your head at once.

Programmers who have never used Modula-style interfaces tend to underestimate them, observing, for example, that anything that can be done with interfaces can also be done with C-style include files. This misses the point: many things can be done with include files that cannot be done with interfaces. For example, the meaning of an include file can be changed by defining macros in the environment into which it is included. Include files tempt programmers into shortcuts across abstraction boundaries. To keep large programs well structured, you either need super-human will power, or proper language support for interfaces.

**1.4.2 Objects.** The better we understand our programs, the bigger the building blocks we use to structure them. After the instruction came the statement, after the statement came the procedure, after the procedure came the interface. The next step seems to be the *abstract type*.

At the theoretical level, an abstract type is a type defined by the specifications of its operations instead of by the representation of its data. As realized in modern programming languages, a value of an abstract type is represented by an "object" whose operations are implemented by a suite of procedure values called the object's "methods". A new object type can be defined as a *subtype* of an existing type, in which case the new type has all the methods of the old type, and possibly new ones as well (inheritance). The new type can provide new implementations for the old methods (overriding).

Objects were invented in the mid-sixties by the farsighted designers of Simula [Birtwistle]. Objects in Modula-3 are very much like objects in Simula: they are always references, they have both data fields and methods, and they have

single inheritance but not multiple inheritance.

Small examples are often used to get across the basic idea: truck as a subtype of vehicle; rectangle as a subtype of polygon. Modula-3 aims at larger systems that illustrate how object types provide structure for large programs. In Modula-3 the main design effort is concentrated into specifying the properties of a single abstract type—a stream of characters, a window on the screen. Then dozens of interfaces and modules are coded that provide useful subtypes of the central abstraction. The abstract type provides the blueprint for a whole family of interfaces and modules. If the central abstraction is well-designed then useful subtypes can be produced easily, and the original design cost will be repaid with interest.

The combination of object types with Modula-2 opaque types produces something new: the *partially opaque type*, where some of an object's fields are visible in a scope and others are hidden. Because the committee had no experience with partially opaque types, the first version of Modula-3 restricted them severely; but after a year of experience it was clear that they were a good thing, and the language was revised to remove the restrictions.

It is possible to use object-oriented techniques even in languages that were not designed to support them, by explicitly allocating the data records and method suites. This approach works reasonably smoothly when there are no subtypes; however it is through subtyping that object-oriented techniques offer the most leverage. The approach works badly when subtyping is needed: either you allocate the data records for the different parts of the object individually (which is expensive and notationally cumbersome) or you must rely on unchecked type transfers, which is unsafe. Whichever approach is taken, the subtype relations are all in the programmer's head: only with an object-oriented language is it possible to get object-oriented static typechecking.

**1.4.3 Generics.** A generic module is a template in which some of the imported interfaces are regarded as formal parameters, to be bound to actual interfaces when the generic is instantiated. For example, a generic hash table module could be instantiated to produce tables of integers, tables of text strings, or tables of any desired type. The different generic instances are compiled independently: the source program is reused, but the compiled code will generally be different for different instances.

To keep Modula-3 generics simple, they are confined to the module level: generic procedures and types do not exist in isolation, and generic parameters must be entire interfaces.

In the same spirit of simplicity, there is no separate typechecking associated with generics. Implementations are expected to expand the generic and typecheck the result. The alternative would be to invent a polymorphic type system flexible enough to express the constraints on the parameter interfaces that are necessary in order for the generic body to compile. This has been achieved for ML and CLU, but it has not yet been achieved satisfactorily in the Algol family of languages, where the type systems are less uniform. (The rules associated with Ada generics are too complicated for our taste.)

**1.4.4 Threads.** Dividing a computation into concurrent processes (or threads of control) is a fundamental method of separating concerns. For example, suppose you are programming a terminal emulator with a blinking cursor: the most satisfactory way to separate the cursor blinking code from the rest of the program is to make it a separate thread. Or suppose you are augmenting a program with a new module that communicates over a buffered channel. Without threads, the rest of the program will be blocked whenever the new module blocks on its buffer, and conversely, the new module will be unable to service the buffer whenever any other part of the program blocks. If this is unacceptable (as it almost always is) there is no way to add the new module without finding and modifying every statement of the program that might block. These modifications destroy the structure of the program by introducing undesirable dependencies between what would otherwise be independent modules.

The provisions for threads in Modula-2 are weak, amounting essentially to coroutines. Hoare's monitors [Hoare] are a sounder basis for concurrent programming. Monitors were used in Mesa, where they worked well; except that the requirement that a monitored data structure be an entire module was irksome. For example, it is often useful for a monitored data structure to be an object instead of a module. Mesa relaxed this requirement, made a slight change in the details of the semantics of Hoare's *Signal* primitive, and introduced the *Broadcast* primitive as a convenience

[Lampson]. The Mesa primitives were simplified in the Modula-2+ design, and the result was successful enough to be incorporated with no substantial changes in Modula-3.

A threads package is a tool with a very sharp edge. A common programming error is to access a shared variable without obtaining the necessary lock. This introduces a race condition that can lie dormant throughout testing and strike after the program is shipped. Theoretical work on process algebra has raised hopes that the rendezvous model of concurrency may be safer than the shared memory model, but the experience with Ada, which adopted the rendezvous, lends at best equivocal support for this hope—Ada still allows shared variables, and apparently they are widely used.

**1.4.5 Safety.** A language feature is *unsafe* if its misuse can corrupt the runtime system so that further execution of the program is not faithful to the language semantics. An example of an unsafe feature is array assignment without bounds checking: if the index is out of bounds, then an arbitrary location can be clobbered and the address space can become fatally corrupted. An error in a safe program can cause the computation to abort with a run-time error message or to give the wrong answer, but it can't cause the computation to crash in a rubble of bits.

Safe programs can share the same address space, each safe from corruption by errors in the others. To get similar protection for unsafe programs requires placing them in separate address spaces. As large address spaces become available, and programmers use them to produce tightly-coupled applications, safety becomes more and more important.

Unfortunately, it is generally impossible to program the lowest levels of a system with complete safety. Neither the compiler nor the runtime system can check the validity of a bus address for an I/O controller, nor can they limit the ensuing havoc if it is invalid. This presents the language designer with a dilemma. If he holds out for safety, then low level code will have to be programmed in another language. But if he adopts unsafe features, then his safety guarantee becomes void everywhere.

The languages of the BCPL family are full of unsafe features; the languages of the Lisp family generally have none (or none that are documented). In this area Modula-3 follows the lead of Cedar by adopting a small number of unsafe features that are allowed only in modules explicitly labeled unsafe. In a safe module, the compiler prevents any errors that could corrupt the runtime system; in an unsafe module, it is the programmer's responsibility to avoid them.

**1.4.6 Garbage collection.** A classic unsafe runtime error is to free a data structure that is still reachable by active references (or "dangling pointers"). The error plants a time bomb that explodes later, when the storage is reused. If on the other hand the programmer fails to free records that have become unreachable, the result will be a "storage leak" and the computation space will grow without bound. Problems due to dangling pointers and storage leaks tend to persist long after other errors have been found and removed. The only sure way to avoid these problems is the automatic freeing of unreachable storage, or garbage collection.

Modula-3 therefore provides "traced references", which are like Modula-2 pointers except that the storage they point to is kept in the "traced heap" where it will be freed automatically when all references to it are gone.

Another great benefit of garbage collection is that it simplifies interfaces. Without garbage collection, an interface must specify whether the client or the implementation has the responsibility for freeing each allocated reference, and the conditions under which it is safe to do so. This can swamp the interface in complexity. For example, Modula-3 supports text strings by a simple required interface `Text`, rather than with a built-in type. Without garbage collection, this approach would not be nearly as attractive.

New refinements in garbage collection have appeared continually for more than twenty years, but it is still difficult to implement efficiently. For many programs, the programming time saved by simplifying interfaces and eliminating storage leaks and dangling pointers makes garbage collection a bargain, but the lowest levels of a system may not be able to afford it. For example, in SRC's Topaz system, the part of the operating system that manages files and heavy-weight processes relies on garbage collection, but the inner "nub" that implements virtual memory and thread context switching does not. Essentially all Topaz application programs rely on garbage collection.

For programs that cannot afford garbage collection, Modula-3 provides a set of reference types that are not traced by

the garbage collector. In most other respects, traced and untraced references behave identically.

**1.4.7 Exceptions.** An exception is a control construct that exits many scopes at once. Raising an exception exits active scopes repeatedly until a handler is found for the exception, and transfers control to the handler. If there is no handler, the computation terminates in some system-dependent way—for example, by entering the debugger.

There are many arguments for and against exceptions, most of which revolve around inconclusive issues of style and taste. One argument in their favor that has the weight of experience behind it is that exceptions are a good way to handle any runtime error that is usually, but not necessarily, fatal. If exceptions are not available, each procedure that might encounter a runtime error must return an additional code to the caller to identify whether an error has occurred. This can be clumsy, and has the practical drawback that even careful programmers may inadvertently omit the test for the error return code. The frequency with which returned error codes are ignored has become something of a standing joke in the Unix/C world. Raising an exception is more robust, since it stops the program unless there is an explicit handler for it.

**1.4.8 Type system.** Like all languages in the Algol family, Modula-3 is strongly typed. The basic idea of strong typing is to partition the value space into types, restrict variables to hold values of a single type, and restrict operations to apply to operands of fixed types. In actuality, strong typing is rarely so simple. For example, each of the following complications is present in at least one language of the Algol family: a variable of type `[0..9]` may be safely assigned to an `INTEGER`, but not vice-versa (subtyping). Operations like absolute value may apply both to `REALs` and to `INTEGERs` instead of to a single type (overloading). The types of literals (for example, `NIL`) can be ambiguous. The type of an expression may be determined by how it is used (target-typing). Type mismatches may cause automatic conversions instead of errors (as when a fractional real is rounded upon assignment to an integer).

We adopted several principles in order to make Modula-3's type system as uniform as possible. First, there are no ambiguous types or target-typing: the type of every expression is determined by its subexpressions, not by its use. Second, there are no automatic conversions. In some cases the *representation* of a value changes when it is assigned (for example, when assigning to a packed field of a record type) but the abstract value itself is transferred without change. Third, the rules for type compatibility are defined in terms of a single subtype relation. The subtype relation is required for treating objects with inheritance, but it is also useful for defining the type compatibility rules for conventional types.

**1.4.9 Simplicity.** In the early days of the Ada project, a general in the Ada Program Office opined that “obviously the Department of Defense is not interested in an artificially simplified language such as Pascal”. Modula-3 represents the opposite point of view. We used every artifice that we could find or invent to make the language simple.

C.A.R. Hoare has suggested that as a rule of thumb a language is too complicated if it can't be described precisely and readably in fifty pages. The Modula-3 committee elevated this to a design principle: we gave ourselves a “complexity budget” of fifty pages, and chose the most useful features that we could accommodate within this budget. In the end, we were over budget by six lines plus the syntax equations. This policy is a bit arbitrary, but there are so many good ideas in programming language design that some kind of arbitrary budget seems necessary to keep a language from getting too complicated.

In retrospect, the features that made the cut were directed toward two main goals. Interfaces, objects, generics, and threads provide fundamental patterns of abstraction that help to structure large programs. The isolation of unsafe code, garbage collection, and exceptions help make programs safer and more robust. Of the techniques that we used to keep the language internally consistent, the most important was the definition of a clean type system based on a subtype relation. There is no special novelty in any one of these features individually, but there is simplicity and power in their combination.

## 2.1 Definitions

A Modula-3 program specifies a computation that acts on a sequence of digital components called *locations*. A *variable* is a set of locations that represents a mathematical value according to a convention determined by the variable's *type*. If a value can be represented by some variable of type T, then we say that the value is a *member* of T and T *contains* the value.

An *identifier* is a symbol declared as a name for a variable, type, procedure, etc. The region of the program over which a declaration applies is called the *scope* of the declaration. Scopes can be nested. The meaning of an identifier is determined by the smallest enclosing scope in which the identifier is declared.

An *expression* specifies a computation that produces a value or variable. Expressions that produce variables are called *designators*. A designator can denote either a variable or the value of that variable, depending on the context. Some designators are *readonly*, which means that they cannot be used in contexts that might change the value of the variable. A designator that is not readonly is called *writable*. Expressions whose values can be determined statically are called *constant expressions*; they are never designators.

A *static error* is an error that the implementation must detect before program execution. Violations of the language definition are static errors unless they are explicitly classified as runtime errors.

A *checked runtime error* is an error that the implementation must detect and report at runtime. The method for reporting such errors is implementation-dependent. (If the implementation maps them into exceptions, then a program could handle these exceptions and continue.)

An *unchecked runtime error* is an error that is not guaranteed to be detected, and can cause the subsequent behavior of the computation to be arbitrary. Unchecked runtime errors can occur only in unsafe modules.

## 2.2 Types

*I am the voice of today, the herald of tomorrow... I am the leaden army that conquers the world—I am TYPE. —Frederic William Goudy*

Modula-3 uses structural equivalence, instead of the name equivalence of Modula-2. Two types are the same if their definitions become the same when expanded; that is, when all constant expressions are replaced by their values and all type names are replaced by their definitions. In the case of recursive types, the expansion is the infinite limit of the partial expansions. A type expression is generally allowed wherever a type is required.

A type is *empty* if it contains no values. For example, `[1..0]` is an empty type. Empty types can be used to build non-empty types (for example, `SET OF [1..0]`, which is not empty because it contains the empty set). It is a static error to declare a variable of an empty type.

Every expression has a statically-determined type, which contains every value that the expression can produce. The type of a designator is the type of the variable it produces.

Assignability and type compatibility are defined in terms of a single syntactically specified subtype relation with the property that if T is a subtype of U, then every member of T is a member of U. The subtype relation is reflexive and transitive.

Every expression has a unique type, but a value can be a member of many types. For example, the value 6 is a member of both `[0..9]` and `INTEGER`. It would be ambiguous to talk about “the type of a value”. Thus the phrase “type of x” means “type of the expression x”, while “x is a member of T” means “the value of x is a member of T”.

However, there is one sense in which a value can be said to have a type: every object or traced reference value includes a code for a type, called the *allocated type* of the reference value. The allocated type is tested by `TYPECASE`.



### 2.2.1 Ordinal types

There are three kinds of ordinal types: enumerations, subranges, and integers.

There are two integer types, which in order of increasing range are INTEGER and LONGINT.

An enumeration type is declared like this:

```
TYPE T = {id_1, id_2, ..., id_n}
```

where the id's are distinct identifiers. The type T is an ordered set of n values; the expression T.id\_i denotes the i'th value of the type in increasing order. The empty enumeration { } is allowed.

Integers and enumeration elements are collectively called *ordinal values*. The *base type* of an ordinal value v is INTEGER (or LONGINT) if v is an integer (or extended range integer, respectively), otherwise it is the unique enumeration type that contains v.

A subrange type is declared like this:

```
TYPE T = [Lo..Hi]
```

where Lo and Hi are two ordinal values with the same base type, called the base type of the subrange. The values of T are all the values from Lo to Hi inclusive. Lo and Hi must be constant expressions. If Lo exceeds Hi, the subrange is empty.

The operators ORD and VAL convert between enumerations and integers. The operators FIRST, LAST, and NUMBER applied to an ordinal type return the first element, last element, and number of elements, respectively.

Here are the predeclared ordinal types:

INTEGER	All integers represented by the implementation
LONGINT	Extended range integers, with at least as much range as INTEGER
CARDINAL	Behaves just like the subrange [0..LAST(INTEGER)]
BOOLEAN	The enumeration {FALSE, TRUE}
CHAR	An enumeration containing at least 256 elements
WIDECHAR	An enumeration containing at least 65536 elements

The first 256 elements of type CHAR represent characters in the ISO-Latin-1 code, which is an extension of ASCII. The first 65536 elements of type WIDECHAR represent characters in the Unicode character code. The language does not specify the names of the elements of the CHAR or WIDECHAR enumerations. The syntax for character literals is specified in the section on literals. FALSE and TRUE are predeclared synonyms for BOOLEAN.FALSE and BOOLEAN.TRUE.

Each distinct enumeration type introduces a new collection of values, but a subrange type reuses the values from the underlying type. For example:

```
TYPE
  T1 = {A, B, C};
  T2 = {A, B, C};
  U1 = [T1.A..T1.C];
  U2 = [T1.A..T2.C];  (* sic *)
  V =  {A, B}
```

T1 and T2 are the same type, since they have the same expanded definition. In particular, T1.C = T2.C and therefore U1 and U2 are also the same type. But the types T1 and U1 are distinct, although they contain the same values, because the expanded definition of T1 is an enumeration while the expanded definition of U1 is a subrange. The type V is a third type whose values V.A and V.B are not related to the values T1.A and T1.B.

### 2.2.2 Floating-point types

There are three floating point types, which in order of increasing range and precision are REAL, LONGREAL, and EXTENDED. The properties of these types are specified by required interfaces.

### 2.2.3 Arrays

An *array* is an indexed collection of component variables, called the *elements* of the array. The indexes are the values of an ordinal type, called the *index type* of the array. The elements all have the same size and the same type, called the *element type* of the array.

There are two kinds of array types, *fixed* and *open*. The length of a fixed array is determined at compile time. The length of an open array type is determined at runtime, when it is allocated or bound. The length cannot be changed thereafter.

The *shape* of a multi-dimensional array is the sequence of its lengths in each dimension. More precisely, the shape of an array is its length followed by the shape of any of its elements; the shape of a non-array is the empty sequence.

Arrays are assignable if they have the same element type and shape. If either the source or target of the assignment is an open array, a runtime shape check is required.

A fixed array type declaration has the form:

```
TYPE T = ARRAY Index OF Element
```

where Index is an ordinal type and Element is any type other than an open array type. The values of type T are arrays whose element type is Element and whose length is the number of elements of the type Index.

If a has type T, then a[i] designates the element of a whose position corresponds to the position of i in Index. For example, consider the declarations:

```
VAR a := ARRAY [1..3] OF REAL {1.0, 2.0, 3.0};  
VAR b: ARRAY [-1..1] OF REAL := a;
```

Now a = b is TRUE; yet a[1] = 1.0 while b[1] = 3.0. The interpretation of indexes is determined by an array's type, not its value; the assignment b := a changes b's value, not its type. (This example uses variable initialization, and array constructors.)

An expression of the form:

```
ARRAY Index_1, ..., Index_n OF Element
```

is shorthand for:

```
ARRAY Index_1 OF ... OF ARRAY Index_n OF Element
```

This shorthand is eliminated from the expanded type definition used to define structural equivalence. An expression of the form a[i\_1, ..., i\_n] is shorthand for a[i\_1] ... [i\_n].

An open array type declaration has the form:

```
TYPE T = ARRAY OF Element
```

where Element is any type. The values of T are arrays whose element type is Element and whose length is arbitrary. The index type of an open array is the integer subrange [0 .. n-1], where n is the length of the array.

An open array type can be used only as the type of a formal parameter, the referent of a reference type, the element type of another open array type, or as the type in an array constructor.

Examples of array types:

```
TYPE
  Transform = ARRAY [1..3], [1..3] OF REAL;
  Vector    = ARRAY OF REAL;
  SkipTable = ARRAY CHAR OF INTEGER
```

## 2.2.4 Records

A *record* is a sequence of named variables, called the *fields* of the record. Different fields can have different types. The name and type of each field is statically determined by the record's type. The expression  $r.f$  designates the field named  $f$  in the record  $r$ .

A record type declaration has the form:

```
TYPE T = RECORD FieldList END
```

where *FieldList* is a list of field declarations, each of which has the form:

```
fieldName: Type := default
```

where *fieldName* is an identifier, *Type* is any non-empty type other than an open array type, and *default* is a constant expression. The field names must be distinct. A record is a member of  $T$  if it has fields with the given names and types, in the given order, and no other fields. Empty records are allowed.

The constant *default* is a default value used when a record is constructed or allocated. Either “:= *default*” or “: *Type*” can be omitted, but not both. If *Type* is omitted, it is taken to be the type of *default*. If both are present, the value of *default* must be a member of *Type*.

When a series of fields shares the same type and default, any *fieldName* can be a list of identifiers separated by commas. Such a list is shorthand for a list in which the type and default are repeated for each identifier. That is:

```
f_1, ..., f_m: Type := default
```

is shorthand for:

```
f_1: Type := default; ...; f_m: Type := default
```

This shorthand is eliminated from the expanded definition of the type. The default values are included.

Examples of record types:

```
TYPE
  Time = RECORD
    seconds: INTEGER;
    milliseconds: [0..999]
  END;

  Alignment = {Left, Center, Right};

  TextWindowStyle = RECORD
```

```

align      := Alignment.Center;
font       := Font.Default;
foreground  := Color.Black;
background := Color.White;
margin, border := 2
END

```

### 2.2.5 Packed types

A declaration of a packed type has the form:

```
TYPE T = BITS n FOR Base
```

where *Base* is a type and *n* is an integer-valued constant expression. The values of type *T* are the same as the values of type *Base*, but variables of type *T* that occur in records, objects, or arrays will occupy exactly *n* bits and be packed adjacent to the preceding field or element. For example, a variable of type

```
ARRAY [0..255] OF BITS 1 FOR BOOLEAN
```

is an array of 256 booleans, each of which occupies one bit of storage.

The values allowed for *n* are implementation-dependent. An illegal value for *n* is a static error. The legality of a packed type can depend on its context; for example, an implementation could prohibit packed integers from spanning word boundaries.

### 2.2.6 Sets

A *set* is a collection of values taken from some ordinal type. A set type declaration has the form:

```
TYPE T = SET OF Base
```

where *Base* is an ordinal type. The values of *T* are all sets whose elements have type *Base*. For example, a variable whose type is `SET OF [0..1]` can assume the following values:

```
{ }      {0}      {1}      {0,1}
```

Implementations are expected to use the same representation for a `SET OF T` as for an `ARRAY T OF BITS 1 FOR BOOLEAN`. Hence, programmers should expect `SET OF [0..1023]` to be practical, but not `SET OF INTEGER`.

### 2.2.7 References

A *reference* value is either `NIL` or the address of a variable, called the referent.

A reference type is either *traced* or *untraced*. When all traced references to a piece of allocated storage are gone, the implementation reclaims the storage. Two reference types are of the same *reference class* if they are both traced or both untraced. A general type is traced if it is a traced reference type, a record type any of whose field types is traced, an array type whose element type is traced, or a packed type whose underlying unpacked type is traced.

A declaration for a traced reference type has the form:

```
TYPE T = REF Type
```

where Type is any type. The values of T are traced references to variables of type Type, which is called the *referent type* of T.

A declaration for an untraced reference type has the form:

```
TYPE T = UNTRACED REF Type
```

where Type is any untraced type. (This restriction is lifted in unsafe modules.) The values of T are the untraced references to variables of type Type.

In both the traced and untraced cases, the keyword REF can optionally be preceded by “BRANDED b” where b is a text constant called the *brand*. Brands distinguish types that would otherwise be the same; they have no other semantic effect. All brands in a program must be distinct. If BRANDED is present and b is absent, the implementation automatically supplies a unique value for b. Explicit brands are useful for persistent data storage.

The following reference types are predeclared:

REFANY	Contains all traced references
ADDRESS	Contains all untraced references
NIL	Contains only NIL

The TYPECASE statement can be used to test the referent type of a REFANY or object, but there is no such test for an ADDRESS.

Examples of reference types:

```
TYPE TextLine = REF ARRAY OF CHAR;

ControllerHandle = UNTRACED REF RECORD
  status: BITS 8 FOR [0..255];
  filler: BITS 12 FOR [0..0];
  pc: BITS 12 FOR [0..4095]
END;

T = BRANDED "ANSI-M3-040776" REF INTEGER;

Apple = BRANDED REF INTEGER;
Orange = BRANDED REF INTEGER;
```

## 2.2.8 Procedures

A *procedure* is either NIL or a triple consisting of:

- the *body*, which is a statement,
- the *signature*, which specifies the procedure’s formal arguments, result type, and raises set (the set of exceptions that the procedure can raise),
- the *environment*, which is the scope with respect to which variable names in the body will be interpreted.

A procedure that returns a result is called a *function procedure*; a procedure that does not return a result is called a *proper procedure*. A *top-level* procedure is a procedure declared in the outermost scope of a module. Any other procedure is a *local* procedure. A local procedure can be passed as a parameter but not assigned, since in a stack implementation a local procedure becomes invalid when the frame for the procedure containing it is popped.

A *procedure constant* is an identifier declared as a procedure. (As opposed to a procedure variable, which is a variable declared with a procedure type.)

A procedure type declaration has the form:

```
TYPE T = PROCEDURE sig
```

where *sig* is a signature specification, which has the form:

```
(formal_1; ...; formal_n): R RAISES S
```

where

- Each *formal\_i* is a formal parameter declaration, as described below.
- *R* is the result type, which can be any type but an open array type. The “: *R*” can be omitted, making the signature that of a proper procedure.
- *S* is the raises set, which is either an explicit set of exceptions with the syntax {*E\_1*, ..., *E\_n*}, or the symbol ANY representing the set of all exceptions. If “RAISES *S*” is omitted, “RAISES {}” is assumed.

A formal parameter declaration has the form

```
Mode Name: Type := Default
```

where

- *Mode* is a parameter mode, which can be VALUE, VAR, or READONLY. If *Mode* is omitted, it defaults to VALUE.
- *Name* is an identifier that names the parameter. The parameter names must be distinct.
- *Type* is the type of the parameter.
- *Default* is a constant expression, the default value for the parameter. If *Mode* is VAR, “:= *Default*” must be omitted, otherwise either “:= *Default*” or “: *Type*” can be omitted, but not both. If *Type* is omitted, it is taken to be the type of *Default*. If both are present, the value of *Default* must be a member of *Type*.

When a series of parameters share the same mode, type, and default, *Name* can be a list of identifiers separated by commas. Such a list is shorthand for a list in which the mode, type, and default are repeated for each identifier. That is:

```
Mode v_1, ..., v_n: Type := Default
```

is shorthand for:

```
Mode v_1: Type := Default; ...; Mode v_n: Type := Default
```

This shorthand is eliminated from the expanded definition of the type. The default values are included.

A procedure value *P* is a member of the type *T* if it is NIL or its signature is *covered* by the signature of *T*, where *signature\_1* covers *signature\_2* if:

- They have the same number of parameters, and corresponding parameters have the same type and mode.
- They have the same result type, or neither has a result type.

- The raises set of `signature_1` contains the raises set of `signature_2`.

The parameter names and defaults affect the type of a procedure, but not its value. For example, consider the declarations:

```
PROCEDURE P(txt: TEXT := "P") =
  BEGIN
    Wr.PutText(Stdio.stdout, txt)
  END P;

VAR q: PROCEDURE(txt: TEXT := "Q") := P;
```

Now `P = q` is TRUE, yet `P()` prints “P” and `q()` prints “Q”. The interpretation of defaulted parameters is determined by a procedure’s type, not its value; the assignment `q := P` changes `q`’s value, not its type.

Examples of procedure types:

```
TYPE
  Integrand = PROCEDURE (x: REAL): REAL;
  Integrator = PROCEDURE(f: Integrand; lo, hi: REAL): REAL;

  TokenIterator = PROCEDURE(VAR t: Token) RAISES {TokenError};

  RenderProc = PROCEDURE(
    scene: REFANY;
    READONLY t: Transform := Identity)
```

In a procedure type, `RAISES` binds to the closest preceding `PROCEDURE`. That is, the parentheses are required in:

```
TYPE T = PROCEDURE (): (PROCEDURE ()) RAISES {}
```

## 2.2.9 Objects

An *object* is either NIL or a reference to a data record paired with a method suite, which is a record of procedures that will accept the object as a first argument.

An object type determines the types of a prefix of the fields of the data record, as if “OBJECT” were “REF RECORD”. But in the case of an object type, the data record can contain additional fields introduced by subtypes of the object type. Similarly, the object type determines a prefix of the method suite, but the suite can contain additional methods introduced by subtypes.

If `o` is an object, then `o.f` designates the data field named `f` in `o`’s data record. If `m` is one of `o`’s methods, an invocation of the form `o.m(...)` denotes an execution of `o`’s `m` method. An object’s methods can be invoked, but not read or written.

If `T` is an object type and `m` is the name of one of `T`’s methods, then `T.m` denotes `T`’s `m` method. This notation makes it convenient for a subtype method to invoke the corresponding method of one of its supertypes.

A field or method in a subtype masks any field or method with the same name in the supertype. To access such a masked field, use `NARROW` to view the subtype variable as a member of the supertype, as illustrated below.

Object assignment is reference assignment. Objects cannot be dereferenced, since the static type of an object variable does not determine the type of its data record. To copy the data record of one object into another, the fields must be assigned individually.

There are two predeclared object types:

ROOT	The traced object type with no fields or methods
UNTRACED ROOT	The untraced object type with no fields or methods

The declaration of an object type has the form:

```

TYPE T = ST OBJECT
    Fields
METHODS
    Methods
OVERRIDES
    Overrides
END

```

where *ST* is an optional supertype, *Fields* is a list of field declarations, exactly as in a record type, *Methods* is a list of *method declarations* and *Overrides* is a list of *method overrides*. The fields of *T* consist of the fields of *ST* followed by the fields declared in *Fields*. The methods of *T* consist of the methods of *ST* modified by *Overrides* and followed by the methods declared in *Methods*. *T* has the same reference class as *ST*.

The names introduced in *Fields* and *Methods* must be distinct from one another and from the names overridden in *Overrides*. If *ST* is omitted, it defaults to *ROOT*. If *ST* is untraced, then the fields must not include traced types. (This restriction is lifted in unsafe modules.) If *ST* is declared as an opaque type, the declaration of *T* is legal only in scopes where *ST*'s concrete type is known to be an object type.

The keyword *OBJECT* can optionally be preceded by “*BRANDED*” or by “*BRANDED b*”, where *b* is a text constant. The meaning is the same as in non-object reference types.

A method declaration has the form:

```
m sig := proc
```

where *m* is an identifier, *sig* is a procedure signature, and *proc* is a top-level procedure constant. It specifies that *T*'s *m* method has signature *sig* and value *proc*. If “*:= proc*” is omitted, “*:= NIL*” is assumed. If *proc* is non-nil, its first parameter must have mode *VALUE* and type some supertype of *T*, and dropping its first parameter must result in a signature that is covered by *sig*.

A method override has the form:

```
m := proc
```

where *m* is the name of a method of the supertype *ST* and *proc* is a top-level procedure constant. It specifies that the *m* method for *T* is *proc*, rather than *ST.m*. If *proc* is non-nil, its first parameter must have mode *VALUE* and type some supertype of *T*, and dropping its first parameter must result in a signature that is covered by the signature of *ST*'s *m* method.

**Examples.** Consider the following declarations:

```

TYPE
  A = OBJECT a: INTEGER; METHODS p() END;
  AB = A OBJECT b: INTEGER END;

PROCEDURE Pa(self: A) = ... ;
PROCEDURE Pab(self: AB) = ... ;

```

The procedures *Pa* and *Pab* are candidate values for the *p* methods of objects of types *A* and *AB*. For example:

```
TYPE T1 = AB OBJECT OVERRIDES p := Pab END
```



declares a type with an AB data record and a p method that expects an AB. T1 is a valid subtype of AB. Similarly,

```
TYPE T2 = A OBJECT OVERRIDES p := Pa END
```

declares a type with an A data record and a method that expects an A. T2 is a valid subtype of A. A more interesting example is:

```
TYPE T3 = AB OBJECT OVERRIDES p := Pa END
```

which declares a type with an AB data record and a p method that expects an A. Since every AB is an A, the method is not too choosy for the objects in which it will be placed. T3 is a valid subtype of AB. In contrast,

```
TYPE T4 = A OBJECT OVERRIDES p := Pab END
```

attempts to declare a type with an A data record and a method that expects an AB; since not every A is an AB, the method is too choosy for the objects in which it would be placed. The declaration of T4 is a static error.

The following example illustrates the difference between declaring a new method and overriding an existing method. After the declarations

```
TYPE
  A = OBJECT METHODS m() := P END;
  B = A OBJECT OVERRIDES m := Q END;
  C = A OBJECT METHODS m() := Q END;

VAR
  a := NEW(A); b := NEW(B); c := NEW(C);
```

we have that

```
a.m()  activates  P(a)
b.m()  activates  Q(b)
c.m()  activates  Q(c)
```

So far there is no difference between overriding and extending. But c's method suite has two methods, while b's has only one, as can be revealed if b and c are viewed as members of type A:

```
NARROW(b, A).m() activates Q(b)
NARROW(c, A).m() activates P(c)
```

Here NARROW is used to view a variable of a subtype as a value of its supertype. It is more often used for the opposite purpose, when it requires a runtime check.

The last example uses object subtyping to define reusable queues. First the interface:

```
TYPE
  Queue = RECORD head, tail: QueueElem END;
  QueueElem = OBJECT link: QueueElem END;

PROCEDURE Insert (VAR q: Queue; x: QueueElem);
PROCEDURE Delete (VAR q: Queue): QueueElem;
PROCEDURE Clear (VAR q: Queue);
```

Then an example client:

```

TYPE
  IntQueueElem = QueueElem OBJECT val: INTEGER END;
VAR
  q: Queue;
  x: IntQueueElem;
  ...
  Clear(q);
  x := NEW(IntQueueElem, val := 6);
  Insert(q, x);
  ...
  x := Delete(q)

```

Passing  $x$  to `Insert` is safe, since every `IntQueueElem` is a `QueueElem`. Assigning the result of `Delete` to  $x$  cannot be guaranteed valid at compile-time, since other subtypes of `QueueElem` can be inserted into  $q$ , but the assignment will produce a checked runtime error if the source value is not a member of the target type. Thus `IntQueueElem` bears the same relation to `QueueElem` as `[0..9]` bears to `INTEGER`.

### 2.2.10 Subtyping rules

We write  $T <: U$  to indicate that  $T$  is a subtype of  $U$  and  $U$  is a supertype of  $T$ .

If  $T <: U$ , then every value of type  $T$  is also a value of type  $U$ . The converse does not hold: for example, a record or array type with packed fields contains the same values as the corresponding type with unpacked fields, but there is no subtype relation between them. This section presents the rules that define the subtyping relation.

For ordinal types  $T$  and  $U$ , we have  $T <: U$  if they have the same base type and every member of  $T$  is a member of  $U$ . That is, subtyping on ordinal types reflects the subset relation on the value sets.

For array types,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\text{ARRAY OF})^m \text{ ARRAY } J_1 \text{ OF } \dots \text{ ARRAY } J_n \text{ OF} \\
 & \quad \text{ARRAY } K_1 \text{ OF } \dots \text{ ARRAY } K_p \text{ OF } T \\
 <: & (\text{ARRAY OF})^m (\text{ARRAY OF})^n \\
 & \quad \text{ARRAY } I_1 \text{ OF } \dots \text{ ARRAY } I_p \text{ OF } T \\
 & \text{if } \text{NUMBER}(I_i) = \text{NUMBER}(K_i) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, p.
 \end{aligned}$$

That is, an array type  $A$  is a subtype of an array type  $B$  if they have the same ultimate element type, the same number of dimensions, and, for each dimension, either both are open (as in the first  $m$  dimensions above), or  $A$  is fixed and  $B$  is open (as in the next  $n$  dimensions above), or they are both fixed and have the same size (as in the last  $p$  dimensions above).

```

NULL <: REF T <: REFANY
NULL <: UNTRACED REF T <: ADDRESS

```

That is, `REFANY` and `ADDRESS` contain all traced and untraced references, respectively, and `NIL` is a member of every reference type. These rules also apply to branded types.

$$\text{NULL} <: \text{PROCEDURE}(A): R \text{ RAISES } S \text{ for any } A, R, \text{ and } S.$$

That is, `NIL` is a member of every procedure type.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{PROCEDURE}(A): Q \text{ RAISES } E <: \text{PROCEDURE}(B): R \text{ RAISES } F \\
 & \text{if signature } \text{"(B): R RAISES F"} \text{ covers signature } \text{"(A): Q RAISES E"}.
 \end{aligned}$$

That is, for procedure types,  $T <: U$  if they are the same except for parameter names, defaults, and raises sets, and the raises set for  $T$  is contained in the raises set for  $U$ .

```
ROOT <: REFANY
UNTRACED ROOT <: ADDRESS
NULL <: T OBJECT ... END <: T
```

That is, every object is a reference, NIL is a member of every object type, and every subtype is included in its supertype. The third rule also applies to branded types.

```
BITS n FOR T <: T and T <: BITS n FOR T
```

That is,  $\text{BITS } n \text{ FOR } T$  has the same values as  $T$ .

```
T <: T for all T
T <: U and U <: V implies T <: V for all T, U, V.
```

That is,  $<:$  is reflexive and transitive.

Note that  $T <: U$  and  $U <: T$  does not imply that  $T$  and  $U$  are the same, since the subtype relation is unaffected by parameter names, default values, and packing.

For example, consider:

```
TYPE
  T = [0..255];
  U = BITS 8 FOR [0..255];
  AT = ARRAY OF T;
  AU = ARRAY OF U;
```

The types  $T$  and  $U$  are subtypes of one another but are not the same. The types  $AT$  and  $AU$  are unrelated by the subtype relation.

### 2.2.11 Predeclared opaque types

The language predeclares the two types:

```
TEXT <: REFANY
MUTEX <: ROOT
```

which represent text strings and mutual exclusion semaphores, respectively. These are opaque types. Their properties are specified in the required interfaces `Text` and `Thread`.

## 2.3 Statements

*Look into any carpenter's tool-bag and see how many different hammers, chisels, planes and screw-drivers he keeps there—not for ostentation or luxury, but for different sorts of jobs. —Robert Graves and Alan Hodge*

Executing a statement produces a computation that can halt (normal outcome), raise an exception, cause a checked runtime error, or loop forever. If the outcome is an exception, it can optionally be paired with an argument.

We define the semantics of EXIT and RETURN with exceptions called the *exit-exception* and the *return-exception*. The *exit-exception* takes no argument; the *return-exception* takes an argument of arbitrary type. Programs cannot name these exceptions explicitly.

Implementations should speed up normal outcomes at the expense of exceptions (except for the *return-exception* and *exit-exception*). Expending a thousand instructions per exception raised to save one instruction per procedure call would be reasonable.

If an expression is evaluated as part of the execution of a statement, and the evaluation raises an exception, then the exception becomes the outcome of the statement.

The empty statement is a no-op. In this report, empty statements are written (*\*skip\**).

### 2.3.1 Assignment

To specify the typechecking of assignment statements we need to define “assignable”, which is a relation between types and types, between expressions and variables, and between expressions and types.

A type *T* is *assignable* to a type *U* if:

- $T <: U$ , or
- $U <: T$  and *T* is an array or a reference type other than ADDRESS (This restriction is lifted in unsafe modules.), or
- *T* and *U* are ordinal types with at least one member in common.

An expression *e* is *assignable* to a variable *v* if:

- the type of *e* is assignable to the type of *v*, and
- the value of *e* is a member of the type of *v*, is not a local procedure, and if it is an array, then it has the same shape as *v*.

The first point can be checked statically; the others generally require runtime checks. Since there is no way to determine statically whether the value of a procedure parameter is local or global, assigning a local procedure is a runtime rather than a static error.

An expression *e* is *assignable* to a type *T* if *e* is assignable to some variable of type *T*. (If *T* is not an open array type, this is the same as saying that *e* is assignable to any variable of type *T*.)

An assignment statement has the form:

$v := e$

where *v* is a writable designator and *e* is an expression assignable to the variable designated by *v*. The statement sets *v* to the value of *e*. The order of evaluation of *v* and *e* is undefined, but *e* will be evaluated before *v* is updated. In particular, if *v* and *e* are overlapping subarrays, the assignment is performed in such a way that no element is used as a target before it is used as a source.

Examples of assignments:

```
VAR
  x: REFANY;
  a: REF INTEGER;
  b: REF BOOLEAN;
```

```

a := b; (* static error *)
x := a; (* no possible error *)
a := x  (* possible checked runtime error *)

```

The same comments would apply if `x` had an ordinal type with non-overlapping subranges `a` and `b`, or if `x` had an object type and `a` and `b` had incompatible subtypes. The type `ADDRESS` is treated differently from other reference types, since a runtime check cannot be performed on the assignment of raw addresses. For example:

```

VAR
  x: ADDRESS;
  a: UNTRACED REF INTEGER;
  b: UNTRACED REF BOOLEAN;

a := b; (* static error *)
x := a; (* no possible error *)
a := x  (* static error in safe modules *)

```

### 2.3.2 Procedure call

A procedure call has the form:

`P(Bindings)`

where `P` is a procedure-valued expression and `Bindings` is a list of *keyword* or *positional* bindings. A keyword binding has the form `name := actual`, where `actual` is an expression and `name` is an identifier. A positional binding has the form `actual`, where `actual` is an expression. When keyword and positional bindings are mixed in a call, the positional bindings must precede the keyword bindings. If the list of bindings is empty, the parentheses are still required.

The list of bindings is rewritten to fit the signature of `P`'s type as follows: First, each positional binding `actual` is converted and added to the list of keyword bindings by supplying the name of the *i*'th formal parameter, where `actual` is the *i*'th binding in `Bindings`. Second, for each parameter that has a default and is not bound after the first step, the binding `name := default` is added to the list of bindings, where `name` is the name of the parameter and `default` is its default value. The rewritten list of bindings must bind only formal parameters and must bind each formal parameter exactly once. For example, suppose that the type of `P` is

```
PROCEDURE(ch: CHAR; n: INTEGER := 0)
```

Then the following calls are all equivalent:

```

P('a', 0)
P('a')
P(ch := 'a')
P(n := 0, ch := 'a')
P('a', n := 0)

```

The call `P()` is illegal, since it doesn't bind `ch`. The call `P(n := 0, 'a')` is illegal, since it has a keyword parameter before a positional parameter.

For a `READONLY` or `VALUE` parameter, the actual can be any expression assignable to the type of the formal (except that the prohibition against assigning local procedures is relaxed). For a `VAR` parameter, the actual must be a writable designator whose type is the same as that of the formal, or, in case of a `VAR` array parameter, assignable to that of the formal (see the section on designators).

A VAR formal is bound to the variable designated by the corresponding actual; that is, it is aliased. A VALUE formal is bound to a variable with an unused location and initialized to the value of the corresponding actual. A READONLY formal is treated as a VAR formal if the actual is a designator and the type of the actual is the same as the type of the formal (or an array type that is assignable to the type of the formal); otherwise it is treated as a VALUE formal.

Implementations are allowed to forbid VAR or READONLY parameters of packed types.

To execute the call, the procedure P and its arguments are evaluated, the formal parameters are bound, and the body of the procedure is executed. The order of evaluation of P and its actual arguments is undefined. It is a checked runtime error to call an undefined or NIL procedure.

It is a checked runtime error for a procedure to raise an exception not included in its raises set (If an implementation maps this runtime error into an exception, the exception is implicitly included in all RAISES clauses.) or for a function procedure to fail to return a result.

A procedure call is a statement only if the procedure is proper. To call a function procedure and discard its result, use EVAL.

A procedure call can also have the form:

```
o.m(Bindings)
```

where o is an object and m names one of o's methods. This is equivalent to:

```
(o's m method)(o, Bindings)
```

### 2.3.3 Eval

An EVAL statement has the form:

```
EVAL e
```

where e is an expression. The effect is to evaluate e and ignore the result. For example:

```
EVAL Thread.Fork(p)
```

### 2.3.4 Block statement

A block statement has the form:

```
DeclS BEGIN S END
```

where DeclS is a sequence of declarations and S is a statement. The block introduces the constants, types, variables, and procedures declared in DeclS and then executes S. The scope of the declared names is the block.

### 2.3.5 Sequential composition

A statement of the form:

```
S_1; S_2
```

executes S\_1, and then if the outcome is normal, executes S\_2. If the outcome of S\_1 is an exception, S\_2 is ignored.

Some programmers use the semicolon as a statement terminator, some as a statement separator. Similarly, some use the vertical bar in case statements as a case initiator, some as a separator. Modula-3 allows both styles. This report uses both operators as separators.

### 2.3.6 Raise

A RAISE statement without an argument has the form:

```
RAISE e
```

where *e* is an exception that takes no argument. The outcome of the statement is the exception *e*. A RAISE statement with an argument has the form:

```
RAISE e(x)
```

where *e* is an exception that takes an argument and *x* is an expression assignable to *e*'s argument type. The outcome is the exception *e* paired with the argument *x*.

### 2.3.7 Try Except

A TRY-EXCEPT statement has the form:

```
TRY
  Body
EXCEPT
  id_1 (v_1) => Handler_1
| ...
| id_n (v_n) => Handler_n
ELSE Handler_0
END
```

where *Body* and each *Handler* are statements, each *id* names an exception, and each *v<sub>i</sub>* is an identifier. The “ELSE *Handler<sub>0</sub>*” and each “(*v<sub>i</sub>*)” are optional. It is a static error for an exception to be named more than once in the list of *id*'s.

The statement executes *Body*. If the outcome is normal, the except clause is ignored. If *Body* raises any listed exception *id<sub>i</sub>*, then *Handler<sub>i</sub>* is executed. If *Body* raises any other exception and “ELSE *Handler<sub>0</sub>*” is present, then it is executed. In either case, the outcome of the TRY statement is the outcome of the selected handler. If *Body* raises an unlisted exception and “ELSE *Handler<sub>0</sub>*” is absent, then the outcome of the TRY statement is the exception raised by *Body*.

Each (*v<sub>i</sub>*) declares a variable whose type is the argument type of the exception *id<sub>i</sub>* and whose scope is *Handler<sub>i</sub>*. When an exception *id<sub>i</sub>* paired with an argument *x* is handled, *v<sub>i</sub>* is initialized to *x* before *Handler<sub>i</sub>* is executed. It is a static error to include (*v<sub>i</sub>*) if exception *id<sub>i</sub>* does not take an argument.

If (*v<sub>i</sub>*) is absent, then *id<sub>i</sub>* can be a list of exceptions separated by commas, as shorthand for a list in which the rest of the handler is repeated for each exception. That is:

```
id_1, ..., id_n => Handler
```

is shorthand for:

```
id_1 => Handler | ... | id_n => Handler
```

It is a checked runtime error to raise an exception outside the dynamic scope of a handler for that exception. A “TRY EXCEPT ELSE” counts as a handler for all exceptions.

### 2.3.8 Try Finally

A statement of the form:

```
TRY S_1 FINALLY S_2 END
```

executes statement S\_1 and then statement S\_2. If the outcome of S\_1 is normal, the TRY statement is equivalent to S\_1; S\_2. If the outcome of S\_1 is an exception and the outcome of S\_2 is normal, the exception from S\_1 is re-raised after S\_2 is executed. If both outcomes are exceptions, the outcome of the TRY is the exception from S\_2.

### 2.3.9 Loop

A statement of the form:

```
LOOP S END
```

repeatedly executes S until it raises the exit-exception. Informally it is like:

```
TRY S; S; S; ... EXCEPT exit-exception => (*skip*) END
```

### 2.3.10 Exit

The statement

```
EXIT
```

raises the exit-exception. An EXIT statement must be textually enclosed by a LOOP, WHILE, REPEAT, or FOR statement.

We define EXIT and RETURN in terms of exceptions in order to specify their interaction with the exception handling statements. As a pathological example, consider the following code, which is an elaborate infinite loop:

```
LOOP
  TRY
    TRY EXIT FINALLY RAISE E END
  EXCEPT
    E => (*skip*)
  END
END
```

### 2.3.11 Return

A RETURN statement for a proper procedure has the form:

```
RETURN
```

The statement raises the return-exception without an argument. It is allowed only in the body of a proper procedure.

A RETURN statement for a function procedure has the form:

```
RETURN Expr
```



where Expr is an expression assignable to the result type of the procedure. The statement raises the return-exception with the argument Expr. It is allowed only in the body of a function procedure.

Failure to return a value from a function procedure is a checked runtime error.

The effect of raising the return exception is to terminate the current procedure activation. To be precise, a call on a proper procedure with body B is equivalent (after binding the arguments) to:

```
TRY B EXCEPT return-exception => (*skip*) END
```

A call on a function procedure with body B is equivalent to:

```
TRY
  B; (error: no returned value)
EXCEPT
  return-exception (v) => (the result becomes v)
END
```

### 2.3.12 If

An IF statement has the form:

```
IF    B_1 THEN S_1
ELSIF B_2 THEN S_2
...
ELSIF B_n THEN S_n
ELSE S_0
END
```

where the B's are boolean expressions and the S's are statements. The "ELSE S\_0" and each "ELSIF B\_i THEN S\_i" are optional.

The statement evaluates the B's in order until some B\_i evaluates to TRUE, and then executes S\_i. If none of the expressions evaluates to TRUE and "ELSE S\_0" is present, S\_0 is executed. If none of the expressions evaluates to TRUE and "ELSE S\_0" is absent, the statement is a no-op (except for any side-effects of the B's).

### 2.3.13 While

If B is an expression of type BOOLEAN and S is a statement:

```
WHILE B DO S END
```

is shorthand for:

```
LOOP IF B THEN S ELSE EXIT END END
```

### 2.3.14 Repeat

If B is an expression of type BOOLEAN and S is a statement:

```
REPEAT S UNTIL B
```

is shorthand for:

```
LOOP S; IF B THEN EXIT END END
```

### 2.3.15 With

A WITH statement has the form:

```
WITH id = e DO S END
```

where *id* is an identifier, *e* an expression, and *S* a statement. The statement declares *id* with scope *S* as an alias for the variable *e* or as a readonly name for the value *e*. The expression *e* is evaluated once, at entry to the WITH statement.

The statement is like the procedure call *P*(*e*), where *P* is declared as:

```
PROCEDURE P(mode id: type of e) = BEGIN S END P;
```

If *e* is a writable designator, mode is VAR; otherwise, mode is READONLY. The only difference between the WITH statement and the call *P*(*e*) is that free variables, RETURNS, and EXITS that occur in the WITH statement are interpreted in the context of the WITH statement, not in the context of *P* (see the section on designators).

A single WITH can contain multiple bindings, which are evaluated sequentially. That is:

```
WITH id_1 = e_1, id_2 = e_2, ...
```

is equivalent to:

```
WITH id_1 = e_1 DO
  WITH id_2 = e_2 DO ...
```

### 2.3.16 For

A FOR statement has the form:

```
FOR id := first TO last BY step DO S END
```

where *id* is an identifier, *first* and *last* are ordinal expressions with the same base type, *step* is an integer-valued expression, and *S* is a statement. “BY *step*” is optional; if omitted, *step* defaults to 1.

The identifier *id* denotes a readonly variable whose scope is *S* and whose type is the common base type of *first* and *last*.

If *id* is an integer, the statement steps *id* through the values *first*, *first*+*step*, *first*+2\**step*, ..., stopping when the value of *id* passes *last*. *S* executes once for each value; if the sequence of values is empty, *S* never executes. The expressions *first*, *last*, and *step* are evaluated once, before the loop is entered. If *step* is negative, the loop iterates downward.

The case in which *id* is an element of an enumeration is similar. In either case, the semantics are defined precisely by the following rewriting, in which *T* is the type of *id* and in which *i*, *done*, and *delta* stand for variables that do not occur in the FOR statement:

```
VAR
  i := ORD(first); done := ORD(last); delta := step;
BEGIN
  IF delta >= 0 THEN
    WHILE i <= done DO
      WITH id = VAL(i, T) DO S END; INC(i, delta)
    END
  ELSE
```

```

        WHILE i >= done DO
            WITH id = VAL(i, T) DO S END; INC(i, delta)
        END
    END
END

```

If the upper bound of the loop is `LAST(INTEGER)` or `LAST(LONGINT)`, it should be rewritten as a `WHILE` loop to avoid overflow.

### 2.3.17 Case

A `CASE` statement has the form:

```

CASE Expr OF
    L_1 => S_1
| ...
| L_n => S_n
ELSE S_0
END

```

where `Expr` is an expression whose type is an ordinal type and each `L` is a list of constant expressions or ranges of constant expressions denoted by “`e_1 . . e_2`”, which represent the values from `e_1` to `e_2` inclusive. If `e_1` exceeds `e_2`, the range is empty. It is a static error if the sets represented by any two `L`’s overlap or if the value of any of the constant expressions is not a member of the type of `Expr`. The “`ELSE S_0`” is optional.

The statement evaluates `Expr`. If the resulting value is in any `L_i`, then `S_i` is executed. If the value is in no `L_i` and “`ELSE S_0`” is present, then it is executed. If the value is in no `L_i` and “`ELSE S_0`” is absent, a checked runtime error occurs.

### 2.3.18 Typecase

A `TYPECASE` statement has the form:

```

TYPECASE Expr OF
    T_1 (v_1) => S_1
| ...
| T_n (v_n) => S_n
ELSE S_0
END

```

where `Expr` is an expression whose type is a reference type, the `S`’s are statements, the `T`’s are reference types, and the `v`’s are identifiers. It is a static error if `Expr` has type `ADDRESS` or if any `T` is not a subtype of the type of `Expr`. The “`ELSE S_0`” and each “`(v)`” are optional.

The statement evaluates `Expr`. If the resulting reference value is a member of any listed type `T_i`, then `S_i` is executed, for the minimum such `i`. (Thus a `NULL` case is useful only if it comes first.) If the value is a member of no listed type and “`ELSE S_0`” is present, then it is executed. If the value is a member of no listed type and “`ELSE S_0`” is absent, a checked runtime error occurs.

Each `(v_i)` declares a variable whose type is `T_i` and whose scope is `S_i`. If `v_i` is present, it is initialized to the value of `Expr` before `S_i` is executed.

If `(v_i)` is absent, then `T_i` can be a list of type expressions separated by commas, as shorthand for a list in which the rest of the branch is repeated for each type expression. That is:

$T_1, \dots, T_n \Rightarrow S$

is shorthand for:

$T_1 \Rightarrow S \mid \dots \mid T_n \Rightarrow S$

For example:

```
PROCEDURE ToText(r: REFANY): TEXT =
  (* Assume r = NIL or r^ is a BOOLEAN or INTEGER. *)
  BEGIN
    TYPECASE r OF
      NULL => RETURN "NIL"
    | REF BOOLEAN (rb) => RETURN Fmt.Bool(rb^)
    | REF INTEGER (ri) => RETURN Fmt.Int(ri^)
    END
  END ToText;
```

### 2.3.19 Lock

A LOCK statement has the form:

LOCK mu DO S END

where S is a statement and mu is an expression. It is equivalent to:

```
VAR m := mu; BEGIN
  Thread.Acquire(m);
  TRY S FINALLY Thread.Release(m) END
END
```

where m stands for a variable that does not occur in S.

### 2.3.20 Inc and Dec

INC and DEC statements have the form:

INC(v, n)  
DEC(v, n)

where v designates a variable of an ordinal type and n is an optional integer-valued argument. If omitted, n defaults to 1. The statements increment and decrement v by n, respectively. The statements are equivalent to:

```
WITH x = v DO x := VAL(ORD(x) + n, T) END
WITH x = v DO x := VAL(ORD(x) - n, T) END
```

where T is the type of v and x stands for a variable that does not appear in n. As a consequence, the statements check for range errors.

In unsafe modules, INC and DEC are extended to ADDRESS.

## 2.4 Declarations

*There are two basic methods of declaring high or low before the showdown in all High-Low Poker games. They are (1) simultaneous declarations, and (2) consecutive declarations... It is a sad but true fact that the consecutive method spoils the game. —John Scarne's Guide to Modern Poker*

A declaration introduces a name for a constant, type, variable, exception, or procedure. The scope of the name is the block containing the declaration. A block has the form:

```
Decls BEGIN S END
```

where Decl<sub>s</sub> is a sequence of declarations and S is a statement, the executable part of the block. A block can appear as a statement or as the body of a module or procedure. The declarations of a block can introduce a name at most once, though a name can be redeclared in nested blocks, and a procedure declared in an interface can be redeclared in a module exporting the interface. The order of declarations in a block does not matter, except to determine the order of initialization of variables.

### 2.4.1 Types

If T is an identifier and U a type (or type expression, since a type expression is allowed wherever a type is required), then:

```
TYPE T = U
```

declares T to be the type U.

### 2.4.2 Constants

If id is an identifier, T a type, and C a constant expression, then:

```
CONST id: T = C
```

declares id as a constant with the type T and the value of C. The “: T” can be omitted, in which case the type of id is the type of C. If T is present it must contain C.

### 2.4.3 Variables

If id is an identifier, T a non-empty type other than an open array type, and E an expression, then:

```
VAR id: T := E
```

declares id as a variable of type T whose initial value is the value of E. Either “:= E” or “: T” can be omitted, but not both. If T is omitted, it is taken to be the type of E. If E is omitted, the initial value is an arbitrary value of type T. If both are present, E must be assignable to T.

The initial value is a shorthand that is equivalent to inserting the assignment id := E at the beginning of the executable part of the block. If several variables have initial values, their assignments are inserted in the order they are declared. For example:

```
VAR i: [0..5] := j; j: [0..5] := i; BEGIN S END
```

initializes *i* and *j* to the same arbitrary value in  $[0..5]$ ; it is equivalent to:

```
VAR i: [0..5]; j: [0..5]; BEGIN i := j; j := i; S END
```

If a sequence of identifiers share the same type and initial value, *id* can be a list of identifiers separated by commas. Such a list is shorthand for a list in which the type and initial value are repeated for each identifier. That is:

```
VAR v_1, ..., v_n: T := E
```

is shorthand for:

```
VAR v_1: T := E; ...; VAR v_n: T := E
```

This means that *E* is evaluated *n* times.

#### 2.4.4 Procedures

There are two forms of procedure declaration:

```
PROCEDURE id sig = B id
```

```
PROCEDURE id sig
```

where *id* is an identifier, *sig* is a procedure signature, and *B* is a block. In both cases, the type of *id* is the procedure type determined by *sig*. The first form is allowed only in modules; the second form is allowed only in interfaces.

The first form declares *id* as a procedure constant whose signature is *sig*, whose body is *B*, and whose environment is the scope containing the declaration. The parameter names are treated as if they were declared at the outer level of *B*; the parameter types and default values are evaluated in the scope containing the procedure declaration. The procedure name *id* must be repeated after the *END* that terminates the body.

The second form declares *id* to be a procedure constant whose signature is *sig*. The procedure body is specified in a module exporting the interface, by a declaration of the first form.

#### 2.4.5 Exceptions

If *id* is an identifier and *T* a type other than an open array type, then:

```
EXCEPTION id(T)
```

declares *id* as an exception with argument type *T*. If “(*T*)” is omitted, the exception takes no argument. An exception declaration is allowed only in an interface or in the outermost scope of a module. All declared exceptions are distinct.

#### 2.4.6 Opaque types

An *opaque type* is a name that denotes an unknown subtype of some given reference type. For example, an opaque subtype of *REFANY* is an unknown traced reference type; an opaque subtype of *UNTRACED ROOT* is an unknown untraced object type. The actual type denoted by an opaque type name is called its *concrete type*.

Different scopes can reveal different information about an opaque type. For example, what is known in one scope only to be a subtype of *REFANY* could be known in another scope to be a subtype of *ROOT*.

An opaque type declaration has the form:

TYPE T <: U

where T is an identifier and U an expression denoting a reference type. It introduces the name T as an opaque type and reveals that U is a supertype of T. The concrete type of T must be revealed elsewhere in the program.

### 2.4.7 Revelations

A *revelation* introduces information about an opaque type into a scope. Unlike other declarations, revelations introduce no new names.

There are two kinds of revelations, *partial* and *complete*. A program can contain any number of partial revelations for an opaque type; it must contain exactly one complete revelation.

A partial revelation has the form:

REVEAL T <: V

where V is a type expression (possibly just a name) and T is an identifier (possibly qualified) declared as an opaque type. It reveals that V is a supertype of T.

In any scope, the revealed supertypes of an opaque type must be linearly ordered by the subtype relation. That is, if it is revealed that T <: U1 and T <: U2, it must also be revealed either that U1 <: U2 or that U2 <: U1.

A complete revelation has the form:

REVEAL T = V

where V is a type expression (not just a name) whose outermost type constructor is a branded reference or object type and T is an identifier (possibly qualified) that has been declared as an opaque type. The revelation specifies that V is the concrete type for T. It is a static error if any type revealed in any scope as a supertype of T is not a supertype of V. Generally this error is detected at link time.

Distinct opaque types have distinct concrete types, since V includes a brand and all brands in a program are distinct.

A revelation is allowed only in an interface or in the outermost scope of a module. A revelation in an interface can be imported into any scope where it is required, as illustrated by the stack example.

For example, consider:

```
INTERFACE I; TYPE T <: ROOT; PROCEDURE P(x:T): T; END I.

INTERFACE IClass; IMPORT I; REVEAL I.T <: MUTEX; END IClass.

INTERFACE IRep; IMPORT I;
  REVEAL I.T = MUTEX BRANDED OBJECT count: INTEGER END;
END IRep.
```

An importer of I sees I.T as an opaque subtype of ROOT, and is limited to allocating objects of type I.T, passing them to I.P, or declaring subtypes of I.T. An importer of IClass sees that every I.T is a MUTEX, and can therefore lock objects of type I.T. Finally, an importer of IRep sees the concrete type, and can access the count field.

### 2.4.8 Recursive declarations

A constant, type, or procedure declaration N = E, a variable declaration N: E, an exception declaration N(E), or a revelation N = E is *recursive* if N occurs in any partial expansion of E. A variable declaration N := I where the type

is omitted is recursive if N occurs in any partial expansion of the type E of I. Such declarations are allowed if every occurrence of N in any partial expansion of E is (1) within some occurrence of the type constructor REF or PROCEDURE, (2) within a field or method type of the type constructor OBJECT, or (3) within a procedure body.

Examples of legal recursive declarations:

```
TYPE
  List = REF RECORD x: REAL; link: List END;
  T = PROCEDURE(n: INTEGER; p: T);
  XList = X OBJECT link: XList END;
CONST N = BYTESIZE(REF ARRAY [0..N] OF REAL);
PROCEDURE P(b: BOOLEAN) = BEGIN IF b THEN P(NOT b) END END P;
EXCEPTION E(PROCEDURE () RAISES {E});
VAR v: REF ARRAY [0..BYTESIZE(v)] OF INTEGER;
```

Examples of illegal recursive declarations:

```
TYPE
  T = RECORD x: T END;
  U = OBJECT METHODS m() := U.m END;
CONST N = N+1;
REVEAL I.T = I.T BRANDED OBJECT END;
VAR v := P(); PROCEDURE P(): ARRAY [0..LAST(v)] OF T;
```

Examples of legal non-recursive declarations:

```
VAR n := BITSIZE(n);
REVEAL T <: T;
```

## 2.5 Modules and interfaces

*Art, it seems to me, should simplify. That, indeed, is very nearly the whole of the higher artistic process; finding what conventions of form and what detail one can do without and yet preserve the spirit of the whole. —Willa Cather*

A *module* is like a block, except for the visibility of names. An entity is visible in a block if it is declared in the block or in some enclosing block; an entity is visible in a module if it is declared in the module or in an interface that is imported or exported by the module.

An *interface* is a group of declarations. Declarations in interfaces are the same as in blocks, except that any variable initializations must be constant, and procedure declarations must specify only the signature, not the body.

A module X *exports* an interface I to supply bodies for one or more of the procedures declared in the interface. A module or interface X *imports* an interface I to make the entities declared in I visible in X.

A *program* is a collection of modules and interfaces that contains every interface imported or exported by any of its modules or interfaces, and in which no procedure, module, or interface is multiply defined. The effect of executing a program is to execute the bodies of each of its modules. The order of execution of the modules is constrained by the initialization rule.

The module whose body is executed last is called the *main module*. Implementations are expected to provide a way to specify the main module, in case the initialization rule does not determine it uniquely. The recommended rule is that the main module be the one that exports the interface Main, whose contents are implementation-dependent.

Program execution terminates when the body of the main module terminates, even if concurrent threads of control are still executing.



The names of the modules and interfaces of a program are called *global* names. The method for looking up global names—for example, by file system search paths—is implementation-dependent.

### 2.5.1 Import statements

There are two forms of import statements. All imports of both forms are interpreted simultaneously: their order doesn't matter.

The first form is

```
IMPORT I AS J
```

which imports the interface whose global name is *I* and gives it the local name *J*. The entities and revelations declared in *I* become accessible in the importing module or interface, but the entities and revelations imported into *I* do not. To refer to the entity declared with name *N* in the interface *I*, the importer must use the *qualified identifier* *J.N*.

The statement `IMPORT I` is short for `IMPORT I AS I`.

The second form is

```
FROM I IMPORT N
```

which introduces *N* as the local name for the entity declared as *N* in the interface *I*. A local binding for *I* takes precedence over a global binding. For example,

```
IMPORT I AS J, J AS I; FROM I IMPORT N
```

simultaneously introduces local names *J*, *I*, and *N* for the entities whose global names are *I*, *J*, and *J.N*, respectively. It is illegal to use the same local name twice:

```
IMPORT J AS I, K AS I;
```

is a static error, even if *J* and *K* are the same.

### 2.5.2 Interfaces

An interface has the form:

```
INTERFACE id;  
  Imports;  
  Decls  
END id.
```

where *id* is an identifier that names the interface, *Imports* is a sequence of import statements, and *Decls* is a sequence of declarations that contains no procedure bodies or non-constant variable initializations. The names declared in *Decls* and the visible imported names must be distinct. It is a static error for two or more interfaces to form an import cycle.

### 2.5.3 Modules

A module has the form:

```

MODULE id EXPORTS Interfaces;
  Imports;
Block id.

```

where *id* is an identifier that names the module, *Interfaces* is a list of distinct names of interfaces exported by the module, *Imports* is a list of import statements, and *Block* is a block, the *body* of the module. The name *id* must be repeated after the *END* that terminates the body. “*EXPORTS Interfaces*” can be omitted, in which case *Interfaces* defaults to *id*.

If module *M* exports interface *I*, then all declared names in *I* are visible without qualification in *M*. Any procedure declared in *I* can be redeclared in *M*, with a body. The signature in *M* must be covered by the signature in *I*. To determine the interpretation of keyword bindings and parameter defaults in calls to the procedure, the signature in *M* is used within *M*; the signature in *I* is used everywhere else.

Except for the redeclaration of exported procedures, the names declared at the top level of *Block*, the visible imported names, and the names declared in the exported interfaces must be distinct.

For example, the following is illegal, since two names in exported interfaces coincide:

```

INTERFACE I;
  PROCEDURE X(); ...

INTERFACE J;
  PROCEDURE X(); ...

MODULE M EXPORTS I, J;
  PROCEDURE X() = ...;

```

The following is also illegal, since the visible imported name *X* coincides with the top-level name *X*:

```

INTERFACE I;
  PROCEDURE X(); ...

MODULE M EXPORTS I;
  FROM I IMPORT X;
  PROCEDURE X() = ...;

```

But the following is legal, although peculiar:

```

INTERFACE I;
  PROCEDURE X(...); ...

MODULE M EXPORTS I;
  IMPORT I;
  PROCEDURE X(...) = ...;

```

since the only visible imported name is *I*, and the coincidence between *X* as a top-level name and *X* as a name in an exported interface is allowed, assuming the interface signature covers the module signature. Within *M*, the interface declaration determines the signature of *I.X* and the module declaration determines the signature of *X*.

## 2.5.4 Example module and interface

Here is the canonical example of a public stack with hidden representation:

```

INTERFACE Stack;
  TYPE T <: REFANY;
  PROCEDURE Create(): T;
  PROCEDURE Push(VAR s: T; x: REAL);
  PROCEDURE Pop(VAR s: T): REAL;
END Stack.

MODULE Stack;
  REVEAL T = BRANDED OBJECT item: REAL; link: T END;
  PROCEDURE Create(): T = BEGIN RETURN NIL END Create;

  PROCEDURE Push(VAR s: T; x: REAL) =
    BEGIN
      s := NEW(T, item := x, link := s)
    END Push;

  PROCEDURE Pop(VAR s: T): REAL =
    VAR res: REAL;
    BEGIN
      res := s.item; s := s.link; RETURN res
    END Pop;

BEGIN
END Stack.

```

If the representation of stacks is required in more than one module, it should be moved to a private interface, so that it can be imported wherever it is required:

```

INTERFACE Stack (* ... as before ... *) END Stack.

INTERFACE StackRep; IMPORT Stack;
  REVEAL Stack.T = BRANDED OBJECT item: REAL; link: Stack.T END
END StackRep.

MODULE Stack; IMPORT StackRep;
  (* Push, Pop, and Create as before *)
BEGIN
END Stack.

```

### 2.5.5 Generics

In a generic interface or module, some of the imported interface names are treated as formal parameters, to be bound to actual interfaces when the generic is instantiated.

A generic interface has the form

```

GENERIC INTERFACE G(F_1, ..., F_n);
  Body
END G.

```

where *G* is an identifier that names the generic interface, *F*<sub>1</sub>, ..., *F*<sub>*n*</sub> is a list of identifiers, called the formal imports of *G*, and *Body* is a sequence of imports followed by a sequence of declarations, exactly as in a non-generic interface.

An instance of G has the form

```
INTERFACE I = G(A_1, ..., A_n) END I.
```

where I is the name of the instance and A\_1, ..., A\_n is a list of actual interfaces to which the formal imports of G are bound. The instance I is equivalent to an ordinary interface defined as follows:

```
INTERFACE I;  
  IMPORT A_1 AS F_1, ..., A_n AS F_n;  
  Body  
END I.
```

A generic module has the form

```
GENERIC MODULE G(F_1, ..., F_n);  
  Body  
END G.
```

where G is an identifier that names the generic module, F\_1, ..., F\_n is a list of identifiers, called the formal imports of G, and Body is a sequence of imports followed by a block, exactly as in a non-generic module.

An instance of G has the form

```
MODULE I EXPORTS E = G(A_1, ..., A_n) END I.
```

where I is the name of the instance, E is a list of interfaces exported by I, and A\_1, ..., A\_n is a list of actual interfaces to which the formal imports of G are bound. “EXPORTS E” can be omitted, in which case it defaults to “EXPORTS I”. The instance I is equivalent to an ordinary module defined as follows:

```
MODULE I EXPORTS E;  
  IMPORT A_1 AS F_1, ..., A_n AS F_n;  
  Body  
END I.
```

Notice that the generic module itself has no exports; they are supplied only when it is instantiated.

For example, here is a generic stack package:

```
GENERIC INTERFACE Stack(Elm);  
  (* where Elm.T is not an open array type. *)  
  TYPE T <: REFANY;  
  PROCEDURE Create(): T;  
  PROCEDURE Push(VAR s: T; x: Elm.T);  
  PROCEDURE Pop(VAR s: T): Elm.T;  
END Stack.  
  
GENERIC MODULE Stack(Elm);  
  
  REVEAL  
    T = BRANDED OBJECT  n: INTEGER;  a: REF ARRAY OF Elm.T  END;  
  
  PROCEDURE Create(): T =  
    BEGIN RETURN NEW(T, n := 0, a := NIL) END Create;
```

```

PROCEDURE Push(VAR s: T; x: Elem.T) =
  BEGIN
    IF s.a = NIL THEN
      s.a := NEW(REF ARRAY OF Elem.T, 5)
    ELSIF s.n > LAST(s.a^) THEN
      WITH temp = NEW(REF ARRAY OF Elem.T, 2 * NUMBER(s.a^)) DO
        FOR i := 0 TO LAST(s.a^) DO temp[i] := s.a[i] END;
      s.a := temp
    END
  END;
  s.a[s.n] := x;
  INC(s.n)
END Push;

PROCEDURE Pop(VAR s: T): Elem.T =
  BEGIN DEC(s.n); RETURN s.a[s.n] END Pop;

BEGIN
  END Stack.

```

To instantiate these generics to produce stacks of integers:

```

INTERFACE Integer; TYPE T = INTEGER; END Integer.
INTERFACE IntStack = Stack(Integer) END IntStack.
MODULE IntStack = Stack(Integer) END IntStack.

```

Implementations are not expected to share code between different instances of a generic module, since this will not be possible in general.

Implementations are not required to typecheck uninstantiated generics, but they must typecheck their instances. For example, if one made the following mistake:

```

INTERFACE String; TYPE T = ARRAY OF CHAR; END String.
INTERFACE StringStack = Stack(String) END StringStack.
MODULE StringStack = Stack(String) END StringStack.

```

everything would go well until the last line, when the compiler would attempt to compile a version of `Stack` in which the element type was an open array. It would then complain that the `NEW` call in `Push` does not have enough parameters.

## 2.5.6 Initialization

The order of execution of the modules in a program is constrained by the following rule:

If module *M* depends on module *N* and *N* does not depend on *M*, then *N*'s body will be executed before *M*'s body, where:

- A module *M* *depends on* a module *N* if *M* uses an interface that *N* exports or if *M* depends on a module that depends on *N*.
- A module *M* *uses* an interface *I* if *M* imports or exports *I* or if *M* uses an interface that (directly or indirectly) imports *I*.

Except for this constraint, the order of execution is implementation-dependent.

### 2.5.7 Safety

The keyword `UNSAFE` can precede the declaration of any interface or module to indicate that it is *unsafe*; that is, uses the unsafe features of the language. An interface or module not explicitly labeled `UNSAFE` is called *safe*.

An interface is *intrinsically safe* if there is no way to produce an unchecked runtime error by using the interface in a safe module. If all modules that export a safe interface are safe, the compiler guarantees the intrinsic safety of the interface. If any of the modules that export a safe interface are unsafe, it is the programmer, rather than the compiler, who makes the guarantee.

It is a static error for a safe interface to import an unsafe one or for a safe module to import or export an unsafe interface.

## 2.6 Expressions

*The rules of logical syntax must follow of themselves, if we only know how every single sign signifies.*  
—Ludwig Wittgenstein

An expression prescribes a computation that produces a value or variable. Syntactically, an expression is either an operand, or an operation applied to arguments, which are themselves expressions. Operands are identifiers, literals, or types. An expression is evaluated by recursively evaluating its arguments and performing the operation. The order of argument evaluation is undefined for all operations except `AND` and `OR`.

### 2.6.1 Conventions for describing operations

To describe the argument and result types of operations, we use a notation like procedure signatures. But since most operations are too general to be described by a Modula-3 procedure signature, we extend the notation in several ways.

The argument to an operation can be required to have a type in a particular class, such as an ordinal type, set type, etc. In this case the formal specifies a type class instead of a type. For example:

```
ORD (x: Ordinal): Integer
```

The formal type `Any` specifies an argument of any type.

A single operation name can be overloaded, which means that it denotes more than one operation. In this case, we write a separate signature for each of the operations. For example:

```
ABS (x: Integer) : Integer
    (x: Float)   : Float
```

The particular operation will be selected so that each actual argument type is a subtype of the corresponding formal type or a member of the corresponding formal type class. This selection is always unambiguous.

The argument to an operation can be an expression denoting a type. In this case, we write `Type` as the argument type. For example:

```
BYTESIZE (T: Type): CARDINAL
```

The result type of an operation can depend on its argument values (although the result type can always be determined statically). In this case, the expression for the result type contains the appropriate arguments. For example:

```
FIRST (T: FixedArrayType): IndexType(T)
```

`IndexType(T)` denotes the index type of the array type `T` and `IndexType(a)` denotes the index type of the array `a`. The definitions of `ElemType(T)` and `ElemType(a)` are similar.

### 2.6.2 Operation syntax

The operators that have special syntax are classified and listed in order of decreasing binding power in the following table:

$x.a$	infix dot
$f(x) \ a[i] \ T\{x\}$	applicative $(, [, \{$
$p^\wedge$	postfix $^\wedge$
$+ \ -$	prefix arithmetics
$* \ / \ \text{DIV} \ \text{MOD}$	infix arithmetics
$+ \ - \ \&$	infix arithmetics
$= \ # \ < \ <= \ >= \ > \ \text{IN}$	infix relations
NOT	prefix NOT
AND	infix AND
OR	infix OR

All infix operators are left associative. Parentheses can be used to override the precedence rules. Here are some examples of expressions together with their fully parenthesized forms:

$M.F(x)$	$(M.F)(x)$	dot before application
$Q(x)^\wedge$	$(Q(x))^\wedge$	application before $^\wedge$
$- \ p^\wedge$	$-(p^\wedge)$	$^\wedge$ before prefix $-$
$- \ a * b$	$(- \ a) * b$	prefix $-$ before $*$
$a * b - c$	$(a * b) - c$	$*$ before infix $-$
$x \ \text{IN} \ s - t$	$x \ \text{IN} \ (s - t)$	infix $-$ before IN
NOT $x \ \text{IN} \ s$	NOT $(x \ \text{IN} \ s)$	IN before NOT
NOT $p \ \text{AND} \ q$	(NOT $p$ ) AND $q$	NOT before AND
$A \ \text{OR} \ B \ \text{AND} \ C$	$A \ \text{OR} \ (B \ \text{AND} \ C)$	AND before OR

Operators without special syntax are *procedural*. An application of a procedural operator has the form  $\text{op}(\text{args})$ , where  $\text{op}$  is the operation and  $\text{args}$  is the list of argument expressions. For example, MAX and MIN are procedural operators.

### 2.6.3 Designators

An identifier is a *writable designator* if it is declared as a variable, is a VAR or VALUE parameter, is a local of a TYPECASE or TRY-EXCEPT statement, or is a WITH local that is bound to a writable designator. An identifier is a *readonly designator* if it is a READONLY parameter, a local of a FOR statement, or a WITH local bound to a non-designator or readonly designator.

The only operations that produce designators are dereferencing, subscripting, selection, and SUBARRAY. This section defines these operations and specifies the conditions under which they produce designators. In unsafe modules, LOOPHOLE can also produce a designator.

$r^\wedge$

denotes the the referent of  $r$ ; this operation is called *dereferencing*. The expression  $r^\wedge$  is always a writable designator. It is a static error if the type of  $r$  is REFANY, ADDRESS, NULL, an object type, or an opaque type, and a checked runtime error if  $r$  is NIL. The type of  $r^\wedge$  is the referent type of  $r$ .

$a[i]$

denotes the  $(i + 1 - \text{FIRST}(a))$ -th element of the array  $a$ . The expression  $a[i]$  is a designator if  $a$  is, and is writable if  $a$  is. The expression  $i$  must be assignable to the index type of  $a$ . The type of  $a[i]$  is the element type of  $a$ .

An expression of the form `a[i_1, ..., i_n]` is shorthand for `a[i_1]...[i_n]`. If `a` is a reference to an array, then `a[i]` is shorthand for `a^[i]`.

`r.f`, `o.f`, `I.x`, `T.m`, `E.id`

If `r` denotes a record, `r.f` denotes its `f` field. In this case `r.f` is a designator if `r` is, and is writable if `r` is. The type of `r.f` is the declared type of the field.

If `r` is a reference to a record, then `r.f` is shorthand for `r^.f`.

If `o` denotes an object and `f` names a data field specified in the type of `o`, then `o.f` denotes that data field of `o`. In this case `o.f` is a writable designator whose type is the declared type of the field.

If `I` denotes an imported interface, then `I.x` denotes the entity named `x` in the interface `I`. In this case `I.x` is a designator if `x` is declared as a variable; such a designator is always writable.

If `T` is an object type and `m` is the name of one of `T`'s methods, then `T.m` denotes the `m` method of type `T`. In this case `T.m` is not a designator. Its type is the procedure type whose first argument has mode `VALUE` and type `T`, and whose remaining arguments are determined by the method declaration for `m` in `T`. The name of the first argument is unspecified; thus in calls to `T.m`, this argument must be given positionally, not by keyword. `T.m` is a procedure constant.

If `E` is an enumerated type, then `E.id` denotes its value named `id`. In this case `E.id` is not a designator. The type of `E.id` is `E`.

`SUBARRAY(a: Array; from, for: CARDINAL): ARRAY OF ElemType(a)`

`SUBARRAY` produces a subarray of `a`. It does not copy the array; it is a designator if `a` is, and is writable if `a` is. If `a` is a multi-dimensional array, `SUBARRAY` applies only to the top-level array.

The operation returns the subarray that skips the first `from` elements of `a` and contains the next `for` elements. Note that if `from` is zero, the subarray is a prefix of `a`, whether the type of `a` is zero-based or not. It is a checked runtime error if `from+for` exceeds `NUMBER(a)`.

Implementations may restrict or prohibit the `SUBARRAY` operation for arrays with packed element types.

## 2.6.4 Numeric literals

Numeric literals denote constant non-negative integers or reals. The types of these literals are `INTEGER`, `LONGINT`, `REAL`, `LONGREAL`, and `EXTENDED`.

A literal `INTEGER` has the form `base_digits`, where `base` is one of “2”, “3”, ..., “16”, and `digits` is a non-empty sequence of the decimal digits 0 through 9 plus the hexadecimal digits A through F. The “`base_`” can be omitted, in which case `base` defaults to 10. The digits are interpreted in the given base. Each digit must be less than `base`. For example, `16_FF` and 255 are equivalent integer literals.

If no explicit base is present, the value of the literal must be at most `LAST(INTEGER)`. If an explicit base is present, the value of the literal must be less than `2^Word.Size`, and its interpretation uses the convention of the `Word` interface. For example, on a 32-bit two's complement machine, `16_FFFFFFFF` and `-1` represent the same value.

A literal `LONGINT` has the form `integer L`, where `integer` has the same form as a literal `INTEGER`. If no explicit base is present, the value of the literal must be at most `LAST(LONGINT)`. If an explicit base is present, the value of the literal must be less than `2^Long.Size`, and its interpretation uses the convention of the `Long` interface. For example, the `LONGINT` having the value zero would be written `0L`.

A literal `REAL` has the form `decimal E exponent`, where `decimal` is a non-empty sequence of decimal digits followed by a decimal point followed by a non-empty sequence of decimal digits, and `exponent` is a non-empty sequence of decimal digits optionally beginning with a `+` or `-`. The literal denotes decimal times `10^exponent`. If “`E exponent`” is omitted, `exponent` defaults to 0.

`LONGREAL` and `EXTENDED` literals are like `REAL` literals, but instead of `E` they use `D` and `X` respectively.



Case is not significant in any letter in a numeric literal. Embedded spaces are not allowed in a numeric literal. For example, 1.0 and 0.5 are valid, 1. and .5 are not; 6.624E-27 is a REAL, and 3.1415926535d0 a LONGREAL.

### 2.6.5 Text and character literals

A character literal is a pair of single quotes enclosing either a single ISO-Latin-1 printing character (excluding single quote) or an escape sequence. The type of a character literal is CHAR.

A text literal is a pair of double quotes enclosing a sequence of ISO-Latin-1 printing characters (excluding double quote) and escape sequences. The type of a text literal is TEXT.

Here are the legal escape sequences and the characters they denote:

<code>\n</code>	newline (linefeed)	<code>\f</code>	form feed
<code>\t</code>	tab	<code>\\</code>	backslash
<code>\r</code>	carriage return	<code>\"</code>	double quote
<code>\'</code>	single quote	<code>\nnn</code>	char with code 8_nnn
		<code>\Xnn</code>	char with code 16_nn

A `\` followed by exactly three octal digits specifies the character whose code is that octal value. A `\x` followed by exactly two hexadecimal digits specifies the character whose code is that hexadecimal value. The hexadecimal digits are case-insensitive. The 'X' in a hexadecimal escape sequence is case-insensitive. A `\` that is not a part of one of these escape sequences is a static error.

A wide character literal has the form `W charlit`, where `charlit` is like a character literal, except that an octal escape sequence within must have exactly six octal digits and a hexadecimal escape sequence within must have exactly four hexadecimal digits. The type of a wide character literal is WIDECHAR. The leading 'W' is case-insensitive.

Similarly, a wide text literal has the form `W textlit`, where `textlit` is like a text literal, except any octal or hexadecimal escape sequences within must have exactly six octal or four hexadecimal digits, respectively. Unlike character literals, ordinary text literals and wide text literals both have the type TEXT, differing only in the method of specifying the literal's value. The leading 'W' is case-insensitive.

For example, `'a'` and `'\''` are valid character literals, `''` is not; `"` and `"Don't\n"` are valid text literals, `""` is not.

### 2.6.6 Nil

The literal "NIL" denotes the value NIL. Its type is NULL.

### 2.6.7 Function application

A procedure call is an expression if the procedure returns a result. The type of the expression is the result type of the procedure.

### 2.6.8 Set, array, and record constructors

A set constructor has the form:

$$S\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$$

where `S` is a set type and the `e`'s are expressions or ranges of the form `lo..hi`. The constructor denotes a value of type `S` containing the listed values and the values in the listed ranges. The `e`'s, `lo`'s, and `hi`'s must be assignable to the element type of `S`.

An array constructor has the form:

$$A\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$$

where  $A$  is an array type and the  $e$ 's are expressions. The constructor denotes a value of type  $A$  containing the listed elements in the listed order. The  $e$ 's must be assignable to the element type of  $A$ . This means that if  $A$  is a multi-dimensional array, the  $e$ 's must themselves be array-valued expressions.

If  $A$  is a fixed array type and  $n$  is at least 1, then  $e_n$  can be followed by “, . . .” to indicate that the value of  $e_n$  will be replicated as many times as necessary to fill out the array. It is a static error to provide too many or too few elements for a fixed array type.

A record constructor has the form:

$$R\{\text{Bindings}\}$$

where  $R$  is a record type and  $\text{Bindings}$  is a list of keyword or positional bindings, exactly as in a procedure call. The list of bindings is rewritten to fit the list of fields and defaults of  $R$ , exactly as for a procedure call; the record field names play the role of the procedure formal parameters. The expression denotes a value of type  $R$  whose field values are specified by the rewritten binding.

The rewritten binding must bind only field names and must bind each field name exactly once. Each expression in the binding must be assignable to the type of the corresponding record field.

## 2.6.9 New

An allocation operation has the form:

$$\text{NEW}(T, \dots)$$

where  $T$  is a reference type other than `REFANY`, `ADDRESS`, or `NULL`. The operation returns the address of a newly-allocated variable of  $T$ 's referent type; or if  $T$  is an object type, a newly-allocated data record paired with a method suite. The reference returned by `NEW` is distinct from all existing references. The allocated type of the new reference is  $T$ .

It is a static error if  $T$ 's referent type is empty. If  $T$  is declared as an opaque type, `NEW( $T$ )` is legal only in scopes where  $T$ 's concrete type is known completely, or is known to be an object type.

The initial state of the referent generally represents an arbitrary value of its type. If  $T$  is an object type or a reference to a record or open array then `NEW` takes additional arguments to control the initial state of the new variable.

If  $T$  is a reference to an array with  $k$  open dimensions, the `NEW` operation has the form:

$$\text{NEW}(T, n_1, \dots, n_k)$$

where the  $n$ 's are integer-valued expressions that specify the lengths of the new array in its first  $k$  dimensions. The values in the array will be arbitrary values of their type.

If  $T$  is an object type or a reference to a record, the `NEW` operation has the form:

$$\text{NEW}(T, \text{Bindings})$$

where  $\text{Bindings}$  is a list of keyword bindings used to initialize the new fields. Positional bindings are not allowed.

Each binding  $f := v$  initializes the field  $f$  to the value  $v$ . Fields for which no binding is supplied will be initialized to their defaults if they have defaults; otherwise they will be initialized to arbitrary values of their types.

The order of the field bindings makes no difference.

If  $T$  is an object type then Bindings can also include method overrides of the form  $m := P$ , where  $m$  is a method of  $T$  and  $P$  is a top-level procedure constant. This is syntactic sugar for the allocation of a subtype of  $T$  that includes the given overrides, in the given order. For example,  $\text{NEW}(T, m := P)$  is sugar for

```
NEW(T OBJECT OVERRIDES m := P END).
```

### 2.6.10 Arithmetic operations

The basic arithmetic operations are built into the language; additional operations are provided by the required floating-point interfaces.

To test or set the implementation's behavior for overflow, underflow, rounding, and division by zero, see the required interface `FloatMode`. Modula-3 arithmetic was designed to support the IEEE floating-point standard, but not to require it.

To perform arithmetic operations modulo the word size, programs should use the routines in the required interface `Word`.

Implementations must not rearrange the computation of expressions in a way that could affect the result. For example,  $(x+y)+z$  generally cannot be computed as  $x+(y+z)$ , since addition is not associative either for bounded integers or for floating-point values.

prefix +	(x: Integer)	: Integer
	(x: Float)	: Float
infix +	(x,y: Integer)	: Integer
	(x,y: Float)	: Float
	(x,y: Set)	: Set

As a prefix operator,  $+x$  returns  $x$ . As an infix operator on numeric arguments,  $+$  denotes addition. On sets,  $+$  denotes set union. That is,  $e \text{ IN } (x + y)$  if and only if  $(e \text{ IN } x) \text{ OR } (e \text{ IN } y)$ . The types of  $x$  and  $y$  must be the same, and the result is the same type as both. In unsafe modules,  $+$  is extended to `ADDRESS`.

prefix -	(x: Integer)	: Integer
	(x: Float)	: Float
infix -	(x,y: Integer)	: Integer
	(x,y: Float)	: Float
	(x,y: Set)	: Set

As a prefix operator,  $-x$  is the negative of  $x$ . As an infix operator on numeric arguments,  $-$  denotes subtraction. On sets,  $-$  denotes set difference. That is,  $e \text{ IN } (x - y)$  if and only if  $(e \text{ IN } x) \text{ AND NOT } (e \text{ IN } y)$ . The types of  $x$  and  $y$  must be the same, and the result is the same type as both. In unsafe modules,  $-$  is extended to `ADDRESS`.

infix *	(x,y: Integer)	: Integer
	(x,y: Float)	: Float
	(x,y: Set)	: Set

On numeric arguments,  $*$  denotes multiplication. On sets,  $*$  denotes intersection. That is,  $e \text{ IN } (x * y)$  if and only if  $(e \text{ IN } x) \text{ AND } (e \text{ IN } y)$ . The types of  $x$  and  $y$  must be the same, and the result is the same type as both.

infix /	(x,y: Float)	: Float
	(x,y: Set)	: Set

On reals, / denotes division. On sets, / denotes symmetric difference. That is,  $e \in (x / y)$  if and only if  $(e \in x) \neq (e \in y)$ . The types of  $x$  and  $y$  must be the same, and the result is the same type as both.

```
infix DIV      (x,y: Integer) : Integer
infix MOD      (x,y: Integer) : Integer
              (x,y: Float)   : Float
```

The value  $x \text{ DIV } y$  is the floor of the quotient of  $x$  and  $y$ ; that is, the maximum integer not exceeding the real number  $z$  such that  $z * y = x$ . For integers  $x$  and  $y$ , the value of  $x \text{ MOD } y$  is defined to be  $x - y * (x \text{ DIV } y)$ .

This means that for positive  $y$ , the value of  $x \text{ MOD } y$  lies in the interval  $[0 \dots y-1]$ , regardless of the sign of  $x$ . For negative  $y$ , the value of  $x \text{ MOD } y$  lies in the interval  $[y+1 \dots 0]$ , regardless of the sign of  $x$ .

If  $x$  and  $y$  are floats, the value of  $x \text{ MOD } y$  is  $x - y * \text{FLOOR}(x / y)$ . This may be computed as a Modula-3 expression, or by a method that avoids overflow if  $x$  is much greater than  $y$ . The types of  $x$  and  $y$  must be the same, and the result is the same type as both.

```
ABS          (x: Integer) : Integer
            (x: Float)   : Float
```

$\text{ABS}(x)$  is the absolute value of  $x$ . The type of  $\text{ABS}(x)$  is the same as the type of  $x$ .

```
FLOAT      (x: Integer; T: Type := REAL): T
            (x: Float;   T: Type := REAL): T
```

$\text{FLOAT}(x, T)$  is a floating-point value of type  $T$  that is equal to or very near  $x$ . The type  $T$  must be a floating-point type; it defaults to `REAL`. The exact semantics depend on the thread's current rounding mode, as explained in the required interface `FloatMode`.

```
FLOOR      (x: Float; T: Type := INTEGER): T
CEILING    (x: Float; T: Type := INTEGER): T
```

$\text{FLOOR}(x)$  is the greatest integer not exceeding  $x$ .  $\text{CEILING}(x)$  is the least integer not less than  $x$ . The type  $T$  must be an integer type; it defaults to `INTEGER`.

```
ROUND      (r: Float; T: Type := INTEGER): T
TRUNC      (r: Float; T: Type := INTEGER): T
```

$\text{ROUND}(r)$  is the nearest integer to  $r$ ; ties are broken according to the constant `RoundDefault` in the required interface `FloatMode`.  $\text{TRUNC}(r)$  rounds  $r$  toward zero; it equals  $\text{FLOOR}(r)$  for positive  $r$  and  $\text{CEILING}(r)$  for negative  $r$ . The type  $T$  must be an integer type; it defaults to `INTEGER`.

```
MAX, MIN   (x,y: Ordinal) : Ordinal
            (x,y: Float)   : Float
```

$\text{MAX}$  returns the greater of the two values  $x$  and  $y$ ;  $\text{MIN}$  returns the lesser. If  $x$  and  $y$  are ordinals, they must have the same base type, which is the type of the result. If  $x$  and  $y$  are floats, they must have the same type, and the result is the same type as both.

### 2.6.11 Relations

infix =, #      (x, y: Any): BOOLEAN

The operator = returns TRUE if x and y are equal. The operator # returns TRUE if x and y are not equal. It is a static error if the type of x is not assignable to the type of y or vice versa.

Ordinals are equal if they have the same value. Floats are equal if the underlying implementation defines them to be; for example, on an IEEE implementation, +0 equals -0 and NaN does not equal itself. References are equal if they address the same location. Procedures are equal if they agree as closures; that is, if they refer to the same procedure body and environment. Sets are equal if they have the same elements. Arrays are equal if they have the same length and corresponding elements are equal. Records are equal if they have the same fields and corresponding fields are equal.

infix <=, >=      (x,y: Ordinal) : BOOLEAN  
                      (x,y: Float) : BOOLEAN  
                      (x,y: ADDRESS) : BOOLEAN  
                      (x,y: Set) : BOOLEAN

In the first three cases, <= returns TRUE if x is at most as large as y. In the last case, <= returns TRUE if every element of x is an element of y. In all cases, it is a static error if the type of x is not assignable to the type of y, or vice versa. The expression x >= y is equivalent to y <= x.

infix >, <      (x,y: Ordinal) : BOOLEAN  
                      (x,y: Float) : BOOLEAN  
                      (x,y: ADDRESS) : BOOLEAN  
                      (x,y: Set) : BOOLEAN

In all cases, x < y means (x <= y) AND (x \# y), and x > y means y < x. It is a static error if the type of x is not assignable to the type of y, or vice versa.

Warning: with IEEE floating-point, x <= y is not the same as NOT x > y.

infix IN      (e: Ordinal; s: Set): BOOLEAN

Returns TRUE if e is an element of the set s. It is a static error if the type of e is not assignable to the element type of s. If the value of e is not a member of the element type, no error occurs, but IN returns FALSE.

### 2.6.12 Boolean operations

prefix NOT      (p: BOOLEAN) : BOOLEAN  
infix AND      (p,q: BOOLEAN) : BOOLEAN  
infix OR      (p,q: BOOLEAN) : BOOLEAN

NOT p is the complement of p.

p AND q is TRUE if both p and q are TRUE. If p is FALSE, q is not evaluated.

p OR q is TRUE if at least one of p and q is TRUE. If p is TRUE, q is not evaluated.

### 2.6.13 Type operations

ISTYPE (x: Reference; T: RefType) : BOOLEAN

ISTYPE(x, T) is TRUE if and only if x is a member of T. T must be an object type or traced reference type, and x must be assignable to T.

NARROW (x: Reference; T: RefType): T

NARROW(x, T) returns x after checking that x is a member of T. If the check fails, a runtime error occurs. T must be an object type or traced reference type, and x must be assignable to T.

TYPECODE (T: RefType) : CARDINAL  
(r: REFANY) : CARDINAL  
(r: UNTRACED ROOT) : CARDINAL

Every object type or traced reference type (including NULL) has an associated integer code. Different types have different codes. The code for a type is constant for any single execution of a program, but may differ for different executions. TYPECODE(T) returns the code for the type T and TYPECODE(r) returns the code for the allocated type of r. It is a static error if T is REFANY or is not an object type or traced reference type.

ORD (element: Ordinal): INTEGER  
VAL (i: INTEGER; T: OrdinalType): T

ORD converts an element of an enumeration to the integer that represents its position in the enumeration order. The first value in any enumeration is represented by zero. If the type of element is a subrange of an enumeration T, the result is the position of the element within T, not within the subrange.

VAL is the inverse of ORD; it converts from a numeric position i into the element that occupies that position in an enumeration. If T is a subrange, VAL returns the element with the position i in the original enumeration type, not the subrange. It is a checked runtime error for the value of i to be out of range for T.

If n is an integer of type T, ORD(n) = VAL(n, T) = n.

NUMBER (T: OrdinalType) : CARDINAL  
(A: FixedArrayType) : CARDINAL  
(a: Array) : CARDINAL

For an ordinal type T, NUMBER(T) returns the number of elements in T. For a fixed array type A, NUMBER(A) is defined by NUMBER(IndexType(A)). Similarly, for an array a, NUMBER(a) is defined by NUMBER(IndexType(a)). In this case, the expression a will be evaluated only if it denotes an open array.

FIRST (T: OrdinalType) : BaseType(T)  
(T: FloatType) : T  
(A: FixedArrayType) : BaseType(IndexType(A))  
(a: Array) : BaseType(IndexType(a))  
  
LAST (T: OrdinalType) : BaseType(T)  
(T: FloatType) : T  
(A: FixedArrayType) : BaseType(IndexType(A))  
(a: Array) : BaseType(IndexType(a))

For a non-empty ordinal type  $T$ ,  $\text{FIRST}(T)$  returns the smallest value of  $T$  and  $\text{LAST}(T)$  returns the largest value. If  $T$  is the empty enumeration,  $\text{FIRST}(T)$  and  $\text{LAST}(T)$  are static errors. If  $T$  is any other empty ordinal type, the values returned are implementation-dependent, but they satisfy  $\text{FIRST}(T) > \text{LAST}(T)$ .

For a floating-point type  $T$ ,  $\text{FIRST}(T)$  and  $\text{LAST}(T)$  are the smallest and largest values of the type, respectively. On IEEE implementations, these are minus and plus infinity.

For a fixed array type  $A$ ,  $\text{FIRST}(A)$  is defined by  $\text{FIRST}(\text{IndexType}(A))$  and  $\text{LAST}(A)$  by  $\text{LAST}(\text{IndexType}(A))$ . Similarly, for an array  $a$ ,  $\text{FIRST}(a)$  and  $\text{LAST}(a)$  are defined by  $\text{FIRST}(\text{IndexType}(a))$  and  $\text{LAST}(\text{IndexType}(a))$ . The expression  $a$  will be evaluated only if it is an open array. Note that if  $a$  is an open array,  $\text{FIRST}(a)$  and  $\text{LAST}(a)$  have type  $\text{INTEGER}$ .

```
BITSIZE (x: Any) : CARDINAL
        (T: Type) : CARDINAL
```

```
BYTESIZE (x: Any) : CARDINAL
         (T: Type) : CARDINAL
```

```
ADRSIZE (x: Any) : CARDINAL
        (T: Type) : CARDINAL
```

These operations return the size of the variable  $x$  or of variables of type  $T$ .  $\text{BITSIZE}$  returns the number of bits,  $\text{BYTESIZE}$  the number of 8-bit bytes, and  $\text{ADRSIZE}$  the number of addressable locations. In all cases,  $x$  must be a designator and  $T$  must not be an open array type. A designator  $x$  will be evaluated only if its type is an open array type.

## 2.6.14 Text operations

```
infix &      (a,b: TEXT): TEXT
```

The concatenation of  $a$  and  $b$ , as defined by  $\text{Text.Cat}$ .

## 2.6.15 Constant expressions

Constant expressions are a subset of the general class of expressions, restricted by the requirement that it be possible to evaluate the expression statically. All operations are legal in constant expressions except for  $\text{ADR}$ ,  $\text{LOOPHOLE}$ ,  $\text{TYPECODE}$ ,  $\text{NARROW}$ ,  $\text{ISTYPE}$ ,  $\text{SUBARRAY}$ ,  $\text{NEW}$ , dereferencing (explicit or implicit), and the only procedures that can be applied are the functions in the  $\text{Word}$  interface.

A variable can appear in a constant expression only as an argument to  $\text{FIRST}$ ,  $\text{LAST}$ ,  $\text{NUMBER}$ ,  $\text{BITSIZE}$ ,  $\text{BYTESIZE}$ , or  $\text{ADRSIZE}$ , and such a variable must not have an open array type. Literals and top-level procedure constants are legal in constant expressions.

## 2.7 Unsafe operations

*There are some cases that no law can be framed to cover. —Aristotle*

The features defined in this section can potentially cause unchecked runtime errors and are thus forbidden in safe interfaces and modules.

An unchecked type transfer operation has the form:

```
LOOPHOLE (e, T)
```

where  $e$  is an expression whose type is not an open array type and  $T$  is a type. It denotes  $e$ 's bit pattern interpreted as a variable or value of type  $T$ . It is a designator if  $e$  is, and is writable if  $e$  is. An unchecked runtime error can occur if  $e$ 's bit pattern is not a legal  $T$ , or if  $e$  is a designator and some legal bit pattern for  $T$  is not legal for  $e$ .

If  $T$  is not an open array type,  $\text{BITSIZE}(e)$  must equal  $\text{BITSIZE}(T)$ . If  $T$  is an open array type, its element type must not be an open array type, and  $e$ 's bit pattern is interpreted as an array whose length is  $\text{BITSIZE}(e)$  divided by  $\text{BITSIZE}(\text{the element type of } T)$ . The division must come out even.

The following operations are primarily used for address arithmetic:

ADR	(VAR $x$ : Any)	: ADDRESS
infix +	( $x$ : ADDRESS, $y$ : INTEGER)	: ADDRESS
infix −	( $x$ : ADDRESS, $y$ : INTEGER)	: ADDRESS
infix −	( $x, y$ : ADDRESS)	: INTEGER

$\text{ADR}(x)$  is the address of the variable  $x$ . The actual argument must be a designator but need not be writable. The operations + and − treat addresses as integers. The validity of the addresses produced by these operations is implementation-dependent. For example, the address of a variable in a local procedure frame is probably valid only for the duration of the call. The address of the referent of a traced reference is probably valid only as long as traced references prevent it from being collected (and not even that long if the implementation uses a compacting collector).

In unsafe modules the INC and DEC statements apply to addresses as well as ordinals:

INC	(VAR $x$ : ADDRESS; $n$ : INTEGER := 1)
DEC	(VAR $x$ : ADDRESS; $n$ : INTEGER := 1)

These are short for  $x := x + n$  and  $x := x - n$ , except that  $x$  is evaluated only once.

A DISPOSE statement has the form:

DISPOSE ( $v$ )

where  $v$  is a writable designator whose type is not REFANY, ADDRESS, or NULL. If  $v$  is untraced, the statement frees the storage for  $v$ 's referent and sets  $v$  to NIL. Freeing storage to which active references remain is an unchecked runtime error. If  $v$  is traced, the statement is equivalent to  $v := \text{NIL}$ . If  $v$  is NIL, the statement is a no-op.

In unsafe interfaces and modules the definition of “assignable” for types is extended: two reference types  $T$  and  $U$  are assignable if  $T <: U$  or  $U <: T$ . The only effect of this change is to allow a value of type ADDRESS to be assigned to a variable of type UNTRACED REF  $T$ . It is an unchecked runtime error if the value does not address a variable of type  $T$ .

In unsafe interfaces and modules the type constructor UNTRACED REF  $T$  is allowed for traced as well as untraced  $T$ , and the fields of untraced objects can be traced. If  $u$  is an untraced reference to a traced variable  $t$ , then the validity of the traced references in  $t$  is implementation-dependent, since the garbage collector probably will not trace them through  $u$ .

## 2.8 Syntax

*Care should be taken, when using colons and semicolons in the same sentence, that the reader understands how far the force of each sign carries. —Robert Graves and Alan Hodge*

### 2.8.1 Keywords

AND	DO	FROM	NOT	REPEAT	UNTIL
ANY	ELSE	GENERIC	OBJECT	RETURN	UNTRACED



ARRAY	ELSIF	IF	OF	REVEAL	VALUE
AS	END	IMPORT	OR	ROOT	VAR
BEGIN	EVAL	IN	OVERRIDES	SET	WHILE
BITS	EXCEPT	INTERFACE	PROCEDURE	THEN	WITH
BRANDED	EXCEPTION	LOCK	RAISE	TO	
BY	EXIT	LOOP	RAISES	TRY	
CASE	EXPORTS	METHODS	READONLY	TYPE	
CONST	FINALLY	MOD	RECORD	TYPECASE	
DIV	FOR	MODULE	REF	UNSAFE	

### 2.8.2 Reserved identifiers

ABS	BYTESIZE	EXTENDED	INTEGER	MAX	NULL	SUBARRAY
ADDRESS	CARDINAL	FALSE	ISTYPE	MIN	NUMBER	TEXT
ADR	CEILING	FIRST	LAST	MUTEX	ORD	TRUE
ADRSIZE	CHAR	FLOAT	LONGINT	NARROW	REAL	TRUNC
BITSIZE	DEC	FLOOR	LONGREAL	NEW	REFANY	TYPECODE
BOOLEAN	DISPOSE	INC	LOOPHOLE	NIL	ROUND	VAL
						WIDECHAR

### 2.8.3 Operators

+	<	#	=	;	..	:
-	>	{	}		:=	<:
*	<=	(	)	^	,	=>
/	>=	[	]	.	&	

### 2.8.4 Comments

A comment is an arbitrary character sequence opened by (\* and closed by \*). Comments can be nested and can extend over more than one line.

### 2.8.5 Pragmas

A pragma is an arbitrary character sequence opened by <\* and closed by \*>. Pragmas can be nested and can extend over more than one line. Pragmas are hints to the implementation; they do not affect the language semantics.

We recommend supporting the two pragmas <\*INLINE\*> and <\*EXTERNAL\*>. The pragma <\*INLINE\*> precedes a procedure declaration to indicate that the procedure should be expanded at the point of call. The pragma <\*EXTERNAL N:L\*> precedes an interface or a declaration in an interface to indicate that the entity it precedes is implemented by the language L, where it has the name N. If “:L” is omitted, then the implementation’s default external language is assumed. If “N” is omitted, then the external name is determined from the Modula-3 name in some implementation-dependent way.

### 2.8.6 Conventions for syntax

We use the following notation for defining syntax:

X Y	X followed by Y
X Y	X or Y
[X]	X or empty
{X}	A possibly empty sequence of X's
X&Y	X or Y or X Y

“Followed by” has greater binding power than | or &; parentheses are used to override this precedence rule. Non-terminals begin with an upper-case letter. Terminals are either keywords or quoted operators. The symbols Id, Number, TextLiteral, and CharLiteral are defined in the token grammar. Each production is terminated by a period. The syntax does not reflect the restrictions that revelations and exceptions can be declared only at the top level; nor does it include explicit productions for NEW, INC, and DEC, which parse like procedure calls.

## 2.8.7 Compilation unit productions

Compilation = [UNSAFE] (Interface | Module) | GenInf | GenMod.

Interface = INTERFACE Id ";" {Import} {Decl} END Id "."  
 | INTERFACE Id "=" Id GenActls END Id ".".

Module = MODULE Id [EXPORTS IdList] ";" {Import} Block Id "."  
 | MODULE Id [EXPORTS IdList] "=" Id GenActls END Id ".".

GenInf = GENERIC INTERFACE Id GenFmls ";" {Import} {Decl} END Id ".".

GenMod = GENERIC MODULE Id GenFmls ";" {Import} Block Id ".".

Import = AsImport | FromImport.

AsImport = IMPORT ImportItem {" ImportItem} ";".

FromImport = FROM Id IMPORT IdList ";".

Block = {Decl} BEGIN S END.

Decl = CONST {ConstDecl ";"}  
 | TYPE {TypeDecl ";"}  
 | EXCEPTION {ExceptionDecl ";"}  
 | VAR {VariableDecl ";"}  
 | ProcedureHead ["=" Block Id] ";"  
 | REVEAL {QualId ("=" | "<:") Type ";"}

GenFmls = "(" [IdList] ")".

GenActls = "(" [IdList] ")".

ImportItem = Id | Id AS Id.

ConstDecl = Id [":" Type] "=" ConstExpr.

TypeDecl = Id ("=" | "<:") Type.

ExceptionDecl = Id ["(" Type ")"].

VariableDecl = IdList (":" Type & "!=" Expr).

ProcedureHead = PROCEDURE Id Signature.

Signature = "(" Formals ")" [":" Type] [RAISES Raises].

Formals = [ Formal {" Formal} [";"] ].

Formal = [Mode] IdList (":" Type & "!=" ConstExpr).

Mode = VALUE | VAR | READONLY.

Raises = "{" [ QualId {" QualId} ] "}" | ANY.

### 2.8.8 Statement productions

```
Stmt = AssignSt | Block | CallSt | CaseSt | ExitSt | EvalSt | ForSt
      | IfSt | LockSt | LoopSt | RaiseSt | RepeatSt | ReturnSt
      | TCaseSt | TryXptSt | TryFinSt | WhileSt | WithSt.
```

```
S = [ Stmt {";" Stmt} [";" ] ].
```

```
AssignSt = Expr ":" Expr.
CallSt   = Expr "(" [Actual {"," Actual}] ")".
CaseSt   = CASE Expr OF [Case] {"|" Case} [ELSE S] END.
ExitSt   = EXIT.
EvalSt   = EVAL Expr.
ForSt    = FOR Id ":" Expr TO Expr [BY Expr] DO S END.
IfSt     = IF Expr THEN S {ELSIF Expr THEN S} [ELSE S] END.
LockSt   = LOCK Expr DO S END.
LoopSt   = LOOP S END.
RaiseSt  = RAISE QualId ["(" Expr ")"].
RepeatSt = REPEAT S UNTIL Expr.
ReturnSt = RETURN [Expr].
TCaseSt  = TYPECASE Expr OF [TCase] {"|" TCase} [ELSE S] END.
TryXptSt = TRY S EXCEPT [Handler] {"|" Handler} [ELSE S] END.
TryFinSt = TRY S FINALLY S END.
WhileSt  = WHILE Expr DO S END.
WithSt   = WITH Binding {"," Binding} DO S END.
```

```
Case      = Labels {"," Labels} ">" S.
Labels    = ConstExpr [".." ConstExpr].
Handler   = QualId {"," QualId} ["(" Id ")"] ">" S.
TCase     = Type {"," Type} ["(" Id ")"] ">" S.
Binding   = Id "=" Expr.
Actual    = Type | [Id ":" Expr] Expr .
```

### 2.8.9 Type productions

```
Type = TypeName | ArrayType | PackedType | EnumType | ObjectType
      | ProcedureType | RecordType | RefType | SetType | SubrangeType
      | "(" Type ")".
```

```
ArrayType    = ARRAY [Type {"," Type}] OF Type.
PackedType   = BITS ConstExpr FOR Type.
EnumType     = "{" [IdList] "}".
ObjectType   = [TypeName | ObjectType] [Brand] OBJECT Fields
              [METHODS Methods] [OVERRIDES Overrides] END.
ProcedureType = PROCEDURE Signature.
RecordType   = RECORD Fields END.
RefType      = [UNTRACED] [Brand] REF Type.
SetType      = SET OF Type.
SubrangeType = "[" ConstExpr ".." ConstExpr "]".
```

```
Brand      = BRANDED [ConstExpr].
```

```

Fields      = [ Field {";" Field} [";"] ].
Field       = IdList (":" Type & "!=" ConstExpr).
Methods     = [ Method {";" Method} [";"] ].
Method      = Id Signature [":" ConstExpr].
Overrides   = [ Override {";" Override} [";"] ].
Override    = Id "!=" ConstExpr .

```

### 2.8.10 Expression productions

```
ConstExpr = Expr.
```

```

Expr = E1 {OR E1}.
E1 = E2 {AND E2}.
E2 = {NOT} E3.
E3 = E4 {Relop E4}.
E4 = E5 {Addop E5}.
E5 = E6 {Mulop E6}.
E6 = {"+" | "-"} E7.
E7 = E8 {Selector}.
E8 = Id | Number | CharLiteral | TextLiteral
    | Constructor | "(" Expr ")".

```

```
Relop = "=" | "#" | "<" | "<=" | ">" | ">=" | IN.
```

```
Addop = "+" | "-" | "&".
```

```
Mulop = "*" | "/" | DIV | MOD.
```

```

Selector = "^" | "." Id | "[" Expr {"," Expr} "]"
          | "(" [ Actual {"," Actual} ] ")".

```

```
Constructor = Type "{" [ SetCons | RecordCons | ArrayCons ] "}".
```

```
SetCons = SetElt {"," SetElt}.
```

```
SetElt = Expr [".." Expr].
```

```
RecordCons = RecordElt {"," RecordElt}.
```

```
RecordElt = [Id "!="] Expr.
```

```
ArrayCons = Expr {"," Expr} ["," " ".."].
```

### 2.8.11 Miscellaneous productions

```
IdList = Id {"," Id}.
```

```
QualId = Id [ "." Id ].
```

```
TypeName = QualId | ROOT | UNTRACED ROOT.
```

### 2.8.12 Token productions

To read a token, first skip all blanks, tabs, newlines, carriage returns, vertical tabs, form feeds, comments, and pragmas. Then read the longest sequence of characters that forms an operator or an Id or Literal.

An Id is a case-significant sequence of letters, digits, and underscores that begins with a letter. An Id is a keyword if it appears in the list of keywords, a reserved identifier if it appears in the list of reserved identifiers, and an ordinary identifier otherwise.

In the following grammar, terminals are characters surrounded by double-quotes and the special terminal DQUOTE represents double-quote itself.

```

Id = Letter {Letter | Digit | "_"}.

Literal = Number | CharLiteral | TextLiteral.

CharLiteral = "'" (PrintingChar | Escape | DQUOTE) "'".

TextLiteral = DQUOTE {PrintingChar | Escape | "'"} DQUOTE.

Escape = "\" \"n\"      | "\" \"t\"      | "\" \"r\"      | "\" \"f\"
        | "\" \"\\"      | "\" \"'\"      | "\" DQUOTE
        | "\" OctalDigit OctalDigit OctalDigit.

Number = Digit {Digit}
        | Digit {Digit} "_" HexDigit {HexDigit}
        | Digit {Digit} "." Digit {Digit} [Exp].

Exp = ("E" | "e" | "D" | "d" | "X" | "x") ["+" | "-"] Digit {Digit}.

PrintingChar = Letter | Digit | OtherChar.

HexDigit = Digit | "A" | "B" | "C" | "D" | "E" | "F"
          | "a" | "b" | "c" | "d" | "e" | "f".

Digit = "0" | "1" | ... | "9".

OctalDigit = "0" | "1" | ... | "7".

Letter = "A" | "B" | ... | "Z" | "a" | "b" | ... | "z".

OtherChar = " " | "!" | "#" | "$" | "%" | "&" | "(" | ")"
           | "*" | "+" | "," | "-" | "." | "/" | ":" | ";"
           | "<" | "=" | ">" | "?" | "@" | "[" | "]" | "^"
           | "_" | "`" | "{" | "|" | "}" | "~"
           | ExtendedChar

ExtendedChar = any char with ISO-Latin-1 code in [8_ 240..8_ 377].

```

## About the authors

Luca Cardelli was an undergraduate in Pisa and has a Ph.D. in Computer Science from the University of Edinburgh (1982). He worked at AT&T Bell Labs, Murray Hill, from 1982 to 1985 before assuming his current position at DEC SRC. His main interests are in constructive logic, type theory, and language design and implementation.

Jim Donahue received his Ph.D. in Computer Science at the University of Toronto (1975). He was an Assistant Professor at Cornell University from 1975 to 1981. In 1981, he joined the Computer Science Laboratory of the Xerox Palo Alto Research Center. In 1986, he established the Olivetti Research Center and was its Director until 1990. He is now a Senior Scientist and Product Manager for Teknekron Software Systems. His interests include programming language design, distributed system design, and database systems and applications.

Lucille Glassman is a technical writer for DEC SRC.

Mick Jordan has a Ph.D. in Computer Science from the University of Cambridge. From 1984 to 1988 he worked at the Acorn Research Center in Palo Alto on a programming environment for Modula-2+. Before joining DEC SRC in 1990 he was at Olivetti Research, where he led the group that produced the Olivetti Modula-3 implementation. His principal current interest is in programming tools that are based on Modula-3 Abstract Syntax Trees.

Bill Kalsow received his Ph.D. in Computer Science from the University of Wisconsin at Madison (1986). Since then he has worked as DEC SRC. His primary interests are programming languages and their implementations.

Greg Nelson got his Ph.D. from Stanford in 1980, where he worked on program verification and algorithms for mechanical theorem proving. He was the author of the Juno constraint-based graphics system at Xerox PARC's Computer Science Laboratory, has taught at Princeton University, and is now a member of DEC SRC. Currently his active interests are window systems, programming language design, and the semantic theory of guarded commands.

---

[Modula-3 home page]

m3-request@src.dec.com

Last modified on Fri Jan 8 10:49:37 PST 1999 by heydon

[Legal Statement](#) [Privacy Statement](#)